

Bulletin

August 25, 2006

Minnesota Department of Human Services □ P.O. Box 64962, St. Paul, MN 55164-0962

OF INTEREST TO

- County directors
- Social services supervisors
- Tribal directors
- Social services organizations

ACTION/DUE DATE

County directors and social services supervisors to use data to understand performance on CCSA measures.

EXPIRATION DATE

August 25, 2008

Calendar Year 2005 Data on CCSA Measures

TOPIC

Inform county directors and county social services supervisors about the Children and Community Services Act (CCSA) calendar year 2005 performance on CCSA measures.

PURPOSE

CCSA calendar year 2005 data provides a numeric picture of county performance for CCSA measures. This Bulletin also has links to summaries on the statement of needs, discussions, strategies and plans counties are using to improve outcomes for children as reported in the 2006-07 Biennial Service Agreements. These on-going efforts further the cooperative partnership between the department and counties in advancing the overall goal of keeping Minnesota's children safe and improving their well-being.

CONTACT

The department encourages feedback and comments on issues relating to this Bulletin. Please call or send an email to:

Paul H. Ramcharit
(651) 431-3877 or paul.ramcharit@state.mn.us
Minnesota Department of Human Services
P.O. Box 64962
St. Paul, MN 55164-0962
Fax: (651) 431-7483

SIGNED

CHARLES E. JOHNSON
Assistant Commissioner
Children and Family Services Administration

Table of Contents

Section 1: Background

2003 Legislative Changes Created Consolidated Funds.....	3
CCSA Program Areas, Outcomes, Measures and Standards	3
Update on Revisions to National Standards for Child Welfare	5
Calendar Year 2005 Report	5
Calendar Years 2004 and 2005 Data	6
Responses to CCSA Questions in the 2006-07 Biennial Service Agreements	7
Alternative Formats	9

Section II: Summary Data on CCSA Measures

Calendar Year 2005 (reporting period).....	10
--	----

Section III: Detail Tables by Measure

Measure 2: Recurrence of Child Abuse/Neglect	13
Measure 3: Child Maltreatment by a Foster Parent	15
Measure 4: Re-entered Placement within 12 Months	17
Measure 5: Reunified within 12 Months	20
Measure 6: Adopted Within 24 Months.....	23
Measure 7: Transfer of Legal Custody within 12 Months	25
Measure 8: Two or Fewer Placement Settings	27
Measure 9: Health Exam within One Year (preliminary data)	30

Section 1: Background

2003 Legislative Changes Created Consolidated Funds

Legislation enacted in 2003 created the Minnesota Family Investment Program (MFIP) consolidated fund and the Children and Community Services Act (CCSA) consolidated fund.

The department administers the MFIP and CCSA consolidated funds in a combined Biennial Service Agreement with counties. These service agreements include MFIP and CCSA outcomes and incorporate statistics for counties to monitor their performance and set targets to improve outcomes for children and families. MFIP outcomes and measures are defined in legislation and include the three-year MFIP Self-Support Index, MFIP Work Participation Rate and Promoting Equity in MFIP Outcomes. The department issues quarterly Management Indicators Reports that provide statistics to counties and tribes on these outcome measures.

The department, county staff and other key stakeholders developed 10 CCSA measures in four program areas—Children’s Mental Health, Child Safety, Child Permanency and Child Well-being—to assess outcomes for children. Six of these 10 measures mirror federal measures and standards currently used in child welfare services and federal reporting. While these federal standards were designed to measure performance at the state level, they were adopted to be used at the county level. Given the great fluctuation in numbers by measure, especially among smaller counties, performance rates can be less stable. A balanced approach is applicable in these circumstances as counties strive to keep Minnesota’s children safe and support their well-being.

CCSA statutory language requires the issuance of an annual report on counties’ progress in improving the outcomes of children, adolescents and adults. The first report ([Bulletin #05-68-08](#)) was published August 2005 using calendar year 2004 data. This is the second annual report covering calendar year 2005 data.

CCSA Program Areas, Outcomes, Measures and Standards

Children’s Mental Health

Improved mental health

1 Of all children receiving mental health case management services during the reporting period, what percentage showed improvement as measured by the Child Behavior Checklist (CBCL) or the Child and Adolescent Functional Assessment Scale (CAFAS)? *Beginning January 1, 2007, most counties will report CBCL or CAFAS scores via SSIS for children receiving mental health case management services. The counties participating in the Child and Adolescent Service Intensity Instrument (CASII) and the Strengths and Difficulty Questionnaire (SDQ) pilot will report mental health data directly to the Children’s Mental Health Division. More information on children’s mental health tools and processes will be forthcoming.*

Child Safety

Reduced recurrence of child abuse/neglect

2 Of all children who were victims of determined maltreatment during the first six months of the reporting period, what percentage had another determined report within six and within 12 months? *For the six-month recurrence rate, a county meets or exceeds the national standard if 6.1 percent or fewer children had another determined report within six months of the first. For the 12-month recurrence rate, a county meets or exceeds the standard (state average) if 9.2 percent (2005) or fewer children had another determined report within 12 months of the first (8.4 percent or fewer for 2004).*

Reduced incidence of child abuse/neglect in out-of-home placement

3 Of all children in out-of-home placement during the reporting period, what percentage experienced determined maltreatment by a foster parent? *A county meets or exceeds the national standard if 0.57 percent or fewer children experienced determined maltreatment by a foster parent.*

Child Permanency

Reduced return to out-of-home placement

4 Of all children who entered out-of-home placement during the reporting period, what percentage re-entered within 12 months of a prior placement? *A county meets or exceeds the national standard if 8.6 percent or fewer children re-entered placement within 12 months.*

Timeliness of permanency

5 Of all children who exited out-of-home placement to reunify with their parents or caretakers during the reporting period, what percentage were reunified within 12 months from the time of last removal from the home? *A county meets or exceeds the national standard if 76.2 percent or more children were reunified in less than 12 months from the time of the latest removal from the home.*

6 Of all children who exited out-of-home placement to adoption during the reporting period, what percentage were adopted within 24 months from the time of last removal from the home? *A county meets or exceeds the national standard if 32.0 percent or more children exited out-of-home placement to adoption in less than 24 months from the time of the latest removal from the home.*

7 Of all children who exited out-of-home placement to a permanent transfer of legal and physical custody to a relative during the reporting period, what percentage transferred within 12 months from the time of last removal from the home? *A county meets or exceeds the standard (state average) if 69.0 percent or more children exited out-of-home placement to a permanent transfer of legal and physical custody to a relative in less than 12 months from the time of the latest removal from the home.*

Placement stability

8 Of all children who have been in out-of-home placement for less than 12 months from the time of the latest removal from the home during the reporting period, what percentage had two or fewer placement settings? *A county meets or exceeds the national standard if 86.7 percent or more children had no more than two placement settings.*

Child Well-being

Received adequate services to meet physical and mental health needs

9 What percentage of children in out-of-home placement longer than 30 days during the reporting period received a health exam within one year? *Preliminary numbers are published in this report for county review. See the table with preliminary data in Section III: Measure 9: Health Exam within one year on page 30.*

10 What percentage of eligible children in child protective services or out-of-home placement during the reporting period received a mental health screening? *In 2005, county social services agencies started entering child welfare mental health screening data in the Social Services Information System (SSIS). The 2006 calendar year screening data will be available for the 2007 CCSA report.*

Update on Revisions to National Standards for Child Welfare

As noted above, six of the 10 CCSA performance measures are based on national standards established under the federal regulations that set forth requirements of the Child and Family Service Reviews (CFSRs). These standards were computed from 1997 and 1998 National Child Abuse and Neglect Data System (NCANDS) and Adoption and Foster Care Analysis and Reporting System (AFCARS) data and used to assess the state's performance during the first round of CFSRs conducted in all 50 states.

Now that the first round of CFSRs has been completed, the Administration of Children and Families has announced the data composites and national standards that will be used to assess the state's performance for the second round of the CFSRs. The new standards are based on 2004 NCANDS and AFCARS data and include two single safety measures and four permanency data composite measures. These new national standards were publicly introduced in the Federal Register in June 2006, and the Administration of Children and Families is convening orientation sessions with each state in the fall of 2006 to provide training and orientation on the new national standards. In the coming months, DHS will work to adapt child welfare data systems and reporting to reflect the new national standards. The department will work with county agencies on these changes and align CCSA performance goals with the new national standard requirements.

Calendar Year 2005 Report

This 2005 report paints a numeric picture and is used as a tool to understand how well Minnesota's counties are performing on the outcomes of children using the ten CCSA measures. Section II provides a summary of performance across measures by county. Section III provides more specific information by measure. On a few measures, some counties indicated that while

they are able to affect change to increase positive outcomes for children, some activities are outside of their control. This is understandable given the many key partners and stakeholders involved in the child welfare system. In the cooperative partnership to achieve better outcomes for children, there is a need to continually engage the multiple partners, share common goals and keep all partners focused on the overall goal of keeping Minnesota's children safe and supporting their well-being.

