



[Minnesota Geographic Board.  
Records.](#)

## **Copyright Notice:**

This material may be protected by copyright law (U.S. Code, Title 17). Researchers are liable for any infringement. For more information, visit [www.mnhs.org/copyright](http://www.mnhs.org/copyright).

E  
MAPS  
Geographic Names

Case C-9  
GEOGRAPHIC NAMES REPORT

Forest Superior  
Date February 1, 1940

NOTE: Names in local usage, whether they are shown on existing maps or not, on which no confusion or duplication exists, are sanctioned by the United States Board on Geographical Names, and need not be submitted for official approval unless clearly unworthy of perpetuation.

1. Feature (Lake, River, Etc.) Lake
2. Location: State of Minnesota County Lake  
Township 64 N Range 7 W Section 11,12,13,14
3. Description of feature (Area of lake in acres, length of stream in miles, elev. of mt., peculiarities in shape, etc.)  
Area - 84 Acres

4. Does this feature have a name? YES - ~~NO~~ (Cross out one) (a) If so, give name or names, history of each, & source of information.  
Wisini Lake - Since 1928 this name has been used on Forest Service administrative maps. The Gazetteer of Meandered Lakes of Minnesota, published in 1928, also uses this name.

Crocus Lake - So called on Lake County maps.

5. Name recommended for approval Wisini Lake.  
(a) Origin and meaning No information could be obtained locally which would throw any light on the significance of the proposed name.

- (b) Source of information if not given under 4a

6. Reasons for the change recommended Wisini is the commonly used local name. A decision is desired in order to obtain uniform nomenclature on all published maps.

7. Have the principles of the U.S.B.G.N and the "Statement for Guidance of Government Officers in the determination of names to be submitted to the U.S.B.G.N. for decision," been duly considered in making this recommendation? Yes

8. Recommendations on this form are to be accompanied by a 1/4" or 1/2" scale map showing the location of each feature for which a name is submitted.

SUBMITTED BY: \_\_\_\_\_  
Forest Ranger

RECOMMENDED BY: Clare Hendee  
Forest Supervisor

REVIEWED BY: \_\_\_\_\_  
H. Coleman  
Regional Engineer

Forest Superior  
Date February 1, 1940

E  
MAPS  
Geographic Names

Case C-10  
GEOGRAPHIC NAMES REPORT

NOTE: Names in local usage, whether they are shown on existing maps or not, on which no confusion or duplication exists, are sanctioned by the United States Board on Geographical Names, and need not be submitted for official approval unless clearly unworthy of perpetuation.

1. Feature (Lake, River, Etc.) Lake
2. Location: State of Minnesota County Lake  
Township 59 N Range 6 W Section 11
3. Description of feature (Area of lake in acres, length of stream in miles, elev. of mt., peculiarities in shape, etc.)  
Area - 37 Acres

4. Does this feature have a name? YES - ~~NO~~ (Cross out one) (a) If so, give name or names, history of each, & source of information.

Morris Lake - Minnesota State Fish & Game Department map (1924) showing streams tributary to Lake Superior. Morris is the name of an individual living on the lake at present.

Hare Lake - Forest Service maps, and Lake County maps.

5. Name recommended for approval Hare Lake.

(a) Origin and meaning Could not be determined locally.

(b) Source of information if not given under 4a

6. Reasons for the change recommended Hare is the generally accepted name, and is recommended for adoption to avoid any further conflict between the two names.

7. Have the principles of the U.S.B.G.N and the "Statement for Guidance of Government Officers in the determination of names to be submitted to the U.S.B.G.N. for decision," been duly considered in making this recommendation? Yes

8. Recommendations on this form are to be accompanied by a 1/4" or 1/2" scale map showing the location of each feature for which a name is submitted.

SUBMITTED BY: \_\_\_\_\_  
Forest Ranger

RECOMMENDED BY: Clare Hendee  
Forest Supervisor

REVIEWED BY: \_\_\_\_\_  
H. Coleman  
Regional Engineer

E  
MAPS  
Geographic Names

Case C-28  
GEOGRAPHIC NAMES REPORT

~~Forest~~  
Date February 1, 1940

NOTE: Names in local usage, whether they are shown on existing maps or not, on which no confusion or duplication exists, are sanctioned by the United States Board on Geographical Names, and need not be submitted for official approval unless clearly unworthy of perpetuation.

1. Feature (Lake, River, Etc.) Lake

2. Location: State of Minnesota County Lake  
Township 56 N Range 7 W Section 7, 18

3. Description of feature (Area of lake in acres, length of stream in miles, elev. of mt., peculiarities in shape, etc.)  
Area - 104 Acres

4. Does this feature have a name? YES - ~~NO~~ (Cross out one) (a) If so, give name or names, history of each, & source of information.  
Jitcagouche Lake - Name on 1938 edition of Superior National Forest map.  
Tetagouche Lake - Minnesota State Fish & Game Department map (1924) showing streams tributary to Lake Superior. This is also the locally used name.

5. Name recommended for approval Tetagouche Lake.  
(a) Origin and meaning Tetagouche is an Indian word, the meaning of which could not readily be found.

(b) Source of information if not given under 4a \_\_\_\_\_

6. Reasons for the change recommended Tetagouche is believed to be the correct spelling, and is recommended for adoption in order to avoid further use of the form shown on the 1938 Superior National Forest map.

7. Have the principles of the U.S.B.G.N and the "Statement for Guidance of Government Officers in the determination of names to be submitted to the U.S.B.G.N. for decision," been duly considered in making this recommendation? Yes

8. Recommendations on this form are to be accompanied by a 1/4" or 1/2" scale map showing the location of each feature for which a name is submitted.

SUBMITTED BY: \_\_\_\_\_  
Forest Ranger

RECOMMENDED BY: Clare Hendee  
Forest Supervisor

REVIEWED BY: \_\_\_\_\_  
H. Coleman  
Regional Engineer

E  
MAPS  
Geographic Names

Case C-31  
GEOGRAPHIC NAMES REPORT

Forest Superior  
Date February 1, 1940

NOTE: Names in local usage, whether they are shown on existing maps or not, on which no confusion or duplication exists, are sanctioned by the United States Board on Geographical Names, and need not be submitted for official approval unless clearly unworthy of perpetuation.

1. Feature (Lake, River, Etc.) River  
2. Location: State of Minnesota County Lake  
Junction of Branches Township 55 N Range 8 W Section 2

3. Description of feature (Area of lake in acres, length of stream in miles, elev. of mt., peculiarities in shape, etc.)  
There are two main branches of Beaver River which join about 2 miles above the point where it flows into Lake Superior at the Beaver Bay P.O. The source of both branches is in T. 57 N., R. 9 W. The east branch is approx. 25 miles long and the west branch is approximately 29 miles long.

4. Does this feature have a name? YES - ~~NO~~ (Cross out one) (a) If so, give name or names, history of each, & source of information.  
Beaver Creek - The U.S. Geographic Board at one time approved this name for the entire stream, but failed to define its course. (see page 132 of the 6th report of the U.S. Geog. Brd.) This name is not recognized locally.  
Forest Service maps and County maps agree on East Branch Beaver River and West Branch Beaver River. East Branch and West Branch are in common usage locally.

5. Name recommended for approval (East Branch Beaver River) (West Branch Beaver River)  
(a) Origin and meaning The names Beaver Bay and Beaver River date back to the early development of the North Shore country.

(b) Source of information if not given under 4a

6. Reasons for the change recommended Change recommended so that names used on maps will conform to common local usage. It is realized that the U.S. Board on Geographical Names is not favorably inclined toward the repetition of names on various branches of streams. However, these two names appear to be firmly entrenched and for this reason we recommend their adoption.

7. Have the principles of the U.S.B.G.N and the "Statement for Guidance of Government Officers in the determination of names to be submitted to the U.S.B.G.N. for decision," been duly considered in making this recommendation?

8. Recommendations on this form are to be accompanied by a 1/4" or 1/2" scale map showing the location of each feature for which a name is submitted.

SUBMITTED BY: Forest Ranger RECOMMENDED BY: Clare Hendee  
Forest Supervisor  
REVIEWED BY: H. Coleman  
Regional Engineer

Forest Superior

E  
MAPS  
Geographic Names

Case C-32  
GEOGRAPHIC NAMES REPORT

Date February 1, 1940

NOTE: Names in local usage, whether they are shown on existing maps or not, on which no confusion or duplication exists, are sanctioned by the United States Board on Geographical Names, and need not be submitted for official approval unless clearly unworthy of perpetuation.

1. Feature (Lake, River, Etc.) Lake

2. Location: State of Minnesota County Lake  
Township 64 N Range 10 W Section 26,27,28,34

3. Description of feature (Area of lake in acres, length of stream in miles, elev. of mt., peculiarities in shape, etc.)  
Area - 663 Acres

4. Does this feature have a name? YES - ~~NO~~ (Cross out one) (a) If so, give name or names, history of each, & source of information.  
Pine Lake - Gazetteer of Meandered Lakes of Minnesota.

Wood Lake - Used on 1938 issue of Superior National Forest map. This name was selected as the most authentic by Forest Service officials, State Conservation officials, game wardens and interested local parties at a joint meeting held at Ely, Minnesota, September 14, 1936.

5. Name recommended for approval Wood Lake

(a) Origin and meaning Nesting place of wood ducks.

(b) Source of information if not given under 4a

6. Reasons for the change recommended The name Wood Lake has been accepted locally for the past 10 or 12 years. There are other Pine Lakes in the vicinity. As far as can be determined, the name Wood is not duplicated in the immediate vicinity.

7. Have the principles of the U.S.B.G.N and the "Statement for Guidance of Government Officers in the determination of names to be submitted to the U.S.B.G.N. for decision," been duly considered in making this recommendation? Yes

8. Recommendations on this form are to be accompanied by a 1/4" or 1/2" scale map showing the location of each feature for which a name is submitted.

SUBMITTED BY: \_\_\_\_\_  
Forest Ranger

RECOMMENDED BY: Clare Hendee  
Forest Supervisor

REVIEWED BY: \_\_\_\_\_  
Regional Engineer

Forest Superior  
Date February 1, 1940

E  
MAPS  
Geographic Names

Case C-33  
GEOGRAPHIC NAMES REPORT

NOTE: Names in local usage, whether they are shown on existing maps or not, on which no confusion or duplication exists, are sanctioned by the United States Board on Geographical Names, and need not be submitted for official approval unless clearly unworthy of perpetuation.

1. Feature (Lake, River, Etc.) Lake  
2. Location: State of Minnesota County Lake  
Township 64 N Range 10 W Section 20,29,30  
3. Description of feature (Area of lake in acres, length of stream in miles, elev. of mt., peculiarities in shape, etc.)  
Area - 511 Acres

4. Does this feature have a name? YES - ~~NO~~ (Cross out one) (a) If so, give name or names, history of each, & source of information.  
Urn Lake - Early Forest Service maps and Lake County maps use this name.  
Annie Hall Lake - Name given in the Gazetteer of Meandered Lakes of Minnesota, and selected as most authentic at a joint meeting of Forest Service and State Conservation officers, game wardens, and local people.

5. Name recommended for approval Annie Hall Lake  
(a) Origin and meaning Could not be determined locally.

(b) Source of information if not given under 4a \_\_\_\_\_

6. Reasons for the change recommended The recommended name is in common local use.

7. Have the principles of the U.S.B.G.N and the "Statement for Guidance of Government Officers in the determination of names to be submitted to the U.S.B.G.N. for decision," been duly considered in making this recommendation? Yes

8. Recommendations on this form are to be accompanied by a 1/4" or 1/2" scale map showing the location of each feature for which a name is submitted.

SUBMITTED BY: \_\_\_\_\_ FOREST RANGER  
RECOMMENDED BY: Clare Hendee  
Forest Supervisor

REVIEWED BY: \_\_\_\_\_  
H. Coleman  
Regional Engineer

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE  
FOREST SERVICE

E  
MAPS  
Geographic Names

Case C-34  
GEOGRAPHIC NAMES REPORT

Forest Superior  
Date February 1, 1940

NOTE: Names in local usage, whether they are shown on existing maps or not, on which no confusion or duplication exists, are sanctioned by the United States Board on Geographical Names, and need not be submitted for official approval unless clearly unworthy of perpetuation.

1. Feature (Lake, River, Etc.) Lake  
2. Location: State of Minnesota County Lake  
Township 60 N Range 9 W Section 7, 18

3. Description of feature (Area of lake in acres, length of stream in miles, elev. of mt., peculiarities in shape, etc.)  
Area - 59 Acres. In shape, the lake resembles a large Beetle.

4. Does this feature have a name? YES - ~~NO~~ (Cross out one) (a) If so, give name or names, history of each, & source of information.

John Lake - Name given in Gazetteer of Meandered Lakes of Minnesota.  
Beetle Lake - Name used on Forest Service maps, and selected as most authentic at a joint meeting of Forest Service and State Conservation officers, game wardens, and interested local parties.

5. Name recommended for approval Beetle Lake

(a) Origin and meaning Name derived from shape of lake.

(b) Source of information if not given under 4a

6. Reasons for the change recommended Beetle is the common local name. John Lake is used on other lakes within the Forest.

7. Have the principles of the U.S.B.G.N and the "Statement for Guidance of Government Officers in the determination of names to be submitted to the U.S.B.G.N. for decision," been duly considered in making this recommendation? Yes

8. Recommendations on this form are to be accompanied by a 1/4" or 1/2" scale map showing the location of each feature for which a name is submitted.

SUBMITTED BY: \_\_\_\_\_  
Forest Ranger

RECOMMENDED BY: Clare Hendee  
Forest Supervisor

REVIEWED BY: \_\_\_\_\_  
Regional Engineer

Forest Superior

E  
MAPS  
Geographic Names

Case C-35  
GEOGRAPHIC NAMES REPORT

Date February 1, 1940

NOTE: Names in local usage, whether they are shown on existing maps or not, on which no confusion or duplication exists, are sanctioned by the United States Board on Geographical Names, and need not be submitted for official approval unless clearly unworthy of perpetuation.

1. Feature (Lake, River, Etc.) Lake

2. Location: State of Minnesota County Lake  
Township 60 N Range 9 W Section 10

3. Description of feature (Area of lake in acres, length of stream in miles, elev. of mt., peculiarities in shape, etc.)  
Area - 58 Acres - in shape, the lake resembles a sitting cat.

4. Does this feature have a name? YES - ~~NO~~ (Cross out one) (a) If so, give name or names, history of each, & source of information.

Hill Lake - Gazetteer of Meandered Lakes of Minnesota. This name probably given to lake because a family named Hill lives on it.

Cat Lake - Name shown on Forest Service maps, and selected as the most authentic name at a joint meeting of Forest Service, <sup>and</sup> State Conservation officers, game wardens, and interested local parties.

5. Name recommended for approval Cat Lake

(a) Origin and meaning Name was derived from the shape of the lake.

(b) Source of information if not given under 4a

6. Reasons for the change recommended The recommended name seems appropriate and as the other name is that of a family now living on the lake, it would not be good policy to propose the adoption of Hill.

7. Have the principles of the U.S.B.G.N and the "Statement for Guidance of Government Officers in the determination of names to be submitted to the U.S.B.G.N. for decision," been duly considered in making this recommendation? Yes

8. Recommendations on this form are to be accompanied by a 1/4" or 1/2" scale map showing the location of each feature for which a name is submitted.

SUBMITTED BY: \_\_\_\_\_  
Forest Ranger

RECOMMENDED BY: Clare Hendee  
Forest Supervisor

REVIEWED BY: \_\_\_\_\_  
H. Coleman  
Regional Engineer

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE  
FOREST SERVICE

E  
MAPS  
Geographic Names

Case C-36

GEOGRAPHIC NAMES REPORT

Forest Superior

Date February 1, 1940

NOTE: Names in local usage, whether they are shown on existing maps or not, on which no confusion or duplication exists, are sanctioned by the United States Board on Geographical Names, and need not be submitted for official approval unless clearly unworthy of perpetuation.

1. Feature (Lake, River, Etc.) Lake

2. Location: State of Minnesota County Lake  
Township 60 N Range 9 W Section 7,8

3. Description of feature (Area of lake in acres, length of stream in miles, elev. of mt., peculiarities in shape, etc.)  
Area - 48 Acres. The shape of the lake bears some resemblance to a dragon.

4. Does this feature have a name? YES - ~~NO~~ (Cross out one) (a) If so, give name or names, history of each, & source of information.

Duck Lake - Gazetteer of Meandered Lakes of Minnesota.

Dragon Lake - Name used on Forest Service maps, and selected as most authentic name at a joint meeting of Forest Service and State Conservation officers, game wardens, and interested local parties. Dragon Lake is the common local name.

5. Name recommended for approval Dragon Lake

(a) Origin and meaning The name was originally applied to this lake because of its shape.

(b) Source of information if not given under 4a

6. Reasons for the change recommended The name "Duck" is used extensively, <sup>on other lakes</sup> and as Dragon is used locally its adoption is recommended. As far as is known Dragon is not duplicated in the immediate vicinity.

7. Have the principles of the U.S.B.G.N and the "Statement for Guidance of Government Officers in the determination of names to be submitted to the U.S.B.G.N. for decision," been duly considered in making this recommendation? Yes

8. Recommendations on this form are to be accompanied by a 1/4" or 1/2" scale map showing the location of each feature for which a name is submitted.

SUBMITTED BY: \_\_\_\_\_  
Forest Ranger

RECOMMENDED BY: Clare Hendee  
Forest Supervisor

REVIEWED BY: \_\_\_\_\_  
H. Coleman  
Regional Engineer

Forest Superior  
Date February 1, 1940

E  
MAPS  
Geographic Names

Case C-37  
GEOGRAPHIC NAMES REPORT

NOTE: Names in local usage, whether they are shown on existing maps or not, on which no confusion or duplication exists, are sanctioned by the United States Board on Geographical Names, and need not be submitted for official approval unless clearly unworthy of perpetuation.

1. Feature (Lake, River, Etc.) Lake  
2. Location: State of Minnesota County Lake  
Township 60 N Range 8 W Section 28, 33, 34

3. Description of feature (Area of lake in acres, length of stream in miles, elev. of mt., peculiarities in shape, etc.)  
Area - 114 Acres

4. Does this feature have a name? YES - ~~NO~~ (Cross out one) (a) If so, give name or names, history of each, & source of information.  
Foote Lake - Gazetteer of Meandered Lakes of Minnesota.

