

Housing and Redevelopment Authority of the City of Saint Paul, Minnesota: Redevelopment project files

Copyright Notice:

This material may be protected by copyright law (U.S. Code, Title 17). Researchers are liable for any infringement. For more information, visit www.mnhs.org/copyright.

SUPPRARY OF PROPOSED GRANGES IN WESTERN RETENTLORMENT PROJECT PLAN. U. B. MINERSOTA 1 - 2.

A. Charges in Lord Une

The proposed changes in the approved redevelopment plan for UR Minn. 1-2 include the expansion of the domercial land from approximately 15 agrees to 27.1 acres and decrease in the residential area from 17.7 acres to 13.3 acres. The amount of land in park and school site is reduced somewhat. These changes are shown in the attached Table A and the proposed revised land uses are shown in the Proposed Land Use plan -companying this statement.

Each of the changes is discussed in sound detail below.

1. Ingress in Commercial Area

The increase in Commercial Area results from the following changes:

a. Changing Designation of Property Admired on the North Side of Aurora Avenue Rast of Marion Street from Rehabilitation to Commercial.

This change is proposed in order to eliminate a fringe of residential properties between the Commercial property on University Avenue and the Commercial tract South of Aurora Avenue. It will be used for additional parking area or small retail uses.

b. Incress in the Major Commercial Area slong Rice Street.

This change results from the elimination of Cathedral Parkway and by establishing a major traffic carrying street connecting Louis Street on the South with Marion Street on the North.

This proposed change reflects the increased desend and need for commercial land in the area. Under the existing plan, it was not possible to offer a satisfactory truct for a sajer developer for retail purposes. The change also allows the Authority to take advantage of the suggestion of May Wen-lick and Company, its appraiser, to consolidate parking areas with other commercial uses and allow a developer to locate his parking in a more economically effective fashion.

c. Increas in Meighborhood Showning Area on Western Avenue.

This area is now limited to 39,575 square feet. The proposed increased to 66,812 square feet will provide a much more adequate space for a neighborhood shopping area.

d. Ingress by Virtue of Chan a in Romac Street

A small increase in the Consercial Area will result from the realignment and moving south of the North line of Bondo Street which is discussed in some detail below.

2. Changes in Essidential Areas

The total assumt of residential land is changed in the proposal from 17.7 acros to 13.3 acros. This is the not result of decreasing the area by moving the North-South road from Cathedral Place to the Louis-Marion proposed street, and small additions resulting from decreasing the pair area on the North and the realignment of Roads.

In addition to a not reduction of 4.4 residential acros, it is proposed to provide alternative uses on the tracts between Farrington and Louis bordering St. Anthony Avenue. These are tracts 5 and 6 on the accompanying parcelimation mp. Tract 5 may be sold for either high-density residential (up to 55 dwelling units per sare) or commercial use. Tract 6 may be sold for either high-density residential use (up to 55 dwelling units per sors) or low-density residential use (up to 16 dwalling units per agra). These proposed reductions in the amount of residential land are considered to be desirable in view of the increased need for commercial land in the area and the relative loosening up of the housing market in St. Faul since the present redevelopment plan was developed and approved. The alternative uses are suggested for incorporation in the redevelopment plans in order to maintain as much flexibility as rossible. 3. Major Street Chances a. Blimination of Cathedral Parkway The proposed plan eliminates Cathedral Parkeny and substitutes a traffic carryin: Street connecting Louis Street on the South and Marion Street on the North. A right-of-way of 80 feet with a roudway of 48 feet including two traffic lames in each direction is proposed. This change has the dual adventage of allowing a larger dessercial area to be developed and also will provide a North-South Street at a semendat greater distance from Nice Street roking for more effective tra fic disposal. It also fits in better with general traffic plane for the City. b. Realignment of Rondo The preside design of Rondo Street and the Inter-Regional-Righway is now unler discussion with the State Highest Department, the Department of City Planning, the City Deportment of Public Works and the Authority. The current proposal will place the North right-of-way line of Rondo Street 40 feet south of the present right-of-way line of Bondo. Bight-of-Way for a service read (new Rendo Avenue) will be dedicated to the City, the land south of the service road will be sold to the State for the development of the State Righest ruming between Carroll and Rondo. The width of the Right-of-Way of the Service Read to be dedicated is expected not to exceed eighty feet as commared with 116 feet previously approved by the URA. This Change is being considered as a regult of an expected realignment of the State Highway to follow the block between Rondo and Carroll and the speeding up of the time table of the State Higheny Department which will probably obviate the necessity for the development of a divided two-way Rondo Furkamy as an interim measure. Changas in the Pinancing Plan Table "B" compares the present approved Project Expenditures Budget for Project UR Minn. 1-2 with the estimates for the proposed revised clan. The revised estimates show a total budget of \$3,918,000 compared with \$4,202,860 in the latest approved budget. The estimate for the proposed plan includes latest estimates for all items of expenditure. With the expanded cormercial area and increased total disposable area and using the proposed fair values for use, return from land disposition is expected to amount to a