As noted above, in the absence of a national standard for some measures, the state average is used as the designated standard in this report. In the following tables, a shaded cell indicates that a county did not meet the national standard or state average for that measure during the reporting period (calendar year 2005). This method is used for all tables with county-level data. This method is not used for racial/ethnic breakdowns.

For measures four, five and eight, racial/ethnic data are provided in addition to county-level data as in the CY 2004 report. This additional detail is provided for these applicable counties to understand how their racial/ethnic groups are performing.

Counties can monitor their performance by using the 'Charting and Analysis' tool in SSIS (Social Services Information System). Keep in mind that the data found in 'Charting and Analysis' may not precisely match-up with data in this bulletin. The 2005 calendar year data in this bulletin was frozen in the spring and methodologies in calculating the final numbers may differ slightly.

Statewide Calendar Years 2004 and 2005 Comparisons

Statewide, Minnesota exceeded five of the six national standards except placement re-entries. Currently, Minnesota's CY 2005 performance of on placement re-entries is at 19.3 percent compared to the national standard 8.6 percent or fewer. However, there has been annual progress from a high of 27.3 percent in 2002 to 19.3 percent in 2005. Comparing 2004 and 2005 data across the measures, the biggest improvement in performance (+ 6.6 percentage points) was in the area of 'adoptions within 24 months'. Comparison across measures is provided in the table below.

CCSA Measures		National Standards	2004	2005
2. Recurrence of child abuse/neglect	6-mth	6.1 or fewer	5.1%	5.3%
	12-mth	-	8.4 %	9.2 %
3. Child maltreatment by a foster parent		0.57 or fewer	0.17 %	0.52 %
4. Re-entered placement within 12 months		8.6 or fewer	22.7 %	19.3 %
5. Reunified within 12 months		76.2 or more	91.9 %	91.4 %
6. Adopted within 24 months		32.0 or more	40.4 %	47.0 %
7. Transfer of custody within 12 months		-	70.8 %	69.0 %
8. Two or fewer placement settings		86.7% or more	91.6 %	89.8 %

For CYs 2004 and 2005 comparison data by county, click on the link below:

[Link 1: CYs 2004 and 2005 comparison](#)

Among the measures (four, five and eight) for which racial/ethnic data is published, there is noteworthy improvement from 2004 to 2005 for some of the racial/ethnic groups. For Measure four—re-entered placement within 12 months—American Indian had the largest percentage point improvement over 2004 (7.7 percent) followed by Asian (5.6 percent). For Measure five—reunified within 12 months—American Indian again had the largest percentage improvement (36.4 percent) followed by ‘two or more races’ (23.3 percent) and Asian (21.6 percent). On Measure 8—two or fewer placement settings—‘two or more races’ had a 20.9 percent improvement. They were followed by Asian (16 percent) and American Indian at 10.3 percent. Details on all racial/ethnic groups are provided in the table below.

Year	Two or More Races	Asian	Black	American Indian	White	Others	All
Measure 4: 12-month re-entry rates (National Standard = 8.6% or fewer)							
2004	22.8%	24.7%	22.8%	27.1%	22.7%	11.9%	22.7%
2005	21.3%	19.1%	19.1%	19.5%	19.4%	14.6%	19.3%
Change	-1.5%	-5.6%	-3.7%	-7.7%	-3.3%	2.8%	-3.4%
Measure 5: 12-month reunification rates (National Standard = 76.2% or more)							
2004	62.3%	73.2%	83.9%	56.4%	88.0%	97.2%	91.9%
2005	85.6%	94.8%	91.8%	92.7%	91.3%	94.4%	91.4%
Change	23.3%	21.6%	7.9%	36.4%	3.3%	-2.8%	-0.5%
Measure 8: Two or fewer placement setting rates (National Standard = 86.7% or more)							
2004	66.1%	75.7%	82.9%	74.6%	92.0%	94.2%	91.6%
2005	87.0%	92.6%	88.0%	84.8%	91.4%	93.3%	89.8%
Change	20.9%	16.9%	5.0%	10.3%	-0.7%	-0.9%	-1.8%

Responses to CCSA Questions in the 2006-07 Biennial Service Agreements

In the 2006-07 MFIP/CCSA Biennial Service Agreements, counties were asked a number of CCSA-related questions that included statements of needs and plans to achieve positive outcomes for children during the 2006-07 biennium. Counties responded with a variety of comments, plans, strategies and processes they were planning to continue and/or new activities they were undertaking to keep children safe and support their well-being. This information could be useful for counties to see what other counties are doing. During this past year, several counties also inquired about what other counties were using as strategies and plans to improve CCSA measures. One of the direct benefits of the Service Agreements Web submission process that was introduced last year is that it is now possible to summarize responses and make this information available.

County responses are organized by the CCSA questions and exclude the county names. In most cases, county names are excluded so discussions can focus more on the issues rather than a comparison of activities across counties. No other modifications were made to county responses and each bullet in the response summaries represents one county's response. If a county finds a particular strategy or idea useful and would like to follow-up with the respective county, let the department know via email or phone call and staff will provide the county contact information.

County personnel, especially social services supervisors, are encouraged to use and discuss these responses as time and opportunities permit. Feedback is welcomed on how useful these tools are.

CCSA Questions and County Responses

- *During CYs 2006-07 biennium, what do you think will be the most important social services issues/needs of children who experience dependency, abuse, neglect, poverty, disability, chronic health conditions or other factors in the following four program areas?*

[Link 2: Click here for county responses](#)

- *Do social services issues/needs of children differ across racial/ethnic groups in your county? If 'yes,' briefly describe.*

[Link 3: Click here for county responses](#)

- *For each of the measures for which your county did not meet the national or state standard in the preceding table, tell us what current strategies you plan to build upon and/or new strategies your county is planning to implement to improve these outcomes by using the format below.*

[Link 4: Click here for county responses](#)

- *If your county has a racial/ethnic group that is five percentage points or more below the county rate on any measure in the baseline report, briefly describe what steps your county will take to improve the outcome for this group by the end of the CYs 2006-07 biennium.*

[Link 5: Click here for county responses](#)

- *In the **CY 2004-05 Biennial Service Agreement** your county submitted to the department, your county described general strategies you had planned to use during 2004-05 to achieve positive outcomes related to CCSA measures. During the past two years, did you find any strategy, initiative or promising practice particularly effective in improving one or more CCSA outcomes that may be useful to share with other counties?*

[Link 6: Click here for county responses](#)

Alternative Formats

This information is available in other forms to persons with disabilities by contacting us at (651) 282-5329 (voice). TTY/TDD users can call the Minnesota Relay at 711 or (800) 627-3529. For the Speech-to-Speech Relay, call (877) 627-3848.

Section II: Summary Data on CCSA Measures

Calendar Year 2005 (reporting period)

In the absence of a national standard for some measures, the state average is used as the applicable standard. A shaded cell indicates that a county did not meet the applicable national standard or state average in a measure for the reporting period.

County	MENTAL HEALTH	CHILD SAFETY		CHILD PERMANENCY					CHILD WELL-BEING		
	1. Improved mental health	2. Recurrence of child abuse/neglect		3. Child maltreatment by foster parent	4. Re-entered placement within 12 months	5. Reunified within 12 months	6. Adopted within 24 months	7. Transfer of custody within 12 months	8. Two or fewer placement settings	9. Received health exam within one year	10. Received mental health screening
		within 6 mths	within 12 mths								
National Standards	→	6.1%	-	0.57%	8.6%	76.2%	32.0%	-	86.7%		
MN CY 2005	→	5.3%	9.2%	0.52%	19.3%	91.4%	47.0%	69.0%	89.8%		
Aitkin	See Footnote 1 below	7.4%	14.8%	0.00%	11.5%	84.2%	25.0%	0.0%	75.0%		
Anoka		8.8%	10.1%	0.62%	18.2%	93.1%	50.0%	72.7%	90.4%		
Becker		5.6%	9.9%	0.00%	14.4%	95.5%	54.5%	81.0%	80.3%		
Beltrami		0.0%	4.4%	0.00%	13.8%	94.9%	0.0%	58.3%	88.3%	See	See
Benton		3.8%	11.5%	0.00%	25.0%	90.0%	60.0%	0.0%	98.0%	Footnote 2	Footnote 3
Big Stone		0.0%	14.3%	0.00%	35.7%	100.0%		100.0%	100.0%	below	below
Blue Earth		2.4%	2.4%	0.00%	29.5%	90.4%	50.0%	100.0%	91.7%		
Brown		10.5%	10.5%	0.00%	25.6%	90.6%	75.0%	100.0%	96.2%		
Carlton		0.0%	7.7%	0.00%	20.3%	90.2%	50.0%		97.6%		
Carver		1.7%	6.7%	2.33%	13.9%	97.3%	75.0%	55.6%	94.2%		
Cass		0.0%	0.0%	0.00%	22.4%	94.1%	0.0%	62.5%	94.1%		
Chippewa		0.0%	0.0%	0.00%	0.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%		
Chisago		7.1%	7.1%	0.00%	17.0%	91.4%	40.0%		86.7%		
Clay		2.5%	2.5%	1.70%	15.0%	87.8%	40.0%	78.6%	91.1%		
Clearwater		0.0%	0.0%	4.17%	11.1%	87.5%		100.0%	100.0%		
Cook		25.0%	25.0%	0.00%	30.0%	100.0%		100.0%	92.3%		
Cottonwood		3.1%	9.4%	0.00%	27.0%	87.5%		100.0%	92.5%		
Crow Wing		0.0%	0.0%	0.00%	17.5%	90.5%	83.3%	57.1%	90.7%		
Dakota		2.5%	5.6%	0.40%	19.7%	96.8%	63.6%	90.0%	94.3%		
Dodge		17.6%	17.6%	0.00%	8.3%	72.7%	75.0%	100.0%	95.2%		
Douglas		12.0%	16.0%	0.00%	23.9%	96.4%		50.0%	97.2%		
Faribault/Martin		1.7%	16.7%	3.23%	17.4%	93.2%	100.0%	100.0%	94.9%		
Fillmore		0.0%	0.0%	0.00%	0.0%	100.0%			90.9%		
Freeborn		0.0%	5.6%	0.00%	22.5%	90.9%	33.3%	100.0%	94.1%		
Goodhue		0.0%	0.0%	3.00%	3.8%	93.3%	66.7%		96.6%		
Grant		0.0%	0.0%	0.00%	0.0%	85.7%			100.0%		
Hennepin		7.5%	12.2%	0.45%	17.2%	89.0%	40.3%	66.2%	83.3%		
Houston		0.0%	0.0%	3.70%	17.2%	72.2%		100.0%	87.5%		

