



Minnesota District Court (Carver County)
Civil and criminal case files

Copyright Notice:

This material may be protected by copyright law (U.S. Code, Title 17). Researchers are liable for any infringement. For more information, visit www.mnhs.org/copyright.

No. 1191

Promissory
Note

DISTRICT COURT,
CARVER COUNTY, MINN.

Anton Knoblauch
Plaintiff.

vs.

John E. Holm et al
Defendants.

W. C. Adell
Plaintiff's Attorney.

Frank Warner
Defendant's Attorney.

Date of Entry *January 6th, 1879*

Register of Actions *"A"* Page *192*

Term Tried *April General* 1880

Judgment for *Defendants*

Amount of Judgment \$ *41.55*

Date of Judgment *December 10th 1880*

Judgment Book *"B"* Page *17*

Default Judgment Book Page

Date of Docketing *December 10th, 1880*

Judgment Book *"A" page 316*

STATE OF MINNESOTA, }
County of Carver } ss

The State of Minnesota,
To the Sheriff or any Constable of said County:

You are hereby commanded to summon

A. E. Nohr

if he shall be found in your county, to be and
appear before the undersigned, one of the Justices of the Peace in and for said County, on
the 29th day of November A. D. 1878, at 1 o'clock in the after-noon
of said day, at my office in the Village of Carver in said County,
to answer to Anton Knoblauch

in a civil action; and have you then
and there this writ.

Given under my hand, this 18th day of November A. D. 1878
W. S. Booth Justice of the Peace.

Carver

County, ss. I hereby certify that I personally served the within writ by reading the same ~~(and delivered a copy thereof)~~ to the within named defendant (at his request,) in said county, the *19th* day of *November* 187*8*

Alfred Torrey Constable.

FEES--Mileage *20* Miles, *200*

Service, - - - - - .15

Copy, - - - - -

\$ *2.15-*

SUMMONS.

R. H. Blanch

AGAINST

J. E. Hohn

Filed the *4-9* day of *Nov* 187*8*

W. A. Smith

Justice of the Peace.

STATE OF MINNESOTA, }
County of Carver } ss

The State of Minnesota,
To the Sheriff or any Constable of said County:

You are hereby commanded to summon

John E. Hohn

_____ if he shall be found in your county, to be an
appear before the undersigned, one of the Justices of the Peace in and for said County, on
the 29th day of November A. D. 1878, at 1 o'clock in the after noon
of said day, at my office in the Village of Carver in said County,
to answer to Anton Knoblanch
_____ in a civil action; and have you then
and there this writ.

Given under my hand, this

18th

day of

November

A. D. 1878

W. H. Mills

Justice of the Peace.

Cavan County, ss. I hereby certify that I personally served the within writ by reading the same (and delivered a copy thereof) to the within named defendant (at his request,) in said county, the 19th day of November 1878

Alfred Torrey Constable.

FEES--Mileage 20 Miles, 2.00

Service, - - - - - .15

Copy, - - - - -
\$ 2.15

SUMMONS.

A. Knoblauch

AGAINST

John E. Hahn

Filed the 29 day of

Nov 1878
W. A. Miles

Justice of the Peace.

John E. Hahn
John E. Hahn
John E. Hahn



Carver Minn May 27 187.

41) from 2 months after date we promise to pay
to the order of to E. L. Nickerson or Bearer
Fifty (\$50.00) 100 Dollars,

Value received negotiable and payable without defalcation or discount, and with
from State at the rate of 10 per cent per annum.

John C. Foster
A. C. Foster

State of Minnesota }
County of Carver. }

In Justice Court
Before H. H. Mills
Justice of the Peace.
Anton Knoblauch.

Plaintiff.

^{vs}
E. Hohu and
C. Hohu

Defendants.

The above named Plaintiff for
complaint against the Defendants
in the above entitled action. states
and shows to the Court that heretofore
to wit on the 29th day of May 1878. at
Carver County aforesaid. said Defendants
for a good and sufficient consideration
made executed and delivered to one
E. L. Vickars their certain promissory
note in writing dated on that day.
whereby said Defendants promised
for a good and sufficient consideration
to pay said E. L. Vickars or Bearer
Fifty dollars with interest thereon
at the rate of ten per cent per annum
in four months from the date of
said note. which said note was in
the words and figures following to wit

U.S. No. 20

Carroll Minn May 27th 1878

(4) Four months after date you
promise to pay to E. L. McKaus or
Beaur fifty (\$50.00) 100 dollars. for
value received. negotiable and
payable without defalcation or
discount, and with interest from
date at the rate of ten per cent per
annum.

John C. Hoker.

A. E. Hoker "

That no part of said note or of the
interest due thereon. Has ever been
paid. but the whole amount thereof
for principal and interest is now
due and owing from said defendants.
That some time after the execution
and delivery of said note by said
defendants as aforesaid. and before
the same by its terms. became due
and payable. said E. L. McKaus the
payee therein named for a
good and sufficient consideration.
sold, assigned, and transferred
said note to the plaintiff. who
received the same in the regular
course of trade and business and
ever since has been and now is

and was at the time of the commencement of this action the owner and holder thereof. That there is now due upon said note for principal and interest the sum of fifty two and 50/100 dollars.

Wherefore plaintiff demands judgment against said defendants for the sum of fifty two and 50/100 dollars and interest thereon from the time of the commencement of this action together with costs of suit.

Dated Nov 29 1898

H. C. Ouel

Atty for Plff.

State of Minnesota } ss.
County of Carver. }

Aulois Kuoblauch of said County being first duly sworn says that he is the plaintiff in the foregoing complaint named. and that said complaint is true

Subscribed & sworn to } A Kuoblauch
before me this 29th day }
of November A.D. 1898. }

W. A. Muns
Justice

Justice Court
before
W. H. Mills Esq.

Anton Knoblauch

v.

John E. Hoken
and A E Hoken
Complainant

Filed Nov 29 / 78

W H Mills

Justice

4 filed Jan 6th 1879
Guthrie County Clerk

W C Odell
atty for Def.

our given in Consideration
of the purchase, by them
two defendants, of one C. D.
Beverly and E. L. Pickens
of a certain improved
Churn known as the
improved "Dark Churn"
and the right to sell the
same in Carroll County
Minnesota. That in
Consideration of the said
purchase, the said Beverly
and Pickens agreed to
forthwith deliver to
these defendants one
of said Churns in all
respects in good condition
and completely finished
and that these and
these two defendants
gave their said Note
under such agreement
and not otherwise. That
after the giving of said
note to said Beverly
and Pickens these defendants
demanded said Churn
but that said Beverly

and Beckers refused
to deliver said Churn
to their defendants in
any manner or in
any manner to comply
with their said Contract
and that therefore their
defendants have received
no consideration for
said note - That after
the execution of said note
by them ~~defendants~~ and
before the same was
in any manner placed
in the possession, control,
or transferred to the ~~plaintiff~~
these defendants notified
by a note ~~from~~ ^{from} ~~the~~ ^{the} ~~plaintiff~~ ^{plaintiff} ~~that~~ ^{that} ~~he~~ ^{he} ~~should~~ ^{should} ~~not~~ ^{not} ~~to~~ ^{to}
sell the same ~~and~~ ^{and} ~~that~~ ^{that} ~~he~~ ^{he} ~~should~~ ^{should} ~~not~~ ^{not} ~~to~~ ^{to}
have the same before
they came with the said
Becker and Becker and
the said plaintiff then
since then agreed that
he would not purchase
the same

Wherefore these
defendants demand that
their action be dismissed

with Cars
Dated Curran
Min Nov 29th 1878 } Frank Warr
atty for def-
Curran

State of Minnesota
County of Curran } SS John E.
Holmes and Andrew E.
do hereby certify each duly
sworn before and say
that they are defendants
in the foregoing Ex parte
action that the facts
set forth in the foregoing
answer are true & this
from knowledge except
as to those matters their
status upon information
or belief and as to
those matters he believes
it to be true

Done & sworn
Subscribed before
me this 29th day
of November 1878 } John E. Holmes
Andrew E. Holmes
1014 Minn
Justice

State of Minnesota
County of Carver
ss.

