



Minnesota District Court (Carver County)
Civil and criminal case files

Copyright Notice:

This material may be protected by copyright law (U.S. Code, Title 17). Researchers are liable for any infringement. For more information, visit www.mnhs.org/copyright.

Adultery

No. 1251

DISTRICT COURT,
CARVER COUNTY, MINN.

The State of Minnesota
Plaintiff.

vs.
Isaac Saunders
Defendant.

Plaintiff's Attorney.

Defendant's Attorney.

Date of Entry Oct 13 1879

Count
Register of Actions A Page 38

Term Tried 1

Judgment for

Amount of Judgment \$

Date of Judgment 1

Judgment Book Page

Default Judgment Book Page

Date of Docketing 1

State of Minnesota
The District Court
Eighth Judicial District
County of Carver.

The State of Minnesota. }
Isaiah Saunders. }

Isaiah Saunders is accused by
the Grand Jury of the County of
Carver in the State of Minnesota.
by this indictment of the crime of
Adultery, committed as follows:

The said Isaiah Saunders on
the 10th day of April A.D. 1878
at the Town of Young America in the
County of Carver, in the State of
Minnesota, he the said Isaiah Saunders
being then and there an unmarried
man, did commit the crime of
adultery with one Mary Cameron
then and there a married woman,
and the lawful wife of one William
Cameron, by then and there having
carnal knowledge of the body of her
the said Mary Cameron, against the
form of the Statute in such case made
and provided, and against the peace
and dignity of the State of Minnesota.

Dated at Chaska in the County of Carver
and State of Minnesota the 15th day of October 1879

Names of witnesses examined
before the Grand Jury.
Jas. House, Eugene Poole, Dr. Richards
Pat Donovan, Duncan McDougall
R. H. Clark, Wm. Cameron

B. H. Heydt
Foreman of the Grand Jury
Carver County, Minnesota.

District Court
Carver County,

The State of Minnesota

vs
Isaiah Saunders.

Indictment

a true Bill
B J Light
Foreman of the Grand Jury

State of Minnesota vs
Isaiah Saunders } The within indictment
was presented to the Court by the foreman
of the Grand Jury in the presence of the
Grand Jury in open Court and filed
this 15th day of October A.D. 1879
J. W. G. G. G. Clerk,

SUBPOENA.

STATE OF MINNESOTA, }
DISTRICT COURT. } ss.

FOR THE EIGHTH DISTRICT, CARVER COUNTY.

To

Pathey Donovan

GREETING:

IN THE NAME OF THE STATE OF MINNESOTA, you are hereby commanded, that laying aside all and singular your business and excuses, you be and appear before the Judge of the District Court, for the Eighth Judicial District, and County of Carver, at the Court House in said County, on the thirteenth day of October 1889 at 11 o'clock, in the fore noon, then and there to give evidence in a cause to be tried before me.

Before the Grand Jury

Plaintiff

and

Defendant on the part of the

State

Hereof fail not, on pain of the penalty that will fall thereon.

WITNESS, The Honorable JOHN L. McDONALD, Judge of the District Court
aforesaid, at Osaska, in said County, this thirteenth
day of September in the year 1889.

C. Krausentub

Clerk.

Original.

SUBPOENA.

DISTRICT COURT,
CARVER COUNTY.

IN THE MATTER OF

The State of Minnesota
vs.
Mariah Sanders.

Subpoena on the part of

State

STATE OF MINNESOTA,
CARVER COUNTY

Oct. 6th 1879

I have duly served the within by reading
the same to the within named Patsy
Donovan, & also by
handing him copy.

as I am thereunto commanded.

J. E. McNeil

Sheriff

FEES—Service	Subpoena	\$	50
Mileage	48 miles	\$	480
Return	copy	\$	30
			5.60

Filed in said Court, this fourteenth
day of October, A. D. 1879
Anthony Clerk.
Attorney.

L. ED. DAVISON Print., Minneapolis.

Patsy Donovan

SUBPOENA.

STATE OF MINNESOTA,
DISTRICT COURT.

FOR THE EIGHTH DISTRICT, CARVER COUNTY.

To

R. H. Clark

GREETING:

IN THE NAME OF THE STATE OF MINNESOTA, you are hereby commanded, that laying aside all and singular your business and excuses, you be and appear before the Judge of the District Court, for the Eighth Judicial District, and County of Carver, at the Court House in said County, on the Thirtieth day of October 1879 at 11 o'clock, in the fore noon, then and there to give evidence in a cause to be tried ~~between~~

Before the Grand Jury

Plaintiff

and

Defendant on the part of the

State

Hereof fail not, on pain of the penalty that will fall thereon.

WITNESS, The Honorable JOHN L. McDONALD, Judge of the District Court aforesaid, at Chaska, in said County, this Thirtieth day of September in the year 1879.

G. Krayenbuhl

Clerk.

Original.

SUBPOENA.

DISTRICT COURT,
CARVER COUNTY.

IN THE MATTER OF

The State of Minnesota
vs.
Isiah Sanders

Subpoena on the part of

State

STATE OF MINNESOTA,
CARVER COUNTY

Oct. 2nd 1879

I have duly served the within by reading
the same to the within named R. H.
Clark, in Hector, Remille
Co, and by handing him
Copy

as I am therein commanded.

J. E. DeBoit Sheriff

FEES—Service Subpoena	\$ 50
Mileage 110 miles	\$ 11.00
Return & copy	\$ 30
	11.50

Filed in said Court, this fourteenth
day of November A. D. 1879
J. H. Grayentubly Clerk.
Attorney.

L. ED. DAVISON Print., Minneapolis.

R. H. Clark.

SUBPOENA.

STATE OF MINNESOTA, }
DISTRICT COURT. } ss.

FOR THE EIGHTH DISTRICT, CARVER COUNTY.

To

James Reese

GREETING:

IN THE NAME OF THE STATE OF MINNESOTA, you are hereby commanded, that laying aside all and singular your business and excuses, you be and appear before the Judge of the District Court, for the Eighth Judicial District, and County of Carver, at the Court House in said County, on the thirteenth day of October 1879 at 11 o'clock, in the fore noon, then and there to give evidence in a cause to be tried ~~before~~

Before the Grand Jury

Plaintiff

and

Defendant on the part of the

State

Hereof fail not, on pain of the penalty that will fall thereon.

WITNESS, The Honorable JOHN L. McDONALD, Judge of the District Court
aforesaid at Chaska, in said County, this thirteenth
day of September in the year 1879.

Wm. H. Gray

Clerk.

Original,

SUBPOENA.

DISTRICT COURT,
CARVER COUNTY.

IN THE MATTER OF

The State of Minnesota
Mariah Sanders

Subpoena on the part of

State

STATE OF MINNESOTA,
CARVER COUNTY.

Oct. 6th

1879

I have duly served the within by reading
the same to the within named *James*

Reese & also by
handing him copy.

as I am therein commanded.

J. E. DuFort Sheriff

FEES—Service *subpoena* \$ *50*

Mileage \$

Return *& copy* \$ *30*

80.

Filed in said Court, this *fourteenth*
day of *October*, A. D. 1879
Wm. H. C. C. C. Clerk.

Attorney.

L. ED. DAVISON Print., Minneapolis.

James Reese.

SUBPOENA.

STATE OF MINNESOTA,
DISTRICT COURT.

FOR THE EIGHTH DISTRICT, CARVER COUNTY.

To

Dr. C. W. Riches

GREETING:

IN THE NAME OF THE STATE OF MINNESOTA, you are hereby commanded, that laying aside all and singular your business and excuses, you be and appear before the Judge of the District Court, for the Eighth Judicial District, and County of Carver, at the Court House in said County, on the Thirteenth day of October 1879 at 11 o'clock, in the fore noon, then and there to give evidence in a cause to be tried by a

Before the Grand Jury

Plaintiff

and

Defendant on the part of the

State

Hereof fail not, on pain of the penalty that will fall thereon.

WITNESS, The Honorable JOHN L. McDONALD, Judge of the District Court aforesaid, at Chaska, in said County, this Thirteenth day of September in the year 1879.

C. W. Riches

Clerk.

Original

SUBPOENA.

DISTRICT COURT,
CARVER COUNTY.

IN THE MATTER OF

The State of Minnesota
vs
Mariah Slender

Subpoena on the part of

State

STATE OF MINNESOTA,
CARVER COUNTY.

Oct 6th 1879

I have duly served the within by reading
the same to the within named Dr C. W.
Riches & also by
handing him copy

as I am therein commanded.

J. E. DuToit Sheriff

FEES—Service	Subpoena	\$	50
Mileage	2 miles	\$	20
Return	+ copy	\$	30
			80.

Filed in said Court, this 6th day of October A. D. 1879

Raymond C. Smith, Clerk.