Footnote 1: Beginning January 1, 2007, most counties will report CBCL or CAFAS scores via SSIS for children receiving children's mental health case management services. The counties participating in the Child and Adolescent Service Intensity Instrument (CASII) and the Strengths and Difficulty Questionnaire (SDQ) pilot will report mental health data directly to the Children's Mental Health Division. More information on Children's Mental Health tools and processes will be forthcoming. **Footnote 2:** Preliminary data is provided for county review on Page 30. Next year's report will begin publishing data on this measure. **Footnote 3:** In 2005, county social service agencies started to enter child welfare mental health screening data in the Social Services Information System (SSIS). The 2006 calendar year screening data will be available for the 2007 CCSA Report.

Summary Data on CCSA Measures (cont'd)

County	MENTAL HEALTH 1. Improved mental health	CHILD SAFETY		CHILD PERMANENCY					CHILD WELL-BEING	
		2. Recurrence of child abuse/neglect	3. Child maltreatment by foster parent	4. Re-entered placement within 12 months	5. Reunified within 12 months	6. Adopted within 24 months	7. Transfer of custody within 12 months	8. Two or fewer placement settings	9. Received health exam within one year	10. Received mental health screening
		within 6 mths	within 12 mths							
National Standards	→	6.1%	-	0.57%	8.6%	76.2%	32.0%	-	86.7%	
MN CY 2005	→	5.3%	9.2%	0.52%	19.3%	91.4%	47.0%	69.0%	89.8%	
Hubbard		0.0%	0.0%	0.00%	35.0%	96.6%	0.0%	25.0%	90.4%	
Isanti		0.0%	0.0%	0.00%	14.8%	95.7%	0.0%	83.3%	93.1%	
Itasca		10.7%	10.7%	0.32%	29.1%	92.6%	0.0%	57.1%	90.7%	
Jackson		0.0%	20.0%	0.00%	12.0%	100.0%	75.0%	100.0%	96.3%	
Kanabec		0.0%	0.0%	0.00%	7.4%	76.9%	0.0%	0.0%	89.3%	
Kandiyohi		8.5%	10.2%	0.00%	14.5%	86.0%	44.4%	60.0%	95.3%	
Kittson		0.0%	0.0%	0.00%	40.0%	100.0%			100.0%	
Koochiching		0.0%	0.0%	0.00%	26.2%	96.7%	80.0%		88.2%	
Lac Qui Parle		0.0%	0.0%	0.00%	0.0%	100.0%			91.7%	
Lake		0.0%	50.0%	0.00%	12.5%	100.0%	0.0%		78.6%	
Lake Of The Woods		0.0%	0.0%	0.00%	25.0%	100.0%	0.0%		100.0%	
Le Sueur		0.0%	2.9%	0.00%	8.3%	76.9%	50.0%	100.0%	92.3%	
Lincoln/Lyon/Murray		0.0%	14.3%	0.00%	31.3%	94.6%	100.0%	100.0%	87.5%	
McLeod		0.0%	0.0%	8.22%	15.2%	83.3%	50.0%	100.0%	92.3%	
Mahnomen		0.0%	0.0%	0.00%	15.2%	88.9%	25.0%		94.3%	
Marshall		0.0%	0.0%	0.00%	6.7%	87.5%			100.0%	
Meeker		0.0%	0.0%	0.00%	20.0%	77.8%	100.0%	100.0%	95.0%	
Mille Lacs		0.0%	0.0%	0.00%	25.0%	84.0%	100.0%	100.0%	92.9%	
Morrison		0.0%	4.5%	0.00%	9.4%	97.3%	33.3%	100.0%	91.3%	
Mower		0.0%	0.0%	0.00%	25.9%	100.0%	66.7%	100.0%	91.2%	
Nicollet		5.9%	5.9%	0.00%	15.6%	90.9%	80.0%		100.0%	
Nobles		0.0%	0.0%	0.00%	25.8%	95.0%		100.0%	94.6%	
Norman		0.0%	0.0%	0.00%	18.8%	100.0%		100.0%	100.0%	
Olmsted		4.0%	9.3%	0.00%	12.5%	86.2%	77.3%	0.0%	92.1%	
Otter Tail		4.1%	6.1%	1.71%	12.7%	83.3%	33.3%	63.6%	91.2%	
Pennington		0.0%	0.0%	0.00%	17.9%	100.0%	33.3%	100.0%	100.0%	
Pine		2.9%	2.9%	0.00%	19.7%	96.1%	66.7%	60.0%	86.2%	
Pipestone		0.0%	0.0%	0.00%	23.8%	85.7%	100.0%	0.0%	96.0%	
Polk		0.0%	0.0%	0.00%	27.5%	96.1%	0.0%		88.8%	
Pope		0.0%	0.0%	0.00%	40.0%	60.0%			83.3%	
Ramsey		3.4%	6.1%	0.79%	22.1%	91.5%	30.9%	52.2%	92.5%	
Red Lake		0.0%	0.0%	0.00%	20.0%	100.0%	0.0%		100.0%	
Redwood		5.9%	5.9%	1.56%	5.4%	94.7%		100.0%	100.0%	

Summary Data on CCSA Measures (cont'd)

County	MENTAL HEALTH 1. Improved mental health	CHILD SAFETY		CHILD PERMANENCY					CHILD WELL-BEING	
		2. Recurrence of child abuse/neglect	3. Child maltreatment by foster parent	4. Re-entered placement within 12 months	5. Reunified within 12 months	6. Adopted within 24 months	7. Transfer of custody within 12 months	8. Two or fewer placement settings	9. Received health exam within one year	10. Received mental health screening
		within 6 mths	within 12 mths							
National Standards →		6.1%	-	0.57%	8.6%	76.2%	32.0%	-	86.7%	
MN CY 2005 →		5.3%	9.2%	0.52%	19.3%	91.4%	47.0%	69.0%	89.8%	
Renville		0.0%	0.0%	0.00%	16.0%	91.7%		100.0%	97.2%	
Rice		13.1%	14.3%	0.00%	6.1%	85.7%	100.0%	66.7%	87.3%	
Rock		0.0%	0.0%	0.00%	14.3%	87.5%	100.0%		85.7%	
Roseau		0.0%	0.0%	0.00%	18.2%	90.9%	100.0%		96.8%	
St. Louis		1.8%	7.8%	0.29%	16.3%	92.6%	35.1%	55.6%	87.8%	
Scott		5.0%	6.7%	0.55%	11.5%	95.9%	54.5%	71.4%	95.8%	
Sherburne		0.0%	2.6%	0.00%	13.4%	91.7%	100.0%	100.0%	93.5%	
Sibley		0.0%	0.0%	0.00%	25.0%	81.3%			90.5%	
Stearns		2.9%	10.3%	1.49%	16.6%	92.3%	25.0%	75.0%	86.7%	
Steele		5.3%	10.5%	0.00%	14.8%	100.0%	80.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
Stevens		0.0%	0.0%	0.00%	40.0%	80.0%	100.0%	100.0%	93.3%	
Swift		0.0%	6.7%	0.00%	41.4%	100.0%		100.0%	90.0%	
Todd		0.0%	0.0%	1.52%	14.3%	82.4%	10.0%		92.6%	
Traverse		0.0%	30.0%	0.00%	10.0%	81.8%			92.9%	
Wabasha		0.0%	16.7%	0.00%	32.6%	86.8%	66.7%	100.0%	90.2%	
Wadena		41.7%	41.7%	0.00%	25.6%	100.0%	100.0%	0.0%	97.6%	
Waseca		0.0%	0.0%	0.00%	22.5%	100.0%		100.0%	97.8%	
Washington		2.4%	4.9%	0.35%	31.6%	89.1%	71.4%	83.3%	95.3%	
Watonwan		33.3%	33.3%	0.00%	45.5%	83.3%			92.3%	
Wilkin		0.0%	0.0%	0.00%	57.1%	25.0%	0.0%		85.7%	
Winona		0.0%	6.7%	1.00%	43.8%	98.3%		100.0%	95.3%	
Wright		0.0%	1.8%	0.00%	17.2%	92.2%	0.0%	33.3%	89.7%	
Yellow Medicine		0.0%	0.0%	0.00%	27.3%	87.5%	0.0%	50.0%	88.9%	

Section III: Detail Tables by Measure

Measure 2: Recurrence of Child Abuse/Neglect

For the six-month recurrence rate, a county meets or exceeds the national standard if **6.1 percent or fewer** children had another determined report within six months of the first. For the 12-month recurrence rate, **9.2 percent or fewer** (state average).