minimum of \$1,950,000. Estimated non-mash grants-in-aid remain at \$1,491,000, although it is expected that actually more non-mash grants may be allowable particularly in view of the expanded State Capitol development program. The following table derives not project sout and compares it with the present approved financing plan.

	Approved Plan	Provoced Plan
Potel Project Expenditures	\$ 4,203,000	\$ 3,918,000
Non-Jash Grants-in-Aid	1,491,000	1,591,000
Gress Project Cost	5,694,000	5,409,000
Receipts from Lond Sales	1,561,000	1,950,000
Not Project Cost	6,133,000	3,459,000

The proposed plan will require an estimated not project cost of \$676,000 less than the presently approved estimates. When the non-Gash-Grants-in-Aid are substracted, the proposed plan will require \$1,968,000 in Federal and Local Cash as compared with \$3,642,000 for the presently approved plan. With \$165,000 local Gash-Grant alread made, the total Federal Grant required would be \$1,823,000 as compared with \$2,309,582 in the present plan.

Table "A" Proposed Major Land Use UR Mann. 1-2 Compared With Present Approved Redevelopment Plan

TYPE OF USE	PRESENT P	<u>Lan</u>	PROPOSED IT	<u>u</u>
1. Commercial	656,000 mg. ft.	15 agree	1,180,000 mq. ft.	27.1 acres
2. Posidential	773,000	17.7 cores	582,000	13.3 scres
3. Park	281,000	6.5 agree	206,000	4.7 agres
4. School	312,000	7.2 acres	259,000	6.0 scres
		16.k		53-1

Table "B". Comparison of Approved Project Sependitures Sudget with Setimate for Proposed Revisions in the Sedevelopment Flan UR Finn, 1-2

		Amproved Budget	Bul of Setimbe Process Revision
1.	Survey and Flanning Stage Administration	\$ 41,898	8 41,000
	a. Admin. Overhead and Services b. Cravel c. Publication	99,138 11,132 1,200	99,600 11,000
3.	Office Furniture and Equipment Legal Services	1,880 21,000	2,000 2,000 21,000
5.	Survey and Planning Adquication Expenses Real Estate Furchases	75,514 3,179,550	80,000 2,901,000
9.	Temporary Operation of Acquired Property - Pelocation Site Glearance	- 178,565 75,267 157,372	- 53,000 76,000 175,000
12.	Site Improvements Project Inspection Disposal Lease and Retention	373,383 20,258 35,108	312,000 20,000 35,000
15.	Interest Other Income Contingencies	213,000 - 13,394 89,219	120,000 - 13,000 89,000
17.	Project Development Total Total Project Expenditures	4,160,962 4,202,860	3,877,000

G. Methods of Developing Interest

H. Methods of Avoiding Speculation

HOUSING AND REDEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY

OF THE CITY OF BAINT PAUL, MINNESOTA

A. PARCELIZATION

The proposed parcelization of Western Redevelopment Project, UR Minnesota 1-2 for the purpose of disposition of land is shown on the accompanying Parcel Map. The following Table indicates the approximate size of each tract and the proposed use:

TRACT:	PROPOSED USE:	SIZE (Sq. Ft.)
.1	Commercial	84,163
2	Institutional (Church)	10,930
((,3)	Commercial	708,760
	Commercial	315,000
5	Commercial or Residential	235,050
6	Residential	143,000
7	Public Park	205,683
8	Residential	143,000
9	Residential or Institutional	61,000
10	Public School	253,016
n	Commercial	66,812
12	Public School or Community Center Playground	6,913

B. GENERAL OUTLINE OF PROCEDURES FOR DISPOSITION UNDER COMPETITIVE CONDITIONS
This procedure will apply to tracts 1, 3 through 6, 8, 9, and 11 as shown on
the Parcel Map.

