

Minnesota Attorney General: Administrative Rules Proceedings

Copyright Notice:

This material may be protected by copyright law (U.S. Code, Title 17). Researchers are liable for any infringement. For more information, visit www.mnhs.org/copyright.

Version 3
August 20, 2018

MINNESOTA STATE REGULATIONS

Notice of filing by the Commissioner of Administration

We have received on this date, stamped and filed 2 copies of Rules and Regulations pertaining to the State Board of Electricity (Agency or Board)

Chapter 1
Regulation No. Elec 1-10

Subject: Inspections

Department of Administration
Publication, Central Services Division
Documents Section
140 Centennial Office Building
St. Paul, Minnesota 55155
Telephone: (612) 296-2874

FILED
RICHARD L. BRUBACHER
Commissioner of Administration

MAR 15 1976

BY Eda Stenderman
AUTH. SIGNATURE

Stamped and filed with the Secretary of State 3-15-76 4:15 P.M. 4:30 PM

Rev. 9-72

199

Attorney General

March 2, 1976

Christopher R. Kelly
Special Assistant
Attorney General

Michael B. Miller
Special Assistant
Attorney General

Rules of State Board of Electricity

Returned herewith are the above-referred-to rules. Please submit the same with the following documents:

1. Order for Hearing

2. Findings of Fact (more detailed)

3. Certificate of Compliance with Rulemaking Procedures

MBM/sr

Enclosures

194

Christopher R. Kelley
Special Assistant
Attorney General

March 16, 1976

Chapter One: Elec 1-10 Inspections

STATE BOARD OF ELECTRICITY RULES AND REGULATIONS

REVISIONS CHAPTER ONE: ELEC 1 - 10 INSPECTIONS

(Elec I Request for Inspection)

(a) Filing. At or before commencement of any electrical installation which is required by law to be inspected (See Elec 5) the person responsible for the installation shall forward a request for inspection to the Board completed in the manner prescribed by the Board.

(b) Periodic Filing. On installations requiring more than 6 months in process of construction and in excess of $300.00 total inspection fees, the persons responsible for the installation may, after a minimum filing fee of $100, pay a prorated fee for each month and submit it with an order for payment, initiated by the electrical inspector.

(c) Request for inspection certificates on installations of fees $100 or less are void 18 months from the original filing date. A new request for inspection shall be filed on all unfinished work.

(Elec 2 Rough-in Inspection) Where wiring is to be concealed, the inspector must be notified in reasonable time to complete a rough-in inspection prior to concealment, exclusive of Saturdays, Sundays, and Holidays. In the event wiring is concealed before rough-in inspection without adequate notice having been given to the inspector, the person responsible for having enclosed the wiring shall be responsible for all costs resulting from uncovering and replacing the cover material.

(Elec 3 Inspectors.) All inspectors for the Board shall hold licenses as Master or Journeyman electricians under M.S. 326.242, Subd 1 or Subd 2 and shall give bond in the amount of $1,000.00 conditioned upon the faithful performance of their duties.

(Elec 4 Inspection Fees)

(a) Payment of Fees. Except as provided in Elec 1 (b), all state electrical inspection fees are due and payable to the Board at or before commencement of the installation and shall be forwarded with the request for inspection.

(b) Fee Schedule. Fees shall be paid according to the following schedule:

(1) Minimum fee for each separate inspection of an installation, replacement, alteration or repair limited to one inspection only. ~~$4.00~~ $5.00

(2) Services, change of services, temporary services, additions, alterations, or repairs on either primary or secondary services shall be computed separately.

~~0 to and including 60 ampere capacity . . . . . $4.50~~

0 to 100 ampere capacity $6.00

~~61 to and including 100 ampere capacity . . . . . $6.00~~

101 to and including 200 ampere capacity $7.50

For each additional 100 ampere capacity or fraction thereof. $3.00

(3) Circuit, installations of, additions, alterations or repairs of each circuit or subfeeder shall be computed separately including circuits fed from sub-feeders and including the equipment served, except as provided for in items (aa) through (kk)

0 to and including 30 ampere capacity . . . . . ~~$1.50~~, $2.00
(maximum number of 0 to 30 ampere circuits to be paid on is 30 in any one cabinet)

31 to and including 100 ampere capacity $3.00

For each additional 100 ampere capacity or fraction thereof $1.00

(aa) The maximum fee on a farmstead (farm buildings, including residence) which is limited to 100 ampere capacity . . . . . ~~$16.00~~ $20.00.

This includes all services, feeders, circuits, fixtures and equipment as per Elec 4 (b) Fee Schedule. This maximum applies when the farmstead is wired as a single installation. Pole top current metering and disconnecting means of the farm yard pole are exempt from inspection and determination of inspection fees.

(bb) The maximum fee on a farmstead (farm buildings, including residence) which is limited to 200 ampere capacity $32.00

This includes all services, feeders, circuits, fixtures and equipment as per Elec 4 (b) Fee Schedule. Pole top current metering and disconnecting means on the farm yard pole are exempt from inspection and determination of inspection fees.

(cc) Maximum fee on a single family dwelling shall not exceed ~~$16.00~~ $20.00 if not over 100 ampere capacity. This includes service, feeders, circuits, fixtures and equipment as per Elec 4 (b) Fee Schedule.

This maximum fee provides for not more than one rough-in inspection and one final inspection per dwelling; Additional inspections would be at the re-inspection rate of Elec 4 (b) 5.

(dd) Maximum fee on a single family dwelling shall not exceed $32.00 if not over 200 ampere capacity. This includes service, feeders, circuits, fixtures and equipment as per Elec 4 (b) Fee Schedule.

The maximum provides for not more than three rough-in inspections and one final inspection per dwelling; Additional inspections at the re-inspection rate of Elec 4 (b) 5.

(ee) Maximum fee on an apartment building shall not exceed $14.00 per dwelling unit for the first 20 units and ~~$8.00~~, $10.00 per dwelling unit for the balance of units. A 2-unit dwelling (duplex) maximum fee per each unit as per single family dwelling.

(ff) The maximum number of 0 to 30 ampere circuits to be paid on any one Athletic Field lighting standard is ten.

(gg) The maximum fee on Mobile Home Park stalls shall not exceed $3.00 per each stall for the first 40 stalls and $1.50 per each additional stall.

(hh) In addition to the above fees:

(1) A charge of $.50 will be made for each street lighting standard.

(2) A charge of $1.00 will be made for each traffic signal standard. Circuits originating within the standard will not be used when computing the fee.

(ii) In addition to the above fees all transformers and generators for light, heat and power shall be computed separately at $2.00 per unit plus 10¢ per KVA up to and including 100 KVA, 101 KVA and over at 5¢ per KVA. The maximum fee for any transformer or generator in this category is $20.00.

(jj) In addition to the above fees all transformers for signs and outline lighting shall be computed at $2.00 for the first 500 VA or fraction thereof per unit, plus $.20 for each additional 100 VA or fraction thereof.

(kk) In addition to the above fees ~~(except on dwellings)~~ (unless included in the maximum fee filed by the initial installer) remote control, signal circuits and circuits of less than 50 volts shall be computed at $2.00 per each ten openings or devices of each system plus $.50 for each additional ten or fraction thereof.