Eighteen Lake - Name used on Forest Service maps and selected as the name most commonly used by Forest Service and State Conservation officers, game wardens and interested local parties in joint meeting. No one present at the meeting could recall the name of Foote being applied to the lake.

5. Name recommended for approval Eighteen Lake  
(a) Origin and meaning In the logging days a lumber company logging camp #18 was located on the shores of this lake.

(b) Source of information if not given under 4a \_\_\_\_\_

6. Reasons for the change recommended Eighteen is recommended to conform with local usage. The adoption of the name will help to avoid further confusion with the Gazetteer.

7. Have the principles of the U.S.B.G.N and the "Statement for Guidance of Government Officers in the determination of names to be submitted to the U.S.B.G.N. for decision," been duly considered in making this recommendation? Yes

8. Recommendations on this form are to be accompanied by a 1/4" or 1/2" scale map showing the location of each feature for which a name is submitted.

SUBMITTED BY: \_\_\_\_\_  
Forest Ranger

RECOMMENDED BY: Clare Hendee  
Forest Supervisor

REVIEWED BY: H. Coleman  
Regional Engineer

Forest Superior

E  
MAPS  
Geographic Names

Case C-38  
GEOGRAPHIC NAMES REPORT

Date February 1, 1940

NOTE: Names in local usage, whether they are shown on existing maps or not, on which no confusion or duplication exists, are sanctioned by the United States Board on Geographical Names, and need not be submitted for official approval unless clearly unworthy of perpetuation.

1. Feature (Lake, River, Etc.) Lake

2. Location: State of Minnesota County Lake  
Township 60 N Range 9 W Section 10, 11, 14, 15

3. Description of feature (Area of lake in acres, length of stream in miles, elev. of mt., peculiarities in shape, etc.)  
Area - 145 Acres. In shape the lake resembles a grouse.

4. Does this feature have a name? YES - ~~NO~~ (Cross out one) (a) If so, give name or names, history of each, & source of information.

Section Eleven Lake - Gazetteer of Meandered Lakes of Minnesota.  
Grouse Lake - name used on Forest Service maps, and selected as most authentic name  
at joint meeting of Forest Service and State Conservation officers,  
game wardens, and interested local parties. Individuals owning summer  
homes on the lake always refer to it as Grouse

5. Name recommended for approval Grouse Lake

(a) Origin and meaning The lake was named Grouse because of its shape.

(b) Source of information if not given under 4a

6. Reasons for the change recommended The recommended name is more appropriate than the one  
listed in the Gazetteer, and it coincides with local use.

7. Have the principles of the U.S.B.G.N and the "Statement for Guidance of Government Officers in the determination of names to be submitted to the U.S.B.G.N. for decision," been duly considered in making this recommendation? Yes

8. Recommendations on this form are to be accompanied by a 1/4" or 1/2" scale map showing the location of each feature for which a name is submitted.

SUBMITTED BY: \_\_\_\_\_  
Forest Ranger

RECOMMENDED BY: Clare Hendee  
Forest Supervisor

REVIEWED BY: \_\_\_\_\_  
H. Coleman  
Regional Engineer

Forest Superior  
Date February 1, 1940

E  
MAPS  
Geographic Names

Case C-39  
GEOGRAPHIC NAMES REPORT

NOTE: Names in local usage, whether they are shown on existing maps or not, on which no confusion or duplication exists, are sanctioned by the United States Board on Geographical Names, and need not be submitted for official approval unless clearly unworthy of perpetuation.

1. Feature (Lake, River, Etc.) Lake  
2. Location: State of Minnesota County Lake  
Township 61 N Range 8 W Section 34  
3. Description of feature (Area of lake in acres, length of stream in miles, elev. of mt., peculiarities in shape, etc.)  
Area - 87 Acres

4. Does this feature have a name? YES - ~~NO~~ (Cross out one) (a) If so, give name or names, history of each, & source of information.  
Morse Lake - Gazetteer of Meandered Lakes of Minnesota.

Helen Lake - Name used on Forest Service maps and selected as most authentic at joint meeting of Forest Service and State Conservation officers, game wardens, and interested local parties. No present use of the name Morse for this body of water could be found.

5. Name recommended for approval Helen Lake  
(a) Origin and meaning Could not be determined locally

(b) Source of information if not given under 4a

6. Reasons for the change recommended The adoption of the recommended name will avoid further confusion between local use and the Gazetteer

7. Have the principles of the U.S.B.G.N and the "Statement for Guidance of Government Officers in the determination of names to be submitted to the U.S.B.G.N. for decision," been duly considered in making this recommendation? Yes

8. Recommendations on this form are to be accompanied by a 1/4" or 1/2" scale map showing the location of each feature for which a name is submitted.

SUBMITTED BY: Forest Ranger RECOMMENDED BY: Clare Hendee  
Forest Supervisor

REVIEWED BY: H. Coleman  
Regional Engineer

Forest Superior

E  
MAPS  
Geographic Names

Case C-40  
GEOGRAPHIC NAMES REPORT

Date February 1, 1940

NOTE: Names in local usage, whether they are shown on existing maps or not, on which no confusion or duplication exists, are sanctioned by the United States Board on Geographical Names, and need not be submitted for official approval unless clearly unworthy of perpetuation.

1. Feature (Lake, River, Etc.) Lake

2. Location: State of Minnesota County Lake  
Township 60 N Range 9 W Section 27 11

3. Description of feature (Area of lake in acres, length of stream in miles, elev. of mt., peculiarities in shape, etc.)  
Area - 52 Acres

4. Does this feature have a name? YES - ~~NO~~ (Cross out one) (a) If so, give name or names, history of each, & source of information.

Lone Lake - Gazetteer of Meandered Lakes of Minnesota.

Inga Lake - Name used on Forest Service maps, and selected as the name in most common use at a joint meeting of Forest Service and State Conservation officers, game wardens and interested local parties.

5. Name recommended for approval Inga Lake

(a) Origin and meaning Could not be determined locally.

(b) Source of information if not given under 4a

6. Reasons for the change recommended The recommended name is suitable and conforms to local use.

7. Have the principles of the U.S.B.G.N and the "Statement for Guidance of Government Officers in the determination of names to be submitted to the U.S.B.G.N. for decision," been duly considered in making this recommendation? Yes

8. Recommendations on this form are to be accompanied by a 1/4" or 1/2" scale map showing the location of each feature for which a name is submitted.

SUBMITTED BY: \_\_\_\_\_  
Forest Ranger

RECOMMENDED BY: Clare Hendee  
Forest Supervisor

REVIEWED BY: \_\_\_\_\_  
Regional Engineer

E  
MAPS  
Geographic Names

Case C-41  
GEOGRAPHIC NAMES REPORT

Forest Superior  
Date February 1, 1940

NOTE: Names in local usage, whether they are shown on existing maps or not, on which no confusion or duplication exists, are sanctioned by the United States Board on Geographical Names, and need not be submitted for official approval unless clearly unworthy of perpetuation.

1. Feature (Lake, River, Etc.) Lake  
2. Location: State of Minnesota County Lake  
Township 60 N Range 8 W Section 6  
3. Description of feature (Area of lake in acres, length of stream in miles, elev. of mt., peculiarities in shape, etc.)  
Area - 99 Acres

4. Does this feature have a name? YES - ~~NO~~ (Cross out one) (a) If so, give name or names, history of each, & source of information.  
Lone Lake - Gazetteer of Meandered Lakes of Minnesota.  
Lena Lake - Name used on Forest Service maps, and selected as the most authentic name at a joint meeting of Forest Service and State Conservation officers, game wardens, and interested local parties.

5. Name recommended for approval Lena Lake  
(a) Origin and meaning Could not be determined locally.

(b) Source of information if not given under 4a

6. Reasons for the change recommended The recommended name conforms to local use and the name Lone is seldom applied to the lake.

7. Have the principles of the U.S.B.G.N and the "Statement for Guidance of Government Officers in the determination of names to be submitted to the U.S.B.G.N. for decision," been duly considered in making this recommendation? Yes

8. Recommendations on this form are to be accompanied by a 1/4" or 1/2" scale map showing the location of each feature for which a name is submitted.

SUBMITTED BY: \_\_\_\_\_ FOREST RANGER  
RECOMMENDED BY: Clare Hendee FOREST SUPERVISOR

REVIEWED BY: \_\_\_\_\_  
H. Coleman REGIONAL ENGINEER

E  
MAPS  
Geographic Names

Case C-43  
GEOGRAPHIC NAMES REPORT

Forest Superior  
Date February 1, 1940

NOTE: Names in local usage, whether they are shown on existing maps or not, on which no confusion or duplication exists, are sanctioned by the United States Board on Geographical Names, and need not be submitted for official approval unless clearly unworthy of perpetuation.

1. Feature (Lake, River, Etc.) Lake
2. Location: State of Minnesota County Lake  
Township 60 N Range 9 W Section 9, 10
3. Description of feature (Area of lake in acres, length of stream in miles, elev. of mt., peculiarities in shape, etc.)  
Area - 55 Acres

4. Does this feature have a name? YES - ~~NO~~ (Cross out one) (a) If so, give name or names, history of each, & source of information.

Finn Lake - Gazetteer of Meandered Lakes of Minnesota.

Ova Lake - Name used on Forest Service maps and selected as most authentic at joint meeting of Forest Service and State Conservation officers, game wardens, and interested local parties.

5. Name recommended for approval Ova

(a) Origin and meaning Could not be determined locally

(b) Source of information if not given under 4a

6. Reasons for the change recommended The recommended name conforms to local use. Finn's very seldom used.

7. Have the principles of the U.S.B.G.N and the "Statement for Guidance of Government Officers in the determination of names to be submitted to the U.S.B.G.N. for decision," been duly considered in making this recommendation? Yes

8. Recommendations on this form are to be accompanied by a 1/4" or 1/2" scale map showing the location of each feature for which a name is submitted.

SUBMITTED BY: \_\_\_\_\_  
Forest Ranger

RECOMMENDED BY: Clare Hendee  
Forest Supervisor

REVIEWED BY: \_\_\_\_\_  
Regional Engineer

E  
MAPS  
Geographic Names

Case C-45  
GEOGRAPHIC NAMES REPORT

Forest Superior  
Date February 1, 1940

NOTE: Names in local usage, whether they are shown on existing maps or not, on which no confusion or duplication exists, are sanctioned by the United States Board on Geographical Names, and need not be submitted for official approval unless clearly unworthy of perpetuation.

1. Feature (Lake, River, Etc.) Lake  
2. Location: State of Minnesota County Lake  
Township 61 N Range 9 W Section 28  
3. Description of feature (Area of lake in acres, length of stream in miles, elev. of mt., peculiarities in shape, etc.)  
Area - 60 Acres

4. Does this feature have a name? YES - ~~NO~~ (Cross out one) (a) If so, give name or names, history of each, & source of information.  
Lost Lake - Gazetteer of Meandered Lakes of Minnesota.  
Sphagnum Lake - Name shown on Forest Service maps, and selected as most commonly used name at joint meeting of Forest Service and State Conservation officers, game wardens, and interested local parties.

5. Name recommended for approval Sphagnum Lake (Note difference in spelling.)  
(a) Origin and meaning The recommended name originated from the fact that the lake is located in a wet Sphagnum moss swamp.

(b) Source of information if not given under 4a The spelling Sphagnum is the one given in Webster's Collegiate dictionary. The name used on Forest Service maps (Sphagnum) is probably a corruption of the correct name.

6. Reasons for the change recommended The proposed name is appropriate, in good local use, and its adoption will help to eliminate the use of "Lost" as the name of this lake.

7. Have the principles of the U.S.B.G.N and the "Statement for Guidance of Government Officers in the determination of names to be submitted to the U.S.B.G.N. for decision," been duly considered in making this recommendation? Yes

8. Recommendations on this form are to be accompanied by a 1/4" or 1/2" scale map showing the location of each feature for which a name is submitted.

SUBMITTED BY: \_\_\_\_\_ FOREST RANGER  
RECOMMENDED BY: Clare Hendee  
Forest Supervisor

REVIEWED BY: \_\_\_\_\_  
H. Coleman  
Regional Engineer

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE  
FOREST SERVICE

Forest Superior  
Date February 1, 1940

E  
MAPS  
Geographic Names

Case C-49  
GEOGRAPHIC NAMES REPORT

NOTE: Names in local usage, whether they are shown on existing maps or not, on which no confusion or duplication exists, are sanctioned by the United States Board on Geographical Names, and need not be submitted for official approval unless clearly unworthy of perpetuation.

1. Feature (Lake, River, Etc.) Lake  
2. Location: State of Minnesota County Lake  
Township 61 N Range 6 W Section 13, 14  
3. Description of feature (Area of lake in acres, length of stream in miles, elev. of mt., peculiarities in shape, etc.)  
Area - 62 Acres. In shape, the lake resembles the jaw-bone of an animal.

4. Does this feature have a name? YES - ~~NO~~ (Cross out one) (a) If so, give name or names, history of each, & source of information.  
Long Lake - Gazetteer of Meandered Lakes of Minnesota.  
Bone Lake - name used on Forest Service maps and selected as most authentic name at a joint meeting of Forest Service and State Conservation officers, game wardens, and interested local parties.

5. Name recommended for approval Bone Lake  
(a) Origin and meaning The name is derived from the shape of the lake.

(b) Source of information if not given under 4a

6. Reasons for the change recommended The recommended name conforms to local use and, if adopted, will help to abolish the use of the name Long for this lake. There are many other Long Lakes in the Superior National Forest.

7. Have the principles of the U.S.B.G.N and the "Statement for Guidance of Government Officers in the determination of names to be submitted to the U.S.B.G.N. for decision," been duly considered in making this recommendation? Yes

8. Recommendations on this form are to be accompanied by a 1/4" or 1/2" scale map showing the location of each feature for which a name is submitted.

SUBMITTED BY: \_\_\_\_\_ FOREST RANGER  
RECOMMENDED BY: Clare Hendee FOREST SUPERVISOR  
REVIEWED BY: \_\_\_\_\_  
H. Coleman REGIONAL ENGINEER

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE  
FOREST SERVICE

E  
MAPS  
Geographic Names

Case C-51  
GEOGRAPHIC NAMES REPORT

Forest Superior  
Date February 1, 1940

NOTE: Names in local usage, whether they are shown on existing maps or not, on which no confusion or duplication exists, are sanctioned by the United States Board on Geographical Names, and need not be submitted for official approval unless clearly unworthy of perpetuation.

1. Feature (Lake, River, Etc.) Lake
2. Location: State of Minnesota County Cook and Lake  
Township 60 N Range 5-6 W Section 7, 18; 12, 13
3. Description of feature (Area of lake in acres, length of stream in miles, elev. of mt., peculiarities in shape, etc.)  
Area - 160 Acres

4. Does this feature have a name? YES - ~~NO~~ (Cross out one) (a) If so, give name or names, history of each, & source of information.  
Nigger Lake - Gazetteer of Meandered Lakes of Minnesota.  
Cross River Lake - Name used on Forest Service maps and selected as the most authentic name at a joint meeting of Forest Service and State Conservation officers, game wardens, and interested local parties.

5. Name recommended for approval Cross River Lake
- (a) Origin and meaning This lake is the source of Cross River, a stream which flows into Lake Superior. At the mouth of the river is a marker, commemorating the cross erected by Father Baraga in thanksgiving for his safe crossing of Lake Superior in a canoe during a severe storm in 1846.

- (b) Source of information if not given under 4a The data concerning Father Baraga's cross was collected by Forest Service officers for use in connection with a recreational booklet.

6. Reasons for the change recommended The recommended name has been in common use for the past 10 or 12 years. There is little support of the name Nigger.

7. Have the principles of the U.S.B.G.N and the "Statement for Guidance of Government Officers in the determination of names to be submitted to the U.S.B.G.N. for decision," been duly considered in making this recommendation? Yes

8. Recommendations on this form are to be accompanied by a 1/4" or 1/2" scale map showing the location of each feature for which a name is submitted.

SUBMITTED BY: Forest Ranger RECOMMENDED BY: Clare Hendee  
Forest Supervisor  
REVIEWED BY: H. Coleman  
Regional Engineer

E  
MAPS  
Geographic Names

Case C-53  
GEOGRAPHIC NAMES REPORT

Forest Superior  
Date February 1, 1940

NOTE: Names in local usage, whether they are shown on existing maps or not, on which no confusion or duplication exists, are sanctioned by the United States Board on Geographical Names, and need not be submitted for official approval unless clearly unworthy of perpetuation.

1. Feature (Lake, River, Etc.) Lake
2. Location: State of Minnesota County Lake  
Township 62 N Range 6 W Section 1,2
3. Description of feature (Area of lake in acres, length of stream in miles, elev. of mt., peculiarities in shape, etc.)

4. Does this feature have a name? YES - ~~NOX~~ (Cross out one) (a) If so, give name or names, history of each, & source of information.

Northeast Lake - Gazetteer of Meandered Lakes of Minnesota.

Hazel Lake - Name used on Forest Service maps and selected as most authentic at a joint meeting of Forest Service and State Conservation officers, game wardens, and interested local parties. Locally the name Hazel is used exclusively. No local support can be found for Northeast Lake.

5. Name recommended for approval Hazel Lake

(a) Origin and meaning This lake is one of a chain - Beth, Ella, Grace, Phoebe, Hazel, and Polly - which were named by the original G.L.C. surveyor in 1905. It is said that the lakes were named for various relatives of the surveyor.

(b) Source of information if not given under 4a

6. Reasons for the change recommended The name Hazel has become firmly entrenched, and as one of the chain of names should be perpetuated.

7. Have the principles of the U.S.B.G.N and the "Statement for Guidance of Government Officers in the determination of names to be submitted to the U.S.B.G.N. for decision," been duly considered in making this recommendation? Yes

8. Recommendations on this form are to be accompanied by a 1/4" or 1/2" scale map showing the location of each feature for which a name is submitted.

SUBMITTED BY: \_\_\_\_\_  
Forest Ranger

RECOMMENDED BY: Clare Hendee  
Forest Supervisor

REVIEWED BY: \_\_\_\_\_  
H. Coleman  
Regional Engineer

Forest Superior

E  
MAPS  
Geographic Names

Case D-6b  
GEOGRAPHIC NAMES REPORT

Date February 1, 1940

NOTE: Names in local usage, whether they are shown on existing maps or not, on which no confusion or duplication exists, are sanctioned by the United States Board on Geographical Names, and need not be submitted for official approval unless clearly unworthy of perpetuation.