Antw. Thos. Blund
vs

John E. Holm
vs
Arch. Holm

Answer

Filed Nov 29/98

Attest

Notary

Filed Jan 15th 1899
Gustavus W. Blund

Frank W. Wason
att'y for deft

State of Minnesota }
County of Carver. }

In Justice Court
Before N. H. Mills.

Justice of the Peace
Anton Knoblauch.
Plaintiff. }

^{vs}
John E. Hoken.
Defendant. }

and
Anton Knoblauch
Plaintiff. }

^{vs}
A. E. Hoken.

It is hereby stipulated by and between the parties plaintiff and defendants to the above entitled actions by their respective attorneys that said actions be consolidated and stand as one cause under the title of Anton Knoblauch plaintiff against John E. Hoken and A. E. Hoken defendants and that the summons issued in the above entitled causes stand for and be treated as one summons in the a case of said plaintiff against said defendants jointly and that the

above named Justice enter in
 his docket a new action wherein
 said plaintiff shall be plaintiff
 and said defendants be joint
 defendants, and that the proceedings
 in said new action shall be in
 all respects as though a summons
 had been regularly issued served
 and returned therein. This stipulation
 is entered into by said defendants
 under the agreement of plaintiff
 to pay all costs then far incurred
 in said two cases admitted above.

Dated Curran Nov 29th 1898

W.C. Odell,

Atty for A. Knoblach,

Frank Warner

Atty for John E. Foker & A.E. Foker

Justice Corcoran
 before
 W.H. Mills.

Arlon Knoblach

v

John E. Foker &

A.E. Foker.

Stipulation

Julia Nov 29 / 98

W.H. Mills
 Justice

Jan 6th 1899.
 G. W. W. W. W. W.

SUBPOENA.

State of Minnesota, }
COUNTY OF CARVER }
NN.

To

Andrew Milberg

In the name of the State of Minnesota, you are hereby
required to appear before the undersigned, one of the Justices of the Peace in and
for said County, at my office in the Village of Carver, on the 5th
day of December A. D. 1888, at 1 o'clock in the afternoon of
said day, to give evidence in a certain cause then and there to be tried between
Anton Krieblauch Plaintiff and John E.
Hohu & another Defendant on the part of the Plaintiff.

Given under my hand this 5th day of December A. D. 1888

J. W. Mills Justice of the Peace.

State of Minnesota }
County of Carver }

Filed Jan 6th 1879.
E. H. Thompson, Clerk.

I hereby certify and return that
on the 5th day of December 1878 at the
Village of Carver in said County and
State I personally served the within
subpoena upon the within named
Ans. Mullberg. by reading the same to
him. And that I paid him said Mullberg
1.12 his fee for such attendance and travel.

My fee: 25-
Fees 25-
Travel 10-
1 mile travel 35-

Alfred Torrey

Venire.

Leopard &

Rochester, Minn

State of Minnesota,

The State of N.

County of Carnar } ss.

To the Sheriff or any Constable of said County:

You are hereby commanded to summon *that I call you Andrew*
Benigston Chas Johnson Andrew Store & Spang
G. Hinkle, Peter Nichols Lewis Bueche Jno Hulse Jr
Christ-A Goetz Jno Griffin.

J G Wauson *A J Behm*

to be and appear before the undersigned, one of the Justices of the Peace in and for said County, ~~on the~~

forthwith day of _____ A. D. 1878, at _____ o'clock in the _____

noon of said day, at my office in the *Village of Carnar* in said County, to make

a jury for the trial of a civil action between *A Knoblensch*

Plaintiff, and *Jno Hohn & A C Hohn*

Defendant, and have you then and there this writ.

Given under my hand this *5* day of *Dec* A. D. 1878.

W H Miles Justice of the Peace.

County, ss. I hereby certify that by virtue of the within writ, I have

Cass County, ss. I hereby certify that by virtue of the within writ,
personally summoned as jurors the several persons named therein, viz: *In free jury*
Sherline, Andrew Bengtson, Chas Johnson, A Store
E Hinkel, N Nichols E Bach, Jno Thomsen & Jno Griffen
C Goetz
and that the following named persons could not be found:

Dated this..... day of..... A. D. 187.....

Constable.

<i>FEES--</i>	<i>Mileage</i>	<i>Miles.</i>	-	-	
	<i>Service.</i>	-	-	-	\$1.00

3

VENIENRE.

Arken Brokner

AGAINST

12. Kuhn &
J. E. Kuhn

Filed the

day

of 187

Justice of the Peace,[illegible]

State of Minnesota
County of Carver

Antoin B. & H. H. H. H.

Plaintiff

John E. Bohm
Andrew E. Bohm

Defendant

Andrew E. Bohm
one of the ~~defendants~~ ^{plaintiffs} above
named for himself and as
the agent of the agent of the
other ~~plaintiff~~ defendant above
named deposes and says
that on the 5th day of
December A.D. 1878 a judgment
was rendered in favor
of the plaintiff above named
and against said defendants
before W. D. Mills a Justice
of the Peace for said County
at Carver that said
judgment was rendered
for the sum of fifty dollars
and interest thereon and
costs & rail - that said
defendants feel aggrieved
by said judgment and
desire to appeal to the

District Court of said
County Thompson and
that said appeal is
made in good faith and
not for the purpose of
delay

John E. Church

Subscribed before

me this 10th day

of December A.D. 1878

W.H. Mills

Justice of the Peace

And ^{his} ~~the~~ E. Hoken
Mark

See Justice Court
W. H. Mills Justice

John E. Church

As

John E. Church

A. E. Hoken

Att for appeal

Witness
Dec 10 78
W.H. Mills
Justice

Filed Jan 10 1879.
By Attorney at Law

Frank W. Warren
att. for app.

No. 15.

State of Minnesota,

IN JUSTICE COURT.

County of Crow

Before W. H. Mills

Justice of the Peace.

Anton Thoblauch

vs -
John E. Rohrer by Andrew S. Rohrer

Sir: Please to take notice, That the above named John E. Rohrer by Andrew S. Rohrer appeals to the
District Court 8th Dist. in and for said County, from the judgment

rendered by said Justice of the Peace, in the above entitled action, on the 8th day of

December A. D. 1878, against said John E. Rohrer by Andrew S. Rohrer
therein; and that the said appeal is taken upon questions of Law and fact -

Dated Crow December 10th 1878

Yours Respectfully,

Frank Warner

Att'y for

Def -

To the above named

W. H. Mills Justice

and

W. C. Odell

Att'y for said

Plaintiff -

Crow
Min

In Justice Court,

Carr County

Anton Thiel

against

John E. Thiel

Anna E. Thiel

Notice of Grounds of Appeal.

Due service of the within notice is hereby

admitted at

Chaska

Minnesota, on this

13th

day of

December

A. D. 187*8*

A. C. Odell

att'y for off.

RAMSAY, CHANEY & CO. PRINTERS, ST. PAUL.

*Filed, January 13th 1879,
W. H. Wadsworth, Clerk*

State of Minnesota }
County of Marion } Bond & Affidavit
Anton Knoblunch } Plaintiff
vs }
John E. Dohu }
Andrew E. Dohu } Defendants

That all men by their
Parents that the Andrew
E. Dohu and John E. Dohu by
A. E. Dohu his agent as principals
and Andrew Olson as
Security of said bond have
held and firmly bound
unto Anton Knoblunch the
Plaintiff above named in
the full and just sum of
Eighty dollars lawful
money of the United States
to be paid unto the said
Anton Knoblunch for
which payment well
and truly to be made and
done we find ourselves
our heirs and assigns
jointly severally and jointly
by their Parents and

with our seals and dated
this 10th day of December
A.D. 1878

The Condition of the
above obligation is such
Whereas, judgment was
on the 5th day of December
A.D. 1878 rendered before
W. H. Mills Justice in favor
of John Thoburner above
named for the sum of
\$59.80¹⁰⁰ Dollars and against
the defendants above named
~~and~~ Whereas, said defen-
-dants desire to appeal to
the District Court for said
County from said judgment
Now therefore if the said
defendants shall prosecute
their said appeal to judgment
and pay all costs and
judgments that shall be
rendered against them
in said District Court
then abide the order of
the Court therein then
this bond to become void
otherwise to be and remain

in full force
Signed and sealed

in presence of
Frank Warder
W A Mills

Andrew E. Hohn Seal
John E. Hohn Seal
Andrew J. Hohn Seal

State of Minnesota
County of Le Sueur } \$5

Be it known
that on this 10th day of
December A. D. 1858 personally
appeared before the undersigned
Andrew E. Hohn and A.
Alson the signers and sealers
of the foregoing Bond who
acknowledged they signed
and sealed the foregoing
Bonds and are competent
for the same and purposes
therein expressed.