(4) For the review of plans and specifications of proposed installations, there shall be a minimum fee of ~~$30.00~~, $100.00 up to and including $30,000 of electrical estimate, plus 1/10 of 1% on any amount in excess of $30,000 to be paid by persons or firms requesting the review.

(5) When re-inspection is necessary to determine whether unsafe conditions have been corrected and such conditions are not the subject of an appeal pending before the Board or any court, a re-inspection fee of not to exceed the original unit fee, or ~~$4.50~~, $5.00 whichever is less, may be assessed in writing by the inspector.

(6) For inspections not covered herein, or for requested special inspections or services, the fee shall be ~~$8.00~~, $12.00 per man hour, including travel time, plus ~~10¢~~, 16¢ per mile traveled, plus the reasonable cost of equipment or material consumed.

This section is also applicable to inspection of empty conduits and such other jobs as determined by the Board.

(7) For inspection of transient projects including but not limited to Carnivals and Circuses the inspection fees shall be computed as follows:

Power supply units--according to Item 4 (b) (2) of the Fee Schedule. A like fee will be required on power supply units at each engagement during the season, except that a fee of ~~$8.00~~, $12.00 per hour will be charged for additional time spent by the inspector, if the power supply is not ready for inspection at the time and date specified on the request for inspection as required by Law.

Rides, Devices, or Concessions: Shall be inspected at their first appearance of the season and the inspection fee shall be ~~$3.00~~, $5.00 per unit.

(8) For purposes of interpretation of the provisions of this Chapter, the most recently published edition of the National Electrical Code shall be Prima facie evidence of the definitions, interpretations and scope of words and terms used in this Chapter.

(Elec 5 Minor Repair Work Defined) Minor repair work as used in M.S. 326.244 shall mean the adjustment or repair and replacement of worn or defective parts of electrical fixtures, switches, receptacles and other equipment provided that such minor repairs are made in compliance with accepted standards of construction for safety to life and property as defined in M.S. 326.243 and do not require replacement of the wiring to them. The Board's inspectors or agents may inspect any such minor repairs at the request of the owner or person making such repairs.

The effective date of modifications to Elec 1 and Elec 4 Chapter One shall be March 15, 1976.

APPROVED AS TO FORM AND LEGALITY
MAR 15 1976 19
WARREN SPANNAUS, ATTORNEY GENERAL
BY /s/ Michael B. Miller
SPECIAL ASSISTANT ATTORNEY GENERAL

STATE OF MINNESOTA
DEPARTMENT OF STATE
FILED
MAR 15 1976 - 4:15 pm
Joan Anderson Growe
Secretary of State

FILED
RICHARD L. BRUBACHER
Commissioner of Administration
MAR 15 1976
BY Eder Staudenmann
AUTH. SIGNATURE R.S. 4:30pm

STATE BOARD OF ELECTRICITY RULES AND REGULATIONS Proposed

REVISIONS CHAPTER ONE: ELEC 1 - 10 INSPECTIONS

(Elec I Request for Inspection)

(a) Filing. At or before commencement of any electrical installation which is required by law to be inspected (See Elec 5) the person responsible for the installation shall forward a request for inspection to the Board completed in the manner prescribed by the Board.

(b) Periodic Filing. On installations requiring more than 6 months in process of construction and in excess of $300.00 total inspection fees, the persons responsible for the installation may, after a minimum filing fee of $100, pay a prorated fee for each month and submit it with an order for payment, initiated by the electrical inspector.

(c) Request for inspection certificates on installations of fees $100 or less are void 18 months from the original filing date. A new request for inspection shall be filed on all unfinished work.

(Elec 2 Rough-in Inspection) Where wiring is to be concealed, the inspector must be notified in reasonable time to complete a rough-in inspection prior to concealment, exclusive of Saturdays, Sundays, and Holidays. In the event wiring is concealed before rough-in inspection without adequate notice having been given to the inspector, the person responsible for having enclosed the wiring shall be responsible for all costs resulting from uncovering and replacing the cover material.

(Elec 3 Inspectors.) All inspectors for the Board shall hold licenses as Master or Journeyman electricians under M.S. 326.242, Subd 1 or Subd 2 and shall give bond in the amount of $1,000.00 conditioned upon the faithful performance of their duties.

(Elec 4 Inspection Fees)

(a) Payment of Fees. Except as provided in Elec 1 (b), all state electrical inspection fees are due and payable to the Board at or before commencement of the installation and shall be forwarded with the request for inspection.

(b) Fee Schedule. Fees shall be paid according to the following schedule:

(1) Minimum fee for each separate inspection of an installation, replacement, alteration or repair limited to one inspection only. ~~$4.00~~ $5.00

(2) Services, change of services, temporary services, additions, alterations, or repairs on either primary or secondary services shall be computed separately.

~~0 to and including 60 ampere capacity . . . . . $4.50~~

0 to 100 ampere capacity $6.00

~~61 to and including 100 ampere capacity . . . . . $6.00~~

101 to and including 200 ampere capacity $7.50

For each additional 100 ampere capacity or fraction thereof. $3.00

(3) Circuit, installations of, additions, alterations or repairs of each circuit or subfeeder shall be computed separately including circuits fed from sub-feeders and including the equipment served, except as provided for in items (aa) through (kk)

0 to and including 30 ampere capacity . . . . . ~~$1.50~~, $2.00
(maximum number of 0 to 30 ampere circuits to be paid on is 30 in any one cabinet)

31 to and including 100 ampere capacity $3.00

For each additional 100 ampere capacity or fraction thereof $1.00

(aa) The maximum fee on a farmstead (farm buildings, including residence) which is limited to 100 ampere capacity . . . . . ~~$16.00~~ $20.00.

This includes all services, feeders, circuits, fixtures and equipment as per Elec 4 (b) Fee Schedule. This maximum applies when the farmstead is wired as a single installation. Pole top current metering and disconnecting means of the farm yard pole are exempt from inspection and determination of inspection fees.

(bb) The maximum fee on a farmstead (farm buildings, including residence) which is limited to 200 ampere capacity $32.00

This includes all services, feeders, circuits, fixtures and equipment as per Elec 4 (b) Fee Schedule. Pole top current metering and disconnecting means on the farm yard pole are exempt from inspection and determination of inspection fees.

(cc) Maximum fee on a single family dwelling shall not exceed ~~$16.00~~ $20.00 if not over 100 ampere capacity. This includes service, feeders, circuits, fixtures and equipment as per Elec 4 (b) Fee Schedule.

This maximum fee provides for not more than one rough-in inspection and one final inspection per dwelling; Additional inspections would be at the re-inspection rate of Elec 4 (b) 5.

(dd) Maximum fee on a single family dwelling shall not exceed $32.00 if not over 200 ampere capacity. This includes service, feeders, circuits, fixtures and equipment as per Elec 4 (b) Fee Schedule.

The maximum provides for not more than three rough-in inspections and one final inspection per dwelling; Additional inspections at the re-inspection rate of Elec 4 (b) 5.

(ee) Maximum fee on an apartment building shall not exceed $14.00 per dwelling unit for the first 20 units and ~~$8.00~~, $10.00 per dwelling unit for the balance of units. A 2-unit dwelling (duplex) maximum fee per each unit as per single family dwelling.

(ff) The maximum number of 0 to 30 ampere circuits to be paid on any one Athletic Field lighting standard is ten.