1. Feature (Lake, River, Etc.) Lake

2. Location: State of Minnesota County Lake  
Township 64 N Range 7 W Section 14, 15

3. Description of feature (Area of lake in acres, length of stream in miles, elev. of mt., peculiarities in shape, etc.)  
Area - 64 Acres

4. Does this feature have a name? YES - ~~NO~~ (Cross out one) (a) If so, give name or names, history of each, & source of information.

Bass Lake ) Both names have had some use, but neither  
Gerund Lake ) is well known.

5. Name recommended for approval Gerund Lake

(a) Origin and meaning Could not be definitely determined locally. There is some evidence that the word is derived from the Latin "gerere" meaning to bear or carry. The common definition of the word Gerund would indicate that it has no significance when applied as a lake name.

(b) Source of information if not given under 4a Webster's dictionary

6. Reasons for the change recommended The recommended name is not duplicated, and its adoption would avoid further use of "Bass" which is already overworked.

7. Have the principles of the U.S.B.G.N and the "Statement for Guidance of Government Officers in the determination of names to be submitted to the U.S.B.G.N. for decision," been duly considered in making this recommendation? Yes

8. Recommendations on this form are to be accompanied by a 1/4" or 1/2" scale map showing the location of each feature for which a name is submitted.

SUBMITTED BY: \_\_\_\_\_  
Forest Ranger

RECOMMENDED BY: Clare Hendee  
Forest Supervisor

REVIEWED BY: \_\_\_\_\_  
H. Coleman  
Regional Engineer

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE  
FOREST SERVICE

E  
MAPS  
Geographic Names

Case D-8b  
GEOGRAPHIC NAMES REPORT

Forest Superior  
Date February 1, 1940

NOTE: Names in local usage, whether they are shown on existing maps or not, on which no confusion or duplication exists, are sanctioned by the United States Board on Geographical Names, and need not be submitted for official approval unless clearly unworthy of perpetuation.

1. Feature (Lake, River, Etc.) Lake
2. Location: State of Minnesota County Lake  
Township 59 N Range 8 W Section 2
3. Description of feature (Area of lake in acres, length of stream in miles, elev. of mt., peculiarities in shape, etc.)  
Area: 106 Acres

4. Does this feature have a name? YES - ~~NO~~ (Cross out one) (a) If so, give name or names, history of each, & source of information.

Bear Lake - Name used on Forest Service and County maps, and shown in Gazetteer of Meandered Lakes of Minnesota.

Delay Lake - Local use.

5. Name recommended for approval Delay Lake

(a) Origin and meaning Could not be determined locally

(b) Source of information if not given under 4a

6. Reasons for the change recommended There are many other Bear Lakes in Lake County. The adoption of the recommended name will reduce the duplication.

7. Have the principles of the U.S.B.G.N and the "Statement for Guidance of Government Officers in the determination of names to be submitted to the U.S.B.G.N. for decision," been duly considered in making this recommendation? Yes

8. Recommendations on this form are to be accompanied by a 1/4" or 1/2" scale map showing the location of each feature for which a name is submitted.

SUBMITTED BY: \_\_\_\_\_  
Forest Ranger

RECOMMENDED BY: Clare Hendee  
Forest Supervisor

REVIEWED BY: \_\_\_\_\_  
H. Coleman  
Regional Engineer

E  
MAPS  
Geographic Names

Case D-10a  
GEOGRAPHIC NAMES REPORT

Forest \_\_\_\_\_  
Date \_\_\_\_\_

NOTE: Names in local usage, whether they are shown on existing maps or not, on which no confusion or duplication exists, are sanctioned by the United States Board on Geographical Names, and need not be submitted for official approval unless clearly unworthy of perpetuation.

1. Feature (Lake, River, Etc.) Lake
2. Location: State of Minnesota County Lake  
Township 58 N Range 7 W Section 2, 3
3. Description of feature (Area of lake in acres, length of stream in miles, elev. of mt., peculiarities in shape, etc.)

4. Does this feature have a name? YES - ~~NO~~ (Cross out one) (a) If so, give name or names, history of each, & source of information.  
Bell Lake - Name used on Forest Service maps and Lake County maps.  
Balsam Lake - Gazetteer of Meandered Lakes of Minnesota, and common local name.

5. Name recommended for approval Balsam Lake  
(a) Origin and meaning The lake has become known as Balsam Lake because of the heavy stand of balsam timber around the lake.

(b) Source of information if not given under 4a \_\_\_\_\_

6. Reasons for the change recommended The recommended name conforms to local use, and its adoption will eliminate duplication of Bell.

7. Have the principles of the U.S.B.G.N and the "Statement for Guidance of Government Officers in the determination of names to be submitted to the U.S.B.G.N. for decision," been duly considered in making this recommendation? Yes

8. Recommendations on this form are to be accompanied by a 1/4" or 1/2" scale map showing the location of each feature for which a name is submitted.

SUBMITTED BY: \_\_\_\_\_  
Forest Ranger

RECOMMENDED BY: Clare Hendee  
Forest Supervisor

REVIEWED BY: \_\_\_\_\_  
H. Coleman  
Regional Engineer

Forest Superior

E  
MAPS  
Geographic Names

Case D - 40c  
GEOGRAPHIC NAMES REPORT

Date February 1, 1940

NOTE: Names in local usage, whether they are shown on existing maps or not, on which no confusion or duplication exists, are sanctioned by the United States Board on Geographical Names, and need not be submitted for official approval unless clearly unworthy of perpetuation.

1. Feature (Lake, River, Etc.) Lake  
2. Location: State of Minnesota County Lake  
Township 65 N Range 6 W Section 25, 26

3. Description of feature (Area of lake in acres, length of stream in miles, elev. of mt., peculiarities in shape, etc.)  
Area - 59 Acres

4. Does this feature have a name? YES - ~~NO~~ (Cross out one) (a) If so, give name or names, history of each, & source of information.

Fox Lake - 1938 Superior National Forest map.

Agamok Lake - Gazetteer of Meandered Lakes of Minnesota. This listing is an error as Agamok Lake is just south of this lake.

Mueller lake - Local use. Portage signs on each end of lake have used this name for 15 years.

5. Name recommended for approval Mueller Lake

(a) Origin and meaning Could not be determined locally.

(b) Source of information if not given under 4a

6. Reasons for the change recommended The name of Fox is not authentic, and is duplicated. Mueller is the generally accepted name.

7. Have the principles of the U.S.B.G.N and the "Statement for Guidance of Government Officers in the determination of names to be submitted to the U.S.B.G.N. for decision," been duly considered in making this recommendation? Yes

8. Recommendations on this form are to be accompanied by a 1/4" or 1/2" scale map showing the location of each feature for which a name is submitted.

SUBMITTED BY: \_\_\_\_\_  
Forest Ranger

RECOMMENDED BY: Clare Hendee  
Forest Supervisor

REVIEWED BY: \_\_\_\_\_  
H. Coleman  
Regional Engineer

Forest Superior  
Date February 1, 1940

E  
MAPS  
Geographic Names

Case D-42b  
GEOGRAPHIC NAMES REPORT

NOTE: Names in local usage, whether they are shown on existing maps or not, on which no confusion or duplication exists, are sanctioned by the United States Board on Geographical Names, and need not be submitted for official approval unless clearly unworthy of perpetuation.

1. Feature (Lake, River, Etc.) Lake
2. Location: State of Minnesota County Lake  
Township 57 N Range 9 W Section 9, 10
3. Description of feature (Area of lake in acres, length of stream in miles, elev. of mt., peculiarities in shape, etc.)  
Area - 68 Acres

4. Does this feature have a name? YES - ~~NO~~ (Cross out one) (a) If so, give name or names, history of each, & source of information.

Grass Lake - Map published by Minnesota State Fish and Game Department in 1924, showing streams tributary to Lake Superior.

Katherine Lake - Name listed in the Gazetteer of Meandered Lakes of Minnesota, and the common local name.

5. Name recommended for approval Katherine Lake

(a) Origin and meaning Could not be determined locally.

(b) Source of information if not given under 4a

6. Reasons for the change recommended Grass is duplicated many times. Katherine is generally accepted.

7. Have the principles of the U.S.B.G.N and the "Statement for Guidance of Government Officers in the determination of names to be submitted to the U.S.B.G.N. for decision," been duly considered in making this recommendation? Yes

8. Recommendations on this form are to be accompanied by a 1/4" or 1/2" scale map showing the location of each feature for which a name is submitted.

SUBMITTED BY: \_\_\_\_\_  
Forest Ranger

RECOMMENDED BY: Clare Hendee  
Forest Supervisor

REVIEWED BY: \_\_\_\_\_  
H. Coleman  
Regional Engineer

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE  
FOREST SERVICE

E  
MAPS  
Geographic Names

Case D-53a  
GEOGRAPHIC NAMES REPORT

Forest Superior  
Date February 1, 1940

NOTE: Names in local usage, whether they are shown on existing maps or not, on which no confusion or duplication exists, are sanctioned by the United States Board on Geographical Names, and need not be submitted for official approval unless clearly unworthy of perpetuation.

1. Feature (Lake, River, Etc.) Lake  
2. Location: State of Minnesota County Lake  
Township 65 N Range 6 W Section 5, 6  
3. Description of feature (Area of lake in acres, length of stream in miles, elev. of mt., peculiarities in shape, etc.)  
Area - 38 Acres

4. Does this feature have a name? YES - ~~NO~~ (Cross out one) (a) If so, give name or names, history of each, & source of information.  
Indian Lake - Gazetteer of Meandered Lakes of Minnesota.  
Dutton Lake - Name in local use, and shown on Forest Service maps.

5. Name recommended for approval Dutton Lake  
(a) Origin and meaning Could not be determined locally.

(b) Source of information if not given under 4a

6. Reasons for the change recommended The name Indian is a duplication. Dutton is generally accepted.

7. Have the principles of the U.S.B.G.N and the "Statement for Guidance of Government Officers in the determination of names to be submitted to the U.S.B.G.N. for decision," been duly considered in making this recommendation? Yes

8. Recommendations on this form are to be accompanied by a 1/4" or 1/2" scale map showing the location of each feature for which a name is submitted.

SUBMITTED BY: \_\_\_\_\_  
Forest Ranger

RECOMMENDED BY: Clare Hendee  
Forest Supervisor

REVIEWED BY: \_\_\_\_\_  
H. COLEMAN  
Regional Engineer

E  
MAPS  
Geographic Names

Case D-66a  
GEOGRAPHIC NAMES REPORT

Forest Superior  
Date February 1, 1940

NOTE: Names in local usage, whether they are shown on existing maps or not, on which no confusion or duplication exists, are sanctioned by the United States Board on Geographical Names, and need not be submitted for official approval unless clearly unworthy of perpetuation.

1. Feature (Lake, River, Etc.) Lake  
2. Location: State of Minnesota County Lake  
Township 64 N Range 6 W Section 7, 8, 18

3. Description of feature (Area of lake in acres, length of stream in miles, elev. of mt., peculiarities in shape, etc.)  
Area - 98 Acres

4. Does this feature have a name? YES - ~~NO~~ (Cross out one) (a) If so, give name or names, history of each, & source of information.

Lynx Lake - Name shown on 1938 Superior National Forest map and in the Gazetteer of Meandered Lakes of Minnesota.

Raven Lake - Name preferred locally, and used on some Forest Service maps.

5. Name recommended for approval Raven Lake

(a) Origin and meaning Could not be determined locally.

(b) Source of information if not given under 4a

6. Reasons for the change recommended The recommended name is accepted locally. Lynx is a duplication of another lake name in St. Louis County.

7. Have the principles of the U.S.B.G.N and the "Statement for Guidance of Government Officers in the determination of names to be submitted to the U.S.B.G.N. for decision," been duly considered in making this recommendation? Yes

8. Recommendations on this form are to be accompanied by a 1/4" or 1/2" scale map showing the location of each feature for which a name is submitted.

SUBMITTED BY: \_\_\_\_\_  
Forest Ranger

RECOMMENDED BY: Clare Hendee  
Forest Supervisor

REVIEWED BY: \_\_\_\_\_  
H. Coleman  
Regional Engineer

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE  
FOREST SERVICE

E  
MAPS  
Geographic Names

Case D-87b  
GEOGRAPHIC NAMES REPORT

Forest Superior  
Date February 1, 1940

NOTE: Names in local usage, whether they are shown on existing maps or not, on which no confusion or duplication exists, are sanctioned by the United States Board on Geographical Names, and need not be submitted for official approval unless clearly unworthy of perpetuation.

1. Feature (Lake, River, Etc.) Lake  
2. Location: State of Minnesota County Lake  
Township 65 N Range 7 W Section 33, 34

3. Description of feature (Area of lake in acres, length of stream in miles, elev. of mt., peculiarities in shape, etc.)  
Area - 83 Acres

4. Does this feature have a name? YES - ~~NO~~ (Cross out one) (a) If so, give name or names, history of each, & source of information.  
Plum Lake - Forest Service maps use this name.  
Dix Lake - Shown as alternate with Plum in the Gazetteer of Meandered Lakes of Minnesota. Both Dix and Plum have been used on county maps.

5. Name recommended for approval Dix Lake  
(a) Origin and meaning Could not be determined locally.

(b) Source of information if not given under 4a

6. Reasons for the change recommended The common local name is Dix Lake. There is another Plum Lake in Lake County, the name of which is well established.

7. Have the principles of the U.S.B.G.N and the "Statement for Guidance of Government Officers in the determination of names to be submitted to the U.S.B.G.N. for decision," been duly considered in making this recommendation? Yes

8. Recommendations on this form are to be accompanied by a 1/4" or 1/2" scale map showing the location of each feature for which a name is submitted.

SUBMITTED BY: \_\_\_\_\_ FOREST RANGER  
RECOMMENDED BY: Clare Hendee FOREST SUPERVISOR  
REVIEWED BY: \_\_\_\_\_ H. COLEMAN REGIONAL ENGINEER

E  
MAPS  
Geographic Names

Case D-81b  
GEOGRAPHIC NAMES REPORT

Forest Superior  
Date February 1, 1940

NOTE: Names in local usage, whether they are shown on existing maps or not, on which no confusion or duplication exists, are sanctioned by the United States Board on Geographical Names, and need not be submitted for official approval unless clearly unworthy of perpetuation.

1. Feature (Lake, River, Etc.) Lake
2. Location: State of Minnesota County Lake  
Township 64 N Range 6 - 7 W Section 18, 19; 13, 24
3. Description of feature (Area of lake in acres, length of stream in miles, elev. of mt., peculiarities in shape, etc.)  
-

4. Does this feature have a name? YES - ~~No~~ (Cross out one) (a) If so, give name or names, history of each, & source of information.  
Peterson Lake - Name shown on Forest Service map and in the Gazetteer of Meandered Lakes of Minnesota.  
Sagus Lake or Little Sagus Lake - Name commonly used by guides and other local parties.

5. Name recommended for approval Sagus Lake  
(a) Origin and meaning Could not be determined locally.

- (b) Source of information if not given under 4a

6. Reasons for the change recommended The name Peterson is duplicated and is not generally accepted. Sagus is the common local name.

7. Have the principles of the U.S.B.G.N and the "Statement for Guidance of Government Officers in the determination of names to be submitted to the U.S.B.G.N. for decision," been duly considered in making this recommendation? Yes

8. Recommendations on this form are to be accompanied by a 1/4" or 1/2" scale map showing the location of each feature for which a name is submitted.

SUBMITTED BY: \_\_\_\_\_ RECOMMENDED BY: Clare Hendee  
Forest Ranger Forest Supervisor

REVIEWED BY: \_\_\_\_\_  
H. Coleman  
Regional Engineer

E  
MAPS  
Geographic Names

Case D-89c  
GEOGRAPHIC NAMES REPORT

Forest Superior  
Date February 1, 1940

NOTE: Names in local usage, whether they are shown on existing maps or not, on which no confusion or duplication exists, are sanctioned by the United States Board on Geographical Names, and need not be submitted for official approval unless clearly unworthy of perpetuation.

1. Feature (Lake, River, Etc.) Lake  
2. Location: State of Minnesota County Lake  
Township 65 N Range 7 W Section 27  
3. Description of feature (Area of lake in acres, length of stream in miles, elev. of mt., peculiarities in shape, etc.)  
Area - 71 Acres

4. Does this feature have a name? YES - ~~NO~~ (Cross out one) (a) If so, give name or names, history of each, & source of information.

Portage Lake - Name shown in the Gazetteer of Meandered Lakes of Minnesota and on Forest Service maps.

Bonnie Lake - Name used locally. Portage signs have carried the name Bonnie for the past 15 years.

5. Name recommended for approval Bonnie Lake.

(a) Origin and meaning Could not be determined locally.

(b) Source of information if not given under 4a

6. Reasons for the change recommended The recommended name is generally accepted. Portage is a duplicated name.

7. Have the principles of the U.S.B.G.N and the "Statement for Guidance of Government Officers in the determination of names to be submitted to the U.S.B.G.N. for decision," been duly considered in making this recommendation? Yes

8. Recommendations on this form are to be accompanied by a 1/4" or 1/2" scale map showing the location of each feature for which a name is submitted.

SUBMITTED BY: \_\_\_\_\_  
Forest Ranger

RECOMMENDED BY: Clare Hendee  
Forest Supervisor

REVIEWED BY: \_\_\_\_\_  
Regional Engineer

E  
MAPS  
Geographic Names

Case D-90b  
GEOGRAPHIC NAMES REPORT

Forest Superior  
Date February 1, 1940

NOTE: Names in local usage, whether they are shown on existing maps or not, on which no confusion or duplication exists, are sanctioned by the United States Board on Geographical Names, and need not be submitted for official approval unless clearly unworthy of perpetuation.

1. Feature (Lake, River, Etc.) Lake  
2. Location: State of Minnesota County Lake  
Township 64 W Range 6 W Section 16

3. Description of feature (Area of lake in acres, length of stream in miles, elev. of mt., peculiarities in shape, etc.)  
Area - 40 Acres

4. Does this feature have a name? YES - ~~NO~~ (Cross out one) (a) If so, give name or names, history of each, & source of information.  
Prune Lake - Forest Service maps and the Gazetteer of Meandered Lakes use this name.  
Ledge Lake - Local use.

5. Name recommended for approval Ledge Lake  
(a) Origin and meaning Lake has high ledge rock bluffs.

(b) Source of information if not given under 4a

6. Reasons for the change recommended The recommended name is generally accepted. The name Prune Lake has no local use.

7. Have the principles of the U.S.B.G.N and the "Statement for Guidance of Government Officers in the determination of names to be submitted to the U.S.B.G.N. for decision," been duly considered in making this recommendation? Yes

8. Recommendations on this form are to be accompanied by a 1/4" or 1/2" scale map showing the location of each feature for which a name is submitted.