W A Mills

Notary of the State

State of Minnesota
County of Le Sueur } \$5

Andrew
Alson being first duly

room above the race
 says that he was the
 party who signed the
 foregoing Bond that he
 is worth the sum of
 Eighty dollars over
 and above his Exemptions
 and liabilities or any
 claims or liens against
 him of any kind

Done & made
 subscribed & sworn
 to this 10th day
 of November 1877
 W. H. Mills
 Justice of the Peace

Andreas Olson

See Justice Court
 W. H. Mills.

Justice

Anton Thomsen

To John G. Thomsen

J. E. Thomsen

- Bond

Apprent

Filed Dec 10/78
 W. H. Mills
 Justice

Filed Jan 6 1879
 E. H. Thomsen

State of Minnesota } Justice Court
County of Carver } Before W. H. Miles
Justice

Anton Knoblenck

Appt
A E Hohn &
John E Hohn }

Nov 18 1878 Summons issued returned

Justice

Nov 29/78 at one o'clock P M

Summs 25-

Nov 29 1878 Summons returned duly

Verin 25-

Served by A Tony Const

Subpoena 45-

Cont Called parties present

Ampr 25-

Anton 25-

adj 15-

Geo Jay 25-

3 oaths 45-

3 exp 45-

filed ps 40

Ent of 25-

Geo conts 15-

(335)

Stipulation by the attorneys that this
action be joint plaintiff to judge all costs
thus far (Stipulation on file)

Plaintiff complains in writing (complaint on
file) That Def is indebted to him in the
sum of fifty dollars and interest

Def answer in writing (ans on file)
denying said indebtedness, but that the
note was given for a patent right without
consideration and that plaintiff is not
the owner of said note

Upon application of Def cause adjourned
until Thursday Dec 5 1878 at 11 o'clock

Justice

6.00

A M

W H Miles

Justice

Dec 5 1878 10 o'clock A.M.

Court Called parties present
Def demanded a jury paying their fees
Verdict issued

Cause adjourned until one o'clock P.M.

Wm H. Miles

Justice

Dec 5 1878 One o'clock P.M.

parties present

Def moved to amend answer by introducing
Grant's

The following jurors were
sworn Mr. Lull, Geo. Sundline, Andrew
Bengtson, Chas. Johnson, A. Store, G. Knudsen,
J. Michels, L. Buche, Geo. Frank Jr., Geo. Riffen,
Sylvester J. J. J. A. P. Peterson & Mrs. Peterson
were added as Takers

The following witnesses sworn for plaintiff
A. Knoblanch

J. C. Hohn was sworn for Def

Q. What was this note given for? objected
to exceptions taken overruling

Q. Did he furnish Churn? objected to
exceptions taken overruling

Q. Did Mr. Vick state to you that he
would leave the note for collection? objected to
exceptions taken overruling

A. J. C. Hohn sworn.

A. Knoblanch recalled

J. C. Hohn recalled

After hearing the testimony the jury retire
under charge of a foreman sworn for
that purpose
Dec 5 5th P M

Jury returns into Court and say
that they find for plaintiff in the sum
of full amount of note

Whereupon the Court renders judgment
against the Def in the sum of fifty dollars
and interest amounting to fifty two & 5th / 100
dollars and costs of suit taxed at taxation
& 7th / 100 dollars on this 5th day of December
A D 1878
W P Mills

Dec 10 1878 Def filed Affidavit, thereat & notice
of Appeal also paid my fees for marshing
return Appeal allowed

W P Mills

Justice

To the Dist Court of Cass County I hereby
make return of the proceedings had before
in the aforesaid action as the same appear
on my docket. And I hereby certify that I
have compared the foregoing with the original entries
in my docket & that the same is a correct transcript there-
from, that all the papers filed therein are herewith
returned and are numbered from 1 to 10 inclusive.

Given under my hand this 11th day of January 1879
W P Mills Justice

Anton Knochle

as
Q E Hohn &

J E Hohn

Sold Jan 6th 1849.
Expayentahl
Ueluck,

192.

State of Minnesota
County of Carnes A E Hahn being duly
sworn on oath says that he is one of the
defendants in the above entitled action
that he has heard read the foregoing
complaint and knows the contents thereof
and that the same is true of his own
knowledge except as to matters therein
stated upon his information and belief
and that as to those matters he believes
it to be true -

Subscribed and sworn
to before me this 8th day
of Apr 1879
J. H. Hagenbuhl
Clark Hinkley
Carnes, Minn

his
A E Hahn
Mark

District Court
Carnes County

Anton Hagenbuhl

A E Hahn & Co

Amos Aasen

John Hagenbuhl
J. H. Hagenbuhl
Clark Hinkley

Typ

Ex Paid to
Veto for cost
A E Hahn

State of Minnesota
District Court Eighth Judicial District
County of Carver

Anton Kneblach 2

August
A. E. Hahn and
J. E. Hahn

The above named defendant John E. Hahn answering the complaint of the plaintiff in the above entitled action, separately and for himself only, for amended answer thereto denies such and every allegation made and thing in said complaint contained so far as the same relate to him except such as are hereinafter expressly admitted or specifically denied. The said defendant admits that he signed said note

For a further and more Amended
Assault the cause of action set
up in said complaint this defendant
in his own behalf avers that at the
time of the signing of said note de-
scribed in said complaint and of
the alleged execution and delivery
thereof as stated in said complaint
to wit on the 17th day of May A.D. 1878 and
for a long time subsequent thereto
this defendant was an infant

under the eye of twenty one years
that he said left him home gone
years of age on the 12th day of Oct^r
AD 1878 but did not on said
last named day or at any time
subsequent thereto ratify or con-
firm his act in signing or ex-
ecuting said mortgage, note, and
that he has never received any
~~confirmation~~ consecration ~~therefor~~
for said note.

Wherefore he demands fully merit that
and action he deserves and for
his costs here in -

L L Bampton

Atty for Oct 2 Nov

State of Minnesota

[illegible]

John E. Holm

I have And Subscribed before
Me this 1st day of April A.D. 1849
Gottfried Kuhl, Clerk of the Court
Clerk of the Court

District Court
Carmichael

Chilton Macblanch

✓
C. E. - K. E. - E. C.

Emmett Carson

Filed, Report for 1889.

Georgensbuch
Adrian

192

L. D. Taylor
Dec 21 1884

District Court
Carrner County

Anton Knoblauch }
 agent }
Andrew E Holm }
and John E Holm }

The jury in the above mentioned action, in addition to any general verdict they shall render, are instructed to find particularly upon the following questions of fact, to-wit:

1st Did the defendant Andrew E Holm sign the note described in the complaint in this action. Answer - He did not

2^d. Did said defendant Andrew E Holm ever authorize any person to sign said note for him, or consent that his name should be signed to said note. Answer - He did not

3^d Was the defendant John E Holm an infant under the age of twenty one years on the 27th day of May A.D. 1878. and up to and until the 12th day of October of that year
Answer -

He was
to best of our knowledge

District Court
Cassia County

Anton Knablauch
v

Andrew E. Hulme Dal

Instruction to Jined
Shreemly -

Filed April 8th 1879
Eschrayenbuhl
Clerk

192

State of Minnesota } District Court
Carver County

Anton Knoblauch
against
Andrew E. Hobbs
John E. Hobbs

we the jurors in this action
render a decision in favor
of the defendants

Emmie B. Harrison
Foreman

Trust Court
Barren County

Anton Knuhllauch

for
Andrew E. Halm and
John E. Halm

Verdict.

Filed April 8th 1879
G. H. Hagenbuhl
Clerk

1879

STATE OF MINNESOTA,
 District Court Eighth Judicial District,
 County of Carver

Anton Knoblauch
 Plaintiff
 against
Andrew E. Holm and
Johm E. Holm
 Defendant

BILL OF COSTS AND NOTICE OF TAXATION.

