



Minnesota District Court (Carver County)
Civil and criminal case files

Copyright Notice:

This material may be protected by copyright law (U.S. Code, Title 17). Researchers are liable for any infringement. For more information, visit www.mnhs.org/copyright.

No. 1784

Promissory
Note

DISTRICT COURT,
CARVER COUNTY, MINN.

Mary Hinde

Plaintiff.

vs.

Andrew P. Long

Defendant.

Mary Hinde

Plaintiff's Attorney.

Defendant's Attorney.

Date of Entry *April 8th, 1880*

Register of Actions *"A"* Page *75*

Term Tried *19*

Judgment for *Plaintiff*

Amount of Judgment \$ *158.16*

Date of Judgment *April 8th, 1880*

Minute Record "A" Page *596*

Default Judgment Book Page

Date of Docketing *April 8th 1880*

Judgment Record "A" page - 306 -

Carter County.

Henry Hinds
against

Summons on Contract for Payment of Money.

THE STATE OF MINNESOTA,

To the above named Defendant:

You are hereby summoned and required to answer the Complaint in this action, a copy of which is herewith served upon you, and to serve a copy of your Answer to said Complaint on the subscriber at his office in *Shakopee* in the said County of *Scott* within twenty days after the service of this Summons upon you, exclusive of the day of such service; and if you fail to answer the said Complaint within the time aforesaid, the Plaintiff in this action will take judgment against you for the sum of *one hundred thirty five* Dollars, *and interest on \$67.50 at 7 per cent from Oct 1st 1878* and interest ~~thereon~~ from the *first* day of *February*, A. D. 1879, besides the costs of this action.

Dated

February 6

, A. D. 1880

Henry Hinds

Plaintiff's Attorney, Shakopee, Minn.

State of Minnesota }
County of Carter } I hereby certify and return

that in the 3rd day of March
A.D. 1880 at the Township of

San Francisco in said county and state aforesaid I
served
the with summon and the annexed complaint upon
the within named defendant ^{Andreas P. Lang} by leaving a correct ^{and}
true copy thereof with Mrs Christina Lang Mother of
said defendant being a person of suitable age and
discretion at his usual place of abode.

Fees service of summon 1.00
" " of complaint 50

J. E. DuToit Sheriff of Carter Co
by Mathias Logelin Deputy

Making copies of summon 3 .60
and Complaint 3 1.00
16 miles travel
\$ 3.70

District Court Carver County

Henry Heids

vs

Andrew P Long

The plaintiff
in the above entitled action for
complaint against the defendant
thence says that at St. Cloud Minn
on 15th day of September 1877
the defendant for value received
made executed and delivered to the
plaintiff his promissory note
bearing that day, whereby
for value received he promised
to pay to the plaintiff one
hundred thirty five dollars
payable October 1st 1878 sixty
seven dollars and fifty cents,
and February 1st 1879, sixty seven
dollars and fifty cents.

The plaintiff is now the
owner and holder of said note
and no part thereof has ever
been paid.

Therefore the plaintiff
demands judgment against
the defendant for the

sum of one hundred thirty five
dollars with interest on \$67.50
at 7 per cent since Oct 1 1878 and
on \$67.50 at same rate since
July 1 1879 and the costs of
this action

Henry Hinds
atty Peff
Shokope

State of Minnesota } ss
County of Carver }

I hereby certify
and return that on the 3rd day
of March A.D. 1880 at the Township
San Francisco in said County and
State aforesaid I served the within
Summons and the annexed

Henry Hinds

Andrew P Long

Simmons & Consett

Filed April 30th 1880
Chas. H. H. H. H.
Blair

State of Minnesota, } District Court 8 District
COUNTY OF Carver

Henry Hinds Plaintiff

Against

Andrew P Long Defendant

Affidavit of Disbursements.

Plaintiff's Costs and Disbursements.

Statute Costs,	\$ 5.00
<u>2</u> Affidavits,	.50
Sheriff's Fees,	3.70
Clerk's Fees to be added,	3.10

Total Costs \$ 12.30

Amount claimed in Summons.

Principal,	135.00
Interest,	10.86
	<u>\$145.86</u>

STATE OF MINNESOTA, }
County of Scott } ss.

Henry Hinds

came personally before me, and having been first duly sworn, he do h depose and say, that he is ~~the Attorney~~ of said Plaintiff in the above entitled cause; that the above bill and items of Costs and Disbursements herein are just and correct, and have been necessarily incurred therein.

Subscribed and Sworn to before me,
On this 6 day of April A. D. 1880

Henry Hinds

A. O. Iowa

Notary Public, Minn.

Justus Rice

158.16

State of Minnesota, } Dist Court 8 District
County of Carver }

Henry Hendricks Plaintiff

Against.

Andrew P Long Defendant

Affidavit of No Answer.

State of Minnesota, } ss. Henry Hendricks
COUNTY OF Scott }

came personally before me, and having been first duly sworn, he doth depose and say, that he is the Attorney for the Plaintiff in the above entitled action: that proof of the personal service of the Summons therein has been filed with the Clerk of said Court: that more than twenty days have elapsed since the personal service of said Summons, and that no answer or demurrer, or copy of either, has been received by the Plaintiff's Attorneys in this cause, and prays judgment according to law.

Subscribed and Sworn to before me,
On this 8 day of April A.D. 1880

Henry Hendricks

H. P. Dowd

Notary Public, Minn

Justin Pease

Dist Court
Carver COUNTY.

Henry Hendricks

AGAINST.

Andrew P Long

Affidavit of No Answer, &c.

Filed April 10th 1880.
Subscribed and sworn to
Henry Hendricks

Henry Hendricks

Attorney for P. J.

Ramaley, Chaney & Co., Printers.

State of Minnesota
District Court 8th District
County of Carver.

Henry Hinds
against
Andrew S. Long. Judgment.

The summons in the above entitled action having been duly served upon the defendant, and the complaint filed with the clerk of said court, and it appearing by the affidavit of plaintiff, on file, that more than twenty days have elapsed since the service of said summons, and that no answer or demurrer, or copy of either, has been received by the plaintiff's attorney.

Now therefore on motion of Henry Hinds attorney for himself, it is hereby adjudged and determined, and the judgment of this court is that the plaintiff recover of said defendant the sum of one hundred and forty five dollars and eighty six cents, principal and interest as claimed by said summons and complaint, together with the sum of twelve dollars and thirty cents, costs and disbursements taxed in said action, the whole amounting to the sum of one hundred fifty eight dollars and sixteen cents, and that said plaintiff have this lawful process therefor.

Judgment \$ 145.86
Costs & disbursements 12.30

Total \$ 158.16

Dated April 8th A.D. 1881.

By the Court:

G. Krayenbuhl
Clerk.

State of Minnesota
District Court
County of Carver,

Henry Hinds
vs
Andrew P. Lang,

Judgment Roll.

Judgment \$145.86
Costs " 12.34
Total \$158.16

Filed, April 8th A.D. 1881,
G. A. Krausentuhl
Clerk.

45-

Henry Hinds atty for himself
Shakopee, Minn

No.

1285 SOLD

DISTRICT COURT,
CARVER COUNTY, MINN.

Phillip Henk

Plaintiff.

vs.

Charles Blattstoser

Defendant.

Baxter & Sargent

Plaintiff's Attorney.

Defendant's Attorney.

