



Minnesota District Court (Carver County)
Civil and criminal case files

Copyright Notice:

This material may be protected by copyright law (U.S. Code, Title 17). Researchers are liable for any infringement. For more information, visit www.mnhs.org/copyright.

Drainage

No. 1780

DISTRICT COURT,
CARVER COUNTY, MINN.

Elizabeth Campbell
Plaintiff.

vs.

The Town of Hollywood
Defendant.

Ernest Kainlin
Plaintiff's Attorney.

W. C. Dell
Defendant's Attorney.

Date of Entry April 5th, 1880
Court Register "A" Page 218
Register of Actions

Term Tried 1

Judgment for

Amount of Judgment \$

Date of Judgment 1

Judgment Book Page

Default Judgment Book Page

Date of Docketing 1

SUMMONS.

["People's Advocate" Job Print.]

STATE OF MINNESOTA, }
COUNTY OF ~~WRIGHT~~ *Leavenworth* }

THE STATE OF MINNESOTA:

TO THE SHERIFF OR ANY CONSTABLE OF SAID COUNTY:

You are hereby commanded to summon *The Firm of Hollywood in the*
County of Leavenworth and State of Minnesota if he shall be found in your County, to be and appear
before the undersigned, one of the Justices of the Peace in and for said county, on the *18th*
day of *November* A. D. 187*9*, at *10* o'clock in the *fore* noon
at my office in *the Village of Watertown* in said County, to answer to *James*
Campbell *Elizabeth*
writ. in a civil action; and have you then and there this

Given under my hand, this *10* day of *November* A. D. 187*9*
Walter S. Johnson
Justice of the Peace.

at the request and risk of the plaintiff I hereby authorize Thomas O. Gane to
 75 cents and return this warrant.

WRIGHT COUNTY, ss.

to August K. K. K. Chairman of the Board of Supervisors of said town
 reading the same, to the within named defendant, in his hearing, and at his request delivered to him

a true copy thereof, in said County, on the 10th day of November A. D. 1879

absent and sworn to before me
 this 10th day of Nov. 1879

Walter S. John Justice of the Peace
 Fees:--Milage, Miles, Service, Copy, Total, \$

SUMMONS.

Ernest Campbell

AGAINST

John J. Halliwell

led the day of
 1879
 Justice of the Peace.

W. J. April 5th 1881
 J. J. Campbell
 Clerk,

State of Minnesota, In Justice Court & before
County of Carson } Walter S. John J.P.
Elizabeth Campbell }

- 25 -

The Firm of Holliman & }
the County of Carson and }
State of Minnesota }
Memorandum of
Security for costs.

In consideration that the above
entitled action be not dismissed
the undersigned do hereby undertake
guarantee and promise to pay all
costs that shall be adjudged against
the plaintiff therein.

Dated November 25th 1879

Thomas Campbell
Michael C. Moroghan

Nr 2

Security for Costs.

I hereby approve the
within recited taking
and the same
therein - Dated Nov
25th 1879

Walter D. John
Justice of the peace

Filed in my office
Nov 25th 1879

Walter D. John
Justice of the peace

Filed, April 5th A.D. 1880
Chas. W. Kent
Clerk,

State of Minnesota In Justice Court Before
Comptroller of Leases } Nathl St. John J.P.
Elizabeth Campbell }

-vs-

The Town of Hollywood } Complaint
in the County of Leas }
and State of Minnesota }

The plaintiff complains
of the defendant and avers,

That said defendant is a
Municipal Corporation, duly created,
organized and existing under the
Laws of the State of Minnesota.

That in the year A.D. 1879
said defendant caused to be erected
a bridge across a certain stream in
said town commonly known as the
outlet of Knisth Lake, a bridge,
which bridge was so constructed that
it obstructed and dammed up
the waters of said stream, and
caused the same to flow back, into
over, and upon plaintiffs meadow.
That by reason of the aforesaid premises
said waters did so flow back, into
over and upon plaintiffs meadow
in the summer of the year A.D. 1879
and destroy fifty tons of grass then
and thereon standing, which said
grass was reasonably worth and
of the value of two dollars per
ton, to plaintiffs damages in the
sum of one hundred dollars.

1 Through plaintiff demands
2 judgment against said
3 defendant for the sum of one
4 hundred dollars and her costs
5 herein
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33

VERIFICATION BY ATTORNEY.

State of Minnesota.

COUNTY OF

Leavenworth

88

Ernest Hauke

came before me personally, and being duly sworn, doth say that he is the Plaintiff attorney in the above entitled action; that the foregoing Complaint is true to the best of his knowledge, information and belief, and that the reason why this verification is not made by said Plaintiff is that she is absent from the County of Leavenworth Minnesota, where resides this affiant attorney

Ernest Hauke

Subscribed and sworn to before me,
on this 18th day of Nov

A. D. 1879

Walter E. John
Justice of the Peace

No 3

District Court.

Judicial District,

County of

Leaver

Elizabeth Campbell

AGAINST

John G. Hollywood

Complaint

April 5th A.D. 1880,

Attest my hand and seal
this 5th day of April 1880.

Ernest Hamilton

Plffs Attorney

Watertown Minn.

EAGLE Job Print. Delano, Minn.

Filed for Rec. 18th A.D. 1880

Notas L. J. J. J.

Justices of the Peace

State of Minnesota.
County of Carver.

In Justice Court
Before Walter St John.

Justice of the Peace
Elizabeth Campbell

Plaintiff.
The Town of Hollybrook
in the County of Carver
and State of Minnesota

Defendant.

The above named Defendant for
answer to the Plaintiffs Complaint in
the above entitled action denies each
and every allegation statement matter
and thing in said Complaint contained
except as hereinafter admitted.

This Defendant further answering
admits that it is a municipal Corpora-
tion created organized and existing
as in said Complaint alleged; and that
this Defendant caused to be constructed
a certain bridge across the stream in
said Complaint described.

and Defendant asks that this action
be dismissed with costs

W. C. Odell

Dfto atty.

State of Minnesota }
County of Carver } ss

August Neumann being
first duly sworn says that he is
Chairman of Supervisors of the

Town of Hollywood. the defendant
named in the foregoing answer.
that he has heard said answer read
and that the same is true.