(gg) The maximum fee on Mobile Home Park stalls shall not exceed $3.00 per each stall for the first 40 stalls and $1.50 per each additional stall.

(hh) In addition to the above fees:
(1) A charge of $.50 will be made for each street lighting standard.

(2) A charge of $1.00 will be made for each traffic signal standard. Circuits originating within the standard will not be used when computing the fee.

(ii) In addition to the above fees all transformers and generators for light, heat and power shall be computed separately at $2.00 per unit plus 10¢ per KVA up to and including 100 KVA, 101 KVA and over at 5¢ per KVA. The maximum fee for any transformer or generator in this category is $20.00.

(jj) In addition to the above fees all transformers for signs and outline lighting shall be computed at $2.00 for the first 500 VA or fraction thereof per unit, plus $.20 for each additional 100 VA or fraction thereof.

(kk) In addition to the above fees ~~(except on dwellings)~~ (unless included in the maximum fee filed by the initial installer) remote control, signal circuits and circuits of less than 50 volts shall be computed at $2.00 per each ten openings or devices of each system plus $.50 for each additional ten or fraction thereof.

(4) For the review of plans and specifications of proposed installations, there shall be a minimum fee of ~~$30.00~~, $100.00 up to and including $30,000 of electrical estimate, plus 1/10 of 1% on any amount in excess of $30,000 to be paid by persons or firms requesting the review.

(5) When re-inspection is necessary to determine whether unsafe conditions have been corrected and such conditions are not the subject of an appeal pending before the Board or any court, a re-inspection fee of not to exceed the original unit fee, or ~~$4.50~~, $5.00 whichever is less, may be assessed in writing by the inspector.

(6) For inspections not covered herein, or for requested special inspections or services, the fee shall be ~~$8.00~~, $12.00 per man hour, including travel time, plus ~~10¢~~, 16¢ per mile traveled, plus the reasonable cost of equipment or material consumed.

This section is also applicable to inspection of empty conduits and such other jobs as determined by the Board.

(7) For inspection of transient projects including but not limited to Carnivals and Circuses the inspection fees shall be computed as follows:

Power supply units--according to Item 4 (b) (2) of the Fee Schedule. A like fee will be required on power supply units at each engagement during the season, except that a fee of ~~$8.00~~, $12.00 per hour will be charged for additional time spent by the inspector, if the power supply is not ready for inspection at the time and date specified on the request for inspection as required by Law.

Rides, Devices, or Concessions: Shall be inspected at their first appearance of the season and the inspection fee shall be ~~$3.00~~, $5.00 per unit.

(8) For purposes of interpretation of the provisions of this Chapter, the most recently published edition of the National Electrical Code shall be Prima facie evidence of the definitions, interpretations and scope of words and terms used in this Chapter.

(Elec 5 Minor Repair Work Defined) Minor repair work as used in M.S. 326.244 shall mean the adjustment or repair and replacement of worn or defective parts of electrical fixtures, switches, receptacles and other equipment provided that such minor repairs are made in compliance with accepted standards of construction for safety to life and property as defined in M.S. 326.243 and do not require replacement of the wiring to them. The Board's inspectors or agents may inspect any such minor repairs at the request of the owner or person making such repairs.

The effective date of modifications to Elec 1 and Elec 4 Chapter One shall be March 15, 1976.

STATE OF MINNESOTA
STATE BOARD OF ELECTRICITY
1954 UNIVERSITY AVE. 645-7703
SAINT PAUL, MINN. 55104

OFFICE OF THE
EXECUTIVE SECRETARY

November 25, 1975

In the Matter of the Proposed Adoption of Amendments to Rules of the State Board of Electricity, Amending Elec 1 and 4, Adjusting Fees for the Inspection of Electrical Work and Establishing a Time Limit on Request for Inspection Certificate

BEFORE THE BOARD OF ELECTRICITY
STATE OF MINNESOTA

NOTICE OF PUBLIC HEARING

Notice is hereby given that a public hearing will be held pursuant to M.S. 1967, Section 15.0412, Subdivision 4, in the above-entitled matter in the State Office Building Auditorium, Saint Paul, Minnesota, December 30, 1975 at 10:00 A.M., and continuing until all representatives of associations or other interested groups or persons have had an opportunity to be heard concerning adoption of the proposed rules captioned above by submitting either oral or written data, statements or arguments. Statements or briefs may be submitted without appearing at the hearing and will be accepted for a period of 20 days following the conclusion of the hearing.

The purpose of the hearing is to consider proposed amendments to the rules of the Board of Electricity, Elec 1 and 4, adjusting fees for the inspection of electrical work and establishing a time limit on request for inspection certificate. A copy of the proposed amendments is attached hereto.

Dated: November 25, , 1975.

STATE OF MINNESOTA
BOARD OF ELECTRICITY

C. B. Joriman

C. B. JORIMAN
Executive Secretary and
Hearing Officer

CBJ:jp

AN EQUAL OPPORTUNITY EMPLOYER

STATE OF MINNESOTA

COUNTY OF RAMSEY

In the Matter of the Proposed Adoption of Amendments to Rules of the State Board of Electricity, Amending Elec 1 and 4, Adjusting Fees for the Inspection of Electrical Work and Establishing a Time Limit on Request for Inspection Certificate

AFFIDAVIT OF MAILING

Joanne P. Peterson, being first duly sworn, deposes and says:

That on the 25th day of November, 1975, at the City of St. Paul County of Ramsey, State of Minnesota, she served the attached Notice of Hearing by depositing in the United States mail at said City of Saint Paul, a true and correct copy thereof, properly enveloped, with postage prepaid, to all persons and associations at the addresses listed on the attached Certificate of the Secretary of State as well as to all persons listed on the attached sheet.

Joanne P Peterson

Subscribed and sworn to before me

This 26 day of Nov' 1975

Clarence B Jorinan

Notary Public My Comm expires Feb. 11, 1982

STATE OF MINNESOTA

COUNTY OF RAMSEY

In the Matter of the Proposed Adoption of Amendments of Rules of the State Board of Electricity, Amending Elec 1 and 4, Adjusting Fees for the Inspection of Electrical Work.

CERTIFICATE

I hereby certify that the attached 442 labeled and one sheets constitute the complete list of persons, associations, and other interested groups who as of 3:00 o'clock P.M., this 24th day of November, 1975, have requested pursuant to M.S. 1974, Sec. 15.0412, that their names be placed on file with the Secretary of State for the purpose of receiving notice of public hearing on proposed rules on the subject captioned above.

Secretary of State

Joan Anderson Growe

By Gail Dion

STATE OF MINNESOTA
STATE BOARD OF ELECTRICITY
1954 UNIVERSITY AVE. 645-7703
SAINT PAUL, MINN. 55104

OFFICE OF THE
EXECUTIVE SECRETARY

CERTIFICATE OF BOARD'S STATEMENT OF NEED AND AUTHORIZING RESOLUTION

I, ROY ANDERSON, do hereby certify that I am Secretary of the Board of Electricity, a board duly authorized under the laws of the State of Minnesota, and that the following is true, complete, and correct copy of a statement of need and resolution adopted at a meeting of the Board of Electricity duly and properly called and held on the 7th day of October, 1975; that a quorum was present at said meeting; that a majority of those present voted for said statement of need and resolution; that said statement of need and authorizing resolution is set forth in the minutes of said meeting and has not been rescinded or modified.