1. Establishment of Fair Value for Use

- a. The Authority shall establish a fair value for use for each tract to be sold under competitive conditions. These values will be for the guidance of the Authority and its staff (see footnote no. 1, value for use, attached hereto).
- b. The fair values for use shall be submitted for ennourrence to the Housing and Home Finance Agency.
- c. The fair values for use shall be subject to revision by the Authority with the concurrence of the Housing and Home Finance Agency. Any necessary changes in use values shall be made prior to award of any bid for land purchase.

2. General Publicity

The Authority shall widely advertise the availability of the Redevelopment land for a period of four months through the following mechanisms:

- a. A brochure distributed nationally
- b. Special letters to particular types of potential redevelopers
- c. Meetings with interested groups in St. Paul and Minneapolis
- d. Special publicity in newspapers and trade magazines
- e. Individual interviews with potential redevelopers

3. Formal Advertisement for Proposals

a. At the end of the period of General Publicity specified in "2" above, the Authority shall formally advertise the availability of the

REdevelopment project land both in a newspaper of national circulation and in local newspapers. This advertisement shall specify a cut-off date for the submission of proposals of not less than 60 days from the first date of advertising. The advertisement will indicate the nature of the land for sale and generally the terms and conditions of sale. It shall request interested persons to obtain bid documents, sample contracts and instruments from the Authority. All persons having previously expressed interest in the land will receive copies of the published notice by mail.

b. The instructions shall call for open proposals together with bids and bid guarantee. They will indicate the criteria upon which proposals will be judged. (See fostmote no. 2) The Authority will ask for site plans, data as to building types, use and occupancy and other detailed information allowing analysis to be made as to the degree to which the proposal meets the requirements of the Authority and as to the general excellence of the proposal.

4. Analysis of Proposals

All proposals shall be carefully studied by the Authority staff. A tabulation of bids shall be prepared and a written analysis of each proposal prepared pointing out the extent to which it meets the standards and criteria of the Housing Authority for the development of the tract and indicating any deficiency. The tabulation and the analysis shall be reviewed by the Authority and placed on file at the office of the Authority. The Authority may refer any proposal to the Department of City Planning or other City Departments for comment. The Authority shall provide each bidder with a tabulation and analysis of all bids.

5. Final Bids

With ten days due public not see and personal notice by mail to each bidder, the Authority shall hold a public meeting at which any bidder shall have a formal opportunity to amend his proposal or change his bid.

6. Analysis of Final Bids

- a. The Authority shall immediately analyze all bids received and determine the mard for each tract on which an acceptable bid has been received.
- b. Copies of the final tabulation of all bids together with recommended amounts shall be transmitted to the Housing and Home Finance Agency with a request for concurrence in the proposed amount.

7. Public Hearing and Award

- a. The Authority shall publish notice of public hearing on the proposed award or awards as required by Minnesota Statute including per sonal notice by mail to each of the bidders. (See Footnote No. 3)
- At this hearing or as soon thereafter as practicable, the Authority shall make the formal awards to the successful bidders. If concurrence has not been received from the Housing and Home Finance Agency, these awards shall be made contingent upon such concurrence.

8. Execution of Contracts

a. Contracts of Sale shall be prepared by the Authority and executed by both parties.

C. DISPOSITION FOR PUBLIC USE

1. Park Site Tract 7

Tract 7 is to be disposed of to the Housing and Redevelopment Authority for development as a City Park and dedicated to the City of St. Paul for operation.

2. School Site Tracts 10 and 12

Tract 10 is to be sold to the Board of Education for development as a grade school and playground site. Tract 12 will be disposed of for playground use to either the Board of Education or the Ober Boys Club Community Center which owns the adjoining property.