Attorney.

L. ED. DAVISON Print, Minneapolis.

Dr. C. W. Riches,

SUBPOENA.

STATE OF MINNESOTA,
DISTRICT COURT.

FOR THE EIGHTH DISTRICT, CARVER COUNTY.

To

Eugene Pool

GREETING:

IN THE NAME OF THE STATE OF MINNESOTA, you are hereby commanded, that laying aside all and singular your business and excuses, you be and appear before the Judge of the District Court, for the Eighth Judicial District and County of Carver, at the Court House in said County, on the Thirteenth day of October 1899 at 11 o'clock, in the fore noon, then and there to give evidence in a cause to be tried between

Before the Grand Jury

Plaintiff

and

Defendant on the part of the

State

Hereof fail not, on pain of the penalty that will fall thereon.

WITNESS, The Honorable JOHN L. McDONALD, Judge of the District Court aforesaid, at Chaska, in said County, this Thirteenth day of September in the year 1899.

W. Gaymuth

Clerk.

Original

SUBPOENA.

DISTRICT COURT,
CARVER COUNTY.

IN THE MATTER OF

The State of Minnesota

vs.

Isaiah Sandus

Subpoena on the part of

State

STATE OF MINNESOTA,
CARVER COUNTY

Oct 6th 1879

I have duly served the within by reading
the same to the within named Eugene
Paul & also by handing
this copy

as I am therein commanded.

J. E. D. Toit Sheriff

FEES—Service Subpoena \$ 50
Mileage \$
Return & copy \$ 50
80

Filed in said Court, this fourteenth
day of October A. D. 1879.
Schreyenbuhl Clerk.

Attorney.

L. ED. DAVISON Print, Minneapolis.

Eugene Paul.

SUBPOENA.

STATE OF MINNESOTA, }
DISTRICT COURT. } ss.

FOR THE EIGHTH DISTRICT, CARVER COUNTY.

To

R. M. Masters

GREETING:

IN THE NAME OF THE STATE OF MINNESOTA, you are hereby commanded, that laying aside all and singular your business and excuses, you be and appear before the Judge of the District Court, for the Eighth Judicial District, and County of Carver, at the Court House in said County, on the Thirteenth day of October 1879 at Seven o'clock, in the fore noon, then and there to give evidence in a cause to be tried ~~between~~

Before the Grand Jury

Plaintiff

and

Defendant on the part of the

State

Hereof fail not, on pain of the penalty that will fall thereon.

WITNESS, The Honorable JOHN L. McDONALD, Judge of the District Court aforesaid, at Shaska in said County, this fourth day of October in the year 1879.

J. H. Krazenbuhl

Clerk.

Original.

SUBPOENA.

**DISTRICT COURT,
CARVER COUNTY.**

IN THE MATTER OF

The State of Minnesota
vs.
Isaiah Sanders

Subpoena on the part of

State.

STATE OF MINNESOTA,
CARVER COUNTY.

Oct. 6th 1879

I have duly served the within by reading
the same to the within named *R. M.*
Masters & also by
handing him copy

as I am therein commanded.

J. C. DuFort Sheriff.

FEES—Service Subpoena \$ *50*
Mileage *4* - \$
Return *& copy* \$ *30*
80.

Filed in said Court, this *fourteenth*
day of *October* A. D. 1879.
John Graymuhl Clerk.
Attorney.

L. ED. DAVISON Print, Minneapolis.

R. M. Martin.

State of Minnesota
County of Carver

The State of Minnesota
To the Sheriff or any Constable of said
County-

Whereas William J. Cameron has
this day complained to me, on oath, that
one Isaiah Sanders, he the said Isaiah
Sanders being then and there an un-
married man did on the 20th day of
March A.D. 1878 at the village of Norwood
in the County of Carver and State
of Minnesota commit the crime
of adultery with one Mary E. Cameron
and then and then have carnal illicit
connection with the said Mary E. Cameron
she the said Mary E. Cameron being
then and then a married woman
the wife of said complainant,
Against the form of the Statute in
such case made and provided and
against the peace and dignity of
the State of Minnesota. And the
said complainant prays that the said
Isaiah Sanders might be arrested
and dealt with according to law; Now
therefore you are commanded forthwith
to apprehend the said Isaiah Sanders

and bring him before me to be dealt with according to law.

Given under my hand this 12th day of March 1879

J. F. Dillay
Justice of the Peace

State of Minnesota
County of Carver

The State of Minnesota

County

Samuel Sanders

Warrant
\$44.95
23.32
4.00
1.00
2.00
9.00

Travel to Newell County, March 16 & 17 1879, after previous 118 miles at 10 cts per mile
May 26th 1879.
1871
Arresting prisoner in Shippen County at 10 cts per mile
Fare for prisoner on Railroad to Shippen Co. eating &c.
Fare for prisoner on Railroad to Shippen Co. eating &c.
May 31. 1879
Arresting Court May 29th

Thompson, Minn.

State of Minnesota
County of Carver
I hereby certify that in pursuance of the commands of the writ of Warrant, I duly arrested the within named person, State of Minnesota, County of Shippen, and custody him this 10th day of May 1879.
J. F. Dillay, Justice of the Peace, Carver Co., Minn.

State of Minnesota
County of Carver }

District Court
Eighth Judicial District

The State of Minnesota
against
Isaiah Saunders }

State of Minnesota
County of Carver } ss.

Isaiah Saunders being first duly sworn
says that he is the Defendant in the foregoing
entitled action.

That he is impoverished and without means
to employ counsel for his defence in said
action -

That S. Fowler the Counsel heretofore
appointed by said Court for the defence
of this affiant has been necessarily employed
in said defence two days

Subscribed and sworn to
before me this 23rd day of
October A.D. 1879 } Isaiah Saunders
Appointed Clerk
Clarence H. H. H.

District Court
Carver County

The State of Minnesota
- Against -
Isaiah Saunders -

Affidavit

Filed October 23^d A.D. 1879.
Chas. W. C. C. Clerk.
34

S. Towler
Deft's Atty.

State of Minnesota
County of Carver }

District Court
Eighth Judicial District

The State of Minnesota
against
Isaac Saunders }

Plea

The Defendant in the above entitled
action for a plea ^{in bar} to the indictment
found herein says:

That the prosecution of said action was
not commenced within one year from
the commission of the offense charged
in said indictment.

Dated this 20th day of October A.D. 1899

S. South

Defendants Attorney

District Court
Carver County

" The State of Minnesota
- against -
Isaiah Saunders

Plea
Filed October 20th 1899.
Gerdengenbach
- 38 -
Clerk.

Stout
Def's Attorney

State of Minnesota
County of Carver }

District Court
8th Judicial District

The State of Minnesota,
- against -
Isaiah Saunders }

And now comes the Defendant above
named and by his Counsel demurs to
the indictment herein for the following
reasons, to wit

First. That the facts stated in said
indictment do not constitute a public
offense -

Second - That said indictment contains
matter which ~~if true~~ constitutes a
legal bar to the said prosecution -

Dated this 18th day of October A.D. 1879

L. Fowler
Attorney for Defendant

District Court-
Eighth Judicial District

The State of Minnesota
- against -

Sarah Saunders

Demuror

Filed October 18th 1899
Updrenburg
38 Clerk

Cochran
Def's Atty.

Divorce

No. 1252

DISTRICT COURT,
CARVER COUNTY, MINN.

Caroline Schuch
Plaintiff,

vs.
Henry Schuch
Defendant.

Frank Warner
Plaintiff's Attorney,

Defendant's Attorney.

Date of Entry *October 13, 1877*

Register of Actions *A* Page *20*

Term Tried *Oct General, 1877*

Judgment for *Plaintiff*

Amount of Judgment \$

Date of Judgment *Oct. 18th 1877*

Judgment Book *Minute Book A* Page *578*

Default Judgment Book Page

Date of Docketing *1*

STATE OF MINNESOTA,

County of Carver

ss.

DISTRICT COURT,

Eighth Judicial District.

Caroline Schutz

Plaintiff

vs -

SUMMONS.

Henny Schutz

Defendant

THE STATE OF MINNESOTA.

To the above named Defendant.

You, *Henny Schutz*, are hereby summoned and required to answer the Complaint in the above entitled action, which has been filed in the office of the Clerk of this Court, at Chaska in said County, and to serve a copy of your answer to the said complaint, on the subscriber, at his office in Chaska in the County of Carver and State aforesaid, within ~~twenty~~ *ten* days after the service of this summons on you, exclusive of the day of such service; and if you fail to answer the said Complaint within the time aforesaid, the Plaintiff will.....

..... *apply to the Court -*
for the relief demanded in
the complaint -

Dated

Carver Aug 27th

A. D. 1879

Frank M. Munn Plaintiff's Attorney.