Date of Entry April 25th, 1880

Register of Actions Page 399

Term Tried 1

Judgment for Plaintiff

Amount of Judgment \$ 255.40

Date of Judgment May 21st 1880

Minute Record of Judgment Book Page 203

Default Judgment Book Page

Date of Docketing May 21st 1880

Judgment Record A page 122 -

State of Minnesota
District Court Eighth Judicial District
County of Carver

Philip Henck }
 } against
Charles Bloctsten }

The plaintiff in the above entitled
Action for Complaint therein alleges
I That ~~between~~ the 9th day of August 1869
and the 20th day of October of the same year
the said plaintiff sold and delivered to the
said defendant at the defendants request
the goods wares and merchandise specified
and and mentioned in the schedule hereto an-
nexed for the agreed and stipulated price
of thirty two and 4/100 dollars

II That when the said 9th day of September 1869
and the said 20th day of that year the said plaintiff
at the special instance and request of said
defendant paid said out and expended
for the said defendant and for his use and
benefit the sum of two hundred and fifty dollars

III That between the 6th day of September 1869 and
the 1st day of October of that year the said plaintiff
^{has and} performed the work labor and services mentioned and
described in the schedule hereto annexed for said
defendant at the defendants request for the agreed
and stipulated price and sum of three 25/100 dollars

IV That the said defendant promised and agreed
to pay to the said plaintiff interest on the said
several sums above specified at the rate of ten
percent per annum from and after the 1st
day of October 1869 until the same should be
paid in consideration of said work and labor goods

wares and merchandise and money paid out

That the defendant has not paid the said several sums above specified or any part thereof except the sum of seventy five dollars which said sum the defendant paid October 1st 1869

Wherefore the plaintiff demands judgment for the sum of two hundred and eighty five and ⁹⁵/₁₀₀ dollars with interest thereon from and since the 1st day of October 1869 ^{at the rate of ten per cent per annum} less the sum of seventy five dollars with interest thereon from the 1st day of February 1870 at the rate of ten per cent per annum - besides the costs of this action

Baxter Sargent

State of Minnesota

Plaintiff's Attorney

County of Carver. Philip Henk being duly sworn on oath says that he is plaintiff in the above entitled action; that he has read the foregoing complaint and knows the contents thereof and that the same is true of his own knowledge except as to matters therein stated upon his information and belief and that as to those matters he believes it to be true Subscribed and sworn to before me this 8th day of April 1870

Philip Henk

Wm. H. H. H.
Clerk of Court,
Carver County.

Schedule referred to in the within complaint

Charles Blecktor

To Phillip Hunt

Dr

1869

Aug 10th

To One Auger \$.75

" Repairing Spring .75

" Screws .15

Sept 6th

" Sheet Iron .40

" " " 2 pair Shop Hooks .60

" " " 4 new Horse shoes 2.00

" " " Repairing Iron road .75

" 9 " One file .90

" " " Wrench 1.25

" " " 24 lb Chain 3.60

" " " 4 Chain hooks 1.50

Oct 10th " 2 Cement Rivets 5.00

" 19 " 2 4 Thrushy Machine teeth 4.80

" 13 " One Wheate Line 3.50

" " " 4 Safety Knuckles 10.00

\$ 35.95 \$ 35.95

" " To Cash Paid and

paid out for ~~dependent~~ for

One Woodbury Horse Power to

Thrushy Machine \$ 195.00

Side Gear to Same 30

Freight on Same 25

\$ 250.00 250.00

Total

\$ 285.95

75.00

\$ 210.95

District Court
Carver County

Philip Hunt
vs

Charles Bluetson

Complaint & Bill of particulars

State of Minnesota
County of Carver
County of Carver District

John Coffey,

Filed, April 8th A.D. 1870,
Subscribed
at Carver
County

- 399 -

James & Sargent
Plaintiff's Attorneys

State of Minnesota
District Court Eighth Judicial District
County of Carver

Philip Henk }
vs }
Charles Blattstam }
Bill of Costs

Statute costs	\$10.00
Affidavits - 3 -	90
Sheriff's fees	475
Clerk's fees &c	4025
	= Total \$19.90

State of Minnesota
County of Carver L. D. Dwyer
being duly sworn on oath says
that he is one of the plaintiffs
attorneys in the above entitled
action; that the above bill of costs
is just and correct; that the items
therein specified have been necessarily
paid or accrued by said plaintiff
in the above entitled action.

Subscribed and
sworn to before } L. D. Dwyer
this 21st day of }
August 1890 }
Judge of said Court

District Court
Carroll County

Shillif Hunt
Agent
Charles Blattstein
" "
Bill of Costs

Filed, May 2nd 1870
W. H. Hagenbush
Clerk

Pay to Sargent
Reffs atty

District Court
Carroll County

Phillip Henck
agent
Charles Blatterson
Affidavit of no
Census

Filed, May 21st 1890,
G. H. Dayenbaugh
Clerk

Deputy & Sergeant
Repps Atty

State of Minnesota
District Court 8th
Judicial District
County of Carver

Phillip Hensh
against
Charles Blatterer

Copy of Judgment.

The Complaint in the above
entitled action having been duly filed and the Summons
personally served upon the Defendant therein, on the
28th day of April, A.D. 1890. and it appearing by the
Affidavit of E. L. Baxter, one of the Attorneys for Plaintiff,
on file, that no Answer in said action or demurrer
has been served upon or received by the said Plaintiff
or his Attorneys, and that said Defendant has not
in any manner appeared in said action.

Now therefore, on Motion of Baxter
& Hargent Attorneys for the Plaintiff, it is adjudged
and determined, that said Plaintiff do recover of said
Defendant, the sum of Two hundred and thirty five
dollars and fifty cents Judgment, as claimed by
said Summons and Complaint with the additional
sum of Nineteen dollars and ninety cents costs and
disbursements taxed in this action the whole amounting
to the sum of Two hundred and fifty five dollars and forty cents.

And that said Plaintiff have Execution therefor.

Judgment Claimed	\$ 285.45
Interest from October 1 st 1869, at 10 P ^{er} cent	26.85
	\$ 312.80

<u>U^{pp}:</u> Cash paid July 1 st 1870	\$ 45.00
Interest at 10 P ^{er} cent from July 1 st	2.30
	47.30
	\$ 235.50

<u>Costs.</u>	Statute Costs	\$ 10.00
	3 Affidavits	" .90
	Sherriff's fees	" 4.75
	Chancellor's fees	" 4.25
		19.90
	Total	\$ 255.40

Dated this 21st day of
May A.D. 1870.

By the Court.

Georgienbuhl
Clerk

County of *Carr* } *Eighth*

Judicial District.

Phillip Hunk
vs
Charles Blattner

SUMMONS.

The State of Minnesota,

To the above named Defendant.

You are hereby summoned and required to answer the Complaint in the above entitled action, which has been filed in the office of the Clerk of this Court, at *Chaska* in said County, and to serve a copy of your answer to the said complaint on the Subscribers at *their* office, in *Chaska* in the County of *Carr* and State aforesaid, within twenty days after the service of this summons on you, exclusive of the day of such service; and if you fail to answer the said Complaint within the time aforesaid, the Plaintiff will take judgment against you for the sum of two hundred ~~dollars~~ and eighty five and 45/100 dollars with interest thereon at the rate of ten per cent per annum since the 1st day of October 1874 less the sum of seventy five dollars with interest thereon at ten per cent per annum since February 1st 1870 less said the costs of this action.

Dated *Chaska* April 9th A. D. 1880

Plaintiff's Attorney, *Chaska*

Baxter & Sargent
Minn.

Corrected 28 April

STATE OF MINNESOTA,

County of Carver }

DISTRICT COURT,

Cyphert Judicial District.

Whitcher Henry

vs

Charles Blaksten

S U M M O N S.

State of Minnesota
County of Carver

Charles Blaksten

vs

Whitcher Henry

Plaintiff & Attorney

Printed and for Sale at the Printer's Office, St. Paul.

State of Minnesota
County of Carver

I hereby certify and declare that
I have served the within summons
upon the within named Charles
Blaksten by leaving a true
copy thereof with him in Carver
County on the 28th day of April
1874

per \$4/8

H. Hicklin
Shuff of Carver Co.

State of Minnesota
District Court 1st District
County of Carver.

Phillip Hunt
against
Charles Plattester.

Judgment Rolls

State of Minnesota
Carver County 1st District Court,
1st Judicial District, Clerk's Office.

Filed May 25th A.D. 1848.
Attest
Clerk

= 399 =

Baxter & Sargent Attys for
Plaintiff, Charles. Minn

Promissory
Note

No. 1286

DISTRICT COURT,
CARVER COUNTY, MINN.

Seimfelder & Faber
Plaintiffs

vs.

John H. Wurm
Defendant.

W. C. Rell
Plaintiff's Attorney.

Defendant's Attorney.

