

## Minnesota District Court (Carver County) Civil and criminal case files

## **Copyright Notice:**

This material may be protected by copyright law (U.S. Code, Title 17). Researchers are liable for any infringement. For more information, visit <a href="https://www.mnhs.org/copyright">www.mnhs.org/copyright</a>.

NO. 3074

## DISTRICT COURT, CARVER COUNTY, MINN.

Bertha M. Paspetz

Herman Paplety Desendant.

Odella Odell
Plantiff's Attorney.

Thos Fr Craven.

Defendant's Attorney.

Date of Entry Spil 11, 10.07

Register of Actions Page 493

Term Tried 19 19

Judgment for Sintiff

Amount of Judgment \$2 Date of Judgment July 16R 1907

Judgment Book 29 Page 259-253

Herald Publishing Co., Chasks, Minn.

. 783.—RETURN OF SERVICE OF SUMMONS OR COMPLA	INTTo Attach.
State of Minnesota,  ounty of Carver  a the County of Carver  complaint upon the within  ###################################	of March 1902 and leaving with him, a trac
Dated this Sheriff's Fees, Return, \$ 100 Mileage \$ 1,40 Local # 240	Sheriff County, Minn By Deputy

STATE OF MINNESOTA

County of Carver

DISTRICT COURT Bighth Judicial District

Bertha M. Poppitz

Plaintiff

VS

Herman Poppitz

Defendant

THE STATE OF MINNESOTA. To the above named Defendant:

You are hereby summoned and required to serve your answer to the complaint of the plaintiff in the above entitled action, which complaint is hereto attached and --- ewith served upon you, by copy, upon the subscribers at their office in the City of ska in the County of Carver and State of Winnesota, within thirty days after the service of this summons upon vou. exclusive of the day of such service; and if you fail so to serve your answer within the time aforesaid the plaintiff in this action apply to the Court for the relief demanded in said complaint.

Attorneys for Plaintiff.

DESCRIPTION OF DESCRIPTION OF THE PARTY.

STATE OF MINNESOPA

DISTRICT COURT

Righth Judicial District

County of Carver

Bertha M. Poppitz

Plaintiff

VS

Herman Poppitz

Defendant

Said plaintiff for her complaint in the above entitled action respectfully states and shows to the Court:

- (1). That on the 5th day of January 1897 at Chaska in said County and State said aintiff and said defendant were duly and lawfully joined in marriage and ever since we been and now are husband and wife.
- (3). That plainti'f is twenty seven years of age and defendant is forty three years of age.
- (3). That two children have been born to plaintiff and defendant as the fruits their said marriage, and their respective names and ages are as follows.viz: Ida, nine years of age, and Esther, seven years of age.
- (4). That plaintiff is and for more than one year immediately predefine the filint of this complaint to-wit for twenty seven years, has been a resident of said County
  Carver, and said defendant resides, and during all the time aforesaid has resided, in
  said County.
- (5). That for a period of more than five years last past said defendant has continuously treated plaintiff in a cruel and inhuman manner; that he has during said riod almost daily applied to plaintiff insulting abusive obscene and opprobrious pithets, and has on divers occasions assaulted plaintiff and beaten her with his fists; that defendant has been in the habit of becoming intoxicated at least two or three times each month during said period and when in an intoxicated condition abuses plaintiff and terrifies her by his conduct and by threats of personal violence; that defendant a short time before the birth of the younger child without provocation violence.

ently assaulted plaintiff and struck her three or four times with his fist dreatly injuring her; on several occasions in the year 1904 defendant violently assaulted plaintiff and best her with his fist; in September 1905 he assaulted plaintiff with a pitchfork and dreatly terrified her, and was prevented from doing her great injury only by her fleeing from his; in the same month, at the residence of her brother and in the presence of a large number of men who were engaged in threshing grain there he said olaintiff, her sister, and her sister-in-law were whores; in November 1905 he drove plaintiff from home with abusive and violent treatment, and she was compelled to take refuge with her parents; on several occasions during the year 1906 he violently assaulted and beat plaintiff, and, particularly, on July 3rd of that year he struck plaintiff several violent blows with his fist upon her loins causing her intense pain and suffering, and from the effect thereof she was rendered ill and was confined to her bed under the care of a physician for the period of three weeks; shortly after her recovery from the effects of said last mentioned assault. and while she was still suffering om weakness resulting therefrom, defendant came home in the night-time grossly intoxloated and by his conduct, threats, and assault so greatly terrified plaintiff that she lost her reason for a period of nine days she was entirely bereft of reason and was unfor the care of a physician; on the 34th of February 1907 he assaulted her and tried choke her, threatening to do her bodily injury; that defendant has repeatedly told plaintiff to so sway and remain away, and particularly during the months of February and Warch instant, and on the 15th instant he told plaintiff that if she did not go awav he would remove her out of the way or procure some other person to do so, and plainff fearing and believing that her life was in danger if she continued to live with defendant on the 16th instant took the children and sought a refuge with friends.

That defendant has pursued his course of cruel and inhuman treatment of plaintiff so continuously and persistently that plaintiff's health has been undermined and intered, in consequence thereof she has been so but in fear of defendant that she cannot under live with him without great and permanent injury to her health and probable loss of her mental faculties.

(6). That defendant is the owner of a farm comprising sixty acres situated in the Township of Laketown in said County and worth \$5000.00 and is possessed of personal estate of the value of \$1500.00.

(7). That defendant has always treated the children in a harsh and unkind manner and when intoxicated has freatly terrified them by his conduct; that he is of violent temper and is in every respect unsuited both by temperament and habits to rear up and train a child, and by reason thereof he is not a safe or suitable person to have the care, custody and education of the infant children of plaintiff and defendant.

Wherefore plaintiff demands the judgment and decree of the Court as follows:

1st. Dissolving the marriage relation existing between plaintiff and defendant
and granting to plaintiff a divorce from the bonds thereof.

2nd. Awarding to plaintiff the care and custody of the minor children of plaintiff and defendant and providing for the suitable and proper maintenance of such children.

3rd. Decreeing to plaintiff such alimony out of the estate.earnings.and income of the defendant as the Court may deem just and reasonable.

4th. For such other and further relief in the premises as to the Court may seem just and equitable.

Attorneys for Plaintiff.

Chaska, Winn.

County of Baller Bertha M. Poffritz being first duly sworn upon oath says that the is the foregoing within entitled action; that the has heard read the foregoing County Laint that the same is true of her own knowledge, except as to matters that the same is true of her own knowledge, except as to matters the sated on information and belief, and as to such matters the believes it to be true—to the best of knowledge, information and belief, and that the reason why this verification is not made by the his county wherein resides this affiant hattorney.  Subscribed and sworn to before me this Blrtha Mb Poffing Subscribed and sworn to before me this Blrtha Mb Poffing NOTARIAL \rightarrow Shall	State of Minnesota,	
Deretha M. Poffitz being first duly sworn upon oath says that the is the placestiff in the foregoing within entitled action; that the has heard read the foregoing County Least for that the same is true.  That the same is true.  The of her own knowledge, except as to matters the rein stated on information and belief, and as to such matters the believes it to be true to the best of knowledge, information and belief, and that the recent why this verification is not made by the herein is that said to absent from this county wherein resides this affiant h attorney.  Subscribed and sworn to before me this 25 day of Place Described and sworn to before me this 25 day of Place County, Minnesota.  Notary Public Career suppose Young 1907  State of Minnesota,  State of Minnesota,  Plaintiff.	88.	
upon oath says that the is the React tiff in the foregoing within entitled action; that the has heard read the foregoing React for that the same is true of her own knowledge, except as to matters the sated on information and belief, and as to such matters the believes it to be true—to the best of Knowledge, information and belief, and that the reason why this verification is not made by the herein is that said to absent from this county wherein resides this affiant, h attorney.  Subscribed and sworn to before me this Bertha Obs Popping Subscribed and sworn to before me this Bay of Caunty, Minnesota.  Notary Public Caunty, Minnesota.  My Community of State of Minnesota,  County of	County of baccer	
upon oath says that the is the React tiff in the foregoing within entitled action; that the has heard read the foregoing React for that the same is true of her own knowledge, except as to matters the sated on information and belief, and as to such matters the believes it to be true—to the best of Knowledge, information and belief, and that the reason why this verification is not made by the herein is that said to absent from this county wherein resides this affiant, h attorney.  Subscribed and sworn to before me this Bertha Obs Popping Subscribed and sworn to before me this Bay of Caunty, Minnesota.  Notary Public Caunty, Minnesota.  My Community of State of Minnesota,  County of	But makit	
foregoing within entitled action; that the has heard read the foregoing. Complete Land that the same is true		
that the same is true.  Of her own knowledge, except as to matters therein stated on information and belief, and as to such matters the believes it to be true—to the best of knowledge, information and belief, and that the reason why this verification is not made by the his county wherein resides this affiant, herein is that said  This county wherein resides this affiant, he attorney.  Subscribed and sworn to before me this 25 day of Matella 1907  Notary Public Canal County, Minnesota.  My Communican suprince Yelly 18, 1907  State of Minnesota,  State of Minnesota,  Plaintiff.		
therein stated on information and belief, and as to such matters the believes it to be true to the best of knowledge, information and belief, and that the reason why this verification is not made by the herein is that said is absent from this county wherein residue this affiant, he attorney.  Subscribed and sworn to before me this 25 day of 2014 4.6 1 1907  Notary Public Canal County, Minnesota.  My Communication and belief, and as to such matters, the believes it to be true to the best of Monte and sworn to be fore the total said is absent from this county of the county of the best of t		Сотрания
Notary Public County of Menesota,  State of Minnesota,  County of Mainty of Mainty of Menesota against Plaintiff.	that the same is true of her own	knowledge, except as to matters
herein is that said  herein is that said  is absent from  County wherein resides this affiant, he attorney.  Butha M. Poffing  Subscribed and sworn to before me this 28 day of March 190.7  Notary Public Cause County, Minnesota.  My Communeur supplies July 18, 190.7  State of Minnesota,  State of Minnesota,  County of Plaintiff.	therein stated on information and belief, and as to such matters she beli	eves it to be true to the best of
herein is that said  herein is that said  is absent from  County wherein resides this affiant, he attorney.  Butha M. Poffing  Subscribed and sworn to before me this 28 day of March 190.7  Notary Public Cause County, Minnesota.  My Communeur supplies July 18, 190.7  State of Minnesota,  State of Minnesota,  County of Plaintiff.	k knowledge, information and belief, and that the reason why this ve	erification is not made by the
Subscribed and sworn to before me this 28 day of March 1907  Notary Public Carrier State of Minnesota,  State of Minnesota,  State of Minnesota,  Saint Plaintiff.		
Notary Public Canal County, Minnesota.  My Communication State of Minnesota,  State of Minnesota,  Ss.  Court,  against  Plaintiff.	Bertha	On Popping
Shal, \\  Notary Public Cauchy County, Minnesota.  My Communication suppose July 18, 1907  State of Minnesota,  Sounty of Plaintiff.		180
State of Minnesota,  Sounty of		ucci '
County of		County, Minnesota.
County of	State of Minnesota.	
against Plaintiff.	88.	Court,
	County of	
		No. of the last of
	against Plaintiff.	SUMMONS.

ORIGINAL. State of Minnesota,
County of Carrer
District court.
Bertha M. Poffitz.
Terman Poppety Defendant.
Summons Houplaint
Due and personal service of the within
thisadmitted
CARVER COUNTY, Attorney   for ED
HO Muchlbuy com
Attorney for I Landsoff
(493)

State of Minnesota County of Carver

District Court.

Bertha M. Poppitz.

Plaintiff

-VE-

Herman Poppitz,

Defendant.

This cause having been duly brought on for trial before the court without a jury and the court having made and filed its findings and decision therein wherein and whereby it is found and determined among other things that plaintiff is entitled to judgment and decree of this court dissolving the marriage relation existing between plaintiff and defendant and awarding to said plaintiff the custody and control of the minor children of plaintiff and defendant until the further order of the court subject, however, to the right of said defendant to visit said minor children once every three months during the time said minor children are in the custody and control of said plaintiff and further awarding to said plaintiff as permanent alimony out of the estate of said defendant the sum of \$1,000.00 payable in 30 days from the 15th day of July 1907 and adjudging the payment of said sum to be a specific lien upon the real estate owned by said defendant and in raid findance and decision particularly described;

Now on Motion. W. C. Odell, Attorney for said Plaintiff.

It is Ordered. Adjudded. Determined and Decreed that the bonds of matrimony existing between plaintiff and defendant be and the same are hereby dissolved and said plaintiff is released and divorced from the obligations thereof.

and, on like Motion. It is further Ordered, Adjudged and Decreed that plaintiff have and recover from said defendant as permanent alimony the sum of \$1,000.00 payable 30 days from the 15th day of July 1907 and that the bayment thereof be and hereby is made a specific lies upon the real estate owned by said defendant described as follows, viz: Beginning at the center post of Section 28 Township 116 Range 24 running thence south on the quarter line of

'said Section 120 rods; thence west at right angles with last mentioned line 40 rods; thence north parallel with first mentioned line 120 rods; thence east 40 rods to place of beginning, situated in the east half of the Southwest quarter of said Section 28 and containing 30 acres. Also beginning at quarter section corner in line between Sections 28 and 38 of the Township and Range above rentioned, thence north 17.32 chains; thence east 17.22 chains; thence south 17.32 chains to section line; thence west 17.32 chains to place of beginning, situated in the Southwest quarter of the Southeast quarter of said Section 28 and containing 30 acres:

And, on like Motion it is further Ordered that said plaintiff have the care, custody and control of the minor children of plaintiff and defendant until the further order of this court; that defendant be permitted to visit said children at least once every three months during the time said children are so in the custody and control of said plaintiff.

And, on like Motion, it is further Ordered and Adjudged that plaintiff have and recover from said defendant her costs and disbursements herein taxed and allowed at the sum of office Dollars, and that she have execution therefore.

H.O. Muchlbra

State of Minnesota. County of Carver.

District Court.

Bighth Judicial District.

Bertha M. Poppitz.

Plaintiff

-VS-

Herman Poppitz.

Defendant.