SUBMITTED BY: \_\_\_\_\_ RECOMMENDED BY: Clare Hendee  
Forest Ranger Forest Supervisor

REVIEWED BY: \_\_\_\_\_  
H. Coleman  
Regional Engineer

E  
MAPS  
Geographic Names

Case D-96c  
GEOGRAPHIC NAMES REPORT

Date February 1, 1940

NOTE: Names in local usage, whether they are shown on existing maps or not, on which no confusion or duplication exists, are sanctioned by the United States Board on Geographical Names, and need not be submitted for official approval unless clearly unworthy of perpetuation.

1. Feature (Lake, River, Etc.) Lake
2. Location: State of Minnesota County Lake  
Township 58 N Range 7 W Section 30
3. Description of feature (Area of lake in acres, length of stream in miles, elev. of mt., peculiarities in shape, etc.)  
Area - 113 Acres

4. Does this feature have a name? YES - NO (Cross out one) (a) If so, give name or names, history of each, & source of information.  
Round Lake - Name shown on Forest Service maps and in the Gazetteer of Meandered Lakes of Minnesota.  
Doyle Lake - Name shown on map prepared by the Minnesota State Fish & Game Department in 1924, showing streams tributary to Lake Superior.  
Both names have some local use.

5. Name recommended for approval Doyle Lake  
(a) Origin and meaning Named after "Doyle's Camp" in early logging days.

- (b) Source of information if not given under 4a

6. Reasons for the change recommended The name Round is duplicated many times. The adoption of the recommended name will reduce the number of Round Lakes.

7. Have the principles of the U.S.B.G.N and the "Statement for Guidance of Government Officers in the determination of names to be submitted to the U.S.B.G.N. for decision," been duly considered in making this recommendation? Yes

8. Recommendations on this form are to be accompanied by a 1/4" or 1/2" scale map showing the location of each feature for which a name is submitted.

SUBMITTED BY: \_\_\_\_\_  
Forest Ranger

RECOMMENDED BY: Clare Hendee  
Forest Supervisor

REVIEWED BY: \_\_\_\_\_  
H. Coleman  
Regional Engineer

E  
MAPS  
Geographic Names

Case D-99a  
GEOGRAPHIC NAMES REPORT

Forest Superior  
Date February 1, 1940

NOTE: Names in local usage, whether they are shown on existing maps or not, on which no confusion or duplication exists, are sanctioned by the United States Board on Geographical Names, and need not be submitted for official approval unless clearly unworthy of perpetuation.

1. Feature (Lake, River, Etc.) Lake

2. Location: State of Minnesota County Lake  
Township 62 N Range 7 W Section 26

3. Description of feature (Area of lake in acres, length of stream in miles, elev. of mt., peculiarities in shape, etc.)  
Area - 44 Acres. In shape, the lake resembles the head of an Indian tomahawk.

4. Does this feature have a name? YES - ~~NO~~ (Cross out one) (a) If so, give name or names, history of each, & source of information.  
Sand Lake - Name shown on Forest Service maps and in the Gazetteer of Meandered Lakes of Minnesota.  
Tomahwak Lake - Name used by local trappers and game wardens.

5. Name recommended for approval Tomahawk Lake  
(a) Origin and meaning Name derived from the shape of the lake.

(b) Source of information if not given under 4a

6. Reasons for the change recommended The recommended name is appropriate. The name Sand is duplicated many times.

7. Have the principles of the U.S.B.G.N and the "Statement for Guidance of Government Officers in the determination of names to be submitted to the U.S.B.G.N. for decision," been duly considered in making this recommendation? Yes

8. Recommendations on this form are to be accompanied by a 1/4" or 1/2" scale map showing the location of each feature for which a name is submitted.

SUBMITTED BY: \_\_\_\_\_  
Forest Ranger

RECOMMENDED BY: Clare Hendee  
Forest Supervisor

REVIEWED BY: \_\_\_\_\_  
Regional Engineer

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE  
FOREST SERVICE

E  
MAPS  
Geographic Names

Case D-116b  
GEOGRAPHIC NAMES REPORT

Forest Superior  
Date February 1, 1940

NOTE: Names in local usage, whether they are shown on existing maps or not, on which no confusion or duplication exists, are sanctioned by the United States Board on Geographical Names, and need not be submitted for official approval unless clearly unworthy of perpetuation.

1. Feature (Lake, River, Etc.) Lake  
2. Location: State of Minnesota County Lake  
Township 61 N Range 6 W Section 10, 15

3. Description of feature (Area of lake in acres, length of stream in miles, elev. of mt., peculiarities in shape, etc.)  
Area - 158 Acres

4. Does this feature have a name? YES - ~~NO~~ (Cross out one) (a) If so, give name or names, history of each, & source of information.  
Tom Lake - Name shown on Forest Service maps and in the Gazetteer of Meandered Lakes of Minnesota.  
Coffee Lake - Name used by local trappers, wardens, etc.

5. Name recommended for approval Coffee Lake  
(a) Origin and meaning Could not be determined locally.

(b) Source of information if not given under 4a

6. Reasons for the change recommended Recommended name is in common local use. The name Tom is duplicated.

7. Have the principles of the U.S.B.G.N and the "Statement for Guidance of Government Officers in the determination of names to be submitted to the U.S.B.G.N. for decision," been duly considered in making this recommendation? Yes

8. Recommendations on this form are to be accompanied by a 1/4" or 1/2" scale map showing the location of each feature for which a name is submitted.

SUBMITTED BY: \_\_\_\_\_ RECOMMENDED BY: Clare Hendee  
Forest Ranger Forest Supervisor

REVIEWED BY: \_\_\_\_\_  
H. Coleman  
Regional Engineer

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE  
FOREST SERVICE

Case C-3

Forest Superior  
Date February 1, 1940

E  
MAPS  
Geographic Names

GEOGRAPHIC NAMES REPORT

NOTE: Names in local usage, whether they are shown on existing maps or not, on which no confusion or duplication exists, are sanctioned by the United States Board on Geographical Names, and need not be submitted for official approval unless clearly unworthy of perpetuation.

1. Feature (Lake, River, Etc.) Lake  
2. Location: State of Minnesota County St. Louis  
Township 64 N Range 17-18 W Section 7,8; 12  
3. Description of feature (Area of lake in acres, length of stream in miles, elev. of mt., peculiarities in shape, etc.)  
Area - 355 Acres

4. Does this feature have a name? YES - ~~NO~~ (Cross out one) (a) If so, give name or names, history of each, & source of information.  
Winchester Lake - Forest Service maps and St. Louis County maps use this name.  
Eight Lake - Gazetteer of Meandered Lakes of Minnesota.

5. Name recommended for approval Winchester Lake.  
(a) Origin and meaning This was the name of an early homesteader in the vicinity. The man for whom the lake is named is dead.

(b) Source of information if not given under 4a

6. Reasons for the change recommended The recommended name is the commonly used local name.

7. Have the principles of the U.S.B.G.N and the "Statement for Guidance of Government Officers in the determination of names to be submitted to the U.S.B.G.N. for decision," been duly considered in making this recommendation? Yes

8. Recommendations on this form are to be accompanied by a 1/4" or 1/2" scale map showing the location of each feature for which a name is submitted.

SUBMITTED BY: Forest Ranger RECOMMENDED BY: Clare Hendee  
Forest Supervisor  
REVIEWED BY: H. Coleman  
Regional Engineer

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE  
FOREST SERVICE

E  
MAPS  
Geographic Names

Case C-5  
GEOGRAPHIC NAMES REPORT

Forest Superior  
Date February 1, 1940

NOTE: Names in local usage, whether they are shown on existing maps or not, on which no confusion or duplication exists, are sanctioned by the United States Board on Geographical Names, and need not be submitted for official approval unless clearly unworthy of perpetuation.

1. Feature (Lake, River, Etc.) Lake  
2. Location: State of Minnesota County St. Louis  
Township 68 N Range 19W Section 23,24,25,26

3. Description of feature (Area of lake in acres, length of stream in miles, elev. of mt., peculiarities in shape, etc.)  
Area - 113 Acres

4. Does this feature have a name? YES - ~~NO~~ (Cross out one) (a) If so, give name or names, history of each, & source of information.  
Knox Lake - name used on Forest Service maps and in the Gazetteer of Meandered Lakes of Minnesota.  
Amundsen Lake - name used on St. Louis County maps for past 20 years.

5. Name recommended for approval Amundsen Lake.  
(a) Origin and meaning Named after early local resident, now dead.

(b) Source of information if not given under 4a

6. Reasons for the change recommended Amundsen is common local name, and its adoption will produce more uniform map nomenclature.

7. Have the principles of the U.S.B.G.N and the "Statement for Guidance of Government Officers in the determination of names to be submitted to the U.S.B.G.N. for decision," been duly considered in making this recommendation? Yes

8. Recommendations on this form are to be accompanied by a 1/4" or 1/2" scale map showing the location of each feature for which a name is submitted.

SUBMITTED BY: \_\_\_\_\_ FOREST RANGER  
RECOMMENDED BY: Clare Hendee  
Forest Supervisor

REVIEWED BY: \_\_\_\_\_  
H. Coleman  
Regional Engineer

Forest Superior

E  
MAPS  
Geographic Names

Case C-29  
GEOGRAPHIC NAMES REPORT

Date February 1, 1940

NOTE: Names in local usage, whether they are shown on existing maps or not, on which no confusion or duplication exists, are sanctioned by the United States Board on Geographical Names, and need not be submitted for official approval unless clearly unworthy of perpetuation.

1. Feature (Lake, River, Etc.) Lake  
2. Location: State of Minnesota County St. Louis  
Township 58 N Range 14 W Section 4,5,6,7,8,9  
3. Description of feature (Area of lake in acres, length of stream in miles, elev. of mt., peculiarities in shape, etc.)  
Area - 286 Acres

4. Does this feature have a name? YES - ~~NO~~ (Cross out one) (a) If so, give name or names, history of each, & source of information.  
North Partridge Lake - Forest Service map and the Gazetteer of Meandered Lakes of Minn.  
Upper Partridge Lake - 1936 St. Louis County map. Older County maps show name as  
Partridge Lakes to include both the North and South Lakes. The  
name Partridge Lake was used because the Partridge River flows  
through the two lakes.

Colby Lake - Local use, and a fishing guide issued by the Minnesota Tourist Bureau.

5. Name recommended for approval Colby Lake  
(a) Origin and meaning Name derived from Railroad station Colby, which is on northwest  
end of the lake.

(b) Source of information if not given under 4a

6. Reasons for the change recommended Regardless of names shown on maps, the name Colby has been  
used locally for many years, and its adoption is recommended.

7. Have the principles of the U.S.B.G.N and the "Statement for Guidance of Government Officers in the determination of names to be submitted to the U.S.B.G.N. for decision," been duly considered in making this recommendation? Yes

8. Recommendations on this form are to be accompanied by a 1/4" or 1/2" scale map showing the location of each feature for which a name is submitted.

SUBMITTED BY: \_\_\_\_\_  
Forest Ranger

RECOMMENDED BY: Clare Hendee  
Forest Supervisor

REVIEWED BY: \_\_\_\_\_  
H. Coleman  
Regional Engineer

Forest Superior  
Date February 1, 1940

E  
MAPS  
Geographic Names

Case C-30  
GEOGRAPHIC NAMES REPORT

NOTE: Names in local usage, whether they are shown on existing maps or not, on which no confusion or duplication exists, are sanctioned by the United States Board on Geographical Names, and need not be submitted for official approval unless clearly unworthy of perpetuation.

1. Feature (Lake, River, Etc.) Lake  
2. Location: State of Minnesota County St. Louis  
Township 58 N Range 14-15 W Section 18; 23, 24  
3. Description of feature (Area of lake in acres, length of stream in miles, elev. of mt., peculiarities in shape, etc.)  
Area - 391 Acres

4. Does this feature have a name? YES - ~~NO~~ (Cross out one) (a) If so, give name or names, history of each, & source of information.  
South Partridge Lake - Forest Service maps and the Gazetteer of Meandered Lakes of Minnesota.  
Lower Partridge Lake - 1936 St. Louis Co. map. Older St. Louis Co. maps show name as Partridge Lakes to include both lakes. The name Partridge was used because the Partridge River runs through both lakes.  
Whitewater Lake - Local usage

5. Name recommended for approval Whitewater Lake  
(a) Origin and meaning The lake has very clear water, which, under certain conditions, appears to be almost white.

(b) Source of information if not given under 4a

6. Reasons for the change recommended Whitewater is in extensive local use, and is more appropriate than Partridge.

7. Have the principles of the U.S.B.G.N and the "Statement for Guidance of Government Officers in the determination of names to be submitted to the U.S.B.G.N. for decision," been duly considered in making this recommendation? Yes

8. Recommendations on this form are to be accompanied by a 1/4" or 1/2" scale map showing the location of each feature for which a name is submitted.

SUBMITTED BY: \_\_\_\_\_  
Forest Ranger

RECOMMENDED BY: Clare Hendee  
Forest Supervisor

REVIEWED BY: \_\_\_\_\_  
H. Coleman  
Regional Engineer

E  
MAPS  
Geographic Names

Case C-44  
GEOGRAPHIC NAMES REPORT

Forest Superior  
Date February 1, 1940

NOTE: Names in local usage, whether they are shown on existing maps or not, on which no confusion or duplication exists, are sanctioned by the United States Board on Geographical Names, and need not be submitted for official approval unless clearly unworthy of perpetuation.

1. Feature (Lake, River, Etc.) Lake  
2. Location: State of Minnesota County St. Louis  
Township 65-66 N Range 12 W Section 2; 35  
3. Description of feature (Area of lake in acres, length of stream in miles, elev. of mt., peculiarities in shape, etc.)  
Area - 138 Acres

4. Does this feature have a name? YES - ~~NO~~ (Cross out one) (a) If so, give name or names, history of each, & source of information.  
Sinneeg Lake - Name used on Forest Service maps. At a joint meeting of Forest Service officials, State Conservation officials, and interested parties held September 14, 1936, this name was agreed upon as the most authentic.  
Thunder Lake - Name given in the Gazetteer of Meandered Lakes of Minnesota. The meeting mentioned above came to the conclusion that the listing was an error and that this name rightfully belongs to a lake just west of the one described above.

5. Name recommended for approval Sinneeg Lake  
(a) Origin and meaning Chippewa Indian word; its meaning was not determined.

(b) Source of information if not given under 4a

6. Reasons for the change recommended The adoption of Sinneeg will eliminate any further confusion with the Gazetteer.

7. Have the principles of the U.S.B.G.N and the "Statement for Guidance of Government Officers in the determination of names to be submitted to the U.S.B.G.N. for decision," been duly considered in making this recommendation? Yes

8. Recommendations on this form are to be accompanied by a 1/4" or 1/2" scale map showing the location of each feature for which a name is submitted.

SUBMITTED BY: \_\_\_\_\_  
Forest Ranger

RECOMMENDED BY: Clare Hendee  
Forest Supervisor

REVIEWED BY: \_\_\_\_\_  
H. Coleman  
Regional Engineer

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE  
FOREST SERVICE

E  
MAPS  
Geographic Names

Case C-46  
GEOGRAPHIC NAMES REPORT

Forest Superior  
Date February 1, 1940

NOTE: Names in local usage, whether they are shown on existing maps or not, on which no confusion or duplication exists, are sanctioned by the United States Board on Geographical Names, and need not be submitted for official approval unless clearly unworthy of perpetuation.

1. Feature (Lake, River, Etc.) Lake  
2. Location: State of Minnesota County St. Louis  
Township 59 N Range 16 W Section 28

3. Description of feature (Area of lake in acres, length of stream in miles, elev. of mt., peculiarities in shape, etc.)  
Area - .165 Acres

4. Does this feature have a name? YES - ~~NO~~ (Cross out one) (a) If so, give name or names, history of each, & source of information.

Pike Lake - Name used on 1936 St. Louis County map.  
Nett Lake - Name used on early Forest Service maps of Mesaba Purchase Unit.  
Hay Lake - Name shown on recent Forest Service maps and in the Gazetteer of Meandered Lakes of Minnesota.

5. Name recommended for approval Hay Lake

(a) Origin and meaning The lake is situated in a large wild marsh hay meadow.

(b) Source of information if not given under 4a

6. Reasons for the change recommended Hay is used on most maps at present. The use of the name Nett causes confusion with another Nett Lake in the County. The name Pike Lake is much over-worked and should not be considered.

7. Have the principles of the U.S.B.G.N and the "Statement for Guidance of Government Officers in the determination of names to be submitted to the U.S.B.G.N. for decision," been duly considered in making this recommendation? Yes

8. Recommendations on this form are to be accompanied by a 1/4" or 1/2" scale map showing the location of each feature for which a name is submitted.

SUBMITTED BY: \_\_\_\_\_ FOREST RANGER  
RECOMMENDED BY: Clare Hendee FOREST SUPERVISOR

REVIEWED BY: \_\_\_\_\_  
H. Coleman REGIONAL ENGINEER

Forest Superior

E  
MAPS  
Geographic Names

Case C-47  
GEOGRAPHIC NAMES REPORT

Date February 1, 1940

NOTE: Names in local usage, whether they are shown on existing maps or not, on which no confusion or duplication exists, are sanctioned by the United States Board on Geographical Names, and need not be submitted for official approval unless clearly unworthy of perpetuation.

1. Feature (Lake, River, Etc.) Lake

2. Location: State of Minnesota County St. Louis  
Township 60 N Range 19 W Section 11, 13, 14

3. Description of feature (Area of lake in acres, length of stream in miles, elev. of mt., peculiarities in shape, etc.)  
Area - 381 Acres

4. Does this feature have a name? YES - ~~NO~~ (Cross out one) (a) If so, give name or names, history of each, & source of information.

Lake 14 - Name used by Forest Service and County maps. People from surrounding communities also use this name.

Crescent Lake - Name listed in the Gazetteer of Meandered Lakes of Minnesota.

5. Name recommended for approval Lake 14

(a) Origin and meaning The greater portion of the lake is in sec. 14, T60 N, R19W.

(b) Source of information if not given under 4a

6. Reasons for the change recommended Crescent has no local support. The lake is well developed with many summer homes and other recreational facilities. Most people using the lake know it as Lake 14.

7. Have the principles of the U.S.B.G.N and the "Statement for Guidance of Government Officers in the determination of names to be submitted to the U.S.B.G.N. for decision," been duly considered in making this recommendation? Yes

8. Recommendations on this form are to be accompanied by a 1/4" or 1/2" scale map showing the location of each feature for which a name is submitted.

