

Housing and Redevelopment Authority of the City of Saint Paul, Minnesota: Redevelopment project files

### **Copyright Notice:**

This material may be protected by copyright law (U.S. Code, Title 17). Researchers are liable for any infringement. For more information, visit <a href="https://www.mnhs.org/copyright">www.mnhs.org/copyright</a>.

### STATEMENT ON PAIR VALUE FOR USE - VESTERS RESERVATIONS OF PROJECT UR MINH, 1-2

The Minnesota Municipal Rousing and Redevelopment Act provides in Section 462.541 line Value as follows:

Subdivision 1. Determination of use value; appraisal. After the authority has assembled and acquired the real property of a project area, it shall, as an aid to it in determining the rentals and other terms upon which it will lease or the price at which it will cell the area or parts thereof, place a use value upon each piece or treat of land within the area which, in accordance with the plan, is to be used for private uses or for low-rent housing, such use value to be based on the planned use; and, for the purpose of this use valuation, it may in its discretion cause a use-value appraisal to be made by two or more land value experts employed by it for the surpose, or it may use the land appraisal services of the manicipality; but nothing contained in this section shall be construed as requiring the matherity to base its reatals or selling prices upon any much appraisal.

The Act also provides in Section 662.525 Namesal of Property that "land shall be made available at its fair use value, as determined by the Authority, which determination shall be based on its proposed use as set forth in the redevelopment plan".

Disposition procedures under consideration by the Authority contemplate the establishment of fair use values for each percel of land by the Authority with the concurrence of the Orban Senson! Administration. These values are for the guidance of the Authority and its staff in the consideration of proposals for the purchase of the land.

This statement recommends such fair use values for the proposed tracts in US Mins. 1-2, the Western Redevelopment Project. A sketch of the proposed use tracts is attached. The Authority has had two reuse appraisals for its guidance in establishing these values. Whe first appraisal was jointly ands by C. C. Boeth, M.A. I. and Marl V. Rolen, M.A. I. of St. Fami as of Recember 1, 1951 and revised as of August 20, 1952. The account appraisal was made by Roy Venslick and Co. of St. Louis as of Rovember 12, 1953. The recommended values are based on these appraisals and other information whiching become available since they were made. The recommended fair value for use is given for each tract below together with a summary of the information upon which it was based.

Tract 1: Approx. 84,163 equare feet

Recommended Value \$1,00 per aquare foot

Total Recommended Value \$84,163

Treat I was not appreised for commercial use either by Neeth and Dolan or by Neutlies and Associates. Novever, Ventlick appreisal placed a front foot value of \$125 for commercial use on all project streets except Nice Street for a lot of 125 foot depth. As this tract is a narrow one averaging about About 125 feet in depth the \$125 feet value is probably appropriate. This results in an average square feet value of \$1.00 which is proposed as the fair value for use on this tract. See the discussion which immediately follows under tracts 2 and 3 for a more detailed presentation of the Venzlick approach.

Fract 2: Apprex. 10930 square feet
Recommended Value \$1.40 per square feet
Fotel Recommended Value \$15.302

See - Mestern Redevelopment Project UR Ninn, 1-2 (Confd.) Statement on Fair Volue for Tract 3: Approx. 708.760 square foet Recommended Value 81.40 per square fast Total Becommended Value 8992,264 Track &: Approx. 315,000 samre foot Recommended Value \$1,40 per source foot Total Recommended Value Shul. 000 These tracts represent a considerable enlargement of part of the commercial tract appraised by Booth and Dolan and by Wentlick so that the values arrived at by thee are not strictly applicable. They, however, can be used as a starting point. Seeth and Delan appear to have used comparable sales almost exclusively in setting their values. They originally set a flat figure of \$1.00 per square foot for commercial land in the Vestern Area. This was later increased by 20% to \$1.68 per square foot. Bay Wendlick's apprelears arrived at their valuations in a somewhat different manner. They first established a \$200 front foot value for lots fronting on Bics Street and \$125 per front foot on lots fronting on other project streets. These values were for lots of a stendard 125 foot doubt. Additional depth added a decreasing increment to value according to a predetermined formula. Thus with increasing depth, the value for front foot increased but the average value per square foot decreased. The Wenslick method for the present parcel "3" gives a value of \$1.05 per square foot, and for Parcel "4" a value of \$1.08 per square foot. It is believed that the Wentlick sethed is inadequate for arriving at a proper value for the tracts of land involved here. It presumes that value is almost antirely determined by street frontage while one of the most essential facts about the present tracts is their size which brings into the market for them uses which cannot be accommodated in any way on a 125 foot lot. The Wonslick formula on a street with a value of 8200 per front foot for 125 depth lots assigns 30% of the value to the first 15 feet in depth. Head square foot of land in these first 15 feet are worth about \$6.00 a piece. On the other hand, the land between a depth of 200 and 250 feet is worth only about 60¢ per square foot. The nerrower the parcel the more valuable according