WHEREAS, The Board is totally self-supporting with part of its income derived from inspection fees; and

WHEREAS, its inspectors are "contract inspectors" whose compensation is totally derived from such fees; and

WHEREAS, it is necessary to adjust the inspection fee schedule to reflect the changes in electrical construction methods and increases in costs of living.

WHEREAS, it is necessary to establish a time limit on Requests for Inspection Certificates in order to insure that inspection certificates are based on the current electrical code, to insure that inspection payments are made on a fiscal year basis, and to keep inspection records current.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that C. B. JORIMAN, Executive Secretary, or his duly appointed agent, be and hereby is granted the authority and is directed to call a public hearing to be held December 30, 1975, at 10:00 a.m. in the State Office Building Auditorium, Saint Paul, Minnesota, for the purpose of promulgating rules of the Board of Electricity, as proposed for the amendment of Elec 1 and 4 for the purpose of adjusting the schedule of inspection fees, and establishing a time limit on Request for Inspection certificate, as well as perform any and all acts incidental thereto, including but without being limited thereto, signing any and all orders and the notice of hearing as well as acting as presiding officer at all hearings.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto subscribed my name this 7 day of Oct, 1975.

Roy Anderson
ROY ANDERSON, Secretary

Gordon M. Brelsford
Attest by one other officer

STATE OF MINNESOTA
STATE BOARD OF ELECTRICITY
1954 UNIVERSITY AVE. 645-7703
SAINT PAUL, MINN. 55104

OFFICE OF THE
EXECUTIVE SECRETARY

STATE OF MINNESOTA

COUNTY OF RAMSEY

BEFORE WALTER PASSE

SECRETARY OF BOARD OF ELECTRICITY

In the matter of the Proposed Adoption of Rules of the State Board of Electricity Governing Electrical Inspection Fees

ORDER ADOPTING RULES

The above-entitled matter came on for hearing before the State Board of Electricity of the State of Minnesota on the 30th day of December, 1975 at 10:00 A.M. in the (State Office Building Auditorium, St. Paul, MInnesota) after proper notice required by Minn. Stat. § 15.0412 (1974) was served upon all persons, associations and other interested groups registered with the Secretary of State for that purpose.

After affording interested persons an opportunity to present written and oral data, statements and arguments, having heard all of the testimony, having considered all of the evidence adduced and upon the records, files, and proceedings herein, and applicable statutory standards or criteria, and having confirmed the need for the above captioned rules.

NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS ORDERED that these rules identified as Electrical Inspection Fees (Elec 1 through Elec 4) are adopted this 3rd day of February, 1976, pursuant to authority vested in me by the State Board of Electricity

STATE OF MINNESOTA
BOARD OF ELECTRICITY

Walter Passe
Secretary of Board

AN EQUAL OPPORTUNITY EMPLOYER

STATE OF MINNESOTA
STATE BOARD OF ELECTRICITY
1954 UNIVERSITY AVE. 645-7703
SAINT PAUL, MINN. 55104

OFFICE OF THE
EXECUTIVE SECRETARY

CERTIFICATE OF BOARD'S

ADOPTION OF RULES RESOLUTION

I, Walter Passe, do hereby certify that I am secretary of the Board of Electricity, a board duly authorized under the laws of the State of Minnesota, and that the following is a true, complete, and correct copy of a resolution adopted at a meeting of the Board of Electricity duly and properly called and held on the 21st day of January, 1976; that a quorum was present at said meeting; (that a majority of those present voted for the resolution); that said resolution is set forth in the minutes of said meeting and has not been rescinded or modified.

> "RESOLVED, that the rules relating to Chapter One Elec 1 - 10 Inspections be and they hereby are approved and adopted, pursuant to authority vested in us by M. S. 326.241, Subd 2 (6) and that Walter Passe, one of the members of the Board of Electricity, be and hereby is authorized to sign an order adopting those rules."

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto subscribed my name this 21st day of January, 1976.

Walter Passe
Secretary of Board

Attest by one other officer.

STATE OF MINNESOTA
STATE BOARD OF ELECTRICITY
1954 UNIVERSITY AVE. 645-7703
SAINT PAUL, MINN. 55104

OFFICE OF THE
EXECUTIVE SECRETARY

STATE OF MINNESOTA

COUNTY OF RAMSEY

BEFORE C. B. JORIMAN
EXECUTIVE SECRETARY AND
HEARING OFFICER FOR THE
BOARD OF ELECTRICITY

In the matter of the Proposed Adoption of Rules of the State Board of Electricity Governing Electrical Inspection Fees

FINDINGS OF FACT

The above-entitled matter came on for hearing before the State Board of Electricity of the State of Minnesota on the 30th day of December, 1975, at 10:00 A. M. in the State Office Building Auditorium, St. Paul, Minnesota, after proper notice required by Minn. Stat. § 15.0412 (1974) was served upon all persons, associations and other interested groups registered with the Secretary of State for that purpose.

After affording interested persons an opportunity to present written and oral data, statements, and arguments, having heard all of the testimony, having considered all of the evidence adduced and upon the records, files and proceedings herein, I find the following:

1. The statutory authority upon which the regulations are based is Minn. Stat. § 326.241, Subd. 2(6) (1974).

2. The cost of living has increased since the last electrical inspection fee increase. Estimates of the percentage of cost of living increase presented at the aforementioned hearing range from less than twenty percent (Testimony of Mr. Pederson, Transcript at page 23, lines 22-24) to seventy-two percent (Testimony of Mr. Akins, Transcript at page 8, lines 21-22) Further testimony placed the cost of living at 25 percent (Testimony of Mr. Fressen, Transcript at page 16, lines 9-18).

3. The costs associated with electrical inspection have increased since the last electrical inspection fee increase (Testimony of Mr. Akins, Transcript at page 8, lines 1-3). New electrical construction methods require more inspections (Testimony of Mr. Akins, Transcript at page 10, lines 2-24: Testimony of Mr. Salay, Transcript at page 25, lines 14 -20).

4. Therefore, because of the cost of living increase and the increased costs of electrical inspections, it is necessary to adjust the inspection fee schedule to reflect these increases.

5. It is necessary to establish a time limit on request for inspection certificates in order to insure that inspection certificates are based on the current electrical code, to insure that inspection payments are made on a fiscal year basis, and to keep inspection records current.

AN EQUAL OPPORTUNITY EMPLOYER

6. The regulations are in keeping with and will help fulfill the policy of the State of Minnesota as expressed in the Minnesota Electrical Act.

STATE OF MINNESOTA

BOARD OF ELECTRICITY

C. B. Joriman

C. B. Joriman, Executive Secretary
and Hearing Officer for the Board.

STATE OF MINNESOTA
STATE BOARD OF ELECTRICITY
1954 UNIVERSITY AVE. 645-7703
SAINT PAUL, MINN. 55104

OFFICE OF THE
EXECUTIVE SECRETARY

STATE OF MINNESOTA

COUNTY OF RAMSEY

In the matter of the Proposed Adoption of Rules of the State Board of Electricity Governing Electrical Inspction Fees

BEFORE C. B. JORIMAN

EXECUTIVE SECRETARY FOR STATE BOARD OF ELECTRICITY

ORDER FOR HEARING

To effectively implement the Minnesota Electrical Act, the Minnesota State Board of Electricity deems it necessary to consider adoption of the proposed rules captioned above pursuant to the authority vested in it by Minn. Stat. § 326.241 (1974).

NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS ORDERED that a public hearing on the proposed rules captioned above be held in the State Office Building Auditorium, St. Paul, Minnesota, December 30, 1975, commencing at 10:00 A. M., and continuing until all representatives of associations or other interested groups or persons have had an opportunity to be heard.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, that notice of said hearing be given to all representatives of associations or other interested groups or persons who have registered their names with the Secretary of State for that purpose.

STATE OF MINNESOTA

BOARD OF ELECTRICITY

C. B. Joriman

C. B. JORIMAN, EXECUTIVE SECRETARY

AN EQUAL OPPORTUNITY EMPLOYER

STATE OF MINNESOTA
OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL
ST. PAUL 55155

WARREN SPANNAUS
ATTORNEY GENERAL

TELEPHONE
(612) 296-6196

STATEMENT OF COMPLIANCE WITH

MANUAL OF RULE MAKING PROCEDURES

I, Special Assistant Attorney General Christopher R. Kelley do hereby declare that I have examined the proposed rules and all related documents and that, based on them and my personal familiarity with the applicable procedures, the Manual of Rule Making Procedures has been followed. Any exceptions are noted below.

Christopher R Kelley
Special Assistant Attorney General

DATED: March 3, 1976

STATE OF MINNESOTA

COUNTY OF RAMSEY

- -

In the Matter of the Proposed Adoption of Amendments to Rules of the State Board of Electricity, Amending Elec 1 and 4, Adjusting Fees for the Inspection of Electrical Work and Establishing a Time Limit on Request for Inspection Certificate.

- -

PUBLIC HEARING held pursuant to M.S. 1967, Section 15.0412, Subdivision 4, in the above-entitled matter, in the State Office Building Auditorium, St. Paul, Minnesota, at 10:00 o'clock, A.M., on December 30th, 1975, held before Hearing Officer C. D. Joriman.

- - -

MR. GERLING: Welcome. The purpose of the hearing is to amend one portion of the Rules of the Board of Electricity, Elec 1 and 4, adjusting fees for the inspection of electrical work.

Mr. Joriman has been authorized to be the presiding officer, and I therefore will turn this over to Mr. Joriman.

MR. JORIMAN: Thank you, Mr. Gerling.

I am Clarence Joriman, executive secretary of the Board of Electricity. Procedural rules for this hearing will be as follows: All persons interested in presenting their views will be given an opportunity to do so. Anyone wishing to be heard should sign this speaking sheet listing his name and address and the group which he represents. A witness should spell his name, identify the group he is representing, and speak clearly when he is making his statement. He may be sworn upon his request, but it is not required. If he elects not to be sworn, that may be considered in determining the weight to be given to his testimony.

By giving testimony, a witness including one who may also be a member of the panel submits himself to questioning by the panel. However, he is subject to cross-examination by nonmembers of the panel only if he affirmatively consents to be so examined. A refusal to be

cross-examined may be considered in determining the weight to be given to the testimony.

The purpose of the hearing is to allow interested parties to express their views and present facts bearing upon the proposed rules.

All parties introducing any written matter at this hearing may do so by having it marked as an exhibit and having it introduced for the record. Twenty days will be allowed subsequent to the hearing for interested parties to submit briefs or other written material to the proposed rules. Mail or deliver such material to the office of the State Board of Electricity, 1954 University Avenue, St. Paul, Minnesota, 55104.

Mr. Kelley who is the Special Assistant to the Attorney General and assigned to the Board will introduce the following exhibits on behalf of the Board; No. 1, Order for Hearing and the supporting documents; No. 2, Certificate of the Secretary of State; No. 3, Notice of Hearing and Affidavit of Mailing; and 4 the proposed amendments. Mr. Kelley.

MR. KELLEY: I submit for the record the Order for Hearing, the Notice of Public Hearing, a copy of the proposed rules, Secretary of State Certificate and the Affidavit of Mailing from the Board of Electricity.

MR. JORIMAN: Thank you, Mr. Kelley.

We will now proceed with the hearing. Additional copies of the proposed revisions to Elec 1 and 4 are available if you do not have one with you.

I will now give you a brief description of these proposed revisions. The first proposed revision is Elec 1C, and the reason for this revision is whereas it is necessary to establish a time limit on request for inspection certificate in order to insure that inspection certificates are based on the current electrical code, to insure that inspection payments are made on a fiscal year basis and to keep inspection records permanent. The addition is item C on page 1, request for inspection ceritificates on installations of fees $100 or less are void 18 months from the original filing date. A new request for inspection shall be filed on all unfinished work.

Now, we will go down to Elec 4-B-1, and the reason for the rest of the changes are as follows: Whereas the Board is totally self-supporting with part of its income derived from inspection fees and whereas its inspectors are contracting inspectors whose compensation is totally derived from such fees and whereas it is necessary to adjust the inspection fee schedule to reflect the changes in the electrical inspection methods and increased cost of living.

Now, back to number 1 under Elec 4B. The minimum

fee for each separate inspection of an installation replacement, alteration or repair limited to one inspection only $5.

Now, we moved down to Elec 4-B-2. Services, change of services, temporary services, additions, alterations, or repairs on either primary or secondary services shall be computed separately. Change zero to 100 ampere capacity $6.

Then we go to Elec 4-B-3, zero to and including 30 ampere capacity $2. $2 is the change. We drop down to Elec 4-B-3-aa. The maximum fee on a farmstead, farm buildings, including residence, which is limited to 100 ampere capacity, $20. $20 is the change. Then we drop down to Elec 4-B-3-cc. Maximum fee on a single family dwelling shall not exceed $20 if not over 100 ampere capacity. This includes service, feeders, circuits, fixtures and equipment as per Elec 4 (b) Fee Schedule. $20 is the change.

Then we drop down to Elec 4-B-3-ee. Maximum fee on an apartment building shall not exceed $14 per dwelling unit for the first 20 units and then $10 per dwelling unit for the balance of the units. A 2-unit dwelling duplex maximum fee for each unit as per single family dwelling.

Then we go over to page 3, Elec 4-B-3-kk. In addition to the above fees unless included in the maximum

fee filed by the initial installer, remote control, signal circuits and circuits of less than 50 volts shall be computed at $2 per each 10 openings or devices of each system plus $.50 for each additional 10 or fraction thereof. The change is unless included in the maximum filed by the initial installer.

Then we drop to the next one, Elec 4-B-4. For the review of plans and specifications, there shall be a minimum fee of $100 up to and including $30,000 of electrical estimate, plus 1/10 of one per cent on any amount in excess of $30,000 to be paid by the person or firm requesting the review.

Then we drop down to Elec 4-B-6. For inspections not covered herein, or for requested electrical inspections or services, the fee shall be $12 per man hour, including travel time, plus $.16 per mile traveled, plus the reasonable cost of equipment or material consumed. The changes are $12 per hour and $.16 per mile.

Then we go to Elec 4-B-7. For inspection of transient projects including but not limited to carnivals and circuses the inspection fees shall be computed as follows: Power supply units, according to Item 4-B-2 of the Fee Schedule. A like fee will be required on power supply units at each engagement during the season, except that a fee of $12 per hour will be charged for additional

time spent by the inspector, if the power supply is not ready for inspection at the time and date specified on the request for inspection as required by law. Rides, devices, or concessions shall be inspected at their first appearance of the season and the inspection fee shall be $5 per unit.