- D. DISPOSITION FOR BON-PROPIT INSTITUTIONAL USE
 - It is proposed that Tract 2 consisting of 10,930 square feet be sold to the Trinity Lutheran Church which adjoins this tract to the east to provide land for a parish house. It is proposed to negotiate this cale at a price based on the fair use value for the tract for its most probable other use which is commercial. This proposal is desired by the church. If this sale is not accomplished the tract will be combined with Tract 3 and sold for commercial use.
- E. DISPOSITION OF LAND HAVING SPECIAL ADAPTABILITY AND SPECIAL VALUE FOR USE BY A SPECIFIC PRIVATE DEVELOPER

 On both sides of Aurora Avenue between Farrington and Galtier Streets

the Authrity is acquiring a total of 7 scattered tracts including 16
acquisition parcels. In every case these tracts adjoin residential
properties. In a number of cases where these properties have inadquate
side yards it is proposed to sell the owner strips of land varying from
10 to 30 feet in frontage at fixed prices to provide more adequate spacing.
The procedure in these cases will be to set a specific front foot value
and work out with the owner the amount of land it is desirable for him

to purchase. The Authority will submit the specific prices and parcel identifications to the Housing and Home Finance Agency for approval. It will then advertise and hold a public hearing on the proposed sale of the parcels in question to these owners.

F. DISPOSITION OF RESIDENTIAL LOTS

The Authority will have remaining a total of approximately four lots in the area between Galtier and Farrington Streets on Aurora Avenue. It is proposed to offer these lots at a fixed price to owners of standard residential properties in the area who wish to move their houses. Any remaining lots will be sold at auction to the high bidder after appropriate advertising. Successful bidders will be required to meet the standards of both the City and the Authority in moving houses onto these lots or in constructing new residential buildings.

G. METHODS OF DEVELOPING INTEREST

Projects UR Minnesota 1-1 and UR Minnesota 1-2 have been in various stages of planning and development for more than four years. During this time a great deal of local publicity and some national publicity has been given the projects. This publicity has attracted the attention of a number of local and outside potential redevelopers who have approached the Authority for information. Others have been made generally aware of the projects and eventual availability of sixeable tracts of land for private development. No special effort has been made to build on this general publicity and interest, in view of the Authority's inability up to the present to promise delivery of any barge parcel of land at a determined date within a reasonable length of time. Developers need to know as precisely as possible when they can start construction.

The Authority is beginning immediately a period of more intensive publicity leading to disposition of the magor tracts in the Western Redevelopment

Area, UR M mnesota 1-2, through competitive bidding. This period of publicity will continue for about six months. After approximately four months, the tracts will be formally advertised inviting proposals to be sent to the Authority within a period of 60 days. The major elements of this intensified campaign to develop interest in the purchase of redevelopment land by potential developers are as follows:

1. Printed brochure

2. Newspaper publicity

3. Magazines

- 4. Special letter to particular types of potential redevelopers
- 5. Meetings with interested local groups

Each of these is discussed below:

1. Brochure

The printed brochure will be a folder of a size to fit in a business envelope. It will emphasize the central location of the project lands within the C ty, their size, proposed uses and excellent asenities. It will point out the salient features of St. Paul and its trade area. The brochure will be distributed throughout the country and therefore its purpose will be to report general information and arouse inquiry for detailed data.

It is planned to send the brochure both to potential redevelopers and to organizations in various aspects of the real estate business. The brochure will be distributed during the Summer of 1955.

2. Newspaper publicity

During their planning and development to date, the St. Paul redevelopment projects have received a considerable amount of local favorable newspaper publicity. The interest of specific potential redevelopers

and their preliminary sketch plans have been the subject of extensive recent newspaper stories. The local newspapers, St. Paul Dispatch and St. Paul Pioneer Press, have shown every interestin the projects and the acceleration of their progress to and through the period of property disposition. An intensive effort will be made to concentrate newspaper attention on the progress of the projects and the availability of land for sale during the period of disposition.

3. Magazines.

It is planned to attempt to obtain short write-ups in trade maganines at the time of publishing the brochure, and when the land is advertised. Previous experience indicates that these publications will give considerable attention to these developments.

4. Special letters.

It is proposed to send a series of special personal letters from the Authority and possibly the Mayor and Chamber of Commerce to selected groups of prospective developers throughout the country, calling their attention to the availability of project land.

5. Meetings with Interested Local Groups.

A series of most age to planned with local groups in the real estate industry and also of other potential developers, bringing the projects, the availability and desirability of project land to their attention.

H. METHODS OF AVOIDING SPECULATION

The Development Standards and the instruments of disposition will provide for the prevention of speculation through control over resals of land before development and provision that the commants and standards shall continue for a period of twenty-five years after sale.