In view of the fact that the value of the land in these tracts is considerably enhanced by the size of the persons and the fact that larger conserved uses, particularly large retail stores or shopping center development is possible, an accomblage factor should be used to values obtained by the front feet value method. If a 20% factor is applied to the 81.06 figures, 1.27 per empays foot results.

to this approach. The amphasis in the Venylick report is on ribbon-type concerdial develop-

If a 30% factor to added, a \$1.38 per square foot value regults.

ment along Rice Street with rarking in the rear.

Both appraisals dite many sales of conserval land of more or less recembly. Home of the sales, beverer, is for parcels approaching the size of either Parcel "3" or rarcel "4". The largest parcel dited by "enslick is 27.780 square feet, while the largest parcel dited by Beeth and Dolan is 70.000 square feet. Values are estimated at from aby per square foot to more than \$20.00 per square foot. The parcel which Beath and Dolan find more comparable is one at Rice and Sherburne of 27.780 square feet which rented on a long term lease for a super market purpose on the basis of a value of \$1.45 per square foot. The location is quite comparable to Parcels "3" and "A" although probably loss desirable for uses which would be served by the grantice of the Capital Approach location.

Since the appraisals were made, one large piece of property known as the "Car Barn Site" sold for \$1.30 per square foot. Thismis late in 1950 included everal acres at the corner of University and Enciling Avenues. A large shopping conter is planned for this site.

Statement on Pair Value for Use - Western Redevelopment Project UE Minn. 1-2 (Con'd) It has the advantage of being on University and Smelling Avenues, both major streets; is served by railroad trackage and is in the heart of the Midway Industrial erea. It is, however, some 3 miles further from the center of the City than are Parcels "3" and "4". Times both appraisals were made, additional steps have been taken to complete the Capital Approach Program. The Authorization of an expenditure of \$6,000,000 for the State Mighway Department Suilding directly across from the Commercial Area in UR Hinn, 1-2, and the authorization of \$5,000,000 for further Ptate Office Building in the Capital Approach enhances the value of the redevelopment lend, The Wenzlick report indicated that higher values could be assigned the Commercial Areas in the Sestern Project, if the parcelization was changed so as to allow the d eveloper to determine the location of his parking and if the uses allowed were less restricted so as to permit a wider competition. Present plans aim to accept both of these suggestions. In view of all of the above considerations, it is belived that fir value for parcels "3" and "4" should be set at \$1.40 per square foot. Fract 5: Approx. 235.050 square feet Residential Recommended Commercial Recommended Value 90.55 per square foot Value 31.20 per squere foot Total Secommended Value \$129.278 Total Recommended Value \$282,960 It is now planned to offer Forcel "5" for sale for high density residential development. or for commercial development as office building sites. Magidential The original Beeth and Bolan appraisal placed the fair use value for high density residential areas in Project UE Minn. 1-2 at 30¢ per square foot. Later this value was raised to 60% a square foot. The Venziick appraisal assigned a value of \$65.00 per front foot including an assemblage factor for 125 foot depth. For the 255 foot depth of Tract. this results formula in a value of 892.30 per front foot or 36.2 per square foot. These appreciable were both made in the absence of Pederal legislation giving the favorable terms for insured mortgages since provided by faction 220 of the Housing Lot of 1950. In view of this and also because of the demand for opportunities in this area which will be greatly strengthened by the construction of the two state office Buildings mentioned above a fair value for use of 55d per square foot is recommended. At maximum density of 55 dwelling units per acre, this will result in an average land cost per apartment of 3436 which would probably be about 5% of total development cost per apartment at current construction desta. Commercial The original Seath and Bolen appreisal would indicate a value of \$1.40 per square foot. as this figure was placed on all commercial land although at the time of the appreciant, this percel was scheduled for residential use. The revised appreient in a similar way would indicate a wine of \$1.68 per square foot. The method employed in the Venelick appraisal placing a front foot value of 31.25 per front foot for a 125 foot depth, resulte in a figure of 80.99 per equare foot. It is believed that at least a 20% assemblage factor should be added to this figure. This results in a value of approximately \$1.20 per square foot which is recommended as the fair value for use. It should be noted that the limitation of this percel to office building use and its relative distance from the Capitol Approach justifies a leaver value than that for the tracts bordering lice Street. -3-