I made an error here, and we are going to have to back up to Elec 4-B-5. When reinspection is necessary to determine whether unsafe conditions have been corrected and such conditions are not the subject of an appeal pending before the Board or any court, a reinspection fee of not to exceed the original unit fee, or $5, whichever is less, may be assessed in writing by the inspector.

Did I miss any more? These are the items that are proposed for change in the Fee Schedule, and we will now have comments from the audience. If I could have the speaking list, maybe it would help.

The input from the witnesses will be either for or against in actually the items covered by the proposed changes.

The first speaker I have listed here is Mr. Bill Akins, 1860 North Alameda, and he's from St. Paul. Bill.

MR. AKINS: Good morning, gentlemen.

MR. JORIMAN: Please state your name and position.

MR. AKINS: My name is Bill Akins, A-k-i-n-s, 1860 North Alameda, Roseville. I'm an inspector of the State Board of Electricity and three municipalities in the northern half of Alameda. I would like to distribute some of these schedules that I propose to change. You can follow along if it is easier.

First of all, I'm here representing a group of the State Board of Electricity inspectors and municipal inspectors in the area throughout the State. We have received quite some time ago proposed changes in the Fee Schedule for the State Board of Electricity and appreciate their interest at this time. Although, we do feel that the majority of it is justified and needed. There are some portions of it that we feel should be revised. These revisions I will state one at a time, and this has been determined because of the increased cost of doing this job since we have had the last increase.

I have been inspecting this, and this is my 14th year now. In the 14 years I have had one increase. The cost of doing this job has increased considerably. There is a number of figures I could throw to you. Seventy-two per cent since we had our last increase. That's an overall cost of living.

I do feel that the majority of our expenses are with an automobile, with transportation, and as you well

know, that increased as well over 72 per cent. As far as I can figure through my records, it is close to 110 per cent.

I would like to start out by saying that we feel that the majority of this change is justified that you sent out, but I would like to now present the revisions that we would like to see adopted.

Under Fee Schedule Elec 4-B, we agree with the minimum fee of $5. We think that's justified and fair.

Under Elec 4-B-2, the services, zero to 60 ampere capacity. Now, a majority of these or most all of these are temporary services. Under the State -- under the original provision it eliminates that category and goes from zero to 100 ampere with no increase. We feel that the zero to 60 ampere service should be stated at $6 and left in there. The 61 to 100 ampere capacity service should be 7.50. The 100 to 200 ampere capacity service should be $10.

Now, supporting comment is that if you do not increase this 61 to 100 ampere capacity service, the $20 fee maximum on the dwelling will not be reached in all cases. We are not increasing that $6 fee. There is some homes, a number of homes, being built now that would come out to $18, so it is kind of an ambiguous situation to say that we are going to hit $20 on every house unless we

raise that fee.

Also on 100 ampere services now we are required in a number of cases to make two inspections on the service only. We have a number of cases where the homeowner that's building a home has an electrical contractor install an underground service internally in the home. This means that the electrical contractor files a certificate for the service and the homeowner files a certificate for the home wiring itself. We are required at that time to inspect the service, to look at the underground service in the ditch, and in most cases they don't have the panel in place or anything so we have to come back, so because it is above the minimum fee there is no provision for a second inspection charge, so we feel that the $7.50 for a 100 ampere service is justified, and if -- on a normal home it will get to $20 and cut off there, so it really has no bearing on the overall picture, and we feel the same way on a 200 ampere service at $10. We feel that the 100 ampere to -- 100 to 200 should be $10. There is quite a bit more inspection on a 200 ampere house or 200 ampere service. Usually the 200 ampere house is a one-time architect-designed home that you will not see the plans more than once, and it requires a lot more inspection in that home.

In most of the homes the 200 ampere service now

we feel are going to electric heat. There is an awful lot of electrical heat coming in. We also feel that for additional 100 ampere capacity or a fraction thereof it should be $3.

On services we would like to add one little paragraph in there and this is on services of 10,000 volts and over the above fee shall be doubled. What we are running into now, gentlemen, is 100 ampere service for $6. That service can be 208,460 or 13,800 volts. This over 10,000 volt situation would encompass only the services over the 10,000 volts. There is considerable more expense and work on a 13,800 volt service than there is on a normal 48208 service, so we would like to see that fee doubled. The largest 138 service I have ever had in my area was in quite a large fruit company where they had enormous freezers, and that service was 100 amperes, so we are talking about instead of a $6 fee for 100 ampere service, we would be talking about a $12 fee for 13,800 volt service.

Circuits, Elec 4-B-3, zero to 30 ampere, $2 we agree with. We think it is fair and justified. Thirty-one to 100, $3 we think is fine. We do feel that when you get from 100 and above on feeders that the fee should be $2 per additional 100 instead of $1. This means that the 400 ampere subfeeder is basically what we are talking

about that extends through the building considerable lengths, usually a considerable length. I'm talking about two, 300 feet. That would mean that 400 ampere service would be $5. We are under our proposal -- $6. I'm sorry. Four hundred ampere service under our proposal would be $9, and we feel that that is justified because of the considerable time involved in inspecting large subfeeders because of their length and their capacity.

We also feel that there should be an addition made in our circuits of 250 volts and over the above fee should be doubled. We are talking now about a 277 volt lighting circuit, 480 volt motor supply circuits where the code varies somewhat in these areas and requires more inspection. A 277 lighting circuit is now being used extensively. What it means is that they are able to supply twice as many units on that circuit as they did on 120 circuit, 115 volt circuit. The amount of inspection remains the same.

The amount of inspection is even more because on a 277 volt circuit we have color coding to pertain to a number of other things, and because it's the same and even more and because they can connect twice as many units to the circuit the fee is now half, and we feel that the fee should remain the same. In order to do that, we would like to see that portion of the circuit fee doubled.

Also there is a provision in there that the panel only goes to so many, am I correct in that, Clarence?

MR. JORIMAN: Right.

MR. AKINS: So that also would pertain to this and will only go to a maximum of any one panel.

We feel the fee on a farmstead on Elec 4-B-3-aa should be $20. We also feel that the fee of bb, the fee on a farmstead which is a 200 ampere capacity should be $40. We feel that way, and that would be tied into the 200 ampere house. We feel the same way about the 200 ampere house.

We also feel that jumping to Elec 4-B-3-ee, the maximum fee on an apartment building shall not exceed $16 per dwelling unit for the first 20 units, $12 per dwelling unit for the balance of it. We would like to see an addition to that. In addition to the above stated fees for apartments an additional fee of $16 for each of the ancillary facilities, swimming pools, garages, recreation or party rooms, and this is what's happened to apartment buildings lately, gentlemen. When I first started this job, we took a 32-unit building and you had 32 dwelling units and hallways. Today in a 32-dwelling unit you have underground garages, you have storage facilities for the dwelling units, you have recreation rooms, party rooms, exercise rooms, saunas, swimming pools, service installations. All

of these items I have just stated are not included in the fee.

I will give you a little idea here. I kept a log on a 32-unit apartment building -- 34-unit apartment building. On the apartments themselves, on the dwellings themselves, I made 42 inspections. I made 23 inspections on things other than are covered by the fees, hallways, exercise rooms, party rooms, what have you. The 23 inspection fees were not covered as far as I'm concerned under the dwelling units.

