



[Minnesota.](#)
[District Court \(Carver County\).](#)
[Civil and Criminal Case Files and Index.](#)

Copyright Notice:

This material may be protected by copyright law (U.S. Code, Title 17). Researchers are liable for any infringement. For more information, visit www.mnhs.org/copyright.

No. 809

DISTRICT COURT,
CARVER COUNTY, MINN.

State of Minnesota
Plaintiff.

vs.
Erick Larson Luick
Defendant.

Colby & Hauelin
Plaintiff's Attorney,
Frank Warner
Defendant's Attorney.

Date of Entry Mar 22 1874
Court
Register of Actions a

Term Tried Page 193
Judgment for 1

Amount of Judgment \$
Date of Judgment 1

Judgment Book Page
Default Judgment Book Page

Date of Docketing 1

Given & under
 Subscribed before
 me this 6th day
 of April A.D. 1874

in
 presence of
 my
 witnesses

Witness

James P. ...

Witness

F. E. ...

State of Minnesota

County of ...

Erick L. ...

...

...

Given, April 6th 1874

...

...

193-

State of Minnesota
County of Carver

Erick L.

Quick being first duly
sworn deponent and
says, that he has been
arrested examined and
committed to the County
Jail of Carver County
to await his trial at
the Regular Term of the
District Court held on
the 6th day of April
A.D. 1874, that he is
unable to employ an
attorney to appear on
his behalf having no
means for such
or any other purpose
that Mr Frank Warner
has hitherto acted as
his said attorney and
that he desires to secure
the services of said attorney
in his behalf if the
Court will so appoint
and further deponent
saith not.

State of Minnesota
County of Carver } S.S.

Personally

appeared before the undersigned
a Justice of the Peace in and
for said County ~~State of~~ ^{State of} Minnesota
who being duly sworn upon
oath Complainant in writing
and says, that on the
14th day of February A.D.
1874 in the County of Carver
State of Minnesota one
Erick Larson haick did
~~feloniously~~ ^{intentionally} wilfully and
~~maliciously~~ ^{intentionally} bind them and
them Armed with a
dangerous weapon, called
a knife ^{they used it} in the right hand
of him the said Erick haick assault
and stab Sarah E. Hennwall
with the intent to do great
bodily harm to her the said
Sarah E. Hennwall against
the Statute in such Case
made and provided
and against the peace
and dignity of the State
of Minnesota and says
the said Erick Larson

Quick may be arrested
and dealt with according
to law

Brown & Dence } Andrew Olson
Subscribers of }
me this 14th day }
of February A.D. 1874 }
Frank Werner }
Justice of the Peace

File of Minutes

Erick L. Smith

Compliment

File Feb 14th

1874

Grand Jurors

Justice

File, March March 22nd 1874

Getray unkuhl
deluk

JUSTICE COURT.
THE STATE OF MINNESOTA

VS.

Erich Larson Quirk

WARRANT.

STATE OF MINNESOTA, }
COUNTY OF *Crow* }

I certify that by virtue
of the within warrant, I have arrested the
within named *Erich Larson Quirk*

and have *him* now in my custody.

before the court
Dated *this 14th day of February*
1874

Frederick S. Oster
Constable.

Service, 25c.

Travel, 20 — 45

RAMALEY, CHANEY & Co., Printers, St. Paul.

Filed, March 20th 1874
By Graymull, Clerk

filed in my office this
14th day of Feb at St.
1874 Peter Michels
Justice of the Peace

STATE OF MINNESOTA,
County of Quincy } ss.

THE STATE OF MINNESOTA.

To the Sheriff or any Constable of said County:

Whereas Andrus Olson has this day
complained in writing to me, on oath, that Erick Larson Quick
did on the 14th day of February 1874, at the Town
of Henrick in said County being then and there
Armed with a dangerous weapon
Called a knife ^{then and there} in the right hand of him the said
Erick Larson Quick assault and stab Sarah
E. Kummvall with intent to do great bodily
harm to her ^{the said Sarah E. Kummvall against the State}
and prayed that the said Erick Larson Quick ^{in such mode and provided and against the peace and dignity} might be arrested
and dealt with according to law; now, therefore, you are commanded forthwith to apprehend the
said Erick Larson Quick ^{Ritter Michaels Justice} and bring him before me to be dealt
with according to law.

Given under my hand this 14th day of February 1874

Frank K. Warner

Justice of the Peace.

Proceeding in the
Case ~~the~~ State of Minnesota
vs
Eric Larsson Quick

filed in my Office
this 14th day of Febr
A.D. 1874

Peter Michels
Justices of the Peace

Filed March 22^d 1874
Guthrie
Chick

State of Minnesota } y^{es} Criminal Action
County of Carver } Assault with intent
State of Minnesota vs. Eric Larson ^{Quinn} to do great Bodily Harm
Febr 14th 1874 Complaint of Andrew
Alson on filed

Febr the 14th 1874 Warrant issued and
delivered to Fred Forster Constable of
said County returnable forthwith

Febr the 14th 1874 3 O'Clock P. M.
Warrant returned ~~sub~~ duly served
with the Defendant before the Court
in costume, Warrant being duly ~~was~~
read to the Defendant and he the De-
fendant required to plead thereupon
the Defendant plead not guilty.