Subscribed and sworn to }
Before me this 18th day of } August 1880
November 1879

John S. John
Justice of the Peace

Justice Court
Before
Walter S. John

Eliabed Campbell

vs

The Town of Hollywood

Answer

John Campbell
vs
The Town of Hollywood

W. C. Cull
Dist. atty.

State of Minnesota } In Justice Court Before
County of Leavenworth } 3rd Nathan St John Justice of the Peace
Elizabeth Campbell Plff }

-13-

The Town of Hollywood in
the County of Leavenworth and
State of Minnesota Dft }

Amended Complaint

The plaintiff for an
amended complaint in the above
entitled action complains of the
defendant Town and adds,

That said defendant is a Municipal
Corporation duly created, organized
and existing under the laws of the
State of Minnesota

That said plaintiff resides
upon and ^{has} occupied as a farm
for the past fifteen years that certain
tract of land situate lying and
being in the said town of Hollywood
in the County and State aforesaid
known and described as follows, to-wit

Lots one (1) two (2) and three (3) in the
Section No 18 Eighth (8) of Range
No Twenty six (26) the same being
in the south west quarter of said
Section.

That in the year ad 1879 said
defendant caused to be erected a

a bridge across a certain stream in
said town commonly known as the
out-let of Waukegan Lake which bridge
is situated on the land so occupied
by said plaintiff as aforesaid, and
was so constructed that it obstructed
and dammed up the waters of
said stream and in the summer of
the year A.D. 1879 caused said waters
to flow back into and upon the
land and meadow of said plaintiff
situate on said premises and destroy
thirty tons of grass then and thereon
standing ^{the property of said plaintiff} which said grass was
reasonably worth and of the value
of two dollars per ton, to the damage
of said plaintiff in the sum of
sixty dollars.

Wherefore plaintiff demands
judgment against said defendant
in the sum of sixty dollars and
his costs herein.

State of Minnesota }
County of Cass }

Thomas Campbell

being duly sworn says that he is
the agent of the plaintiff in the
above entitled action, that he has
heard the foregoing complaint
read and knows the contents thereof
and that the same is true to the
best of his knowledge, information
and belief, and that this complaint
is not verified by the plaintiff
in person because she is not now
present in court.

Subscribed and sworn to } Thomas Campbell
before me this 25th day }
of Nov. A.D. 1899

Walter S. John

Justice of the Peace

No 5-

Justice Court
before

Walter St John Esq.

Elizabeth Campbell,

vs

The Town of Holly wood.

Unsubd Complaint

Filed Nov 25th 1879

Walter St John

Justice of the Peace

Filed, April 5th A.D. 1880.
G. H. Grayson
clerk,

118

State of Minnesota. }
County of Carver. }

In Justice Court
Before Walter St John.

Justice of the Peace
Elizabeth Campbell
Plaintiff.

The
The Town of Hollywood
in the County of Carver
and State of Minnesota.
Defendant.

This defendant for answer to the
amended Complaint of the Plaintiff herein
denies each and every allegation statement
matter and thing in said amended
Complaint contained except as hereinafter
admitted.

This defendant admits that it
is a Municipal Corporation created
organized and existing as in said
amended Complaint alleged.

That at the time and place in
said amended Complaint specified this
defendant caused to be erected a bridge
across the stream in said amended
Complaint designated.

And defendant asks that this action
be dismissed with costs. W. B. Odell. Deputy.

State of Minnesota }
County of Carver }

August Minneman being
first duly sworn says that he is Chairman
of Superior the Defendant named in the for-
going answer, that he has heard said answer
read and knows the contents thereof, and that
the same is true.

Subscribed and sworn to before } August Minneman
me the 25th day of November 1879. }

Walter S. John
Justice of the Peace

Justice Court
sworn
Walter S. John Esq.

Elizabeth Campbell

vs

The Town of Hallymont

Answer to said Complaint

Filed Nov 25th 1879

Walter S. John
Justice of the Peace

Filed April 5th 1880,
Elizabeth Campbell
Plaintiff

(W)

No 6

State of Minnesota. }
County of Carver. } ss.

To. Gottlieb Lahl. Miss. Lahl.
Gustav Barfknecht. ~~Just. of the Peace~~
James Roe. August Wimmerman.

You and each of you are hereby
commanded to be and appear before
the undersigned a Justice of the
Peace in and for the County of
Carver and State of Minnesota
at my office in the Village of
Watertown in said County and
State on Tuesday the 25th day
of November A.D. 1879 at 11 o'clock
in the forenoon of said day. then
and there to give evidence in
a certain action wherein Elizabeth
Lumpball is Plaintiff and the
Dowry of Hollywood in the County
of Carver and State of Minnesota
is Defendant. on the part of the
Defendant and hereof fail not.

Witness my hand this 18th day
of November A.D. 1879.

Walter D. Johnson
Justice of the Peace

State of Minnesota }
County of Leaver. } 00

I hereby certify and return that on the 24th day of November AD 1879 at the Town of Hollywood in said County and State I served the within subpoena on the within named Gottlieb Lall Mrs - Lall Gustav Barfkuecht. James Ron and August Munnemann. personally by reading the same to them and each of them. and that I paid or tendered to each of said witnesses the fees and mileage allowed by law.

Dated Nov 25th 1879 } Carl Steinmann

My fees.

Constable Hollywood Town.

Travel 32 miles 3.20
Service 75
3.95

Filed for record Oct 1879
Gottlieb Barfkuecht
Lall

Subpoena

Town of Hollywood

Elegant Court

Walter St. John

Justice Court

10

Wahpeton Minn
Nov 2nd 1879

We the Jurors find a verdict
in favor of the Plaintiff in the
sum of Sixty Dollars

Foreman James H. Patrick.

No 11

Verdict,

Given, April 5th A.D. 1880,
Lefrayerentuhl
Adm.

State of Minnesota.
County of Carver.

In Justice Court
Before Walter St John.

Justice of the Peace.
Elizabeth Campbell
Plaintiff.

vs

The Town of Hollybrook
in the County of Carver
and State of Minnesota.

Sir.

Please to take notice that the
above named Defendant appeals
to the District Court from the
judgment rendered against it on
the 25th day of November 1879. by
said Walter St John, Justice of the
Peace, in the above entitled action
and that said appeal is taken
upon questions of both law and
fact

Dated Chaska Nov 28th 1879

Yours Respy

W. C. Odell

Atty for deft.

To

The above named Plaintiff

E. Facinus Esq

Atty for Plff

State of Minnesota. }
County of Carver. } ss

August Niemann being
first duly sworn says that on
the day of 1899
at the Village of Watertown in
said County and State, he served
the within notice upon the within
named Plaintiff by delivering a
copy thereof to E. Hamilton Esq
her attorney who appears for
her said Plaintiff on the trial of
said case.

Subscribed and sworn to }
before me this day of }
1899

Justice Court
before.

Walter St John

Eliabreck Campbell

vs

The Town of Hollywood.