This cause came duly on for trial before the court without a jury at an adjudged term thereof held at Court House in the City of Chaska in said County and State on the 9th day of July A. D. 19(7.

After hearing and duly considering the proofs and allegations of the respective parties and the admissions of said parties made in open court I find the following facts:

- (1) That on the 5th day of January 1897 at Chaska in said County and State said plaintiff and said defendant were duly and lawfully joined in marriage and ever since had been and now are hustand and wife.
- (2) That plaintiff is 27 bears of age and defendant is 43 years of age.
- (3) That two children had been born to plaintiff and defendant as the fruits of their said marriage and their respective names and ages are as follows, viz.

  Ida 9 years of age and Esther 7 years of age.
- (4) That plaintiff is and for more than one year immediately preceding the exhibiting of her complaint in this action was a resident of the State of Minnesota residing for, to-wit, 27 years in the County of Carver in said State.
- (5) That said defendant has been guilty of cruel and inhuman treatment of plaintiff as particularly set forth and alleged in the complaint herein, and I find that the allegations of said complaint respecting the cruel and inhuman treatment of plaintiff by defendant are true and have been fully proved.

That defendant has pursued toward plaintiff a systematic course of cruel

and inhuman treatment and so continuously and persistently that plaintiff's health has been undermined and impaired and she has been put in great fear of defendant and in consequence thereof it would be improper and unsafe for plaintiff to live longer with defendant and that should she continue to so live with him it would probably result in great and permanent injury to her health and loss of her mental faculties.

- (6) That defendant is the owner of a farm comprising 60 acres situated in the Township of Laketown in said County and State particularly described as follows, viz: beginning at the center post of Section 28 Township 116 Range 24 running thence south on the quarter line of said Section 120 rods; thence west at right angles with last mentioned line 40 rods; thence north parallel with first mentioned line 120 rods; thence east 40 rods to place beginning. Situated in the east half of the South-west quarter of said Section 28 and containing 30 acres. Also beginning at quarter section corner in line between Sections 28 and 33 of the Township and Range above mentioned thence north 17.32 chains; thence east 17.32 chains; thence south 17.32 chains to section line; thence west 17.32 chains to place beginning situated in the south-west quarter of the south-east quarter of said section 28 and containing 30 acres. And that defendant is also the owner of certain personal property and the parties in open court agreed and such agreement was made a matter of record that the sum of \$1,000.00 would be a just and reasonable allowance to plaintiff as permanent alimony reference being had to the situation and value of defendant's said property, the ability of said defendant, the character and situation of the parties and all other circumstances of the case.
- (7) That defendant has been somewhat addicted to the excessive use of intoxicating liquors and while so under the influence of intoxicants has ill-treated and terrified the plaintiff and the children of plaintiff and defendant and because of such excessive use of intoxicating liquors and his viblent temper he is not a fit or proper person to have the custody and control of the minor children of plaintiff and defendant and that plaintiff is in every way

a fit and suitable person to have the present custody and control of said minor children.

(8) That it would be reasonable and proper for defendant to be permitted to visit said minor children at least once in every three months during the time that said minor children are in the custody and control of said plaintiff.

As conclusions of law I find that the plaintiff is entitled to the judgment and decree of this court dissolving the marriage relation existing between the plaintiff and defendant and awarding to said plaintiff an absolute divorce from the bonds of matrimony existing between plaintiff and defendant; awarding to said plaintiff the custody and control of the minor children of plaintiff and defendant until the further order of this court subject, however, to the right of said defendant to visit said minor children once every three months during the time said minor children are so in the custody and control of said plaintiff; awarding to said plaintiff as permanent alimony out of the estate of said defendant the sum of \$1,000.00 payable in 30 days from the date hereof and adjudging the payment of said sum to be a specific lien upon the real estate owned by said defendant and herein before particularly described.

Let judgment and decree be entered accordingly.

OSOM Corrigan

Destriel-Courb Bounty of Baron, Berka M. Poppitz Plaintiff Es. Poppitz. Dependant Tenderigo V Decision CARVER COUNTY, FILED HO Machelley Com (493) attorney for Plaintiff Charles numer,

State of In	innesota.	)	Class 6.
County of Carver		88.	
	ay of March	I hereby certi	ty and return, that on the
	g of management and an annual street	190 T at the Town	of Labour
in the County of Carver		in and a second town	of markets
in the County of Carver	upon the within n	in said State, I served	the within Reties of
in the County of Carver	upon the within n	in said State, I served ramed defendant, Rosand and there handing to	the within Hotios of an Poppits
to the County of Carver ion a Affidavita ####################################	totion & Affia	named defendant, and there handing to a	the within Motion of an Poppits and leaving with him, a true
in the County of Carver ion > Affidavits	this will by then of the state	named defendant, and there handing to a	the within Hotion of an Poppits and leaving with him, a true

STATE OF MINNESOTA

County of Carver

DISTRICT COURT Eighth Judicial District

Bertha M. Poppitz

Plaintiff

VS

Herman Popoitz

Defendant

Sir:

You will please take notice that at an adjourned term of said Court to be held at the Court House in the Village of Glencoe in said District and State on Saturday the 6th day of April A.D.1907. At the opening of Court on that day or as soon thereafter as counsel can be heard, said plaintiff will move said Court for an order in the bove entitled action requiring and directing you to pay to said plaintiff, or her atcorneys, suit money in the sum of thirty dollars and attorneys fees in the sum of two hundred dollars (one half of such attorneys fees to be paid forthwith and the balance at the time of the trial of said action), the sum of twenty dollars for necessary easonable clothing for plaintiff and her children and the sum of fifteen dollars per meek during the pendency of this action for the maintenance of plaintiff and the children mentioned in the complaint herein.

Upon the hearing of said motion and in support thereof said plaintiff will read the Summons and Complaint, together with the proof of service thereof, and affidavits with copies of which you are herewith served.

Said motion will be made upon the ground that said several sums above mentioned are necessary to enable plaintiff to prosecute this action and for the suitable maintenance of herself and children during the pendency thereof, and plaintiff is without oney or means.

Dated Chaska, March 28th, 1907.

Yours &c ..

To

Said Defendant.

1574 CU

Attorneys for Plaintiff.

STATE OF MINNESOTA

DISTRICT COURT

County of Carver

Eighth Judicial District

Bertha M. Poppitz

Plaintiff

VS

Herman Poppitz

Defendant

State of Winnesota

SS

County of Carver

Bertha M. Poppitz, being first duly sworn, says that she is the plainin the above entitled action; that she has heard read the complaint in said acand knows the contents thereof and that the same is true, and she here and now repeats and re-affirms the allegations of cruel and inhuman treatment therein set forth
and makes the same a part of this affidavit.

That plaintiff was driven from her home by defendant, and with her children forced ake refude with friends; that she now is and ever since the 16th instant has been. with her children, temporarily quartered in the residence of a relative residing in the City of Chaska; that she has no money or property of any kind except certain mearing apparel of herself and children which she took with her when leaving home; that maintenance of herself and children during the pendency of this action will cost the neighborhood of fifteen dollars per week; that necessary seasonable clothing for herself and children will cost at least twenty dollars; that she will require as soit money, for fees of officers and witnesses, the sum of thirty dollars; that the sers of her attorneys in bringing said action, in preparing the same for trial, and uphe trial, as she is informed and believes will be worth, and she will be required to pay therefor, the sum of two hundred dollars.

Affiant further says that she has fully and fairly stated the case and the facts in the case to W.C.Odell of Odell & Odell, her attornews, who reside in the City of Chaska in said County, and that she has a good and substantial cause of action upon the

merits as she is advised by her said counsel after such statement so made as aforesaid and verily believes true.

Further affiant saith not except that she makes this affidavit in support of an application for an order requiring said defendant to pay attorney's fees to enable plaintiff to bring and prosecute this action, suit money, and for the support and maintenance of plaintiff and her children during the pendency of this action.

Subscribed and sworn to before me This 28th day of March A.D. 1907.

Bertha Mr. Poppit

Notary Public, Min.

State of Minnesota

nty of Carver

w. C. Odell.beins first duly sworm.says that he is an attorney of this court residing in the City of Chaska in said County and of the firm of Odell & Odell. attorneys for the plaintiff in said action; that from the statements made to affiant by said plaintiff he verily believes that said plaintiff has a dood and substantial use of action on the merits; that plaintiff has no means of any kind with which to employ counsel to bring and prosecute this action or to pay the necessary expenses to be incurred by her therein.or for her maintenance and the maintenance of her children during the pendency thereof to the knowledge or belief of affiant; that services counsel in bringing and prosecuting this action will be reasonably worth the sum two hundred dollars, and of that amount one hundred dollars will be a reasonable and proper charge for such services in commencing and preparing for the trial thereof; that suit money, for fees of officers and witnesses, will be necessarily incurred by said plaintiff in the sum of this.

by said plaintiff in the sum of thirty dollars or thereabouts as affiant is now advised.

and a reasonable and proper allowance will be necessary for the suitable and proper

maintenance of plaintiff and her children during the pendency of this action.

Subscribed and sworn to before me This 25 day of Warch A.D. 1907.

W. & Doubl

Hotary Public Muin.

State of Minnesota,		d says			f said	person of	
County of Barnen		ses an			bode c	a pe	
District court		ћ деро			the usual abode of said		
Bertha M. Poppita		upon oath depos				7	1
Herman Posspity Defendant.		being first duly sworn u n said County and State			the house of	y of said	The Paris of the P
		frst duly County			at t	true and correct copy	
Otice of Wation Affidavito		being fir in said O		y, by.		d corr	
Due and personal service of the within		bei in s	hin	personally, by		we an	
hisday of	· s		he wit	1		th.	
			he served the	named		resident therein, a	
Attorney for E. C.			, he s	herein		sident	
40- , JUL 16 1907	sota,		-61	1		hen rea	1
J.D. Muchlbreg ou	Dinne					tion, t	September 1
	of A				d.	suitable age and discre	1
Attorney for Police	State of				leaving with said	e and	
Attorney for Laty	County of	that at the	of		ing wi	ble ag	
MILLER-DATIS FRINTING CO. MINNEAPOLIS	Com	that	day of	the.	Leavi	wita	

STATE OF MINNESOTA

DISTRICT COURT,

County of Carver.

Fighth Judicial District.

Bertha M. Poppitz,

Plaintiff

-VS.-

Herman Peppitz,

Defendant.

New comes said defendant and for his answer to the complaint of plaintiff in the above entitled action respectfully alleges:

- 1. That defendant admits as true paragraphs ene, twe, three and four of said complaint.
- 2. That save and except as herein expressly admitted said defendant deies said complaint and and each and every allegatinen thereof.

Wherefore defendant prays that said action be dismissed and that plaintiff take nothing thereunder.

chaska, Minn.

COMBINATION WRAPPER.