FOOTROTES Footnote No. 1 "Such land shall be made available at its fair use value, as determined by the Authority, which determination shall be based on its proposed use as set forth in the redevelopment plan." (Minnesota Statutes Annotated In lieu of the lease or sale of a project area as an entirety the Authority may lease or sell parts of that area separately to redevelopment esapanies, or other persons, any such sale or lease of a part or parts of a project area shall be fully subject to the provisions of the Section, including property required for public low-rent housing projects." (Ibid Subd. 4.) Postnote No. 2 Such criteria will include the bid price; anticipated benefits, economic, social and financial, including anticipated tax revenue, effects on adjacent and mearby areas; rentals or sale price to be established for the completed units; the introduction of new commercial enterprise in the area, and consequential increase in employment; effect on traffic and public transportation; aesthetic factors; location of various units in relation to the State Capitol approach; qualifications, experience, ability, financial status and interest of the proposed redeveloper, participants and sponsors; anticipated commencement and completion dates for the construction of the proposed development and improvements; the relation and effect of the particular proposed improvements covered by the bid upon the entire proposed redevelopment plan; compliance with all federal, state, and municipal laws and all regulations thereunder, including requirements by the redevelopment authority. . 1 .

Postnote No. 3

Minnesota Statutes Annotated, Section 462.525, Subd. 2

provides in part, "Any such lease or sale may be made without public bidding but only after public hearing, after 10 days public notice, by the Authority upon the proposed lease or sale and the provisions thereof." This Section contains some, but not all, of the requirements to be followed and qualifications to be met by bidders in the offering for sale of redevelopment lands.

July 8, 1955 STATEMENT OF MAIN VALUE FOR USE - WESTERN REDEVELOPMENT PROJECT UR MINE, 1 - 2 The Minnesota Municipal Housing and Redsvelopment Act provides in Section 462.541 Use Value as follows: Subdivision 1. Determination of use value; appraisal. After the Authority has assembled and acquired the real proposity of a project area, it shall, as an sid to it in determining the rentals and other terms upon which it will lease or the price at which it will sell the area or parts thereof, place a use value upon each piece or tract of land within the area which, in accordance with the plan, is to be used for private uses or for low-rent housing, such use value to be based on the planned use; and, for the purpose of this use valuation, it may in its discretion cause a use-value appraisal to be mide by two or more land value experts employed by it for the purpose, or it may use the land appraisal services of the municipality; but nothing contained in this section shall be construed as requiring the outhority to base its rentals or selling prices upon any such appraisal. The Act also provides in Section 462.525 Disposal of Property that "land shall be made available at its fair use value, as determined by the Authority, which determination shall be based on its proposed use as set forth in the redevelopment plan". Disposition procedures under consideration by the Authority entemplate the establishment of fair use values for each parcel of land by the Authority with the concurrence of the Urban Sensyal Administration. These values are for the guidance of the Authority and its staff in the consideration of proposals for the purchase of the land. This statement recommends such fair use values for the proposed tracts in UR Minn. 1-2, the Western Redevelopment Project. A sketch of the proposed use tracts is attached. The Authority has had two rouse a praisels for its guidance in establishing these values. The first appraisal was jointly made by G. O. Beeth, H.A.I. and Marl V. Dolan, M.A.I. of St. Paul as of December 1, 1951 and revised as of August 20, 1952. The second appraisal was made by Roy Wentlick and Co. of St. Louis as of Movember 12, 1953. The recommended values are based on these appraisals and other information which has become available since they were mide. The recommended fair value for use is given for each tract below together with a surmary of the information upon which it was based. Tract 1: Approx. 84,163 square feet Recommended Value S1.00 per square feet Total Resommended Value \$85,163 Tract I was not appraised for commercial use either by Beeth and Dolan or by Wenglick and Associates. However, Wenglick appraisal placed a front foot value of 5125 for commercial use on all project streets except Rice Street for a lot of 125 foot depth. As this tract is a narrow one averaging about 125 feet in depth, the \$125 foot value is probably appropriate. This results in an everage square foot value of \$1.00 which is proposed as the fair value for use on this tract. See the discussion which issediately follows under tracts 2 and 3 for a more detailed presentation of the Wen-lick approach. Fract 2: Approx. 10,930 square feet Recommended Value \$1.40 per square feet Total Recommended Value \$15,302

- Proct 3: Approx. 708,760 square feet
 Recommended Value \$1.40 per square feet
 Total Recommended Value \$992,264
- Tract 4: Approx. 315,000 square feet

 Recommended Value \$1,40 per square feet

 Total Recommended Value \$441,000

These tracts represent a considerable enlargement of part of the commercial tract appraised by Beeth and Bolan and by Wenelick so that the values arrived at by them are not strictly applicable. They, however, can be used as a staring point. Beeth and Bolan appear to have used comparable sales almost exclusively in setting their values. The originally set a flat figure of \$1.00 per square foot for commercial land in the Western Area. This was later increased by 20% to \$1.68 per square foot.