Statement on Pair Value for Use - Western Redevelopment Project UN Rinn, 1-2 (Con'd.) Tract 6: Approx. 143,000 square feet Eigh Dencity Residential Low Density Residential Secommended Value 80.55 Recommended Value 60.35 Total Recommended Value 878,650 Total Recommended Value \$50,050 This percel is to be offered for either low or high density residential use. Beeth and Tolan's values were the same as for Tract 5. Venslick applied a front foot value of \$81.5 for 125 foot depth. For the 185 foot actual depth of Tract 5 by formula, this gives a value of \$99.15 per front foot or 53.6 cents per square foot. For embatitutions of low density uses see tract 8 below. The facts applying to Tract 5 also apply to this percel, therefore, a fair use value of 80,55 per square foot is also considered proper for Tract 6. Tract S: Approx. 143.00 square feet Recommended Value 80.35 per square feet Total recommended Value \$50,050 This tract is to be sold for low density residential use with a maximum of 16 dwelling units per sore. The original Beeth and Bolan appreciant placed a value of 26 caute per square foot on this treet. It was subsequently reised to 31¢. Venslick applied a front foot value of \$45.00 for 125 foot depth. By formule, this results in \$51.75 per from foot for the actual depth of 165 feet. The resulting value per square foot is 32.3 cents. Since the appraisals were made, the availability of Section 250 FRA insurance has brightened the nortgage picture while the state building program has firmed up the murket in the immediate area. A fair value for use of 80.35 per square feet is therfore recommended. Treet 9: Approx. 61,000 square feet Recommended Value 30.30 per square foot Total Recommended Value \$18,300 This tract is to be sold for low density residential use with an alternative use of institutional. The orginal Seeth and Delan Appraisal placed a value of 26 cents per square foot on this Parcel. It was subsequently revised to 31 cents. Fenglick assigned a front foot value of \$36.00 for the actual 125 feet depth. This amounts to 28.9 cents per square foot. A fair value for use of 30 cents per square foot is recommended for this treet. A lower value than for Tract 8 is considered appropriate in view of the fact that it does not have the neighborhood ementties of Treat 8. Tract 11: Approx. 66,812 square feet Recommended Value \$1.00 per square foot Total Recommended Value \$66,812 This tract is to be disposed of for local shopping center use. The Bacth and Bolan appraisal assigned a value first of \$1.40 per square foot for this tract. It was later increased to \$1.68 per square foot. The Mentick appraisal placed a value of 99 cents per equare foot on this treet. Since both appreciate were made, it is planned to expand