County	Children with a determined maltreatment between July 1 and December 31, 2004	Children with another determined maltreatment within six months	Six-month recurrence rate	Children with another determined maltreatment within 12 months	12-month recurrence rate
State	3,971	211	5.3%	365	9.2%
Aitkin	27	2	7.4%	4	14.8%
Anoka	227	20	8.8%	23	10.1%
Becker	71	4	5.6%	7	9.9%
Beltrami	45	0	0.0%	2	4.4%
Benton	26	1	3.8%	3	11.5%
Big Stone	14	0	0.0%	2	14.3%
Blue Earth	41	1	2.4%	1	2.4%
Brown	19	2	10.5%	2	10.5%
Carlton	13	0	0.0%	1	7.7%
Carver	60	1	1.7%	4	6.7%
Cass	8	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Chippewa	4	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Chisago	14	1	7.1%	1	7.1%
Clay	40	1	2.5%	1	2.5%
Clearwater	3	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Cook	4	1	25.0%	1	25.0%
Cottonwood	32	1	3.1%	3	9.4%
Crow Wing	19	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Dakota	198	5	2.5%	11	5.6%
Dodge	17	3	17.6%	3	17.6%
Douglas	50	6	12.0%	8	16.0%
Faribault-Martin	60	1	1.7%	10	16.7%
Fillmore	9	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Freeborn	18	0	0.0%	1	5.6%
Goodhue	5	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Grant	1	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Hennepin	1,391	105	7.5%	170	12.2%
Houston	4	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Hubbard	11	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Isanti	33	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Itasca	28	3	10.7%	3	10.7%
Jackson	5	0	0.0%	1	20.0%
Kanabec	6	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Kandiyohi	59	5	8.5%	6	10.2%
Kittson	0	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Koochiching	8	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Lac qui Parle	1	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Lake	6	0	0.0%	3	50.0%
Lake of the Woods	1	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Le Sueur	34	0	0.0%	1	2.9%
Lincoln-Lyon-Murray	7	0	0.0%	1	14.3%

Recurrence of Child Abuse/Neglect (cont'd)

County	Children with a determined maltreatment between July 1 and December 31, 2004	Children with another determined maltreatment within six months	Six-month recurrence rate	Children with another determined maltreatment within 12 months	12-month recurrence rate
State	3,971	211	5.3%	365	9.2%
McLeod	22	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Mahnomen	9	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Marshall	0	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Meeker	4	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Mille Lacs	14	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Morrison	22	0	0.0%	1	4.5%
Mower	17	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Nicollet	17	1	5.9%	1	5.9%
Nobles	3	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Norman	3	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Olmsted	75	3	4.0%	7	9.3%
Otter Tail	49	2	4.1%	3	6.1%
Pennington	7	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Pine	35	1	2.9%	1	2.9%
Pipestone	14	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Polk	10	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Pope	5	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Ramsey	377	13	3.4%	23	6.1%
Red Lake	9	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Redwood	17	1	5.9%	1	5.9%
Renville	5	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Rice	84	11	13.1%	12	14.3%
Rock	2	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Roseau	3	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
St. Louis	166	3	1.8%	13	7.8%
Scott	60	3	5.0%	4	6.7%
Sherburne	38	0	0.0%	1	2.6%
Sibley	4	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Stearns	68	2	2.9%	7	10.3%
Steele	19	1	5.3%	2	10.5%
Stevens	6	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Swift	15	0	0.0%	1	6.7%
Todd	17	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Traverse	10	0	0.0%	3	30.0%
Wabasha	12	0	0.0%	2	16.7%
Wadena	12	5	41.7%	5	41.7%
Waseca	6	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Washington	41	1	2.4%	2	4.9%
Watonwan	3	1	33.3%	1	33.3%
Wilkin	0	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Winona	15	0	0.0%	1	6.7%
Wright	55	0	0.0%	1	1.8%
Yellow Medicine	2	0	0.0%	0	0.0%

Measure 3: Child Maltreatment by a Foster Parent

A county meets or exceeds the national standard if **0.57 percent or fewer** children experienced determined maltreatment by a foster parent.

County	Children in out-of-home placement during 2005	Subject of determined maltreatment	Determined maltreatment rate
State	14,723	77	0.52%
Aitkin	59	0	0.00%
Anoka	969	6	0.62%
Becker	218	0	0.00%
Beltrami	191	0	0.00%
Benton	88	0	0.00%
Big Stone	21	0	0.00%
Blue Earth	157	0	0.00%
Brown	78	0	0.00%
Carlton	144	0	0.00%
Carver	172	4	2.33%
Cass	188	0	0.00%
Chippewa	22	0	0.00%
Chisago	159	0	0.00%
Clay	176	3	1.70%
Clearwater	24	1	4.17%
Cook	16	0	0.00%
Cottonwood	55	0	0.00%
Crow Wing	249	0	0.00%
Dakota	494	2	0.40%
Dodge	42	0	0.00%
Douglas	89	0	0.00%
Faribault/Martin	124	4	3.23%
Fillmore	27	0	0.00%
Freeborn	147	0	0.00%
Goodhue	100	3	3.00%
Grant	17	0	0.00%
Hennepin	3,546	16	0.45%
Houston	54	2	3.70%
Hubbard	76	0	0.00%
Isanti	139	0	0.00%
Itasca	316	1	0.32%
Jackson	43	0	0.00%
Kanabec	43	0	0.00%
Kandiyohi	149	0	0.00%
Kittson	6	0	0.00%
Koochiching	75	0	0.00%
Lac qui Parle	16	0	0.00%
Lake	52	0	0.00%
Lake of the Woods	6	0	0.00%
Le Sueur	64	0	0.00%
Lincoln-Lyon-Murray	106	0	0.00%

Maltreatment by a Foster Parent (cont'd)

County	Children in out-of-home placement during 2005	Subject of determined maltreatment	Determined maltreatment rate
State	14,723	77	0.52%
McLeod	73	6	8.22%
Mahnomen	46	0	0.00%
Marshall	24	0	0.00%
Meeker	32	0	0.00%
Mille Lacs	69	0	0.00%
Morrison	106	0	0.00%
Mower	98	0	0.00%
Nicollet	60	0	0.00%
Nobles	44	0	0.00%
Norman	25	0	0.00%
Olmsted	194	0	0.00%
Otter Tail	117	2	1.71%
Pennington	63	0	0.00%
Pine	131	0	0.00%
Pipestone	45	0	0.00%
Polk	117	0	0.00%
Pope	14	0	0.00%
Ramsey	1,906	15	0.79%
Red Lake	8	0	0.00%
Redwood	64	1	1.56%
Renville	46	0	0.00%
Rice	89	0	0.00%
Rock	36	0	0.00%
Roseau	36	0	0.00%
St. Louis	699	2	0.29%
Scott	182	1	0.55%
Sherburne	142	0	0.00%
Sibley	28	0	0.00%
Stearns	335	5	1.49%
Steele	59	0	0.00%
Stevens	22	0	0.00%
Swift	36	0	0.00%
Todd	66	1	1.52%
Traverse	17	0	0.00%
Wabasha	75	0	0.00%
Wadena	65	0	0.00%
Waseca	63	0	0.00%
Washington	286	1	0.35%
Watonwan	25	0	0.00%
Wilkin	29	0	0.00%
Winona	100	1	1.00%
Wright	303	0	0.00%
Yellow Medicine	31	0	0.00%

Measure 4: Re-entered Placement within 12 Months

A county meets or exceeds the national standard if **8.6 percent or fewer** children re-entered foster care within 12 months of a prior out-of-home episode. Among racial/ethnic categories, re-entry rates were only calculated when the number of children who re-entered placement was greater than or equal to 10 (re-entry numbers less than 10 in a racial category were omitted).