Notice of appeal

Due service of the
within notice of
appeal is hereby
admitted this 29
day of Nov 1899

E. Hamilton
att'y for app

Filed Dec 2nd 1899
Walter St John
Justice of the Peace

Given to Justice after Filed, April 25 AD 1899.
J. W. Grayson
clerk.

No 8

State of Minnesota }
County of Carver. }

In Justice Court
Before Walter St John.

Justice of the Peace.
Elizabeth Campbell.
Plaintiff.

vs

The Town of Hollymood }
in the County of Carver.
and State of Minnesota.
Defendant.

State of Minnesota }
County of Carver. } ss.

August Nimmman being
first duly sworn says that he is
Chairman of Supervisors of the Town
of Hollymood the above named Defend-
ant. That said Defendant appeals
from the judgment rendered by
Walter St John Esq said Justice of
the Peace, on the 25th day of November
1879. in favor of said Plaintiff and
against said Defendant, and
that said appeal is made in
good faith and not for the purpose
of delay.

Subscribed & sworn to before } August Nimmman
me this 28th day of November 1879 }
W. C. Adell, Notary Public Carver Co. Minn.

No 7

Justice Court
before
Walter St John

Elizabeth Campbell

vs

The Town of Hollymood.

aff for appeal

Filed Dec 2nd a.d
1879

Walter St John
Justice of the Peace

Filed, April 5th a.d 1880,
Geo Kraenzle
clerk,

State of Minnesota } In Justice Court Before
County of Carver } ss Matter before J P

Nov 10th a D 1877 at the request of plaintiff I issued a summons in this action returnable on the 18th day of Nov a D 1877 at 10 o'clock AM at my office in the Village of Watertown in said county and at the request and risk of the plaintiff I did authorize Thomas O Gase to execute and return the writ

Nov 18 a D 1877 the summons was returned with proof of personal service Endorsed thereon the cause was called at 10 o'clock AM the plaintiff appeared by her Atty E Hamlin Esq and filed a Complaint in Writing duly verified

The Defendant appeared by Wm C Odell Esq and filed an answer in writing duly verified

Nov 18th a D 1877 at the request of defendant I issued subpoenas for Gottlieb Lahl Gustaf Barfknecht and James Rae Aug Nummarn to appear as witnesses for the defendant whereupon by consent of parties the cause was continued until Nov 30th a D 1877 at 11 o'clock AM at my office

Nov 30th a D 1877 parties appeared Plaintiff filed amended complaint in writing duly verified defendant filed an answer duly verified

Plaintiff demanded trial by Jury

Whereupon it was agreed by the parties
in open court that the cause be tried by
a jury of 6 persons a venire was issued
and returned whereupon Wm Graft James
H Patrick Andrew Johnson Lewis Treiberg
Charles Jeyer and Wm Tupperman were
duly sworn as jurors to try said cause
Thomas Chase Thomas Campbell and
Joseph Muckle were then sworn and testified as
witness on the part of the plaintiff when
plaintiff rested her cause

August Annaman James Roe
Gottlieb Lahl Gust Barfknecht were then
sworn and testified on the part of the defense
and the defense then rested

After hearing the evidence and the
arguments of the counsel the cause was
submitted to the jury who retired to consider
the same under charge of an officer duly
sworn to take charge of them after duly
considering the same the said jury rendered their
verdict in open court in writing as follows

Watertown Min Nov 28th 1877 We the Jurors
find a verdict in favor of the plaintiffs in
the sum of sixty dollars James H Patrick Forwards

Wherefore I did immediately enter judgment
in favor of the plaintiffs and against the
defendant herein for the sum of sixty dollars
and costs of suit taxed as follows

Justice fees	\$ 4.00
Constable " (Plaintiff)	3.25
Witness " "	5.46
Jury " "	3.00
	16.36

Walter DeJohn
Dec 2nd AD 1877 Affidavit and Justice of the Peace
Notice official

State of Minnesota
County of Carson, } 10

I hereby certify that the foregoing is a true copy of my docket - and that the papers enclosed No from 1 to 11 are all of the papers filed with me in this case

Walter St John

Justice of the Peace

State of Minnesota
County of Carver.

Elizabeth Campbell
M
The Town of Bluffwood.

Given, April 5th AD 1880
At Krazenbuhl
John R.

W.B.

No. 1281 Land title

DISTRICT COURT,
CARVER COUNTY, MINN.

Levi H. Kipper
Plaintiff.

vs.
The Chicago Milwaukee &
St. Paul Railway Company
Defendant.

Samuel Fowler
Plaintiff's Attorney.

A. Smith
Defendant's Attorney.

Date of Entry April 5th 1880

Register of Actions "A" Page 186

Term Tried 1

Judgment for

Amount of Judgment \$

Date of Judgment 1

Judgment Book Page

Default Judgment Book Page

Date of Docketing 1

State of Minnesota District Court
County of Carver Eighth District

Line H. Griffin - Plaintiff

vs
August

The Chicago, Milwaukee
and St. Paul Railway Company, Defendant

The plaintiff in the above
entitled action for complaint against
the defendant therein respectfully states
and shows to the Court that the said
defendant now is and for five years
last past has been a corporation duly
created organized and existing un-
der the laws of the State of Wisconsin.

That said plaintiff now is and
for more than five years last past
has been the owner legal in fee
simple of the following described pieces
and parcels of land lying and
being in the County of Carver in
the State of Minnesota to wit, Blocks
(82, 84, 104, 108, 112, 113, 103, 104, 105, 90,
and 79). Eighty two, Eighty one, one hundred
and nine, one hundred and eight, one
hundred and twelve, one hundred and
thirteen, one hundred and three, one hundred
and five, one hundred and five, Ninety
and seventy nine, and Lots (1, 2, 4, 10, 12, 13,

15, 26, 27, 28, 29, 30 & 34) one, two, seven,
twelve, thirteen, fifteen, twenty six, twenty
seven, twenty eight, twenty nine, thirty two
and thirty four in Black (78) twenty
eight, Lats (40, 41, 44, 46, 47 & 49) forty,
forty one, forty four, forty six, forty seven
and forty nine in Black (66) eighty
six, Lats (1, 2, 4, 5, 8, 9, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15 & 16)
one, two, six, seven, eight, nine, ten, eleven
twelve, thirteen, fourteen, fifteen and
sixteen, in Black (80) eighty, all
of Black (106) one hundred and six
except Lats (8 & 10) eight and ten, Lats (10, 11
& 12) one, two, ten, eleven, and
twelve in Black (107) one hundred
and seven, - all of Black (111) one
hundred and eleven except Lat 2
two, ^{none} of Black (114) one hundred
and fourteen, except Lat (1 & 2) one and
two, Lats (1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21 & 22)
one, two, three, four, five, six, fifteen, sixteen,
seventeen, eighteen, nineteen, twenty, twenty one,
and twenty two, in Black (93) nine, ten
Lats (1, 2, 3, 10, 11 & 12) one, two, three, ten, eleven, and
twelve in Black (94) ninety four, all
of Black (91) ninety one, except Lats (3, 9 & 12)
three six and twelve, Black (110) one
hundred and ten, Lats (2, 10, 11) one, two