SUBMITTED BY: \_\_\_\_\_  
Forest Ranger

RECOMMENDED BY: Clare Hendee  
Forest Supervisor

REVIEWED BY: \_\_\_\_\_  
H. Coleman  
Regional Engineer

E  
MAPS  
Geographic Names

Case C-48  
GEOGRAPHIC NAMES REPORT

Forest  
Date February 1, 1940

NOTE: Names in local usage, whether they are shown on existing maps or not, on which no confusion or duplication exists, are sanctioned by the United States Board on Geographical Names, and need not be submitted for official approval unless clearly unworthy of perpetuation.

1. Feature (Lake, River, Etc.) Lake
2. Location: State of Minnesota County St. Louis  
Township 55 N Range 16 W Section 9,10,15,16
3. Description of feature (Area of lake in acres, length of stream in miles, elev. of mt., peculiarities in shape, etc.)  
Area - 176 Acres

4. Does this feature have a name? YES - ~~NO~~ (Cross out one) (a) If so, give name or names, history of each, & source of information.

Paleface Lake - Name listed in the Gazetteer of Meandered Lakes of Minnesota. This name probably originated from the fact that the lake drains into the Paleface River.

Morcom Lake - This name is used on Forest Service and State maps, and is generally accepted.

5. Name recommended for approval Morcom Lake

(a) Origin and meaning Could not be determined locally.

(b) Source of information if not given under 4a

6. Reasons for the change recommended Other than the listing in the Gazetteer, no authentic use of Paleface can be found. The adoption of Morcom will conform with present usage.

7. Have the principles of the U.S.B.G.N and the "Statement for Guidance of Government Officers in the determination of names to be submitted to the U.S.B.G.N. for decision," been duly considered in making this recommendation? Yes

8. Recommendations on this form are to be accompanied by a 1/4" or 1/2" scale map showing the location of each feature for which a name is submitted.

SUBMITTED BY: \_\_\_\_\_  
Forest Ranger

RECOMMENDED BY: Clare Hendee  
Forest Supervisor

REVIEWED BY: \_\_\_\_\_  
H. Coleman  
Regional Engineer

E  
MAPS  
Geographic Names

Case C- 56  
GEOGRAPHIC NAMES REPORT

Forest Superior  
Date February 1, 1940

NOTE: Names in local usage, whether they are shown on existing maps or not, on which no confusion or duplication exists, are sanctioned by the United States Board on Geographical Names, and need not be submitted for official approval unless clearly unworthy of perpetuation.

1. Feature (Lake, River, Etc.) Lake  
2. Location: State of Minnesota County St. Louis  
Township 63 N Range 12 W Section 12  
3. Description of feature (Area of lake in acres, length of stream in miles, elev. of mt., peculiarities in shape, etc.)  
Area - 28 Acres

4. Does this feature have a name? YES - ~~NO~~ (Cross out one) (a) If so, give name or names, history of each, & source of information.  
Picketts Lake - Name used on Ely quadrangle sheet published by U.S. Geological Survey.  
Rochghe Lake - Locally used name. However, it has never appeared on published maps.

5. Name recommended for approval Rochghe Lake  
(a) Origin and meaning This was the name of an Indian (now dead) who formerly lived on the lake.

(b) Source of information if not given under 4a

6. Reasons for the change recommended The name Rochghe is in common local usage. The name Picketts Lake is not used locally and might be confused with the name Picket Lake, which is the established name of a lake about six miles north of the lake known locally as Rochghe Lake.

7. Have the principles of the U.S.B.G.N and the "Statement for Guidance of Government Officers in the determination of names to be submitted to the U.S.B.G.N. for decision," been duly considered in making this recommendation? Yes

8. Recommendations on this form are to be accompanied by a 1/4" or 1/2" scale map showing the location of each feature for which a name is submitted.

SUBMITTED BY: \_\_\_\_\_ RECOMMENDED BY: Clare Hendee  
Forest Ranger Forest Supervisor

REVIEWED BY: \_\_\_\_\_  
H. Coleman  
Regional Engineer

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE  
FOREST SERVICE

E  
MAPS  
Geographic Names

Case D-6c  
GEOGRAPHIC NAMES REPORT

Forest Superior  
Date February 1, 1940

NOTE: Names in local usage, whether they are shown on existing maps or not, on which no confusion or duplication exists, are sanctioned by the United States Board on Geographical Names, and need not be submitted for official approval unless clearly unworthy of perpetuation.

1. Feature (Lake, River, Etc.) Lake
2. Location: State of Minnesota County St. Louis  
Township 63 N. Range 12 W Section 4, 9
3. Description of feature (Area of lake in acres, length of stream in miles, elev. of mt., peculiarities in shape, etc.)  
Area - 102 Acres

4. Does this feature have a name? YES - ~~NO~~ (Cross out one) (a) If so, give name or names, history of each, & source of information.  
Dry Lake - name used locally and shown on St. Louis Co. map. At one time this body of water was a part of Bass Lake. In 1927 the outlet of Bass Lake washed out, and there is now a distinct stream with a drop of about 30 ft. between Dry and Bass Lakes, making Dry Lake a separate body of water.

5. Name recommended for approval Dry Lake.  
(a) Origin and meaning The water level of Bass Lake was lowered considerably by the wash-out, and land between Bass Lake and Dry Lake, which had been covered with water, became dry. This fact gave rise to the name "Dry Lake."

- (b) Source of information if not given under 4a \_\_\_\_\_

6. Reasons for the change recommended This body of water is now a distinct lake and should have an individual name.

7. Have the principles of the U.S.B.G.N and the "Statement for Guidance of Government Officers in the determination of names to be submitted to the U.S.B.G.N. for decision," been duly considered in making this recommendation? Yes

8. Recommendations on this form are to be accompanied by a 1/4" or 1/2" scale map showing the location of each feature for which a name is submitted.

SUBMITTED BY: \_\_\_\_\_ Forest Ranger  
RECOMMENDED BY: Clare Hendee Forest Supervisor  
REVIEWED BY: \_\_\_\_\_ H. Coleman Regional Engineer

Forest Superior

E  
MAPS  
Geographic Names

Case D-9f  
GEOGRAPHIC NAMES REPORT

Date February 1, 1940

NOTE: Names in local usage, whether they are shown on existing maps or not, on which no confusion or duplication exists, are sanctioned by the United States Board on Geographical Names, and need not be submitted for official approval unless clearly unworthy of perpetuation.

1. Feature (Lake, River, Etc.) Lake

2. Location: State of Minnesota County St. Louis

Township 69 N Range 20 W Section 1, 12

3. Description of feature (Area of lake in acres, length of stream in miles, elev. of mt., peculiarities in shape, etc.)

Area - 69 Acres

4. Does this feature have a name? YES - ~~NO~~ (Cross out one) (a) If so, give name or names, history of each, & source of information.

Beaver Lake - Forest Service maps and the Gazetteer of Meandered Lakes of Minnesota use this name.

Jorgens Lake - St. Louis Co. map uses this name.

Both names are used somewhat, but Jorgens is the preferred local name.

5. Name recommended for approval Jorgens Lake

(a) Origin and meaning NO information could be obtained locally as to the origin and meaning of the name Jorgens.

(b) Source of information if not given under 4a

6. Reasons for the change recommended The adoption of Jorgens will eliminate one of the many Beaver Lakes in the State.

7. Have the principles of the U.S.B.G.N and the "Statement for Guidance of Government Officers in the determination of names to be submitted to the U.S.B.G.N. for decision," been duly considered in making this recommendation? Yes

8. Recommendations on this form are to be accompanied by a 1/4" or 1/2" scale map showing the location of each feature for which a name is submitted.

SUBMITTED BY: \_\_\_\_\_  
Forest Ranger

RECOMMENDED BY: Clare Hendee  
Forest Supervisor

REVIEWED BY: \_\_\_\_\_  
H. Coleman  
Regional Engineer

Forest Superior  
Date February 1, 1940

E  
MAPS  
Geographic Names

Case D-16b  
GEOGRAPHIC NAMES REPORT

NOTE: Names in local usage, whether they are shown on existing maps or not, on which no confusion or duplication exists, are sanctioned by the United States Board on Geographical Names, and need not be submitted for official approval unless clearly unworthy of perpetuation.

1. Feature (Lake, River, Etc.) Lake
2. Location: State of Minnesota County St. Louis  
Township 70 N Range 19W Section 29,30
3. Description of feature (Area of lake in acres, length of stream in miles, elev. of mt., peculiarities in shape, etc.)  
Area - 92 Acres

4. Does this feature have a name? YES - ~~NO~~ (Cross out one) (a) If so, give name or names, history of each, & source of information.

Brown Lake - Forest Service maps and the Gazetteer of Meandered Lakes of Minnesota use this name.

Oslo Lake - This name used locally and on St. Louis County map.

5. Name recommended for approval Oslo Lake

(a) Origin and meaning There are numerous people of Norwegian descent living in this territory. Apparently the lake was named, by these people, after the city of Oslo in Norway.

(b) Source of information if not given under 4a

6. Reasons for the change recommended There is another Brown Lake in T55N, R12W, sec. 32,33. The change of name would eliminate duplication. The name Oslo seems appropriate and fitting in view of the fact that the local inhabitants are predominantly Norwegian.

7. Have the principles of the U.S.B.G.N and the "Statement for Guidance of Government Officers in the determination of names to be submitted to the U.S.B.G.N. for decision," been duly considered in making this recommendation? Yes

8. Recommendations on this form are to be accompanied by a 1/4" or 1/2" scale map showing the location of each feature for which a name is submitted.

SUBMITTED BY: \_\_\_\_\_  
Forest Ranger

RECOMMENDED BY: Clare Hendee  
Forest Supervisor

REVIEWED BY: \_\_\_\_\_  
H. Coleman  
Regional Engineer

Forest Superior

E  
MAPS  
Geographic Names

Case D-17a  
GEOGRAPHIC NAMES REPORT

Date February 1, 1940

NOTE: Names in local usage, whether they are shown on existing maps or not, on which no confusion or duplication exists, are sanctioned by the United States Board on Geographical Names, and need not be submitted for official approval unless clearly unworthy of perpetuation.

1. Feature (Lake, River, Etc.) Lake

2. Location: State of Minnesota County St. Louis  
Township 63 N Range 14-15 W Section 6; 11,12

3. Description of feature (Area of lake in acres, length of stream in miles, elev. of mt., peculiarities in shape, etc.)  
Area - 157 Acres

4. Does this feature have a name? YES - ~~NO~~ (Cross out one) (a) If so, give name or names, history of each, & source of information.

Long Lake - Listed under this name in the Gazetteer of Meandered Lakes of Minnesota.

St. Louis County maps generally use this name, but in some instances use the name "Buck."

Buck Lake - Local inhabitants and various State officers use this name in referring to the lake.

Lone Lake - This name was erroneously placed on the 1938 issue of the Superior National Forest map.

5. Name recommended for approval Buck Lake.

(a) Origin and meaning Could not be determined locally.

(b) Source of information if not given under 4a

6. Reasons for the change recommended Buck is the commonly used name, and its adoption would eliminate one of the many Long Lakes. It is realized that there are also other Buck Lakes in the State; however, as far as can be determined, this is the only Buck Lake in St. Louis County.

7. Have the principles of the U.S.B.G.N and the "Statement for Guidance of Government Officers in the determination of names to be submitted to the U.S.B.G.N. for decision," been duly considered in making this recommendation? Yes

8. Recommendations on this form are to be accompanied by a 1/4" or 1/2" scale map showing the location of each feature for which a name is submitted.

SUBMITTED BY: \_\_\_\_\_  
Forest Ranger

RECOMMENDED BY: Clare Hendee  
Forest Supervisor

REVIEWED BY: \_\_\_\_\_  
H. Coleman  
Regional Engineer

Forest Superior

E  
MAPS  
Geographic Names

Case D-18b  
GEOGRAPHIC NAMES REPORT

Date February 1, 1940

NOTE: Names in local usage, whether they are shown on existing maps or not, on which no confusion or duplication exists, are sanctioned by the United States Board on Geographical Names, and need not be submitted for official approval unless clearly unworthy of perpetuation.

1. Feature (Lake, River, Etc.) Lake  
2. Location: State of Minnesota County St. Louis  
Township 66-67 N Range 16 W Section 5; 31,32

3. Description of feature (Area of lake in acres, length of stream in miles, elev. of mt., peculiarities in shape, etc.)  
Area - 42 Acres

4. Does this feature have a name? YES - ~~NO~~ (Cross out one) (a) If so, give name or names, history of each, & source of information.  
Bug Lake - Name used on F.S. maps and in the Gazetteer of Meandered Lakes of Minnesota.  
Some county maps also use this name.  
Herriman Lake - Used on some county maps.  
Local usage varies, and as the lake is relatively small and unimportant,  
no extensive use has been made of either name.

5. Name recommended for approval Herriman Lake  
(a) Origin and meaning A logger named Herriman (deceased) is reported to have worked  
in the vicinity of the lake years ago.

(b) Source of information if not given under 4a

6. Reasons for the change recommended Herriman is recommended to eliminate the duplication of Bug.

7. Have the principles of the U.S.B.G.N and the "Statement for Guidance of Government Officers in the determination of names to be submitted to the U.S.B.G.N. for decision," been duly considered in making this recommendation? Yes

8. Recommendations on this form are to be accompanied by a 1/4" or 1/2" scale map showing the location of each feature for which a name is submitted.

SUBMITTED BY: \_\_\_\_\_  
Forest Ranger

RECOMMENDED BY: Clare Hendee  
Forest Supervisor

REVIEWED BY: \_\_\_\_\_  
Regional Engineer

E  
MAPS  
Geographic Names

Case D-24b  
GEOGRAPHIC NAMES REPORT

Forest Superior  
Date February 1, 1940

NOTE: Names in local usage, whether they are shown on existing maps or not, on which no confusion or duplication exists, are sanctioned by the United States Board on Geographical Names, and need not be submitted for official approval unless clearly unworthy of perpetuation.

1. Feature (Lake, River, Etc.) Lake  
2. Location: State of Minnesota County St. Louis  
Township 64 N Range 13-14 W Section 31,24,25,26,27,28,32,33,34,35,36  
3. Description of feature (Area of lake in acres, length of stream in miles, elev. of mt., peculiarities in shape, etc.)  
Area - 1068 Acres

4. Does this feature have a name? YES - ~~NO~~ (Cross out one) (a) If so, give name or names, history of each, & source of information.  
The lake is generally known as Cummings Lake, but the Gazetteer of Meandered Lakes of Minnesota, and some county maps, call the eastern portion "Clear" Lake.

5. Name recommended for approval Cummings lake  
(a) Origin and meaning Could not be determined locally.

(b) Source of information if not given under 4a

6. Reasons for the change recommended The lake is one continuous body of water and should have but one name. The name Clear is applied to several other lakes in St. Louis County and the adoption of Cummings as the name of the entire lake would reduce the number of duplications of Clear.

7. Have the principles of the U.S.B.G.N and the "Statement for Guidance of Government Officers in the determination of names to be submitted to the U.S.B.G.N. for decision," been duly considered in making this recommendation? Yes

8. Recommendations on this form are to be accompanied by a 1/4" or 1/2" scale map showing the location of each feature for which a name is submitted.

SUBMITTED BY: \_\_\_\_\_ FOREST RANGER  
RECOMMENDED BY: Clare Hendee FOREST SUPERVISOR

REVIEWED BY: H. Coleman REGIONAL ENGINEER

Forest Superior  
Date February 1, 1940

E  
MAPS  
Geographic Names

Case D-25d  
GEOGRAPHIC NAMES REPORT

NOTE: Names in local usage, whether they are shown on existing maps or not, on which no confusion or duplication exists, are sanctioned by the United States Board on Geographical Names, and need not be submitted for official approval unless clearly unworthy of perpetuation.

1. Feature (Lake, River, Etc.) Lake  
2. Location: State of Minnesota County St. Louis  
Township 61 N Range 17 W Section 22, 23  
3. Description of feature (Area of lake in acres, length of stream in miles, elev. of mt., peculiarities in shape, etc.)  
Area - 54 Acres

4. Does this feature have a name? YES - ~~NO~~ (Cross out one) (a) If so, give name or names, history of each, & source of information.  
Clearwater Lake - Name used on Forest Service maps.  
Pfeiffer Lake - Common local usage.

5. Name recommended for approval Pfeiffer Lake  
(a) Origin and meaning Pfeiffer was the name of an early homesteader near the lake.  
Mr. Pfeiffer is not alive.

(b) Source of information if not given under 4a

6. Reasons for the change recommended The adoption of the name Pfeiffer will eliminate one of the "Clearwater" lakes.

7. Have the principles of the U.S.B.G.N and the "Statement for Guidance of Government Officers in the determination of names to be submitted to the U.S.B.G.N. for decision," been duly considered in making this recommendation? Yes

8. Recommendations on this form are to be accompanied by a 1/4" or 1/2" scale map showing the location of each feature for which a name is submitted.

SUBMITTED BY: \_\_\_\_\_  
Forest Ranger

RECOMMENDED BY: Clare Hendee  
Forest Supervisor

REVIEWED BY: \_\_\_\_\_  
H. Coleman  
Regional Engineer

E  
MAPS  
Geographic Names

Case D-28c  
GEOGRAPHIC NAMES REPORT

Forest Superior  
Date February 1, 1940

NOTE: Names in local usage, whether they are shown on existing maps or not, on which no confusion or duplication exists, are sanctioned by the United States Board on Geographical Names, and need not be submitted for official approval unless clearly unworthy of perpetuation.

1. Feature (Lake, River, Etc.) Lake  
2. Location: State of Minnesota County St. Louis  
Township 70 N Range 21W Section 22,23

3. Description of feature (Area of lake in acres, length of stream in miles, elev. of mt., peculiarities in shape, etc.)  
Area - 110 Acres

4. Does this feature have a name? YES - ~~NO~~ (Cross out one) (a) If so, give name or names, history of each, & source of information.  
Cranberry Lake - Name used in the Gazetteer of Meandered Lakes of Minnesota, and on Forest Service map.  
Locator Lake - Used on St. Louis County maps and is also local name.

5. Name recommended for approval Locator Lake  
(a) Origin and meaning Could not be determined locally.

(b) Source of information if not given under 4a

6. Reasons for the change recommended The name Locator is rapidly becoming the accepted name, and its adoption will eliminate a duplication of the name Cranberry.

