



Minnesota District Court (Carver County)
Civil and criminal case files

Copyright Notice:

This material may be protected by copyright law (U.S. Code, Title 17). Researchers are liable for any infringement. For more information, visit www.mnhs.org/copyright.

Condemnation

No. 1274

DISTRICT COURT,
CARVER COUNTY, MINN.

In the Matter of the application
of the State of Minn. for
condemnation of land
vs. Plaintiff

Defendant.

L. L. Baxter
Plaintiff's Attorney,
Petitioner

Defendant's Attorney.

Date of Entry February 16 1880
Court Ref. A H Page 837-108
Register of Actions 1

Term Tried

Judgment for

Amount of Judgment \$ 1

Date of Judgment Page

Judgment Book Page

Default Judgment Book 1

Date of Docketing

Herald Pub. Co., Chaska, Minn.

1
2 State of Minnesota District Court
3 County of Carver Eighth Judicial District

4 In the matter of the petition
5 of the Minneapolis and St. Louis Railway
6 Company for the appointment of
7 Commissioners to ascertain and determine
8 the compensation to be made to the
9 owners of lands required by the
10 Minneapolis and St. Louis Railway
11 Company for the use and track
12 of said Railway

13
14 State of Minnesota
15 County of Carver ss

16 J. W. Sanborn, Theodore Betting
17 and Bernhard Rudiger, having been
18 appointed Commissioners by the Honorable
19 L. M. Donald Judge of the above named
20 Court to ascertain and determine the
21 compensation to be made to the owners
22 of lands required to be taken by the
23 Minneapolis and St. Louis Railway
24 Company for the use and track of
25 its road being each duly severally
26 sworn do depose and say and each
27 for himself says that he will faithfully
28 and impartially discharge his duty
29 as commissioners to ascertain and
30 determine the compensation to be paid
31 by the corporation hereinbefore named
32 to the respective claimants for lands.

1
2 as interests in lands to be taken for the
3 use of said company. So help me God.
4 Subscribed and sworn
5 to before me this 20th day of } J. W. Searles
6 March 1880 } J. H. Bittney
7 P. Rüdiger.

8 J. Wraymuth
9 Clerk of Court

10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18 J. Wraymuth
19 J. Wraymuth
20 J. Wraymuth
21 J. Wraymuth
22 J. Wraymuth
23 J. Wraymuth
24 J. Wraymuth
25 J. Wraymuth
26 J. Wraymuth
27 J. Wraymuth
28 J. Wraymuth
29 J. Wraymuth
30 J. Wraymuth
31 J. Wraymuth
32 J. Wraymuth


108

Description of land belonging
to Ludwig Dettbarn.

Commencing at the $\frac{1}{4}$ section corner in
line between sections 17 and 18. Thence
West, 1.50 chain, thence N 26° E, 3.42 chs,
to line between sec. 17 & 18. Thence South
3.08 chains to place of beginning.

The whole situated on the South East
quarter of the North East quarter of sec. 18 in
Township 115 North & Range 23 West,
and containing $\frac{23}{100}$ of an acre.

Carver village line



Surveyed Jan. 18th 1878 by
John O Brunius

John, March 20th A.D. 1880.
Glad Kraysenbuhl Adm R.

1/18

25

1
2 State of Minnesota District Court
3 County of Leavenworth Eighth District
4

5 In the matter of the petition
6 of the Minneapolis and St. Louis Rail-
7 way Company for the appointment
8 of Commissioners to ascertain and
9 determine the compensation to be
10 made to the owners of lands oc-
11 cupied by the Minneapolis and
12 St. Louis Railway Company
13 for the use and track of said
14 rail road

15 We the undersigned J. W. Sinclair
16 Theodor Peterson and Bernhard Ruedger ap-
17 pointed by the above entitled court, Com-
18 missioners to ascertain and determine the
19 compensation to be paid by the said
20 Company to the respective claimants
21 for lands or interests in lands to be
22 taken for the use of said Company
23 and having met and duly qual-
24 ified, and organized as required
25 by law, to wit on the 20th day of
26 March A.D. 1880 do hereby make
27 report as follows to wit.

28 That we did on the 20th day
29 of March A.D. 1880 proceed to examine
30 the land on the line of said road
31 described in the petition named and
32 referred to in the above entitled matter

which said land is required to be taken
for the use and track of said said way
and to hear the proofs and allegations
of the parties, and having duly con-
sidered the same we do find and report
as follows, and award damages and
compensation as below stated to wit

To that piece and tract of land lying
and being in said Cassin County dis-
cribed as follows to wit

Commencing at $\frac{1}{4}$ Section Corner in line between
Sections 17 and 18. thence West 1.50 Chains, thence South
26° East 3.42 Chains - to line between Sections 17 and 18
thence South 3.08 Chains to place of beginning. The whole
situated on the Southeast Quarter of the Northeast
Quarter of Section 18 in Township 115 North of Range 23 West
and containing $\frac{23}{100}$ of an acre of land (as per plat
hereto annexed).

The sum of (Fifteen) ——— dollars
is awarded to Leni H. Guffin as the
owner thereof as and for damages and
for the taking and appropriating the same
by the said said way company.

All which report is respectfully submitted
Dated March 20, 1880

J. H. Sincuba
J. P. Bitting
L. Küdiger

Commissioner

District Court
Barren County

In the matter of the
condemnation of land
by the Minneapolis and
St. Louis Railway Co &c

Report of Commissioner

Filed March 20th 1884
J. H. Vayenbuhl
Clerk

108

State of Minnesota
County of Carver

District Court
Eighth District

In the matter of the Application of the Minneapoli's and St. Louis Railway Company for the appointment of three competent disinterested persons as commissioners to ascertain and determine the compensation to be made by said company to the owners of lands and all tenants and incumbrances and others interested therein for the taking or injuriously affecting the same by reason of the laying out constructing operating and maintaining the said road of said company upon and across such lands.

Upon reading the petition in the above entitled matter which is on file in the above entitled matter, and upon reading and filing the notice of the hearing of the Application for the appointment of Commissioners in said matter and proof of the personal service of said notice of hearing upon one H. H. H. the person named as owner of the land described in said petition more than ten days prior to the time fixed for said hearing

It is ordered on motion of L. Bayne
Attorney for said petition, (now one
appearing to appear) that J. W. Sweeney,
Theodore Ritting and Bernhard Rudiger
be and they hereby are appointed
Commissioners in the above entitled
matter to ascertain and determine
the compensation to be made to
the said line H. Griffin, by said
Company, as the owner of the said
land described in said petition.

And it is further ordered that
said Commissioners meet to organize
and hold their first meeting on
the 20th day of March A.D. 1880 at ten
o'clock in the forenoon of said day
at the Office of the Clerk of the above
Nunual Court in the Court House
in Cluska in the County of Curran
in the said State of Minnesota.

Dated March 1st 1880

J. L. MacDonald
Judge of the District

District Court
Harris County

In the matter of the
Application of the
Memphis and
St Louis Railway
Company for the
Appointment of Com-
missioners &c

Order Appointing
Commissioners

Filed, March 21st 1889,
G. H. Graymire
Clerk.

V 108493

108

1 State of Minnesota. District Court
2 County of Leavenworth Eighth Judicial District
3

4 In the matter of the Application of
5 the Minneapolis and Saint Louis Railway
6 Company for the appointment of three
7 competent disinterested persons as commis-
8 sioners to ascertain and determine the com-
9 pensation to be made by said Company to the
10 owner of the land in the following petition
11 described and to all tenants, incumbrancers
12 and others interested therein for the taking
13 or injuriously affecting the same by reason
14 of the laying out, constructing, operating
15 and maintaining the railway of said
16 Company upon and across said
17 land.