ten eleven and twelve in Black (101) One hundred and seven, Eight, (12, 45, 6, 7, 8) One, two, four, five, six, seven, ^{eight} and thirteen in Black (102) One hundred and two, All of Black (99) ninety nine except Sat, (5, 7, 9, 10, 11, 15 & 16) five, seven, nine, ten, eleven, fifteen and sixteen, and Sat, (12 3 7 10 11 13 14 20 & 22) One, two, three, seven, ten, eleven, thirteen, seventeen, twenty, and twenty two, in Black (98) ninety eight All in the vicinage and townships of Curran according to the recorded plat thereof in the office of the Register of Deeds of said Curran County.

That ^{all} of said above described pieces
and parcels of land are used
and occupied by said plaintiff
^{principally} for farming and agricultural purposes
except said Blocks (66, 80 & 81) ^{said} sixty
six, eighty and eighty one, and have
been so used by said plaintiff for
several years last past, that the use
of said pieces of land for the purposes
aforesaid has been of great profit
and value to said plaintiff to wit
of the value of dollars
Per Annum -

That for more than twenty years last
past until the same was obstructed

and closed up as herein after stated the
was a public road and highway
running through the said village of
Carron along former Street and
from said village to Macon in said
county called and known as the
Carron and Macon road. That
^{former} said street is and has been for twenty
years last past an established
street in and of said village
and town site of Carron, a portion
of which said street and highway
now runs between said
above described Block seventy
eight and sixty six. That the
railroad of the above named de-
fendant is laid out established
and operated over ^{the} same said
Block seventy eight and sixty six
and across said street and highway
about named and crosses said street
and highway in front of Lot (50) feet
in said Block (66) sixty six and
Lot (18) eighteen in said Block (78)
seventy eight. That when the railroad
of said defendant was built and con-
structed over and across said street at
the place aforesaid twice in the same

of the year 1872 the same was built across said street with timber leaving a sufficient space between the same to enable teams to pass under the tracks of said ^{rail} road and in no way to prevent and interrupt the free and free use and enjoyment of said street and highway by said plaintiff and the public generally.

That on or about the month of June 1878 the said defendant ^{wrongfully and} without any right or authority, without removal said timber which as aforesaid support the said railroad tracks over and across said street and fill the same in, ^{across said street} from the front line of said lot (50) fifty in said Block (46) Eighty Six to the front line of said lot (18) Eighteen in said Block (88) said Eight with an embankment of dirt and dirt to the height of forty feet above said street, and thereby by means of said embankment prevented any and all teams along said street under or across said said railroad tracks at the place aforesaid.

And the plaintiff further says that the only road ^{street} or track by which he can reach his said above described

land and ^{with a team} ~~prevents~~ is the steel and
highway ~~between~~, and that the
steel is ~~deficient~~ by the ~~direction~~
of steel embankment ~~his~~ pre-
vents and still prevents the
security from having the use
benefit and enjoyment of ^{his} steel
lands or any part thereof for the
purposes aforesaid and that he ~~reasons~~
thru his steel land and ~~prevents~~
is so as ~~affords~~ use by him
for agriculture and farming purposes
have become greatly reduced in
value and worth as to him for
any purpose whereby he has sus-
tained damage in the sum of one
thousand dollars.

And plaintiff further says
that steel ~~across~~ highway
between steel blocks six by six
and seven by eight ~~is~~ along
at the bottom of a deep ravine the
sides ~~are~~ banks of which are from
fifty to forty feet high the
whole length of steel ~~last~~
normal blocks. That on block
eighty and eighty one ~~herebefore~~
described the steel plaintiff has

judgment against the said defendant in the sum of fifteen hundred dollars to go with the costs and disbursements of this action

Howe

Plaintiff's Attorney

Charles Hutchinson

State of Minnesota

County of Carver

vs. Levi H. Griffin being first duly sworn says that he is the

Plaintiff in the foregoing entitled action, that he has heard read the

foregoing Complaint and knows the same to be true of his own knowledge except as to those matters which are therein stated on his information and belief and as to those matters he believes it to be true.

Subscribed and sworn to before me this 28th day of February A.D. 1880

Levi H. Griffin

Peter Michels
Justice of the peace

Levi H. Griffin
Carver County

Levi H. Griffin

The Chicago and
Northwestern
Railway Company
Complainant

Filed April 25th 1880
Hutchinson
Attorney

STATE OF MINNESOTA,

COUNTY OF CARVER.

District Court,

EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT.

SUMMONS.

Levi H. Griffin - Plaintiff

- against -

The Chicago Milwaukee and St. Paul Railway Company - Defendant

The State of Minnesota to the above named Defendant:

You are hereby summoned and required to answer the Complaint of the Plaintiff in the above entitled action, a copy of which is herewith served upon you, and to serve a copy of your answer to said Complaint on the subscriber, at his office in the Village of Chaska, in the County of Carver and State of Minnesota, within twenty days after the service of this Summons upon you, exclusive of the day of such service, and if you fail to answer the said Complaint within the time aforesaid, the Plaintiff in this action will have the amount he is entitled to recover ascertained by the Court or under its direction and take judgment against you for the amount so ascertained.

together with the costs and disbursements of this action.

Dated at Chaska,

A. D. 187

Plaintiff's Attorney.

Chaska Minn.

DISTRICT COURT.

COUNTY OF CARVER.

Levi H. Griffin
vs.

Chicago Milwaukee and
St. Paul Railway Company.

SUMMONS.

Filed, April 5th 1888,
Henderson
Chaska.

[Signature]

Plaintiff's Attorney.

Chaska, Minnesota.

Davison & Henderson, Print, Minneapolis.

= 1888 =

Filed

State of Minnesota
County of Carver District Court
Eight Judicial District

Levi H. Griffin

Against

The Chicago Milwaukee
and Saint Paul Railway
Company

The defendant for answer to the
complaint of the plaintiff in the above
entitled action denies each and
every allegation in the plaintiffs
said Complaint contained and
every part and portion thereof
which is not hereinafter expressly
admitted & specifically denied
or qualified.

The defendant admits that it
is a corporation and has been
for the length of time as stated
in the plaintiffs said Complaint.
The defendant has not sufficient
knowledge or information to form
a belief as to whether or not the
plaintiff owes all or any one of the
lots described in the plaintiffs
said Complaint and therefore
denies the same.