supen cath, says that he is		Country of Carver Ss.
pon oath, says that he is	0	Source of the second
ame is true	- Coppelly being first duly sworn	1
ame is true	in the foregoing	pon oath, says that he is the
AGAINST  THE STATE OF MINNESOTA TO THE ABOVE NAMED DEFENDANT: You are hereby summoned and required to answer to the Plaintiff in the above entitled action, which complaint on the subscriber, at the Plaintiff in the above acopy of your answer to the said complaint within the tithe Plaintiff in the action will—apply to the court for the relief demanded in said complaint and take judgement for the amount so ascertained—take judgement against you for per cent, per annum since the day of per contogether with the Plaintiff's costs and disbursements herein.	auseur, ; that the	rithin entitled action; that he has heard read
State of Minnesota,  County.  Defendant.  THE STATE OE MINNESOTA TO THE ABOVE NAMED DEFENDANT: You—are hereby summoned and required to answer it the County of the Plaintiff in the above entitled action, which complaint—is hereto annexed und herewith the Minnesota, and to serve a copy of your answer to the said complaint on the subscriber, at office, in the within twenty days after service of this action will—apply to the court for the relief demanded in said complaint within the title Plaintiff in this action will—apply to the court for the relief demanded in said complaint entitled tor cover, assertained by the Court or under and take judgement for the amount so ascertained—take judgement against you for Dollars, (\$ ) with interest of per cent, per annum since the day of together with the Plaintiff's costs and disbursements herein.	own knowledge, except as to matters therein	ame is true.
State of Minnesota,  County.  State of Minnesota,  County.  Plaintiff  SUMMONS.  State of Minnesota THE ABOVE NAMED DEFENDANT:  You  Against Are hereby summoned and required to answer to the Plaintiff in the above entitled action, which complaint—is hereto annexed und herewith the Plaintiff in the action will—apply to the court for the relief demanded in said complain amount Plaintiff in this action will—apply to the court for the relief demanded in said complaint—in the relief demanded in said complaint—in the summon acclusive of the day of such service; and if you fail to answer the said complaint within the time the Plaintiff in this action will—apply to the court for the relief demanded in said complain amount Plaintiff  entitled tor ecover, assertained by the Court or under Dollars, (\$ ) with interest of per cent, per annum since the day of together with the Plaintiff's costs and disbursements herein.	e believes it to be true, to the best of h	tated on information and belief, and as to
Subscribed and sworn to before me this day of Harry Public, County.  State of Minnesota,  County.  Defendant.  Plaintiff  SUMMONS.  THE STATE OE MINNESOTA TO THE ABOVE NAMED DEFENDANT: You are hereby summoned and required to answer to the Plaintiff in the above entitled action, which complaint—is hereto annexed und herewith to the Minnesota, and to serve a copy of your answer to the said complaint on the subscriber, at office, in the within twenty days after service of this summon exclusive of the day of such service; and if you fail to answer the said complaint within the time Plaintiff in this action will—apply to the court for the relief demanded in said complaint amount Plaintiff  entitled tor ecover, assertained by the Court or under and take judgement for the amount so ascertained—take judgment against you for Dollars, (\$		nowledge, information and belief, and th
Subscribed and sworn to before me this  Against Public, County  State of Minnesota,  County.  Defendant.  THE STATE OE MINNESOTA TO THE ABOVE NAMED DEFENDANT: You are hereby summoned and required to answer to the Plaintiff in the above entitled action, which complaint—is hereto annexed und herewith you—has been filed in the office of the Clerk of said at the County of Minnesota, and to serve a copy of your answer to the said complaint on the subscriber, at office, in the within twenty days after service of this summon exclusive of the day of such service; and if you fail to answer the said complaint within the tithe Plaintiff in this action will—apply to the court for the relief demanded in said complain amount Plaintiff and take judgement for the amount so ascertained—take judgment against you for Dollars, (\$	de manifestation and applications and applications and applications and applications and applications and applications are applications are applications and applications are applications are applications and applications are applications and applications are applications and applications are applications are applications and applications are applications are applications and applications are ap	to
State of Minnesota,  County.  Defendant.  THE STATE OF MINNESOTA TO THE ABOVE NAMED DEFENDANT:  You are hereby summoned and required to answer to the Plaintiff in the above entitled action, which complaint—is hereto annexed und herewith you—has been filed in the office of the Clerk of said.  At the County of Minnesota, and to serve a copy of your answer to the said complaint on the subscriber, at office, in the within twenty days after service of this summon exclusive of the day of such service; and if you fail to answer the said complaint within the tithe Plaintiff in this action will—apply to the court for the relief demanded in said complain amount Plaintiff entitled tor ecover, assertained by the Court or under and take judgment for the amount so ascertained—take judgment against you for Dollars, (\$	man Joppes	
State of Minnesota,  County.  Defendant.  THE STATE OE MINNESOTA TO THE ABOVE NAMED DEFENDANT:  You are hereby summoned and required to answer to the Plaintiff in the above entitled action, which complaint—is hereto annexed und herewith you—has been filed in the office of the Clerk of said.  At the County of Minnesota, and to serve a copy of your answer to the said complaint on the subscriber, at office, in the within twenty days after service of this summon exclusive of the day of such service; and if you fail to answer the said complaint within the tithe Plaintiff in this action will—apply to the court for the relief demanded in said complain amount Plaintiff entitled tor ecover, assertained by the Court or under and take judgment for the amount so ascertained—take judgment against you for Dollars, (\$ ) with interest together with the Plaintiff's costs and disbursements herein.	wo to Crover	
THE STATE OE MINNESOTA TO THE ABOVE NAMED DEFENDANT: You are hereby summoned and required to answer to the Plaintiff in the above entitled action, which complaint—is hereto annexed und herewith you—has been filed in the office of the Clerk of said at the County of Minnesota, and to serve a copy of your answer to the said complaint on the subscriber, at office, in the within twenty days after service of this summon exclusive of the day of such service; and if you fail to answer the said complaint within the tithe Plaintiff in this action will—apply to the court for the relief demanded in said complaint amount Plaintiff entitled for ecover, assertained by the Court or under and take judgement for the amount so ascertained—take judgment against you for Dollars, (\$ ) with interest of per cent, per annum since the day of	Corver County Minnesota	(NOTARIAL)
Defendant.  THE STATE OF MINNESOTA TO THE ABOVE NAMED DEFENDANT: You are hereby summoned and required to answer to the Plaintiff in the above entitled action, which complaint—is hereto annexed und herewith you—has been filed in the office of the Clerk of said.  At the County of  Minnesota, and to serve a copy of your answer to the said complaint on the subscriber, at office, in the within twenty days after service of this summon exclusive of the day of such service; and if you fail to answer the said complaint within the tithe Plaintiff in this action will—apply to the court for the relief demanded in said complaint amount Plaintiff entitled for ecover, assertained by the Court or under and take judgement for the amount so ascertained—take judgment against you for Dollars, (\$ ) with interest of per cent, per annum since the day of	COURT,	State of Minnesota,
Defendant.  THE STATE OF MINNESOTA TO THE ABOVE NAMED DEFENDANT: You are hereby summoned and required to answer to the Plaintiff in the above entitled action, which complaint—is hereto annexed und herewith you—has been filed in the office of the Clerk of said.  At the County of  Minnesota, and to serve a copy of your answer to the said complaint on the subscriber, at office, in the within twenty days after service of this summon exclusive of the day of such service; and if you fail to answer the said complaint within the tithe Plaintiff in this action will—apply to the court for the relief demanded in said complaint amount Plaintiff entitled for ecover, assertained by the Court or under and take judgement for the amount so ascertained—take judgment against you for Dollars, (\$ ) with interest of per cent, per annum since the day of		
Defendant.  THE STATE OE MINNESOTA TO THE ABOVE NAMED DEFENDANT:  You are hereby summoned and required to answer to the Plaintiff in the above entitled action, which complaint—is hereto annexed und herewith you—has been filed in the office of the Clerk of said.  At the County of Minnesota, and to serve a copy of your answer to the said complaint on the subscriber, at office, in the within twenty days after service of this summon exclusive of the day of such service; and if you fail to answer the said complaint within the tiesthe Plaintiff in this action will—apply to the court for the relief demanded in said complaint amount Plaintiff entitled to recover, assertained by the Court or under and take judgment for the amount so ascertained—take judgment against you for Dollars, (\$	JUDICIAL DISTRICT.	County.
THE STATE OE MINNESOTA TO THE ABOVE NAMED DEFENDANT:  You are hereby summoned and required to answer to the Plaintiff in the above entitled action, which complaint—is hereto annexed und herewith you—has been filed in the office of the Clerk of said  County of  Minnesota, and to serve a copy of your answer to the said complaint on the subscriber, at office, in the of inithe sexulusive of the day of such service; and if you fail to answer the said complaint within the tithe Plaintiff in this action will—apply to the court for the relief demanded in said complaint amount Plaintiff entitled tor ecover, assertained by the Court or under and take judgement for the amount so ascertained—take judgement against you for Dollars, (\$ ) with interest of per cent, per annum since the day of together with the Plaintiff's costs and disbursements herein.	SUMMONS.	AGAINST
You are hereby summoned and required to answer to the Plaintiff in the above entitled action, which complaint—is hereto annexed und herewith you—has been filed in the office of the Clerk of said.  At the County of Minnesota, and to serve a copy of your answer to the said complaint on the subscriber, at office, in the within twenty days after service of this summon exclusive of the day of such service; and if you fail to answer the said complaint within the tithe Plaintiff in this action will—apply to the court for the relief demanded in said complaint amount Plaintiff entitled tor ecover, assertained by the Court or under and take judgement for the amount so ascertained—take judgment against you for Dollars, (\$ ) with interest of the Plaintiff's costs and disbursements herein.		
You are hereby summoned and required to answer to the Plaintiff in the above entitled action, which complaint—is hereto annexed und herewith you—has been filed in the office of the Clerk of said County of Minnesota, and to serve a copy of your answer to the said complaint on the subscriber, at office, in the within twenty days after service of this summon exclusive of the day of such service; and if you fail to answer the said complaint within the tithe Plaintiff in this action will—apply to the court for the relief demanded in said complaint amount Plaintiff entitled tor ecover, assertained by the Court or under and take judgement for the amount so ascertained—take judgment against you for Dollars, (\$ ) with interest of the Plaintiff's costs and disbursements herein.	DEFENDANT:	THE STATE OF MINNESOTA TO THE A
of the Plaintiff in the above entitled action, which complaint—is hereto annexed und herewith you—has been filed in the office of the Clerk of said  at the	moned and required to answer the complaint	You
you—has been filed in the office of the Clerk of said  County of  Minnesota, and to serve a copy of your answer to the said complaint on the subscriber, at  office, in the  within twenty  days after service of this summore exclusive of the day of such service; and if you fail to answer the said complaint within the time Plaintiff in this action will—apply to the court for the relief demanded in said complaint amount Plaintiff  entitled for ecover, assertained by the Court or under and take judgement for the amount so ascertained—take judgment against you for Dollars, (\$ ) with interest of per cent, per annum since the day of	is hereto annexed und herewith served upon	of the Plaintiff in the above entitled action, wh
Minnesota, and to serve a copy of your answer to the said complaint on the subscriber, at office, in the of days after service of this summore exclusive of the day of such service; and if you fail to answer the said complaint within the time Plaintiff in this action will—apply to the court for the relief demanded in said complaint amount Plaintiff entitled for ecover, assertained by the Court or under and take judgement for the amount so ascertained—take judgment against you for Dollars, (\$ ) with interest of per cent, per annum since the day of together with the Plaintiff's costs and disbursements herein.	Court,	you-has been filed in the office of the Clerk
Minnesota, and to serve a copy of your answer to the said complaint on the subscriber, at	and State of	at the
of days after service of this summon exclusive of the day of such service; and if you fail to answer the said complaint within the time Plaintiff in this action will—apply to the court for the relief demanded in said complaint amount Plaintiff entitled for ecover, assertained by the Court or under and take judgement for the amount so ascertained—take judgment against you for Dollars, (\$	laint on the subscriber, at	Minnesota, and to serve a copy of your answer
within twenty. days after service of this summon exclusive of the day of such service; and if you fail to answer the said complaint within the time Plaintiff in this action will—apply to the court for the relief demanded in said complaint amount Plaintiff entitled for ecover, assertained by the Court or under and take judgement for the amount so ascertained—take judgment against you for Dollars, (\$ ) with interest of the per cent, per annum since the day of together with the Plaintiff's costs and disbursements herein.	, in the said County of	office, in the
exclusive of the day of such service; and if you fail to answer the said complaint within the tiethe Plaintiff in this action will—apply to the court for the relief demanded in said complaint amount Plaintiff entitled for ecover, assertained by the Court or under and take judgement for the amount so ascertained—take judgment against you for Dollars, (\$	days after service of this summons upon you,	within two
the Plaintiff in this action will—apply to the court for the relief demanded in said complair amount Plaintiff entitled tor ecover, assertained by the Court or under and take judgement for the amount so ascertained—take judgment against you for Dollars, (\$ ) with interest of per cent, per annum since the day of together with the Plaintiff's costs and disbursements herein.	the said complaint within the time aforesaid,	exclusive of the day of such service; and if yo
amount Plaintiff entitled for ecover, assertained by the Court or under and take judgement for the amount so ascertained—take judgment against you for Dollars, (\$	e relief demanded in said complaint—have the	the Plaintiff in this action will-apply to
and take judgement for the amount so ascertained—take judgment against you for Dollars, (\$ Dollars, (\$ ) with interest of per cent, per annum since the day of together with the Plaintiff's costs and disbursements herein.	assertained by the Court or under its direction,	amount Plaintiff entr
of per cent, per annum since the day of together with the Plaintiff's costs and disbursements herein.	te judgment against you for the sum of	and take judgement for the amount so
of	llars, (\$) with interest at the rate	
together with the Plaintiff's costs and disbursements herein.		of per cent, per annum since the
A D 19	and the second s	together with the Plaintiff's costs and disburse
		Dated

STATE OF MINNESOTA, County of Carver  District COURT.		guied	19 he served the	The state of the s	leaving	the usual abode of said	a person of suitable
Bertha W Pappils Plintiff.  We Poppils Defendant.  Original  Due and personal service of the within  is hereby admitted	ž.	98, that at the	day of	uodn	named, personally, by	at the house of	
A. D. 190	State of Minnesota,	first duly sworn upon oath, deposes and says, that at the	in said County and State, on the	within	therein	with said	with

STATE OF MINNESOTA

IN DISTRICT COURT

County of Carver

Eighth Judicial District.

Bertha M. Poppitz.

Plaintiff

-V8.-

Herman Poppitz,

Defendant.

STATE OF MINNESOTA SS County of Carver.

Herman Poppitz being first duly swern en eath eage,
That that he is the defendant named in said above entitled action which
said action was commenced on or about the 38th day of March 1907, and is
brought by the plaintiff, who is the wife of affiant, for the purpose of
securing a diverce from affiant. That affiant hereby expressly admits
the truth of the allegations of paragraphs 1,2,3,4, and 6 of plaintiff's
complaint in said action, save and except that affiant demice so much of
said paragraph 6 as states the value of his said properties; and affiant
further expressly denies each and every allegation of paragraph 5 of plaintiff's said complaint save and except such portion of said paragraph
wherein it is alleged "that she lost her reason and for a period of nine
lays she was entirely bereft of reason and was under the care of a physician".

Affiant further states and shows to this honorable court that on the 16th day of March 1907, said plaintiff, without comes or provocation of any kind, abandoned her home and this affiant; and has ever since remained away from her said home and retains in her possession their said children.

Affient further says, that shortly prior said plaintiff's removal from her said home she purchased, with the consent and at the expense of affiant, a considerable supply of clothing for her self and the said children; that when said plaintiff so left her home she took with her said supply of clothing and most all of her own and said children's other clothing. That affiant is ready and willing to turn ever to plaintiff all clothing belonging to her or said children new in the possession of affiant.

Affiant further states and discloses, that he is the owner of a 60 acre farm situate in Balignan township said County which said farm is of the worth and value of not to exceed \$4000.00; that there is a valid lies of

the sum of nine hundred dellars and accrued interest; that affiant ewas no other real estate and that his interests in said farm is worth not to exceed the sum of \$3000. That affiant is the ewner of personal property all teld of the worth and value of not to exceed \$600. And affiant is indebted on premisery notes,—ther than the mertgage indebtedness,—in the sum of \$600.00; and is ewing other indebtedness to the extent of about \$600. That affiants only occupation is farming, and that he is unable to continue that occupation since said Blaintiff abandened their said home, and that affiants income, if any, must of necessity be very small for menths to come.

purther affiant saith not except that he makes this affidavit in opposition of an application for an order of this honorable court requiring
said affiant to pay atterney's fees, suit money, to emable said plaintiff
to presecute this said action, and for the support and maintenance of plaintiff and the said children during the pendency of said action.

Herman Poppits

subscribed and swern to before me this 3/4 day of April, 1907.