Carver
Chaska, Minn.

State of Minnesota
County of Carver

William Moerschler being first duly sworn deponent and says, that on the 27th day of August A.D. 1879 in the County of Carver State of Minnesota he personally viewed the within ~~Exhibit~~ ^{Exhibits} and he personally viewed the within named Anna Schutz defendant by reading the same to him and by delivering & handing a true copy thereof and that this deponent knows the said Anna Schutz to be the identical person represented as the defendant herein
Done on this 27th day of August A.D. 1879

Wm Moerschler

Frank Warner

Justice of the Peace

fees \$1.00

Filed, October 18th 1879
C. H. Thompson
Notary Public,
Minn.

Annexed

Anna Schutz

do

do

Frank Warner
all by the State
Carver
Minn.

Vol. 1 State of Minnesota
County of Carver
District Court
8th Judicial District

Caroline Schatz } Plaintiff
 agent
Henry Schatz } Defendant

The Complaint of
the Plaintiff respectfully states
and shows to this Court, that
on the 7th day of April A.D.
1867 the Plaintiff above named
was duly married to the
defendant by a Justice of the
Peace duly authorized to
perform Marriage ceremonies
that the age of this Plaintiff
is thirty one years and
that the age of said defendant
is forty five years, that said
Plaintiff and defendant are
now residents of the County
of Carver Minnesota and
have continued to reside
therein for eight years last
past, and the Complaint
of the Plaintiff further states

and shows that ever since
the said marriage she has
conducted herself as a good
and dutiful wife in accordance
with the requirements of the
marriage bond; but that
said defendant has totally
disregarded the same

That at the several
times and places hereinafter
named, the said defendant
has been guilty of cruel
and inhuman treatment
towards this plaintiff, to wit:

That in the month of January
A.D. 1871 the defendant came
home to the residence of this
plaintiff and defendant in said
County of Garver, in a drunken
state and threatened to greatly
injure this plaintiff and seized
hold of this plaintiff and threw
her out of the door of his
said house and told this plaintiff
never to return again

That in the month of
September A.D. 1875 the defendant
came home to the said house

and then and then greatly
abused this ~~defendant~~ Plaintiff by
outrages and threats and struck
this Plaintiff in the face and
pushed this Plaintiff out
of the door and locked the
door whereby this defendant
Plaintiff was compelled ^{to} remain
at the house of a neighbor
during the night -

That in the month
of August A.D. 1878 said
defendant came home to his
house and seized his
ax and held the same up
and against this Plaintiff
and threatened to strike
this Plaintiff with said ax
if he did not leave the
house and followed and
drove this Plaintiff from
his said house with said
ax and then and then
broke and destroyed two
chairs in said house and
used the same for fire-
wood -

That on the 26th

5
day of August A.D. 1879
said defendant came home
and threatened to kill this
Plaintiff if he did not
leave the house and then
said there seized hold
of this Plaintiff in an angry
and violent manner
and threw and pushed
this Plaintiff from his said
house and threatened to
kill this Plaintiff should
he come back, whereby
and by reason of
said threats acts & violence
and conduct this Plaintiff
has been compelled to abandon
said defendant and cannot
with safety return to him
his bed and board

6
And the Complainant
of the Plaintiff further states
and shows that ^{the} defendant
has constantly since two
weeks from their said
marriage, carried on a
harsh and cruel system

of treatment towards this Plaintiff of the culminating in acts of violence not herein particularly enumerated which renders life a burden to this Plaintiff in residing with him, that said defendant fails to provide for this Plaintiff and her children and is shiftless and will not perform labor when employment is offered and spends his time at saloons and places of public amusement

And the Complaint of the Plaintiff further states that by said marriage the said Plaintiff and defendant have four lawful children named and aged as follows to wit: Henry Schutz aged 12 years, Amelia Schutz aged 9 years, Otto Schutz aged 7 years, Emma Schutz aged 2 years, and that said children have none of one and have now to depend upon this Plaintiff for clothing

and food and all the
necessaries of life.

Wherefore this Plaintiff
demands Judgment against
said defendant

1st That the mar-
riage contracted between said
Plaintiff and defendant be
dissolved and a divorce
be decreed accordingly

2^d That by the
proper order of this Court
this Plaintiff be decreed
the care and custody of
said minor children

3^d That said
Plaintiff have such further
relief as the Court shall
deem just and that
said defendant pay the
costs and disbursements
in this action sustained

Dated Carver

August 27th 1879

Frank Warner

att'y for
Platby
Carver
Min

Ante & Minus
County of Lincoln & S.S.

Caroline

Schatz being first duly
sworn deposes and says
that she is plain Piff in
the foregoing entitled action
that the facts set forth
in the foregoing Complaint
are true & her own know-
-ledged except as to those
matters therein stated upon
information or belief
and as to those matters
she believes it to be true
Done to me

Caroline Schatz

Subscribed before

me this 27th day
of August A.D. 1879

Frank Warner

Justice of the Peace

State of Minnesota
County of Carver

William Moschler being

first duly sworn deponent and says
that on the 27th day of August A.D. 1879
in the County of Carver State of Minnesota
he personally served the within Complaint
on the within named Henry Schutz, defendant
by reading the same to him and
by delivering to him a true copy
thereof and that this deponent knows
the said Henry Schutz to be the identical

person represented as the defendant herein
before me this 27th day
of August A.D. 1879

Subscribed and sworn to before me this 27th day
of August A.D. 1879
J. P. O. Frank Warner
Justice of the Peace

District Court
& Co. District
"

Caroline Schutz

Henry Schutz
" and
Complainant

Filed, October 4th 1879,
Springfield, Minn.

Frank Warner
Atty for Party
Carver
Minn.

State of Minnesota
County of Carver
District Court
8th Judicial District

Caroline Schutz Plaintiff
vs.
Henry Schutz Defendant

Carver County ss

Frank Warner being
first duly sworn deposes
and says that he is
attorney for the Plaintiff
above named and has been
acting as such attorney
since the commencement of
said action. That no copy
of an Answer to the Complaint
in said action has been
served upon him neither
has the defendant appeared
in said action in person
or by attorney in any
manner whatever or for
any purpose and further
deponent saith not

Sworn to and subscribed Frank Warner
before me this 13th day of
October A.D. 1879 Wm. Mayentland, Clerk of Court
Carver County

District Court
8th District
In " "

Caroline Schutz

vs

Henry Schutz

Off of said Court

Filed, October 13th 1894
C. H. Raymunt
Clerk

Frank Wäner
attys for Plaintiff
Corner
Minneapolis

State of Minnesota
County of Carver
District Court
8th Judicial District

Caroline Schutz
vs
Henry Schutz

This cause having
come on for hearing at
a regular term of this
Court held at Chaska in
the County of Carver on
the 13th day of October
A.D. 1879 and being called
upon the Calendar of said
term, the following with-
esses were sworn and
testified on behalf of the
plaintiff in said action
to wit: Caroline Schutz
Mary Schultz and Carl
Schultz. The defendant in
said action failed to
appear in any manner
and offered no testimony
in his own behalf
From the testimony of the

Plaintiffs witnesses I find
as facts in said case
That from and since
the month of January A.D.
1871 and to and until the
time of the Commencement of
this action the defendant has
been guilty of Cruel and
inhuman treatment of the
Plaintiff and habitual
drunkenness committed
at the various times
mentioned in the Complaint
and divers other times

That the defendant failed
to properly provide food
and clothing for his
family and has been
idle and negligent of his
duty as a husband and
father - That said Plaintiff
has not lived or cohabited
with her said husband
since his last act of
Cruel and inhuman treat-
ment - That said parties
are named and aged as
set forth in the Complaint

and resided in Curzon
County at the time of
the commencement of this
action and for seven
years immediately previous
thereto - That by said
Marriage said Plaintiff and
Defendant had four
Children born unto them
named and aged as follows
to wit:

Henry Schutz aged
12 years, Amelia Schutz aged
9 years Otto Schutz aged 7
years, Emma Schutz aged
2 years - That said
Plaintiff has at all times
conducted herself as a
good and dutiful wife
and that she was duly
married to said Defendant
at the time mentioned in
the Complaint - From
the foregoing facts duly
established I find as a
matter of Law
That said
Plaintiff is entitled to
a divorce from said

Marriage Contract and
 that the Marriage Contract
 between said Plaintiff
 and Defendant be forever
 dissolved - That said
 Plaintiff should have the
 Care Custody and Control
 of the Children named as
 aforesaid and that said
 Plaintiff have judgment
 for her costs in this
 action sustained

Ordered, That
 Judgment and decree
 be entered accordingly

Given Oct 18th
 1879

J. L. MacDonald
 Judge & District

Del - Court
 & Co District
 — " —

Caroline Schatz

vs
 Henry Schatz

Findings of the
 Court in the
 above action
 " " " "

- Filed, October 18th 1879.
 Eschmayer and Hall
 Clerk

State of Minnesota
County of Carver
District Court
8th Judicial District

Caroline Schutz
ag't
Henry Schutz

Decree

On reading and
filing the findings and
order of the Court in the
above entitled action
and on motion of Frank
Werner atty for Plaintiff
in this action

Ordered

That the marriage
contract between said
Plaintiff and defendant
be dissolved and a
divorce therefrom is hereby
decree'd accordingly. That
said Plaintiff be decree'd
and is hereby decree'd the
Custody and Control
of the children of said Plaintiff
and defendant, to wit:
Henry Schutz aged 12 years

Amelia Schutz aged 9
years, Otto Schutz aged
7 years, Emma Schutz
aged 2 years and
that since Plaintiff
recovers the costs in
this action sustained

Dated October ^{18th} AD. 1849.