Roy Senslick's appraisers arrived at their valuations in a somewhat different manner. They first established a \$200 front foot value for lots fronting on Bico Street and \$125 per front foot on lots fronting on other project streets. These values were for lots of a standard 125 foot depth. Additional depth added a decreasing incresent to value according to a predetermined formula. Thus with increasing depth, the value for front foot increased but the average value per square foot decreased. The Wenzlick method for the present parcel #3 gives a value of \$1.06 per square foot, and for Parcel "4" a value of \$1.08 per square foot. It is believed that the Wenslick method is inadequate for arriving at a proper value for the tracts of land involved here. It presumes that value is almost entirely determined by street frontage while one of the most esstential facts about the present tracts is their size which brings into the market for them uses which cannot be accommedated in any way on a 125 foot let. The Venglick formula on a street with a value of \$200 per front foot for 125 depth lots assigns 30% of the value to the first 15 feet in depth. Each square feet of land in these first 15 feet are worth about \$4,00 a place. On the other hand, the land between a depth of 200 and 250 feet is worth only about 60¢ per square foot. The marrower the percel the more valuable according to this approach. The amphasis in the Wenzlick report is on ribbon-type commercial development along Rice Street with parking in the rear.

In view of the fast that the value of the land in these tracts is considerably enhanced by the size of the parcels and the fact that larger commercial uses, particularly large retail stores or chopping center development is possible, an assemblage factor should be used to values obtained by the front foot value method. If a 20% factor is applied to the \$1.06 figures, 1.27 per square foot results.

If a 30% factor is added, a \$138 per square foot velue results.

Both appraisals dits many sales of commercial land of more or less recency. None of the sales, however, is for parcels approaching the size of either Parcel "3" or Farcel "4". The largest parcel sited by Wenslick is 27,780 equare feet, while the largest parcel sited by Beeth and Dolan is 70,000 square feet. Values are estimated at from 400 per square feet to more than \$20,00 per square feet. The parcel which Boeth and Dolan find more comparable is one at Rice and Sharburns of 27,780 square feet which rented on a long term lease for a super market purpose on the basis of a value of \$1.40 per square foot. The location is quite comparable to Parcels "3" and "4" although probably less desirable for uses which would be served by the prestige of the Capital Approach location.

Since the appraisals were made, one large piece of property known as the "Gar Barn Site" sold for \$1.30 per square foot. This sale late in 1954 included several acres at the corner of University and Smelling Avenues. A large shopping center is planned for this site.

Statement on Fair Value Use - Vestern Bedevelopment P est UR Mann. 1-2 (Con'd.) It has the advantage of being on University and Smelling Avenues, both major streets; is served by reilrand transace and is in the heart of the Hidam. Industrial area. It is, however, some 3 miles further from the center of the City than are Parcels "3" and "4". Since both appraisals were made, additional steps have been taken to complete the Capital Approach Program. The authorization of an expenditure of \$6,000,000 for the State Highway Department Building directly across from the Commercial Area in UR Minn. 1-2, and the authorization of \$5,000,000 for further State Office Building in the Capital Approach enhances the value of the redevelopment land. The Wenzlick report indicated that higher values could be assigned the Commercial Areas in the Western Project, if the parcelization was changed so as to allow the developer to determine the location of his parking and if the uses allowed were less restricted so as to permit a wider competition. Present plans aim to accept both of these suggestions. In view of all of the above considerations, it is believed that air value for parcels "3" and "b" should be set at \$1.40 per square foot. Track 5: Approx. 235,050 square feet Residential Recommended Commercial Recommended Value 80.55 per square foot Value \$1.20 per square foot Total Hocommonded Value \$129,278 Total Recommended Value \$282,060 It is now planned to offer Parcel "5" for sale for high density residential development, or for commercial development as office building sites. The original Beeth and Bolan appraisal placed the fair use value for high density residential areas in Project UR Minn. 1-2 at 30¢ per square foot. Later this value was raised to 60¢ a square foot. The Venslick appraisal assigned a value of \$65.00 per front foot including an assemblage factor for 125 foot depth. For the 255 foot depth of Tract, this results by formula in a value of 492,30 per front foot or 36,2 per square foot. These appraisals were both made in the absence of Federal legislation giving the favorable terms for insured mortgages since provided by Section 220 of the Housing Act of 1954. In view of this end disc