Thus & Craver Co Minn

HERE THE A STATE OF THE PARTY O

The second of the second secon

to be the comment of the contract of the contr

THE PERSON LAND TO SELECT THE PARTY OF THE P

Original)					
STATE OF MINNESOTA,		being	., he served the	poode	person of suitable
Bertha M Poppits Plaintiff.			19	at the house of the usu	a be the same
Afficiant in Oppositions to Order for Sustanowry the			ū	by	correct copy of said.
Due and personal service of the within  GARVER COUS Noreby admitted  this Fday of D	SS.	l says, that at the	day of upon	thereia named, personally,	and is to
A. D. 190 JUL 16 1907  Attorney for	Ainnesota,	oath, deposes and	State, on the	thereia	sident thereir
Attorney for DEfendant Clasky Minn.	State of I	odn	County and	the with said	age and discretion, then re that said
C. F. GREENWOOD, MANKATO, MINN  (493)	ğ	Gred	in said	the	age that

STATE OF MINNESOTA County of Carver

DISTRICT COURT
Eighth Judicial District

Bertha M. Poppitz

Plaintiff

VS

Herman Poppitz

Defendant

This cause coming on to be heard before the Court at an adjourned term held at the Court House in the Village of Glencoe in the County of McLeod in said State pursuant to due notice and upon the application of said plaintiff for an order directing the defendant to pay to said plaintiff or her attorneys certain specified sums of ey to enable plaintiff to carry on and prosecute this action and for the support maintenance of plaintiff and her infant children during the pendency thereof.

Odell & Odell.attorneys for said plaintiff.appeared in support of said application.and Thos. F. Craven.Esc..attorney for said defendant.appeared in opposition reto.

And after hearing and duly considering the proofs and allegations of the respective parties. It is Ordered. That there is hereby allowed to said plaintiff out of the property and effects of said defendant and said defendant is hereby ordered and directed to pay to said plaintiff or her said attorneys at the times hereinafter stated for the purposes hereinafter specified the sums of money hereinafter mentioned. That is to say: For necessaries for the infant children of plaintiff and defendant, the sum of ten dollars payable forthwith upon the service of a copy of this Order; for fees of officers and witnesses the sum of fifteen dollars payable in ten days from the electric for the support and maintenance of plaintiff and her infant child, the sum of twenty five dollars per month during the pendency of this action and from and after the 28th day of March last, the first of such monthly allowances to be and on the 28th day of April instant, and said amount to be paid monthly thereafter during the pendency of this action; for attorneys fees to enable plaintiff to carry on and prosecute this action the sum of one hundred and fifty dollars, seventy five

dollars of said sum to be paid to Odell & Odell, attorneys for said plaintiff, on or before May 1st next, and the balance thereof to be paid to said attorneys on or before June 1st next ensuing.

Dated April 6, 1907.

Judge of said Court.

Oregenal State of Minnesota,		88	1 1			id	of	
County of Carver		nd sa				of sa	person of	
County of Wrock		ses a				bode	, a p	
District court.		being first duly sworn upon oath depos				t the house of the usual abode of said		opy of said
B. 12. D.		oat)	the.			the u		
Butha M. Poppets		ıodn	te, on			se of		id
vs.		worn	d Sto			e hou		of sa
Thomas Toppitz Defendant.		uly s	in said County and State, on the			at th		correct copy of said
		irst d	Coun		7			rrect
Deder for Suit Moneyete.		ing h	said		ly, bi			
Due and personal service of the within		Pe	ni hin	1	sonal			true and
admitted			e wit		l, per		h	8
his19	-88		in he served the within		herein named, personally, by		wit.	verein
CARVER COUNTY,			ie ser		ein 1			ent ti
Attorney for D					the			resid
40 6 APP11 1907	esota		19.					then
1.0. Muchling	5							etion,
	ot o					d		discr
Odell rodoll	State of Wi				3	leaving with said		suitable age and discretion, then resident therein,
Odell rodell		6.6	t the			g wit		le age
Charla, Milie.			that at the	mbon.	re.	avin		uitab
			ti	n	17	7		81
(493)	1							

State of Minnesota County of Carver

District Court.

Eighth Judicial District.

Bertha M. Poppitz.

Plaintiff

-VS-

Herman Poppitz.

Defendant.

This cause having been duly brought on for trial before the court without a jury and the court having made and filed its findings and decision therein wherein and whereby it is found and determined among other things that plaintiff is entitled to judgment and decree of this court dissolving the marriage relation existing between plaintiff and defendant and awarding to said plaintiff the custody and control of the minor children of plaintiff and defendant until the further order of the court subject, however, to the right of said defendant to visit said minor children once every three months during the time said minor children are in the custody and control of said plaintiff and further awarding to said plaintiff as permanent alimony out of the estate of said defendant the sum of \$1,000.00 payable in 30 days from the 15th day of July 1907 and adjudging the payment of said sum to be a specific lien upon the real estate owned by said defendant and in said findings and decision particularly described;

Now on Motion. W. C. Odell. Attorney for said Plaintiff.

It is Ordered, Adjudged, Determined and Decreed that the bonds of matrimony existing between plaintiff and defendant be and the same are hereby dissolved and said plaintiff is released and divorced from the obligations thereof.

And, on like Motion. It is further Ordered, Adjudged and Decreed that plaintiff have and recover from said defendant as permanent alimony the sum of \$1,000.00 payable 30 days from the 15th day of July 1907 and that the payment thereof be and hereby is made a specific lien upon the real estate owned by said defendant described as follows, viz: Beginning at the center post of Section 28 Township 116 Range 24 running thence south on the quarter line of

said Section 120 rods; thence west at right angles with last mentioned line 40 rods; thence north parallel with first mentioned line 120 rods; thence east 40 rods to place of beginning, situated in the east half of the Southwest quarter of said Section 28 and containing 30 acres. beginning at quarter section corner in line between Sections 28 and 33 of the Township and Range above mentioned, thence north 17.32 chains; thence east 17.32 chains; thence south 17.32 chains to section line; thence west 17.32 chains to place of beginning, situated in the Southwest quarter of the Southeast quarter of said Section 28 and containing 30 acres;

And, on like Motion it is further Ordered that said plaintiff have the care, custody and control of the minor children of plaintiff and defendant until the further order of this court; that defendant be permitted to visit said children at least once every three months during the time said children are so in the custody and control of said plaintiff.

And, on like Motion, it is further Ordered and Adjudged that plaintiff have and recover from said defendant her costs and disbursements herein taxed and allowed at the sum of tyteen Dollars, and that she have execution therefore.

By the Court.

Clerk.

District Court Country of Carver. Bertha M. Poppitz
Sterman Toppitz.
Sterman Superdans. Judgment Roll CARVER COUNTY, FILED HO. Muhlbug our.

3079 NOT COM

## DISTRICT COURT

CARVER COUNTY, MINNESOTA

Mary Lizzie Kers.

Plaintiff

John W. Miller, et al

Defendant S

F. Barta

Plaintiff's Atty.

Defendant's Atty.

190.

m 24 9
Date of Entry May 24 - 190/
Register of Actions. 2. Page 476
Term Tried September 190/
Judgment for Ulaitiff
Amount of Judgment. \$
Date of Judgment June 3 - 190 8
Judgment Book J Page 268
Default Judgment Book Page

Date of Docketing

Mary Leggie Hees

Mary Leggie Hees

Against

Maller He Miller

Court

Case.

LAST PLEADING SERVED,

190

Court

Case.

J Barta

Attorney for Plaintiff.

Will the Clerk Please file this Note of Issue, and enter the cause on the calendar for the Left 30 A. D. 1907.

Yours, etc.,

J Barta

Attorney for Plaintiff.

Filed Sept. 23 - 1907

The Sept. 23 - 1907

State of Minnesota,

County of Ransey

I horeby certify and return
on oath says that at the City of & Paule in the County of Ransey,

State
of Minnesota, on the 27th
served the within summons upon Peter H Miller one of

the defendant Stherein named, by

handing to and leaving with

eaid defendant a true and correct copy thereof, at the
house of his usual abode, by handing to and leaving with a person of suitable
age and discretion then resident therein, to wit, unit Choron H miller
a true and correct copy of said summons. A sthat at soich the analytic of the faid of the faid for the faid of the faid for the f

State of Minnesota,

County of Ramsey

Firstward Borla being duly sworn,

I hereby certify and return
on oath says that at the lig of fellows in the County of Ramsay
of Minnesota, on the 272 day of Lep Early 1. D. 1907, he
of Minnesota, on the 272 day of Lep Early 1. D. 1907, he
served the within summons upon
harding to and leaving with said defendant
handing to and leaving with said defendant
handing to and leaving with person of switable
said defendant a true and correct copy thereof, at the
said defendant a true and correct copy thereof, at the
said defendant a true and correct copy of said summons.

Subscribed and sworn to before me this 302 day of Lep Earler 1. D. 1907.

Notary Public Rawsey County, Minn.

Notary Public Rawsey County, Minn.

No. 21. SUMMO FOR RELIEF-COMPLAINT FILED.	700	**	Chan 4.
State of Minnesota,		COURT,  Judicial	District.
Mary Lizzie Hecs,		1	
vs.  Tohn W. Miller, Peter H. Miller, Charles H. Miller as executor of the charles and testament of Annie		SUMMONS.	
THE STATE OF MINNESOTA TO THE ABOVE NAMED DEF	ENDANT:		and required
to answer the complaint in this action, which has and to serve a copy of your answer to the said con the City of .t. Paul, in the County of	s been filed with the Cle mplaint on the subscrib Ramacy	erk of said Court er at his and State of	at his office, in f Minnesota
within twenty days after the service of this Sum and, if you fail to answer the said complaint wit apply to the Court for the relief demanded in sa	mons upon you, exclus thin the time aforesaid,	ive of the day of	such service
DatedApril 30th.		d Harta Plaintiff	"s Attorney,

State of Minnesota,

County of Range certify and return
on oath says that at the Chi of It Paul in the County of Range of State
served the within summons upon John F. Miller

the defendant I therein named, by

handing to and leaving with
house of his usual abode, by handing to and leaving with
house and correct copy of said summons. And that at said there is the person of suitable
a true and correct copy of said summons. And that at said time and place he served said
handing to did leaving un the soid that at said time and place he served said
handing to did leaving un the soid of halls of Miller The general quardian of paid John H misler, and
Subscribed and sworn to before me this 3 of Jay of Me place A. D. 1907.

Notary Public County, Minn.

Notary Public County, Minn.

GRIGINAL.

## DISTRICT COURT,

Eighth

Judicial District,

County of Carver.

Mary Lizzie Hees,

Vs.

Pltff;

John W. Miller, Peter H. Miller, Charles H. Miller et al.

## Summons for Relief -- Complaint Filed.

CARVER COUNTY, FILED

Ferdinand Barta. Plaintiff's Attorney.

\$14 Court Block, St. Paul, Minn.

(496)

FA. 1 State of Minnesota,

District Court, Eigth Judicial District.

Mary Lizzie Hees,

Plaintiff,

Vs.

John W. Miller, Peter H. Miller, Charles H. Miller as executor of the last will and testament of Annie Miller and Charles H. Miller,

Defendants.

The plaintiff complains of the defendants and alleges:

That at the time of his death as hereinafter stated John Anton Hees, musband of this plaintiff, was seized in fee simple of the following described premises located in the County of Carver and State of Himmesota, to-wit: Commencing at a point on the North line of the North Hast arter of the South West Quarter (N. E. 1/4 of S. W. 1/4) of Section Thirteen (13) in Township One hundred and fifteen (115) Range Twenty-five (25), which point is fourteen (14) rods East of the Northwest Corner of said Northeast Quarter of Northwest Quarter of said section; thence runding East two hundred and forty-nine feet along said north line to a point thence south one hundred and twenty-eight feet to a point; thence westerly two hundred and fifty (250) feet to a point which is one hundred and thirty-two feet (132) due South from the point of beginning; thence rth one hundred and thirty-two feet to the point of beginning contain-

That the westerly seventy-five feet of said tract or parcel was conveyed by Annie Miller and plaintiff to Henry Kohls by deed dated January 20, 1906, and recorded in the office of the register of deed of said county on the 22nd day of January, 1906, in book 18 of deed page 5.

That on the 21st day of May, 1897, said John Anton Hees made and pub-

lands to the plaintiff for life, remainder in fee to Armie Mary Hees, afterwards Armie Mary Miller, his daughter, and Paul Henry Hees, his son, in equal shares.

That said Joh Anton Hees departed this life on or about the 21st day of December, 1897, and said will was duly admitted to probate by the Probate Court of said County on the 25th day of February, 1898.

That on or about the 7th day of May, 1903, said Paul Henry Hees died intestate seized of the undivided half of said premises sodevised to him as aforesaid, intestate, of full age and unmarried, and plaintiff as his heir at law became seized of said undivided one-half of said land.

That during the time hereinafter stated said Annie Mary Miller, also known as Annie Miller was the duly appointed Administratrix of the estate of Paul Henry #225, and the duly appointed administratrix de onis non of the estate of John Anton Hees.

That said Annie Eiller depar ed this life on the 3rd day of August, 1908, at St. Paul, Ramsey County, in said state, having by her last will and testament cated the 2nd day of August, 1906, devised her interest in aid land to her sons the defendants John W. Miller and Peter H. Miller.

That on or about the 12th day of Deptember, 1906, the defendant Charles H. Miller was duly appointed executor of the last will and t stament of said Annie Miller by the probate court of Ramsey County, and is the duly qualified and acting executor of the last will and testament of Annie Miller deceased.

That on or about the 3rd day of No ember, 1904, said Annie Miller represented to the plaintiff that it was necessary for plaintiff to sign some paper or instrument in the administration of the Estate of her nusband to confirm her life estate in said land as the same had been devised to her by her husband, and induced her to sign and instrument or peperwhich said Annie Miller represented related to plaintiff's said life estate and was necessary for the purpose of establishing and confirming the same, and the plaintiff relying upon the said representation of said.

Annie Miller and believing the same to be true and that it was necessary to signssuch instrument signed the same. The said instrument was not

such as the same was represented to be by the said Annie Miller but was a deed of conveyance conveying a remainder in fee of all said land including the undivided one half interest in fee which she had become seized of as heir at law of her said son Paul Henry Hees as aforesaid. That said Annie Mary Miller fraudulently concealed from the plaintiff that said instrument was a deed of conveyance to herself of said half interest which plaintiff owned in fee, and plaintiff was decieved by the said misrepresentation and concealment, and did not discover that she had signed a deed conveying said half interest until about December 20, 1906. That the plaintiff would not have signed the said deed but for such

misrepresentat on and concealment of the said Annie Miller. That said deed was dated the 3rd day of October, 1904, and was recorded in the Office of the Register of Deeds of the County of Carver on the 3rd day of December, 1904, in book 19 of deed page 30. That said deed was e xecuted without any consideratiob therefor wahtever.