By the Court:

Gebrayentuhl

Clark,

State of Minnesota
District Court
County of Carver,

Caroline Schuth
vs
Henry Schuth.

Judgment Roll.

Verdict of Divorce
to Plaintiff.

Filed October 18th A.D. 1899.
Eschwegehuth
Clerk,

-20-

Grand Juror City for
Plaintiff.

Assault

No. 1753

DISTRICT COURT,
CARVER COUNTY, MINN.

The State of Minnesota
Plaintiff.

vs.

Henry Diessner
Defendant.

L. L. Rafter
Plaintiff's Attorney,

Defendant's Attorney.

Date of Entry *Oct. 16, 1879*
Court

Register of Actions *A* Page *251*

Term Tried *April Term, 1880*

Judgment for

Amount of Judgment \$

Date of Judgment *1*

Judgment Book Page

Default Judgment Book Page

Date of Docketing *1*

State of Minnesota.

District Court
Eighth Judicial District
County of Carver.

The State of Minnesota.
against.

Henry Diessner.

Henry Diessner is accused by
the Grand Jury of the County of Carver
in the State of Minnesota by this indictment
of the crime of assault with a dangerous
weapon with intent to do great bodily
harm, committed as follows.

The said Henry Diessner on the
28th day of July AD 1879, at the Town
of Wacouia in the County of Carver
in the State of Minnesota, he the said
Henry Diessner being then and there
armed with a dangerous weapon to wit
a pistol, did unlawfully and feloniously
assault one Elizabeth Krueger then and
there being, with the intent then and
there to do great bodily harm to her,
the said Elizabeth Krueger, against the
form of the Statute in such case made
and provided, and against the peace
and dignity of the State of Minnesota.

Dated at Chaska in the County of Carver
and State of Minnesota this 16th day of
October AD 1879.

Names of witnesses examined.
before the Grand Jury
Elizabeth Krueger - Saml. May
John Stenger - August May.

B. F. Light
Foreman of the
Grand Jury.

District Court
of Judicial District
County of Carver

The State of Minnesota
against

Henry Dresser.

Indictment.

A true Bill

B. H. Light

Foreman of the
Grand Jury

State of Minnesota Wtts.

County of Carver

The within Indictment
was presented to the Court by the foreman
of the Grand Jury in pursuance of the grand
jury in open Court and duly filed
this eighteenth day of October A.D. 1879.

W. H. Hagenbuhl

Clerk.

1881

L. L. Foster,
County Attorney

State of Minnesota, }
County of Carver } ss.

DISTRICT COURT.

Eight Judicial District.

THE STATE OF MINNESOTA,

To any Sheriff in the State of Minnesota, GREETING:

An Indictment having been found on the Sixteenth
day of October A. D. 1879 in the District Court for the
County of Carver aforesaid, charging
Henry Giesmer

with the crime of Assault with a dangerous weapon with
intent to do great bodily harm

You are therefore commanded forthwith to arrest the above named

Henry Giesmer

and bring him before this Court to answer the said Indictment. Or if the said Court
have adjourned for the term, that you deliver him into the custody of the Jailor of the
County of Carver.

By order of Court.

Witness the Honorable

John L. Macdonald
Judge of said District Court aforesaid, at Chaska
this Twenty first day of October A. D. 1879.

C. A. Krueger
Clerk.

DISTRICT COURT,

Eight District,
Carver County.

STATE OF MINNESOTA

vs.
Henry Dickson

BENCH WARRANT.

ISSUED,
9th day of *October* 187*9*
C. J. Thompson Clerk.

RETURNED,
day of 187
Clerk.

L. C. Bayler
County Attorney.

State of Minnesota $\$4.00$
County of Carver $\$3.00$ In pursuance to the Commands
of the within writ, I duly arrested the within named Henry
Dickson & had him held in Court.
Oct. 24th 1879.
F. E. Desoit, Sheriff
Carver Co. Minn.
Fees = Service Warrant, $\$1.00$

State of Minnesota }
County of Carver } ss.
Sub the within named Defendant
be admitted to bail on his personal recognizance
for appearance at the next term of the Court.
This being the order of Co. Atty. L. C. Bayler
Judge ss

I Henry Dresser acknowledge
myself to own and be indebted
to the State of Minnesota in the
sum of Two Hundred Dollars. to
the payment whereof well and truly
to be made I bind myself my
heirs executors and administrators
jointly by these presents.

Sealed with my seal and
dated this 25th day of October 1879.

Whereas Henry Dresser was
on the 16th day of October AD 1879
accused by the Grand Jury of the
County of Carver in the State
of Minnesota. by an Indictment
duly returned and filed in the District
Court for said County of Carver.
on said day. of the crime of an
assault with a dangerous weapon.
with intent to do great bodily harm.
committed as follows to wit. The
said Henry Dresser on the 28th
day of July 1878 at the Town of Wacoua
in the County of Carver in the
State of Minnesota. the said
Henry Dresser being then and
there armed with a dangerous
weapon to wit. a pistol. did unlaw-
fully and feloniously assault

one Elizabeth Krueger, then and
there being with the intent that
and then to do great bodily harm
to her the said Elizabeth Krueger,
against the form of the statute in
such case made and provided
and against the peace and dignity
of the State of Minnesota, and whereas
at a regular term of said Court
held at the Court House in the
Village of Chaska in said County
of Carver on the 20th day of
October 1879 said case was continued
until the April 1880 term of said
Court.

Now therefore the condition
of this obligation is such that
if the said Henry Dresser shall
be and appear at the next term
of the District Court in and for
the County of Carver in the State
of Minnesota to answer to the
charge against him contained
in said indictment, and shall
not depart the same without
leave but shall abide the order
of said Court, then this obligation
shall be void, otherwise to remain

in full force and effect
Henry Dissner

Seal

State of Minnesota }
County of Cass } ss

On this 25th day of October
1879, personally appeared before
me Henry Dissner to me well
known to be the person described
in and who executed the foregoing
Recognizance, and acknowledged
that he executed the same for
the uses and purposes therein
expressed.

G. F. Weyenbuhl

Chief District Court

St. Louis, Mo.

District Court
Sd. Jcd. District
County of Carver
The State of Minnesota

vs

Henry Desserer.

Recognizance

Given and Approved
this 14th day of October
A.D. 1899.
Chas. Kraenzle
Clerk

Test-

L. D. Baxter
C. Atty.

Promissory

Note

No. 1754

DISTRICT COURT,
CARVER COUNTY, MINN.

C. H. & L. G. McCormick
Plaintiff.

vs.

James K. Blackletter et al
Defendant.

Peck

Plaintiff's Attorney.

Defendant's Attorney.

Date of Entry Oct 18th 1879

Register of Actions "A" Page 15

Term Tried 19

Judgment for Plaintiff

Amount of Judgment \$ 158.00

Date of Judgment Oct 18th 1879

Judgment Book "A" Page 577

Default Judgment Book Page

Date of Docketing Oct 18th 1879

Judgment Record Page 296

ST

District Court

E. H. & L. J. McConnell

Plaintiffs

against

James H. Blue Ketter Wesley Blue Ketter

Defendants

Summons on Contract for Payment of Money.

THE STATE OF MINNESOTA,

To the above named Defendant:

You are hereby summoned and required to answer the Complaint in this action, a copy of which is herewith served upon you, and to serve a copy of your Answer to said Complaint on the subscriber at his office in Shakopee in the ~~said~~ County of Scott within twenty days after the service of this Summons upon you, exclusive of the day of such service; and if you fail to answer the said Complaint within the time aforesaid, the Plaintiff in this action will take judgment against you for the sum of One Hundred forty eight & $\frac{65}{100}$ Dollars,

and interest thereon from the

13th

day of

September

, A. D. 1879, besides

the costs of this action.

Dated

September 13th, A. D. 1879.