18 To the Honorable the District Court
19 in and for the County of Leavenworth in
20 the Eighth Judicial District of the State of
21 Minnesota.

22 The petition of the Minneapolis
23 and Saint Louis Railway Company res-
24 pectfully states and shews to said Court
25 that said Company is a corporation
26 duly created, organized and existing
27 under the laws of the State of Minnesota
28 to wit under an act of the Legislature of
29 Minnesota entitled an act to incorporate
30 the Minnesota Western Rail Road Com-
31 pany. Approved March third AD 1853
32 and of the several acts of said Legislature

1
2 Passed and Approved Subsequent to the
3 time Ammendatory thereto -

4 That said Company the petition here
5 in is authorized by the said act of incor-
6 poration and the said acts Ammendatory
7 thereto to locate construct run and op-
8 ate a rail road with one or more tracks
9 from Steelcase on Lake St. Pierre, thence
10 running to the City of St. Anthony in the
11 said State of Minnesota. on the Mississippi
12 River thence across said last named
13 river via the City of Minneapolis
14 to a convenient point of junction
15 with the Minnesota Valley (Mudchick and
16 and Sioux City) Railroad, or the Hastings and
17 Dakota River Road or both ~~as~~ the said
18 company may select. That said rail road
19 has been constructed by said company from
20 petition from Minneapolis aforesaid
21 through the County of Hennepin and
22 Carver to a point of junction with
23 the said St. Paul and Sioux City rail
24 road in the County of Scott and is
25 now in operation between the points
26 last aforesaid and runs one and
27 across the land hereinafter described
28 the title and right of way to which has
29 never been assigned by your petition
30 That in and about the maintenance
31 and operation and construction of said
32 rail road it is necessary for your petition

1
2 to have and appropriate for said land
3 turn out to the use of your petitioners
4 and its successors the following described
5 piece and parcel of land and real
6 estate lying and being in the said
7 County of Carver and State of Minn-
8 nesota the same being land owned
9 and which said land and real estate of your
10 petitioners is now located and situated
11 ~~front~~ commencing at the Quarter Section corner
12 in the line between sections (17 & 18) Section and Eighteen
13 Thence West 1.50 Chains, Thence North 2 1/2 East
14 342 Chain to the line between sections (17 & 18) Section and Eighteen
15 Thence South along said line between said sections (17 & 18) Section
16 and Eighteen 308 Chains to the place of beginning - The whole situated
17 on the East 1/4 Quarter of the North East 1/4 of section (18) Eighteen
18 in Township of 115 North of Range 23 West and containing
19 25 1/2 acres of land

20 That the name of the owner of said
21 above described premises is Levi H
22 Griffin a resident of Carver in said
23 County of Carver, there being no contrary
24 claim or incumbrance showing the
25 whole title thereto being in said Griff-
26 in or his heirs or the same being ascertained
27 by the legal records affecting the
28 same and by record of the premises
29 and other inquiries touching the occu-
30 pation of the same

31 Your petitioners further shows that
32 it has been proved to them to agree
with the said Levi H Griffin touching
the compensation to be made to him
as owner of said premises above
described for the taking or improving

1
2 affecting the same, by reason of its being
3 taken by said petitioners for railway
4 purposes as aforesaid -

5 Therefore your petitioners pray that
6 three competent and disinterested persons
7 be appointed by said Court as commissioners
8 pursuant to the said act of in-
9 corporation and the said act amend-
10 ing thereto to ascertain and determine
11 the compensation to be made to the
12 said owner of said land, or other
13 interested therein for the taking or
14 improvement affecting the same
15 for the purposes aforesaid, and that
16 such proceedings may be had in the
17 premises that as to the said owner
18 and real estate and every part
19 and parcel thereof so to be taken by
20 your petitioners and the exercising
21 right to the use and occupation
22 thereof for the purposes aforesaid
23 may be freely and completely vested
24 in your petitioners and its Successors
25 Dated February 16th 1880

26 L. L. Dwyer
27 Attorney for the Minneapolis
28 and St. Louis Railway Company
29
30
31
32

District Court Carter County

In the Matter of the Ap-
plication of the Missouri
Capital and St. Louis
Railway Company
for the Appointment
of Commissioners &c.

Petition

Filed, February 16th AD 1884,
Attest,
Clerk.

-83-

L. L. Taylor
Atty for Petitioner



State of Minnesota District Court
County of Carver Eighth District

In the matter of the Application of
The Minneapolis and St. Louis Railway Com-
pany for the appointment of three competent
disinterested persons as Commissioners,
to ascertain and determine the compensation
to be made by said Company to the owners
of lands and all tenants and incumbrance-
es and others interested therein for the taking
or injuriously affecting the same by reason
of the laying out constructing, operating
and maintaining the said road of said
Company upon and across such lands
To Levi H. Griffin, and to all
whom it may concern.

You are hereby notified that
a petition has been filed in the Office of
the Clerk of the district court in and for
the County of Carver in the State of Min-
nesota, at the Court house in Chaska in
said County by the Minneapolis and
St. Louis Railway Company for the purpose
of condemning certain lands and real
estate in said Carver County, in said
petition particularly described for the use
and track of said railway Company and
corporation, and that the application for the
appointment of three competent disinter-
ested persons as commissioners for the purpose
in said petition named will be made to the

above named Court at the Court room at
Le Sueur Center in the county of Le Sueur
in the State of Minnesota on Monday
the 1st day of March AD 1880 at two
o'clock in the afternoon of that day
or as soon thereafter as counsel can
be heard

Dated February 16th 1880

L L Bayler
Attorney for the Minneapolis
and St Louis Railway Company
Chas the Minn

District Court
Carver County

In the matter of the
Application of the
Municipality of
St Louis, Railroad
Company for appoint-
ment of commissioners
v c
Notice of appoint-
ment for appearance at
Carver County, v c

Filed March 20th 1880,
G. W. Weyland
Clerk,

= 1880 =

L L Bayler
Attorney for St Louis
Chas the Minn

State of Minnesota W H Mills being duly sworn on oath
County of Carver ss I say that on the 18th day of February AD 1880 at Carver
in the County of Carver this Applicant duly served
the within notice of hearing of Application on
the within named Lewis H. Eubank by delivering
to him in person a true and correct copy
of the same -
Subscribed and sworn
to before me this 18th day of
February AD 1880

W H Mills

Frank Warner

Justice of the Peace

Compensation
for
1275 Land
No.

DISTRICT COURT,
CARVER COUNTY, MINN.

Alexander R. Speel et al
Plaintiff.

vs.
L. H. H. & St. P. Ry Co
Defendant.

John W. Willes
Plaintiff's Attorney.

Defendant's Attorney

Date of Entry Feb 16 1880
Court A Page 65
Register of Actions

Term Tried 19

Judgement for Dismissed

Amount of Judgement \$

Date of Judgement 19

Judgement Book Page

Default Judgement Book Page

Date of Docketing 19

State of Minnesota
County of Carver

District Court
8th Judicial District

Alexander R. Ford, John R.
Ford, Elizabeth R. Rogers and
Mary W. Boas. Plaintiffs

vs.

The Chicago Milwaukee and
Saint Paul Railway Company.
Defendant

The defendant in the above entitled action having paid to me, as attorney for the Plaintiffs therein, the sum of two hundred and twenty-five dollars in full satisfaction and payment of all claims in said action for damages for entering upon and withholding from said Plaintiffs the possession and occupation of the lands and premises described in the complaint in said action, and for the rents and profits of said lands during such occupation, or otherwise, and also as the purchase price of said lands, which said sum I hereby acknowledge to have received in full payment and satisfaction of all claims in said action as aforesaid, I hereby authorize and direct the Clerk of said Court to dismiss said action of record, the

costs thereof to be paid by said plaintiffs.
Dated July 23^d A.D. 1880

John A. Willis
Attorney for Plaintiffs
St Paul, Minn.