That plaintiff is unable to rea or write in the English h nguage and was unable to inform herself of the contents of said paper except as the same was represented to her by said Annie Miller and she was holly uniformed and ignormant of all legal transactions. That atthe i e of signing said deed she was seventy-four years of age and was wholly dependent on the advice and counsel of her daughter in all matters appertaining to the management and control of her matters appertaining to said property and reposed trust and confidence in aid Annie Miller that he would truthfully inform plaintiff of the necessity of signing such instrument.

Wherefore plaintiff demands judgment agat said deed of conveyance be cancelled, annulled and set aside, without prejudice however to the title f Henry Kohls to the aforesaid tract conveyed to him as aforesaid, and hat the defendants be adjudged to have no right, title or interest in the undivided one-half of the remainder in fee of said land described in n the said deed to said Annie Miller.
That plaintiff have such other and further relief as may be just and

roper and that she recover her costs herein.

Francis Attorney, 414 Court Block,

Sr. Paul, Minn,

State of Winnessta			
State of Minnesota,	3		
County of Namsey	D 11		
' Mary	diggie dees		eing first duly sworn,
pon oath says thatshe is	the plaintiff	<i>e</i>	in the
oregoing within entitled action; that she has	heard read the forego	ing comp	land
hat the same is true of his own knowledge,			ated on information
nd belief, and as to such matters. the believes i			
elief, and that the reason why this verificat			
erein is that said		is abse	nt from this County
	m her	9	11
vherein resides this affiant, his attorney.	Mary X	rizzie	vrees
Subscribed and sworn to before me this	305 day of	april	A. D. 190.7
	Frading	und Bart	
NOTARIAL		Ransey	County, Minn.
SEAL.	My Commission ex		County, Menn.
suite of Litminesom,	***************************************		Court,
County			
			1
			THE RESTAURA
against		Plaintiff	
			SUMMONS.
/	······································		
		Defendant	
The State of Minnesota to the abou	ve named Defends	int:	
You.			ed to answer the com
plaint of the Plaintiff in the above entitled			
served upon you has been filed in the office			
Court, at the			
and to serve a copy of your answer to the sa			
in			
County of			
summons upon you, exclusive of the day of			
vithin the time aforesaid, the Plaintiff in th			
in said complaint—have the amount Plaint			
under its direction, and take judgment for t		The state of the s	
he sum of			
interest at the rate ofper cent per annum s	since the	day of	190
together with Plaintiff's costs and disbursen			
Dated A. D. 19	0		Plaintiff's Attorney

State of Minnesota,
District Court
Mary Lizzie Hees
John W. Miller Et als Defendant
Complaint
Due and personal service of the within
this day of day of
A. D. 190  CARVER COUNTY,  FILED
HO, Muelly 24 1907
F. Barley Attorney for Pluff
414 Court Bek, It, Paul, Muin. (496)

State of Minnesota,

District Court,

County of Carver.

Mary Lizzie Hees,

Plaintiff.

vs.

John W. Miller, Peter H. Miller, Charles H. Miller, as executor of the last will and testament of Annie Miller, and Charles H. Miller,

Defendants.

The defendants John W. Miller and Peter H. Miller, by Charles H. Milter their General Guardian, for their separate answer to the complaint herein, allege:

That said John W. Miller is minor of the age of eighteen years, and and said Peter H. Miller is aminor seventeen years of age.

That Charles H. Miller of Ramsey County, in said state, was duly appointed guardian of the persons and estate of said John W. Miller and eter H. Miller, by the Probate Court of Ramsey County, in said State on the 27th day of September, 1907.

Further answering defendants admit the allegations of the complaint contained in folios 1, 2, 3, 4, 5 and 6 thereof, and aver that they have no knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in folios 7, 8, 9, 10 and 11 of said asmalai complaint.

Wherefore these defendants demand such judgment as may be just and proper in the premises.

Attorney for said Charges H.
Miller, Guardian of said Defendants
John W. Miller and Peter H. Miller
Court Block,

St. Faul, Minn.

-	•		•		

R. F. MARVIN.

State of A	Minnesota, ss	
	Charles H. Miller	came
	ally, and being duly sworn doth say that he is the tate of the defendants John W. Miller and	e guardian of the Peter H. Miller
		own knowled <b>g</b> e, except as , and as to those matters
20/5	Daufuer 1907	Willen
Notary Public,		3

County of		
	of said	County, being duly sworn, says that
^ ls	the attorney of the said	in this action; that the said
	is absent from the County of,	where he, the said attorney,
esides;		
hat the foregoing p	pleading is true to the best of his knowledge, i	nformation and belief.
bscribed and swe	rn to before me this	

State of Minnesota,

County of Carver

Astrict Court

Mary Lizzie Heest

Plaintiff

John H. Miller Patenty

Muller, Charles H Miller

Miller, Charles H Miller

Miller, Y Pelor Miller by

Main general grantiant

Due and personal service of the within

an sure is hereby admitted

this John and Barta

Attorney for Plate

CARVER COUNTY,

FILED

SEPSO 1907

AFTO Mush Play

(4916)

-

7

State of Minnesota, County of Carver. Mary Lizzie Hees,

District Court, Eighth Judicial District.

Plaintiff,

vs.

John W. Miller, Peter H. Miller, Charles H. Miller, as executor of the last will and testament of Annie Miller, and Charles H. Miller.

Defendants.

The defendant Charles H. Miller, as executor of the last will and testament of Annie Miller, deceased, for his answer to the complaint herein, admits the allegations contained in folios 1, 2, 3, 4, 5 and 6 thereof, and avers that he has no knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of any of the allegation contained in folio 7, 8, 9, 10, and 11 thereof.

Wherefore this defendant demands such judgment as may be just and proper in the premises.

Attorney for Defendant CharlesH.
Miller, as executor etc.
Court Block, St. Paul, Minn.

Oover No. 4.	R. F. MARVIN.
State of Minnesota,	
Charles	H. Miller came
before me personally, and being duly sworn doth say that st will and testament of Annie Miller, dec	
in the above entitled action; that the foregoing pleading is to the matters which are therein stated on hisinform	
that in believes it to be true.	
Subscribed and sworn to before me this  Jo day of September 1907.  Notary Public, Ramsey County Minn.  My Commission expires Many	1 m. 1911:1ler 23-1912-
State of Minnesota,	
of	said County, being duly sworn, says that
re isthe attorney of the said	in this action; that the said
is absent from the County of,	
resides;	

County, Minn.

Notary Public,\_

0

p p		L. WAN
State of Minnesota,		
District Court		Contract of the second
Mary Liggie Hees Plaintiff		
John W. Miller Ale, H. Miller & Defendants		
Separate Answer of Charles H Miller as Executa		
Due and personal service of the within and is hereby admitted this 30 th day of September.		
A. D. 190.7. F. Barta		
Attorney for Rliff		
CARVER COUNTY.		
Attorney for SEP30 1907  OH.O. Muchlbrey Clock		
(496)0	-	

÷.

State of Minnesota, County of Carver. Mary Lizzie Hees,

District Court, Eighth Judicial District.

Plaintiff

vs.

John W. Miller, Peter H. Miller, Charles H. Miller, as executor of the last will and testament of Annie Miller, and Charlesh. Miller,

Defendants.

It is hereby stipulated by and between the plaintiff and defendants and each of them, by their respective attorneys, that notice of trial in this action be and the same is hereby waived, and that said action may be tried the General term of said Court Commencing on the 30th day of September Fracuaced Parta Plaintiff' Attorney, 07.

Dated Sept. 30, 1907.

Attorney for Defendants

ate of Minnesota,

junty of Carver.

District Court.

Cary Lizzie Hees,

VB.

John W. Miller, Peter H. Miller, Charles H. Miller, executor etc.

Stipulation waiving Notice of Trial.

> CARVER COUNTY, FILED

HO. Muchlbry

(496)

State of Minnesota, County of Carver.

District Court, Eighth Judicial District.

Mary Lizzie Hees,

Plaintiff,

Vs.

John W. Miller, Peter H. Miller, Charles H. Miller, as executor of the last will and testament of Annie Miller,

Defendants.

The above entitled action came on regularly for trial before said Court at a general term thereof held at the City of Chaska, in said County and State, on the 8th day of October, 1907, the same was duly tried by the Court. Having heard the evidence adduced by plaintiff in support of the allegations of the complaint, and said cause having been duly submitted for decision, the Court makes and files the following findings of fact and conclusions of law:

FINDINGS OF FACT.

The Court finds as facts:

That at the time of his death as hereinafter stated John Anton Hees, husband of this plaintiff, was seized in fee simple of the following described premises located in the County of Carver and State of Minnesota, to-wit: Commencing at a point on the North line of the North East Quarter of the South West Quarter (N. E. 1/4 of S. W. 1/4) of Section Thirteen (13) in Township One hundred and fifteen (115) Range Twenty-five (25), which point is fourteen (14) rods East of the Northwest corner of said Northeast Quarter of Southwest Quarter of said Section; thence running East two hundred and forty-nine (249) feet along said north line to a point, thence south one hundred and twenty-eight (128) feet to a point; thence westerly two hundred and fifty (250) feet to a point which is one hundred and thirty-two (132) feet due South from the point of beginning; thence North one hundred and thirty-two (132) feet to the point of beginning, containing 71/100 of an acre.

That the westerly seventy-five (75) feet of said tract or parcel was conveyed by Annie Miller and plaintiff to Henry Kehls by deed dated January 20, 1906, and recorded in the office of the register of deeds of said County on the 22nd day of January, 1906, in Book 18 of Deeds page 5.

That on the 21st day of May, 1897, said John Anton Hees made and published his last will and testament whereby he devised all the aforesaid lands to the plaintiff for life, remainder in fee to Annie Mary Hees, afterwards Annie Mary Miller, his daughter, and Paul Henry Hees, his son, in equal shares.

That said John Anton Hees departed this life on or about the 21st day of December, 1897, and said will was duly admitted to probate by the Probate Court of said County on the 25th day of February, 1898.

That on or about the 7th day of May, 1903, said Paul Henry Nees died ntestate seized of the undivided half of said premises so devised to him as aforesaid, intestate, of full age and unmarried, and plaintiff as his heir at law became seized of said undivided one-half of said land.

That at the time of the execution of the deed hereinafter described y plaintiff said Annie Mary Miller, also known as Annie Miller, was the duly appointed Administratrix of the estate of Paul Henry Hees, and the duly appointed administratrix de bonis non of the estate of John Anton Hees.

That said Annie Miller departed this life on the 3rd day of August, 1906, at St. Paul, Ramsey County, in said State, having by her last will and testament dated the 2nd day of August, 1906, devised her interest in said land to her sons, the defendants John W. Miller and Peter H. Miller.

That on or about the 12th day of September, 1906, the defendant harles H. Miller was duby appointed executor of the last will and testament of said Annie Miller by the probate Court of Ramsey County, and is the duly qualified and acting executor of the last will and testament of Annie Miller deceased.

That said Charles H. Miller is the general guardian of the defendants John W. Miller and Peter H. Miller, minors, and was duly appointed guardian of the persons and estates of said John W. Miller and Peter H. Miller by the Probate Court of Ramsey County, in said State, on the 27th day of September, 1907.

That on or about the STd day of Nevember, 1904, said Annie Miller, represented to the plaintiff that it was necessary for plaintiff to sign an instrument then presented to her upon the representation that it was necessary for plaintiff to sign the same to authorize her, said Annie Miller, to collect the rents of the property hereinbefore described, and the plaintiff relying upon the representation of said Annie Miller and believing said representation to be true and that it was necessary for plaintiff to sign such instrument, signed the instrument so presented to the plaintiff for her signature. That said instrument so presented by said Annie Miller to the plaintiff for her signature was not such an instrument as the same was represented to be by the said Annie Miller but was a deed of conveyance conveying all of said lands subject to the life estate of plaintiff including the undivided one-half (1/2) interest therein which plaintiff ewned in fee as heir at law of her deceased son Paul Henry Hees, as aforesaid.

That the said Annie Miller fraudulently concealed from the plaintiff the fact that said instrument was a deed of conveyance to said Annie Miller conveying to said Annie Miller the undivided one-half of said land which the plaintiff then owned in fee, as aforesaid, and plaintiff was deceived by the said misrepresentation to the plaintiff by the said Annie Miller as to the contents and effect of said instrument, and by the concealment from the plaintiff by said Annie Miller of information as to the contents and effect thereof.

That the plaintiff did not intend to convey said premises or any part thereof to said Annie Miller and would not have signed the said deed but for such misrepresentation to and concealment from the plaintiff by said Annie Miller of the true contents and effect of said deed, and plaintiff did not discover that the instrument she had signed was a deed conveying her said half interest until about December 20, 1906.

That plaintiff was and is unable to speak, read or write in the English language and at the time of signing said deed she was seventy-

four years of age and was unable to inform herself of the contents of said instrument except as the same was represented to her by said Annie Miller and she was wholly uniformed and ignorant of all legal business transactions and was wholly dependent on the advice and counsel of the said Annie Miller in all matters appertaining to the control and management of her business and the plaintiff reposed trust and confidence in the said Annie Miller that she would truthfully inform plaintiff as to the contents of said instrument and the necessity of signing the same.

That said deed was executed without any consideration therefor whatever. That said deed was dated the 31st day of Detober, 1904, and was filed for record in the office of the Register of Deeds of the County of Carver on the 3rd day of December, 1904, and was recorded therein in Book 19 of Deedsm page 390.

The allegations of the answer inconsistent with the foregoing findings are found not true.

## CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:

As conclusions of law from the foregoing facts it is determined that the plaintiff is entitled to judgment that the deed of conveyance executed by the Plaintiff to said Annie Miller, deceased, dated October 31, 1904, filed for record in the office of the Register of Deeds of Carver County, Millesota, on the 3rd day of December, 1904, and recorded therein in Book 19 of Deeds, page 390, and the record thereof, be cancelled, annulled and set aside, and that the defendants be adjudged to have no right, title or interest in or to the undivided one-half interest in said land hereinbefore described whereof plaintiff, prior to and at the time of the execution of said deed to Annie Miller, was seized in fee as heir at law of Paul Henry Hees, deceased.