Per K & M. Hale

Plaintiff's Attorney, Shakopee, Minn.



Chicago, Ill., July 1st

1874

On or before the

Fifth

day of

December

1876

for value

received, the undersigned promise to pay to C. H. & L. J. McCORMICK, or order, at their Office in the City of Chicago, Illinois,

Sixty Five (\$65)

with interest at Seven per cent. per annum from July 1st, year as above; ten per cent. per annum, after maturity.

Town 117

Section 12

Post Office

Waukegan

County

Winnebago

State.

James B. Blacketer

Wesley Blacketer



5125

Chicago, Ill., July 1st ad 1874

On or before the

Fifth

day of

December

187

6

for value

received, the undersigned promise to pay to **C. H. & L. J. McCORMICK**, or order, at their Office in
the City of Chicago, Illinois, Fifty Five (\$55) Dollars,
with interest at seven per cent. per annum from July 1st, year as above; ten per cent. per
annum, after maturity.

Town 117

Section 12

Post Office

Waterloo

Carver

County

Missouri

State.

James K. Blacketter

Wesley Blacketter

126174 1289

Dec 7th / 5 Rec \$ 32. 50
95.0

Dec 10th / 77 53-3 96

Filed, October 18th as 1879.
G. H. Raymond
Clerk

#124/74. 1290

H

don't know
water tower of 2/10

W. Sept 6-1874.

Filed, October 18th and 18th 1878.
A. Krausenbuhl
Adm.

Filed 1

State of Minnesota
 District Court 8th Judicial District
 Carver County.

C. H. and S. J. McCormick - Plaintiffs
 vs.

James R. Blacketter and
 Wesley Blacketter, Defendants

Complaint

The plaintiffs in the above intimation
 for complaint against the said defendants state
 and respectfully show to the court:

That the said defendants did for value
 received jointly make execute and deliver
 their certain promissory note ^{in writing} unto the said
 plaintiffs, bearing date July 1st 1874;

Wherein and whereby the said defendants
 promised to pay the said plaintiffs on or before
 the sum of Sixty five Dollars ~~with~~ on or before
 the first day of December 1875 with interest
 at the rate of 7% per annum until due and
 at the rate of 10% per annum after due ~~until paid~~ paid;

Also their other joint promissory note ^{in writing} bearing
 date July 1st 1874 wherein and whereby the said
 defendants for value received promised to pay to the
 said plaintiffs on or before the sum of Sixty five
 Dollars on the first day of December 1876 with
 interest at the rate of 7% per annum until
 due and at the rate of 10% per annum after due

11 3 until paid; That the said notes are now
due and though of the demand no party
has been paid except the sum of \$32⁰⁰ on
the 7th day of December 1875 on the first de-
scribed note. That there is now due on
said notes the sum of \$148⁶⁵.

Wherefore the plaintiff demand judgment
in their favor and against said defendants
for the sum of \$148⁶⁵ and interest on said
sum from the date hereof, and for costs
of this action

(Dated Sept. 13th 1879)

Per Carol McHale
Attys for Plaintiffs


State of Minnesota
County of Scott } ss

James McHale being first
truly sworn says that he is of the Attorneys
for the plaintiffs in the foregoing complaint
and that the said Complaint is true to the
best of his knowledge ^{information} and belief. and that
the reason he makes this verification is that
the plaintiffs are absent from the County of Scott
where this defendant lives.

Subscribed and sworn to } James McHale
before me this 13th day of Sept. }

1879. H. J. Rich

Notary Public
Chas



State of Minnesota
County of Cannon

J. A. C. Flood

being duly sworn

I say that he did on the 18th day of
September 1879, send the annexed
summons & complaint upon the parties
named defendants James K. Hackett
& Wesley Hackett by mailing to
each of them in the
County of Cannon Minnesota a true
true copy thereof, and that he knows
the names and abodes said defen-
dants.

Subscribed and
sworn to this 18 day
of September 1879,
Before.

Nellis L. Johnson

Justice of the Peace

J. A. C. Flood

District Court
County of Carver
C. A. & S. J. McCormick

vs.

James H. Blacketter &
Wesley Blacketter

Complaint

Original.

Filed, October 18th A.D. 1899
J. Wrayenbult
Clerk

P. K. & M. Hale
Attys for Plaintiffs

State of Minnesota
District Court & Dist
Court County,
C. H. & L. J. McCune - Plff

James H. Blacketter &
Wesley Blacketter - Def

John
County 3 m.

James H. Blacketter being duly sworn
says that he is the attorney for the
Plff in the above written action
that said James & Wesley
was personally sworn and said
affidavits on the 17th day of
Sept, 1879 and that more than
twenty days have elapsed since
the service of the same and
that there has been no appearance
in said cause on the part of said
defendant by means of counsel
or otherwise.

Subscribed and
Sworn to this 17th
day of October
1879, Prof. a me.

H. J. Beck
County Public
Clerk.

H. J. Beck
James M. Hale



State of Minnesota
 County of ~~Le Sueur~~ ^{Scott}
 James M. Hale ~~H. J. Peck~~ being duly sworn
 says and he is duly in the
 foregoing matter sworn
 that the following bill
 of costs and disbursements
 is just and correct and
 same necessarily paid and
 incurred in the prosecution
 of said action

State costs	\$ 5.00
Postage	.25
Books, paper, hyatt, chapel	3.00
Subscribed and	
Sworn to this	Total costs \$ 9.00

17th day of Oct
 1899, before me
 H. J. Peck,
 Notary Public
 Minn.

James M. Hale
~~H. J. Peck~~



Filed, October 18th 1899
 Anthony Embell
 Clerk

State of Minnesota
District Court & Sheriff
Le Sueur County
C. H. & L. J. McCannick Deft }
- vs - } Judgment
James K. Blackader }
Merley Blackader, Wfs }

This cause came on for
hearing before the Court upon
the answer and Complaint and
proof of service and affidavit
of no contest and it appearing
that plaintiffs are entitled
to judgment for the amount
demanded in the Complaint.
Now therefore upon motion of Deft
& all Hole Attorneys for the
plaintiffs it is adjudged and
the judgment of this Court is
that said plaintiffs recover of
and from said defendant
the sum of One hundred
and forty nine dollars and
cents taxed at \$ 90.00 amounting
to the total sum of \$ 138.00 and
that they have their lawful
process therefor.
Oct 17 1884 By the Court.
C. H. Grayenbuhl
Clerk.

District Court
County Court

C. H. & L. J. McCannick

— 90 —

James H. Blackstone

Mersey Blackstone

Judgment Roll

Judgment. \$ 149.00
Costs " 9.00

Due \$ 158.00

Filed October 18th A.D. 1849.
Attest
Clerk.

15 =

Testes C. H. & L. J.

Attest J. H. & L. J.

No.

1255

hand.
Title

DISTRICT COURT,
CARVER COUNTY, MINN.

Bathsheba Edwards
Plaintiff.

vs.

M. F. Linn
Defendant.

E. Kainlin
Plaintiff's Attorney.

Defendant's Attorney.

Date of Entry *October 18th* 1872

Register of Actions *"A"* Page *22*

Term Tried 1

Judgment for

Amount of Judgment \$

Date of Judgment 1

Judgment Book Page

Default Judgment Book Page

Date of Docketing 1

Folio 1

State of Minnesota } In District Court
County of Carver } 8th Judicial District
Bartholomew Edwards Plff.

- vs -

vs. F. Linnam and

George Roschke Defts

Complaint

The above named plaintiff complains of the defendants herein and each of them and alleges,

1 That she now is, and at all the time for more than ten years last past has been the owner and seized in fee simple, and in the actual possession of all that certain tract or parcel of land situated lying and being in the County of Carver and State of Minnesota described as follows, to wit:

Folio 2

The west half ($\frac{1}{2}$) and the North East quarter ($\frac{1}{4}$) of the South East quarter ($\frac{1}{4}$) of Section No Eight (8) in Township No one hundred and seventeen (17) of Range No Twenty five (25) containing one hundred and twenty (120) acres of land more or less according to the United States Government Surveying.

2 That a stream commonly known and called Crow River

flows and runs in the natural
channel thereof through the
said land above described, which
said stream or river is not
navigable.

Folio 3 3. That in the month of September
A.D. 1877 said defendants erected a
dam across said stream at a
point on said stream near the
intersection of Mill Creek in the
Village of Watertown in said county
and state as surveyed by R. H.
Blandine Esq. with Mary Smith
in E. Lewis Mill Reserve Addition
to said Village of Watertown as
surveyed by Isaac Lewis Esq.
as appears by the plats thereof
on file of record in the office of
the Register of Deeds in and for
the County of Monroe aforesaid
which said dam is of the height
of about seven (7) feet, head
and fall,

" 14 x That by reason of the erection
of said dam, and the consequent
obstruction of the waters of said
river, said waters have been
raised and caused to flow back

and did and yet do flow, and
set back into over and upon the
land of said plaintiff, above
described, and do overflow and
render and make wet, marshy
and valueless for any other thing,
which said land so overflowed
and rendered ^{wet} marshy,
and valueless as aforesaid, was
before the erection of said dam
valuable for farming, timber, pasture
and meadow land and was reasonably
worth and of the value of twenty (20)
dollars per acre.