Also under the maximum fee on mobile homes, gg, we feel that it should revert to what it was at one time before the '72 change, and that is not to exceed three-fifths -- the maximum fee on mobile homes should not exceed $3 per each stall.

What's happening in those is they are not putting in more than two or three at a time, and it would be fine if they would put in 25 at a time. We could go right down the line, but they are not doing it that way. We have no choice but to make the inspection as we are called. We feel that it would be justified to have the $3 fee per mobile home unit.

That's all that we would like to see changed. We feel that because of the extended time in getting the Fee Schedule changed, we have suffered greatly through

increased cost of living, cost of transportation and the additional cost of doing our job. Just a little item, I note that tomorrow we are going to pay 30 per cent more for our mailings starting tomorrow morning and our mailings have more than doubled since we have had an increase.

At this time I would like to ask you to take this under your consideration and thank you for your time and for listening to me.

MR. HOLSING: Mr. Akins, I would like to ask you something. I'm a member of the State Board of Electricity. I appreciate the remarks that you have made and the explanation that you have given us in regards to why you felt that the additions are needed. I would like to request that it be put in writing and given to the Board within the next 20 days, because we do not have all of the Board here and we would like to have it in writing so that we can review it at another time.

MR. AKINS: You mean the supporting comments?

MR. HOLSING: Right.

MR. AKINS: I would be glad to do that, Cecil.

MR. JORIMAN: Thank you, Mr. Akins.

Any more questions of the witness?

If not, the next speaker I have on the list is Mr. Frank Friesen.

MR. FRIESEN: I'm Frank Friesen representing the NECA conference which is the NECA members, contractor members in Minnesota.

I think first I should say that all four of our chapters have reviewed your document of November 25th which was the Board's proposal, and all of the chapters agree that this is a reasonable adjustment and that it can be supported.

I might point out at this point here that that minimum of $5 I believe was advanced by NECA in 1971 or '72 which is a little before my time. Your last adjustment, as I recall, was March of 1972 and the hearing was held in '71, so there have been approximately 50 months gone by and the cost of living figures are in the neighborhood of 25 per cent during this period of time. So generally I think it is safe to say that in addition to your proposal of November 25th, the NECA chapter would certainly support the concept generally of a cost of living increase.

It would bring the income of the inspectors up to par with the cost of living advanced.

Now, Mr. Akins covered a couple of items here which unfortunately because of the time factor we have not been able to clear with our other chapters so we would have to just urge the Board to give them serious consideration. We don't know for example what the effect of the 10,000 volt

system would be. According to Bill's comments, they certainly seem to be reasonable.

The other item, we don't know the impact on that item 3 on Mr. Akins' proposal on circuits over 250. We just don't know what the impact would be. On the face of it, you know, when you talk about doubling from one to two you are talking about 100 per cent increase. So I think the Board will have to take a look at this increase, this 100 per cent versus the NECA position of advocating 25 per cent.

I don't think we are saying that there should be a firm restriction of only a 25 per cent increase, but I think the Board would be in a good position to judge the impact both on the consumer and on the income of the inspectors.

DD, of course, I believe was brought out that the electric heat which would enter the situation may be increased considerably, so that doesn't seem to be any great problem. That 32 to 40 I believe is about a 25 per cent increase.

The dwelling units, well, that falls into the -- ee falls into the classification of 14 to 16 which is -- what is that? I don't have that figure down exactly, the percentage, but there are other adjustments which may put it pretty well within our thoughts of the 25 for cost

of living adjustment.

Now, bear in mind on this cost of living there is a little bit of a lag because your last action took place in 1972 so there was three or four months at one end of it, and we are hearing this today, December, to be effective in the middle of March, so you have another three and a half months on the other thing, so when we say use the 25 per cent cost of living, this is for '72 to '75. This does not pick up the remaining five and a half months, so that would be another couple of percentage points, so you see we are not really firm in our recommendations on Mr. Akins' proposal.

On ff, again this has not been checked with the other chapters, but the St. Paul chapter did take a look at it and it certainly seems reasonable that these ancillary additions like the swimming pools and party rooms and things like that certainly should compensate the inspector for doing the work on that particular project. Whether or not the $16 figure is fair and equitable, we will leave up to your good judgment.

I believe at this point that would be about our comments. To sum it up very briefly, we do support the Board's recommendations in the letter of November 25th, and we would certainly urge you to give some serious consideration to the proposal of the inspectors within the

guidelines of the cost of living.

MR. JORIMAN: Thank you, Mr. Friesen. Do any panel members have any questions of Mr. Friesen?

Thank you very much.

The next speaker on the list is David Jacobson.

MR. JACOBSON: Gentlemen, my name is David Jacobson. I'm a contract inspector for the State Board of Electricity in the metropolitan area.

I'm speaking in support of the amendment as stated by Mr. Akins, and I'm speaking for the six State contract inspectors in the metropolitan area, and our reasons are the same stated by Mr. Akins. Thank you.

MR. HOLSING: Cecil Holsing, State Board of Electricity. Did you by any chance discuss this with the staff of the State Board, these proposals that you have made here today? Were they discussed with the staff at all so that they had some chance to incorporate it into the Fee Schedule?

MR. JACOBSON: Not to my knowledge.

MR. HOLSING: Thank you.

MR. JORIMAN: Thank you, Mr. Jacobson. Next we have on the list Robert Clauson.

MR. CLAUSON: My name is Robert Clauson also a State inspector.

I wish to go on record of supporting Mr. Akins'

amendments as Dave Jacobson has stated them.

MR. JORIMAN: Would you state where you are from, Mr. Clauson, your area, please?

MR. CLAUSON: My area?

MR. JORIMAN: Yes.

MR. CLAUSON: I inspect in the metropolitan area.

MR. JORIMAN: Thank you, Mr. Clauson. Any questions from the panel?

If there are none, we will move to the next speaker listed on the chart here; Mr. Art Haehnel.

MR. HAEHNEL: I'm Art Haehnel. I'm a contract inspector for the State Board of Electricity in the southern metropolitan area, and I'm in favor of Mr. Akins' proposal on these fee adjustments.

MR. JORIMAN: Any questions of Mr. Haehnel? Thank you, Mr. Haehnel.

Next on the list we have Mr. Harry Kane.

MR. KANE: My name is Harry Kane. I'm manager of the Minnesota Electrical Association.

Our Board of Directors and a special committee examined the proposed changes of the inspection Fee Schedule outlined by the State Board of Electricity and offer the following comments.

We agree that the proposed minimum fee for any new type or separate installation or inspection should be

$5. Further that the maximum inspection fee on a farmstead or single family dwelling up to 100 ampere capacity should be raised from the present rate of $16 to $20. One hundred to 200 ampere capacity the maximum should be $32.

Some comments have been made that the maximum should be 40 instead of 32, but our belief is that 32 is a practical and realistic fee and that amount should be considered.

The consensus of the discussion at our meeting concerning these fees was in effect that it required very additional work if any to inspect 200 ampere service in comparison to a 400 ampere service, particularly as regards the farmstead or dwelling even though it might be a larger dwelling.