County	Entered placement in 2005							Had a prior placement within 12 months							Re-entry Rate within 12 months						
	Two or More Races	Asian	Black	Amer. Indian	White	¹ Others	Total	Two or More Races	Asian	Black	Amer. Indian	White	² Others	Total	Two or More Races	Asian	Black	Amer. Indian	White	Others	County Rate
State	527	199	1595	904	4,658	328	8,211	112	38	305	176	904	48	1,583	21.3%	19.1%	19.1%	19.5%	19.4%	14.6%	19.3%
Aitkin				3	23	0	26							3							11.5%
Anoka	43	13	77	26	398	19	576	14		12		71		105	32.6%		15.6%		17.8%		18.2%
Becker				61	62	2	125				12			18				19.7%			14.4%
Beltrami	1		1	89	24	1	116				10			16				11.2%			13.8%
Benton	2	2	3	1	29	3	40							10							25.0%
Big Stone	1			1	12	0	14							5							35.7%
Blue Earth	6		8	4	74	3	95					25		28					33.8%		29.5%
Brown	1		1	1	40	0	43					10		11					25.0%		25.6%
Carlton	2			23	48	6	79					13		16					27.1%		20.3%
Carver	8	2	8	1	85	4	108					13		15					15.3%		13.9%
Cass	2			84	38	1	125				18			28				21.4%			22.4%
Chippewa	1				12	0	13							0							0.0%
Chisago	3			3	88	0	94					13		16					14.8%		17.0%
Clay	8		1	17	54	0	80					10		12					18.5%		15.0%
Clearwater				5	4	0	9							1							11.1%
Cook	2		1	2	5	0	10							3							30.0%
Cottonwood	4		1	2	30	0	37							10							27.0%
Crow Wing	2		6	7	111	0	126					16		22					14.4%		17.5%
Dakota	17	5	52	9	185	31	299			16		39		59			30.8%		21.1%		19.7%
Dodge					12	0	12							1							8.3%
Douglas	4		5	4	54	0	67					11		16					20.4%		23.9%
Faribault/Martin	1		3		80	2	86					14		15					17.5%		17.4%
Fillmore					11	0	11							0							0.0%
Freeborn	4	1	1	1	81	1	89					17		20					21.0%		22.5%
Goodhue			2	4	46	0	52							2							3.8%
Grant			3		7	0	10							0							0.0%
Hennepin	235	49	827	199	444	120	1,874	48		151	31	70	16	322	20.4%		18.3%	15.6%	15.8%	13.3%	17.2%
Houston			1		27	1	29							5							17.2%
Hubbard	1			11	28	0	40					10		14					35.7%		35.0%
Isanti	5				76	0	81					11		12					14.5%		14.8%
Itasca	7		2	49	141	0	199				14	40		58				28.6%	28.4%		29.1%
Jackson		2			23	0	25							3							12.0%
Kanabec	1				26	0	27							2							7.4%

Re-entered Placement within 12 Months (cont'd)

County	Entered placement in 2005							Had a prior placement within 12 months							Re-entry Rate within 12 months						
	Two or More Races	Asian	Black	Amer. Indian	White	¹ Others	Total	Two or More Races	Asian	Black	Amer. Indian	White	² Others	Total	Two or More Races	Asian	Black	Amer. Indian	White	Others	County Rate
State	527	199	1595	904	4,658	328	8,211	112	38	305	176	904	48	1,583	21.3%	19.1%	19.1%	19.5%	19.4%	14.6%	19.3%
Kandiyohi	1		2	2	71	0	76					11		11					15.5%		14.5%
Kittson				3	2	0	5							2							40.0%
Koochiching	1		2	5	34	0	42							11							26.2%
Lac Qui Parle				1	7	3	11							0							0.0%
Lake	5		1	2	15	1	24							3							12.5%
Lake Of The Woods					4	0	4							1							25.0%
Le Sueur					23	1	24							2							8.3%
Lincoln/Lyon/Murray	1	1	3		59	0	64					19		20					32.2%		31.3%
McLeod	3		2	1	27	0	33							5							15.2%
Mahnomen				31		2	33							5							15.2%
Marshall			1	1	13	0	15							1							6.7%
Meeker		1	4		8	2	15							3							20.0%
Mille Lacs		1		11	24	0	36							9							25.0%
Morrison			3		60	1	64							6							9.4%
Mower	6		1	6	45	0	58					11		15					24.4%		25.9%
Nicollet	2		1	1	28	0	32							5							15.6%
Nobles	1	3	1	1	24	1	31							8							25.8%
Norman	1			3	12	0	16							3							18.8%
Olmsted	7	7	16		66	0	96							12							12.5%
Otter Tail	1		5	2	45	2	55							7							12.7%
Pennington					28	0	28							5							17.9%
Pine	2		2	5	59	8	76							15							19.7%
Pipestone	2			2	16	1	21							5							23.8%
Polk	2		3	14	50	0	69					14		19					28.0%		27.5%
Pope			1		4	0	5							2							40.0%
Ramsey	56	98	409	61	354	40	1,018	13	22	94	14	73		225	23.2%	22.4%	23.0%	23.0%	20.6%		22.1%
Red Lake					5	0	5							1							20.0%
Redwood	4	2	1	16	14	0	37							2							5.4%
Renville	1		1	3	20	0	25							4							16.0%
Rice	3		3		39	4	49							3							6.1%
Rock			2		18	1	21							3							14.3%
Roseau	2			5	13	2	22							4							18.2%
St. Louis	12		46	84	216	22	380				17	40		62				20.2%	18.5%		16.3%
Scott	15	3	11	6	68	1	104					12		12					17.6%		11.5%
Sherburne	4		8	1	67	2	82							11							13.4%
Sibley					20	0	20							5							25.0%

Re-entered Placement within 12 Months (cont'd)

County	Entered placement in 2005							Had a prior placement within 12 months							Re-entry Rate within 12 months						
	Two or More Races	Asian	Black	Amer. Indian	White	¹ Others	Total	Two or More Races	Asian	Black	Amer. Indian	White	² Others	Total	Two or More Races	Asian	Black	Amer. Indian	White	Others	County Rate
State	527	199	1595	904	4,658	328	8,211	112	38	305	176	904	48	1,583	21.3%	19.1%	19.1%	19.5%	19.4%	14.6%	19.3%
Stearns	11	4	28	7	149	0	199					30		33					20.1%		16.6%
Steele	1	1	1		24	0	27							4							14.8%
Stevens					15	0	15							6							40.0%
Swift	4				25	0	29							12							41.4%
Todd					21	0	21							3							14.3%
Traverse				6	4	0	10							1							10.0%
Wabasha	1		2	1	37	2	43					14		14					37.8%		32.6%
Wadena				2	32	3	39							10							25.6%
Waseca			2		38	0	40							9							22.5%
Washington	8	3	17	7	111	28	174					37		55					33.3%		31.6%
Watsonwan	2				9	0	11							5							45.5%
Wilkin				1	12	1	14							8							57.1%
Winona	2	1	3	1	64	2	73					29		32					45.3%		43.8%
Wright	5		8	2	173	4	192					27		33					15.6%		17.2%
Yellow Medicine				3	8	0	11							3							27.3%

Footnote:

¹ Others were made up of Unknowns (253), Missing (70) and Pacific Islanders (5)

² Others were made up of Unknowns (38), Missing (8) and Pacific Islanders (2)

Measure 5: Reunified within 12 Months

A county meets or exceeds the national standard if **76.2 percent or more** children were reunified in less than 12 months from the time of the latest removal from their home. Among racial/ethnic categories, reunification rates were only calculated when the number of children reunified within 12 months was greater than or equal to 10 (12- month reunification numbers less than 10 in a racial category were omitted).