11 5 4. That the waters of said Crow River
would not overflow or damage the
said land if said dam had not
been erected, nor would they overflow
or damage said land if said
dam be removed or abated.

5. That before the commencement
of this action plaintiff caused said
defendants to be notified ~~to~~ and
requested to remove and abate said
dam so as not to injure or damage
the said land. That said defendants
neglect and refuse so to do, but
have continued and threaten to
continue to maintain said dam.

whereby the plaintiffs land is over-
-flowed and damaged as aforesaid
and is thereby rendered valueless
to said plaintiffs

6- That before the erection of said
dam by said defendants as aforesaid
the plaintiffs maintained and used
on her said land a ford across the
said Crow River, which said ford
was of great value to said plaintiffs
in enabling her to reach and travel
to and from the different parts of her
said farm. That by reason of the
erection of said dam and the
maintenance thereof by said
defendants as aforesaid, the waters
of said ~~Crow~~ river have been
raised at said ford at least four

(4) feet above their ordinary and
natural stage, whereby said ford
has been and is destroyed and
rendered impossible to the great
damage of said plaintiffs.

7. That said defendants are not
the owners or in any manner
entitled to the possession of the land
upon which they have erected
and maintained their said dam

as plaintiff is informed and well
believes -

8. That by reason of the afore said
promises and the acts of the defendants
aforesaid the plaintiff has been
damaged in the sum of eight
hundred dollars.

Wherefore plaintiff demands
judgment against said
defendants and each of them
for the sum of eight
hundred dollars.

8. ~~III.~~ That said defendants be
by the proper order of the court
required to remove and abate
said dam above mentioned,
and that they be enjoined and
restrained from maintaining the
same. and for her costs and
disbursements herein, and for
such other and further relief
as to the court shall seem just and
equitable in the premises.

Ernest W. Bailey

Attorney for Plaintiff

Watertown Maine

State of Minnesota, }
County of Carver } ss

Bathsheba Edwards came before me
personally, and being duly sworn, doth say that she is plaintiff
in the above entitled action; that the foregoing complaint is true to
her own knowledge, except as to the matters which are therein stated on her informa-
tion and belief; and as to those matters that she believes it to be true.

Subscribed and sworn to before me

On this 25 day of April A.D. 1879

Ernest Medelius

Notary Public, Minn.

Bathsheba ^{hus} Edwards
mark

.....
District..... Court,
County of *Leavenworth*.....

Barkshiba Edwards
.....

AGAINST

M. F. Lienau et al
.....

Verification by Party.

Ernest Kocoulin
.....

Elffe Attorney .

Walter Tinn
.....

Minn.

State of Minnesota.

County of

Leavenworth

SS

DISTRICT COURT,

Eight

Judicial District.

Bathesha Edwards Plaintiff

against

M. F. Lien and

Geo. Roschire

Defendants

SUMMONS.

THE STATE OF MINNESOTA TO THE ABOVE NAMED DEFENDANT :

You and such of you are hereby summoned and required to answer the Complaint of the Plaintiff in the above entitled action. a copy of which is herewith attached and herewith served upon you

and to serve a copy of your answer to said Complaint on the subscriber at his office in the Village of Watertown in said county of Leavenworth within twenty days after the service of this

Summons upon you, exclusive of the day of such service, and if you fail to answer said complaint within the time aforesaid, the plaintiff in this action will have the amount she is entitled to recover ascertained by the Court, or under its direction, and take judgment against you and such of you for the amount so ascertained

together with the costs and disbursements herein.

Dated April 25th A. D. 1879

Ernest McNeill

Plaintiff & Att'y Watertown Minn.

State of Minnesota }
County of Leaver } 2 A. Remmwarz being first duly

sworn says that he did on the 25th day of April ad 1879
at Watertown in said county and state serve the within
summons and complaint on the within named defendants
by delivering to each and leaving with them a true copy of
said summons and complaint and further that he
knows the persons so served to be the same persons named
therein defendants.

Subscribed & sworn to before
me this 14th day of Aug. 1879

Ernest A. Sinclair

Notary Public Minn

A. Remmwarz

DISTRICT COURT,

County of Leaver

Barthshuber Edwards

-VS-

M. F. Leman, et al

SUMMONS.

Complaint

W. F.

Ernest Sinclair

Plaintiff's Attorney.

Watertown Minn.

Kearse Job Print. Delano, Minn.

Filed Oct 18 1879
Notary Public
W. F.

1 State of Minnesota, In District Court
2 County of Carver } 38th Judicial District
3 Baskette Edwards Plaintiff,

4 against } Affidavit of Defendant
5 M. F. Linnam and
6 George Roschke Defendants }

7
8 State of Minnesota,
9 County of Carver } ss

10 Ernest H. Cecilie being first
11 duly sworn says that he is Attorney for the
12 plaintiff in the above entitled action, that the
13 summons and complaint in said action was
14 personally served on said defendants on the
15 25th day of April A.D. 1879, at Watertown in
16 said County in the manner prescribed
17 by law as appears by the affidavit of
18 A. Remmery, endorsed and written upon
19 said summons, that more than twenty (20)
20 days have elapsed since the service of said
21 summons and complaint as aforesaid, and
22 that no notice of Return or appearance has
23 ever been served on this affair by said
24 defendants or either of them, or by any
25 person on the behalf of said defendants
26 or either of them in the manner provided
27 by law, and that neither of said defendants
28 have appeared therein either by answer or
29 demurrer, and that said defendants
30 and each of them have made default
31 therein.

32 Subscribed and sworn to before me }
this 16th day of October A.D. 1879
Jonas P. Atkins
Justice of the Peace

Ernest H. Cecilie

State of Minnesota
County of Carver

In District Court

vs
The Judicial Dist

Backsheba Edwards

- vs -

M. F. Linnan et al

Affidavit

Subscribed and sworn to before me
this 18th day of August 1889
at Carver, Minn.
J. H. [Signature]

an

Ernest H. [Signature]
Attorney for [Signature]

No.

Defacing
1256 Tomb

DISTRICT COURT,
CARVER COUNTY, MINN.

The State of Minnesota
Plaintiff.

vs.

Peter Oberg
Defendant.

W. C. Reed
Plaintiff's Attorney,

Defendant's Attorney.

Date of Entry *October 18, 1879*

Count
Register of Actions *A* Page *251*

Term Tried *April General, 1880*

Judgment for

Amount of Judgment \$

Date of Judgment 1

Judgment Book Page

Default Judgment Book Page

Date of Docketing 1

1 State of Minnesota.
2 District Court.
3 Eighth Judicial District
4 County of Carver.
5 The State of Minnesota.
6 against
7 Peter Oberg.

8 Peter Oberg is accused
9 by the Grand Jury of the County of
10 Carver in the State of Minnesota
11 by this indictment, of the crime of
12 wilfully and with evil intent, defacing
13 and destroying a tomb, committed as
14 follows.

15 That said Peter Oberg on the 1st
16 day of April 1879, at Watertown in said
17 County of Carver and State of Minnesota,
18 the burial yard of and belonging to
19 the Swedish Evangelical Lutheran Church
20 there situate, unlawfully did enter,
21 and the tomb there, in which the body
22 of one Ulrich Ingelson, deceased, had lately
23 before then been interred, did unlawfully
24 feloniously, wilfully and with evil
25 intent, then and there, deface and destroy,
26 against the form of the Statute in
27 such case made and provided, and
28 against the peace and dignity of the
29 State of Minnesota.

30 Dated at Chaska in the County of Carver and
State of Minnesota this 18th day of October 1879.

Names of witnesses examined
before the Grand Jury.

Peter Monson,
Samuel Monson.

B. F. Light,
Foreman of the Grand Jury

District Court
8th Judicial District
County of Carver.

The State of Minnesota
against.

Peter Oberg.

Indictment

A true Bill
S. H. Light
Foreman of the Grand Jury.

In case deft. is arrested
let the deft. be admitted
to bail in the sum
of one hundred dollars.
Oct. 24th 1879.

J. L. MacDonald
Judge

State of Minnesota
County of Carver
The within indictment
was presented to the Grand Jury by the foreman
of the Grand Jury in the presence of the
Grand Jury in open court and filed
this 24th day of October A.D.
1879. J. H. Venzon
Clerk.