7. Have the principles of the U.S.B.G.N and the "Statement for Guidance of Government Officers in the determination of names to be submitted to the U.S.B.G.N. for decision," been duly considered in making this recommendation? Yes

8. Recommendations on this form are to be accompanied by a 1/4" or 1/2" scale map showing the location of each feature for which a name is submitted.

SUBMITTED BY: \_\_\_\_\_ FOREST RANGER  
RECOMMENDED BY: Clare Hendee  
Forest Supervisor

REVIEWED BY: \_\_\_\_\_  
H. Coleman  
Regional Engineer

Forest Superior

E  
MAPS  
Geographic Names

Case D-35c  
GEOGRAPHIC NAMES REPORT

Date February 1, 1940

NOTE: Names in local usage, whether they are shown on existing maps or not, on which no confusion or duplication exists, are sanctioned by the United States Board on Geographical Names, and need not be submitted for official approval unless clearly unworthy of perpetuation.

1. Feature (Lake, River, Etc.) Lake

2. Location: State of Minnesota County St. Louis  
Township 67 N Range 16 W Section 17, 20

3. Description of feature (Area of lake in acres, length of stream in miles, elev. of mt., peculiarities in shape, etc.)  
Area - 106 Acres

4. Does this feature have a name? YES - ~~NO~~ (Cross out one) (a) If so, give name or names, history of each, & source of information.

Echo Lake - Name given in the Gazetteer of Meandered Lakes of Minnesota, and on Forest Service map.

Dovre Lake - Name used on St. Louis County map. Also local usage.

5. Name recommended for approval Dovre Lake.

(a) Origin and meaning Name of an early settler now dead.

(b) Source of information if not given under 4a

6. Reasons for the change recommended There is a large lake about 6-1/2 miles south of this lake which is generally known as Echo Lake. The use of the name on the smaller lake causes confusion, and as the name Dovre is already in partial use it is recommended for adoption

7. Have the principles of the U.S.B.G.N and the "Statement for Guidance of Government Officers in the determination of names to be submitted to the U.S.B.G.N. for decision," been duly considered in making this recommendation? Yes

8. Recommendations on this form are to be accompanied by a 1/4" or 1/2" scale map showing the location of each feature for which a name is submitted.

SUBMITTED BY: \_\_\_\_\_  
Forest Ranger

RECOMMENDED BY: Clare Hendee  
Forest Supervisor

REVIEWED BY: \_\_\_\_\_  
H. Coleman  
Regional Engineer

Forest Superior  
Date February 1, 1940

E  
MAPS  
Geographic Names

Case D-45c  
GEOGRAPHIC NAMES REPORT

NOTE: Names in local usage, whether they are shown on existing maps or not, on which no confusion or duplication exists, are sanctioned by the United States Board on Geographical Names, and need not be submitted for official approval unless clearly unworthy of perpetuation.

1. Feature (Lake, River, Etc.) Lake  
2. Location: State of Minnesota County St. Louis  
Township 56 N Range 13 W Section 21,22

3. Description of feature (Area of lake in acres, length of stream in miles, elev. of mt., peculiarities in shape, etc.)  
Area - 127 Acres

4. Does this feature have a name? YES - ~~NO~~ (Cross out one) (a) If so, give name or names, history of each, & source of information.  
Greenwood Lake - Name given in the Gazetteer of Meandered Lakes of Minnesota, and on Forest Service maps.

Otto Lake - Local use. This name is used on all St. Louis County maps.

5. Name recommended for approval Otto Lake  
(a) Origin and meaning Could not be determined locally.

(b) Source of information if not given under 4a \_\_\_\_\_

6. Reasons for the change recommended The name Greenwood is confusing, as a large well known lake, a short distance to the east, bears the name of Greenwood. The adoption of Otto will eliminate this confusion.

7. Have the principles of the U.S.B.G.N and the "Statement for Guidance of Government Officers in the determination of names to be submitted to the U.S.B.G.N. for decision," been duly considered in making this recommendation? Yes

8. Recommendations on this form are to be accompanied by a 1/4" or 1/2" scale map showing the location of each feature for which a name is submitted.

SUBMITTED BY: \_\_\_\_\_ FOREST RANGER  
RECOMMENDED BY: Clare Hendee FOREST SUPERVISOR

REVIEWED BY: \_\_\_\_\_  
H. Coleman REGIONAL ENGINEER

Forest Superior  
Date February 1, 1940

E  
MAPS  
Geographic Names

Case D-60  
GEOGRAPHIC NAMES REPORT

NOTE: Names in local usage, whether they are shown on existing maps or not, on which no confusion or duplication exists, are sanctioned by the United States Board on Geographical Names, and need not be submitted for official approval unless clearly unworthy of perpetuation.

1. Feature (Lake, River, Etc.) Lake  
2. Location: State of Minnesota County St. Louis  
Township 67 N Range 18W Section 11,14

3. Description of feature (Area of lake in acres, length of stream in miles, elev. of mt., peculiarities in shape, etc.)  
Area - 145 Acres

4. Does this feature have a name? YES - ~~NO~~ (Cross out one) (a) If so, give name or names, history of each, & source of information.  
Franklin Lake - Name used in the Gazetteer of Meandered Lakes of Minnesota, on Forest Service maps, and on maps prepared by the Virginia-Rainy Lake Lumber Co.  
Little Namakan Lake - St. Louis County maps.

5. Name recommended for approval Franklin Lake  
(a) Origin and meaning Could not be determined locally.

(b) Source of information if not given under 4a

6. Reasons for the change recommended Both names have been used somewhat for this lake. However, it appears that Franklin is now in more general use. The name Little Namakan does not seem applicable, as the lake is some distance from Namakan Lake

7. Have the principles of the U.S.B.G.N and the "Statement for Guidance of Government Officers in the determination of names to be submitted to the U.S.B.G.N. for decision," been duly considered in making this recommendation? Yes

8. Recommendations on this form are to be accompanied by a 1/4" or 1/2" scale map showing the location of each feature for which a name is submitted.

SUBMITTED BY: \_\_\_\_\_ FOREST RANGER  
RECOMMENDED BY: Clare Hendee FOREST SUPERVISOR  
REVIEWED BY: \_\_\_\_\_ H. COLEMAN REGIONAL ENGINEER

E  
MAPS  
Geographic Names

Case D-69d  
GEOGRAPHIC NAMES REPORT

Forest Superior  
Date February 1, 1940

NOTE: Names in local usage, whether they are shown on existing maps or not, on which no confusion or duplication exists, are sanctioned by the United States Board on Geographical Names, and need not be submitted for official approval unless clearly unworthy of perpetuation.

1. Feature (Lake, River, Etc.) Lake  
2. Location: State of Minnesota County St. Louis and Lake  
Township 65 N Range 11-12W Section 7, 18; 13  
3. Description of feature (Area of lake in acres, length of stream in miles, elev. of mt., peculiarities in shape, etc.)  
Area - 155 Acres

4. Does this feature have a name? YES - ~~NO~~ (Cross out one) (a) If so, give name or names, history of each, & source of information.  
Moose Lake - Name shown on most maps.  
Moosecamp Lake - Name in local use.

5. Name recommended for approval Moosecamp Lake  
(a) Origin and meaning At one time there was a large moose hunting camp maintained on the shores of this lake, and the proposed name is derived from this fact.

(b) Source of information if not given under 4a

6. Reasons for the change recommended There are at least three other Moose Lakes in St. Louis County, and two in Lake County. In view of the information given above under 5a, the name Moosecamp appears to be very appropriate.

7. Have the principles of the U.S.B.G.N and the "Statement for Guidance of Government Officers in the determination of names to be submitted to the U.S.B.G.N. for decision," been duly considered in making this recommendation? Yes

8. Recommendations on this form are to be accompanied by a 1/4" or 1/2" scale map showing the location of each feature for which a name is submitted.

SUBMITTED BY: \_\_\_\_\_ FOREST RANGER  
RECOMMENDED BY: Clare Hendee  
Forest Supervisor

REVIEWED BY: H. COLEMAN  
Regional Engineer

E  
MAPS  
Geographic Names

Case D-76a  
GEOGRAPHIC NAMES REPORT

Forest Superior  
Date February 1, 1940

NOTE: Names in local usage, whether they are shown on existing maps or not, on which no confusion or duplication exists, are sanctioned by the United States Board on Geographical Names, and need not be submitted for official approval unless clearly unworthy of perpetuation.

1. Feature (Lake, River, Etc.) Lake  
2. Location: State of Minnesota County St. Louis  
Township 68-69N Range 17W Section 4; 32  
3. Description of feature (Area of lake in acres, length of stream in miles, elev. of mt., peculiarities in shape, etc.)  
Area - 181 Acres

4. Does this feature have a name? YES - ~~NO~~ (Cross out one) (a) If so, give name or names, history of each, & source of information.  
O'Leary Lake - Name shown in the Gazetteer of Meandered Lakes of Minnesota, and  
on Forest Service map. Local usage favors this name.  
Hammer Lake - Some county maps use this name.

5. Name recommended for approval O'Leary Lake.  
(a) Origin and meaning Could not be determined locally.

(b) Source of information if not given under 4a

6. Reasons for the change recommended O'Leary is most commonly used name, and should be adopted to  
eliminate any further confusion.

7. Have the principles of the U.S.B.G.N and the "Statement for Guidance of Government Officers in the determination of names to be submitted to the U.S.B.G.N. for decision," been duly considered in making this recommendation?  
Yes

8. Recommendations on this form are to be accompanied by a 1/4" or 1/2" scale map showing the location of each feature for which a name is submitted.

SUBMITTED BY: \_\_\_\_\_ FOREST RANGER  
RECOMMENDED BY: Clare Hendee  
FOREST SUPERVISOR

REVIEWED BY: \_\_\_\_\_  
H. Coleman  
REGIONAL ENGINEER

E  
MAPS  
Geographic Names

Case D-85g  
GEOGRAPHIC NAMES REPORT

Forest Superior  
Date February 1, 1940

NOTE: Names in local usage, whether they are shown on existing maps or not, on which no confusion or duplication exists, are sanctioned by the United States Board on Geographical Names, and need not be submitted for official approval unless clearly unworthy of perpetuation.

1. Feature (Lake, River, Etc.) Lake  
2. Location: State of Minnesota County St. Louis  
Township 62 N Range 12 W Section 32,33,34  
3. Description of feature (Area of lake in acres, length of stream in miles, elev. of mt., peculiarities in shape, etc.)  
Area - 415 Acres

4. Does this feature have a name? YES - ~~NO~~ (Cross out one) (a) If so, give name or names, history of each, & source of information.  
Pine Lake - Name used in Gazetteer of Meandered Lakes of Minnesota, on Forest Service maps, and some County maps.  
One Pine Lake - Ely quadrangle sheet (U.S.G.S.) uses this name. It is also the most commonly used local name.

5. Name recommended for approval One Pine Lake  
(a) Origin and meaning The name is derived from a large lone pine tree standing on an island in the lake. This tree is quite noticeable and provides a distinctive land mark.

(b) Source of information if not given under 4a \_\_\_\_\_

6. Reasons for the change recommended The name of Pine is duplicated many times. The adoption of One Pine would aid in eliminating this duplication.

7. Have the principles of the U.S.B.G.N and the "Statement for Guidance of Government Officers in the determination of names to be submitted to the U.S.B.G.N. for decision," been duly considered in making this recommendation? Yes

8. Recommendations on this form are to be accompanied by a 1/4" or 1/2" scale map showing the location of each feature for which a name is submitted.

SUBMITTED BY: \_\_\_\_\_ RECOMMENDED BY: Clare Hendee  
Forest Ranger Forest Supervisor

REVIEWED BY: \_\_\_\_\_  
H. Coleman  
Regional Engineer

E  
MAPS  
Geographic Names

Case D-94e  
GEOGRAPHIC NAMES REPORT

Forest Superior  
Date February 1, 1940

NOTE: Names in local usage, whether they are shown on existing maps or not, on which no confusion or duplication exists, are sanctioned by the United States Board on Geographical Names, and need not be submitted for official approval unless clearly unworthy of perpetuation.

1. Feature (Lake, River, Etc.) Bay  
2. Location: State of Minnesota County St. Louis  
Township 63 N Range 15 W Section 34,35

3. Description of feature (Area of lake in acres, length of stream in miles, elev. of mt., peculiarities in shape, etc.)  
Bay of Vermilion Lake

4. Does this feature have a name? YES - ~~NO~~ (Cross out one) (a) If so, give name or names, history of each, & source of information.  
This feature has been known both as Rice Lake and Rice Bay. Common local use is Rice Bay.

5. Name recommended for approval Rice Bay  
(a) Origin and meaning There is a large wild rice bed in the bay.

(b) Source of information if not given under 4a

6. Reasons for the change recommended The feature is connected with and is a part of Vermilion Lake and should be named as a bay rather than a lake.

7. Have the principles of the U.S.B.G.N and the "Statement for Guidance of Government Officers in the determination of names to be submitted to the U.S.B.G.N. for decision," been duly considered in making this recommendation? Yes

8. Recommendations on this form are to be accompanied by a 1/4" or 1/2" scale map showing the location of each feature for which a name is submitted.

SUBMITTED BY: \_\_\_\_\_ RECOMMENDED BY: Clare Hendee  
Forest Ranger Forest Supervisor

REVIEWED BY: \_\_\_\_\_  
Regional Engineer

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE  
FOREST SERVICE

E  
MAPS  
Geographic Names

Case D-118d  
GEOGRAPHIC NAMES REPORT

Forest Superior  
Date February 1, 1940

NOTE: Names in local usage, whether they are shown on existing maps or not, on which no confusion or duplication exists, are sanctioned by the United States Board on Geographical Names, and need not be submitted for official approval unless clearly unworthy of perpetuation.

1. Feature (Lake, River, Etc.) Lake

2. Location: State of Minnesota County St. Louis  
Township 68 N Range 17 W Section 26, 35

3. Description of feature (Area of lake in acres, length of stream in miles, elev. of mt., peculiarities in shape, etc.)  
Area - 786 Acres

4. Does this feature have a name? YES - ~~NO~~ (Cross out one) (a) If so, give name or names, history of each, & source of information.

Trout Lake - Name used on St. Louis County maps.

Mukooda Lake - Name shown in the Gazetteer of Meandered Lakes of Minnesota, and on Forest Service maps.

5. Name recommended for approval Mukooda Lake

(a) Origin and meaning Could not be determined locally.

(b) Source of information if not given under 4a

6. Reasons for the change recommended Trout is duplicated many times and the adoption of Mukooda would aid in reducing the duplications.

7. Have the principles of the U.S.B.G.N and the "Statement for Guidance of Government Officers in the determination of names to be submitted to the U.S.B.G.N. for decision," been duly considered in making this recommendation? Yes

8. Recommendations on this form are to be accompanied by a 1/4" or 1/2" scale map showing the location of each feature for which a name is submitted.

SUBMITTED BY: \_\_\_\_\_  
Forest Ranger

RECOMMENDED BY: Clare Hendee  
Forest Supervisor

REVIEWED BY: \_\_\_\_\_  
H. Coleman  
Regional Engineer

Forest Superior

E  
MAPS  
Geographic Names

Case D-118e  
GEOGRAPHIC NAMES REPORT

Date February 1, 1940

NOTE: Names in local usage, whether they are shown on existing maps or not, on which no confusion or duplication exists, are sanctioned by the United States Board on Geographical Names, and need not be submitted for official approval unless clearly unworthy of perpetuation.

1. Feature (Lake, River, Etc.) Lake
2. Location: State of Minnesota County St. Louis  
Township 69-70 N Range 19 W Section 5,6; 31,32
3. Description of feature (Area of lake in acres, length of stream in miles, elev. of mt., peculiarities in shape, etc.)  
Area - 151 Acres

4. Does this feature have a name? YES - ~~NO~~ (Cross out one) (a) If so, give name or names, history of each, & source of information.

Trout Lake - Name shown in the Gazetteer of Meandered Lakes of Minnesota, and on Forest Service maps.

Cruiser Lake - St. Louis County maps have used this name for 20 years, and it is accepted locally.

5. Name recommended for approval Cruiser Lake.

(a) Origin and meaning Could not be determined locally.

(b) Source of information if not given under 4a

6. Reasons for the change recommended The adoption of the name Cruiser will reduce the number of Trout Lakes.

7. Have the principles of the U.S.B.G.N and the "Statement for Guidance of Government Officers in the determination of names to be submitted to the U.S.B.G.N. for decision," been duly considered in making this recommendation? Yes

8. Recommendations on this form are to be accompanied by a 1/4" or 1/2" scale map showing the location of each feature for which a name is submitted.

SUBMITTED BY: \_\_\_\_\_  
Forest Ranger

RECOMMENDED BY: Clare Hendee  
Forest Supervisor

REVIEWED BY: \_\_\_\_\_  
H. Coleman  
Regional Engineer

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE  
FOREST SERVICE

Forest Superior

E  
MAPS  
Geographic Names

Case D-125c  
GEOGRAPHIC NAMES REPORT

Date February 1, 1940

NOTE: Names in local usage, whether they are shown on existing maps or not, on which no confusion or duplication exists, are sanctioned by the United States Board on Geographical Names, and need not be submitted for official approval unless clearly unworthy of perpetuation.

1. Feature (Lake, River, Etc.) Lake

2. Location: State of Minnesota County St. Louis  
Township 70 N Range 19 W Section 27,28,33,34

3. Description of feature (Area of lake in acres, length of stream in miles, elev. of mt., peculiarities in shape, etc.)  
Area - 109 Acres

4. Does this feature have a name? YES - NO (Cross out one) (a) If so, give name or names, history of each, & source of information.

Wilson Lake - Name shown in the Gazetteer of Meandered Lakes of Minnesota, and on Forest Service map.

Beast Lake - Local usage favors this name.

5. Name recommended for approval Beast Lake.

(a) Origin and meaning Could not be determined locally.

(b) Source of information if not given under 4a

6. Reasons for the change recommended The name Wilson is duplicated and as the name Beast is used locally, it should be adopted.

7. Have the principles of the U.S.B.G.N and the "Statement for Guidance of Government Officers in the determination of names to be submitted to the U.S.B.G.N. for decision," been duly considered in making this recommendation?