COSTS BY STATUTE.

\$ 10.00

DISBURSEMENTS.

Affidavits, two 50

Sheriff's Fees,

Clerk's Fees, (to be taxed,)

Witness' Fees,

Andrew P. Peterson, Attendance 2 days 2.00Andrew Brown, Attendance 2 days 2.00Andrew Brown Travel 20 miles 1.20Jury fees in Justice Court 6.00Costs on appeal 2.00Justice Court Cost (Constable and Justice) 8.70

STATE OF MINNESOTA,
 County of Carver } ss.

L L Bayter

being duly sworn, says, that he is the Attorney of the defendant in the above entitled action, and that the above specified items of disbursements have been necessarily made or incurred therein by said defendant. That the said witnesses necessarily attended said Court as witnesses for said defendant upon the trial of the above entitled action the number of days set opposite their names respectively, in the foregoing bill of cost, and traveled the number of miles to attend said trial stated therein. Such release and exonerate before me this 6th day of December 1880

L L Bayter

Wm. H. H. H. H.
Wm. H. H. H.
Wm. H. H. H.

STATE OF MINNESOTA,

District Court Eighth Judicial District,
County of Carver

Anton Knobloch

Plaintiff

against
Andrew E. Holman and
John E. Holman

Defendant

Sir: Take notice that I shall apply to the Clerk of said Court on the
day of December A. D. 1880 at two o'clock in the fore noon, to tax and insert in the
judgment then and there to be entered in favor of the defendant and against the
plaintiff the foregoing items of costs and disbursements.

To Mr. Odell
Reff's City

L. L. Bayler
Defendant's Attorney

STATE OF MINNESOTA.

County of Carver
District Court.

Anton Knobloch

agst.

A. E. Holman et al

NOTICE OF TAXATION OF COSTS.

Due service of the within notice is admitted
this 6th day

December A. D. 1880.

W. C. Odell

Att'y for

Reff.

Filed December 15 1880

E. W. Wray

Clerk.

L. L. Bayler

Att'y for

Reff.

Pioneer Press.

192

State of Minnesota
County of Carver District Court
1st Judicial District

Norton Wohlschuch
Against
Andrew E. Holm and John E. Holm.

The above entitled action
came on for trial upon an appeal from Justice Court, at the
General Term of said Court, on the 8th day of April A.D. 1880, before
a jury duly impannelled and sworn, and the said jury having
rendered a verdict in favor of the defendants and against
the plaintiff therein.

Now, therefore, on motion of L. V. Baxter
Attorney for defendants It is hereby adjudged and determined
and the judgment of this Court is that the defendants do have
and recover of the plaintiff their costs and disbursements in
said action taxed at the sum of Forty one dollars and
fifty five cents - and that said defendants have their
writs of process therefor.

Statute Costs	\$ 10.00
Affidavits	" 1.00
Witness fees	" 9.15
Witness fees	" 5.00
Jury fees in Justice Court	" 6.00
Return on Appeal	" 4.00
Costs in Justice Court	" 8.40
Total	\$ 41.55

Dated December 10th A.D. 1880.

W. H. H. H. H.

W. H. H. H. H.
Clerk,

State of Minnesota
District Court
County of Carver.

Anton Knudsen
John E. ^{vs} Hulm and
Andrew E. Hulm,

Judgment Roll,

Judgment for ^{defendants}
for Costs \$41.58

Filed, December 10th 1888
G. H. Brauer, Clerk.

192

Chas. H. Butler Attorney
for Defendants.

Alvin Krahlanck

G. E. ^{1st} Holm ~~and~~ G. E. Holm

April 8/79

Paul Mohrbacher

Clarence Benton

Louis Pass

Peter Nelson

Eranta Skatt

Michael Scheidnager

John Emann

Charles Block

Henry Hoffken

Adwin B. Harrison

August Wlammner

Henry Nagler

List of Guns

July, April 8th 1879
Ed. Krayenbuhl
Delaware

—192—

No. 1192

Neglect to
put up fence

DISTRICT COURT,
CARVER COUNTY, MINN.

Isidor Finkel

Plaintiff.

vs.

The Chicago & Milwaukee
St. Paul Railroad Company.

Defendant.

Frank Warner

Plaintiff's Attorney.

Smith & Hale

Defendant's Attorney.

Date of Entry January 6th, 1879

Register of Actions "A" Page 238

Term Tried April Term 1879

Judgment for

Amount of Judgment \$

Date of Judgment 1

Judgment Book Page

Default Judgment Book Page

Date of Docketing 1

STATE OF MINNESOTA, }
 County of Carr } ss

The State of Minnesota,

To the Sheriff or any Constable of said County:

You are hereby commanded to summon The Chicago Milwaukee
and St Paul Ry Co
 if he shall be found in your county, to be and
 appear before the undersigned, one of the Justices of the Peace in and for said County, on
 the 3rd day of December A. D. 1878, at 1 o'clock in the after noon
 of said day, at my office in the Village of Carr in said County,
 to answer to Gottlieb Finkler
 in a civil action; and have you then
 and there this writ.

Given under my hand, this 27th day of November A. D. 1878
W H Mills Justice of the Peace.

Curran

County, ss. I hereby certify that I personally served the within

writ by reading the same ~~(and delivered a copy thereof)~~ to the within named defendant

(at his request.) in said county, the day of 187

to *H. S. Snyder Licket* - agent of the defendant
at *Carroll, Cass County, Minnesota* - *under* by delivering to
him a true copy thereof at *Carroll, Curran Co, Minnesota*
on the 27th day of November 1878 *Alfred J. Tracy* Constable.

FEES--Mileage *1* Miles, *10*

Service, - - - - - .15

Copy, - - - - - *15*

\$ *4 0-*

Curran
Miss

SUMMONS.

Charles L. Snyder

AGAINST

*Chicago Ind -
New & old bond
20*

Filed the day of

Dec 187 *8*
Alfred J. Tracy

Justice of the Peace.

*Filed Jan 13 1879,
G. W. Tracy Constable, Carroll,*

188-

State of Minnesota {
County of Carver }

In Justice Court before H. H. Miller Justice.

Scottlob Finkle

Against

The Chicago Milwaukee

and St Paul Railway Company

Plaintiff

Defendant

The Complaint of the plaintiff respectfully states and shews to this Court that the defendant is a corporation duly organized and created under the laws of the state of Wisconsin and Illinois and that as such corporation is and was on the 24th day of May A. D. 1877 and ever since has been running and operating a rail road in the state of Minnesota known as the Hastings and Dakota Railway commencing at the city of Hastings thence running through the counties of Dakota Scott Carver and McCleod to the village of Glencoe in said McCleod County that on the 24th day of May A. D. 1877 the plaintiff was the owner and possessed of a certain Durham bull of the value of twenty dollars and that by reason of the said defendants there and there have failed and neglected to fence said rail road and to erect crossings and cattle guards along and upon said rail road the said Bull casually and without the fault of this plaintiff strayed in and upon

the track occupied by the Railroad of the said defendant where the same passes through and upon the land of this plaintiff in section fourteen Township one hundred and ~~fourteen~~ fifteen of Range twenty four in the County of Carver Minnesota.

That on the 19th day of July A. D. 1878 the plaintiff was the owner and possessed of a certain heifer of the value of thirty dollars and that by reason of the said defendants then and there having failed and neglected to fence said Railroad and to erect crossings and cattle guards and to maintain such crossings and cattle guards along and upon said railroad the said Heifer casually and without the fault of this plaintiff strayed in and upon the track and ground occupied by the railroad of the said defendant where the same passes through and upon the land of this plaintiff in section fourteen in township one hundred and fifteen of Range twenty four in the County of Carver Minnesota.

That the said defendant by their agents and servants not regarding their duty in that respect so carelessly and negligently ran and managed the said locomotive and cars that the same ran against and over the said Bull and Heifer of said plaintiff mentioned as aforesaid on the respective dates and places aforesaid and killed and destroyed the same to the damage of the said plaintiff in the sum of fifty dollars.