Statement on Pair Value for Use - Western Redevelorment Project UR Minn, 1-2 (Con'd.) the area of this tract from approximately 39,575 square feet to 75,900 square feet which will allow for a more adequate local shapping center, particularly in view of the Authority's parking requirements which would have considerably cromped the building space on the former site. It is recommended that a fair use value of \$1.00 per square foot be placed on this tract. Tract 7: Approx. 205.683 square feat Recommended Value 90,16 per square foot Total Recommended Value \$32,920 This tract is to be seld to the Equaing Authority for development as a park and then dedicated to the City. The Pederal requirements for this sort of public use establishes the fair use value at the value set for the most appropriate private use, which in this case would be low density residential for which a value of 30 cents per square foot has been recommended. The Beeth and Dolan revised appraisal placed a figure of 16 cents on this percel. The Wenglick appraisal also arrived at a figure of 16 cents per square foot. through a complicated use of the front foot formula. The proposed parcelization has reduced the size of the park by about 50,000 square feet and would alter the Venulick figure slightly. As the proposed tract 7 does not have street frontage, it has a lesser value for low density recidential use than does treet 9. It is recommended that a fair use value of 16 cents be placed on this tract. Tract 10: Approx. 253,000 square feet Recommended Volue 80.25 per square foot Potal Secommended Value \$63,250 This tract is to be sold to the It. Foul School District for a school site. As this is a public use, the value is to be established on the basis of the most probable alternative private use, in this case low-density residential use. Booth and Bolan originally assigned a value of 20 dents per square foot to this tract and later increased this to 24 cents. Assuming an average depth of 325 feet and a front foot value of 125 foot depth of 54.00, Venzlick arrived at a figure of 25.9 cents per square foot. It is recommended that the fair use value be set at 25 cents per square foot, The facts stated in determining values for tract 10 are the same for tract 12. This area will be used for playground purposes and will be purchased either by the school Board of the City of St. Paul or by the Ober Neys Club, a settlement house adjoining this tract, but outside the redevelopment area. Parcels to be Sold on Aurora Avenue between Caltier and Parrington Streets. Recommended Value 015.00 per front foot for parcels to be sold to adjacent owners \$25.00 per front foot for parcels for new building or relocation of existing houses.

The Authority is adquiring a number of properties on both sides of Aurora Avenus between Galtier and Farriagton Streets which were bodly rendown. It is planned to offer came of the land made available for sale for construction of individual houses or for the relocation of good houses from the redevelopment area. It is planned to offer the remainder of the land for sele to the owners of adjacent property. In these cases, the present house is built close to the lot line and the addition of a for feet will reduce density of land coverage and improve the neighborhood. In such situations, the land would be sold with restriction proventing building on it except for private garages in certain discussiones. These parcels were not appraised for rouse by Boeth and Bolan. The Wentlick Company was asked to appraise lots in the same blocks and arrived at a \$29,10 average front foot value. It should be noted that Beeth and Dolan did appraise this land for maquinition and placed a value of from \$16 to \$20 per front foot on it for acquicition purposes. The changes in the area resulting from redevelopment abould enhance this value secouhat. It is believed, therefore, that a value of \$25.00 per front foot for lots on which construction will be allowed is appropriate. However, on those parcels to be sold to the owners of adjacent property on which construction will be limited, a figure of \$15.00 is more appropriate.

# SIBOLARY OF MIN USE VALUE DEFERMINATION WESTERN RETRYZLOPHEND PROJECT NO. UR MINS. 1-2

TRACE EG.	ARPA IN	HUR VALUE PER SCUARE FOOR	TOTAL VALUE
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	84,163 10,930 708,760 315,000 235,050) 143,000 205,683 143,000 61,000 253,016 66,812 6,913	Nesidential 1.00 Loo l.40 Loo l.40 Loo lensity Residential 35 Righ * 55 .16 .35 .20 .25 .100 .25	\$ 84,163 15,302 992,264 441,000 129,278 282,060 50,050 78,650 32,920 50,050 18,300 63,254 66,812 1,753
ebabilitation Tracts			
A. B. C. D. B. F. F. C.	2,800) 4,403) 11,503) 11,900) 12,425) 3,106) 6,213)	456 feet of Frontage # 915 to \$25 per Front Foot or everage Value of \$20 Per Front Foot	9,120
TOTAL	2,285,717	Maximum Minimum	\$ 2,135,648

## LAND VALUES FOR REUSE AS ESTIMATED BY BEETH AND DOLAN AND ROY WENZLICK AND COMPANY - WESTERN REDEVELOPMENT AREA - APPROVED