State of Minnesota } In District Court
 County of Carver } 228th Judicial District
 The State of Minnesota Plff }
 -23- } Recognizance
 Peter Oberg Defendant }

Know all men by these presents, that we
 the undersigned, Peter Oberg as principal, and
 Alfred J. Brown as surety, do acknowledge
 ourselves to owe and be indebted unto the State of
 Minnesota for the use of the County of Carver
 in the penal sum of One hundred dollars
 lawful money of the United States, to the
 payment of which sum of money we and
 truly to be made we bind ourselves, our
 heirs, executors, and administrators
 jointly and severally firmly by these presents,
 Signed with our seals and dated this 5th day
 of October A.D. 1880

The Condition of this obligation is such,
 that if the above bounden principal, Peter
 Oberg shall be and appear before the District
 Court in and for the County of Carver, and in
 Eighth Judicial District of the State of Minnesota
 at the Court House in Chaska in the County
 of Carver and State of Minnesota, and there and
 there plead to, and abide his trial, upon
 a return in that must find on the eighth

day of October A.D. 1889 in the district Court
 of said, charging him the said Peter
 George with the crime of Wilfully and
 with evil intent defacing and destroying
 a tomb, and shall not depart the
 court without leave, and shall abide
 any order of the Court there made
 then this obligation shall be void, otherwise
 the same shall be and remain in full
 force and virtue.

Peter George. *End*
 A. J. Brown *End*

Signed sealed and delivered in
 presence of
 J. E. Du Toit.
 Ernest Heald

State of Minnesota }
 County of Cass } ss

A. J. Brown being
 first duly sworn says that he is the
 surety named in the foregoing recognizance
 and that he is worth the sum of two hundred
 dollars over and above all his just
 debts and liabilities and property except
 from execution.

Subscribed and sworn to before
 me this 5th day of Oct. 1880 }
 Ernest Heald
 Notary Public Minn

A. J. Brown

State of Minnesota }
County of Carver } ss

On this 5th day of
October A.D. 1880 before me personally
came Peter Oberg and Alfred J. Brown
to me known to be the same persons who
executed the foregoing bond, and they
acknowledged that they executed the same
freely and voluntarily.

Ernest Heinicke

Notary Public

Minn

State of Minnesota
District Court
County of Carver

The State of Minnesota
vs
Peter Berg,

Its Recognizance.

Given, before me as above
G. Kraenzle
Judge

State of Minnesota
District Court
County of Carver,

The State of Minnesota
(vs)
Peter Aberg,

Verdict of Jury.

Filed, October 19th A.D. 1881,
G. H. Freyenthal
Clerk.

We the jury find the defendant
Not guilty

A. G. Anderson
Foreman

The State of Minnesota
vs
Peter Berg. *1880*

Charles Baumalter

Mr. Hammarlund

And, Mr. Swanton

~~Ed. S. Berg~~

P. 1

John S. Nelson

Chas A Blomquist

Harri Buche

Peter A. Johnson

And, G. Anderson

Christ Gaebe

Peter Pedin

And, H. Bengtson

Edw and Gaebe

State of Minnesota
District Court
County of Carver.

The State of Minnesota
vs
Peter Whong.

Jury List.

Filed October 20th A.D. 1880,
G. Sprengel
Clerk.

State of Minnesota, }
 County of Carver, } ss. Eighth District Court.
 Judicial District.

THE STATE OF MINNESOTA,

To any Sheriff in the State of Minnesota, GREETING:

An Indictment having been found on the Eighteenth
 day of October A. D. 1874 in the District Court for the
 County of Carver aforesaid, charging Peter Uberg

with the crime of Wilfully and with evil intent defacing
and destroying a tomb

You are therefore commanded forthwith to arrest the above named
Peter Uberg

and bring him before this Court to answer the said Indictment. Or if the said Court
 have adjourned for the term, that you deliver him into the custody of the Jailor of the
 County of Carver.

By order of Court.

Witness the Honorable J. H. MacDonald
 Judge of said District Court aforesaid, at Chaska
 this Second day of October A. D. 1874,
G. Kroenke
 Clerk.

DISTRICT COURT,

Light District District,

Marion County.

STATE OF MINNESOTA

Peter Oberg vs.

BENCH WARRANT.

ISSUED,

4th day of *October* 188*0*.

Edmund Clerk.

RETURNED,

11th day of *October* 188*0*

Edmund Clerk.

W. H. P. Bell

County Attorney.

Pioneer-Press Co., St. Paul, Minn.

*In case of Peter Oberg, arrested, let the
court be admitted to bail in
the sum of one hundred dollars.
October 24, 1880.*

J. H. MacDonald
Judge

State of Minnesota ^{3²⁰}
County of Carver I hereby Certify that
in pursuance to the Commands of the within
Warrant, I duly arrested the within named
Peter Oberg, on the 4th day of October
A.D. 1880.

F. E. du Toit
Sherriff Carver Co
Min

Fees Arrest.	1.00
Custody 1 day	1.00
44 miles travel	4.40
	<u>\$ 6.40</u>

Fraud

No. 1257

DISTRICT COURT,
CARVER COUNTY, MINN.

The State of Minnesota
Plaintiff.

vs.

Jacob Worth et al
Defendant.

W. C. Adell
Plaintiff's Attorney,

Defendant's Attorney.

Date of Entry *Ret. 18, 1879*

Count
Register of Actions *A* Page *251*

Term Tried *April Term 1880*

Judgment for.....

Amount of Judgment \$.....

Date of Judgment..... *1*

Judgment Book..... Page.....

Default Judgment Book..... Page.....

Date of Docketing..... *1*

State of Minnesota

District Court
Eighth Judicial District

County of Carver.

The State of Minnesota,

against

Jacob Morch and
Gustava Lasar.

Jacob Morch and Gustava Lasar
are accused by the Grand Jury of
the County of Carver in the State
of Minnesota, by this Indictment of
the crime of designedly obtaining
by false pretences and with intent
to defraud, the signature of a person
to a negotiable promissory note, com-
mitted as follows.

That they the said Jacob Morch
and Gustava Lasar, on the 17th day
of March 1879, at Watertown in the County
of Carver and State of Minnesota feloniously
designedly and with intent to defraud
obtained from one James Perry, then
and there being, the signature of
him the said James Perry to a
certain negotiable promissory note
for the sum of One hundred and ten
dollars, dated on said 17th day of March
1879, by then and there representing and
pretending to him the said James Perry
that a certain team or span of horses,
which they the said Jacob Morch and

Gustav Deas. then and there misled
and offered to exchange with him said
James Perry. for certain cattle and
said James Perry's negotiable promissory
note for one hundred and ten dollars.
were sound horses. which said representa-
tion and pretence was then and
there false. and was then and there
by said Jacob Wroth and Gustav Deas.
well known to be false. Against the
form of the statute in such case made
and provided. and against the peace
and dignity of the State of Minnesota.
Dated at Alaska in the County of Barrow
and State of Minnesota this 18th day of
October A.D. 1879

B. F. Light

Foreman of the Grand Jury.

Name of witness examined

before the Grand Jury

James Perry - Refused Perry.

William Druff. & Logan Luther.

District Court
8th Judicial District
County of Barrar.

The State of Minnesota

against.

Jacob Worth and
Gustav Lassar.

Indictment.

A true Bill.

B. F. Light

Foreman of the
Grand Jury.

State of Minnesota ss. The within indictment
being of Barrar was presented to the
Grand Jury the Foreman of the Grand Jury
in the presence of the Grand Jury in open
Court and filed this thirteenth day
of October A.D. 1879.

Attest my hand, Clerk.

L. L. Baxter.
County Atty.

In case the depts or
either of them are arrested
it is ordered that they
may be admitted to
bail in the sum
of five hundred
each.

Oct. 29th 1879.

J. L. MacDonald
Judge.

Slander

No. 1258

DISTRICT COURT,
CARVER COUNTY, MINN.

Frank Schinner
Plaintiff.

vs.
Gottlieb Finkel
Defendant.

Frank Warner
Plaintiff's Attorney.

Bastin
Defendant's Attorney.