Date of Entry *April 12* 18*80*
Court *"A"* Page *95*
Register of Actions

Term Tried 19

Judgment for

Amount of Judgment \$

Date of Judgment 19

Judgment Book Page

Default Judgment Book Page

Date of Docketing 19

State of Minnesota,
County of Carver } District Court 8th Judicial District
Florian Linifiler & George Faber
Coparties as Linifiler ~~Plaintiff~~
John. H. Murn. }
AGAINST
Defendant
AFFIDAVIT FOR ATTACHMENT.

State of Minnesota,
County of Carver } ss.

George Faber came
before me personally, and being first duly sworn doth say, that he is one of
the said Plaintiff in the above entitled action which
is brought for the recovery of money, and a summons has been issued therein.
That a cause of action exists against the Defendant, and in favor of said Plaintiff
therein, and the amount of said Plaintiff's claim therein is One Hundred
Ninety one and 9/100 Dollars, and the ground
thereof is as follows, that is to say: That on the day
of 1879. said Defendant made and delivered to said Plaintiff his
certain promissory note in writing
dated on that day and thereby for a
good and sufficient consideration promised
to pay to the order of said Plaintiff in
six months from the date hereof. One
hundred Ninety six and 17/100 Dollars and
interest thereon at the rate of 12 per cent per annum
And that no part of which said sum has ever
been paid. and that
said Defendant is about to assign and
dispose of his property with intent to
delay his creditors and especially said
Plaintiff.

And said affiant doth depose and say, that said Plaintiff is in danger of losing his

said claim by reason of the facts aforesaid, unless a Writ of Attachment issue; and prays that such Writ of Attachment may be allowed and issued against the property of said Defendant therein, according to the Statute in such case provided; and said affiant says that no previous application has been made therein for such order, and further saith not.

Subscribed and Sworn to before me,

On this

12th

day of

April

A.D. 1880

Lucius J. Gorman

J. F. Dilly

Justice of the Peace

State of New York

County of Warren

Glenn L. Lucey
et al

AGAINST

John H. Weir

Affidavit for Attachment.

To the Clerk of said Court:

On filing the within affidavit and a bond approved by me in the within entitled cause, let a Writ of Attachment issue as within prayed.

Dated April 12th 1880

J. L. McDermid
Judge of said Court.

Filed April 12th A.D. 1880

Anthony J. Gorman
Clerk of said Court.

W. C. Ouellet
Plaintiff Attorney.

ST. PAUL PRESS

Chaska

65

State of Minnesota,

DISTRICT COURT,

County of Carver

Eighth Judicial District.

Florian Lueufelser & George Faber
 Copartners as Lueufelser & Faber
 vs
 Plaintiffs

Bond in Attachment.

John H. Munn.

Defendant

Know all Men by these Presents, That Lueufelser & Faber
 as Principals and Michael Hammer
 and George A. Duvoit.

are held and firmly bound unto

John H. Munn.

defendant in the above entitled action, in the sum of Two Hundred and fifty
 Dollars, lawful money of the United States, to be paid unto the said

John H. Munn his

heirs, executors, administrators or assigns, for which payment well and truly to be made,
 we jointly and severally bind ourselves, our heirs, executors and administrators, firmly by
 these presents.

Sealed with our seals and dated this 12th day of April
 A. D. 1880

The Condition of this obligation is such that, whereas, the above named plaintiff ha
 applied to the proper officer for a writ of attachment against the property of said

John H. Munn

defendant in said action, and has filed an affidavit pursuant to the statute in such case
 provided. Now therefore, if the said plaintiff shall pay all costs that may be awarded to
 said defendant (if he recover judgment in said action,) and all damages which he may
 sustain by reason of the attachment, not exceeding the sum of Two Hundred
 and fifty dollars, then this obligation shall be void,
 otherwise of force.

IN TESTIMONY WHEREOF, We have hereunto set our hands and seals on this
 12th day of April A. D. 1880

Signed, Sealed and Delivered in presence of

H. C. Odell.

J. F. Dilly

Lueufelser & Faber [SEAL.]

Michael Hammer [SEAL.]

Geo. A. Duvoit [SEAL.]

State of Minnesota,

County of Carver

Be it Known, That on this

12th day of April A. D. 1880, came before me personally George Faber
 one of the firms of Lueufelser & Faber and

to me well known to be the same persons who executed the foregoing bond, and each severally
 acknowledged the same to be his own free act and deed.

J. F. Dilly
 Justice of the Peace

State of Minnesota,

County of

Carver

ss.

George A. Dubois

Michael Hammer

upon oath doth say, each for himself, that he is one of the sureties above named; that he is a resident and freeholder of and in the State of Minnesota, and worth the amount of Two Hundred Fifty Dollars specified in the foregoing bond, above his debts and liabilities, and exclusive of his property which is exempt from execution.

Subscribed and Sworn to before me,

On this

12th day of April A.D. 1880

Michael Hammer

J. F. Dille

Geo. A. Dubois

Justices of the Peace

DISTRICT COURT,

County of

Carver

Florian Lucien

vs

John F. Warren.

BOND IN ATTACHMENT.

I hereby approve the within Bond and the sureties thereon.

Dated April 12th A.D. 1880

J. L. MacDowell
Judge of District

W. B. DeLoe

Plaintiff, Attorney.

ST. PAUL PRESS PRINT

Filed April 14th at 10:40 AM,

Extragenital (Chloroform)

95

1 State of Minnesota.
2 District Court.
3 Eighth Judicial District
4 County of Carver.
5 Florian Lueufelser and
6 George Faber. Copartners as
7 Lueufelser and Faber.
8 Plaintiffs.

9 vs.
10 John H. Murru.
11 Defendant.

12 The above named Plaintiffs complain
13 allege and aver.

14 First. That they said Plaintiffs are and
15 for more than five years last past have
16 been copartners doing business under
17 and by the firm name and style of
18 Lueufelser and Faber.

19 Second. That heretofore to wit on the 26th of
20 day of December 1878 the above named
21 Defendant made and delivered to said
22 Plaintiffs his certain promissory note
23 in writing dated on that day and thereby
24 for a good and sufficient consideration
25 promised to pay to the order of said
26 Plaintiffs as copartners in six months
27 after the date thereof One hundred
28 seventy six and 17/100 dollars together
29 with interest on said sum at the
30 rate of twelve per cent per annum
31 from the date thereof until paid; that
32 Plaintiffs are the owners and holders of

1 said note: that no part of the amount
2 due thereon has ever been paid except
3 the sum of Eleven and 53/100 Dollars
4 paid thereon on the 71st day of February
5 1880. and there is now due and owing
6 thereon from Defendant the sum of
7 One hundred ninety one and 91/100 Dollars.

8 Wherefore said Plaintiffs demand
9 judgment against said Defendant for
10 the sum of One hundred ninety one
11 and 91/100 Dollars together with costs of
12 suit.

Dated Chaska Apr 12th 1880

W. C. Odell

Atty for Plffs.

14
15
16
17 State of Minnesota } S.S.
18 County of Carver. }

19 George Taber being first duly
20 sworn says that he is one of the Plaintiffs
21 named in the foregoing Complaint;
22 that he has read said Complaint read,
23 and knows the contents thereof. and
24 that the same is true. Lemmy Ziebold

Subscribed and sworn to
Before me this 12th day of
April 1880.

Chas. Gayenbuhl

Notary Public

Chas. Gayenbuhl

District Court
8th Judicial District
County of Larimer.

Flora L. Linsell
Et al.

Plaintiffs.

v.

John H. Wynn.

Defendants.

Compt

Filed, April 13th AD 1884,
C. H. Wrayenbuhl Clerk.

Sent April 14. 1884.

H. C. Odell

Atty for Plffs.

No. 1287

Land
Title

DISTRICT COURT,
CARVER COUNTY, MINN.

Thomas Ottum
Plaintiff.

vs.

Frederick Pappalar
Defendant.

Henry Hinds
Plaintiff's Attorney.

Defendant's Attorney.

Date of Entry.....19.....
Comp
Register of Actions.....*A*.....Page *257*
Term Tried.....19.....
Judgment for.....
Amount of Judgment \$ *36.78*
Date of Judgment *Apr 14th* 188*8*
Judgment Book.....Page.....
Default Judgment Book.....Page.....
Date of Docketing.....19.....