Wherefore the plaintiff demands judgment against said defendant for the sum of fifty dollars as his damages and costs and disbursements in this action

Dated Carver Minn {
December 5th 1878 {

Frank Varner
Atty for Plaintiff
Carver Minn

State of Minnesota {
County of Carver { 158

Gottlob Finkle being first duly sworn deposes and says that he is the plaintiff in the foregoing entitled action that the facts set forth in the foregoing Complaint are true of his own knowledge except as to those matters therein stated upon his information or belief and as to those matters he believes it to be true.

Sworn to and subscribed
before me this 5th day of
December A. D. 1878

Gottlob Finkle

Carver County

John Sundine
Notary Public
Carver Co Minn

In Justice Court
Before N. H. Mills

Gottlob Finkle

vs
The Chicago Milwaukee and
St Paul Rail Road Company

Complaint.

Filed Dec 8-78
W. H. Mills
Justice

Filed Jan 1st 1879.
Gottlob Finkle, Clerk.
238.

Frank Warner
Atty for Plaff
C. W. Warner

State of Minnesota
County of Carver
Before Justice Mills
Hollab Finkler

against
The Chicago Milwaukee
& St Paul Railway
Company

The answer of the defendant
to the Complaint of the plaintiff
in the above entitled action
denies each and every allegation
in the plaintiffs said Complaint
contained and every point
& further says & explains
that the defendant is a
corporation doing business
as stated in the plaintiffs
said Complaint

Smith & Hall
Deft's Atty's

State of Minnesota
County of Carver
Owing duty sworn says that
he is the agent of the plaintiff
in the above entitled action
that he knows the contents
of the foregoing answer
and that he believes the

State of Minnesota
County of Cass & Dist Justice Court
Before W H Mills, Esq
Gottlob Finkler

against
The Chicago & Northwestern
St Paul & Reid Co
Company

In Frank Warner Esq Attorney
for the above named plaintiff
Sir

Please take notice that the above
named defendant appeals from
the judgment rendered against
it in the above entitled action
on the 12th day of December 1878
in the above named Court to
the District Court in and for
said Cass County and that
said appeal is taken on both
questions of law and fact

Yours &c

Smith & Hale

Supts Atlys
Minneapolis
Minn

Baron County
Superior Court

Gottlieb Finkle

Chicago Melocanthos
+ St Paul Rail
Way Co

Affidavit for app-
= cal. - ^{Filed Jan 6th at 1879}
^{Gettysburg, Md.}

File this with
Mills the Justice
and pay him
the \$2.00 incline in
Fines Dec 21/78
W. A. Miller
Justice

Smith & Hale 258
Supt. atty.

State of Minnesota
County of Cass / See justice Court
Before W H Mills Esq
Gottlob Finkle

against
The Chicago Milwaukee
& St Paul rail way
Company

Know all men by these presents
that we the Chicago Milwaukee and
Saint Paul rail way Company
as principal and I Smith and
as sureties and held
and firmly bound unto the
above named plaintiff Gottlob Finkle
in the penal sum of Two hundred
dollars to which payment well
and truly to be made to the said
Finkle we and our heirs our
bins executors & administrators
and each of them jointly and
severally by these presents.

Signed with our hands and
sealed with our seals this
20th day of December 1878
The Condition of this obligation
is such that on the 12th day of
December 1878 judgment was

undue in the above named
Court against the above named
defendant and in favor of the
above named plaintiff and
that the defendant has appealed
from said judgment to the
District Court in and for
said Cass County. Now if the
said defendant shall enter his
said appeal and prosecute
the same to effect and abide
the order of the said Court then
then this obligation shall be void
otherwise to be and remain in
full force and effect -

Executed in presence of

L. J. Anderson { The Chicago Milwaukee &
St. Paul Railway Com
& Henry by S. Smith & Co
Atty ~~Red~~
S. Smith ~~Red~~

State of Minnesota
County of Hennepin } Be it remembered that on
the 20th day of December 1878 came
before us the Chicago Milwaukee and
St. Paul Railway Company by S. Smith
its attorney and S. Smith &

personally know well known to be
the same parties who executed
the foregoing bond and each
morally acknowledged the same
to be its and his free act and
and
Chas. J. Paulsen
Notary Public
Minnesota.

State of Minnesota
County of Hennepin J. Smith
on oath doth say such for himself
that he is one of the parties above
named that he is a resident &
freeholder of and in the State of
Minnesota and worth the amount
of two hundred dollars
specified in the foregoing
bond above his debts and
liabilities and exclusion of
his property which is exempt
from execution

Subscribed & sworn to
the 20th day of Dec
1878 Before me
J. J. Paulsen,
Notary Public,
Minnesota.

Cannon County
Superior Court

Gottlob Fenske
Against
The Chicago Milwaukee
& St Paul rail
road Company
Apprent Bond

Filed this 10th
month the 1st day

Filed Dec 21 78
W. H. Miller
Justice

Filed Jan 15 1879.
G. H. Grayson, Clerk,
1878.

1
To the District Court of Carver County
An Appeal having been made from
the judgment rendered by me in the
following action, I hereby make
return of the proceedings had before me
therein pursuant to the statute as appears
from my docket viz

State of Minnesota } Justice Court
County of Carver } Before W. H. Miller
Justice
Gottlieb Frick

vs
The Chicago & St Paul Ry Co

Nov 27th
~~Dec 5~~ 1878 Summons issued
returnable Dec 5 1878 at one o'clock
P.M.

Dec 5 1878 Summons returned duly
served by a Town Const-
able called, parties present,
Plaintiff complains in writing, (Complaint
on file)
Def Answered in writing, (Answer on
file)

Upon application of Def Cause adjourned
until Dec 12 1878 at 11 o'clock A.M.

W. H. Miller
Justice

Dec 12 1878 12 o'clock M

Court Called, Plaintiff present
& Jurors sworn for Plaintiff

Jury Fees
Deputy 25
Clerk 25
Clerk 25
Adm 15
Jury 15
Jury 25
Tax C 15
1.15

Upon hearing the testimony the Court
renders verdict in favor of the Plaintiff
and against the Def in the sum of
fifty dollars together with the costs of
this suit amounting to \$10.00 and ten
dollars statutory fee costs amounting
in all to sixty one \$10.00 dollars this
12th day of Dec 1878

W H Miles

Justice

Court Fees Dec 21 1878

Deputy 40

Def filed Affidavit, Bonds
and Notice of appeal to the District
Court. Also paid my fees for
making return appeal allowed

W H Miles

Justice

I hereby certify that I have compared the
 foregoing with the original entries in my docket and
that the same is a correct transcript therefrom and
of all the proceedings had before me in said cause
that the affidavit bonds and notice of appeal
together with all the papers had before me therein
are herewith returned and numbered from 1
to 6 and that together with said transcript
they contain a full and perfect statement
of all the proceedings had before me in
said cause

Given under my hand this 1st
day of Jan 1879 W H Miles
Justice

State of Minnesota
District Court
County of Carver,

Gottlob Finkel
vs
The Chicago, Milwaukee
(and) St. Paul. Rail Road Co.

Transcript on Appeal.

J. J. Jan 6th 1899.
G. W. Wrayentuchel
clerk

238.

April 9th 1879.

Quartier Fintel

^{ms}
The Chicago Unit mender
& St Paul Road Road Co

Theodor Betting

Charles Block

Michael Scheidnager

John E. Loman

Charles A. Junt

Leonard Seesch

August W. Klammer

Henry Stallen

Jacob Bongards

Henry Hoeffken

Peter A. Johnson

August Arndt

No. 1193 *promissory Note*

DISTRICT COURT,
CARVER COUNTY, MINN.

L. J. Zapark
Plaintiff.

vs.

Andrew Kerlitz
Defendant.

W. C. Adell
Plaintiff's Attorney,

Defendant's Attorney.

Date of Entry January 21, 1879

Register of Actions A Page 231

Term Tried 1

Judgment for _____

Amount of Judgment \$ _____

Date of Judgment 1

Judgment Book _____ Page _____

Default Judgment Book _____ Page _____

Date of Docketing 1

Vol. 1

State of Minnesota
District Court
Eighth Judicial District
County of Carver

P. J. Toplak,
Plaintiff

vs
Andrew Fertiz,
Defendant.