" 2

The defendant denies that there is a legal plat or a legally recorded plat of the town of Carver in the office of the Register of deeds in and for said Carver County.

The defendant says that it has not sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to whether or not the lots described in the plaintiff's complaint or any one of them were ever occupied principally or otherwise as agricultural premises and therefore denies the same and denies that the use of the property described in the plaintiff's complaint was worth or of the value of one hundred and fifty dollars or any other sum whatever.

" 3

The defendant admits that the Hastings and Dakota Railway Company built ^{its track} over a ravine at Carver with trestle work and that the defendant since that time has filled under said trestle work with earth and filled up said ravine but denies that there is or that

there has been for the last
~~two~~^{ten} years any street or highway
at the point where said filling
and embankment was made.
Defendant denies that the
plaintiff has been damaged by
any act of the defendant in the
sum of one thousand dollars
or any other sum whatever.

11 4 The defendant says that he
has not sufficient knowledge
or information to form a belief
as to whether or not the plaintiff
has a bed of clay or whether there
is any bed of clay on said land
or any portion thereof, which is
suitable for making brick or
otherwise and therefore denies
the same.

The defendant denies that the
plaintiff has been damaged by
any of its acts in any sum
whatever.

The defendant avers and says
that he has paid the plaintiff in full
for all damage the plaintiff
has sustained by reason of the
building and constructing

115
it road over or across any land
of the plaintiff and has got his
deed and receipt therefore and
has paid him for the right
to construct and operate its road
over and across any lands the
plaintiff ever owned in said
Carver County in the manner
as the same is now constructed
and operated

Wherefore the defendant demands
judgment for his cost and disburse-
ments in this action.

Smith & Hale

116
Depts. City's
Minneapolis
Minn.


State of Minnesota
Hennepin County } S. Smith came
before me personally and being duly
sworn says that he is one of the attorneys
for the defendant in the above entitled
action that he knows the contents of
the foregoing answer and that the same
is true to the best of his knowledge, infor-
-mation and belief and that why this
verification is not made by said
defendant is that it is a foreign

" 7

corporation and is absent from
the County of Hennepin Minnesota
where resides this affiant one
of their said attorneys,

Subscribed & sworn to)

this 17th day of March } S. Smith
A.D. 1888 Before me }

A circular notary seal with the initials "C. S. Smith" in the center, surrounded by a decorative border.

C. S. Smith
Notary Public
Hennepin Co Minn

District Court
Carver County

Levi H. Griffin
vs

The Chicago Milwaukee
& St Paul Railway
Company

Answer

Copy

Served March 18th/80

L

Sumner & Hale

Left Atty

Minneapolis
Main

Order of Court
Served March 18th/80
Atty General's Office

7001

State of Minnesota
County of Carver }

District Court
Eighth Judicial District

Levi H. Griffin

- against -

The Chicago Milwaukee
and Saint Paul Railway
Company -

The Plaintiff in
the above entitled action for reply to the
answer of ~~that~~ ~~of~~ the Defendant in said
action denies each and every allegation in
said answer contained except such of said
allegations as admit the statements heretofore
made by said Plaintiff in his complaint
in this action.

Howe
Plaintiffs Attorney

State of Minnesota
County of Carver }

St. Levi H. Griffin being first duly
sworn says that he is the Plaintiff in

the foregoing entitled action and that he has heard read the
foregoing reply and that the same is true of ~~the~~ his own knowledge
except as to the matters which are therein stated on his information
and belief and as to those matters he believes it to be true

Subscribed and sworn to before me this
20th day of March A.D. 1880

Levi H. Griffin
Frank Warner
Justice of the Peace

District Court
Carver County

Levi H. Griffin

vs.

The Chicago Milwaukee
& St. Paul Railway
Company

Reply

Service of a copy of the within
reply is hereby admitted this
26th day of March A.D. 1880

Samuel S. Hale

Deft's Atty

Filed April 6th A.D. 1880

Ameyenduskel

deft's

Howler

Plff's Atty

W. C. Munn

State of Minnesota,County of Carter**DISTRICT COURT,**Eighth

Judicial District.

Levi H. Griffin - Plaintiff

Against

The Chicago Milwaukee and St Paul
Railway Company - Defendant

Notice of Trial.

Sir: YOU WILL PLEASE TO TAKE NOTICE, that the issue of fact
 in the above entitled action, will be brought on for trial
 at the next General Term of the District Court aforesaid,
 appointed to be held in and for the County of Carter at the
 Court House in the Village of Chaska in said
 County, on the 5th day of April A.D. 1880 at the
 opening of said Court, on that day or as soon thereafter as Counsel can be heard.

Dated March 26th 1880

Yours Respectfully,

Attorney for

Plaintiff

To

Seagrave Smith Esq.

Attorney for

Defendant

DISTRICT COURT.

L. H. Griffin
vs

Chicago Milwaukee & St Paul
Railway Company.

NOTICE OF TRIAL.

Due service of within Notice is hereby
admitted, this *26th* day of

March

A. D. 1880

Smith & Hale

Attorney for

Defendant

Howe

Attorney for

Plaintiff

Pioneer Press.

Filed, April 5th A.D. 1884.

J. H. Krausmuhl
Notary

State of Minnesota.

County of Carver
District Court. Eighth Judicial District

Levi H. Griffin

against

The Chicago Milwaukee and
St Paul Railway Company

} Note of issue.

Last pleading served March 26th A.D. 1880

Attorney for Plaintiff S. Fowler

Attorney for Defendant Seagrave Smith.

State of Minnesota
District Court
County of Carver,

Levi H. Griffin
vs
The Chicago Milwaukee
and St. Paul Railway Company,

Notice of issue.

Filed March 24th 1880.
G. W. Graymire
Clerk.

= 113 =

State of Minnesota of
County of Cass & District Court
Eighth Judicial District
Leo H. Griffin Plff


Against
The Chicago Milwaukee
and Saint Paul Rail
way Company Defendant

Your petition to the Chicago
Milwaukee and Saint Paul
Railway Company the above
named defendant shows and
states that the above entitled action
was brought and is now pending
in the above named Court (which
is in the Eighth Judicial District
of the State of Minnesota); that
said suit is of a civil nature, for
law and that the matter in
dispute exceeds the sum of five
hundred dollars & the sum of costs
to wit; the sum of fifteen hundred
dollars; that the above named plaintiff
is and was at the time of the commencing
of this action a citizen and
resident of the State of Minnesota, in
the County of which state said action is
now pending; that said action has
now been tried and this is the

first term of said State Court which
has commenced since said action was
commenced and noticed for trial
that your petitioner is not now and
was not at the time of the commencement
of this action, a citizen or resident of
the State of Minnesota But was at the
time of the commencement of said
action, born since has been and
now is, a citizen of the State of Wisconsin
and that the controversy involved in
said suit is wholly between the
above named plaintiff and the
petitioner

Wherefore your petitioner prays that
said cause be removed from said
State Court where it is now pending
to the Circuit Court of the United
States next to be holden in and for
the District of Minnesota in accordance
with an act of Congress approved
March 3 1875

Chicago Milwaukee and
Saint Paul Railway Company
By Smith & Hale
Attorneys.