STATE OF MINNESOTA,
DISTRICT COURT—EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT.
COUNTY OF *Carver*

Tobias Ottinger

Against

~~Summons~~.—For Relief.

Fredrick Poppler

THE STATE OF MINNESOTA, TO THE ABOVE NAMED DEFENDANT:

YOU ARE HEREBY SUMMONED and required to answer the Complaint in this action,
a copy of which is herewith served on you,
and to serve a copy of your Answer to the said Complaint on the Subscriber, at his office
in *Shakopee in Scott County, Minnesota*
within Twenty days after the service hereof, exclusive of the day of such service; and if you
fail to answer the said Complaint within the time aforesaid, the Plaintiff in this action will
apply to the Court for the relief demanded in the complaint.

Dated *Feb 23* 1880

Henry Hinds

Plaintiff's Attorney.

Shakopee Minn

State of Minnesota 2 ss
County of Carver. 3 —

I hereby Certify Ed Nelson
that at the village of Chaska in said County of
Carver, Minn. on the 28th day of March A.D.
1880, I served the within Summons and annexed
Complaint on the within named defendant
Frederick Pappas by handing to and leaving
with him personally a true and correct
Copy of said Summons & Complaint.

Fees, Service Summons \$1.00
" " Complaint 1.00
2 Miles Travel 20
Copy Summons & Complaint 240
J. E. Foley \$4.60

J. E. Du Fort Sheriff
Carver Co. Minnesota

1
District Court County of Carver
8th District

Wendolph
Tobias Ottinger

vs

Frederick Poppler

2
The plaintiff in the
above entitled action for complaint
against the defendant therein
says that the plaintiff is the
owner and seized in fee of the
South half of the South East quarter
of Section 22 Township 116 of Range
24 situated lying and being in
said county and that he has been
such owner and so seized thereof
for more than twenty five years
last past; that the defendant
is the owner of the north half
of said quarter section; that
prior to the time when the defendant
became the owner thereof, and about
twenty years ago, the defendant's
grantor who was then the owner
of said north half of said quarter
section, and the plaintiff agreed
on and located and established the

3

✓ boundary line between their said premises and divided the fencing on said line between them, by assigning ~~line~~ to the defendant's grantor the east quarter of said line fence, to the plaintiff the second quarter thereof from the east end, to the defendant the third quarter thereof from the east end, and to the plaintiff the west quarter thereof and each of them built each his share of fence on said line as thus located and divided, and maintained the same for about twenty years and until the year 1897 when the defendant forceable and wrongfully broke and entered the plaintiffs said premises and took and removed his ^{defendant's} share of said line fence over and upon the plaintiffs said premises and erected the same thereon, and took possession of those portions of said plaintiffs said premises described ^{boundary} as follows:

Commencing at a point on the east section line of said section at the east end of the line between

7 the plaintiffs & defendants said
premises, thence running west on
the line between said premises
located & established by the
plaintiff & defendants grantor
& so fenced by defendants grantor,
forty rods, thence south seven
links, thence east forty rods
to the place of beginning; also
beginning at a point on said division
line so established located & fenced,
eighty rods west of the first point
of beginning aforesaid, thence west
on said division line so established
located & fenced, forty rods, thence
south sixteen links, thence forty
rods eastward to a point thirteen
links south of said division line,
thence north thirteen links to
said second beginning point. The
defendant now is wrongfully &
without right, in possession of
the said premises described as
aforesaid by metes & bounds,
courses & distances, & wrongfully
unlawfully & forceably withhold
possession thereof from the
plaintiff, & has so been in

the wrongfully, & unlawful possession thereof for three years last past, to the plaintiffs damage in the sum of one hundred dollars.

10 The plaintiff further says that in the spring of 1877 the defendant erected a fence on the south line of said premises described as aforesaid by courses & distances, upon the plaintiff premises aforesaid & in June 1877 the plaintiff notified & requested him to remove the same & he has neglected & refused to do so.

11 In the year 1878 the ~~defendant~~ plaintiff caused the plaintiff ~~cause~~ a survey of the line between said halves of said quarter section to be made & marked upon the ground by posts & stakes driven therein, & the defendant removed said posts & stakes & obliterated said line; again in 1879 the ~~defendant~~ plaintiff caused the line between said halves of said section to be surveyed &

marked on the ground by posts
and stakes driven in the ground
and the defendant again removed
the same and obliterated said line
to the plaintiffs damage in
12 the sum of one hundred
dollars, and the defendant cut
down and carried away the trees
standing and growing on said
line to the plaintiffs damage
in the sum of fifty dollars.

And the plaintiff says the
use and occupation of the plaintiff's
said premises by the defendant
13 is worth the sum of five
dollars a year.

And the plaintiff further
says that the line so located
and established by the plaintiff
and the defendant's grantor, is
in fact the true boundary line
between said two halves of
said quarter section.

The plaintiff therefore demands
judgment that the defendant be
evicted and removed from said prem-
14 ises described as aforesaid by metes
and bounds, corners and distances and

the plaintiff placed in possession
thereof, and for his damages assessed
in the sum of three hundred
and sixty five dollars and for
his costs and disbursements

Henry Hinds
city of Peff
Shelburne, Minn

Tobias Allinger

5
Fred. Poppler

Bennings
Complaint

2
S. F. G. P.

of the April 1st and 1880
- by the agent
of the agent

W. C.

State of Minnesota District Court.
County of Carver Eighth District

Nobias Ottinger
Against
Frederick Poppe.

The defendant
in the above entitled action
for answer to the complaint
of the plaintiff therein states
and shows to the court
that as to the allegations
in said complaint that the
said plaintiff is the owner
reized in fee of the South
half of the South East quarter
of Section twenty two Township
One Hundred and Sixteen of
Range Twenty four situated
lying and being in said
County and has been the
owner thereof for more than
Twenty five years last past
the defendant has no
knowledge or information
thereof sufficient to form
a belief.
That as to the Allegation

in said complaint that the defendants, grantors and the plaintiff agreed on and established the boundary line between their premises described in said complaint and that each built and maintained his share of the fence located upon the same for about twenty years the said defendant has no knowledge or information thereon sufficient to find a belief.

The defendant admits that he is the owner of the north half of the quarter Section above described as to each and every other Allegation, Statement matter and thing in said complaint contained preceding up to, and including line six of folio nine the defendant denies the same and every part thereof. The defendant further answering the said.

complaint denies each
and every Allegation
matter and thing in each
and every of the causes
of action set up and
contained in said com-
plaint after according
said line in said
folio nine thereof except
such as herein after
admitted qualified or
specifically denied —

The defendant further
answering specifically
denies that the said
plaintiff has sustained
damages in the sums
set forth in said com-
plaint or in any sum
or sums whatever by
reason of the acts alleged
in said complaint to have
been done by said defendant.
or any of said acts, or other-
wise. And for a further
answer the defendant
alleges that he now is
the owner and in

possession of the said north
half of the South East quarter
of Section twenty two in
Township One Hundred and
sixteen of Range Twenty four
and has been such owner
seized in fee for twenty
years last past—

That the strip or pieces
and parcels of land described
in said complaint as
follows, to wit; commencing
at a point on the east
Section line of said Section
at the East end of the
line between the plaintiffs
and defendants premises
hereinto referred to; thence
running west on the line
alleged in said complaint to
have been located and Es-
tablished by the said plaintiff
and defendants grantor forty
rods; thence south eleven links,
thence East forty rods to the
place of beginning— and
also the piece and parcel
of land described as follows—

total, beginning on the line alleged in said com-
plaint to have been established as aforesaid
eighty rods west of the first point of be-
ginning above named. Thence west on said
~~divisor~~ division line forty rods; Thence south eight-
teen links, Thence easterly forty rods to a
point thirteen links south of said alleged
division line, Thence north thirteen links
to said second point of beginning are
no part of the said south half of the south
east quarter of section twenty two of Town-
ship one hundred and sixteen Range
twenty four but is included in and
is all and very much thereof, a part and
portion of the North half of said
quarter section, and is the same and
premises of said defendant of which he
is now seized and possessed in fee
And the defendant further says that
the claim set up by the plaintiff
to said premises is without any
foundation in law or equity.

