

Notes of AUC Executive Committee Meeting on January 4, 2016

Agenda Item	Discussion
1. Meeting to order – Tony Rinkenberger	Tony Rinkenberger convened the meeting
2. Anti-trust statement	Tony reminded the group that the meeting will adhere to the AUC Anti-trust statement.
3. Updates/Old business	
a. Review of notes from the previous meeting	The meeting notes were accepted as presented.
b. Review of recent AUC votes	<p>The Minnesota Department of Health (MDH) staff reported following AUC voting results:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Dave Andersen was approved as co-chair elect for 2016 • A continued limited exception for payers not subject to HIPAA from 270-271 requirements was approved for 2016 • AUC statement in support of MDH rulemaking process for companion guide rules was approved and submitted to MDH • In addition, the Home Health Uniform PA form TAG approved Home Health Uniform PA form, which will be sent to AUC Operations for final approval
c. Update – companion guide maintenance	MDH reviewed the status of companion guide maintenance, based on a table presented at the meeting and that was included in the meeting materials for the 1/4/16 meeting.
4. TAG/SBAR update	MDH summarized recent TAG and SBAR activity based on a table presented at the 1/4/16 meeting and that was included in the materials for the meeting.
4. ACO Data Analytics update	MDH briefly summarized the December 16, 2015 meeting of the TAG. The purpose of the next TAG meeting scheduled for January 14, 2016 will be to make recommendations regarding a draft list of data elements and data formats for enrollee data of members attributed to ACOs.
6. Public comment on rulemaking process used for companion guides	MDH received one comment during the 30-day public comment period, the AUC's. MDH will be submitting its biennial report with the comment to the AUC by the January 15, 2016 statutory deadline.
7. New business –	
• Surveys	
a. "Customer satisfaction"	Each year MDH conducts a "customer satisfaction survey" to identify areas where MDH may improve in its work with the AUC. The survey is part of the agency's "results based accountability" (RBA) performance evaluation and improvement system, and can be likened to a 360 degree performance evaluation. MDH plans to conduct the survey in the near future.
b. Pain points and priorities	MDH is also interested in learning more about the challenges facing administrative simplification efforts, as well as the impacts the efforts are having, and any suggestions for prioritizing and improving the efforts. MDH's interests will be

Notes of AUC Executive Committee Meeting on January 4, 2016

Agenda Item	Discussion
	discussed in subsequent Executive Committee meetings and at the March Operations meeting.
8. Other Business	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• MDH reported that the Council for Affordable Quality Healthcare (CAQH) Committee on Operating Rules for Information Exchange (CORE) did not approve an AUC proposal for an additional business scenario with related coding as part of federally mandated operating rules. MDH and the Executive Committee will seek any additional information or perspectives regarding CORE's decision.• MDH briefly summarized the findings from a 2015 survey of over 1100 clinics in Minnesota regarding their use of standard, electronic business transactions. The survey was conducted by the MDH Office of Health Information Technology to better gauge the implementation of e-health in the state. MDH also included several questions on the survey regarding the use of standard administrative transactions. The survey results indicated that most clinics were exchanging electronic eligibility inquiries and responses, claims, remittance advices, and acknowledgments for the majority of their patients but that some electronic transactions were more prevalent than others. For example, 94% of clinics reported that they exchanged electronic claims for 80% or more of their patients, while only 77% of clinics reported exchanging electronic eligibility inquiries/responses for 80% or more of their patients. The survey results will be discussed at the March Operations meeting. MDH will be repeating the survey in the spring of 2016 and will again ask similar questions about the use of electronic administrative transactions.• The Executive Committee discussed a timeline for possible 2016 activities in relation to other national administrative simplification efforts and events. The Committee asked MDH for more information regarding an anticipated public comment period by X12 of the next HIPAA proposed set of standards, the "7030." It also noted that there continue to be many questions about the implementation of Minnesota Department of Labor and Industry (DLI) requirements for electronic claims attachments for workers' compensation-related claims, and that it will be helpful to share more information with the AUC about the requirements. The Committee also requested that the state's January 1, 2016 implementation date for electronic prescription drug prior authorization be noted on the timeline, which will be discussed at subsequent Executive Committee and Operations meetings. <p>There was no other business and the meeting was adjourned at approximately 10:30 a.m.</p>

Notes of AUC Executive Committee Meeting on January 4, 2016

Agenda Item	Discussion
9. Next meeting	Next meeting: February 1, 2016 8:30am - 10:30am a. Health Partners 8170 Bldng, Walnut Room