

Voter ID Amendment

1. During the Legislative Council Meeting it was suggested that the board approve a position statement against the Voter ID amendment using bullet points that outlined the possible consequences of the amendment.
2. At the 9/18/12 meeting, the following language was suggested for the position statement:

“Whereas the Chicano Latino Affairs Council is concerned the implementation of the 2012 proposed Minnesota Voter ID Constitutional Amendment may result in the suppression of the Latino vote and therefore, the Chicano Latino Affairs Council requests that the State of Minnesota and US government take appropriate steps to prevent this undemocratic action.”

In the end, the Board motioned for the position statement regarding the Voter ID be delegated to Killa. After Killa crafts the position statement (which should merge the two suggestions above), it is then supposed to get the final approval from the Legislative Committee.

Marriage Amendment

1. The motion that carried by the Legislative Committee was that the Board approve CLAC’s position against marriage amendment based on human rights and civil rights.
2. Albert suggested the three positions statements be issued together as opposed to individually.

The Board’s motion was for Killa to draft a position statement against the Marriage Amendment based on similar grounds as with Voter ID Amendment. The position would then need to have final approval form the Legislative Committee.

Deferred Action

During the 9/18/12 meeting it was concluded that the next steps regarding DACA would need to be discussed in a following meeting because of lack of time on 9/18/12. Possible steps included CLAC partnering with organizations to fundraise money for a fund that would provide financial support to DACA applicants.

Final Motions

At the end of the day, despite the previous motions, there was a final motion which delegated Killa with the responsibility of writing position statements on the three issues, even though a position was not proposed on DACA. It was mentioned that the Legislative Committee have the final approval on the position statements.

One final note, there was not a final decision on how or where these position statements would be published.