Let judgment be entered accordingly.

By the Court,

-4-

R. F. MARVIN. NO. 3. State of Minnesota, Mary Liggie Heer Plaintiff

vs.

John 4. Millow Shal

Defendant S

Findings Due and personal service of the within is hereby admitted ....day of \_ A. D. 19 CARVER COUNTY, FILED J. Barta Attorney for Pers

COUNTY OF CARVER

DISTRICT COURT
EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT.

e.

Mary Lizzie Hees Plaintiff.

VS

John W. Miller, Peter H. Miller, and Charles H. Miller as executor of the last will and testament of Annie Miller.

Defendants.

The said action having been tried at a General Term of said Court held at the Court House in the City of Chaska, in said County and State, on the 8th day of October 1907, and the findings of fact, conclusions of law order for judgment having been filed herein.

Now on motion of F. Barta, plaintiff's attorney, it is hereby Adjudged that the deed of conveyance executed by the plaintiff Annie Miller deceased, dated October 3I, I904, filed for record in the office of the Reter of Deeds of Carver, County, Minnesota, on the 3rd day of December 4, and recorded therein in Book 19 of Deeds, page 390, and the record thereof, be and the same is hereby cancelled, annulled and set aside, and it is further Adjudged that the defendants have no right, title or interest in or to the undivided one-half ( 1/2) interest in the following described land tuate in said County of Carver, viz: commencing at a point on the North line of the North East Quarter ( NE I/4) of the South West Quarter ( SW I/4) of Section Thirteen ( I3 ) in Township One Hundred and Fifteen ( II5 ), Range menty-five (25), which point is fourteen (14) rods East of the Northwest ner of said North-east Quarter of South-west Quarter of said section; once running East Two Hundred and forty-nine (249) feet, along said worth line to a point; thence South One Hundred and twenty-eight ( 128) feet a point; thence Westerly Two Hundred and fifty (250) feet to a point which is one hundred and thirty-two ( 132) feet due South from the point of beginning; thence North One Hundred and thirty-two ( 132) feet to the point of beginning, containing 71/100 of an acre, being the undivided one-half ( 1/2) interest of which plaintiff was seized in fee as heir at law of Paul

Henry Hees, deceased, at and prior to the execution of said deed to Annie Miller, deceased.

Dated at Chaska, Minnesota this 3 day of June 1908.

The Muchlburg
Olerk of the District Court.

12

R. F. MARVIN. NO. 3.		
124-1. cm.		
State of Minnesota,		
County of Carver	2.2	
15. 1.		
District Court.		
Court.		
2 0		
Mary Lizzie Hees Plaintiff		
Jague 100		
vs. Plaintiff		
00		
John It mill.		
John H. Miller Defendants.		
Defendants.		
Judgment.		
Above the second of the second		
Due and personal service of the within		
is hereby admitted		
es nereoy aamitted		
isday of		
D. 19		
CARVER COUNTY.		
FILES		
PILED -	18 18 1	
to ey for		
3 180 /		
1.0 Muchlley own		
· Mulling Clark		
		1
7 Bet		
J. Barta torney for Pltff		
torney for PULL		
#11V-1111 A - 01-1		
St. Paul, Minn		- The same of the
W. Paul, Hunn		
( was		
(496)		
11/		

3083 No. 4.

## DISTRICT COURT

CARVER COUNTY, MINNESOTA

Mary Wetzel
Plaintiff

Carl Wetzel
Defendant

Frederick L. Me Thee
Plaintiff's Atty.

Odell and Odell
Defendant's Attys

Date of Entry June 18 1907 Register of Actions,

Term Tried

Judgment for

Date of Judgment. 190 ...

Judgment Book Page Default Judgment Book Page

Amount of Judgment, \$

190 Date of Docketing

o. 783.—RETURN OF SERVICE OF SUMMONS OR COMPLAINT.—To Attach.	
State of Minnesota, \ \ ss.	
Comer 1	ereby certify and return, that on the
VITTO TO TO TO	t the Born of Que
in said Stat	e. I served the within
it is manned detended	160, 160, 160, 160, 160, 160, 160, 160,
upon the within named there have	iding to and leaving with him a true
in 69 bly all	- ber 100 7 1 -
pated this 26 day of Deflee	4. a Gala
m : m = Fees Return \$	Corer County, Minn
Mileage Sh	By Deputy

State of Himesota,

County of DNON I hereby certify and return, that on the 2 day of french in said State, I served the joithin Summan by then and there handing to and leaving with him a true cony of said Summan of day of the day of the said State, I served the joithin Summan by then and there handing to and leaving with him a true cony of said Summan of Complaint and day of said Summan of Complaint Sheriff's Fees, Return, \$ 60 mg/squares of Sheriff's

State of Minnerola County of Ranney 85. the organisation in the action in the attached appropriate and Dunan Sentitled, that a so the action in the action of the tiling of Stand in said County and State he see that a said county and see that a said county are said county as a said county as Served sand applicant and Deina Monday of trume upon Furderick Che offered actioning for the planning in said retirm by their and there are in the office of said The derick at the hours of 100 dock a regular desired to the first a click in said hading to and applicant having to and applicant office and their and there in charge of our office, a time copy of our safethall-and of said surrent following of tunine, and that said the Surface of the Englance of the contract from his air office. W. C. Quell It - 25t day of Prince 1/1907 Hanny les Henn

Destrict Bourt Edward Robinson. County of Raining. Many Wagel Carl Wetgel.

Affidavito Demand
for change of vinne, ORIGINAL. CARVER COUNTY, FILED JUN28 1907 H.O. Muellbry Clar Evaldell atty for Defle Charla, Minin.

Second Judicial District. County of Ramsey, Mary Wetzel, Plaintiff, VS. Carl Wetzel, Defendant. Plaintiff for her complaint against the defendant in the above entitled action respectfully alleges and shows to the court: That the plaintiff has lived and resided in the state of Minnesota for more than three years prior to the making of this her complaint herein and now is a resident of the county of Ramsey, state of Minnesota and has been such resident for more than one year last past and prior to the making of her complaint. That heretofore and at the county of Revville in said state and on the 3 day of Cecember A. D. 1895 the plaintiff was duly married to the defendant and ever since have been and now are husband and wife. That the plaintiff is forty-eight (48) years of age and the defendant according to her best knowledge, information and belief is forty-nine (49) years of age. That there has been born to plaintiff and said defendant the issue of said marraige following children, to wit: Wilhelmina Wetzel, nine (9) years of age and Johanna Wetzel, years of age. and that said Johanna Wetzel is in the custody, care and control of the plaintiff.

State of Minnesota,

District Court,

treatment of the plaintiff by said defendant, plaintiff was compelled to leave the home, bed and board of said defendant on, to wit, the 28th day of November, 1904, and at the time she left, it was wholly unsafe and improper for her to live and cohabit with said defendant, that said cruel and inhuman treatment by the defendant of the plaintiff had continued for a period of more than two (2) years immediately prior to the time she ceased to live with him and consisted in the defendant calling the plaintiff vile and obsean names in the presence and hearing of her children and tantalizing the plaintiff and often and repeatedly ordering and demanding that the plaintiff leave his home, bed and board and that said accounts of cruel and inhuman treatment was of frequent occurrence.

That since the said 28th day of November, 1904 the said defendant has wholly neglected and refused to provide for the support of the plaintiff and said minor child, and that on or about April, 1906 said defendant wholly deserted and abandoned the plaintiff and ever since has refused and neglected and now does refuse and neglect to support and provide for the plaintiff and their said minor child and now does refuse and neglect to provide for the plaintiff or to contribute to the support of the plaintiff and said minor child.

ty of the value of A hundred dollars and that said defendant owns a large amount of real estate of the value of Mine Thousand dollars and said defendant has a large amount of stock, cattle, poultry of the value of One Thousand dollars and that he is well able to care for support and maintain plaintiff and her said child.

That this plaintiff has often requested said defendant to contribute to her support and to provide for plaintiff and said child but said defendant has always and now does neglect and refuse so to do.

That plaintiff is without means of support and to maintain herself except such as she receives from her children of a former marraige, that she is indebted for medicine and services of a physician for the care of said child when sick and has no property out of which to realize money person to there to care, custody and control of their munor children

WHEREFORE the plaintiff prays judgment and decree that said defendant be by order of this court required to pay her a reasonable sum of money for her support and maintainance during the pendency of this action.

2nd. That said defendant be required to pay her a reasonable sum of money as and for her reasonable suit money herein.

3rd. That said defendant be required to pay her the sum of one hundred (100) dollars as an allowance toward the fees for the services of her attorney herein.

4th. That upon the final hearing of this case, the court adjudge, order and decree that the plaintiff be paid a reasonable sum of money as and for her permanant support. and the Sichelel

5th. That upon the final hearing plaintiff be given a special home, bed and board of said defendant forever and such other and further relief as to the court dock assemment equitable and just.

Office: Nos. 88-89 Union Blk.
Cor. 4th & Cedar Sts.
Residence: 665 University Ave.

Fraling Athe

N c' 542 COMB. TION WRAPPER. upon oath says that she is the plantiff being first duly sworn foregoing within entitled action; that the foregoing Complant is true of herown knowledge, except as to matters therein stated on information and belief, as to such matters the believes it to be true Subscribed and sworn to before me this. HOTARIAL State of Minnesota, COUNTY OF Plaintiff. SUMMONS. against Defendant, The State of Minnesota to the above named Defendant: You las Tretsel ...... are hereby summoned and required to answer the complaint of the plaintiff in the above entitled action, which complaint is hereto annexed and herewith served upon you, and to serve a copy of your answer to said complaint on the subscriber at his office, in Come 85 89 Spices Block land to lock to bily of SHO in the said County of Canney within thirty days after service of this summons upon you, exclusive of the day of such service; and if you fail to answer the said complaint within the time aforesaid; the plaintiff in this action will apply to the Pour to for the relief demandary thering together with the costs and disbursements of this action. A. D. 19.07 Freedings

Brown, Treacy & Sperry Co., Mfg. Stre., St. Paul, Mina.

		CONTRACTOR OF THE PARTY OF THE
<b>学员的复数形式 经</b>		
No. Original		
STATE OF MINNESOTA.		
C P	是一个人的人,但是一个人的人的人。 第一个人的人们是一个人们的人们是一个人们的人们的人们们的人们们们的人们们们们们们们们们们们们们们们们们们们们们们	
District Court.		
Second Judicial District		
Mary Wetzel		
I Plaintiff	<b>人</b> 国际的企业的企业。	
- 6 1 W. + 1		
Carl Wetzel Defendant	<b>建</b> 型基层设置 医多种性多种	
Summons and teornfrlaint		
Due and personal service of the within		
is hereby admitted		
this day of 19		
Attorney for		
A CONTRACTOR OF THE PARTY OF TH		
Attorney for	CARVER COUNTY.	
- 1 0 mm	FILED	
Fredrick & Melher		
Attorney for Plaintiff	40 % OCT 10 1907	
2-16-05. SHOWN, VOLLEY & DESIGN OD., MYG. STRE, ST. PMA, MUSIC.	It.O. Muchlbry com	
(500)		
AYPP 1		

•

1

State C	of <b>M</b> innesota,	r. – To Attach.	Class 6.
County of 60	ever )	SS.  I herebu certitu a	nd plury, that on the
2/2	p day of Secree	190. 2., at the Town of	
· 11 - 0 - 1 - 1	Vonne -		20.5 000
in the County of Lition 7 aff	Leavistupon the within na	in said State, I served the	within Notice g M
tition of	Secret upon the within na	in said State, I served the uned defendant, Darling to and I	Within Hotie gM
tition of	Sail upon the within na	in said State, I served the uned defendant, Darling to and I	Within Hotie gM
copy of said Hote	Sweet upon the within na by then an affection foff day of	in said State, I served the umed defendant, Darling to and ledgist.	Within Hotie gM

1.0

State of Minnesota, County of Ramsey,

District Court,
Second Judicial District.

Mary Wetzel,

Plaintiff.

VS.

Carl Wetzel.

Defendant.

To Carl Wetzel.

Defendant above named:

You please take notice that on Saturday, the 29th day of June, A. D. 1907, at ten o'clock in the fore-moon of said day, or as soon there after as council can be heard at the court house in the city of St. Farl, county and state sforesaid, the plaintiff above named will move the court for an order and to grant her motion here to annexed and herewith served upon you.

You will further take notice that said motion will be heard upon the complaint of the plaintiff in said action and her petition and the affidavit of Robert F. Engst, which said petition and affidavit are hereby made a part hereof.

That said motion is upon the grounds that the plaintiff has begun her action as shown by said complaint, that she is without means to pay the expenses of her action and her attorney fees herein and that you, said defendant, have sufficient means there for and upon all the matters and things alleged and set forth in said complaint and said petition.

Respectfully,

Office: Nos. 88-89 Union Blk.

Cor. 4th & Cedar Sts. Residence: 665 University Ave. State of Minnesota, County of Ramsey,

District Court,
Second Judicial District.

Mary Wetzel,

Plaintiff.

vs.

Carl Wetzel,

Defendant.

Now comes the plaintiff in the above entitled action and moves the court for an order.

First. That during the pendency of this action, said defendant be required to pay her a sum of twenty-five (25) dollars a month as and for her support and the support of said child.

Second. Said defendant be required to pay her the sum of twenty-five (25) dollars as and for her suit money herein.

Third. That said defendant be required to pay her the sum of one hundred (100) dollars on account, of fees of her attorney in said action.

Attorney for retitioner.

State of Minnesota,

County of Ramsey,

District Court,
Second Judicial District.

W. Ken

Mary Wetzel,

Plaintiff,

VS.

Carl Wetzel,

Defendant.

State of Minnesota,

County of Ramsey.

and being duly sworn says that he has heard, read the complaint of the plaintiff in the above entitled action and that he is personally acquainted and personally knows the thing set forth in said complaint and that the things therein contained and alleged are true to affiant's own knowledge.

That this affiant has no personal interest in the outcome of said action except that affiant will be to some extent relieved from paying the expenses for the support of the plaintiff and her child, plaintiff being the mother of this affiant.