Commercial
The original Booth and Bolan appraisal would indicate a value of \$1.40 per square foot,
as this figure was placed on all commercial land although at the time of the appraisal, this
parcel was scheduled for residential use. The revised appraisal in a similar way would
indicate a value of \$1.68 per square foot. The method employed in the Venclick appraisal
placing a front foot value of \$1.20 per front foot for a 125 foot depth, results in a figure
of \$0.99 per square foot. It is believed that at least a 20% assemblage factor should be added
to this figure. This results in a value of approximately \$1.20 per square foot which is recommended as the fair value for use. It should be noted that the limitation of this parcel to
office building use and its relative distance from the Capitol Approach justifies a lesser
value than that for the tracts bordering Rice Street.

because of the demandfor opportunities in this area which will be greatly strongthened by the construction of the two state of fice buildings mentioned above a fair value for use of 55¢ per square foot is recommended. At maximum density of 55dwelling units per acre, this will result

in an average land sost per apartment of \$436 which would probably be about 5% of total

development cost per sportment at current construction costs.

Statement on Wair Value for Use - Western Redevelorment Project UR Minn. 1-2 (Gen'd.) Tract 6: Approx. 183,000 square feet High Density Residential Low Density Residential Recommended Value 80.55 Recommended Value \$0.35 Total Recommended Value 978,650 Total Recommended Value \$50,050 This parcel is to be offered for either low or high density residential use. Beeth and Dolan's values were the same as for Tract 5. Wenslick applied a front foot value of \$81.25 for 125 foot depth. For the 185 foot actual depth of Tract "5" by forsula, this gives a value of \$99.15 per front foot or 53.6 cents per square foot. For substitutions of low density uses see Trust "BE below. The facts applying to Tract "5" also apply to this parcel, therefore, a fair use value of \$0.55 per square foot is also considered proper for Treat 6. Tract 8: Approx. 143,000 square feet Recommended Value \$0.35 per square foot Total Recommended Value \$50,050 This truck is to be sold for low density residential use with a maximum of 16 dwelling units per acre. The original Beeth and Delan appraisal placed a value of 26 cents per square foot on this tract. It was subsequently reised to 31%. Wendlick applied a front foot value of \$45.00 for 125 foot depth. By formula, this results in \$51.75 per front foot for the actual depth of 165 feet. The resulting value per square foot is 31.3 cents. Since the appraisals were made, the aveilability of Section 220 MMA insurance has brightened the mortgage picture while the state building program has firmed up the market in the immediate area. A fair value for use of \$0.35 per square foot is therefore recommended. Tract 9: Approx. 61,000 square foot Recommended Value \$0.30 per square foot Total Recommended Value \$18,300 This tract is to be sold for low density residential use with an alternative use of inatitutional. The original Beath and Dolan appraisal placed a value of 26 cents per square foot on this Parcel. It was subsequently revised to 31 cents. Wenelick assigned a front foot value of \$36.00 for the catual 125 foot depth. This amounts to 28.9 cents per square foot. A fair value for use of 30 cents per square foot is recommended for this tract. A lower value than for Trust 8 is considered appropriate in view of the fact that it does not have the neighborhood amenities of Tract 8. Tract 11: Approx. 66.512 square feet Recommended Value \$1.00 per square foot Total Recommended Value \$66,812 This tract is to be disposed of for local shopping center use. The Beeth and Delan appraisal assigned a value first of 01.40 per square foot for this tract. It was later increased to \$1.68 per square foot. The Ventlick appraisal placed a value of 99 cents per square foot on this tract. Since both appreisals were made, it is planned to expand this area of this tract