County	Reunified in 2005							Reunified within 12 months							12-month reunification rate						
	Two or More Races	Asian	Black	Amer. Indian	White	¹ Others	Total	Two or More Races	Asian	Black	Amer. Indian	White	² Others	Total	Two or More Races	Asian	Black	Amer. Indian	White	Others	County Rate
State	255	147	972	409	2,678	187	4,648	298	155	1,059	441	2,933	198	5,084	85.6%	94.8%	91.8%	92.7%	91.3%	94.4%	91.4%
Aitkin	1				15	-	16					18		19					83.3%		84.2%
Anoka	24	8	62	7	276	15	392	28		63		297	18	421	85.7%		98.4%		92.9%	83.3%	93.1%
Becker				27	14	1	42				28	15		44				96.4%	93.3%		95.5%
Beltrami	1			25	8	3	37				26			39				96.2%			94.9%
Benton	1	3	2		18	3	27					21		30					85.7%		90.0%
Big Stone				1	8	-	9							9							100.0%
Blue Earth	3		6	1	37	-	47					41		52					90.2%		90.4%
Brown			1	1	27	-	29					29		32					93.1%		90.6%
Carlton	-		-	10	24	3	37				10	25		41				100.0%	96.0%		90.2%
Carver	4	1	6	1	55	5	72					56		74					98.2%		97.3%
Cass	1			39	23	1	64				39	27		68				100.0%	85.2%		94.1%
Chippewa					2	-	2							2							100.0%
Chisago	3				61	-	64					67		70					91.0%		91.4%
Clay	1		1	5	29	-	36					34		41					85.3%		87.8%
Clearwater				5	2	-	7							8							87.5%
Cook	1		1	2	5	-	9							9							100.0%
Cottonwood					14	-	14					16		16					87.5%		87.5%
Crow Wing			1	7	48	1	57				10	51		63				70.0%	94.1%		90.5%
Dakota	14	3	34	6	101	22	180	15		34		105	22	186	93.3%		100.0%		96.2%	100.0%	96.8%
Dodge			1		7	-	8					10		11					70.0%		72.7%
Douglas			-		27	-	27					27		28					100.0%		96.4%
Faribault/Martin	1				52	2	55					56		59					92.9%		93.2%
Fillmore					5	-	5							5							100.0%
Freeborn	2	1	-		46	1	50					50		55					92.0%		90.9%
Goodhue				2	26	-	28					28		30					92.9%		93.3%
Grant					6	-	6							7							85.7%
Hennepin	111	37	507	93	204	57	1,009	135	38	560	102	239	60	1,134	82.2%	97.4%	90.5%	91.2%	85.4%	95.0%	89.0%
Houston			1		12	-	13					17		18					70.6%		72.2%
Hubbard	1			7	20	-	28					21		29					95.2%		96.6%
Isanti	2				43	-	45					45		47					95.6%		95.7%
Itasca	4		1	28	79	-	112				29	87		121				96.6%	90.8%		92.6%
Jackson					10	-	10					10		10					100.0%		100.0%
Kanabec					10	-	10					13		13					76.9%		76.9%

Reunified within 12 Months (cont'd)

County	Reunified in 2005							Reunified within 12 months							12-month reunification rate						
	Two or More Races	Asian	Black	Amer. Indian	White	¹ Others	Total	Two or More Races	Asian	Black	Amer. Indian	White	² Others	Total	Two or More Races	Asian	Black	Amer. Indian	White	Others	County Rate
State	255	147	972	409	2,678	187	4,648	298	155	1,059	441	2,933	198	5,084	85.6%	94.8%	91.8%	92.7%	91.3%	94.4%	91.4%
Kandiyohi	-		1		42	-	43					47		50					89.4%		86.0%
Kittson				2	1	-	3							3							100.0%
Koochiching	1			2	26	-	29					27		30					96.3%		96.7%
Lac Qui Parle				1	6	2	9							9							100.0%
Lake	2		1		13	-	16					13		16					100.0%		100.0%
Lake Of The Woods					4	-	4							4							100.0%
Le Sueur	-	-			10	-	10					11		13					90.9%		76.9%
Lincoln/Lyon/Murray			3		32	-	35					34		37					94.1%		94.6%
McLeod			2		13	-	15					16		18					81.3%		83.3%
Mahnomen				15		1	16				17			18				88.2%			88.9%
Marshall			1		6	-	7							8							87.5%
Meeker			3		4	-	7							9							77.8%
Mille Lacs		1		8	12	-	21				12	12		25				66.7%	100.0%		84.0%
Morrison			2		33	1	36					34		37					97.1%		97.3%
Mower	2				33	-	35					33		35					100.0%		100.0%
Nicollet			2	1	17	-	20					19		22					89.5%		90.9%
Nobles		3	1		14	1	19					15		20					93.3%		95.0%
Norman				2	10	-	12					10		12					100.0%		100.0%
Olmsted	3	2	5		40	-	50					45		58					88.9%		86.2%
Otter Tail	-		2	-	32	1	35					37		42					86.5%		83.3%
Pennington					15	-	15					15		15					100.0%		100.0%
Pine	1		2	4	40	2	49					41		51					97.6%		96.1%
Pipestone	1			2	12	3	18					13		21					92.3%		85.7%
Polk	1		1	8	39	-	49					40		51					97.5%		96.1%
Pope			1		2	-	3							5							60.0%
Ramsey	30	75	243	27	194	25	594	32	79	266	28	217	27	649	93.8%	94.9%	91.4%	96.4%	89.4%	92.6%	91.5%
Red Lake					5	-	5							5							100.0%
Redwood			1	7	10	-	18					10		19					100.0%		94.7%
Renville	2		1	4	15	-	22					17		24					88.2%		91.7%
Rice					12	-	12					14		14					85.7%		85.7%
Rock					13	1	14					15		16					86.7%		87.5%
Roseau	2	1		4	13	-	20					14		22					92.9%		90.9%
St. Louis	9	1	25	36	103	13	187			26	38	114	14	202			96.2%	94.7%	90.4%	92.9%	92.6%
Scott	9	2	2	2	56	-	71					59		74					94.9%		95.9%
Sherburne	2		3		38	1	44					41		48					92.7%		91.7%
Sibley					13	-	13					16		16					81.3%		81.3%

Reunified within 12 Months (cont'd)

County	Reunified in 2005							Reunified within 12 months							12-month reunification rate						
	Two or More Races	Asian	Black	Amer. Indian	White	¹ Others	Total	Two or More Races	Asian	Black	Amer. Indian	White	² Others	Total	Two or More Races	Asian	Black	Amer. Indian	White	Others	County Rate
State	255	147	972	409	2,678	187	4,648	298	155	1,059	441	2,933	198	5,084	85.6%	94.8%	91.8%	92.7%	91.3%	94.4%	91.4%
Stearns	3	4	21	1	90	1	120			21		99		130					90.9%		92.3%
Steele		1	2		16	-	19					16		19					100.0%		100.0%
Stevens					4	-	4							5							80.0%
Swift	3				11	-	14					11		14					100.0%		100.0%
Todd					14	-	14					17		17					82.4%		82.4%
Traverse				5	4	-	9							11							81.8%
Wabasha	1		2	-	29	1	33					31		38					93.5%		86.8%
Wadena				2	16	-	18					16		18					100.0%		100.0%
Waseca			1		18	-	19					18		19					100.0%		100.0%
Washington	3	3	14	4	64	18	106			15		75	18	119			93.3%		85.3%	100.0%	89.1%
Watsonwan					5	-	5							6							83.3%
Wilkin			-		1	-	1							4							25.0%
Winona	3	1	3	1	48	1	57					49		58					98.0%		98.3%
Wright	2		3	1	112	1	119					122		129					91.8%		92.2%
Yellow Medicine				3	4	-	7							8							87.5%

Footnote:

¹ Others were made up of Unknowns (156), Missing (26) and Pacific Islanders (5)

² Others were made up of Unknowns (165), Missing (28) and Pacific Islanders (5)

Measure 6: Adopted Within 24 Months

A county meets or exceeds the national standard if **32.0 percent or more** children exited out-of-home placement to adoption in less than 24 months from the time of the latest removal from their home.

County	Children adopted in 2005	Children adopted within 24 months	Rate of adoption within 24 months
State	751	353	47.0%
Aitkin	4	1	25.0%
Anoka	66	33	50.0%
Becker	11	6	54.5%
Beltrami	1	0	0.0%
Benton	5	3	60.0%
Big Stone	0	0	
Blue Earth	8	4	50.0%
Brown	8	6	75.0%
Carlton	2	1	50.0%
Carver	8	6	75.0%
Cass	1	0	0.0%
Chippewa	1	1	100.0%
Chisago	5	2	40.0%
Clay	10	4	40.0%
Clearwater	0	0	
Cook	0	0	
Cottonwood	0	0	
Crow Wing	30	25	83.3%
Dakota	22	14	63.6%
Dodge	8	6	75.0%
Douglas	0	0	
Faribault/Martin	4	4	100.0%
Fillmore	0	0	
Freeborn	6	2	33.3%
Goodhue	9	6	66.7%
Grant	0	0	
Hennepin	186	75	40.3%
Houston	0	0	
Hubbard	2	0	0.0%
Isanti	13	0	0.0%
Itasca	8	0	0.0%
Jackson	4	3	75.0%
Kanabec	1	0	0.0%
Kandiyohi	9	4	44.4%
Kittson	0	0	
Koochiching	5	4	80.0%
Lac Qui Parle	0	0	
Lake	3	0	0.0%
Lake Of The Woods	1	0	0.0%
Le Sueur	4	2	50.0%
Lincoln/Lyon/Murray	5	5	100.0%
McLeod	6	3	50.0%
Mahnomen	4	1	25.0%

Adopted Within 24 Months (cont'd)

County	Children adopted in 2005	Children adopted within 24 months	Rate of adoption within 24 months
State	751	353	47.0%
Marshall	0	0	
Meeker	1	1	100.0%
Mille Lacs	1	1	100.0%
Morrison	3	1	33.3%
Mower	3	2	66.7%
Nicollet	5	4	80.0%
Nobles	0	0	
Norman	0	0	
Olmsted	22	17	77.3%
Otter Tail	9	3	33.3%
Pennington	3	1	33.3%
Pine	3	2	66.7%
Pipestone	2	2	100.0%
Polk	6	0	0.0%
Pope	0	0	
Ramsey	110	34	30.9%
Red Lake	1	0	0.0%
Redwood	0	0	
Renville	0	0	
Rice	4	4	100.0%
Rock	3	3	100.0%
Roseau	1	1	100.0%
St. Louis	37	13	35.1%
Scott	11	6	54.5%
Sherburne	7	7	100.0%
Sibley	0	0	
Stearns	8	2	25.0%
Steele	5	4	80.0%
Stevens	3	3	100.0%
Swift	0	0	
Todd	10	1	10.0%
Traverse	0	0	
Wabasha	3	2	66.7%
Wadena	8	8	100.0%
Waseca	0	0	
Washington	14	10	71.4%
Watonwan	0	0	
Wilkin	1	0	0.0%
Winona	0	0	
Wright	4	0	0.0%
Yellow Medicine	3	0	0.0%

Measure 7: Transfer of Legal Custody within 12 Months

A county meets or exceeds the standard (state average) if **69.0 percent or more** children exited out-of-home placement to a permanent transfer of legal and physical custody to a relative in less than 12 months from the time of the latest removal from the home.