Subscribed and sworn to before me this 20th day of June, 1907.

Fredrick I. Mighe

Notary Public, Ramsey County, Minn.
My Commission expires September 23, 1911,

Robert Frangst.

State of Minnesota.

County of Ramsey,

District Court, Second Judicial District.

Mary Wetzel.

Plaintiff.

Carl Wetzel,

Defendant.

The petition of the above named Mary Wetzel respectfully shows to the court:

That she has duly commenced her action for support and maintainance in separation from bed and board of said defendant and that your petitioner has no employment on means and support except that her children by a former marraige provide for her support and that of a child, the issue of marraige between your petitioner and said defendant.

That for more than two (2) years past and immediately prior in bringing of her action, said defendant has contributed nothing to her support and care of their child.

That your petitioner has retained to prosecute her action, Fredrick L. McChee, Esqr., whose office is at Rooms 88-89 Union Blk., corner 4th & Cedar Sts., city of St. Faul, and that he is a duly admitted and practicing attorney at law and that she has fully and fairly stated the facts and circumstances in her case to her said attorney and she is advised as such statement that she has a good and substantial cause of action against said defendant which she varily believes to be true.

That she is without means to pay the expenses of her action or witness fees and attorney fees and that she has not paid any sum of money to her attorney for his services in said action.

That she is informed and varily believes that the sum of twenty-five (25) dollars is a reasonable sum to pay the expenses of her action, that she will need to call as witnessess in her behalf at least four (4) persons who reside in Carver County, Minnesota, and four (4) other persons who reside in the city of St. Paul.

That she is informed and varily believes that the sum of one hundred (100) dollars is a reasonable allowance to be made to her attorney herein on account, for his services to be rendered by him in said action.

That said defendant has sufficient means and is able to pay her a reasonable amount for her support and maintainance during pendency of this action and that a sum of twenty-five (25) dollars a month is a reasonable amount to be allowed to her for her support and the support of said child.

WHEREFORE, your petitioner prays your honorable court to make its order that during the pendency of this action, said defendant be required to pay her a sum of twenty-five (25) dollars a month as and for her support and the support of said child.

2nd. Said defendant be required to pay her the sum of twenty-five (25) dollars as and for her suit money herein.

3rd. That said defendant be required to pay her the sum of one hundred (100) dollars on account, of fees of her attorney in said action and such other and further relief as to the court doth seem meet, equitable and just.

Macky: Meffel Petitioner.

Attorney for petitioner.

-2-

No. Original STATE OF MINNESOTA. Ramsey County. District Court. Second Judicial District Many Witzel Plaintiff learl Witzel Defendant Notice motion petition & A Durand personal service of the within is hereby admitted day of.... Attorney for Attorney for. CARVER COURTY, Frederick Lo Mckher FILED Attorney for I laintiff (500)

State of Minnesot. County of Carver.

District Court. Eighth Judicial District.

Venue Changed from the County of Ramsey in the Second Judicial District to the County of Carver in the Eighth Judicial District.

REPLY.

Mary Wetzel, Plaintiff.

-V3-

Carl Wotzel, Defendant.

Flaintiff, for her reply to answer of the Defendent in the above ent thad action alleges and shows to the Court.

She admits the allogation contained in defendant's answer respecting her hasing commenced and there having been tried an other action against said defendant on alledged in said answer.

This Plaintiff specifiely dencys "That Plaitiff has not since trial in said action returned to defendant or resumed marital relations with defendant or offered so to do, but has ever since said trial voluntarily and willfully remained away from defendant, and has refused to resume marital relations with him although defendant has at all times been read, and willing to receive said Plaintiff and to care for and support her and their said Child Johanna, of all of which and plaintiff has hed duchatice".

WHEREFOREM Plaintiff demands judgment as in her said complaint.

Atty for Plaintiff.

Res., 665 University ava.

Office 88 & 89 Union Blk 4th & Geoer Sts

St Paul, Minn.

State of Minnesota,	
County of Janese 88.	
States of taction	1/+
January Land	With a being first duly
upon oath says that he is the	acuts 64
foregoing within entitled action; that he has hea	rd read the foresoins all
The of her own knowledge, excer	it as to those watter it
and belief, and as to such matters he believes it to	the true to the tr
and belief, and that the reason why this verificat	the trueto the best of his knowledge, inform
herein, is that said	con is not made by the
oherein resides this affiant, his attorney.	is absent from this C
	Mary to Mry to
Subscribed and sworn to before me this	o day of Listerel
(NOTARIAL)	A.D.
SEAL }	Daward Johnson
	Notary Public, Junesey County, .
	My Commission expires July 3, 19
State of Minnesota,	
and of crimicsola,	
County.]	
against	Plaintiff /
against	Plaintiff SUMMOR
he State of Minnesota to the above named Defer	Defendant Defendant
ge State of Minnesota to the above named Defer	Defendant Defendant
he State of Minnesota to the above named Defer	Defendant  Defendant
pe State of Minnesota to the above named Defer  You  applaint of the Plaintiff in the above entitled active	Defendant  Defendant  are hereby summoned and required to answer
re State of Minnesota to the above named Defer You inplaint of the Plaintiff in the above entitled action the served upon you-has been filed in the office of	Defendant  Defendant  are hereby summoned and required to answer on, which complaintis hereto annexed and h
re State of Minnesota to the above named Defer You implaint of the Plaintiff in the above entitled action to the served upon you-has been filed in the office of cert, at the	Defendant  Defendant  are hereby summoned and required to answer on, which complaintis hereto annexed and h
re State of Minnesota to the above named Defer You inplaint of the Plaintiff in the above entitled action to served upon you—has been filed in the office of ourt, at the	Defendant  Defendant  are hereby summoned and required to answer on, which complaint—is hereto annexed and h the clerk of said  and State of Minnes
re State of Minnesota to the above named Defer You  supplaint of the Plaintiff in the above entitled activities served upon youhas been filed in the office of cert, at the	Defendant  Defendant  are hereby summoned and required to answer on, which complaint—is hereto annexed and he the clerk of said  and State of Minnes aint on the subscriber—at
re State of Minnesota to the above named Defer You  inplaint of the Plaintiff in the above entitled active served upon you—has been filed in the office of ort, at the  it to serve a copy of your answer to the said completion of the serve active within	Defendant  Defendant  are hereby summoned and required to answer on, which complaint—is hereto annexed and he the clerk of said  and State of Minnes aint on the subscriber—at  in the s
re State of Minnesota to the above named Defer You  Inplaint of the Plaintiff in the above entitled active the served upon you-has been filed in the office of ort, at the least to serve a copy of your answer to the said completionty of within	Defendant  Defendant  are hereby summoned and required to answer on, which complaint—is hereto annexed and he the clerk of said  and State of Minnes aint on the subscriber—at  in the s
ge State of Minnesota to the above named Defer  You  applaint of the Plaintiff in the above entitled active the served upon you—has been filed in the office of  ort, at the  I to serve a copy of your answer to the said comple  inty of	Defendant  Defendant  are hereby summoned and required to answer on, which complaint—is hereto annexed and h the clerk of said  and State of Minnes aint on the subscriber at  in the s
ge State of Minnesota to the above named Defer You  applaint of the Plaintiff in the above entitled active the served upon you—has been filed in the office of art, at the least to serve a copy of your answer to the said completing of the serve within the office of the day of such serve a copy of your answer to the said completing of the day of such serve a copy of your answer to the said completing of the day of such serve a copy of your answer to the said completing of the day of such serve a copy of your answer to the said completing of the day of such serve a copy of your answer to the said completing of the day of such serve a copy of your answer to the said completing of the day of such serve a copy of your answer to the said completing of the day of such serve a copy of your answer to the said completing of the day of such serve a copy of your answer to the said completing of the day of such serve a copy of your answer to the said completing of the day of such serve a copy of your answer to the said completing of the day of such serve a copy of your answer to the said completing of the day of such serve a copy of your answer to the said completing of the day of such serve a copy of your answer to the said completing of the day of such serve a copy of your answer to the said completing of the day of such serve a copy of your answer to the said completing of the day of such serve a copy of your answer to the said completing of the day of such serve a copy of your answer to the said completing of the day of such serve a copy of your answer to the said completing of the day of such serve a copy of your answer to the said completing of the day of such serve a copy of your answer to the said completing of the day of such serve a copy of your answer to the said completing of the day of your answer to the said completing of the day of your answer to the said completing of the day of your answer to the said completing of the day of your answer to the said completing of the day of your answer to the said completing	Defendant  Defendant  are hereby summoned and required to answer on, which complaint—is hereto annexed and h the clerk of said  and State of Minnes aint on the subscriber—at  in the s  days after service of the said complaint on the said comp
re State of Minnesota to the above named Defer You  inplaint of the Plaintiff in the above entitled active served upon you—has been filed in the office of art, at the  it to serve a copy of your answer to the said completion of the serve within the mons upon you, exclusive of the day of such service in the time aforesaid, the Plaintiff in this act added in said complaint—take judgment against.	Defendant  Defendant  Defendant  are hereby summoned and required to answer on, which complaint—is hereto annexed and he the clerk of said  and State of Minnes aint on the subscriber—at  in the se days after service of the cice; and if you fail to answer the said complation will—apply to the Court for the relief
The State of Minnesota to the above named Defer You implaint of the Plaintiff in the above entitled active the served upon you—has been filed in the office of art, at the interest to serve a copy of your answer to the said completing of the day of such serve him the time aforesaid, the Plaintiff in this active ded in said complaint—take judgment against to the said compla	Defendant  Defendant  Defendant  are hereby summoned and required to answer on, which complaint—is hereto annexed and he the clerk of said  and State of Minnes aint on the subscriber—at  in the s  days after service of the cice; and if you fail to answer the said complaint ion will—apply to the Court for the relief you for the sum of
The State of Minnesota to the above named Defer You implaint of the Plaintiff in the above entitled active the served upon you—has been filed in the office of ourt, at the interest to serve a copy of your answer to the said completing of within amons upon you, exclusive of the day of such service in the time aforesaid, the Plaintiff in this actualed in said complaint—take judgment against per cent per annum since the	Defendant  Defendant  Defendant  are hereby summoned and required to answer on, which complaint—is hereto annexed and h the clerk of said  and State of Minnes aint on the subscriber—at  in the s  days after service of t  ice; and if you fail to answer the said complation will—apply to the Court for the relief you for the sum of  oldars (\$\xi\$
the State of Minnesota to the above named Defer You implaint of the Plaintiff in the above entitled active the served upon you-has been filed in the office of with at the interest to serve a copy of your answer to the said complainty of within amons upon you, exclusive of the day of such serve thin the time aforesaid, the Plaintiff in this actuated in said complaint—take judgment against in the per cent per annum since the	Defendant  Defendant  Defendant  are hereby summoned and required to answer on, which complaint—is hereto annexed and h the clerk of said  and State of Minnes aint on the subscriber—at  in the s  days after service of t  ice; and if you fail to answer the said compla ion will—apply to the Court for the relief you for the sum of  ollars (\$ ), with interest at the r  day of 19
The State of Minnesota to the above named Deferment of the Plaintiff in the above entitled activities served upon you—has been filed in the office of with at the serve a copy of your answer to the said completion of the serve a copy of your answer to the said completion of the day of such serve and the serve a copy of the day of such serve the serve a copy of your answer to the said completion of the day of such serve the serve a copy of your answer to the said completion of the day of such serve the serve a copy of your answer to the said completion of the day of such serve the said completion—take judgment against the per cent per annum since the	Defendant  Defendant  Defendant  are hereby summoned and required to answer on, which complaint—is hereto annexed and h the clerk of said  and State of Minnes aint on the subscriber—at  in the s  days after service of t  cice; and if you fail to answer the said complation will—apply to the Court for the relief you for the sum of  ollars (\$ ), with interest at the r  day of 19
re State of Minnesota to the above named Defer You implaint of the Plaintiff in the above entitled active the served upon you—has been filed in the office of ourt, at the interest of the serve a copy of your answer to the said completion of the serve a copy of your answer to the said completion of the day of such serve the serve a copy of your answer to the said completion of the day of such serve the said completion of the day of such serve the said completion.  The per cent per annum since the interest of the day of such serve and the said completion—take judgment against the per cent per annum since the interest of the said completion.	Defendant  Defendant  Defendant  are hereby summoned and required to answer on, which complaint—is hereto annexed and h the clerk of said  and State of Minnes aint on the subscriber—at  in the s  days after service of the circ; and if you fail to answer the said complaint on will—apply to the Court for the relief you for the sum of  clears (\$
The State of Minnesota to the above named Deferment of the Plaintiff in the above entitled activities served upon you—has been filed in the office of with at the serve a copy of your answer to the said completion of the serve a copy of your answer to the said completion of the day of such serve and the serve a copy of the day of such serve the serve a copy of your answer to the said completion of the day of such serve the serve a copy of your answer to the said completion of the day of such serve the serve a copy of your answer to the said completion of the day of such serve the said completion—take judgment against the per cent per annum since the	Defendant  Defendant  Defendant  are hereby summoned and required to answer on, which complaint—is hereto annexed and h the clerk of said  and State of Minnes aint on the subscriber—at  in the s  days after service of the circ; and if you fail to answer the said complaint on will—apply to the Court for the relief you for the sum of  clears (\$

Plaintiff's Attorney.

		gu,		he	1	.1.
State of Minnesota,		pe		61		the Louise of the name of obed
Mistrict court.	g					11 of 11
Mary Hetzel Maintiff						44.4
Carl Hetzel Defendant				day of	onally, by	
			at at the	in	named, pers	
Due and personal service of the within is hereby admitted his day of			nd saysr th		therein na	
4. D. 19			oath deposes a	the		
Attorney for CARVER COUNTY.		Minnesota,	2	nd state, on		TO STATE OF THE PARTY OF THE PA
4.0. Muchlhagowa	1	State of Min	first duly sworn, upon	in said county and s		
corney for J		County of	first d	in sai	the	A COLUMN TO A COLU

State of Minnesota.	Clans 6.
County of Caren	88.
2/2 1 1 10/0/07	I hereby certify and return, that, on the
the County, of Corner	190 f, at the Form of Cely 1 Charles
	hin named defendant, altony U6.01.01
ha i	hen and there handing to and leaving with him a true
- 21 T	
the state of the s	
Dated this 26 2	
The state of the s	

R. F. Marvin, St. Paul, Minn. No. 3. Notice of Trial. Distruct State of Minnesota, ss. Judicial District. Many Hetzel Manulify Notice of Trial. Core Helzel Deft SIR: You Will Please Take Notice, That the issue of law 3 fact the above entitled action will be brought on for Learning and deler meralier at the next General Term of said Court, to be held at the Court House, in the City of (hecen. in said County, on Juvelay A. D. 190 7 at the opening of said Court on that the 30 day of Sef tember ay, or as soon thereafter as Counsel can be heard. Trechier L. Melle Dated Externolo 20 1907 To H. C. Odell Attorney for Deft

Driginal Sestreet COURT, Many Teligel Care Hetza NOTICE OF TRIAL. Due Service of the within notice is hereby admitted this 2/1 day of September A. D. 190 Attorney for Defet C. Attorney for Defet (500)

No	
AUNICIPALITY OF S	2011
TY OF S	L COURT.
out.	Caner
man n	
again	still our by
Non M	Ga Bludant
NOTE OF	ISSUE.
LAST PLEADIN	G SERVED.
- A-fe	1907 T
Forel as A	meth
HC +W. On	g for Plaintiff.
Attorney	for Defendant.
Will the Clerk please	file this Note of
Issue, and enter the car dar for the General Ter	of this Court,
to be held on the	Z 1907
1 - Yours,	8°C 41
Attorne	y for
1	04 7
Filed Sufer. 2	00 190/
or. o. Much	try Clerk.
<i>By</i>	Deputy.
(500)	
	The second second second

State of Winnesota.