Statement on Mair Value for Use - Western Redevelopment Project to Minn, 1-2 (Con'd.) from a proximately 39,575 square feet to 75,900 square feet which will allow for a more adequate local shopping center, particularly in view of the Authority's parking requirements which would have considerably cramped the building space on the former site. It is recommended that a fair use value of \$1.00 per equare foot be placed on this tract. Tract 7: Approx. 205,683 square feet Recommended Value \$0.16 per square feet Total Recommended Value \$32,920 This tract is to be sold to the Housing Authority for development as a park and then dedicated to the City. The Federal requirements for this sort of public use establishes the fair use value at the value set for the most appropriate private use, which in this case would be low density residential for which a value of 30 cents per square foot has been remamended. The Beeth and Dolan rovined appraisal placed a figure of 16 cents on this parcel. The Wenzlick appraisal also arrived at a figure of 16 cents per square foot, through a complicated use of the front foot formula. The proposed parcelization has reduced the size of the park by about 50,000 square feet and would alter the Venzlick figure slightly. As the proposed tract 7 does not have street frontage, it has a leaser value for low density residential use than does tract 9. It is recommended that a fair use value of 16 cents be placed on this truck. Tract 10: Approx. 253,000 square feet Incommanded Value \$0.25 per square foot Total Recommended Value \$63,250 This tract is to be sold to the St. Paul School District for a school site. As this is a public use, the value is to be established on the basis of the most probable alternative private use, in this case low-density residential use. Beeth and Dolan originally assigned a value of 20 cents per square foot to this tract and later increased this to 24 cents. Assuming an average depth of 325 feet and a front foot value of 125 foot depth of 854.00, Wenelick arrived at a figure of 25.9 cents per square foot. It is recommended that the fair use value be set at 25 cents per square foot. The facts stated in determining values for tract 10 are the case for tract 12. This area will be used for playground purposes and will be purchased either by the school Board of the City of St. Paul or by the Ober Boys' Club, a settlement house adjoining this treet, but outside the redevelopment area. Parcels to be Sold on Aurora Avenue between Caltier and Farrington Streets. Recommended Value \$15.00 per front foot for parcels to be sold to adjacent owners \$25,00 per front foot for parcels for new building or relocation of existing houses.

The Authority is sequiring a number of properties on both sides of Aurora Avenue between Galtier and Parrington Streets which were badly rundown. It is planned to offer some of the land made synthable for sale for construction of individual houses or for the relocation of good houses from the redevelopment area.

It is planned to offer the reminder of the land for sale to the owners of adjacent property. In these cases, the present house is built close to the lot line and the addition of a few feet will reduce density of land coverage and improve the neighborhood. In such situations, the land would be sold with restriction preventing building on it except for private garages in certain stroughteness.

These parcels were not appraised for reuse by Beeth and Dolan. The Venzlick Company was asked to appraise lots in the same blocks and arrived at a \$29.10 average front foot value. It should be noted that Beeth and Dolan did appraise this land for acquisition and placed a value of from \$16 to \$20 per front foot on it for acquisition purposes. The changes in the area resulting from redevelopment should enhance this value semewhat. It is believed, therefore, that a walve of \$25.00 per front foot for lots on which construction will be allowed is appropriate. However, on these parcels to be sold to the owners of adjacent property on which construction will be limited, a figure of \$15.00 is more appropriate.

SURRARY OF NEW USE VALUE DETREMINATION WESTERN REDEVELOPMENT PROJECT NO. UR. MINE. 1-2

WRACT NO.	APVA III SOUARE FORT	MER VALUE PER SOURES FOOR	NOTAL VALUE
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	84,163 10,930 708,760 315,000 235,050) 143,000 61,000 253,016 66,812 6,913	\$ 1.00 1.40 1.40 1.40 1.40 1.40 Residential .55 Gommercial 1.20 Low Density Residential .35 High 9 9 9 .55 .16 .35 .30 .25 1.00 .25	\$ 84,163 15,302 992,264 441,000 129,278 282,060 50,050 78,650 32,920 50,050 18,300 63,254 66,812 1,753
Reb bilitation Tracts			
A. B. G. D. B. F. F. G.	2,800) 4,403) 11,543) 11,900) 12,425) 3,106) 6,213)	b56 feet of Frontage e \$15.00 to \$25.00 per Fr Fot or average Value of \$20.00 Per Front Foot	ont 9,120
TOTAL	2,285,717	Nordmin \$ 1	2,135,648
$\lambda \sim 10^{-3}$		Ninimum 1	1,954,266