The above named Plaintiff for
complaint against the above named
Defendant states and shows to the
Court that on the 10th day of April
1873 said Defendant made and deliv-
ed his certain promissory note in
writing, dated on that day, whereby
he for a good and sufficient con-
sideration promised to pay to
the order of said Plaintiff in one
year from the date thereof One
hundred dollars, together with
interest thereon at the rate of
ten per cent per annum until
paid. Which said note was in the
words and figures following viz.

Vol. 2

\$100.00 Given April 10th 1873.
One year after date I promise to
pay to the order of P. J. Toplak One

²
Hundred dollars. at ten per cent
to A. until paid. Value received.

Andrew Hertitz

That said Plaintiff ever since the
date thereof has been and now
is the owner and holder of said
note; that no part of said principal
sum of said note or of the interest
thereon has ever been paid, except
the sum of twelve & 08/100 dollars,
paid December 31st 1875; that there is
at the date hereof, due and owing
thereon the sum of One hundred
forty four & 98/100 dollars.

Therefore Plaintiff demands judg-
ment against said Defendant
for One hundred forty four & 98/100
dollars together with interest thereon
at the rate of ten per cent per
annum from the date hereof. And
costs of suit.

Dated January 25th 1879.

W. B. Odell

Attorney for Plaintiff
Chaska Minn.

No 5

State of Minnesota } ss.
County of Carver. }

N. E. Odell being first duly sworn, says that he is Attorney for the Plaintiff in the action in the foregoing Complaint entitled; that said Complaint is true to the best of this affiant's knowledge, information and belief, and that the reason that this verification is made by this affiant and not by said Plaintiff is that said Plaintiff does not reside in said Carver County, and is not in Alaska where transacting this affair.

Subscribed & Sworn to N. E. Odell,
before me this 20th day
of January, A.D. 1899.

Geo. Rayenbuhl
Judge District Court
Carver County Minnesota

STATE OF MINNESOTA,

COUNTY OF CARVER.

District Court,

EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT.

P. J. Toplak - Plaintiff.

vs.
Andrew Hertitz - Defendant.

SUMMONS.

The State of Minnesota to the above named Defendant:

You _____ are hereby summoned and required to answer the Complaint of the Plaintiff in the above entitled action, a copy of which is herewith served upon you, and to serve a copy of your answer to said Complaint on the subscriber, at my office in the Village of Chaska, in the County of Carver and State of Minnesota, within twenty days after the service of this Summons upon you, exclusive of the day of such service, and if you fail to answer the said Complaint within the time aforesaid, the Plaintiff in this action will take judgment against you for the sum of One hundred forty four & 98/100 dollars, and interest thereon at the rate of ten per cent per annum from the date hereof, together with the costs and disbursements of this action.

Dated at Chaska, January A. D. 1879.

J. W. B. Odell

Plaintiff's Attorney.

State of Minnesota
 County of Carver 3⁰⁰ I hereby Certify And Return
 that I did at Chaska, in the County of Carver, Minnesota
 on the 21st day of January A.D. 1879, serve
 the within Summons And Amended Complaint
 on the within named defendant by handing to and
 leaving with him personally a true & correct copy
 hereof.
 Sw. Service Summons 1⁰⁰
 Complaint 1²⁰
 2 miles travel #2.20.
 J. E. DuSart
 Sheriff Carver County

DISTRICT COURT.

COUNTY OF CARVER.

J. J. Popple
 Plaintiff
 vs
 Andrew Ferley,
 Defendant

SUMMONS and
 Complaint

Filed January 21st 1879
 J. E. DuSart
 Sheriff

W. C. Cull,
 Plaintiff's Attorney.

Chaska, Minnesota.

Davisson & Henderson, Print, Minneapolis.

2
 201, 2
 2

No. 1194 from 15807
Note

DISTRICT COURT,
CARVER COUNTY, MINN.

Anton Knoblauch
Plaintiff.

vs.

Andrew E. Holm et al.
Defendants.

F. C. Odell
Plaintiff's Attorney.

Samuel Fowler
Defendant's Attorney.

Date of Entry February 3rd, 1879.

Register of Actions "A" Page 243

Term Tried October General, 1879.

Judgment for Defendants

Amount of Judgment \$12.50

Date of Judgment December 10th, 1880

Judgment Book "B" Page 18

Default Judgment Book Page

Date of Docketing December 10th, 1880

Judgment Received page 316-

State of Minnesota,

ss.

County of conner

THE STATE OF MINNESOTA.

To the Sheriff or any Constable of said County:

You are hereby commanded to summon John E. Holm and
A. E. Holm

if he shall be found in your county, to be and appear before the undersigned, one of the
Justices of the Peace in and for said county, on the 27th day of
January 1879, at 7 o'clock, in the fore noon, at my
office, in the village of Chaska, to answer to
Anton Knobl and

in a civil action; and have you then and there this writ.

Given under my hand this 13th day of January
A. D. 1879

J. F. Dilly
Justice.

STATE OF MINNESOTA,

County of Carr

88.

I hereby certify and return, that at Town of Preston in said County and State, on the 20th day of January A.D. 1879, I served the within summons upon the within named defendant by handing to and leaving with John E. Holm one of said defendants, at the usual place of residence of both said defendants & a person of suitable age & discretion And further that I know what person for each of them.

~~And~~ the person so served as aforesaid, is the identical person named as defendant herein.

My fees:—Service 57

2 copies Costs, 30

22 miles Travel, 2.20
3.07

F. E. DuSart.

Sherriff Carr Min

IN JUSTICE COURT.

Arthur / Enollmick Agst

John E. Holm and

A. E. Holm

vs.

SUMMONS.

Original.

Filed July 2. 1879

Edw. J. Dancy

Sherriff

Returned and filed this

day of January 1879

J. F. Dancy

Justice



~~\$~~

Carver Mine May 27th 1878
Given, Month 17th after date we promise to pay
to the order of E. L. Vickers or bearer
100 Dollars,

For value received negotiable and payable without defalcation or discount, and with
interest from date at the rate of 12 per cent per annum.

Dec 27th
No 449

noted Dec 20th 78

A. C. Vickers

John E. Vickers

Dec 167
25;
26.6

Filed Jan. 27^d 79
J. T. Silley
Justice of the Peace

Filed July 3^d 1879
G. W. M. M. M.
Clerk

State of Minnesota.
County of Carver. } ss.

In Justice Court
Before James T. Dilley
Justice of the Peace.
Anton Knoblauch
Plaintiff.

To
John E. Hohu and
Andrew E. Hohu
Defendants.

The above named Plaintiff for
complaint against the above
named Defendants states and
shows to the Court, that heretofore
to wit on the 27th day of May 1898
at the County of Carver aforesaid
said Defendants made and delivered
to one E. L. Vickars, their certain
promissory note in writing, whereby
they for a good and sufficient con-
sideration promised to pay to
said E. L. Vickars or to the bearer
of said note, twenty five dollars
with interest thereon at the rate
of ten per cent per annum,
in seven months from the date
of said note, which said note was

in the words and figures following
to wit:

\$2500 Carver Minn. May 29th 1878
Seven months (7) after date we
promise to pay to E. L. Vickars
or bearer Twenty five (\$25.00) Dollars.
for value received negotiable and payable
without defalcation or discount, and
with interest from date at the rate
of 10 per cent per annum.

John E. Hohler,

A. E. Hohler, "

That afterwards and before said
note by the terms thereof became
due and payable said E. L. Vickars
the payee in said note named, for
a good and sufficient consideration
sold and delivered said note to the
Plaintiff: that this Plaintiff took said
note in the regular and ordinary
course of business, and ever since
has been and now is the owner
and holder thereof: that no part
of the sum due on said note for
principal or interest has ever
been paid but the whole amount
thereof is and was at the time
of the commencement of this

action due and owing from
said defendants.

Therefore said Plaintiff demands
judgment against said Defend-
-ants for twenty five dollars
and interest thereon at the rate
of six per cent per annum
from and after the 29 day of
May 1898 together with costs of
suit.

W. B. Odell

Atty for Plff.

State of Minnesota }
County of Le Sueur } ss.

A Knoblanch of said
County being first duly sworn
says that he is the Plaintiff in
the foregoing complaint named,
that said Complaint is true

Subscribed & sworn to
before me the 29 day of January
A.D. 1899.