District Court, 5th Dist.
County of Carver

Alex. R. Appel, et al.

vs.

The Chicago, Milwaukee
& St Paul Ry. Co.

Agitation dismissing action

Filed July 27th A.D. 1880,

Wm. H. Maynard
Att.,

1-15

State of Minnesota
County of Carver

District Court
5th Judicial District

Alex. R. Seal, et al.

vs.
The Chicago Milwaukee & St Paul
Railway Company

It is hereby stipulated that the defendant
in the above entitled action may have to and
including the 25th day of July A.D. 1880 within which
to move, answer or demur in said action.

Dated St Paul March 10. 1880.

John M. Willis
Plff's atty.

District Court - 8th Dist.
County of Carver
~~~~~

Alex. R. Speal, et al.

v.

The Chicago, Mil. & St.  
Paul Ry. Co. -  
~~~~~

Stipulation extending the
time to answer -
~~~~~

Filed July 27<sup>th</sup> 1880,  
Gibbs & Buhl  
Clerks,

68

State of Minnesota  
County of Leaver

District Court  
Eighth Judicial District.

Alexander R. Speel, John  
N. Speel, Elizabeth R.  
Rogers and Mary M. Boas.  
Plaintiffs

versus  
The Chicago Milwaukee and  
Saint Paul Railway Company  
Defendants.

The plaintiffs state the following  
cause of action against the defendant.

I.

The plaintiffs are the only children  
and heirs-at-law of John L. Speel  
deceased, late of Harrisburg Dauphin  
County in the State of Pennsylvania.

II.

The defendant is a railway corporation  
duly organized and existing under the  
laws of the State of Wisconsin.

III.

The plaintiffs are the owners in fee  
simple, as tenants in common, and  
entitled to the immediate possession  
of all and singular the several



tracts or parcels of land lying and being in the County of Leaver and State of Minnesota, described as follows, to-wit:

Lot numbered fifty (50) in Block numbered sixty-six (66), Lot nine (9) in Block sixty-nine (69), Lot eight (8) in Block seventy-six (76), Lot thirteen (13) in Block sixty-seven (67), Lot three (3) in Block seventy-four, Lot eight (8) in Block eighty-three (83) Lot six (6) in Block sixty-nine (69) Lot seven (7) in Block one hundred and forty three, the same, and all thereof, lying and being in the Village of Leaver, in said County, according to the recorded plat thereof -

That on the first day of August A.D. 1872, the defendant wrongfully and tortiously entered upon, and took possession of, all and singular the said pieces and parcels of land, and then commenced, and hath ever since continued, to operate a line of railroad upon and across said tracts or parcels of land, and has ever since continued, and still continues, to hold occupy and possess the same, to the exclusion of the plaintiffs, and to their damage in the sum of five hundred (500)

dollars, and especially, in this, that the plaintiffs have been unable during said period of time to sell the same, on account of the aforesaid use, occupation and possession thereof by the defendant.

That the rents issues and profits, and value of the use and occupation of the said tracts and parcels of land, during the period which has elapsed since the defendant entered into possession of the said tracts or parcels of land, as aforesaid, have amounted to the sum of five hundred dollars.

That the said plaintiffs have never received any compensation for the use and occupation of said tracts or parcels of land by said defendant, and no proceedings under the law have ever been instituted or are now pending to ascertain and assess such compensation -

Wherefore, the plaintiffs demand:

1. Judgment for the ejectment of the defendant from all and singular the said premises, and for the recovery of all and singular the said tracts or parcels of land -

2. Judgment for the sum of five hundred (500) dollars as damages



for the act of the defendant in entering upon said premises and withholding the possession and occupation thereof from the plaintiffs.

3. Judgment for the sum of five hundred (500) dollars the amount of the rents and profits of the said premises during the period aforesaid.

4. Judgment for the costs and disbursements of this action, together with a reasonable attorney's fee to be determined by the court.

John A. Willis  
Attorney for Plaintiffs.





No.

1276

Mdse  
SOLD

DISTRICT COURT,  
CARVER COUNTY, MINN.

Ernest Pappitz

Plaintiff.

vs.  
John G. Maas

Defendant.

H.C. Odell

Plaintiff's Attorney.

Defendant's Attorney.

Date of Entry March 6, 1880

Register of Actions A Page 97

Term Tried 19

Judgment for Plaintiff

Amount of Judgment \$ 210.33

Date of Judgment April 17th 1880

Judgment Book A Page 600

Default Judgment Book Page

Date of Docketing April 17, 1880

Judgment Book Page 306

STATE OF MINNESOTA,

COUNTY OF CARVER.

District Court,

EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT.

SUMMONS.

Ernst Popsitz - Plaintiff.

John G. Maas - Defendant.

The State of Minnesota to the above named Defendant:

You are hereby summoned and required to answer the Complaint of the Plaintiff in the above entitled action, which has been filed with the Clerk of said Court, in his office, in the Village of Chaska, in the County of Carver, State of Minnesota, and to serve a copy of your answer to said Complaint on the subscriber, at his office in the Village of Chaska, in the County of Carver and State of Minnesota, within twenty days after the service of this Summons upon you, exclusive of the day of such service, and if you fail to answer the said Complaint within the time aforesaid, the Plaintiff in this action will

take judgment against you for the sum of One Hundred eighty three and 30/100 Dollars. and interest on one hundred forty six and 00/100 Dollars since January 1st 1879 and on thirty six and 80/100 Dollars since August 24 1879 - together with the costs and disbursements of this action.

Dated at Chaska,

March

A. D. 1880.

N. C. Odell

Plaintiff's Attorney.



State of Minnesota. } ss.  
County of Carver. }

I hereby certify and return that at the Town  
of Parktown in said County and State, on the 6th day  
of March 1880 I served upon the within named Defendant,  
the within summons, by handing to and leaving with  
said Defendant personally, a true copy of said summons.  
Dated Chaska March 10<sup>th</sup> 1880.

J. E. DuSart Sheriff of Carver Co

By Nathan Lovelin

Deputy

my fee copy 50  
percol 100  
travel 12 miles 1.20 2.70.

DISTRICT COURT,

COUNTY OF CARVER.

270

Ernest Poppitz

vs.

John G. Maas.

SUMMONS.

March 10<sup>th</sup> 1880

W. C. Odell,

Plaintiff's Attorneys.

Chaska, Minnesota.

Davison & Henderson, Print, Minneapolis.

Filed April 14<sup>th</sup> 1880.

Edgar Emanuel Clerk

-97-

1 State of Minnesota.

2 District Court  
3 Eighth Judicial District  
4 County of Carver.  
5 Ernst Popsitz,  
6 Plaintiff.

7 vs  
8 John G. Maas,  
9 Defendant.

10 The above named Plaintiff  
11 complains alleges and avers.  
12 1st. That heretofore to wit in the month  
13 of November 1878. the above named  
14 Defendant was justly indebted to this  
15 Plaintiff in a large amount of money.  
16 that being so indebted. he said Defendant  
17 accounted and settled with this Plaintiff  
18 concerning such indebtedness. that on  
19 such accounting and settlement there  
20 was found to be due and owing to this  
21 Plaintiff from said Defendant. the sum  
22 of Two Hundred and forty six Dollars.  
23 and fifty cents. which said sum said  
24 Defendant then and there in consideration  
25 of the premises. promised to pay. that  
26 afterwards and in the month of November  
27 aforesaid. the above named Defendant paid  
28 to this Plaintiff on account of the money  
29 so as aforesaid found to be due to this  
30 Plaintiff the sum of One Hundred  
31 Dollars. and no other or greater sum  
32 has ever been paid thereon.



2nd. That between the first day of  
January 1879 and the twenty fourth  