8. Recommendations on this form are to be accompanied by a 1/4" or 1/2" scale map showing the location of each feature for which a name is submitted. Yes

SUBMITTED BY: \_\_\_\_\_  
Forest Ranger

RECOMMENDED BY: Clare Hendee  
Forest Supervisor

REVIEWED BY: \_\_\_\_\_  
Regional Engineer

E  
MAPS  
Geographic Names

Case C-12  
GEOGRAPHIC NAMES REPORT

Forest Superior  
Date February 1, 1940

NOTE: Names in local usage, whether they are shown on existing maps or not, on which no confusion or duplication exists, are sanctioned by the United States Board on Geographical Names, and need not be submitted for official approval unless clearly unworthy of perpetuation.

1. Feature (Lake, River, Etc.) Creek
2. Location: State of Minnesota County Cook  
Township 62 N Range 4 W Section \_\_\_\_\_
3. Description of feature (Area of lake in acres, length of stream in miles, elev. of mt., peculiarities in shape, etc.)  
Stream approximately 6 miles long, rising in Sawbill Lake, sec. 7, T62N., R4W, and flowing into Temperance River in sec. 28 of the same township.

4. Does this feature have a name? YES - ~~NO~~ (Cross out one) (a) If so, give name or names, history of each, & source of information.  
West Branch Temperance River - Name shown on State Fish and Game Department map (1924) showing streams tributary to Lake Superior.  
Sawbill Creek - Name used on Forest Service maps and locally accepted.

5. Name recommended for approval Sawbill Creek  
(a) Origin and meaning The creek is the outlet of Sawbill Lake.

(b) Source of information if not given under 4a \_\_\_\_\_

6. Reasons for the change recommended Sawbill Creek is the accepted local name. The name West Branch Temperance River is not recognized locally and the stream is too small to be named as a main branch of the Temperance River.

7. Have the principles of the U.S.B.G.N and the "Statement for Guidance of Government Officers in the determination of names to be submitted to the U.S.B.G.N. for decision," been duly considered in making this recommendation? Yes

8. Recommendations on this form are to be accompanied by a 1/4" or 1/2" scale map showing the location of each feature for which a name is submitted.

SUBMITTED BY: \_\_\_\_\_  
Forest Ranger

RECOMMENDED BY: Clare Hendee  
Forest Supervisor

REVIEWED BY: \_\_\_\_\_  
H. Coleman  
Regional Engineer

Case C-16

Forest Superior

E  
MAPS  
Geographic Names

Date February 1, 1940

GEOGRAPHIC NAMES REPORT

NOTE: Names in local usage, whether they are shown on existing maps or not, on which no confusion or duplication exists, are sanctioned by the United States Board on Geographical Names, and need not be submitted for official approval unless clearly unworthy of perpetuation.

1. Feature (Lake, River, Etc.) Creek

2. Location: State of Minnesota County Cook  
Township 61 N Range 1 W Section -

3. Description of feature (Area of lake in acres, length of stream in miles, elev. of mt., peculiarities in shape, etc.)  
Stream rises in Sec. 17, T. 61 N., R. 1 W., and flows into Cascade River in Sec. 13, T. 61 N., R. 2 W.

4. Does this feature have a name? YES - ~~NO~~ (Cross out one) (a) If so, give name or names, history of each, & source of information.  
Baldy or Blackwell Creek - The 1924 State Fish & Game Department map showing streams tributary to Lake Superior uses both names.  
Bally Creek - Accepted local name.

5. Name recommended for approval Bally Creek  
(a) Origin and meaning Named after a family who had a homestead on the creek about 40 years ago. The original owner is no longer living.

(b) Source of information if not given under 4a \_\_\_\_\_

6. Reasons for the change recommended There is no support for either Baldy or Blackwell. Bally is the most authentic name of this stream.

7. Have the principles of the U.S.B.G.N and the "Statement for Guidance of Government Officers in the determination of names to be submitted to the U.S.B.G.N. for decision," been duly considered in making this recommendation? Yes

8. Recommendations on this form are to be accompanied by a 1/4" or 1/2" scale map showing the location of each feature for which a name is submitted.

SUBMITTED BY: \_\_\_\_\_  
Forest Ranger

RECOMMENDED BY: Clare Hendee  
Forest Supervisor

REVIEWED BY: \_\_\_\_\_  
Regional Engineer

E  
MAPS  
Geographic Names

Case C-20  
GEOGRAPHIC NAMES REPORT

Forest Superior  
Date February 1, 1940

NOTE: Names in local usage, whether they are shown on existing maps or not, on which no confusion or duplication exists, are sanctioned by the United States Board on Geographical Names, and need not be submitted for official approval unless clearly unworthy of perpetuation.

1. Feature (Lake, River, Etc.) Lake (International Boundary Waters)
2. Location: State of Minnesota County Cook  
Township 64 N Range 2 E Section 19.20
3. Description of feature (Area of lake in acres, length of stream in miles, elev. of mt., peculiarities in shape, etc.)  
Area - 146 Acres

4. Does this feature have a name? YES - ~~NO~~ (Cross out one) (a) If so, give name or names, history of each, & source of information.  
Rove Lake - General Land Office plats, the 1896 Geological Survey of Minnesota, Cook County maps since 1913, Forest Service maps since 1924, and State Fish & Game maps, all use this name. What is now the Gumflint Trail was originally known as the Rove Lake Trail. There is also a book published on the Rove Lake slates.  
The International Boundary maps indicate that this body of water is a part of Watap Lake and connected to the main lake by a channel.

5. Name recommended for approval Rove Lake  
(a) Origin and meaning Could not be determined locally.

(b) Source of information if not given under 4a

6. Reasons for the change recommended Recent aerial photographs indicate that the lake described above is connected to Watap Lake by a stream, but is not a part of the main lake. The name Rove Lake has been used over a long period, and in order to establish it as the individual name of the lake, its adoption is recommended.

7. Have the principles of the U.S.B.G.N and the "Statement for Guidance of Government Officers in the determination of names to be submitted to the U.S.B.G.N. for decision," been duly considered in making this recommendation? Yes

8. Recommendations on this form are to be accompanied by a 1/4" or 1/2" scale map showing the location of each feature for which a name is submitted.

SUBMITTED BY: \_\_\_\_\_  
Forest Ranger

RECOMMENDED BY: Clare Hendee  
Forest Supervisor

REVIEWED BY: H. Coleman  
Regional Engineer

E  
MAPS  
Geographic Names

Case C-21  
GEOGRAPHIC NAMES REPORT

Forest Superior  
Date February 1, 1940

NOTE: Names in local usage, whether they are shown on existing maps or not, on which no confusion or duplication exists, are sanctioned by the United States Board on Geographical Names, and need not be submitted for official approval unless clearly unworthy of perpetuation.

1. Feature (Lake, River, Etc.) Lake  
2. Location: State of Minnesota County Cook  
Township 64 N Range 2 E Section 5.6  
3. Description of feature (Area of lake in acres, length of stream in miles, elev. of mt., peculiarities in shape, etc.)  
Area - 48 acres

4. Does this feature have a name? YES - ~~NO~~ (Cross out one) (a) If so, give name or names, history of each, & source of information.  
Spaulding Lake - This name used on 1913 Cook County map, and is accepted locally.  
Some time about 1890 a man named Spaulding opened up a mine on  
the east shore of this lake. The mine has since been abandoned,  
but is mentioned in the Geological Survey report of 1896.  
On some Forest Service maps the name Spaulding has been applied to  
a larger lake just south of this lake.

5. Name recommended for approval Spaulding Lake.  
(a) Origin and meaning Named for the man who opened up the mine on the shores of the lake.  
The individual for whom the lake was named is now dead.

(b) Source of information if not given under 4a

6. Reasons for the change recommended The mis-application of the name Spaulding on various maps has  
caused confusion as to which lake is really Spaulding Lake. The adoption of the  
proposed name will go far toward eliminating this confusion.

7. Have the principles of the U.S.B.G.N and the "Statement for Guidance of Government Officers in the determination of names to be submitted to the U.S.B.G.N. for decision," been duly considered in making this recommendation? Yes

8. Recommendations on this form are to be accompanied by a 1/4" or 1/2" scale map showing the location of each feature for which a name is submitted.

SUBMITTED BY: \_\_\_\_\_ FOREST RANGER  
RECOMMENDED BY: Clare Hendee FOREST SUPERVISOR  
H. Coleman  
REVIEWED BY: \_\_\_\_\_ REGIONAL ENGINEER

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE  
FOREST SERVICE

E  
MAPS  
Geographic Names

Case C-22  
GEOGRAPHIC NAMES REPORT

Forest Superior  
Date February 1, 1940

NOTE: Names in local usage, whether they are shown on existing maps or not, on which no confusion or duplication exists, are sanctioned by the United States Board on Geographical Names, and need not be submitted for official approval unless clearly unworthy of perpetuation.

1. Feature (Lake, River, Etc.) Lake  
2. Location: State of Minnesota County Cook  
Township 64 N Range 1-2 E Section 1,12; 5,6,7,8

3. Description of feature (Area of lake in acres, length of stream in miles, elev. of mt., peculiarities in shape, etc.)  
Area - 254 Acres

4. Does this feature have a name? YES - ~~NO~~ (Cross out one) (a) If so, give name or names, history of each, & source of information.  
Spalding Lake - Name shown on 1938 Superior National Forest map and listed opposite part of the described location in the Gazetteer of Meandered Lakes of Minnesota.  
Crystal Lake - Name shown on 1913 Cook County map, and accepted locally.

5. Name recommended for approval Crystal Lake  
(a) Origin and meaning Lake has very clear water.

(b) Source of information if not given under 4a

6. Reasons for the change recommended This lake has been incorrectly named Spalding on some maps. Local people agree that Crystal is the authentic name of this lake. While Crystal is a more or less common name, there are no other Crystal Lakes near enough to cause any confusion.

7. Have the principles of the U.S.B.G.N and the "Statement for Guidance of Government Officers in the determination of names to be submitted to the U.S.B.G.N. for decision," been duly considered in making this recommendation? Yes

8. Recommendations on this form are to be accompanied by a 1/4" or 1/2" scale map showing the location of each feature for which a name is submitted.

SUBMITTED BY: \_\_\_\_\_ RECOMMENDED BY: Clare Hendee  
Forest Ranger Forest Supervisor

REVIEWED BY: \_\_\_\_\_  
H. Coleman  
Regional Engineer

Forest Superior  
Date February 1, 1940

E  
MAPS  
Geographic Names

Case C-23  
GEOGRAPHIC NAMES REPORT

NOTE: Names in local usage, whether they are shown on existing maps or not, on which no confusion or duplication exists, are sanctioned by the United States Board on Geographical Names, and need not be submitted for official approval unless clearly unworthy of perpetuation.

1. Feature (Lake, River, Etc.) Creek  
2. Location: State of Minnesota County Cook  
Township 62 N Range 2 E Section \_\_\_\_\_

3. Description of feature (Area of lake in acres, length of stream in miles, elev. of mt., peculiarities in shape, etc.)  
Creek approximately 12 miles long, rising in sec. 9, T62N., R2E., and flowing into Lake Superior in sec. 2, T61N., R2E.

4. Does this feature have a name? YES - ~~NO~~ (Cross out one) (a) If so, give name or names, history of each, & source of information.  
Diarrhea River - Name shown on 1913 Cook County map.  
Cadunce Creek - Name shown on State Fish & Game Dept. map 1924, showing streams tributary to Lake Superior.  
Kadunce Creek - Name shown on Forest Service maps and on 1939 Cook County map.

5. Name recommended for approval Kadunce Creek  
(a) Origin and meaning Indian word; meaning was not determined.

(b) Source of information if not given under 4a \_\_\_\_\_

6. Reasons for the change recommended The adoption of the proposed name will establish the correct spelling. All of the more recent maps agree on this form.

7. Have the principles of the U.S.B.G.N and the "Statement for Guidance of Government Officers in the determination of names to be submitted to the U.S.B.G.N. for decision," been duly considered in making this recommendation? Yes

8. Recommendations on this form are to be accompanied by a 1/4" or 1/2" scale map showing the location of each feature for which a name is submitted.

SUBMITTED BY: \_\_\_\_\_  
Forest Ranger

RECOMMENDED BY: Clare Hendee  
Forest Supervisor

REVIEWED BY: \_\_\_\_\_  
H. Coleman  
Regional Engineer

E  
MAPS  
Geographic Names

Case C-26

~~XXXX~~

Date February 1, 1940

GEOGRAPHIC NAMES REPORT

NOTE: Names in local usage, whether they are shown on existing maps or not, on which no confusion or duplication exists, are sanctioned by the United States Board on Geographical Names, and need not be submitted for official approval unless clearly unworthy of perpetuation.

1. Feature (Lake, River, Etc.) Lake

2. Location: State of Minnesota County Cook  
Township 63 N Range 5 E Section 7, 8

3. Description of feature (Area of lake in acres, length of stream in miles, elev. of mt., peculiarities in shape, etc.)  
Area - 54 Acres. Located in Grand Portage Indian Reservation

4. Does this feature have a name? YES - ~~NO~~ (Cross out one) (a) If so, give name or names, history of each, & source of information.  
South Trout Lake - Name shown on 1913 Cook County map.

Speckle Trout Lake - Name on G.L.O. plats and State Fish and Game Dept. map (1924)

Speckled Trout Lake - Name shown by Forest Service maps, 1939 Cook County map.

Gazetteer of Meandered Lakes, and 1896 Geological Survey of Minnesota.

This is also the favored local name.

5. Name recommended for approval Speckled Trout Lake

(a) Origin and meaning Speckled Trout are native to this lake.

(b) Source of information if not given under 4a

6. Reasons for the change recommended The recommended name is the one most generally applied to the lake. Its adoption will establish the correct spelling as distinct from Speckle Trout. South Trout Lake has no local use.

7. Have the principles of the U.S.B.G.N and the "Statement for Guidance of Government Officers in the determination of names to be submitted to the U.S.B.G.N. for decision," been duly considered in making this recommendation? Yes

8. Recommendations on this form are to be accompanied by a 1/4" or 1/2" scale map showing the location of each feature for which a name is submitted.

SUBMITTED BY: \_\_\_\_\_  
Forest Ranger

RECOMMENDED BY: Clare Hendee  
Forest Supervisor

REVIEWED BY: \_\_\_\_\_  
H. Coleman  
Regional Engineer

Case C-50

Forest Superior

E  
MAPS  
Geographic Names

Date February 1, 1940

GEOGRAPHIC NAMES REPORT

NOTE: Names in local usage, whether they are shown on existing maps or not, on which no confusion or duplication exists, are sanctioned by the United States Board on Geographical Names, and need not be submitted for official approval unless clearly unworthy of perpetuation.

1. Feature (Lake, River, Etc.) Lake  
2. Location: State of Minnesota County Cook  
Township 64 N Range 2 E Section 17, 20

3. Description of feature (Area of lake in acres, length of stream in miles, elev. of mt., peculiarities in shape, etc.)  
Area - 77 Acres

4. Does this feature have a name? YES - ~~NO~~ (Cross out one) (a) If so, give name or names, history of each, & source of information.  
Pants Lake - name in the Gazetteer of Meandered Lakes of Minnesota.  
Carrot Lake - name shown on Forest Service maps, and selected as most authentic at a joint meeting of Forest Service and State Conservation officers, and interested local parties.

5. Name recommended for approval Carrot Lake  
(a) Origin and meaning This is one of a small chain of lakes connecting Greenwood Lake and Crocodile Lake. The chain forms a canoe route which is popularly known as the vegetable route, the lakes being commonly known as Potato, Carrot, Bean and Parsnip, in order, from Greenwood to Crocodile. The names were given by trappers and early rangers.

(b) Source of information if not given under 4a

6. Reasons for the change recommended The name Pants Lake is seldom used. Carrot is the most commonly used name and as a part of the original chain should be retained.

7. Have the principles of the U.S.B.G.N and the "Statement for Guidance of Government Officers in the determination of names to be submitted to the U.S.B.G.N. for decision," been duly considered in making this recommendation? Yes

8. Recommendations on this form are to be accompanied by a 1/4" or 1/2" scale map showing the location of each feature for which a name is submitted.

SUBMITTED BY: \_\_\_\_\_  
Forest Ranger

RECOMMENDED BY: Clare Hendee  
Forest Supervisor

REVIEWED BY: \_\_\_\_\_  
H. Coleman  
Regional Engineer

E  
MAPS  
Geographic Names

Case C-52

GEOGRAPHIC NAMES REPORT

Forest Superior

Date February 1, 1940

NOTE: Names in local usage, whether they are shown on existing maps or not, on which no confusion or duplication exists, are sanctioned by the United States Board on Geographical Names, and need not be submitted for official approval unless clearly unworthy of perpetuation.

1. Feature (Lake, River, Etc.) Lake

2. Location: State of Minnesota County Cook  
Township 64-65 N Range 5 W Section 3; 34,35

3. Description of feature (Area of lake in acres, length of stream in miles, elev. of mt., peculiarities in shape, etc.)  
Area - 160 Acres

4. Does this feature have a name? YES - ~~NO~~ (Cross out one) (a) If so, give name or names, history of each, & source of information.

French Kakigo Lake - Name given in the Gazetteer of Meandered Lakes of Minnesota.  
French Lake - Name used on Forest Service maps, Cook County map, Minnesota Tourist  
Bureau Fishing Guide, and selected as the most authentic name at a  
joint meeting of Forest Service and State Conservation officers and  
interested local parties.

5. Name recommended for approval French Lake

(a) Origin and meaning -

(b) Source of information if not given under 4a

6. Reasons for the change recommended The recommended name is used on most maps and in most pub-  
lications except the Gazetteer. The single name is desired rather than the two  
word form.

7. Have the principles of the U.S.B.G.N and the "Statement for Guidance of Government Officers in the determination of names to be submitted to the U.S.B.G.N. for decision," been duly considered in making this recommendation? Yes

8. Recommendations on this form are to be accompanied by a 1/4" or 1/2" scale map showing the location of each feature for which a name is submitted.

SUBMITTED BY: \_\_\_\_\_  
Forest Ranger

RECOMMENDED BY: Clare Hendee  
Forest Supervisor

REVIEWED BY: \_\_\_\_\_  
H. Coleman  
Regional Engineer

Forest Superior  
Date February 1, 1940

E  
MAPS  
Geographic Names

Case C-54  
GEOGRAPHIC NAMES REPORT

NOTE: Names in local usage, whether they are shown on existing maps or not, on which no confusion or duplication exists, are sanctioned by the United States Board on Geographical Names, and need not be submitted for official approval unless clearly unworthy of perpetuation.