We are in accord with the other rates listed on the schedule proposed by the State Board of Electricity and feel that they are workable and reasonable amounts. We would like to call your attention to the fact that the cities of St. Paul and Minneapolis and others having their own inspection fees have no direct bearing on these fees which would be statewide in nature.

We have also heard some discussion in the past as to increase in rates on higher voltage and certain proposed rates on commercial and industrial circuits. However, it is the definite consensus of our Board of

Directors and the special committee that those rates submitted by the State Board of Electricity and attached to this letter should be the only ones considered to be effective as indicated.

As an addition thereto I would say that the ideas advanced previously by Mr. Akins and others had not to our knowledge been given to our association and in consequence other than I have indicated here have not been discussed. Particularly, as a gratuitous suggestion to the inspectors I would make a statement that if they wished such fees or changes to have been made, it might have been better for all of us concerned if we had that information sometime in the past so that we would have been able to discuss it before these committees, because it is practically impossible to bring these things to the attention of any organization, ours or anyone else, in the short time and have it reasonably discussed and considered in a fair manner.

Other than that I have no comments to make either for or against the comments of Mr. Akins or the other inspectors.

MR. JORIMAN: Do we have any questions for the witness?

MR. GERLING: Mr. Kane, could I possibly get you to comment on the idea of swimming pools and recreation,

saunas and so forth in an apartment building?

MR. KANE: It would be an entirely personal observation. I would not do it because the information that I'm giving here is a result of the meeting of the Board of Directors and the committee that studied this thing. From a personal matter of argument, I wouldn't argue it, but as I said, that's not a matter of record or an official statement of the association. It's entirely a gratuitous statement and it's very seldom that I do anything gratuitous.

MR. JORIMAN: Thank you, Mr. Kane. Any other panel questions?

Next on the list we have Gunnar Pettersen.

MR. PETTERSEN: My name is Gunnar Pettersen. I live on 2030 West County Road D in Roseville and I inspect for the City of Roseville and for the City of Arden Hills and the municipalities around the area and inspect -- we utilize the State Fee Schedule in order to be uniform for the industry.

I have looked over the original proposal and prepared it as to what it would do for a fee increase, and it has been thrown around at 25 per cent that we should have in cost of living. Now, this would not come to 25 per cent, as far as I could see. It would be less than 20. It would work out to just the original proposal, so for that reason I am supporting the amendments that

Mr. Akins has proposed. I feel that that will more bring it in line with the cost of living increases that we need.

MR. JORIMAN: Any questions by the panel of the witness? If not, thank you, Mr. Pettersen.

I have one last name on the list; Mr. Raymond McMan.

MR. McMAN: Mr. Chairman, I am Ray McMan speaking on behalf of the executive committee of the International Brotherhood of Electrical Workers, Minnesota State Council. The inspectors have submitted some recommended changes to the Board in the Fee Schedule. We are highly in support of their position.

The feeling sometimes on some of our parts is that they are very modest in their requests. We feel somewhat like Bud Grant does these days. I guess we need professionals, we need good people on the inspection. We have got to pay them an adequate living.

There is no use in going into the inspection cost of living and cost of their operation. That has been explained somewhat by Mr. Akins.

I think that what we are saying is that we in the IBEW and the State Council want top quality inspectors and want adequate pay for their work and endeavor. We can't get Tiffany jewels for Woolworth prices. Let's take care of our inspectors. Let's get good inspectors and enable

them to make a living.

MR. JORIMAN: Thank you, Mr. McMan. Any questions of Mr. McMan? Thank you, Ray.

That ends the list of those that were signed up to speak, but I would like to extend the invitation to anybody else in the audience that would like to speak pro or con for either proposal at this time.

Do we have any other persons?

MR. SALAY: Eugene Salay. I live at 1715 North Chasworth, and I would like to go on the record in support of Bill Akins' amendment.

I'm inspector for the Village of Shoreview, New Brighton, North Oaks and Columbia Heights.

Now, Bill said something about high voltage inspection. I have the Minneapolis Electric Steel and we have in there two 4,000 AV and 5,000 AV electric furnace, and here to 1973 they upgraded their feeders to 13,800 volts, and I was spending close to five, six hours over there inspecting the high voltage feeders, so there is a considerable amount of work in the thirteen eight.

Thank you.

MR. JORIMAN: Thank you, Mr. Salay. Any questions from the panel of the witness?

If not, I would extend the invitation again to any other person interested in making a comment pro or con.

MR. LARSON: Yes, Mr. Chairman. It sounds like you are about over. My name is Larson and I am just here to ask a question. I represent some carnivals, and what I understand here is it is simply a hike in the Fee Schedule is all that affects them, is that right, under 7 on page 3 of the proposal?

MR. JORIMAN: Yes, that's right. There is only one hike in the hourly rate keeping it up with what the proposal up above and then an increase on the concession or stand.

MR. LARSON: On a ride, device or concession, on an annual --

MR. JORIMAN: Yes.

MR. LARSON: What's this going to amount to on a typical carnival shopping center county fair carnival unit? Have you got any ballpark figure?

MR. JORIMAN: It depends upon on how many units you have. The subsequent inspection wouldn't change throughout the year. The main inspection thereafter wouldn't change. It would be only the initial inspection that there would be a change in. This would go completely on the number of units. There would be actually an increase of $2 per unit.

MR. PASSE: It would amount to 80 bucks as far as that part is concerned.

MR. LARSON: How much money are we talking about on this power supply unit? How much time is involved there?

MR. JORIMAN: Well, actually the power supply unit goes by the size of the service feeders and circuits, and that I would way would not change.

MR. LARSON: So we are not talking about anything here that's going to -- are most of the guys responding well?

MR. PASSE: As far as the Congress is concerned, we haven't had any response in this. They have gone pretty much with it over the years so we haven't really had too much problem.

MR. LARSON: We have got our convention coming up. Is there any reason why we should organize any meetings or anything as long as they are all going to be in town?

MR. PASSE: I don't think so. I will be at the convention and if you want me to explain it to you, I will, if any of you want any of it explained.

MR. LARSON: You will be around there?

MR. PASSE: I will be there for Tuesday and Wednesday.

MR. LARSON: Tuesday and Wednesday?

MR. PASSE: Yes.

MR. JORIMAN: Every carnival that has played

in the State of Minnesota in the last two years was sent notice of this hearing.

MR. LARSON: One of them asked me to drop over. I thought you would be going here until noon.

MR. JORIMAN: We weren't hiding it from anybody.

MR. LARSON: Thank you.

MR. JORIMAN: Any other questions of the witness? If not, I will repeat one more time, should anybody like to speak pro or con for the proposed fee schedules, you are welcome to take the microphone at this time.

If we have no further witnesses to come before the State Board of Electricity with their comments, I will turn the meeting back to our president, Mr. Gordon Gerling, to ask for a closer of this hearing.

MR. GERLING: Well, first of all, thank you, gentlemen, for your interest in showing up, and if somebody will make the usual motion, we will close the meeting.

MR. PASSE: I so move.

MR. HOLSING: I so move.

MR. GERLING: Moved by Mr. Passe and seconded by Mr. Holsing. All those in favor say aye. Contrary?

This meeting is adjourned.

- - -

CERTIFICATE

I do hereby certify that the foregoing 28 pages is a true and correct transcript of the notes taken on December 30, 1975; that said transcript has been compared to my stenographic notes.

Terrance A. Fauskee
Court Reporter

- - -