day of August 1879. the above named  
Plaintiff sold and delivered to said  
Defendant. at the request of said Defend-  
ant goods wares and merchandises; that  
such goods wares and merchandises were  
reasonably worth and of the value of  
Thirty six dollars and eighty cents, which  
sum said Defendant in consideration  
of the premises promised to pay; that no  
part of said sum has ever been paid. and  
the whole amount thereof is now due  
and owing to this Plaintiff. from said  
Defendant.

Wherefore this Plaintiff demands judgment  
against said Defendant for the sum of  
One Hundred and Eighty three dollars and  
thirty cents. and interest on one hundred  
and forty six dollars and fifty six cents  
of said sum since January 1st 1879 and  
on thirty six dollars and eighty cents of  
said sum since August 24th 1879 together  
with costs of suit

Dated Chaska March 1880

N. C. Odell

Atty for Plff.

State of Minnesota } ss.  
County of Carver. }

N. C. Odell being first duly  
sworn says that he is attorney for the

1 Plaintiff in the foregoing complaint  
2 named: that said complaint is true  
3 to the best of this affiant's knowledge  
4 information and belief, and that the  
5 verification is made by this affiant  
6 and not by said Plaintiff for the reason  
7 that said Plaintiff is absent from the  
8 Village of Chaska where resides this  
9 affiant.

Subscribed and sworn to } W. C. Odell.  
Before me this 5th day of }  
March A.D. 1880 }

13 J. F. Diller  
14 Justice of the peace  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32



District Court.  
S. J. P. District  
Court of Harbor

Ernst Poppitz  
Plaintiff.

vs

John G. Maas,  
Defendant.

Complaint

Filed, March 10<sup>th</sup> 1889.  
G. Krayenbuhl  
Clerk.

= 44 =

W. B. Odell

Atty for P. & D.

State of Minnesota,  
County of *Carver*

*District Court* *Judicial*  
*District*

*Ernest Poppitz*  
Plaintiff.

against

*John G. Maas*  
Defendant.

Affidavit of Disbursements.

Plaintiff's Costs and Disbursements.

Statute Costs, - - - - - \$ *5.00*

Disbursements.

*2* Affidavits, - - - - - *75*  
Sheriff's Fees, - - - - - *2.70*  
Clerk's Fees to be added, - - - - - *3.64*

*\$ 12.05*

Amount Claimed in Summons.

Principal, - - - - - \$ *183.30*  
Interest, - - - - - *14.98*  
\$ *198.28*

STATE OF MINNESOTA,  
County of *Carver* } ss.

*W. C. Odell* came personally before me, and having been first duly sworn, he doth depose and say that he is the Attorney of said Plaintiff in the above entitled cause; that the above bill and items of Costs and Disbursements therein are just and correct, and have been necessarily incurred therein.

Subscribed and Sworn to before me,

On this *14th* day of *April* 18*80*

*W. C. Odell*

*J. W. Grayenbult*  
Notary Public,  
*Carver* Minnesota.

*214 33*



State of Minnesota,  
County of Carver

} Dist Court & Judicial District

Ernest Poppitz Plaintiff  
against  
John G. Maas. Defendant.

Affidavit of No Answer.

STATE OF MINNESOTA,  
County of Carver } ss.

W. C. Odell, came personally before me, and having been first duly sworn, he doth depose and say that he is the Attorney for the Plaintiff in the above entitled action: that the Complaint therein was filed and the summons served on the Defendant on the 9th day of March 1880. and more than twenty days have elapsed since said service and that no answer or demurrer, or copy of either, has been received by the Plaintiff's Attorney in this cause, and prays judgment according to law.

Subscribed and Sworn to before me,  
On this 14th day of April 1880

G. W. Grayentuck  
Notary Public  
Carver, Minn.

W. C. Odell

Dist Court & Judicial District  
Carver County.

Ernest Poppitz Plaintiff  
against  
John G. Maas Defendant.

Affidavit of No Answer, &c.

Filed the Twentieth day of  
April A. D. 1880  
G. W. Grayentuck Clerk.

W. C. Odell,  
Attorney for Plff.

State of Minnesota.  
District Court for District  
County of Carver.

Ernest Pappitz  
against  
John G. Maas.

Judgment,

The Complaint in the above  
entitled action having been filed with the Clerk of this Court,  
and the summons personally served upon the Defendant  
on the 6th day of March, A.D. 1880, and more than twenty days  
have elapsed since said service, and that no answer or  
demurrer, or copy of either has been received by the Plaintiff's  
Attorney, neither has Defendant appeared in said action,  
Wherefore on motion of W. C. Odell, Attorney  
for said Plaintiff, It is hereby adjudged and determined  
that said Plaintiff recover of said Defendant the sum of  
One hundred ninety eight dollars and twenty eight cents,  
Principal & interest, as claimed by said summons and  
complaint, together with the sum of Twelve dollars and  
five cents, costs and disbursements taxed in said action,  
the whole amounting to the sum of Two hundred ten dollars  
and fifty three cents, and that said Plaintiff has his  
costs & disbursements therefor.

Judgment, Principal & int \$ 198.28  
Costs & disbursements 12.45

Total \$ 210.73

Dated April 14th A.D. 1880.

By the Court:

G. H. Krayenbuhl  
Clerk.



State of Minnesota  
District Court  
County of Carver,

Ernest Pappitz  
vs

John, G. Maas,

Judgment Roll:

Judgment \$198.28  
Costs " 12.05

Total \$210.33

Filed, April 14th A.D. 1881  
C. H. Augenbuhl Clerk

— 97. —

Attest, C. H. Augenbuhl  
Clerk of Court.

change  
of

No. 1277 Venue

DISTRICT COURT,  
CARVER COUNTY, MINN.

George Studua  
Plaintiff.

vs.

C. M. & P. Ry. Co.  
Defendant.

(P)

Plaintiff's Attorney,

Defendant's Attorney.

Date of Entry Mar 16 1880

Court Register of Actions A Page 112

Term Tried.....1

Judgment for.....

Amount of Judgment \$.....

Date of Judgment.....1

Judgment Book.....Page.....

Default Judgment Book.....Page.....

Date of Docketing.....1



State of Minnesota  
County of Carver

District Court  
8<sup>th</sup> Judicial District

George Studna <sup>Plaintiff</sup>  
against  
The Chicago Milwaukee and  
St. Paul Railway Company <sup>Defendant</sup>

To the above named Court.

The Petition of The  
Chicago Milwaukee and St. Paul Railway  
Company, the above named defendant, re-  
spectfully shows to this Court:- That the above  
entitled suit was commenced in this Court  
on the 27<sup>th</sup> day of February 1880 and is now  
pending therein and that the matter and  
amount in dispute in said suit exceeds,  
exclusive of costs, the sum or value of five  
hundred dollars: That the said suit is  
between a citizen of the State of Minnesota  
in which it is brought and a citizen of  
another state to wit: The State of Wiscon-  
sin and that <sup>there</sup> is a controversy between citizens  
of different states: That your petitioner is  
a corporation created, organized and exist-  
ing under the laws of the State of Wis-  
consin, and was at the time of the commence-

ment of this suit and still is a citizen of the State of Wisconsin; and that the plaintiff in said suit was at the time of the commencement of this suit and still is a citizen of the State of Minnesota.

That a copy of the summons and complaint in said suit has been served upon your petitioner, and that the same is hereto attached, marked, "Exhibit A," and made a part of this petition.

And your petitioner therefor now enters its appearance in said suit and hereby offers and files herewith the security prescribed by law for your petitioner entering in the Circuit Court of the United States for the District of Minnesota next to be held on the first day of its session, copies of the record in said suit and of the process against your petitioner and of all pleadings, depositions, testimony, and other proceedings in said cause, and for paying all costs that may be awarded by the said Circuit Court, if said Court shall hold that such suit was wrongfully or improperly removed thereto (no special bail having been originally requisit therein) according to the law and practice of the United States and its courts.