Wherefore the defendant de-
mands judgment that the title to
said strip and piece of land herein-
before described by meter and bounds
be adjudged to be in said defendant
free and clear from any right
title interest or estate or the

plaintiff, and for his costs
in this action

L L Raper & W C Odell
Defendants Attorneys

State of Minnesota
County of Larue ss

Frederick Popple being
duly sworn on oath says that he
is defendant in the above
entitled action, that he knows
the contents of the foregoing
affidavit and that the same
is true of his own knowledge
except as to matters therein referred
upon his information and
belief and that as to those
matters he believes it to be
true -

Subscribed and sworn to before me
this 13th day of March
1888

J. F. Dille

J. F. Dille
Justice of the Peace

District Court
Curran County

Johann Oettinger

vs

Frank Popplow

Answer

Filed April 25th A.D. 1881,
G. H. Reizenbuhl
Clerk
—252—

L. L. Bayne
Defendants Attys
Chas. H. Mearns

Mailed March 18th

1 State of Minnesota
2 Dist. Court 8th Dist.
3 County of Carver
4 Tobias Ottinger
5 vs.
6 Frederick Poppler

7 We the jury in the
8 above entitled action
9 find for the plaintiff
10 and ~~assess~~ that he
11 is entitled to the
12 possession of the
13 premises in contro-
14 versy.

15 The further assess
16 his damages at the
17 sum of Five — dollars
18 and Six Cents

19
20 Gustav Tenet
21 Foreman
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32

State of Minnesota

District Court

Wann County,

Johas Ottinger
vs

Frank Pappas,

Verdict,

Filed April 25 1880
Guthrie & Co
Clerk,

- 952 -

State of Minnesota, } Dist. Court
COUNTY OF Carver }

Johas Ottinger

Against

Frederick Poppler

Affidavit of Disbursements.

To L & Baxter & W C Odell Dist atty: Take notice that application will be made to the clerk of this court at his office at Chaska at 10 o'clock a. m. April 14/1880 to tax the filhs costs as stated below to wit

Plaintiff's Costs and Disbursements.

Statute Costs,

\$ 10.00

2 Affidavits.

50

Sheriff's Fees, Service Summons and Consult

4.60

4.60

Clerk's Fees to be added,

3.40 8.40

fees for

3.00 Paid to Ref apud ref.

Shuff for service Subpoena

2.50

Witness for John A. Brumms 1 day 10 miles

1.60

Paid to Ref apud ref.

Chere 1.22

1.22

Paid to Ref apud ref.

\$ 31.72

Henry Hendis
att'y Ref

Amount claimed in Summons.

Principal, verdict

Interest,

\$ 5.06

\$

\$ 36.78

STATE OF MINNESOTA, }

County of Scott }

Henry Hendis

came personally before me, and having been first duly sworn, he doth depose and say, that he is the Attorney of said Plaintiff in the above entitled cause; that the above bill and items of Costs and Disbursements therein are just and correct, and have been necessarily incurred therein.

Subscribed and Sworn to before me,

On this 9 day of April A. D. 1880

Henry Hendis

E. Southworth

Notary Public Scott Co Minn.

I will Co for Henry Hendis being duly sworn says that he served the foregoing notice on the defendants atty's therein named on April 9 1880 by depositing a true copy thereof in the post office at Chaska Minn directed to them at Chaska Minn. I am paying the postage thereon. Subscribed & sworn before me this 9 day of April 1880 E. Southworth Notary Public Henry Hendis

State of Minnesota, }
County of _____

Court _____

Against.

Affidavit of No Answer.

State of Minnesota, } ss.
COUNTY OF _____

came personally before me, and having been first duly sworn, he doth depose and say, that he is _____ the Attorney for the Plaintiff in the above entitled action: that proof of the personal service of the Summons therein has been filed with the Clerk of said Court: that more than twenty days have elapsed since the personal service of said Summons, and that no answer or demurrer, or copy of either, has been received by the Plaintiff's Attorneys in this cause, and prays judgment according to law.

Subscribed and Sworn to before me,
On this _____ day of _____ A. D. 187 _____

Notary Public, Minn

Hutchinson Court, St. Paul
Hannover COUNTY.

John A. Uttinger

AGAINST.

Edward Popple

Affidavit of No Answer, &c.

Filed, April 10th 1880,
Hutchinson Court,
St. Paul.

Attorney for Plaintiff

Hamaley, Chaney & Co., Printers.

252

1 State of Minnesota
2 District Court 1st District
3 County of Carver.
4

5 Tobias Uttinger
6
7 against
8 Frederick Popplar. 3 Judgment,
9

10 This cause having been brought on
11 for trial before said Court at the General Term April
12 1st A.D. 1880, before a jury duly impanelled and sworn,
13 and the said jury having rendered a verdict in
14 favor of the Plaintiff and against the Defendant, for
15 the possession of the premises in controversy, and for
16 damage for the detention of the same, amounting to the
17 sum of Five dollars and six cents.
18

19 Now therefore,
20 and motion of Henry Hinckley Attorney for said Plaintiff
21 This Court by adjudge and determine that said Plaintiff
22 recover of and of the said Defendant, the possession of the land
23 and real estate mentioned in the Complaint in said
24 action, and described as follows, viz:

25 Commencing at a
26 point on the East Section line of Section Twenty two
27 (22) Township One hundred and sixteen (116) of Range
28 Twenty four (24) at the East end of the line between
29 the Plaintiff and defendants said premises, thence running
30 West on the line between said premises located and
31 established by the Plaintiff and defendants grantor and
32 so fenced by defendants grantor, forty rods, thence

1 South Eleven links, thence East forty rods to the place
2 of beginning; Also beginning at a point on said
3 division line so established located and fenced, eighty
4 rods West of the first point of beginning aforesaid,
5 thence West on said division line so established located
6 and fenced, forty rods, thence South sixteen links,
7 thence forty rods Eastward to a point thirteen links
8 South of said division line, thence North thirteen links
9 to said second beginning point,

10 It is further adjudged that said Plaintiff
11 recover of said defendant the sum of Five dollars and
12 44 cents damage as per verdict of said jury, together
13 with the sum of Thirty one dollar and seventy two
14 cents, costs and disbursements taxed in said action,
15 the whole amounting to the sum of Thirty six dollars
16 and seventy eight cents, and that said Plaintiff
17 have his lawful process therefor,

18
19 Judgment, damage \$5.44
20 Costs and disbursements, 31.42

21 Total \$ 36.86

22 Dated April 14th A.D. 1884,

23 By the Court:

24 A. Kreyenbuhl
25 Clerk,
26
27
28
29
30
31
32

State of Minnesota
District Court
County of Carver,

Joh'at Ottinger
vs
Frederick Popplar,

Judgment Roll.

Judgment \$ 5.00
Costs 31.72

Total \$ 36.72

Given, April 14th A.D. 1880,
G. Krajenhull
Clerk.

= 252, =

Henry Hinds atty for Plaintiff
St. Cloud, Minn.

District Court Carver County
8th Judicial District

Tobias Ottinger

vs

Fredrick Poppler }

To the defendant & E. S. Baxter }
& W. C. Adell his attys in the }
above entitled action

Take notice
that judgment has been entered
in the above entitled action in favor
of the plaintiff and against the
defendant therein for the recovery
of real estate in the com-
plaint therein described by
metes and bounds, and for the
damages found by the jury and for
costs taxed by the clerk
Dated May 12th 1880

Henry Hinds
atty Peff
Shookam Mein

Johann Ottinger

vs

Fred. Poppler

Notice of judgment

Service of the within notice
by copy at Chaska in
Cannon Co. this 19th day
of May 1880 is
hereby admitted

L R Baxter & McCall,

Attys

Filed, May 19th 1880.

Chas. Augustus Bell,

25v.

Notary Public
June 16th 1880.

No. 1788

DISTRICT COURT,
CARVER COUNTY, MINN.

Edward P. Allisite.
Plaintiff.

vs.

George Burlin
Defendant.