County	Children with a transfer of legal custody to a relative during 2005	Children with a transfer of legal custody to a relative within 12 months	Rate of transfer of custody within 12 months
State	519	358	69.0%
Aitkin	2	0	0.0%
Anoka	22	16	72.7%
Becker	21	17	81.0%
Beltrami	24	14	58.3%
Benton	3	0	0.0%
Big Stone	2	2	100.0%
Blue Earth	4	4	100.0%
Brown	1	1	100.0%
Carlton			
Carver	9	5	55.6%
Cass	16	10	62.5%
Chippewa	3	3	100.0%
Chisago			
Clay	14	11	78.6%
Clearwater	1	1	100.0%
Cook	3	3	100.0%
Cottonwood	2	2	100.0%
Crow Wing	7	4	57.1%
Dakota	20	18	90.0%
Dodge	3	3	100.0%
Douglas	4	2	50.0%
Faribault/Martin	3	3	100.0%
Fillmore			
Freeborn	3	3	100.0%
Goodhue			
Grant			
Hennepin	139	92	66.2%
Houston	3	3	100.0%
Hubbard	4	1	25.0%
Isanti	12	10	83.3%
Itasca	21	12	57.1%
Jackson	1	1	100.0%
Kanabec	1	0	0.0%
Kandiyohi	5	3	60.0%
Kittson			
Koochiching			
Lac Qui Parle			
Lake			
Lake of the Woods			
Le Sueur	2	2	100.0%
Lincoln/Lyon/Murray	3	3	100.0%
McLeod	2	2	100.0%
Mahnomen			

Transfer of Legal Custody within 12 months (cont'd)

County	Children with a transfer of legal custody to a relative during 2005	Children with a transfer of legal custody to a relative within 12 months	Rate of transfer of custody within 12 months
State	519	358	69.0%
Marshall			
Meeker	4	4	100.0%
Mille Lacs	2	2	100.0%
Morrison	2	2	100.0%
Mower	3	3	100.0%
Nicollet			
Nobles	2	2	100.0%
Norman	1	1	100.0%
Olmsted	1	0	0.0%
Otter Tail	11	7	63.6%
Pennington	1	1	100.0%
Pine	15	9	60.0%
Pipestone	2	0	0.0%
Polk			
Pope			
Ramsey	23	12	52.2%
Red Lake			
Redwood	2	2	100.0%
Renville	7	7	100.0%
Rice	3	2	66.7%
Rock			
Roseau			
St. Louis	27	15	55.6%
Scott	7	5	71.4%
Sherburne	6	6	100.0%
Sibley			
Stearns	8	6	75.0%
Steele	3	3	100.0%
Stevens	1	1	100.0%
Swift	1	1	100.0%
Todd			
Traverse			
Wabasha	2	2	100.0%
Wadena	3	0	0.0%
Waseca	3	3	100.0%
Washington	6	5	83.3%
Watsonwan			
Wilkin			
Winona	1	1	100.0%
Wright	6	2	33.3%
Yellow Medicine	6	3	50.0%

Measure 8: Two or Fewer Placement Settings

A county meets or exceeds the national standard if **86.7 percent or more** children had no more than two placement settings. Among racial/ethnic categories, two or fewer placement setting rates were only calculated when the number of children who had two or fewer placement settings was greater than or equal to 10 (racial/ethnic numbers less than 10 for two or fewer placement settings were omitted).

County	Children in placement less than 12 months during 2005							Had two or fewer placement settings							Rate for two or fewer placement settings						
	Two or More Races	Asian	Black	Amer. Indian	White	¹ Others	Total	Two or More Races	Asian	Black	Amer. Indian	White	² Others	Total	Two or More Races	Asian	Black	Amer. Indian	White	Others	County Rate
State	591	230	1,803	1,049	5,416	375	9,464	514	213	1,586	890	4,948	350	8,501	87.0%	92.6%	88.0%	84.8%	91.4%	93.3%	89.8%
Aitkin	1			3	24	-	28					19		21					79.2%		75.0%
Anoka	46	14	86	26	462	22	656	43	13	81	16	418	22	593	93.5%	92.9%	94.2%	61.5%	90.5%	100.0%	90.4%
Becker				73	71	3	147				57	59		118				78.1%	83.1%		80.3%
Beltrami	2		1	110	28	4	145				93	28		128				84.5%	100.0%		88.3%
Benton	2	3	3	1	39	3	51					38		50					97.4%		98.0%
Big Stone	1			1	16	-	18					16		18					100.0%		100.0%
Blue Earth	8		13	4	80	3	108			12		75		99			92.3%		93.8%		91.7%
Brown	1		1	2	48	-	52					46		50					95.8%		96.2%
Carlton	2			25	52	6	85				25	50		83				100.0%	96.2%		97.6%
Carver	9	2	9	1	95	5	121					89		114					93.7%		94.2%
Cass	3			88	43	1	135				81	42		127				92.0%	97.7%		94.1%
Chippewa	1				14	-	15					14		15					100.0%		100.0%
Chisago	3			3	99	-	105					87		91					87.9%		86.7%
Clay	8		1	20	72	-	101				17	67		92				85.0%	93.1%		91.1%
Clearwater				9	4	-	13							13							100.0%
Cook	3		1	2	7	-	13							12							92.3%
Cottonwood	4		1	2	33	-	40					30		37					90.9%		92.5%
Crow Wing	2		6	18	135	1	162				15	124		147				83.3%	91.9%		90.7%
Dakota	17	5	59	9	210	36	336	14		58		196	36	317	82.4%		98.3%		93.3%	100.0%	94.3%
Dodge	1		1		19	-	21					18		20					94.7%		95.2%
Douglas	4		5	4	59	-	72					57		70					96.6%		97.2%
Faribault/Martin	1		3		93	2	99					88		94					94.6%		94.9%
Fillmore					11	-	11					10		10					90.9%		90.9%
Freeborn	4	1	1	1	94	1	102					89		96					94.7%		94.1%
Goodhue			2	5	52	-	59					50		57					96.2%		96.6%
Grant			3		9	-	12					9		12					100.0%		100.0%
Hennepin	269	60	951	244	504	132	2,160	217	52	796	189	427	118	1,799	80.7%	86.7%	83.7%	77.5%	84.7%	89.4%	83.3%
Houston			1		30	1	32					26		28					86.7%		87.5%
Hubbard	1			14	37	-	52				13	33		47				92.9%	89.2%		90.4%
Isanti	6				94	1	101					87		94					92.6%		93.1%
Itasca	7		2	60	167	-	236				53	152		214				88.3%	91.0%		90.7%
Jackson		2			25	-	27					24		26					96.0%		96.3%
Kanabec	1				27	-	28					25		25					92.6%		89.3%

Two or Fewer Placement Settings (cont'd)