Wherefore your petitioner prays This  
Honorable Court to accept the said petition  
and security and to proceed no further in  
this suit except to make an order for the  
removal of said suit to the said Circuit  
Court of the United States for the District  
of Minnesota.

And your petitioner shall ever pray.

Reginald Flandrau Clerk

Attorney for Petitioner  
St. Paul, Minn.

- Exhibit A -

Fol 1

State of Minnesota,  
County of Carver }

District Court  
Eighth Judicial District

George Studna - Plaintiff

against

The Chicago Milwaukee and St Paul  
Railway Company - Defendants

The complaint of the Plaintiff  
in the above entitled action respectfully shows to the Court:  
That the above named Defendant is, and, during all of the  
time hereinafter mentioned has been, a corporation duly created  
organized and existing under and by virtue of the laws of the  
State of Wisconsin.

Fol 2

That on the 15<sup>th</sup> day of January A.D. 1880 the above named Plaintiff  
was employed as a laborer and servant for the above named  
Defendant and was during the whole of the day time of said 15<sup>th</sup> day  
of January A.D. 1880 engaged in performing work, labor  
and services for the said Defendant on the line of railway  
owned operated and controlled by said Defendant.

That on the said 15<sup>th</sup> day of January A.D. 1880 the said Plaintiff  
after having performed his daily labor for said day  
was returning, as he had been directed to do by the  
Agent or servant of said Defendant under whom this  
Plaintiff was then working, along the line of said  
Railway track to his home in the Village of Chaska  
in said County of Carver, the said Railway track being  
the most practicable and convenient route to said  
Village from the place where said Plaintiff then and

Fol 3



Fol 14

there was, and whilst said Plaintiff was so returning  
as aforesaid along the line of said Railway track  
being the said Defendant, not regarding its duties in the  
premises, conducted itself so carelessly, negligently  
and unskillfully that, by and through the carelessness,  
negligence ~~and~~ unskillfulness and default of its servants  
and Agents in propelling and running a certain  
carriage or car known and described as a "hand car"  
and in managing, regulating and securing the  
same, and for want of due care and attention in  
that behalf the said hand car was run and propelled  
by the said Agents and servants of said Defendant against  
over and upon him the said Plaintiff and the said  
Plaintiff was by reason of said carelessness, negligence,  
unskillfulness and default of said Defendant by and  
through its Agents and servants aforesaid thrown and  
cast down from a certain trestle bridge in the Township  
of Chaska in said County on which said Plaintiff was  
then and there situate, and from which he was then and  
there unable to escape, a distance of about eighteen feet  
and thereby said Plaintiff became greatly, grievously  
and seriously bruised, wounded hurt and injured  
and thereby became very sick sore weak and distressed.

Fol 5

That by reason of the negligence, carelessness,  
unskillfulness and default of said Defendant through  
its Agents and servants as aforesaid the said  
Plaintiff sustained injuries to his body as follows,  
to wit:

Fol 6

A simple fracture of the two bones of the right leg  
being a fracture of the shin bone and of the fibula  
or bone situate directly in rear of the said shin bone.  
Also a fracture of the right rib on the right side; also  
a rupture of the ligaments of the knee-pan of the right  
leg; also a bruise and injury to the hip bone and the  
nerves surrounding it on the right side so as to prevent  
said Plaintiff from lying or resting on said sides.  
Also an injury and bruise over the right eye  
resulting in a permanent disfiguration of said  
Plaintiff; also a fracture of the third and fourth  
finger bones of the right hand; also a stricture of  
the water passage giving great pain and suffering  
to said Plaintiff when obliged to urinate and causing  
a permanent and lasting disorder.

Fol 7

And that Plaintiff has remained and continued  
sick weak and sore for a long space of time on  
account of said injuries, to wit: from the said 15<sup>th</sup> day of  
January A.D. 1880 to the time of the commencement of this  
action and to the best of this Plaintiff's knowledge information  
and belief the said injuries above mentioned will result  
in causing a permanent and incurable deformity  
of the body of this Plaintiff and will render and cause a  
lameness which will exist during all the lifetime of  
this Plaintiff.

Fol 8

That since the said 15<sup>th</sup> day of January A.D. 1880 the



Fol 9

Said Plaintiff by reason of the injuries above mentioned has been totally unfit for work and unable to perform work labor or services of any kind and has been unable to attend to his daily business avocations and has suffered great loss and damage by reason of said inability to perform his said labor and business, and that said Plaintiff, by reason of the injuries aforesaid, has been forced and obliged to pay lay out and expend, and did actually lay out and expend several sums of money in the whole amounting to Fifty dollars, in and about the attempting the cure of the said Plaintiff and the procuring the necessary medicines, attendances, means of cure and assistance for him during his sickness, weakness and disorder which ensued as aforesaid

Fol 10

from the hurts and injuries occasioned by the negligence, carelessness and default of said Defendant by and through its Agents and servants as aforesaid; and the Plaintiff alleges and avers that by reason of the matters above mentioned he has been injured, and has sustained damage to the value of five thousand dollars.

Fol 11

Wherefore the Plaintiff demands judgment against the said Defendant for the sum of five thousand dollars besides the costs and disbursements of this action -

S. Fowler

Plaintiff's Attorney  
Chaska Minnesota

State of Minnesota  
County of Carver } George Studna being first  
duly sworn says:

That he is the Plaintiff in the foregoing entitled  
action; that he has heard read the foregoing  
complaint and knows the contents thereof and  
that the same is true of his own knowledge, except  
as to those matters which are therein stated on his  
information and belief, and as to those matters  
he believes it to be true.

Subscribed and sworn to  
before me this 21<sup>st</sup> day of  
February A.D. 1880

George Studna

M. H. Myers  
Notary Public  
Carver Co Minn.

(L.S.)



STATE OF MINNESOTA,

COUNTY OF CARVER.

District Court,

EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT.

SUMMONS.

*George Huber* Plaintiff

against

*The Chicago, Milwaukee and St. Paul Railway Company* Defendant

The State of Minnesota to the above named Defendant:

You *the said Defendant* are hereby summoned and required to answer the Complaint of the Plaintiff in the above entitled action, a copy of which is herewith served upon you, and to serve a copy of your answer to said Complaint on the subscriber, at *his* office in the Village of Chaska, in the County of Carver and State of Minnesota, within twenty days after the service of this Summons upon you, exclusive of the day of such service, and if you fail to answer the said Complaint within the time aforesaid, the Plaintiff in this action will *have the amount he is entitled to recover ascertained by the Court or under its direction, and take judgment for the amount so ascertained.*