PLAN

		AREA BEETH AND DOLAN APPRAISAL WENZLICK APPRAISAL			AVERAGE BOTH APPRAISALS PER TOTAL FOR								
0	LAND USE TRA	CT	SQUARE	PER SQUARE FOOT		OR TRACT		PER UARE FOOT	TOTAL FOR TRACT	A PROPERTY OF STATE OF	RE FOOT		TOTAL FOR TRACT
1.	Commercial A		145,750	\$ 1.68	\$ 24	47,860	\$	0.98	\$ 140,835	\$	1,33	\$	193,848
	В	e de la	25, 200	1.68	L	42,336		1,365	34,398		1.52		38,304
2.	Commercial *		445,225	1.68	71	47,980		1.01	449,677		1.34		596,601
3.	Commercial		39,575	1,68		66,486		•99	39,179		1.34		53,031
4.	High Density	-	300,000	.60	18	80,000		.36	108,000		.48	To a second	144,000
5.	High Density		257,400	•60	15	54,444		.48	123,569		• 54		139,000
6.	Low Density	八名明 八年	152,100	.31	L	47,151		.356	54,148		•33		50,193
T	Low Density		64,750	.31		20,073	4	. 288	18,648		.30		19,425
8.	School	**	311,525	. 24	7	74,766	(1)	.259	80,685		.25		77,881
9.	Park		280,800	.16	4	45,928		.158	44,366		.16		45,928
TOTAL STATE													
	TOTALS				\$1,62	27,024		\$	1,093,405			\$ 1	,358,211

<sup>\*</sup> Includes Parking Area which was given no value by Wenzlick if separately appraised.

### APPLICATION OF BESTH AND DOLAN AND WHIZLION REUSE APPRAISALS TO PROPOSED SITE PLAN EXPANDING CONMERCIAL AREA WESTERN REMEVELOPMENT AREA

LAND USE TRACT	ARTA SCUARE FEET	DE TR AND PER SCHARE FOOT	DOLAN APPRAISAL FOR TOTAL TRACT	VEHILICK PER SCUARE FOOT	APPRAISAL FOR TOTAL TRACT	AVERAGE B	NAME AND ADDRESS OF THE OWNER, WHEN PERSON OF THE PERSON O
1. Commercial	742,519	\$ 1.68	\$ 1,247,432	\$ 1.00	\$ 742,519	\$ 1.34	\$ 994,975
Commercial	266,500	1.68	447,720	1.00	266,500	1.34	357,110
3. Commercial or Hi Rise	241,000	.60	144,600	.36	86,760	.48	116,680
4. Residential	146,000	.31	45,260	.36	52,560	.34	49,640
5. Park	230,500	.16	36,880	.16	36,880	.16	36,880
6. Residential	145,800	.31	45,198	.36	52,488	.34	49.572
7. Residential	64,750	.31	20,073	. 29	18,778	.30	19,425
8. School	311,525	.24	74,766	. 26	80,997	.25	77.881
9. Commercial	39.575	1.68	66,378	.99	35,618	1.34	53,030
TOTALS			3 2,128,307		\$1,363,100		\$ 1,755,193

### APPLICATION OF BEETH AND DOLAN AND WENZLICK HEUSE APPRAISALS TO PROPOSED SITE PLAN EXPANDING COMMERCIAL AREA WESTERN REDEVELOPMENT AREA

		AREA BEETH AN		DOLAN APPRAISAL	WENZLICK	APPRAISAL	AVERAGE BOTH APPRAISALS		
	LAND USE TRACT	SQUARE FEET	PER SQUARE FOOT	FOR TOTAL TRACT	PER SQUARE FOOT	FOR TOTAL TRACT	PER SQUARE FOOT	FOR TOTAL TRACT	
1.	Commercial	712,519	\$ 1.68	\$ 1,197,032	\$ 1,00	\$ 712,519	\$ 1.34	\$ 954,775	
2.	Commercial	236,500	1.68	397,320	1.00	236,500	1.34	316,910	
3.	Commercial or Hi Rise	241,000	.60	144,600	.36	86,760	.48	116,	
4.	Residential	146,000	.31	45, 260	.36	52,560	.34	49,640	
5.	Park	230,500	.16	36,380	.16	36,880	.16	36,880	
6.	Residential	145,800	.31	45,198	.36	52,488	.34	49,572	
7.	Residential	64,750	.31	20,073	.29	18,778	.30	19,425	
3.	School	311,525	. 24	74,766	.26	80,997	.25	77,881	
).	Commercial	39,575	1.68	66,378	•99	35,618	1.34	53,030	
	TOTALS			\$ 2,027,507		\$1,303,100		\$ 1,674,793	