W. C. Odell
Plaintiff's Attorney.

Defendant's Attorney.

Date of Entry April 14th 1880
Register of Actions A Page 106
Term Tried 1
Judgment for Plaintiff
Amount of Judgment \$157.40
Date of Judgment June 16th 1880
Judgment Book 640 Page 640
Default Judgment Book Page
Date of Docketing 1
312

Herald Publishing Co., Chaska, Minn.

Promising Note

STATE OF MINNESOTA,

District Court,

COUNTY OF CARVER.

EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT.

Edward P. Allis. as "Edward P. Allis & Co."

Plaintiff.

George Bierline.

Defendant.

SUMMONS.

The State of Minnesota to the above named Defendant:

You _____ are hereby summoned and required to answer the Complaint of the Plaintiff in the above entitled action, which has been filed with the Clerk of said Court, in his office, in the Village of Chaska, in the County of Carver, State of Minnesota, and to serve a copy of your answer to said Complaint on the subscriber, at his office in the Village of Chaska, in the County of Carver and State of Minnesota, within twenty days after the service of this Summons upon you, exclusive of the day of such service, and if you fail to answer the said Complaint within the time aforesaid, the Plaintiff in this action will take judgment against you for the sum of One hundred thirty one and 74/100 Dollars. and interest on One hundred one and 95/100 Dollars of said sum at the rate of six per cent per annum since November 28th 1879, and on twenty nine and 97/100 Dollars at the same rate since April 30th 1879 together with the costs and disbursements of this action.

Dated at Chaska,

April

A. D. 1880.

W. B. Odell.

Plaintiff's Attorney.

State of Minnesota } ss.
County of Carver.

I hereby certify and return that on the 16th day of April 1880 at Chaska in said County of Carver and State of Minnesota I served the written summons upon George Bierline the defendant named therein personally by ~~him~~ and then handing to and leaving with him said George Bierline a true copy of said summons.

Dated Chaska June 10th 1880.

My fee Service 1.00
2 mile ^{copy} ~~travels~~ 50. 1.50

J. E. Du Toit Sheriff
Corner 6th & Main

DISTRICT COURT,

COUNTY OF CARVER.

Edward V. Allen & Co

vs.

George Bierline.

SUMMONS.

Filed June 10th 1880
Sproagmuthy
Hend,

W. B. Odell

Plaintiff's Attorneys.

Chaska, Minnesota,

Davison & Henderson, Print, Minneapolis.



1887

26.94
 547.56
 100.00
 84.56

December 17th 1878.

after date I promise to pay

Eight months

to the order of Edward P. Allis & Co

Five Hundred and Forty Seven $\frac{56}{100}$ Dollars
 with Interest at 10% per annum until paid
 Payable at Cass County Bank Chaska Minn

Value received

$12 \frac{61}{100}$
 139.8

John Linslow

Waucon

Geo. Bierline

Due

5848

End. P. Allis

per Geo. A. Du Bois

Esq., Cashier or Order

for Collection for Acct. of

NATIONAL EXCHANGE BANK

OF MILWAUKEE

[Signature]

\$500. Paid Nov. 18th 1879
E. P. Allis & Co. Payee

Due \$99 85- Nov 18-1879

State of Minnesota
District Court
Eighth Judicial District
County of Carver.

Edward P. Allis. as

Edward P. Allis & Co.

Plaintiff.

vs

George Bierline.

Defendant.

The above named Plaintiff complains
alleges and avers.

First. That said Plaintiff now is, and
ever since the first day of January
AD 1878. has been doing business
under and by name and style of
Edward P. Allis & Co.

Second. That heretofore to wit On the 17th
day of December AD 1878 the above named
Defendant made and delivered to said
Plaintiff his promissory note in
writing, dated on that day, and thereby
for a good and sufficient consideration
promised to pay to the order of said
Plaintiff as Edward P. Allis & Co. in
eight months after the date of said
note. Five Hundred forty seven and
56/100 Dollars. together with interest
on said sum at the rate of ten per
cent per annum until paid. That
thereafter and on the 18th day of
November AD 1879 said Defendant paid

1 to Plaintiff on account of the money
2 then due upon said note the sum of
3 Two Hundred Dollars. and no other or
4 greater sum has ever been paid thereon.
5 That in the month of August 1879. default
6 having been made in the payment
7 of said note. said Plaintiff caused
8 the same to be protested for non-pay-
9 -ment. and the same was duly protested.
10 and that the costs and expenses for
11 so protesting the same were Two and
12 10/100 dollars. which said sum said
13 Plaintiff paid. that no part of said
14 sum has ever been paid by Defendant.
15 This. That in the month of April
16 1879 said Plaintiff as Edward P. Allis
17 & Co. sold and delivered to said
18 Defendant at the request of said
19 Defendant a quantity of mill
20 furniture at and for the agreed price
21 of Twenty nine and 77/100 dollars:
22 that no part of said sum has ever
23 been paid and the whole amount
24 thereof is and ever since the thirtieth
25 day of April 1879 has been due and
26 owing from said Defendant to said
27 Plaintiff as Edward P. Allis & Co.

28 Therefore Plaintiff demands
29 judgment against said Defendant
30 for the sum of One hundred thirty
31 one and 74/100 dollars. and interest
32 on one hundred one and 98/100 dollars

1 of said sum at the rate of ten per cent
2 per annum. since November 18th 1879
3 and on twenty nine and 77/100 Dollars
4 at the same rate of interest. since
5 April 30 1879. together with costs of
6 suit.

Dated Chaska April 1880

W. C. Odell

Atty for Pff.

10
11 State of Minnesota } S.S.
12 County of Carver. }

13 W. C. Odell being first duly
14 sworn says that he is Attorney for
15 the Plaintiff in the foregoing Complaint
16 named. that said Complaint is true
17 to the best of this affiant's knowledge
18 information and belief. that this
19 verification is made by this affiant
20 and not by said Plaintiff for the
21 reason that said Plaintiff is absent
22 from said County of Carver where
23 resides this affiant

Subscribed and sworn to } W. C. Odell.
Before me this 14 day of }
April 1880

27 (G. H. Raymond)
28 Clerk Dist Court
29 (Clerk of Court)
30
31
32

District Court
Judicial District
County of Larimer

Edward P. Allis vs

vs

George Burlingame

Compt.

Filed, April 14th 1880
Edw. P. Allis
Clerk

= 106 =

W. C. Odell

Atty for Def.

State of Minnesota,
County of Carver.

Dist Court 8th Judicial District

Edward P. Allis as Edward P. Allis
Plaintiff

against

George Bierluia
Defendant.

Affidavit of Disbursements.

Plaintiff's Costs and Disbursements.

Statute Costs, - - - - - \$ 5.00

Disbursements.

3 Affidavits, - - - - - .75
Sheriff's Fees, - - - - - 1.70
Clerk's Fees to be added, - - - - - 3.35

Total costs \$ 10.80

Amount Claimed in Summons.

Principal, - - - - - \$ 131.72
Interest, - - - - - 8.88

\$ 140.60

STATE OF MINNESOTA,
County of Carver } ss.

W. C. Odell came personally before me, and having been first duly sworn, he doth depose and say that he is the Attorney of said Plaintiff in the above entitled cause; that the above bill and items of Costs and Disbursements therein are just and correct, and have been necessarily incurred therein.

Subscribed and Sworn to before me,

On this 8th day of June 1876

W. C. Odell.

Steuken
Co. Auditor

State of Minnesota,
County of Carver

Dist Court St Paul District

Edward P. Allis as Edward P. Allis & Co.
Plaintiff

against

George Bierline
Defendant

Affidavit of No Answer.

STATE OF MINNESOTA,
County of Carver

ss.

W. B. Odell came personally before me, and having been first duly sworn, he doth depose and say that he is the Attorney for the Plaintiff in the above entitled action: that the summons herein was duly served upon said Defendant on the 16th day of April A.D. 1880. that now that twenty days have elapsed since said service and that no answer or demurrer, or copy of either, has been received by the Plaintiff's Attorney in this cause, and prays judgment according to law.