together with the costs and disbursements of this action.

Dated at Chaska,

A. D. 187

*L. C. Huber*

Plaintiff's Attorney.

*Chaska Minn*

---

# DISTRICT COURT,

COUNTY OF CARYER,

---

*George A. Hudson*

*- vs. -*

*The Chicago Milwaukee and  
St. Paul Railway Company.*

---

## SUMMONS.

*& Complaint*

---

*(Copy)*

*Stover*

*Plaintiff's Attorney.*

*Chaska, Minnesota.*

---

*Davison & Henderson, Print, Minneapolis.*

*Served Feb 7  
27th 1880*



District Court - 8<sup>th</sup> Dist,  
County of Carver

---

George Studna

---

The Chicago Milwaukee  
St Paul Railway Co.

---

Petition for removal of  
action to U. S. Circuit Court

---

Filed March 16<sup>th</sup> 1880  
Chas Wraymehl  
Clerk

112

Bigelow Plaudrau & Clark  
Attys for Petitioner  
St Paul Minn.

State of Minnesota  
County of Carver

District Court  
5<sup>th</sup> Judicial District

George Studna  
against  
The Chicago Milwaukee and  
St Paul Railway Company.

We hereby enter our appearance in the above  
entitled action for the defendant, The Chicago  
Milwaukee and St Paul Railway Company, therein -  
Bejamin Flaudran & Son  
Attorneys for Defendant  
St Paul Minn.

Dated March 16, 1880 -



District Court 8<sup>th</sup> Dist.  
County of Carver

George Hudna  
vs.

The Chicago Milwaukee  
and St Paul Ry. Co.

Appearance of Defendant

Filed March 16<sup>th</sup> A.D. 1880  
G. Freyenthal  
clerk.

Bigelow, Handran & Clark  
Deft's Attys.

State of Minnesota,  
County of Carver

District Court  
8th Judicial District

George Studua  
against  
The Chicago, Milwaukee and St Paul  
Railway Company.

Notice of Appearance.

Sir: Please to take Notice, That *we are* retained and appear as  
Attorneys for the Defendant

in the  
above entitled action, ~~and ask a copy of the Complaint therein.~~

Dated *March 16<sup>th</sup>* A. D. 1880

Yours Respectfully,

*Reginald Haudrau & Clark*

Attorneys for Defendant.

*St Paul, Minn.*

To

*S. Fowler*

Esq.,

Attorney for Plaintiff.



ate of Minnesota  
 County of Carver J. S. Greenleaf Isom came personally  
 before me and being duly sworn deposes and says  
 that at Chaska in said County on the 16<sup>th</sup> day of March  
 1880 he served the within notice on Daniel Fidler Esq.  
 the attorney for the plaintiff in the within entitled action  
 by then and there leaving a copy thereof at his residence  
 in said Chaska with a person of suitable age and  
 discretion, viz: his wife, the office of said attorney  
 not being open so as to admit of service thereof by  
 leaving <sup>such copy</sup> at said office.  
 subscribed & sworn to before me  
 this 16<sup>th</sup> day of March 1880

Greenleaf Isom

J. A. Raymond, Clerk of Court  
 Carver County.

District Court 5<sup>th</sup> Dist.  
 Carver County.

F. S. Studia  
 against  
 The Chicago Mil. & P. Co.  
 Railway Co.

# NOTICE OF APPEARANCE.

Due service of the within Notice is  
 hereby admitted, at  
 Chaska  
 Minnesota, on this  
 day of March A. D. 1880

Attorney for Plaintiff.

Attorney for Defendant.

Printed and for sale at the St. Paul Pioneer Office.  
 Filed March 16<sup>th</sup> A. D. 1880.  
 J. A. Raymond  
 Clerk.

State of Minnesota  
County of Carver

District Court  
8<sup>th</sup> Judicial District

George Studua  
against  
The Chicago Milwaukee and  
St Paul Railway Company.

At Chambers

March 16<sup>th</sup> A.D. 1880

On reading and filing the petition of the defendant in the above entitled action, The Chicago Milwaukee and St Paul Railway Company, for the removal of this action to the Circuit Court of the United States for the District of Minnesota, together with the security \_\_\_\_\_ as prescribed by the laws of the United States in that behalf, and on motion of Bigelow Flandrau & Clark, attorneys for said petitioner -

It is ordered that the said action was by the filing of the said petition and security, and it is hereby declared to be, removed to the said Circuit Court of the United States for the District of Minnesota pursuant to the laws of the United States in this behalf, and that this Court do proceed no further in said action -

March 16<sup>th</sup> 1880.

J. L. MacDonald  
District Judge



District Court - 8<sup>th</sup> Dist.  
County of Carver

George Shidua  
vs.

The Chicago Milwaukee  
& St Paul Railway Co.

Order declaring action  
removed to U. S. Circuit Ct.

Filed March 16<sup>th</sup> 1880,  
G. H. Grayentuhl  
Clerk

Know all men by these presents that we Horace R. Bigelow and Greuleaf Clark of St Paul, Minnesota, are held and firmly bound unto George Studua of Chaska, Minnesota, in the sum of one thousand dollars lawful money of the United States, to be paid unto the said George Studua, his executors, administrators or assigns, for which payment well and truly to be made we bind ourselves, our heirs, executors and administrators firmly by these presents.

Sealed with our seals and dated this 15<sup>th</sup> day of March A.D. 1880 -

Whereas the above named George Studua has commenced an action in the District Court for the Eighth Judicial District of the State of Minnesota in and for the County of Carver against The Chicago Milwaukee and St Paul Railway Company -

And whereas the said Company is <sup>about</sup> to enter its appearance in said action in said Court and at the same time is <sup>about</sup> to file its petition for the removal of said action into the Circuit Court of the United States next to be held in the District of Minnesota pursuant to the provisions of the Statutes of the United States in such case made and provided -

Now therefore if the said The Chicago Milwaukee and St Paul Railway Company shall enter in said Circuit Court on the first day of its next session copies of the record in said suit and of the process against said Company and of all pleadings, depositions,



4  
testimony and other proceedings in said action and shall pay all costs that may be awarded by the said Circuit Court if said Court shall hold that said suit was wrongfully or improperly removed thereto (no special bail having been requisite in said suit) according to the law and practice of the United States and its Courts, then this obligation to be void; otherwise to be and remain in full force and virtue.

Executed in presence of  
Chas. E. Flanagan,  
Geo. C. Squires

Horace R. Bigelow  
Greenleaf Clark

(Seal)

(Seal)

State of Minnesota  
County of Ramsey } S.S. On this 15<sup>th</sup> day of March  
A.D. 1880 before me personally appeared Horace R.  
Bigelow and Greenleaf Clark, to me well known to  
be the same persons who executed the foregoing  
bond, and each severally acknowledged that he  
executed the same.

Geo. C. Squires  
Notary Public  
Ramsey Co. Minn.

State of Minnesota }  
County of Ramsey } v. v. Horace R. Bigelow and  
Greenleaf Clark came personally before me and  
being duly sworn each for himself doth depose  
and say that he is a resident and freeholder of  
the State of Minnesota, and that he is worth the  
sum of one thousand dollars above his debts  
and liabilities and exclusive of his property exempt  
from execution, and that he is one of the obligors  
named in the foregoing bond.

Subscribed and sworn to before } H. R. Bigelow  
me this 15<sup>th</sup> day of March 1880 } Greenleaf Clark

Geo. C. Squires

Notary Public

Ramsey Co. Minn.



District Court - 8<sup>th</sup> Dist.  
County of Carver

George Hindman

The Chicago Milwaukee  
& St Paul Railway Co.

Bond for removal of  
action to U. S. Circuit Court.

I hereby accept and approve  
the within bond and the  
sureties thereof.  
Dated March 16<sup>th</sup> A.D. 1880

J. L. MacDonald  
District Judge

Filed March 16<sup>th</sup> A.D. 1880,  
G. H. Graymire  
Clerk.

No.

1278

labor performed

DISTRICT COURT,  
CARVER COUNTY, MINN.