District Court
Barren County

Libo H Griffin
against

Chicago Milwaukee
& St Paul Rail
Way company

Petition for removal
of case to U.S.C.C.

Filed April 25th 1889,
Geo Graymiller
Clerk,
186-

Returns to J Smith
before June next.

State of Minnesota
County of Cass District Court
8th Judicial District
Levi S. Griffin vs

against
The Chicago Milwaukee
and Saint Paul Railway
Company Defendant

Know all men by these presents
that we The Chicago Milwaukee and
Saint Paul Railway Company
as principal and A. J. Anderson
of Minnesota Min as surety and bond
and jointly bound unto Levi S.
Griffin the above named plaintiff
in the sum of One hundred
dollars lawful money of the United
States to which payment we com-
mit to be made unto the said Levi
S. Griffin his heirs, executors, admin-
istrators and assigns; and jointly
and severally bind ourselves, heirs,
executors and administrators
jointly by these presents

Sealed with our seals and dated
this 5th day of April A.D. 1880

Now therefore the conditions of
the ~~above~~ obligation are such
that whereas the said defendant

has made and will file a petition
herein to remove the above entitled
cause to the Circuit Court of the
United States of America ^(Minnesota) District of ^{Minnesota} ~~Minnesota~~
Now if the said named defendant
shall on the first day of the
next general term of said Circuit
Court to be held up and for
said District appear and enter
a copy of the record in this suit
and pay all costs that may
be awarded by the said Circuit
Court if said Court shall hold
that said suit was wrongfully
or improperly removed thence
Then in that case this obligation
shall be null and void other-
wise to be and remain in full
force and effect

Executed in presence of The Chicago

{ Milwaukee & Saint Paul
Railway Company Seal
By J. Smith its attorney
of firm of Smith & Henry
A. J. Anthony Seal

State of Minnesota
County of Hennepin } Be it Reminded
that on this 5th day of April 1880
came before me The Chicago Mil

wabash and Saint Paul Rail
Way Company by J Smith its
Attorney and A T Ankney
personally & we will swear
to be the same persons who
executed the foregoing bond
and each severally acknowledged
the same to be his free act and
deed

Subscribed & sworn to before me
this 5th day of April 1880.

J M Hazen Notary Public Hennepin Co Minn

State of Minnesota
County of Hennepin J A T Ankney
upon oath doth say that he is
the surety above named that
he is a resident and freeholder
of and in the State of Minnesota
and worth the amount and sum
of One hundred dollars specified
in the foregoing bond above
his debts and liabilities and
exclusive of his property which
is exempt from execution

Subscribed & sworn to }
on this 5th day of April } A. T. Ankney
1880 Before me }

Subscribed & sworn to before me this 5th day of
April 1880. J M Hazen Notary Public Hennepin Co. Minn

Dist. Court

Canon County

Levi St. Griffin

against

The Chicago, Milwaukee
& St. Paul Railway
Company

Bond for removal
of case to U. S. C. C.

I hereby affirm of
the within bond
and of the sum of
thousand dollars

J. L. MacDonald
Judge of
Dist. Court

Filed April 25th 1880
Chas. Graymiller, Clerk,

1 State of Minnesota
2 County of Carver

District Court
8th Judicial District.

3
4
5 Levi H. Griffin

6 Against

7 The Chicago Milwaukee and
8 St. Paul Railway Company.

9
10
11 at General Term, April 22 1884.

12
13
14 On reading and filing the petition of the defendant
15 in the above entitled action, The Chicago Milwaukee and
16 St. Paul Railway Company for the removal of this action
17 to the Circuit Court of the United States for the District
18 of Minnesota, together with the security as prescribed by
19 the laws of the United States in that behalf, and on
20 motion of Seagrave Smith, Attorney for said petitioner.

21
22 It is ordered that the said action be by the
23 filing of the said petition and security, and it is hereby
24 declared to be removed to the said Circuit Court
25 of the United States for the District of Minnesota pursuant
26 to the laws of the United States in this behalf, and that
27 this Court do proceed no further in said action.

28
29 April 25 1884.

30 J. L. MacDonald
31 Judge of the Dist.
32

State of Minnesota
District Court
County of Leavenworth,

Levi W. Griffin.
vs

The Chicago Milwaukee And
St Paul Railway Company,

Under declaring the action
removed to United States
District Court,

Filed April 5th 1887
C. Krausmuhl
Clerk,

1887.

SUBPOENA.

STATE OF MINNESOTA, } ss.

FOR THE EIGHTH DISTRICT, CARVER COUNTY.

DISTRICT COURT.

To Charles Dan Walter, Charles Green, John Herkelvath,
Louis Biiche, Andrew M. Swanson, Frank Warner, Bruno Schubert,
Jeston Souther, Horatio Griffin

GREETING:

IN THE NAME OF THE STATE OF MINNESOTA, you are hereby commanded, that laying aside all and
singular your business and excuses, you be and appear before the Judge of the District Court for the Eighth
Judicial District and County of Carver, at the Court House in said County, on the 5th
day of April 1884 at 9 o'clock, in the after noon, then and there
to give evidence in a cause to be tried between

Levi H. Griffin Plaintiff
and Chicago Milwaukee and St Paul Railway Company

Defendant on the part of the Plaintiff

Hereof fail not, on pain of the penalty that will fall thereon.

WITNESS, The Honorable JOHN L. McDONALD, Judge of the District Court

aforesaid, at Chaska, in said County, this 5th

day of April in the year 1884

G. W. Krayenbuhl
Clerk.

Original.

SUBPOENA.

**DISTRICT COURT,
CARVER COUNTY.**

IN THE MATTER OF

Geni H. Griffin

*and The Chicago Milwaukee
Seaside Railway Company*

Subpoena on the part of

Plaintiff

STATE OF MINNESOTA, }
CARVER COUNTY. }

187

I have duly served the within by reading
the same to the within named

Not Served by Sheriff

as I am therein commanded.