Subscribed and Sworn to before me,

On this 8th day of June 1880

L. J. Strunkens
Co. Auditor

W. B. Odell

Dist Court St Paul Dist
Carver County

Edward P. Allis as
Edward P. Allis & Co.
against
George Bierline

Affidavit of No Answer, &c.

Filed the Fifteenth day of June A. D. 1880
C. W. Payson Clerk

W. B. Odell
Attorney for Plff.

Pioneer-Press Co., St. Paul, Minn.

State of Minnesota
County of Carver

District Court
Eighth Judicial District

Edward P. Ellis, as
Edward P. Ellis & Co. Plaintiffs
against
George Bierlein, Defendant.

The Complaint in
the above entitled action having been duly filed with
the clerk of said Court and the summons personally
served upon the defendant on the 14th day of April A.D.
1880, what more than twenty days have elapsed since
the service of said summons and it appearing by the
affidavit of the attorney for plaintiff, on file, that no
answer or demurrer, or copy of either has been received
by said plaintiff's attorney in said cause.

Now therefore, on motion of W. C. Nelson
attorney for said plaintiff, it is hereby adjudged and
determined and the judgment of this Court is that said
plaintiff recover of said defendant, the sum of one
hundred and thirty one dollar and seventy two cents,
principal, with eight dollar and eighty eight cents, interest
as claimed by said summons and complaint, together
with the sum of ten dollar and eighty cents, costs and
disbursements taxed in said action, the whole amounting
to the sum of one hundred and fifty one dollar and
forty cents, and that said plaintiff have his lawful costs
therefor.

Given June 16th A.D. 1880.

By the Court:
J. W. Grayson, Clerk.

State of Minnesota
District Court
County of Carver,

Edward P. Allis, as
Edward P. Allis of Co
vs
George Bierlein,

Judgment Roll.

Judgment \$180.72
Interest " 8.88
Costs " 10.80
Total \$190.40

Filed, June 16th A.D. 1880.
C. H. Breyer, Clerk,

116.

H. W. Odell, atty for Def
Charles, Minn

No. 1289

DISTRICT COURT,
CARVER COUNTY, MINN.

Francis C. Eisele

Plaintiff.

vs.

Henry F. Dege

Defendant.

J. A. Sargent & Samuel Fowler

Plaintiff's Attorney.

Defendant's Attorney.

Date of Entry April 17th, 1880

Register of Actions "A" Page 192 1/2

Term Tried 1

Judgment for

Amount of Judgment \$

Date of Judgment 1

Judgment Book Page

Default Judgment Book Page

Date of Docketing 1

Herald Publishing Co., Chaska, Minn.

Garnishment

STATE OF MINNESOTA,

DISTRICT COURT,

County of *Carver*

ss.

Eighth

Judicial District.

Francis M. Eisele

AGAINST

Henry F. Degen

STATE OF MINNESOTA,

County of *Carver*

ss.

Francis M. Eisele

being first duly sworn, doth depose and say, that he is *Francis M. Eisele*

the Plaintiff in the above entitled action;

and deponent further says that he believes *Rus Meyer and Robert Lux*

have property and effects in *their* hands and under *their* control, belonging to the Defendant

Henry F. Degen in this action, exceeding Twenty-five Dollars in

value, and that said *Rus Meyer and Robert Lux* are indebted to said Defendant in an amount

exceeding the sum of Twenty-five Dollars.

Subscribed and Sworn to before me,

On this *16th* day of *April*

A. D. 1880

J. A. Sargent

Judge of Probate

Franz D. Eisele

STATE OF MINNESOTA, }

County of *Carver*

District Court,

Eight

Judicial District.

Francis M. Steele

AGAINST

Henry F. Degen

AFFIDAVIT FOR GARNISHMENT.

Filed, *April 17th* A. D. 18*89*

Abraham L. Lusk
Clint Winland

J. A. Sargent & J. Fowler
Plaintiff Attorney,

Charles A. Munn

Printed and for sale at the St. Paul Pioneer Office.

1904

No. 1290

DISTRICT COURT,
CARVER COUNTY, MINN.

Henry R. Diener
Plaintiff.

vs.
John Stinger
Defendant.

Beck & McHale
Plaintiff's Attorney.

Defendant's Attorney.

Date of Entry April 20th 1880

Register of Actions A Page 115

Term Tried 1

Judgment for Plaintiff

Amount of Judgment \$ 18.10

Date of Judgment April 20th 1880

Remittitur "A" Judgment Book Page 602

Default Judgment Book Page

Date of Docketing April 20th 1880

Judgment Book "A" Page 308

Herald Publishing Co., Chaska, Minn.

Land Title

State of Minnesota
District Court 8th Dist
County of Carver.

Henry R. Deemer

- vs -

John Stenger

The Plaintiff for complaint in
the above entitled action states
and shows to the Court:

That he now is and for a
long time he has been the owner
in fee of the following described
land and real estate situate
lying and being in the County of
Carver and State of Minnesota.
to wit: Lots one (1) thru (3) and
six (6) and eleven (11) in Block twenty one
and lot one (1) in Block twenty
four (24) also lot ten (10) in Block
six (6) all in the village of Waconia
in said County according to the
recorded plat thereof on file in
the office of the Register of
deeds of said County.

Plaintiff further says that
the said premises hereinbefore
described are vacant and

unoccupied, and that the
said defendant claims some
estate or interest in and to said
premises adverse to the rights
and interests of said plaintiff,
that is said defendant claims
to own said premises and
the whole thereof.

Wherefore plaintiff demands
judgment against said defen-
3 - dant specifying the title to
said premises and the whole
thereof and that said defend-
- ant have no claim right title
or interest in or to said premises
of any nature or kind whatever.
And for costs and disbursements
of suit.

Feb. 16th 1880.

Pick & McHale
Attys for Plff.
Shokopee Minn.

State of Minnesota
County of Hennepin

I, Gottl. Radde being duly sworn say
that he send the within annex
and complaint on the return
named defendant to Waseon on
the 21st day of February 1880 by leaving
to and leaving with him a true
copy hereof, and that he knew the said
defendant John Stenger to be the same
as named
Gottlieb Radde Constable.

Set forth and sworn to
before me this 28th day of
Feb. 1880.

Joseph Wepbecker
Justice of the Peace

Constable Fees.

Service	\$ 1.00
Mileage	60.
Return	30.
Copied	50.
Total	\$ 2.40

STATE OF MINNESOTA,
County of *Le Sueur*

DISTRICT COURT.
EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT.

Henry R. Gussner - Plffs

AGAINST.

John Stenger - Def

SUMMONS.

THE STATE OF MINNESOTA, TO THE ABOVE NAMED DEFENDANT:

YOU ARE HEREBY SUMMONED and required to answer the Complaint in this action
a copy of which is herewith served on you.
and to serve a copy of your Answer to the said Complaint on the Subscriber, at *their* office in
Shokoh Scott Co. Minnesota within Twenty days after the service hereof, exclusive
of the day of such service; and if you fail to answer the said Complaint within the time aforesaid, the
Plaintiff in this action will *apply to the Court for the relief demanded*
in the Complaint.

Dated *Feb. 14*

1880.

Pick & Wool Plaintiff's Attorney.

Shokoh Scott

Best Court

Leann Curran,

Henry R. Dession

- vs. -

John Stenger,

Witness & Complainant,

Original

Filed April 20th 1880,

Georgetown

Wm. L. L.

38

Pack & all Halls

attys for P. & S.

State of Minnesota
Leicester and Leake County,
Henry R. Benson, Plaintiff
- vs -
John Hinger } Defendant

State of Minnesota,
County of Scott, ss.
James McHale being duly
sworn says that he is one of the attorneys
for the above named Plaintiff, that
the summons and Complaint
in said action was personally
served on said defendant in Leake
County as appears by the return on
file in said Cause, on the 21st day of February
1880, and that more than twenty days have
elapsed since the service of said summons &
Complaint, and that there has been no answer
therein on the part of said defendant
by answer, answer nor in any other
manner whatever.

Subscribed and sworn
to this 13th day of March
1880 Before me } James McHale
H. P. Cro
Notary Public
Scott Co. Minn.