*Samuel Fowler*  
Plaintiff.

vs.

*Michael Schuschetiski*  
Defendant.

*Baxter Fowler*  
Plaintiff's Attorney.

Defendant's Attorney.

Date of Entry *March 23rd 1880*

Register of Actions *"A"* Page *105*

Term Tried *1*

Judgment for *Plaintiff*

Amount of Judgment \$ *255.80*

Date of Judgment *Mar 23rd 1880*

*Minute Record* "A" Page *594*

Default Judgment Book Page

Date of Docketing *March 23rd 1880*

*Judgment Record* page *-304-*



1 State of Minnesota  
2 County of Carver }

District Court  
3 4th Judicial District

4 Samuel Foster - Plaintiff

5 versus

6 Michael Schuchterle - Defendant

7 } Complaint

8 The complaint of the Plaintiff in the above entitled action respectfully  
9 shows to the Court

10 That said Plaintiff now is and for more than five years last past  
11 has been an Attorney and Counsellor at Law duly authorized by law to  
12 practice as such in the State of Minnesota -

13 That between the 4<sup>th</sup> day of July A.D. 1877 and the 25<sup>th</sup> day of October

14 A.D. 1877 the above named Plaintiff performed work labor and services as  
15 Attorney for the said Defendant and that said work labor and services

16 were reasonably worth and of the value of two hundred and fifty dollars

17 which sum said Defendant agreed to pay therefor.

18 That on the said 25<sup>th</sup> day of October A.D. 1877 an accounting and settlement

19 between said Plaintiff and Defendant was had at Chicago in said

20 County of Carver and at said accounting and settlement there was found to

21 be due to the Plaintiff from said Defendant for the work labor and services

22 above mentioned the sum of two hundred and twenty five dollars.

23 That no part of said sum has been paid except the sum of twenty five

24 dollars said thereon before the commencement of this action, and there is

25 now due and owing to said Plaintiff from said Defendant the sum of

26 one hundred and ninety dollars and cents the sum from the said 25<sup>th</sup>

27 day of October A.D. 1877.

28 Wherefore the Plaintiff demands judgment against said Defendant for the

29 sum of one hundred and ninety dollars with interest thereon from the

30 25<sup>th</sup> day of October A.D. 1877 together the costs and disbursements of this

31 action.

32 Samuel Foster

33 Plaintiff's Attorney

Charles Miller

1 State of Minnesota  
2 County of Carver }

In Justice's Court  
Before Just. of the Peace

3  
4 State of Minnesota  
5 County of Carver }

Samuel Tocher being first  
duly sworn says:

6  
7 That he is the Plaintiff in the foregoing entitled  
8 action and that the foregoing complaint is true of  
9 his own knowledge.

10 Subscribed and sworn to  
11 before me this 2<sup>nd</sup> day of  
12 March A.D. 1880

Tocher

13  
14 J. F. Dillay  
15 Justice of the Peace  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33



STATE OF MINNESOTA,

COUNTY OF CARVER.

District Court,

EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT.

SUMMONS.

Samuel Foster - Plaintiff

- against -

Michael Schuchatzki - Defendant

The State of Minnesota to the above named Defendant:

You are hereby summoned and required to answer the Complaint of the Plaintiff in the above entitled action, a copy of which is herewith served upon you, and to serve a copy of your answer to said Complaint on the subscribers, at their office in the Village of Chaska, in the County of Carver and State of Minnesota, within twenty days after the service of this Summons upon you, exclusive of the day of such service, and if you fail to answer the said Complaint within the time aforesaid, the Plaintiff in this action will take judgment against you for the sum of one hundred and ninety dollars with interest thereon from the day of October 12. 1879

together with the costs and disbursements of this action.

Dated at Chaska,

A. D. 187

Baxter & Fowler

Plaintiff's Attorney

Chaska Minnesota

State of Minnesota 3<sup>00</sup>  
County of Carver 3<sup>00</sup>

I hereby Certify and Return  
that at Chaska in said County of Carver,  
Minn., on the 2<sup>nd</sup> day of March A.D. 1888,

I served the within Summons & amended  
Complaint on Michael Schorschitzki the  
defendant herein named, by handing to and  
leaving with him personally true and  
correct copies thereof. J. E. DuRoi  
Flw. Service Summons 1.20. Sheriff

DISTRICT COURT.

COUNTY OF CARVER.

Michael Schorschitzki

Michael Schorschitzki

SUMMONS.

Complaint.

Filed March 23<sup>rd</sup> A.D. 1888,

Chas. W. Henderson

Chas. W. Henderson

Plaintiff's Attorney.

Chaska, Minnesota.

Davis & Henderson, Print. Minneapolis.



State of Minnesota,  
County of Carver }

District Court  
Eighth Judicial District

Samuel Fowler - Plaintiff  
- against -  
Michael Schuschiński - Defendant }

State of Minnesota,  
County of Carver } ss. Samuel Fowler being first  
duly sworn says:

That he is one of the Attorneys for the Plaintiff in the above entitled action, that more than twenty days have elapsed since the summons in said action was served upon the Defendant therein and no answer or demurrer or copy of either has been served upon this affiant or upon either of the Attorneys for said Plaintiff for or on behalf of said Defendant nor has any appearance of said Defendant been entered in said action to the knowledge or belief of this affiant.

Subscribed and sworn to before  
me this 23<sup>rd</sup> day of March  
A.D. 1880.

Wm. W. W. W.  
Wm. W. W. W.  
Wm. W. W. W.

Fowler

State of Minnesota }  
County of Carver }

District Court  
Eighth Judicial District

Samuel Fowler - Plaintiff

- against -

Michael Schuschitzki - Defendant

Plaintiff's Costs and Disbursements

|                       |             |
|-----------------------|-------------|
| Statute Costs         | \$ 5.00     |
| Clerk's fees          | 3.60        |
| Sheriff's " - - - - - | 1.20        |
| Two affidavits        | .50         |
|                       | <hr/> 10.30 |

Judgment

Total

195.50  
\$ 205.80

State of Minnesota }  
County of Carver }

ss. Samuel Fowler being  
first duly sworn says

that he is one of the Attorneys for the  
Plaintiff in the above entitled action,  
that the items of costs and disbursements specified  
above have been necessarily incurred in said  
action by the Plaintiff

Subscribed and sworn to before

me this 23<sup>rd</sup> day of March A.D. 1880

J. A. Gayentull, Clerk of the Court  
Carver County, Minn.

Fowler



State of Minnesota }  
County of Carver }

District Court  
Eighth Judicial District

Samuel Fowler - Plaintiff }  
against }  
Michael Schuschnitzki - Defendant }

The Summons in the above entitled action having been personally served upon the Defendant herein on the 2<sup>nd</sup> day of March A.D. 1880 and the Complaint duly filed with the Clerk of this Court, and it appearing by the affidavit of <sup>one of</sup> the Attorneys for said Plaintiff on file that no answer or demurrer or copy of either has been received by said Plaintiffs Attorneys in said action

Now therefore, on motion of Banter & Fowler Attorneys for said Plaintiff - It is hereby adjudged and determined that said Plaintiff recover of said Defendant the sum of ~~one~~ hundred and ninety five dollars and fifty cents (\$195.50) judgment principal and interest as claimed by said Summons and Complaint together with the sum of ten dollars and thirty cents, costs and disbursements, taxed in said action, the whole amounting to the sum of two hundred and five dollars and eighty cents and that said Plaintiff have his lawful process therefor

|          |                    |
|----------|--------------------|
| Judgment | \$195.50           |
| Costs    | 10.30              |
|          | <hr/> 205.80 <hr/> |

Dated March 23<sup>rd</sup> 1880

By the Court:

G. H. Wenzel  