Sheriff

FEES—Service	-	-	\$
Mileage	-	-	\$
Return	-	-	\$

Filed in *Seventh* Court, this
day of *April* 3, D. 1880
John H. [Signature] Clerk.
[Signature] Attorney.

Fanning Mill

Sold

No. 1782

DISTRICT COURT,
CARVER COUNTY, MINN.

Homer C. Hart, Henry L. Norton &
Herbert E. Kiehl, partners as
Hart Norton, & Co. Plaintiff.

vs.

Charles L. Valgren et al.
Defendant.

W. C. Odell

Plaintiff's Attorney.

Ernest Kainala

Defendant's Attorney.

Date of Entry April 6th, 1980

Register of Actions "A" Page 235

Term Tried 19

Judgment for Plaintiff

Amount of Judgment \$ 260.25

Date of Judgment May 27th, 1980

Judgment Book "A" Page 608

Default Judgment Book Page

Date of Docketing May 27th 1980

Judgment Book "A" page 310

STATE OF MINNESOTA,

District Court,

COUNTY OF CARVER.

EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT.

Forner C. Hart, Henry L. Norton and Herbert E. Keeler
Copartners as "Hart Norton & Company"
Charles G. Halgren ^{vs} and Charles Merriam. ^{Plaintiff.}
Copartners as "Halgren-Merriam" ^{Defendants.}

SUMMONS.

The State of Minnesota to the above named Defendant:

You _____ are hereby summoned and required to answer the Complaint of the Plaintiff in the above entitled action, a copy of which is herewith served upon you, and to serve a copy of your answer to said Complaint on the subscriber, at his office in the Village of Chaska, in the County of Carver and State of Minnesota, within twenty days after the service of this Summons upon you, exclusive of the day of such service, and if you fail to answer the said Complaint within the time aforesaid, the Plaintiff in this action will take judgment against you for the sum of Five hundred thirty four and 25/100 dollars, with interest on said sum since November 1st 1879.

together with the costs and disbursements of this action.

Dated at Chaska,

Feb 7. A. D. 1880.

W. C. Odell.

Plaintiff's Attorney.

State of Minnesota
 County of Carver I hereby Certify and
 Return that at the village of Chaska, in said
 County of Carver, Minnesota, on the 12th day of February,
 A.D. 1880, I served the within Summons and annexed
 Complaint on Charles Merriman, of the firm of Halgren
 & Merriman and one of the defendants herein
 named, by handing to and leaving with him
 personally a true copy of within Summons & annexed
 Complaint.
 Fees, Service Summons \$1.00
 Complaint 30
 2 miles trial 20
 1.70.
 F. E. Van Part, Sheriff
 Carver Co. Minn.

DISTRICT COURT.

COUNTY OF CARVER.

Horner C. Hart et al

Plaintiffs.

Charles C. Halgren et al.

Defendants.

SUMMONS.

Complainant

Filed April 20th 1880.

H. H. Hagenbach

W. C. Odell.

Plaintiff's Attorney.

Chaska, Minnesota.

Davison & Henderson, Print, Minneapolis.

— 355 —

State of Minnesota.

District Court
Eighth Judicial District
County of Carver.

Homer C. Hart, Henry L. Norton, and
Herbert E. Keeler, Copartners as
"Hart, Norton and Company"
Plaintiffs.

vs.
Charles G. Halgren and Lovell Merriman,
Copartners as "Halgren and Merriman."
Defendants.

The above named Plaintiffs complain
allege and aver:

That they said Plaintiffs during
the entire of the years 1878 and 1879, were
ever since have been and now are
Copartners, doing business under and
by the firm name and style of "Hart
Norton and Company."

That the above named Defendants
during the years aforesaid were, ever
since have been and now are Copartners,
doing business under and by the firm
name and style of "Halgren and Merriman."

That during the years 1878 and 1879,
they, said Plaintiffs as Copartners aforesaid,
sold and delivered to said Defendants
as Copartners, at the request of said
Defendants as such Copartners, fifty
five running mills; that thirty of said
mills were so sold and delivered during

1 the year 1878. and twenty five of said
2 mills were so sold and delivered on and
3 prior to the first day of November 1879;
4 that in consideration of the sale and
5 delivery of said mills to Defendants as
6 aforesaid. they said Defendants as Copartners
7 promised and agreed to pay to said Plaintiffs
8 as Copartners. as and for the purchase price
9 for said mills. upon the delivery of said
10 mills to said Defendants. the following prices
11 to wit: for the mills sold and delivered during
12 the year 1878. the sum of Seventeen Dollars
13 for each of said mills. and for the mills
14 sold and delivered in the year 1879. the
15 sum of thirteen and 50/100 Dollars. for
16 each of said mills. amounting in the
17 aggregate to the sum of Eight Hundred
18 forty seven and 50/100 Dollars.

19 Said Plaintiffs further aver that said
20 Defendants have paid to Plaintiffs on
21 account of the purchase price for
22 the mills sold and delivered to Defendants
23 as aforesaid. the following sums of money
24 to wit. Four hundred Seventy Eight and 20/100
25 Dollars. paid on the 26th day of December
26 1878. Eighty Dollars paid in the year 1879.
27 and Fifty five Dollars paid in the month
28 of January 1880. amounting in the
29 aggregate to the sum of Six hundred
30 thirteen and 20/100 Dollars. and no other
31 or greater sum has ever been paid
32 thereon: that there now is and ever

1 since the 1st day of November 1879. has
2 been due and owing from said Defend-
3 ants as copartners aforesaid, on account
4 of the purchase price for said mills, the
5 sum of Two Hundred thirty four and
6 $25/100$ dollars.

7 Therefore these Plaintiffs demand
8 judgment against said Defendants
9 for the sum of Two Hundred thirty four
10 and $25/100$ dollars, with interest thereon
11 since November 1st 1879, together with
12 costs of suit.

Dated Chaska Feby 1880

W. C. Odell.

Atty for Plffs.

16 State of Minnesota. } ss.
17 County of Carver. }

19 W. C. Odell being first
20 duly sworn says that he is attorney for
21 the Plaintiffs named in the foregoing Complaint.
22 that said Complaint is true to the best
23 of this affiant's knowledge information
24 and belief: that this verification is made
25 by this affiant and not by said Plaintiffs
26 for the reason that said Plaintiffs are absent
27 from the County of Carver where resides
28 this affiant.

Subscribed & Sworn to Before } W. C. Odell.
me this 11th day of February 1880 }

31 J. F. Dilley
32 Justice of the peace.

District Court,
8 Judicial Dist
County of Leavenworth.

Homer C. Hart et al.

vs

Charles G. Halgren
et al.

Complaint.

Filed April 6th A.D. 1880,
C. W. Raymond Clerk
John R.