J. F. Dillay

Justice of the Peace

Justice Court
before
James F. Dillay

A Knoblman

vs

John E. Hoken
et al

Complaint

Filed January 27th 1879

J. F. Dillay

Justice of the Peace

Filed February 3rd 1879
Guthrieburg
Idaho

H. C. Odell

att'y for Alff

State of Minnesota }
County of Carver }

In Justice Court
Before James F. Dilleby Esq,
Justice of the peace.

Anton Knoblauch

against
John E. Hohm and A.E.
Hohm }

John E. Hohm and
A.E. Hohm being first severally and duly
sworn says, each for himself, that he is one
of the Defendants in the above entitled action
and that he appeals from the judgment rendered
by James F. Dilleby Esq, on the 27th day of
January A.D. 1879 in favor of said Plaintiff
and against said Defendants for the sum of
Thirty ~~two~~ and $\frac{50}{100}$ dollars, and that his said
appeal is made in good faith and not
for the purpose of delay.

Subscribed and sworn to
before me this 27th day of
January A.D. 1879

J. F. Dilleby

Justice of the peace

John E. Hohm
his
Andrew E. Hohm
mark

State of Minnesota
Carver County
Justice Court

Knoblauch
vs
Hohn et al

Affidavit for appeal

Filed Jan. 27^d 1879

J. F. Dilley

Justice of the Peace

Filed, Feb 3^d 1879,

G. Krugentuhl
Clerk,

State of Minnesota,
County of Carver

No. 16.

IN JUSTICE COURT.

Before

James F. Dilley Esq Justice.

Anton Knoblauch

against

John E. Hohm and A. E. Hohm

BOND ON APPEAL.

Know all Men by these Presents, That we John E. Hohm and A. E. Hohm

as principal, and

J. Strunkers

as sureties are held and firmly bound unto

Anton Knoblauch

above named

in the sum of Sixty five — — — — —

Dollars, to be paid to the said Anton Knoblauch

for the payment whereof, well and truly to be made, we jointly and severally bind ourselves, our heirs, executors and administrators, firmly by these presents.

Sealed with our seals and dated this

27th day of January

A. D. 1879.

The condition of this obligation is such that, whereas the said John E. Hohm and A. E. Hohm appeals to the District Court for said county from that certain judgment rendered by said Justice of the Peace, in the above entitled action, in favor of said

Anton Knoblauch

and against said

John E. Hohm and A. E. Hohm

on the

27th

day of January

A. D. 1879.

NOW THEREFORE, if the said Appellant John E. Hohm and A. E. Hohm shall prosecute his appeal with effect and abide the order of the Court therein, then this obligation shall be null and void, otherwise of force and effect.

In testimony whereof, we have hereunto set our hands and seals the day and year aforesaid.

SIGNED, SEALED AND DELIVERED IN PRESENCE OF

C. S. Baxter

Michael Eaylin

A. E. Hohm [SEAL.]

J. Strunkers [SEAL.]

[SEAL.]

State of Minnesota,

County of Carver

ss.

Be it Known, That on this

Twenty seventh

day of January

A. D. 1879,

came before me personally

John E. Hohm

and A. E. Hohm

and J. Strunkers.

to me well known to be the same persons who executed the foregoing Bond, and they severally acknowledged the same to be their own free act and deed.

J. A. Sargent
Judge Pro Tem

State of Minnesota,

County of Carter

ss.

and

came before me personally, and
being by me first duly sworn, doth say, each for himself, that he is the surety above named; that
he is a resident and freeholder of and in this State of Minnesota, and worth the amount of
Dollars, specified in the foregoing
Bond, above his debts and liabilities, and exclusive of his property which is exempt from execution.

Subscribed and Sworn to before me, on this _____ day of _____
A. D. 187 _____.

IN JUSTICE COURT.

Carter County

BEFORE

J. F. Dickey Sec. Justice.

Anton Knoblauch

AGAINST

John L. Holm et al

BOND ON APPEAL.

I hereby approve the within Bond and the
sureties thereon.

Dated and filed January 27th
A. D. 187 9.

J. F. Dickey
Justice of the Peace.

Stator

Attorney for appellants-

Wm. F. Dickey Jan 27 1879.

Wm. F. Dickey Clerk

State of Minnesota
County of Carver }

Justice Court
Before J. F. Dilley Esq.
Justice of the peace

Anton Knoblauch
against
John E. Hohm and
A. E. Hohm }

Notice of appeal

Sir,

Please to take notice that the above
named John E. Hohm and A. E. Hohm Defendants
appeal to the District Court in and for
said County from the judgment rendered
against them on the 27th day of January
A.D. 1879 by said James F. Dilley justice of
the peace in the above entitled action; and
that said appeal is taken upon questions
of both law and fact

Dated this 27th day of January 1879

To

Anton Knoblauch, Plaintiff
above named, and to
W. C. Odell Esq his
attorney }

Yours &c

S. Fowler

Atty for Defendants

Justice Court
Carver County
Before J. F. Dilley, J.
Justice

Knoblauch
vs
Holm & al.

Notice of appeal

Due service of a copy
of the within notice of
appeal is hereby admitted
this day of January
A.D. 1879

H. C. Odell.

Plaintiffs Attorney

Filed, Filed Jan 2nd A.D. 1879

J. F. Dilley, Clerk

W. A. Holm

Attorney for Appellants

State of Minnesota } ss. In Justice Court-
County of Le Sueur } Before J. F. Willey Justice.
Anton Knoblauch Plff.

vs.

John E. Holm and A. E. Holm } Transcript of docket,
Defendants. }

January 23^d 1879. Summons issued returnable
on the 27^d day of January at ten O'clock A.M.,
delivered to J. E. DuPait Sheriff. January 27^d 1879
Ten O'clock A.M. Summons returned duly
served by leaving with one defendant for the other
and by service personally on the defendant
John E. Holm on the 20^d day of January 1879
Ten O'clock A.M. case called and parties came
to their names. Plaintiff by his attorney W. C. Odell
and defendants by themselves. Plaintiff filed a
written complaint duly verified. Also filed a note.
After waiting one hour and the defendants not making
any defense, W. C. Odell sworn for plaintiff. Note
offered in evidence and received. After hearing the
evidence I hereby render judgment in favor of the
plaintiff and against the defendants for \$25.00 and
interest at the rate of ten per cent from the 27^d
day of May 1878 amounting to \$1.67 the whole amount-
ing to \$26.67 and costs of this action taxed
at \$5.85. Judgment Jan. 27^d 1879 \$26.67

Costs \$ 5.85
Total \$32.52

W. C. Odell

J. F. Dilley Justice &c;
January 27th 1879. Defendant made and filed
an affidavit - for appeal and bond in the penal sum of
\$65.⁰⁰ approved by me together with a notice of
appeal with proof of due service thereof upon the plaintiff
and paid unto him the sum of \$2.⁰⁰ my fee for the
return. Appeal thereupon allowed January 28th 1879.
Return forwarded to Clerk of District Court

J. F. Dilley
Justice of the Peace

State of Minnesota }
County of Leasur }
Anton Kuohlamah Plff.

In Justice Court
J. F. Dilley Justice

vs.

} Return to Appeal

John E. and A. E. Holm Defendants.

In pursuance of the notice of appeal by the defendant
in the above entitled action and of the Statute in such
case made and provided I do hereby certify and return
that the annexed is a true and correct transcript
of all the entries made in my docket in said action
and that the ~~case~~ papers hereto attached are all the process
and other papers relating to said action filed with me.
And I do further certify and return that my fees for this
return were duly paid by the said defendant at the time of
taking said appeal

Witness my hand
this 28th day of January 1879

J. F. Dilley
Justice of the Peace

A. Knohlanch Pfz.
ns.

John E. and A. E. Dyer
of the

Miss. Feb. 3rd 1849
Geyersbuhl
Clark

Feb.

State of Minnesota
District Court Eighth Judicial District
County of Carver

Anton Knoblauch }
August }
A E Holm and }
J E Holm }

The above named
defendant John E. Hahn answering for
himself separately, the complaint of the plain-
tiff in the above entitled action for
assault, threats, damages such and every
allegation made and thing in said
complaint contained except such as are
hereinafter expressly admitted or specifically
denied so far as said complaint relates to
this defendant.

The said defendant admits that he signed said note.