Clerk.

Filed, March 23<sup>rd</sup> 1880  
G. H. Wenzel  
Clerk.



State of Minnesota  
District Court  
County of Carver,

Samuel Fowler  
vs  
Michael Schuchitski.

Judgment Roll.

Judgments \$145.50  
Costs " 10.30

Total \$155.80

Filed March 23<sup>rd</sup> A.D. 1880.  
Geyraynbuhl Clerk.

= 155 =

Baxter & Fowler, atty for  
Plaintiff

Promissory  
Note

No. 1279

DISTRICT COURT,  
CARVER COUNTY, MINN.

*Philip Henk*  
Plaintiff.

vs.

*Henry C. Hawk*  
Defendant.

*Baxter & Fowler*  
Plaintiff's Attorney.

Defendant's Attorney.

Date of Entry *March 23rd, 1880*  
County *Carver* Register of Actions *"A"* Page *107*  
Term Tried *1*  
Judgment for *Plaintiff*  
Amount of Judgment \$ *201.04*  
Date of Judgment *March 23rd, 1880*  
*Minute Book* *"A"* Page *595*  
Judgment Book  
Default Judgment Book Page  
Date of Docketing *March 23rd, 1880*  
*Judgment Book* *"A"* Page *304*



\$131.00

Charles J. 1876



on Month after date I promise to pay to  
the order of P. H. H. H.

One Hundred Thirty Two Dollars  
at 12 Per cent Interest Per Annum till paid  
Value received

No

Due

Henry E. Howe

Fol 1

State of Minnesota District Court  
County of Carver Eighth District

Philip Hank }  
                  } agent  
Henry E. Howe }

The plaintiff in the  
above entitled action for complaint  
against the defendant therein  
respectfully states and shows to  
the court that the above named de-  
fendant for value received made  
and executed in writing and de-  
livered to the above named plain-  
tiff his certain promissory note in  
the words and figures following to-  
wizt

Chaska June 16th 1894

“Ten months after date I promise  
to pay to the order of P Hank **one**  
hundred thirty one dollars at 12  
per cent interest per annum till paid  
in full received - “Henry E. Howe”

That the said plaintiff is now  
the lawful owner and holder  
of said promissory note and  
that no part thereof has been  
paid -

Wherefore the plaintiff demands



judgment against the defendant  
for the sum of one hundred and  
thirty one dollars with the interest  
thereon at the rate of twelve per cent  
per annum from and since the 11<sup>th</sup>  
day of June A.D. 1876 less the cost  
of this action -

Raymond Howland

Plaintiff's Attorney  
Chicago, Illinois

Filed March 23<sup>rd</sup> A.D. 1880  
Geo. W. Weyersmuth  
Clerk,

STATE OF MINNESOTA,

COUNTY OF CARVER.

District Court,

EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT.

SUMMONS.

Philip Hunt  
Agent  
Henry E. Howe

The State of Minnesota to the above named Defendant:

You are hereby summoned and required to answer the Complaint of the Plaintiff in the above entitled action, a copy of which is herewith served upon you, and to serve a copy of your answer to said Complaint on the subscribers, at the office in the Village of Chaska, in the County of Carver and State of Minnesota, within twenty days after the service of this Summons upon you, exclusive of the day of such service, and if you fail to answer the said Complaint within the time aforesaid, the Plaintiff in this action will take judgment

against you for the sum of one hundred and thirty one dollars with interest thereon at the rate of twelve per cent per annum from and since the 11th day of June A.D. 1876 together with the costs and disbursements of this action.

Dated at Chaska, July 26th A. D. 1876.

Ray & Howland

Plaintiff's Attorney

Chaska Minnesota



State of Minnesota  
County of McLeod  
I hereby certify and Return  
that at the town of Glenwood County and  
State of Nebraska on the 7th day of March A.D. 1884  
I served the within summons on the within  
named Defendant <sup>at his last usual place of abode</sup> by handing to and leaving  
with his wife a true and correct copy of the  
same at said time and place she being a  
person of suitable age and discretion to receive  
the same. Fees  
Served 1.00  
 mileage .50  
 By George Chapeland Deputy Sheriff

# DISTRICT COURT.

COUNTY OF CARVER.

Philip Hecker

Henry E. Reed

## SUMMONS.

Filed March 23rd 1884,  
C. G. Payant Clerk.

Payant & Tiedeman

Plaintiff's Attorney.

Chaska, Minnesota.

Davison & Henderson, Print, Minneapolis.

State of Minnesota  
County of Carver }

District Court  
Eighth Judicial District

Philip Henk - Plaintiff  
- against -  
Henry E. Howe - Defendant }

State of Minnesota  
County of Carver }

ss. Samuel Fowler being first  
duly sworn says:

that he is one of the Attorneys for the Plaintiff in the  
above entitled action, that more than twenty days  
have elapsed since the summons in said action  
was served upon the Defendant therein and no answer  
or demurrer or copy of either has been served upon  
this affiant or upon either of the Attorneys for said  
Plaintiff for or on behalf of said Defendant  
nor has any appearance of said Defendant  
been entered in said action to the knowledge or  
belief of this affiant.

Subscribed and sworn to  
Before me this 23<sup>rd</sup> day of  
March A.D. 1880

Chas. Gaymuth  
Clerk Dist Court  
(Carver County)

S. Fowler



State of Minnesota }  
County of Carver }

District Court  
Eighth Judicial District

Philip Henk - Plaintiff }  
- against - }  
Henry E. Howe - Defendant }

Plaintiffs costs and disbursements

|                |                |
|----------------|----------------|
| Statute costs  | \$ 5.00        |
| Clerks fees    | " 4.14         |
| Sheriffs fees  | " 1.30         |
| Two affidavits | " .50          |
| Total          | Total \$ 11.44 |

State of Minnesota }  
County of Carver } ss. Samuel Fowler being first duly  
sworn says that he is one of the  
Attorneys for the Plaintiff in the above entitled action,  
that the items of costs and disbursements specified above  
have been necessarily incurred in said action by the Plaintiff  
Subscribed and sworn to before me  
This 23<sup>rd</sup> day of March A.D. 1880 }  
J. J. Freyenhuhl }  
Clerk District Court }  
Carver Minnesota }

File, March 23<sup>d</sup> 1884,  
G. H. Krayenbuhl  
Adm.



State of Minnesota  
County of Carver

District Court  
Eighth Judicial District,

Philip Henck  
against  
Henry R. Howe.

The summons in the above entitled action having been duly served upon the defendant herein on the first day of March A.D. 1880, and the Complaint duly filed with the Clerk of this Court, and it appearing by the affidavit of one of the attorneys for said Plaintiff, on file, that no answer or demurrer or copy of either has been received by said Plaintiff's attorneys in said action.

Now therefore on motion of Baxter & Fowler attorneys for said Plaintiff, it is hereby adjudged and determined that said Plaintiff recover of said defendant the sum of One hundred and thirty one dollars, principal, and interest amounting to the sum of Fifty nine dollars and sixty four cents, together with the sum of Ten dollars and forty cents, costs and disbursements taxed in said action, the whole amounting to the sum of Two hundred and one dollar and four cents, and that said Plaintiff have his lawful process therefor.

|                               |                  |
|-------------------------------|------------------|
| Judgment principal & interest | \$ 190.64        |
| Costs & disbursement          | " 10.40          |
| Total                         | <u>\$ 201.04</u> |

Dated March 23<sup>rd</sup> A.D. 1880.

By the Court:

J. Graymuhl  
Clerk.

State of Minnesota  
District Court  
County of Carver,

---

Philip Henry  
vs  
Henry C. Howe,

---

Judgment Roll,

|          |          |
|----------|----------|
| Judgment | \$131.00 |
| Interest | " 59.64  |
| Costs    | " 10.40  |

Total \$201.04.

Given March 23<sup>rd</sup> AD 1880,  
Apprayed and  
Adjudged

-107.-

---

Dexter and Fowler Attys for  
Plaintiff, Charles Munn