235.

W. C. Odell

att. for Defts.

State of Minnesota
County of Carver

Dist. Court
Eight. Jud. Dist.

Wm. O. Hart and
Henry L. Norton.
Herbert E. Keeler
copartners as
Hart, Norton, & Co. Plffs. } answer
Chas. J. Halgren and
Coel. M. Meriman Defs. }

The defendants for answer
to the complaint of the plaintiffs
herein

1. Respectfully deny each and every
allegation, statement, matter, and thing
in said complaint contained; saved,
and except, such as are hereinafter
expressly admitted, or qualified;

2. Defendants expressly admit that
they are, co-partners and that
plaintiffs are co-partners as
in said complaint alleged.

3. The defendants expressly admit
that they are indebted to said
plaintiffs, for Fanning Mills,
had and received, of said
plaintiffs in the month of Nov^r
A.D. 1879, in the sum of

Two Hundred and Two ^{and} ^{no} Dollars
and interest thereon, from, and

Since the first day of November A.D.
1879, amounting to the sum of

Three and ⁵/₁₀₀ dollars, and not
otherwise, nor in any other or great-
er sum, which said sum, they now are,
and always have been, ready and willing
to pay said plaintiffs.

That heretofore, and on the first
day of March A.D. 1880 said defen-
dents at Chaska, in said County,
and State, tendered, and offered,
to pay said plaintiffs, in payment
of said indebtedness, the full sum
of Two hundred and six and ⁵/₁₀₀ dollars -
lawful money of the United States,
and all proper costs incurred by them
herein; which said money, so tendered,
and offered, in payment of said debt,
and costs, was by said plaintiffs
refused.

Wherefore: The defendants
demand judgment, that this action
be dismissed, and for their costs, and
disbursements herein.

Dated March 1st A.D. 1880

Ernest Hauiliu
Attorney for Defendants
Valerium Minn

State of Minnesota ss.
County of Carver }

Chas. J. Walgren

being duly sworn, says that he is one
of the Defendants, in the above entit-
-led action, that the foregoing
Answer is true of his own knowledge
except as to those matters therein stated
on information and belief, and as to
those matters, he believes it to be
true,

Subscribed and sworn to
before me this 1st day
of March AD 1880

Ernest Heinlin
Notary Public
Min

Charles G. Holgren

State of Minnesota
County of Carver

District Court
Eighth Judicial
District

Mark Norton & Co.

vs.

Halgren and Mettman

Debtors

Filed April 9th A.D. 1889
Chas. Krayenbuhl
Clerk

WSS -
11

Ernest Kainelin
attor for Defts
Kainelin

State of Minnesota,
County of Carver

Dist Court 8th District

Homer C. Hart, Henry L. Norton vs
Herbert E. Miller. Copartners as
Hart Norton and Company;

against

Charles S. Halgren and
Coello Merriam. Copartners as
"Halgren and Merriam".

Affidavit of Disbursements.

Plaintiff's Costs and Disbursements.

Statute Costs, - - - - - \$ 10.00

Disbursements.

3 Affidavits, - - - - - .75
Sheriff's Fees, - - - - - 1.70
Clerk's Fees to be added, - - - - - 4.95
Total \$ 16.50

It is hereby stipulated by and between the parties to the above entitled action, by their respective attorneys, that the Plaintiffs costs and disbursements herein may be taxed as per the foregoing bill, without notice, and entered in the judgment.

Amount Claimed in Summons.

May 26th 1880.

Principal, - - - - -

Interest, - - - - -

W. C. Odell
atly for Plffs.

\$ Ernest Merriam
atly for Defs.

STATE OF MINNESOTA,

County of

ss.

came personally before me, and having been first duly sworn, he doth depose and say that he is the Attorney of said Plaintiff in the above entitled cause; that the above bill and items of Costs and Disbursements therein are just and correct, and have been necessarily incurred therein.

Subscribed and Sworn to before me,

On this day of 187

State of Minnesota,
County of _____

Court _____

against _____

Affidavit of No Answer.

STATE OF MINNESOTA,
County of _____ ss.

_____ came personally before me, and having
been first duly sworn, he doth depose and say that he is _____ the Attorney for
the Plaintiff in the above entitled action:

_____ and that no answer or demurrer, or
copy of either, has been received by the Plaintiff's Attorney in this cause, and prays
judgment according to law.

Subscribed and Sworn to before me,
On this _____ day of _____ 187 _____

Dick County of Goodrich
Carver County.

Frank Norton v. Leo.

against
Halgren & Merriam

-Affidavit of No Answer, &c.

Filed the _____ day of _____

A. D. 187

Clerk.

W. L. Cull.
Attorney for Plff.

Pioneer-Press Co., St. Paul, Minn.

State of Minnesota
County of Carver.

District Court
8th Judicial District.

Horner C. Hart, Henry L. Norton
and Herbert G. Keeler Copartners as
Hart Norton and Company
Against
Charles G. Halgren and
Ouello Merriman Copartners as
Halgren and Merriman.

The above entitled action having been brought on for trial at the General Term of Said Court on the 9th day of April A.D. 1880, a jury trial having been waived by the parties, the same was tried by the Court, and the said Court after the hearing of the evidence and allegations of parties and due consideration of the same on the 10th day of April aforesaid rendered judgment in favor of the plaintiffs and against the defendants for the amount claimed in the Complaint therein.

Wherefore on motion of
W. C. Odell Attorney for plaintiffs, it is hereby adjudged
and determined and the judgment of this Court is
that said plaintiffs recover of said defendants, the

Sum of Two hundred thirty four dollars and twenty
five cents with nine dollars and fifty cents interest, as
claimed by said Complaint, together with the Sum of
fifteen ^{and} 50/100 dollars, costs and disbursements this day
stated in said action, as per stipulations on file, the
whole amounting to the Sum of Two hundred
sixty dollars and twenty five cents, and that said
plaintiffs have their lawful process therefor.

Judgment ^{Principal} & interest \$ 243.75
costs and disbursements, 16.50
Total \$ 260.25

Dated May 24th A.D. 1884.

By the Court:

G. W. Krayenbuhl

Clerk.

State of Minnesota
District Court
County of Carver.

James G. Hart, Henry C. Horton
and Herbert G. Keeler, copartners as
Hart Horton and Company

vs
Charles G. Halgren and
Ouelto Merriam copartners as
Halgren and Merriam.

Judgment Roll.

Judgment	\$234.25
Interest	" 9.50
Costs	" 16.50

Total \$260.25

Filed May 27th 1880.
C. Kraenzle Clerk.

= 235, =

W. C. Odell atty for Plaintiff