Date of Entry Nov. 6, 1879

Register of Actions A Page 32

Term Tried 1

Judgment for Plaintiff

Amount of Judgment \$ 14.30

Date of Judgment Dec. 12, 1880

Minute Book A Page 613

Default Judgment Book Page

Date of Docketing Aug. 12, 1880

Judgment Book Page 312

State of Minnesota
County of Carver
District Court
5th Judicial District

Franz Schirmer Plaintiff
 agent
Gottlob Finkel Defendant

The State of Minnesota
To the above named Defendant
You are hereby
Summoned and required to
Answer the Complaint in the
above entitled action which
has been filed in the Office
of the Clerk of the District Court
at Chaska in Hennepin County
and to serve a Copy of your
said Answer on the Subscriber
at his Office at Carver in
Said County within twenty
days after the service of this
Summons on you exclusive
of the day of such service
And if you fail to Answer
said Complaint within the
time aforesaid the Plaintiff

in this action will have
 the amount he is entitled
 to recover ascertained by
 the Court, or under its dis-
 -cretion and will take judgment
 against you for the amount
 so ascertained besides the
 costs of this action

Dated at Carson
 November 14th 1879 } Frank Warner
 Atty for Plaintiff
 Carson
 Min

State of Minnesota
 County of Leavenworth
 ss. I hereby certify and return
 that on the 18th day of November at 1879 at
 Charles in the County of Carson Minnesota
 personally served the within summons on the
 within named Bottles Finkler defendant by
 handing the same to him and by delivering
 to him a true copy thereof
 Dated at Carson 16th 1879
 Frank Warner
 Atty for Plaintiff
 Carson
 Min

Filed Nov: 18th 1879
 Chas. Finkler, Clerk

Free-Service	1.00
Cash	50
Amiles	\$ 1.70
	20

Bottles Finkler
 Defendants

By the Court
 28 Court of Common
 137 The Minn. Register
 137 The Minn. Register

Right - Leavenworth
 137 The Minn. Register

Fol 1.

State of Minnesota
County of Carver
District Court
8th Judicial District

Franz Schirmer } Plaintiff
vs }
Gottlob Finkel } Defendant

The Complaint of
the Plaintiff respectfully states
and shows to this Court
that on the 1st day of Nov-
-ember A.D. 1879 at Carver
in said County of Carver
State of Minnesota the defendant
above named in the
presence and hearing of
Fritz Greentagen, Maria
Paulson, Charles Schultze
and Peter Buttendorf and
divers other good Citizens
of said County maliciously
spoke concerning the
Plaintiff the false and
defamatory words concern-
ing this Plaintiff in the
German language set-
forth as follows, to-wit:

Fol 2.

German word *Schirm* from *ungarisch*
^{misunderstood by the persons hearing the same}
which ~~means~~ ^{means} ~~is~~ ^{is} ~~so~~ ^{so} ~~called~~ ^{called}
mean when translated
into the English language
as follows to wit:

Schirm (meaning
this plaintiff) killed his
wife, whereby the
plaintiff was injured
in his name fame
and reputation to and
with the persons hearing
the same and with
and amongst his rights
to his damage in the
sum of one thousand
dollars.

Wherefore the
plaintiff demands judgment
against said defendant
for the sum of one
thousand dollars as
his damages besides the
costs of this action.

Dated at New York

Nov 21st 1879

Frank Warren
att'y for Pltff
Curran
Min

State of Minnesota
#d 3. County of Carver §§

Franz
Schirmer being first-
duly sworn deposes that he is
the plaintiff in the foregoing
entitled action, that
the facts set forth in
the foregoing Complaint
are true of his own
knowledge except as to
those matters therein stated
upon information or belief
and as to those matters
he believes it - To be true

Sworn to and
Subscribed before
me this 1st day
of November 1879
Peter Michels
Justice of the peace

Franz Schirmer

dit - Court
& the Dist
in

Frang Schirmer

(15)

Gottlob Finkler

— " —

Complaint

Filed November 1st 1889.
Cathray & Co
Clerk

232

Frank Warner
att'y for Plaintiffs
Corcoran
Miss

State of Minnesota
Dist. Court 8th Dist.

County of Carver
Franz Schirmer

vs.

Gottlieb Finkel

This case came before
me upon the demurrer
of defendant to plaintiff's
complaint herein, and
taken under advisement.

After considering the
same it is ordered, that
said demurrer be, and
the same hereby is, over-
ruled, with ten dollars
costs to plaintiff; and
that defendant have
leave to answer said
complaint within
twenty days after service
of a copy of this order.

July 27th 1880.

J. L. McDonald
Judge 8th Dist.

State of Minnesota ss. Frank Warner being first
 County of Carver ss. duly sworn deposes and says that
 on the 4th day of August 1884, at Charles
 in the County of Carver Minnesota he served a copy of the
 within order on C. H. Myster Attorney for the defendant
 within named by leaving a true copy of the same at his office
 in Charles in said County with C. H. Myster his son a
 person of suitable age and discretion and explained the
 contents thereof to him
 Sworn to and subscribed
 before me this 11th day
 of August AD 1884.
 Gerhard Finkel
 Clerk Dist Court

Dist. Court
 Carver Co.

Schinner

vs.

Finkel

Order over-
 ruled

Filed, Aug. 4 1884,
 Gerhard Finkel

Ward,

= 32 =
 = 32 =

State of Minnesota
County of Carver
District Court
8th Judicial Dist.

Frank Schirmer } Plaintiff
vs }
Gottlieb Fink } Defendant

Plaintiff's Conts

Per order on Remittitur - \$10.00

Choke fees & taxes - 4.30

State of Minnesota
County of Carver

By L. L. Davis atty for deft

Take notice that the above
bill of conts will be presented
to the Clerk of the District Court of
the County of Carver, Minnesota for
adjustment and their judgment
entirely therein at his office at
Chaska in said County on the
12th day of August A.D. 1885. at
10.0'clock in the forenoon

Dated Carver
Aug 7th 1885

Frank Warner
attly for Plaintiff

State of Minnesota
County of Carver

Frank Warren being first - duly sworn before and says that on the 9th day of August 1880 at Chaska in the County of Carver Minnesota he read the within notice of taxation of County and bills of costs due L. H. Baxter attorney for the defendant within named by leaving a true and correct copy of the same at his office in Chaska in said County with L. H. Baxter his son a person of suitable age and understanding and explaining the contents thereof to him before me this 9th day of August 1880.

Frank Warren

District Court
Carver Co

Tracy & Williams

Attorneys

Plaintiffs

vs

(Seal)

Defendants

Notice of County of Carver

that the County of Carver

has been duly assessed and the amount of the same is as follows to-wit: L. H. Baxter \$100.00

1 State of Minnesota
2 County of Carver M District Court
3
4 Eighth Judicial District.

5 Frank Schirmer M
6
7 ^{against} Judgment.
8 Gustaf Fimbel. M
9

10 This cause having come
11 on for hearing upon an issue of law and being
12 submitted to the Court for its decision and the
13 Court having ordered and determined that the
14 demurrer therein be overruled with Ten dollars
15 costs to the plaintiff, and due notice of the taxation
16 of costs therein and the order herein having been
17 served upon the attorney for the defendant herein
18 and the proof thereof duly filed.

19 Now on Motion of Frank Warner Atty
20 for Plaintiff herein. It is hereby ordered and
21 adjudged that said Plaintiff recover of said
22 defendant the sum of Ten dollars, costs of demurrer
23 and the costs of Court all taxed at Five dollars and
24 thirty cents, and that said Plaintiff have execution therefor.
25 Dated August 12th A.D. 1880.

26 By the Court:

27 J. W. Krayenbuhl
28 Clerk.

District Court
County of Cass
State of Minnesota

Franz Schirmer
vs
Gottlieb Sinkel.

Judgment Roll.

Judgment \$ 10.00
Costs " 4.30.

Total \$ 14.30

Filed August 17th 1880.
C. Wrayenbuhl
Clerk.

Fr.

Frank Wrayenbuhl
Clerk.

District Court
Clarke County

Frank Schermer
vs

Patton Finkbe
Debtors

Filed April 25th 1880
Chas. W. Brainerd
Clerk

34

L. L. Bayless
Dist. Ct.
mailed Nov 25

STATE OF MINNESOTA,

DISTRICT COURT,

County of

Carnar

Seyboth

Judicial District.

Froang Shimmer

August
Gottlieb Hintel

Notice of Trial.

Sir: YOU WILL PLEASE TO TAKE NOTICE, that the issue of Said
 in the above entitled action, will be brought on for Argument and trial
 at the next General Term of the District Court aforesaid,
 appointed to be held in and for the County of Carnar at the
 Court House in the Village of Charles in said
 County, on the second day of April at the
 opening of said Court, on that day or as soon thereafter as Counsel can be heard.

Dated March 23rd 1880

Yours Respectfully,

L L Bayter

Attorney for

Defendant

To

Frank Warner

Attorney for

DISTRICT COURT.

Leung Shimmer

^{vs}
Gottlob Finkbe

NOTICE OF TRIAL.

Due service of within Notice is hereby
admitted, this 25th day of

March A. D. 1880

Frank Warner
Attorney for Plaintiff

L. L. Bagster
Attorney for Defendant

Pioneer Press Co., St. Paul, Minn.

Filed, Aug 5th 1880.
32
C. Krayenbuhl
Clerk.