State of Minnesota
District Court Cass County
Henry R. Bessmer, Plaintiff
v
John Stenger } Defendant

Upon the summons and complaint and
proof of service thereof and affidavit
of no answer or other appearance
in said action and the part of said
defendant and upon motion of Peck &
McHale Attorneys for said Plaintiff,
it is hereby ordered that said
cause be and the same is hereby
referred to W. C. Odell of Chaska
who after taking the oath required
by law shall take the proof therein and
report the same to this Court with
all convenient speed.

Dated March 20th 1880.

J. L. MacDonell
Judge 8th Dist.

*Affidavit of
no arms and
ordnance*

*Filed April 20th 1880
G. W. Gray, Clerk
District,*

*James A. ...
Baker*

State of Minnesota.
District Court
Eighth Judicial District
County of Carver.
Henry R. Diermer.
Plaintiff.

vs
John Steinger.
Defendant.

State of Minnesota. } ss.
County of Carver. }

I do solemnly swear that
I will faithfully and fairly hear
and examine this action, wherein
Henry R. Diermer is Plaintiff and
John Steinger is Defendant, and
make a just and true report
thereon according the best of
my understanding and ability
So helps me God.

Subscribed and sworn to } W. C. Odell.
Before me this 27th day }
of March A.D. 1880 }

J. W. Haglund
Clerk District Court
Carver County

South of Repur.

Filed April 20th 1880,
Gedreymann
Belmont,

State of Minnesota.
District Court
Eighth Judicial District
County of Carver.
Henry R. Driesner.
Plaintiff.
John Steger.
Defendant.

The Plaintiff Henry R. Driesner being duly sworn testified as follows.

I live in Waconia, Carver County Minnesota. Have lived there about five years. I know Lots described in the Complaint in this action. Those Lots are all vacant and unoccupied.

Exhibits "A," "B," "C" and "D" shown to witness. I am the person described as the Grantor in those deeds.

Exhibits "A," "B," "C" and "D" together with the acknowledgments and certificates of record endorsed thereon offered and received in evidence.

I know the Defendant John Steger. He lives in Waconia. He claims to own the premises mentioned

and described in the complaint
by virtue of tax titles.
Henry L. Dressner

Frederick Greiner being first
duly sworn testified as follows.
I am the Register of Deeds of
Carver County Minnesota. The
records in my office show that
in 1857 Roswell P. Russell was the
owner of Lots One, Three, Six and
Eleven in Block Twenty one, and
Lot One in Block Twenty four and
Lot Ten in Block Six, all in the
Village of Waconia Carver County
Minnesota. Lot Ten in Block Six
was conveyed July 22nd 1857, by
Roswell P. Russell to Jared S.
Dunmon. Decree of Probate Court
dated August 4th 1879, conveying
said Lot Ten in Block Six to Anna
J. Smith, and Alice E. Dunmon. Heirs
at law of Jared S. Dunmon deceased.
Lots One, Three, Six and Eleven in
Block Twenty one, were deeded
July 29th 1859 by Roswell P. Russell
to Robert R. Nicholas. Robert R.

Nicholas executed Power of attorney
to R. P. Russell to convey said
also Lot one in Block Twenty four of said
last mentioned lots, dated December
23rd 1875. and recorded December 31st 1875.
Lot one in Block Twenty four
was conveyed on the 29th day of
July 1859. by Roswell P Russell to
Robert R. Nicholas. - All of the title
to this property are of record in
my office.

J. Greiner
Reg^r of Deeds.

I the undersigned Referee duly
appointed to hear and report
the testimony in the above
entitled action. hereby certify
and report, that the foregoing
testimony was taken by me
at Chaska on the 29th day of
March 1880.

W. C. Odell
Referee.

Report of Referee

Filed April 20th AD 1884
Geo. Kraysenbuhl
Clerk

State of Minnesota
Dist. Court & Vice
County Court.
Henry R. Benson }
" } Order
John Stenger }

After reading and filing the
Report of the referee in the above
entitled case W.C. Odell and
it appearing that the plaintiff is
the owner of the premises in
said complaint described
in Cass County and that
the same are vacant and
unoccupied and that the
plaintiff is entitled to the relief
demanded in the complaint.
Now therefore upon motion
of Rich & McHale attorneys
for plaintiff it is ordered that
plaintiff have judgment for
the relief demanded in the
complaint.
Dated March 27/880.

J. L. MacDonald
Judge 8th Dist.

Gift Card
Anna Cusly

Henry R. Cusum

^{by}
John Stenger
Oranfer Gruenlyt.

Filed April 20th 1880
Gethreugentbank
John R.

STATE OF MINNESOTA,

1st Court } Judicial District,

County of LeannHenry R. Lussen

Plaintiff

against

John Stenger

Defendant

BILL OF COSTS AND NOTICE OF TAXATION.

COSTS BY STATUTE.

\$ 5.00

DISBURSEMENTS.

Affidavits,

25.
2.40~~Sheriff's Fees,~~

5.00

Sum perClerk's Fees, (to be taxed,) according to law

4.95

Witness' Fees,

Total \$ 18.10

STATE OF MINNESOTA,

County of Scott } ss.H. J. Beck

being duly sworn, says, that he is one of the Attorney of the plaintiff in the above entitled action, and that the above specified items of disbursements have been necessarily made or incurred therein by said plaintiff

I do stand and
avow this 20th
day of April 1880
before me

H. J. Beck

Jas. McHale
Notary Public
Scott Co., Minn.

STATE OF MINNESOTA.

County of Leum
Leum Court.

Henry H. Leum
agst.
John Stuyar

NOTICE OF TAXATION OF COSTS.

Due service of the within notice is admitted
this _____ day

A. D. 18

Att'y for

Filed April 21st 1880
C. Mayenbult
Clerk.

Peter McHale
Att'y for Def.
Pioneer Press.

STATE OF MINNESOTA,

County of _____ Court _____
Judicial District,

against Plaintiff

Defendant

Sir: Take notice that shall apply to the Clerk of said Court on the _____
day of _____ A. D. 18 at _____ o'clock in the _____ noon, to tax and insert in the
judgment then and there to be entered in favor of the _____ and against the
the foregoing items of costs and disbursements.

State of Minnesota
 District Court & said
 County of Carver.
 Henry R. Lessor }
 " }
 John Stenger } July suit.

This cause came on before
 the Court upon the report of the
 referee duly appointed therein on
 the 27th day of March 1880. at
 his Chambers in Shokan Scott
 County Minnesota when it was
 duly shown that the said
 Plaintiff Henry R. Lessor was
 the owner of the following
 described land and real
 estate situate lying and being
 in said Carver County Minnesota
 Lot Number one (1) (3) three
 six (6) and eleven (11) in Block
 Twenty one (21) Lot one (1)
 in Block Twenty four (24)
 also lot ten (10) in Block
 six (6) all in the village of
 Waconia in said County
 according to the record
 that they on file in the office
 of the Register of lands of said

County and that said defendant
has no right title or interest in
or to said premises or any part
thereof and said Court having
adversely secured judgment in favor
of said plaintiff against said
defendant for the relief dem-
anded in the complaint

Now therefore upon motion of
Puck & de la Hall attorneys for
said plaintiff it is hereby adjudged
and decreed and the judg-
ment and decree of this Court
is that said plaintiff is the
owner in fee of the above
described premises and
the whole thereof and that
said defendant John Stenger
has no right title or interest
claim or demand in
to or against said premises
of any nature or kind
whatsoever or any part
or portion thereof and it
is further adjudged that
said plaintiff recover of and
from said defendant his
costs and disbursements in

said action taken at
18.10 and that he has his
lawful process before
Dated April 20 1880

By the Court

G. A. Weyenbuhl
Clerk.

Leet Court
Common Council

Henry R. Lussner

ⁿ
John Stenger

July 1881

Page 115 Reg. A
State of Minnesota
District Court

County of Carver,

Henry R. Diessner
vs
John Stenger,

Judgment Roll.

Judgment for the premium
claimed and costs \$18.00.

Filed, April 20th AD 1880
J. H. Hagenbuhl
Clerk

-115-

Peck & McHale

Plaintiffs Attorneys
Shakopee, Minn.