County	Children in placement less than 12 months during 2005							Had two or fewer placement settings							Rate for two or fewer placement settings						
	Two or More Races	Asian	Black	Amer. Indian	White	¹ Others	Total	Two or More Races	Asian	Black	Amer. Indian	White	² Others	Total	Two or More Races	Asian	Black	Amer. Indian	White	Others	County Rate
State	591	230	1,803	1,049	5,416	375	9,464	514	213	1,586	890	4,948	350	8,501	87.0%	92.6%	88.0%	84.8%	91.4%	93.3%	89.8%
Kandiyohi	1		2	2	81	-	86					78		82					96.3%		95.3%
Kittson				3	2	-	5							5							100.0%
Koochiching	2		2	6	41	-	51					36		45					87.8%		88.2%
Lac Qui Parle				1	8	3	12							11							91.7%
Lake	5		1	2	19	1	28					16		22					84.2%		78.6%
Lake Of The Woods					5	-	5							5							100.0%
Le Sueur					25	1	26					23		24					92.0%		92.3%
Lincoln/Lyon/Murray	1	1	3		67	-	72					58		63					86.6%		87.5%
McLeod	3		2	1	33	-	39					30		36					90.9%		92.3%
Mahnomen				32		3	35				30			33					93.8%		94.3%
Marshall			1	1	14	-	16					14		16					100.0%		100.0%
Meeker		1	4		13	2	20					12		19					92.3%		95.0%
Mille Lacs		1		14	27	-	42				12	26		39					85.7%		92.9%
Morrison			3		75	2	80					68		73					90.7%		91.3%
Mower	6		1	6	55	-	68					49		62					89.1%		91.2%
Nicollet	2		2	1	36	-	41					36		41					100.0%		100.0%
Nobles	1	4	2	1	28	1	37					28		35					100.0%		94.6%
Norman	1			3	15	-	19					15		19					100.0%		100.0%
Olmsted	9	8	17		80	-	114			17		72		105			100.0%		90.0%		92.1%
Otter Tail	1		5	2	58	2	68					54		62					93.1%		91.2%
Pennington					34	-	34					34		34					100.0%		100.0%
Pine	2		3	8	67	7	87					57		75					85.1%		86.2%
Pipestone	2			2	18	3	25					18		24					100.0%		96.0%
Polk	2		4	19	55	-	80				17	48		71					89.5%		88.8%
Pope			1		5	-	6							5							83.3%
Ramsey	60	111	449	58	400	49	1,127	57	107	414	50	371	44	1,043	95.0%	96.4%	92.2%	86.2%	92.8%	89.8%	92.5%
Red Lake				2	5	-	7							7							100.0%
Redwood	4	2	1	18	18	-	43				18	18		43				100.0%	100.0%		100.0%
Renville	2		1	4	27	2	36					26		35					96.3%		97.2%
Rice	3		3		44	5	55					38		48					86.4%		87.3%
Rock			2		18	1	21					17		18					94.4%		85.7%
Roseau	3	1	1	5	18	3	31					17		30					94.4%		96.8%
St. Louis	15	1	50	89	247	25	427	14		42	76	218	24	375	93.3%		84.0%	85.4%	88.3%	96.0%	87.8%
Scott	15	3	11	8	82	1	120	14		10		79		115	93.3%		90.9%		96.3%		95.8%
Sherburne	4		10	1	90	2	107					84		100					93.3%		93.5%
Sibley					21	-	21					19		19					90.5%		90.5%

Two or Fewer Placement Settings (cont'd)

County	Children in placement less than 12 months during 2005							Had two or fewer placement settings							Rate for two or fewer placement settings						
	Two or More Races	Asian	Black	Amer. Indian	White	¹ Others	Total	Two or More Races	Asian	Black	Amer. Indian	White	² Others	Total	Two or More Races	Asian	Black	Amer. Indian	White	Others	County Rate
State	591	230	1,803	1,049	5,416	375	9,464	514	213	1,586	890	4,948	350	8,501	87.0%	92.6%	88.0%	84.8%	91.4%	93.3%	89.8%
Stearns	13	4	30	5	172	1	225	13		28		147		195	100.0%		93.3%		85.5%		86.7%
Steele	1	1	2		30	-	34					30		34					100.0%		100.0%
Stevens					15	-	15					14		14					93.3%		93.3%
Swift	4				26	-	30					23		27					88.5%		90.0%
Todd					27	-	27					25		25					92.6%		92.6%
Traverse				9	5	-	14							13							92.9%
Wabasha	1		2	1	45	2	51					42		46					93.3%		90.2%
Wadena			2	2	35	3	42					34		41					97.1%		97.6%
Waseca			1		45	-	46					44		45					97.8%		97.8%
Washington	8	4	21	8	123	28	192			21		116	27	183			100.0%		94.3%	96.4%	95.3%
Watonwan	2				11	-	13					10		12					90.9%		92.3%
Wilkin				1	12	1	14					10		12					83.3%		85.7%
Winona	6	1	3	1	72	2	85					69		81					95.8%		95.3%
Wright	5		11	2	202	4	224			10		181		201			90.9%		89.6%		89.7%
Yellow Medicine				6	12	-	18					11		16					91.7%		88.9%

Footnote:

¹ Others were made up of Unknowns (295), Missing (74) and Pacific Islanders (6)

² Others were made up of Unknowns (274), Missing (71) and Pacific Islanders (5)

Measure 9: Health Exam within One Year (preliminary data)

This is the first year data on this measure is being computed. This data is not included in the summary earlier in the report to give counties a chance to examine their individual data and understand how the health exam rate is calculated. The CY 2006 report to be published next year will formally include data on this measure. See the notes at the end of the table.

County	Kids in Placement in 2005	Kids in Placement ≥ 30 Days	Had a Physical Exam within One year	Health Exam Rate
State	14,723	11,741	6,024	51.3%
Aitkin	59	42	28	66.7%
Anoka	969	675	233	34.5%
Becker	218	194	122	62.9%
Beltrami	191	168	128	76.2%
Benton	88	74	47	63.5%
Big Stone	21	20	15	75.0%
Blue Earth	157	121	39	32.2%
Brown	78	62	30	48.4%
Carlton	144	134	83	61.9%
Carver	172	119	69	58.0%
Cass	188	152	90	59.2%
Chippewa	22	18	16	88.9%
Chisago	159	112	63	56.3%
Clay	176	165	54	32.7%
Clearwater	24	18	3	16.7%
Cook	16	11	7	63.6%
Cottonwood	55	40	28	70.0%
Crow Wing	249	213	66	31.0%
Dakota	494	330	71	21.5%
Dodge	42	37	15	40.5%
Douglas	89	79	40	50.6%
Faribault/Martin	124	97	81	83.5%
Fillmore	27	26	9	34.6%
Freeborn	147	125	76	60.8%
Goodhue	100	90	28	31.1%
Grant	17	13	5	38.5%
Hennepin	3,546	2,894	1,880	65.0%
Houston	54	50	20	40.0%
Hubbard	76	66	36	54.5%
Isanti	139	109	55	50.5%
Itasca	316	235	60	25.5%
Jackson	43	38	18	47.4%
Kanabec	43	34	17	50.0%
Kandiyohi	149	115	38	33.0%
Kittson	6	2	2	100.0%
Koochiching	75	67	19	28.4%
Lac Qui Parle	16	8	1	12.5%
Lake	52	45	16	35.6%
Lake Of The Woods	6	6	5	83.3%
Le Sueur	64	53	25	47.2%
Lincoln/Lyon/Murray	106	90	44	48.9%
McLeod	73	58	40	69.0%
Mahnomen	46	32	16	50.0%

Health Exam within One Year (cont'd)

County	Kids in Placement in 2005	Kids in Placement ≥ 30 Days	Had a Physical Exam within One year	Health Exam Rate
State	14,723	11,741	6,024	51.3%
Marshall	24	21	19	90.5%
Meeker	32	28	16	57.1%
Mille Lacs	69	61	26	42.6%
Morrison	106	86	52	60.5%
Mower	98	88	44	50.0%
Nicollet	60	47	36	76.6%
Nobles	44	32	19	59.4%
Norman	25	18	13	72.2%
Olmsted	194	166	106	63.9%
Otter Tail	117	101	81	80.2%
Pennington	63	56	17	30.4%
Pine	131	107	68	63.6%
Pipestone	45	34	22	64.7%
Polk	117	94	47	50.0%
Pope	14	12	7	58.3%
Ramsey	1,906	1,451	633	43.6%
Red Lake	8	6	5	83.3%
Redwood	64	55	29	52.7%
Renville	46	40	22	55.0%
Rice	89	81	29	35.8%
Rock	36	26	16	61.5%
Roseau	36	27	23	85.2%
St. Louis	699	615	237	38.5%
Scott	182	128	82	64.1%
Sherburne	142	123	69	56.1%
Sibley	28	23	18	78.3%
Stearns	335	266	113	42.5%
Steele	59	53	22	41.5%
Stevens	22	22	11	50.0%
Swift	36	29	19	65.5%
Todd	66	65	11	16.9%
Traverse	17	14	12	85.7%
Wabasha	75	56	48	85.7%
Wadena	65	51	19	37.3%
Waseca	63	44	22	50.0%
Washington	286	197	125	63.5%
Watsonwan	25	25	8	32.0%
Wilkin	29	28	10	35.7%
Winona	100	70	23	32.9%
Wright	303	229	82	35.8%
Yellow Medicine	31	29	25	86.2%

Notes: Rates of health exam was computed using the following steps. (1) Unduplicated count of kids in placement in calendar year 2005; (2) Unduplicated count of kids in placement 30 days or more; (3) Linking all the types of checkups to these kids between the period 01/01/2004 and 1/31/2006; (4) Identifying kids that had a medical and/or comprehensive teen checkup (for this measure, a medical and/or comprehensive teen checkup equate a health exam). The number of kids with a medical and/or comprehensive teen checkup is used as the denominator for calculating the health exam rates above.