1. Feature (Lake, River, Etc.) Lake  
2. Location: State of Minnesota County Cook  
Township 62 N Range 4 W Section 23, 24

3. Description of feature (Area of lake in acres, length of stream in miles, elev. of mt., peculiarities in shape, etc.)  
Area - 58 Acres

4. Does this feature have a name? YES - ~~NO~~ (Cross out one) (a) If so, give name or names, history of each, & source of information.  
Root House Lake - name listed in the Gazetteer of Meandered Lakes of Minnesota. The logging railroad built in 1915 passed through the root-house of the Moore homestead. It was this incident which produced the name Root House.

Moore's Lake - Name used on Forest Service maps and selected as most authentic at a joint meeting of Forest Service and State Conservation Department officers and interested local parties.

5. Name recommended for approval Moore Lake  
(a) Origin and meaning The lake was named after a man named Moore (deceased) who had a homestead on the lake thirty years ago.

(b) Source of information if not given under 4a

6. Reasons for the change recommended Although local use favors the name Moores, in compliance with the U.S. Board on Geographical Names principles, the "s" is being dropped.  
In recent years the name Root House has not been used to any extent.

7. Have the principles of the U.S.B.G.N and the "Statement for Guidance of Government Officers in the determination of names to be submitted to the U.S.B.G.N. for decision," been duly considered in making this recommendation? Yes

8. Recommendations on this form are to be accompanied by a 1/4" or 1/2" scale map showing the location of each feature for which a name is submitted.

SUBMITTED BY: \_\_\_\_\_ Forest Ranger  
RECOMMENDED BY: Clare Hendee Forest Supervisor  
REVIEWED BY: \_\_\_\_\_ H. Coleman Regional Engineer

Forest Superior  
Date February 1, 1940

E  
MAPS  
Geographic Names

Case C-55  
GEOGRAPHIC NAMES REPORT

NOTE: Names in local usage, whether they are shown on existing maps or not, on which no confusion or duplication exists, are sanctioned by the United States Board on Geographical Names, and need not be submitted for official approval unless clearly unworthy of perpetuation.

1. Feature (Lake, River, Etc.) Lake  
2. Location: State of Minnesota County Cook  
Township 61 N Range 3 W Section 3, 4  
3. Description of feature (Area of lake in acres, length of stream in miles, elev. of mt., peculiarities in shape, etc.)  
Area - 151 Acres

4. Does this feature have a name? YES - ~~NO~~ (Cross out one) (a) If so, give name or names, history of each, & source of information.  
Mud Lake - name given in the Gazetteer of Meandered Lakes of Minnesota.  
Williams Lake - name shown on Forest Service maps, and selected as the most authentic name at a joint meeting of Forest Service and State Conservation Department officers and interested local parties.

5. Name recommended for approval . Williams Lake  
(a) Origin and meaning Named after an early homesteader, now dead, who had a homestead on the lake shore.

(b) Source of information if not given under 4a

6. Reasons for the change recommended Mud Lake, because of many duplications, is not a desirable name. Williams is generally accepted as the correct name.

7. Have the principles of the U.S.B.G.N and the "Statement for Guidance of Government Officers in the determination of names to be submitted to the U.S.B.G.N. for decision," been duly considered in making this recommendation? Yes

8. Recommendations on this form are to be accompanied by a 1/4" or 1/2" scale map showing the location of each feature for which a name is submitted.

SUBMITTED BY: \_\_\_\_\_ Forest Ranger  
RECOMMENDED BY: Clare Hendee Forest Supervisor  
REVIEWED BY: \_\_\_\_\_ H. Coleman Regional Engineer

Forest Superior  
Date February 1, 1940

E  
MAPS  
Geographic Names

Case D-3b  
GEOGRAPHIC NAMES REPORT

NOTE: Names in local usage, whether they are shown on existing maps or not, on which no confusion or duplication exists, are sanctioned by the United States Board on Geographical Names, and need not be submitted for official approval unless clearly unworthy of perpetuation.

1. Feature (Lake, River, Etc.) Lake  
2. Location: State of Minnesota County Cook  
Township 64 N Range 1 W Section 14, 15

3. Description of feature (Area of lake in acres, length of stream in miles, elev. of mt., peculiarities in shape, etc.)  
Area - 59 Acres

4. Does this feature have a name? YES - ~~NO~~ (Cross out one) (a) If so, give name or names, history of each, & source of information.  
Arrow Lake - Name shown on F.S. maps and 1939 Cook County map.  
Mile Post Lake - Gazetteer of Meandered Lakes of Minnesota.  
Shoko Lake - Name shown on 1913 Cook County map.  
Local usage varies between the three names.

5. Name recommended for approval Shoko Lake  
(a) Origin and meaning Chippewa Indian word; meaning was not determined.

(b) Source of information if not given under 4a

6. Reasons for the change recommended The recommended name is said to be the original name of this lake, and is not likely to be duplicated.

7. Have the principles of the U.S.B.G.N and the "Statement for Guidance of Government Officers in the determination of names to be submitted to the U.S.B.G.N. for decision," been duly considered in making this recommendation? Yes

8. Recommendations on this form are to be accompanied by a 1/4" or 1/2" scale map showing the location of each feature for which a name is submitted.

SUBMITTED BY: \_\_\_\_\_  
Forest Ranger

RECOMMENDED BY: Clare Hendee  
Forest Supervisor

REVIEWED BY: \_\_\_\_\_  
Regional Engineer

E  
MAPS  
Geographic Names

Case D-7b  
GEOGRAPHIC NAMES REPORT

Forest Superior  
Date February 1, 1940

NOTE: Names in local usage, whether they are shown on existing maps or not, on which no confusion or duplication exists, are sanctioned by the United States Board on Geographical Names, and need not be submitted for official approval unless clearly unworthy of perpetuation.

1. Feature (Lake, River, Etc.) Lake  
2. Location: State of Minnesota County Cook  
Township 64-65 N Range 5 W Section 1,2,3,11;35

3. Description of feature (Area of lake in acres, length of stream in miles, elev. of mt., peculiarities in shape, etc.)  
Area - 496 Acres

4. Does this feature have a name? YES - ~~NO~~ (Cross out one) (a) If so, give name or names, history of each, & source of information.  
Bat Lake - Name shown on 1938 Superior National Forest, and in the Gazetteer of Meandered Lakes of Minnesota.  
Gills Lake - Name shown on 1928 Superior N.F. map.  
Gillis Lake - Name in local use, and shown on 1913 Cook Co. map.

5. Name recommended for approval Gillis Lake  
(a) Origin and meaning A man named Gillis had a homestead near the lake some thirty years ago. The original owner is no longer living.

(b) Source of information if not given under 4a

6. Reasons for the change recommended The name Bat rightfully belongs to a lake just north of Gillis Lake, and has been incorrectly applied to this lake. Gills is apparently a misspelling of Gillis.

7. Have the principles of the U.S.B.G.N and the "Statement for Guidance of Government Officers in the determination of names to be submitted to the U.S.B.G.N. for decision," been duly considered in making this recommendation? Yes

8. Recommendations on this form are to be accompanied by a 1/4" or 1/2" scale map showing the location of each feature for which a name is submitted.

SUBMITTED BY: \_\_\_\_\_ FOREST RANGER  
RECOMMENDED BY: Clare Hendee  
Forest Supervisor

REVIEWED BY: H. Coleman  
Regional Engineer

Forest Superior

E  
MAPS  
Geographic Names

Case D-11a  
GEOGRAPHIC NAMES REPORT

Date February 1, 1940

NOTE: Names in local usage, whether they are shown on existing maps or not, on which no confusion or duplication exists, are sanctioned by the United States Board on Geographical Names, and need not be submitted for official approval unless clearly unworthy of perpetuation.

1. Feature (Lake, River, Etc.) Lake

2. Location: State of Minnesota County Cook  
Township 61 N Range 3 W Section 19,20,29,30

3. Description of feature (Area of lake in acres, length of stream in miles, elev. of mt., peculiarities in shape, etc.)  
Area - 339 Acres

4. Does this feature have a name? YES - ~~NO~~ (Cross out one) (a) If so, give name or names, history of each, & source of information.

Big Lake - Name used on 1928 and 1938 Superior N.F. maps.

White Pine Lake - Name used on 1913 Cook County map, 1923 Superior N.F. map, and in the Gazetteer of Meandered Lakes of Minnesota.

Local use is divided between the two names.

5. Name recommended for approval White Pine Lake

(a) Origin and meaning The Indians called this White Pine Lake because of the heavy stand of White Pine surrounding it.

(b) Source of information if not given under 4a

6. Reasons for the change recommended White Pine is apparently the original name of this lake. Adoption of the recommended name will eliminate a duplication of the name Big Lake.

7. Have the principles of the U.S.B.G.N and the "Statement for Guidance of Government Officers in the determination of names to be submitted to the U.S.B.G.N. for decision," been duly considered in making this recommendation? Yes

8. Recommendations on this form are to be accompanied by a 1/4" or 1/2" scale map showing the location of each feature for which a name is submitted.

SUBMITTED BY: \_\_\_\_\_  
Forest Ranger

RECOMMENDED BY: Clare Hendee  
Forest Supervisor

REVIEWED BY: \_\_\_\_\_  
H. Coleman  
Regional Engineer

E  
MAPS  
Geographic Names

Case D-65a  
GEOGRAPHIC NAMES REPORT

Forest Superior  
Date February 1, 1940

NOTE: Names in local usage, whether they are shown on existing maps or not, on which no confusion or duplication exists, are sanctioned by the United States Board on Geographical Names, and need not be submitted for official approval unless clearly unworthy of perpetuation.

1. Feature (Lake, River, Etc.) Lake  
2. Location: State of Minnesota County Cook  
Township 61N Range 4 W Section 22,27  
3. Description of feature (Area of lake in acres, length of stream in miles, elev. of mt., peculiarities in shape, etc.)  
Area - 27 Acres.

4. Does this feature have a name? YES - ~~XX~~ (Cross out one) (a) If so, give name or names, history of each, & source of information.  
Lost Lake ;Both names have been used locally, but the lake has not been named  
Pancore Lake: on published maps.

5. Name recommended for approval Pancore Lake.  
(a) Origin and meaning About 40 years ago a man named Pancore, now dead, had a homestead on the shores of this lake.

(b) Source of information if not given under 4a

6. Reasons for the change recommended The name Pancore is recommended to avoid further use of the name Lost.

7. Have the principles of the U.S.B.G.N and the "Statement for Guidance of Government Officers in the determination of names to be submitted to the U.S.B.G.N. for decision," been duly considered in making this recommendation? Yes

8. Recommendations on this form are to be accompanied by a 1/4" or 1/2" scale map showing the location of each feature for which a name is submitted.

SUBMITTED BY: \_\_\_\_\_  
Forest Ranger

RECOMMENDED BY: Clare Hendee  
Forest Supervisor

REVIEWED BY: \_\_\_\_\_  
H. Coleman  
Regional Engineer

Forest Superior  
Date February 1, 1940

E  
MAPS  
Geographic Names

Case D-68b  
GEOGRAPHIC NAMES REPORT

NOTE: Names in local usage, whether they are shown on existing maps or not, on which no confusion or duplication exists, are sanctioned by the United States Board on Geographical Names, and need not be submitted for official approval unless clearly unworthy of perpetuation.

1. Feature (Lake, River, Etc.) Lake
2. Location: State of Minnesota County Cook  
Township 64-65 N Range 1 E Section 4,5; 32,33
3. Description of feature (Area of lake in acres, length of stream in miles, elev. of mt., peculiarities in shape, etc.)  
Area - 103 Acres

4. Does this feature have a name? YES - ~~NO~~ (Cross out one) (a) If so, give name or names, history of each, & source of information.

Moan Lake - Name shown on 1928 and 1938 Superior N. F. maps, and in the Gazetteer of Meandered Lakes of Minnesota.

Deer Lake - Name shown on 1913 Cook Co. map and 1935 Superior N.F. map. The logging railroad branch on the north shore of the lake was commonly called the Deer Lake branch. Resort owners, game wardens, and other local parties agree that Deer Lake is the most authentic name.

5. Name recommended for approval Deer Lake.

(a) Origin and meaning -

(b) Source of information if not given under 4a

6. Reasons for the change recommended Apparently there has been considerable confusion regarding the name of this lake in the past, but it is now generally conceded that Deer is correct. While there are many other Deer Lakes in other parts of the State, the name applied to this lake will cause no confusion if adopted.

7. Have the principles of the U.S.B.G.N and the "Statement for Guidance of Government Officers in the determination of names to be submitted to the U.S.B.G.N. for decision," been duly considered in making this recommendation? Yes

8. Recommendations on this form are to be accompanied by a 1/4" or 1/2" scale map showing the location of each feature for which a name is submitted.

SUBMITTED BY: \_\_\_\_\_  
Forest Ranger

RECOMMENDED BY: Clare Hendee  
Forest Supervisor

REVIEWED BY: \_\_\_\_\_  
H. Coleman  
Regional Engineer

E  
MAPS  
Geographic Names

Case D-85a  
GEOGRAPHIC NAMES REPORT

Forest Superior  
Date February 1, 1940

NOTE: Names in local usage, whether they are shown on existing maps or not, on which no confusion or duplication exists, are sanctioned by the United States Board on Geographical Names, and need not be submitted for official approval unless clearly unworthy of perpetuation.

1. Feature (Lake, River, Etc.) Lake  
2. Location: State of Minnesota County Cook  
Township 65 N Range 4 W Section 2, 11

3. Description of feature (Area of lake in acres, length of stream in miles, elev. of mt., peculiarities in shape, etc.)  
Area - 230 Acres. Between Minnesota and Ontario: on International Boundary.

4. Does this feature have a name? YES - ~~NO~~ (Cross out one) (a) If so, give name or names, history of each, & source of information.  
Pine Lake - This name was approved for this lake by the U.S. Geographic Board. Since its adoption the name has appeared on all federal maps. Canadian maps also use this name.  
Clove Lake - This name appears on an early map of Cook County. Local resort owners, guides, trappers, etc., have not accepted "Pine" and generally refer to the lake as "Clove Lake."

5. Name recommended for approval Clove Lake  
(a) Origin and meaning Could not be determined locally.

(b) Source of information if not given under 4a

6. Reasons for the change recommended There are other Pine Lakes in the Superior N.F. which, by reason of their size and accessibility, are better known as Pine Lake than the lake described above. Locally, at least, the name "Pine" has not been followed.

7. Have the principles of the U.S.B.G.N and the "Statement for Guidance of Government Officers in the determination of names to be submitted to the U.S.B.G.N. for decision," been duly considered in making this recommendation? Yes

8. Recommendations on this form are to be accompanied by a 1/4" or 1/2" scale map showing the location of each feature for which a name is submitted.

SUBMITTED BY: \_\_\_\_\_ FOREST RANGER  
RECOMMENDED BY: Clare Hendee  
Forest Supervisor

REVIEWED BY: \_\_\_\_\_  
H. Coleman  
Regional Engineer

E  
MAPS  
Geographic Names

Case D-96b  
GEOGRAPHIC NAMES REPORT

Forest Superior  
Date February 1, 1940

NOTE: Names in local usage, whether they are shown on existing maps or not, on which no confusion or duplication exists, are sanctioned by the United States Board on Geographical Names, and need not be submitted for official approval unless clearly unworthy of perpetuation.

1. Feature (Lake, River, Etc.) Lake  
2. Location: State of Minnesota County Cook  
Township 66 N Range 4 W Section 26 - On International Bdy.

3. Description of feature (Area of lake in acres, length of stream in miles, elev. of mt., peculiarities in shape, etc.)  
Area - 390 Acres - Greater portion of the lake is in Canada.

4. Does this feature have a name? YES - ~~NO~~ (Cross out one) (a) If so, give name or names, history of each, & source of information.

Round Lake - Name used on International Boundary maps and Canadian maps.

Gneiss Lake - Name used on F.S. maps, County maps, and in the Gazetteer of Meandered Lakes of Minnesota.

This name is also the common local name.

5. Name recommended for approval Gneiss Lake

(a) Origin and meaning The name Gneiss is the name of a specific type of rock, which outcrops at various points around the lake.

(b) Source of information if not given under 4a

6. Reasons for the change recommended The name Round is much duplicated. Gneiss is the generally accepted name.

7. Have the principles of the U.S.B.G.N and the "Statement for Guidance of Government Officers in the determination of names to be submitted to the U.S.B.G.N. for decision," been duly considered in making this recommendation? Yes

8. Recommendations on this form are to be accompanied by a 1/4" or 1/2" scale map showing the location of each feature for which a name is submitted.

SUBMITTED BY: \_\_\_\_\_  
Forest Ranger

RECOMMENDED BY: Clare Hendee  
Forest Supervisor

REVIEWED BY: H. Coleman  
Regional Engineer

Forest Superior  
Date February 1, 1940

E  
MAPS  
Geographic Names

Case D-101b  
GEOGRAPHIC NAMES REPORT

NOTE: Names in local usage, whether they are shown on existing maps or not, on which no confusion or duplication exists, are sanctioned by the United States Board on Geographical Names, and need not be submitted for official approval unless clearly unworthy of perpetuation.

1. Feature (Lake, River, Etc.) Lake  
2. Location: State of Minnesota County Cook  
Township 64 N Range 1 W Section 10,11,12

3. Description of feature (Area of lake in acres, length of stream in miles, elev. of mt., peculiarities in shape, etc.)  
Area - 157 Acres.

4. Does this feature have a name? YES - ~~NO~~ (Cross out one) (a) If so, give name or names, history of each, & source of information.

Seed Lake - Name used on Forest Service maps and State Fish & Game Department map (1924) showing streams tributary to Lake Superior.

Aspik Lake - General Land Office resurvey plat dated 1934.

Aspen Lake - Name shown on Cook County map.  
The Gazetteer of Meandered Lakes of Minnesota lists both Aspen and Seed as alternate names.

5. Name recommended for approval Aspen Lake

(a) Origin and meaning Not definite, but probably due to aspen stands near lake.

(b) Source of information if not given under 4a

6. Reasons for the change recommended The name "Seed" is duplicated. Aspen is the generally accepted name. The name Aspik is not recognized locally.

7. Have the principles of the U.S.B.G.N and the "Statement for Guidance of Government Officers in the determination of names to be submitted to the U.S.B.G.N. for decision," been duly considered in making this recommendation?

8. Recommendations on this form are to be accompanied by a 1/4" or 1/2" scale map showing the location of each feature for which a name is submitted. Yes

SUBMITTED BY: \_\_\_\_\_  
Forest Ranger

RECOMMENDED BY: Clare Hendee  
Forest Supervisor

REVIEWED BY: \_\_\_\_\_  
H. Coleman  
Regional Engineer