For a further and second defence to
the cause of action set up in said com-
plaint this defendant in his own behalf
and answering herein for himself alone
avows that at the time of the signing of said
note described in the said ^{by this defendant} complaint, and
of the alleged execution and delivery thereof
as stated in said complaint toward on the
27th day of May A.D. 1878 said ^{defendant} for a long
time subsequent thereto this defendant
was an infant under the age of twenty
one years. That he ^{became} ^{adult} twenty one
years of age on the 12th day of October A.D. 1878

but did not on said first naming day
or at any time subsequent thereto ratify
or confirm his act in signing or
executing said promissory note, and
that he has never received any con-
sideration for said note.

Wherefore he demands judgment
that said action be dismissed, and
for his costs in this action.

L L Bayter

Attorney for Defendant

State of Minnesota
County of Cass
I, E. H. John, being duly sworn and attested, depose
that I am one of the defendants in the within entitled action, that I
have heard and read the foregoing answer and know the con-
tent thereof, and that the facts therein set forth are true to the best of my own knowledge
and belief and that as to those matters by which it to be
suggested and upon
the before me this 8th day of
April 1899

E. H. John
for E. H. John

G. W. Thompson

Notary Public
Cass County, Minn.

District Court
Cass County

Auston Brockmeyer
et al
vs
E. H. John et al
Amended Answer

Filed, April 1st 1899
G. W. Thompson
Notary Public

243

L L Bayter
Attorney for Plaintiff
E. H. John

State of Minnesota

District Court Eighth Judicial District
County of Leavenworth

Anton Krahlanek

vs

A & E Hahn and

J E Hahn

The above named
defendant A & E Hahn answering for
himself separately the complaint of
the plaintiff in the above entitled action
for answer thereto denies each and every
allegation made and thing in said
complaint contained except such
as are herein after admitted or
specifically denied, so far as said
complaint relates to the defendant

And this defendant specifically de-
nies that he ever signed or executed or de-
livered the said promissory note in any
manner whatsoever

Wherefore he demands prayer that
said action as to him be dismissed
and for his costs herein.

L L Daptry
A & E Hahn
Defendants' Attorney

State of Minnesota

County of Crow 95 A & E Hahn being
duly sworn and attests that he
is one of the ~~attorneys~~ for the defendants
in the above entitled action, that
he has heard read the foregoing answer
and knows the contents thereof and
that the same is true of his own knowledge
except as to matters therein stated upon
his information and belief and
that as to those matters he believes
it to be true -

Subscribed and sworn to ^{his} A. E. Hahn
before me this 5th day ^{month}
of April 1898

Christygenbuhl

John H. Hahn

Warnerbottom

Desmet and
Crow County

Crow County

A & E Hahn et al

Answered Answer

Filed April 18 1898

Christygenbuhl

John H. Hahn

Dep. -

L. A. Dargatz
Atty for Dep
A & E Hahn

STATE OF MINNESOTA,
District Court Eighth Judicial District,
 County of *Carver*

Anton Knoblauch Plaintiff
 against
Jahn & Hulmune
Andrew & Helm Defendant
 BILL OF COSTS AND NOTICE OF TAXATION.

COSTS BY STATUTE.

5
\$ 10.00

DISBURSEMENTS.

Affidavits, *two* *50*

Sheriff's Fees, *50*

Clerk's Fees, (to be taxed,) *50*

Witness' Fees, *2.00*

Costs on appeal *2.00*

Costs in justice Court (justice & Constable) *2.00*

Costs in justice Court (justice & Constable) *2.00*

Costs in justice Court (justice & Constable) *2.00*

Costs in justice Court (justice & Constable) *2.00*

Costs in justice Court (justice & Constable) *2.00*

Costs in justice Court (justice & Constable) *2.00*

Costs in justice Court (justice & Constable) *2.00*

Costs in justice Court (justice & Constable) *2.00*

Costs in justice Court (justice & Constable) *2.00*

Costs in justice Court (justice & Constable) *2.00*

Costs in justice Court (justice & Constable) *2.00*

Costs in justice Court (justice & Constable) *2.00*

Costs in justice Court (justice & Constable) *2.00*

Costs in justice Court (justice & Constable) *2.00*

Costs in justice Court (justice & Constable) *2.00*

Costs in justice Court (justice & Constable) *2.00*

Costs in justice Court (justice & Constable) *2.00*

Costs in justice Court (justice & Constable) *2.00*

Costs in justice Court (justice & Constable) *2.00*

Costs in justice Court (justice & Constable) *2.00*

Costs in justice Court (justice & Constable) *2.00*

Costs in justice Court (justice & Constable) *2.00*

Costs in justice Court (justice & Constable) *2.00*

Costs in justice Court (justice & Constable) *2.00*

Costs in justice Court (justice & Constable) *2.00*

Costs in justice Court (justice & Constable) *2.00*

Costs in justice Court (justice & Constable) *2.00*

Costs in justice Court (justice & Constable) *2.00*

Costs in justice Court (justice & Constable) *2.00*

Costs in justice Court (justice & Constable) *2.00*

Costs in justice Court (justice & Constable) *2.00*

Costs in justice Court (justice & Constable) *2.00*

Costs in justice Court (justice & Constable) *2.00*

Costs in justice Court (justice & Constable) *2.00*

Costs in justice Court (justice & Constable) *2.00*

Costs in justice Court (justice & Constable) *2.00*

Costs in justice Court (justice & Constable) *2.00*

Costs in justice Court (justice & Constable) *2.00*

Costs in justice Court (justice & Constable) *2.00*

STATE OF MINNESOTA,
 County of *Carver* } ss.

L L Baxter

being duly sworn, says, that he is the Attorney of the in the
 above entitled action, and that the above specified items of disbursements have been necessarily made
 or incurred therein by said *defendant*

Subscribed and sworn to
before me this 6th day of
December 1880.

L L Baxter

G. Prayenbuhl
Notary Public
Carver County

STATE OF MINNESOTA,
District Court *Eighth* Judicial District,
County of *Carrar*

Anton Knobloch
Plaintiff
against
John E Holm and
Andrew E Holm
Defendants

Sir: Take notice that *I* *at his office in St. Paul in said County* shall apply to the Clerk of said Court on the *9th* day of *December* A. D. 18*80* at *ten* o'clock in the *fore* noon, to tax and insert in the judgment then and there to be entered in favor of the *defendant* and against the *plaintiff* the foregoing items of costs and disbursements.

To Wm C Odell
Deft's Atty

L L Bayler
Defendants Attorney

STATE OF MINNESOTA,
County of *Carrar*
District Court.

A Knobloch
agst.

J E Holm et al

NOTICE OF TAXATION OF COSTS.

Due service of the within notice is admitted
6th day

December A. D. 18*80*

W. C. Odell
Atty for *Deft.*

Filed *December 9th* 18*80*

L L Bayler
Clerk.

L L Bayler
Atty for *Deft.*

Pioneer Press.

W. C.

State of Minnesota
County of Carver, *M* District Court
8th Judicial District.

Anton Knablauch
Against
John E. Holm *and*
Andrew E. Holm.

The above entitled action came on for trial upon an appeal from Justice Court, at the General Term of said Court on the 8th day of April AD 1879, and the Attorneys for the respective parties in open Court stipulated that the said action abide the result of a similar action pending in said Court and tried on the said 8th day of April AD 1879 between said parties in which action the Jury rendered a verdict in favor of Defendants and against said Plaintiff herein.

Now therefore on motion of A. L. Baxter Attorney for Defendants This hereby adjudged and determined and the judgment of this Court is that said Defendants do have and recover of said Plaintiff their Costs and Disbursements taxed in said action at the sum of Twelve dollars and fifty Cents - and that said Defendants have their lawful process therefor,

Statute Costs	\$5.00
Affidavits	" 2.00
Costs on Appeal	" 2.00
Subsistence	" 5.00

Total \$14.00

Dated December 10th AD 1880.

By the Court: *A. Knablauch*
Clerk.

State of Minnesota
District Court
County of Carver,

Anton Knoblouch

^{vs}
Andrew C. Hulm and
John C. Hulm,

Judgment Roll.

Judgment for defendants
for costs \$12.50

Filed, December 11th A.D. 1880
G. Kraenzbuhl
Clerk,

243

In W. May Jr, Attorney
for defendants, ✓