County of Ramsey.

District Court.
Second Judicial District.

Mary Wetzel.

Plaintiff.

-VS-

Carl Wetzel.

Defendant.

Sir:-

You will please take notice that I have been retained by and hereby appear as attorney for the defendant in the above entitled action.

You will also take notice that at the time said action was commenced, and long prior thereto, said defendant actually resided in the County of Carver in said State and now actually resides in said County of Carver as appears by the affidavit of said defendant hereto attached and herewith served upon you, and that said defendant herety demands that the place of trial of said action be changed from the County of Ramsey to said County of Carver upon the ground that said County of Carver was the County of the residence of said defendant at the time said action was commenced and is the proper County for the trial of said action.

Yours truly.

valldell

Attorney for Defendant.

To

Frederick L. Mc Ghee. Esq..

Dated Chaska. June 24th 1907.

Attorney for Plaintiff.

State of Winnesota.

County of Ramsey.

District Court. Second Judicial District.

Mary Wetzel.

Plaintiff.

-VS-

Carl Wetzel.

Defendant.

State of Minnesota. County of Carver.

Carl Wetzel being first duly sworn says that he is the defendant in the above entitled action: that at the time of the commencement of this action, and long prior thereto, said defendant actually resided in the Township of Laketown in the County of Carver in said State and now actually resides in said Township of Laketown.

Further affiant saith not except that he makes this affidavit to the end that the venue of this action may be changed from the County of Ramsey to said County of Carver.

X.O. Mueldburg Clerk Dier Cour

This 24th day of Jupe A. D. 1907. Cerl With the

3087 No. 2. DISTRICT COURT CARVER COUNTY, MINNESOTA State of Minnesota
Plaintiff

Edward Radde
Defendant

Those F. Craven
Plaintiff's Auty. Defendant's Atty. Date of Entry July 16 190.7

Register of Actions. Dage 504.

Term Tried Aptumbre 190.7 Judgment for ... Amount of Judgment, \$... 190.... Date of Judgment... Judgment Book Page. Default Judgment Book... Page. 190.... Date of Docketing

IN JUSTICE'S COURT	
THE STATE OF MINNESOTA  Against  Edward Radde	
CRIMINAL COMPLAINT	
Filed this 22 day of January A. D. 180. 1907  Lambert J. Dolo  Justice of the Peace.	
no	
FILED JUL 16 1907	
H.O. Mueblbry	

State of Minnesota,

County of arves

THE STATE OF MINNESOTA, To the Sheriff or Constable of said County:

WHEREAS Alma Radde has this day complained in writing to me, on oath, that Edward Radde his 7, at Nadlgelm in said County,

lomonith an albunch upon the said clura Raddel automities to nich. The said Edward hedde side arthur lorumnittled as followed to nich. The said Edward hedde side arthur the take allowed by pulling her by the fair of bruning her bridge windows of their forth home and threatened to held the said alcha head against the form of the statute in such case made and provided, any paginst the peace and dignity of the State of Minnesota, and prayed that the said and provided, any paginst the peace and dignity of the State of Minnesota, and prayed that the said alward Radde

might be arrested and dealt with according to law:

NOW, THEREPORE, You are commonded forthwith to apprehend the said

bring him. before me to be dealt with according to law. And you are also commanded to summon that withes in said complaint, to appear and testify concerning the same.

Given under my hand, this. 27 The day of Takel A. D. 1887

Given under my hand, this. 27 The day of Takel A. D. 1887

Justice of the Peace.

61.1. 0 mm.				
State of Minnesota,	88.			
	)			
I hereby certify that by virtue of we now before the Court	the within warra	nt, I have arreste	d the within	named Defendant and
Dated this 24	day of De	me.	1 1 690.	>
EES-Mileage, Miles, \$			1. D. 100	
Service,			•	0
		elle	, 2	als
				Constable.

IN JUSTICE'S COURT

County of Save

THE STATE OF MINNESOTA

against

CRIMINAL WARRANT

Filed this 22 day of June

Cambert Dolo
Justice of the Peace.

6.24-96-0000

The Save County.

FILE D

JUL 16 1907

H. Mushlbug.

State of Minnesota,
County of Larvay
F. We, Edward Radde as principal, and F. Radde and Math Blichner as sureties
acknowledge ourselves to owe and be indebted unto the State of Minnesota in the sum
of One thous and Dollars, to be levied of our several
goods and chattels, lands and tenements, to the use of said State, if default be made
in the condition following, to wit:
The condition of this Recognizance is such that whereas the said
& Edward Kalle was this day brought before
Lambert & ols a Justice of the Peace in and for said County,
upon the complaint in writing of Aluca Radde upon oath, for that Edward Radde did on The 19 th
Day of Inne commit an assult upon the said
alina Radde amounting to assault in the
1 st Degree Committed as fallows to with
The said Edward Radde did assault the said
brusing her body and cholling her and threatening
M. throw the said alina Madde out of a sexual
threatened to Kill the said alma hadde
There we have the said title & chare
The said Edward Raddle waives Preliminary
The said Edward Roddl woover Trelining and whereas it apppeared to the said Justice, from an examination of the said
The said Edward Roddl wower Trelinings and whereas it apppeared to the said Justice, from an examination of the said hearing and other witnesses, upon oath, in the
presence of said Edward Raddl in regard to the
presence of said Edward Radd in regard to the offense thus charged, and from an examination of the whole matter, that the said
presence of said Edward Radd in regard to the offense thus charged, and from an examination of the whole matter, that the said offense had been committed, and that there was probable cause to believe the said
presence of said Edward Radd in regard to the offense thus charged, and from an examination of the whole matter, that the said offense had been committed, and that there was probable cause to believe the said
presence of said Edward Radd in regard to the offense thus charged, and from an examination of the whole matter, that the said offense had been committed, and that there was probable cause to believe the said to be guilty thereof; Now if the said shall personally be and appear at the
and other witnesses, upon oath, in the presence of said Edward Radd in regard to the offense thus charged, and from an examination of the whole matter, that the said offense had been committed, and that there was probable cause to believe the said to be guilty thereof; Now if the said shall personally be and appear at the next general term of the District Court, to be held in and for the said County of
and other witnesses, upon oath, in the presence of said Edward Radde in regard to the offense thus charged, and from an examination of the whole matter, that the said offense had been committed, and that there was probable cause to believe the said to be guilty thereof; Now if the said shall personally be and appear at the next general term of the District Court, to be held in and for the said County of then and there to answer to an indictment to be preferred
and other witnesses, upon oath, in the presence of said Edward Radd in regard to the offense thus charged, and from an examination of the whole matter, that the said offense had been committed, and that there was probable cause to believe the said to be guilty thereof; Now if the said shall personally be and appear at the next seneral term of the District Court, to be held in and for the said County of then and there to answer to an indictment to be preferred against him for the above-mentioned offense, and to do further and receive what shall
and other witnesses, upon oath, in the presence of said Edward Radd in regard to the offense thus charged, and from an examination of the whole matter, that the said offense had been committed, and that there was probable cause to believe the said to be guilty thereof; Now if the said shall personally be and appear at the next general term of the District Court, to be held in and for the said County of then and there to answer to an indictment to be preferred against him for the above-mentioned offense, and to do further and receive what shall by the said Court be then and there enjoined upon him, and shall not depart thence
and other witnesses, upon oath, in the presence of said Edward Radd in regard to the offense thus charged, and from an examination of the whole matter, that the said offense had been committed, and that there was probable cause to believe the said to be guilty thereof; Now if the said shall personally be and appear at the next general term of the District Court, to be held in and for the said County of then and there to answer to an indictment to be preferred against him for the above-mentioned offense, and to do further and receive what shall by the said Court be then and there enjoined upon him, and shall not depart thence without leave duly granted, then this Recognizance to be void; otherwise to remain
and other witnesses, upon oath, in the presence of said Edward Radd in regard to the offense thus charged, and from an examination of the whole matter, that the said offense had been committed, and that there was probable cause to believe the said to be guilty thereof; Now if the said shall personally be and appear at the next general term of the District Court, to be held in and for the said County of then and there to answer to an indictment to be preferred against him for the above-mentioned offense, and to do further and receive what shall by the said Court be then and there enjoined upon him, and shall not depart thence without leave duly granted, then this Recognizance to be void; otherwise to remain in full force.
and other witnesses, upon oath, in the presence of said Edward Radd in regard to the offense thus charged, and from an examination of the whole matter, that the said offense had been committed, and that there was probable cause to believe the said to be guilty thereof; Now if the said shall personally be and appear at the next general term of the District Court, to be held in and for the said County of then and there to answer to an indictment to be preferred against him for the above-mentioned offense, and to do further and receive what shall by the said Court be then and there enjoined upon him, and shall not depart thence without leave duly granted, then this Recognizance to be void; otherwise to remain in full force.  Taken and acknowledged before me,
and other witnesses, upon oath, in the presence of said Edward Radd in regard to the offense thus charged, and from an examination of the whole matter, that the said offense had been committed, and that there was probable cause to believe the said to be guilty thereof; Now if the said shall personally be and appear at the next general term of the District Court, to be held in and for the said County of then and there to answer to an indictment to be preferred against him for the above-mentioned offense, and to do further and receive what shall by the said Court be then and there enjoined upon him, and shall not depart thence without leave duly granted, then this Recognizance to be void; otherwise to remain in full force.  Taken and acknowledged before me, the force of the said of the sai
and other witnesses, upon oath, in the presence of said Edward Radd in regard to the offense thus charged, and from an examination of the whole matter, that the said offense had been committed, and that there was probable cause to believe the said to be guilty thereof; Now if the said shall personally be and appear at the next general term of the District Court, to be held in and for the said County of then and there to answer to an indictment to be preferred against him for the above-mentioned offense, and to do further and receive what shall by the said Court be then and there enjoined upon him, and shall not depart thence without leave duly granted, then this Recognizance to be void; otherwise to remain in full force.  Taken and acknowledged before me,

State of Minnesota,

Senty of Sarver Sarver Server Sarver Server Server

In Justice Court,	
IN JUSTICE COURT,	
County of Sarve	
THE STATE OF MINNESOTA,	
6 against	
Edward Radde	
***************************************	
DECOCNIZANCE	
RECOGNIZANCE	
To Appear at District Court.	
I hereby approve the within Recogni-	
zance and the sureties therein contained,	
thisp 24 day of June 1900	
P. I am o	
Justice of the Peace.	
Decease of the Total.	
The within Recognizance filed this	
14 day of melios	
20 Doll	
Justice of the Peace.	
CARVER COUNTY,	
FILED	
Ma a	
/ B JUL 16 1907	
10 W 111	
H. Muchlbry	

(After the following return is fully made out, fasten all the papers in the case together, securely here, and fold and place them in an envelope to be filed with the Clerk of the District Court.) State of Minnesota, In Justice's Court, County of Sarva Edward Radde To the District Court of.... County, Minnesota: of the proceedings had before me therein, pursuant to the statute, as appears for (Then entitle the action and copy from the docket carefully everything pertaining to it, and then fill out the certificate on the opposite page.) preliminary hearing having been held before me in above entitled action I kerely make refuse of the proceedings had before me stermant to the Statute, as appears from my wakket viz Jame 2 2 - 1909 aliea Radde appeared before she for assault, amounting to an assault in the to the said alina Radde I took her examination and reduced the same to writing and caused it to e subscribed by her. It appearing from said complaint that the said Edward Radde had on I otherwise brused the complainant within his bounty I issued a wurrout for the arrest the said tadward Radde and delivered it to nartin Dols Constable. Jome 24-1907 Warrant shuned with defendant in enstody. Bleads not guilly, and waives preliminary hearing and is thereupon bound over to the await the of the District Court for the County of lawer mine, bail being Jixed at one thousand sollars Recugineance and band in Said some formshed and approved by me.

108-Justice's Return

County of Annual SS.

I hereby certify that I have compared the foregoing with the original entries in my docket, and that the same is a full and correct transcript therefrom, and of all the proceedings had before me in said action:

that the affidavit, bond or the time of the process and other papers relating to the action and filed with me, or had before me therein, are herewith returned and attached, and numbered from to inclusive; and that, together with the foregoing transcript, they contain a full, correct and complete statement of all the proceedings had before me in said action.

Given under my hand, this day of June Justice of the Peace.

In Justice's Court

county of Sarve

State of Minusesota

Edward Radde

Transcript and Return to District Court

Essant and Depose

Filed in the office of Clerk of District

Court, Carver County, Minn.,

this 16 day of July

1. D. 1907

St.O. Musehlbug

Clerk.

12-98-1000