On motion of the Defendant this case ~~and~~
is adjourned untill Friday the ^{twentieth} ~~moneth~~
day of Febr A D 1874 at 10, O'Clock
A M. And it is hereby ordered by the
Court the Defendant stand committed
untill to the said adjourned day

Peter Michels

Justices of the Peace

IN JUSTICE'S COURT,

COUNTY OF

Carver

Before P. Michels Esq.,

Justice of the Peace.

State of Minnesota

AGAINST

Eric Larson Quik

SUBPOENA.

In the absence of the
Constable or Sheriff
I hereby authorize
Peter Meinmann to
serve and return
the Within Writ
Peter Michels Justice
of the Peace

Printed and for sale at the Pioneer Office, St. Paul.

John August Wacker 74
G. W. Wacker 74

I hereby certify
and return that I
have served the with
in Lapcoena to the
following on the 17.
day of Febr to
Gustaf Runwall
Matilde Runwall
Hermann Runwall
by reaching the same
to them at their
usual residence and
to Dr Lewis on the
18th day of Febr
in the Town of Carver
Carver this 20th day
of Febr A.D. 1874

Peter Meinmann
Special Constable
filed in my Office
this 20th day of Febr
A.D. 1874
P. Michels Justice
of the Peace
Lapcoena 4. 8. 60
Millage 2. 00
\$ 2. 60

State of Minnesota, }
County of _____ } ss.

State of Minnesota, to

*Gustaf Ramwald & Matilde
Ramwald Hermann Ramwald, Ex-Lewis.*

You are hereby required to appear before the undersigned, one of the Justices of the Peace in and for said County, at *his Office in the Village of Larve*
in said County
on the ~~14th~~ *20.* day of *Febr* A. D. 1874, at

~~four~~ *ten* ^{o'clock} clock, in the ~~after~~ ^{fore} noon of said day, to give evidence
in a certain cause, then and there to be tried between *the State of Minnesota*
Plaintiff,

and *Eric Larson Guith*

Defendant, on the part of the *State of Minnesota*

Given under my hand this *14th* day of *Febr* A. D. 1874

Peter Michels

Justice of the Peace.

IN JUSTICE'S COURT,
COUNTY OF Carver

Before P. Michels Esq.,
Justice of the Peace.

State of Minnesota

AGAINST

Eric Larson Gaik

SUBPOENA.

I hereby certify and
return that I have ser-
ved the within Suppene
to the within named
person by reading the
same to them in his usual
residence on the 18th day
of February 1874

Printed and for sale at the Pioneer Office, St. Paul.

filed in my Office
this 20th day of Febr
A. D. 1874 Peter Michels

for Constable fees
Warrant 25
Serving Suppene. 15.

Millage 120 m. 12.00

Horse Hire

3 days. . . . 9.00

repairing

Shoe 3.00

one mile traqa

by arresting .15

adenting Court 50

Committment 50

4 Mills Travel 40

\$ 25.95

Total \$ 25.95

Constable fees 100

John, Maud W. 1874
J. H. W. 1874
J. H. W. 1874

State of Minnesota, }
County of *Carver* } ss.

State of Minnesota, to

Louis Erickson

You are hereby required to appear before the undersigned, one of the Justices of the Peace in and for said County, at *Carver*.

on the ~~nineteenth~~ *20* day of *Febr* A. D. 1874, at

10 o'clock, in the *fore* noon of said day, to give evidence

in a certain cause, then and there to be tried between *The State of*

Minnesota Plaintiff,

and *Erick L. Ruick*

Defendant, on the part of the *Defl*

Given under my hand this *14th* day of *February* A. D. 1874

Peter Mihels

Justice of the Peace.

filed in my office
this 20th day of Febr
at D. 1874
Peter Michels Justice
of the Peace

filed March 20th 1874
G. Wraymull
Clerk

58-1-6

5186
25

05

5

OFFICE OF

Sheriff of Carver County,

F. E. DuToit,
Sheriff of Carver County,

MINNESOTA.

MINNESOTA.

Chaska, Feb. 14th 1874

Rec^d of Fred Foster, Constable
of Carver, Carver Co. Minn. one
prisoner by the name of Erick
Larson Quick committed to the
county jail by Justice P. L.
Mitchell to await trial.

F. E. DuToit
Sheriff & Jailor

State of Minnesota
County of Carver, ³⁵⁵

The State of Minnesota,
To the Keeper of the Common Jail of said
County.

The undersigned, one of the
Justices of the Peace in and for said
County. Sitting at a Court for the
trial of Erick Larsson Quick,
Now in your Custody in the Common
Jail of said County, doth hereby
order and direct you to bring
the said Erick Larsson Quick forth-
with before me, at my office in said
County, together with the warrant
by which he was committed
to your Custody, in order that
he may be tried.

Given under my hand this
20th day of February A.D. 1874.

Peter Michels.

Justice of the Peace.

State of Minnesota
County of Leam. I do hereby
certify and return that in obedience
to the within order, I hereby pro-
duce the said Erick Larson Quota
& have him now in Court.
Febr 20th 1874.

Fees. Commitment. \$ 50
Bringing prisoner before Court &
4 miles travel & return 3.40
Attending Court 3.00

\$ 6.90

F. E. Du Toit
Sheriff
County of Leam.

Commitment Eric
Larson Quota to the
County Jail.

Filed in my Office
this 20th day of
Febr A.D. 1874
Peter Albrechts
Justice of the
Peace
Jas. W. Ward
County Clerk

of Minnesota } Criminal Action
County of Carver } ss. Assault to do great
bodily Harm.

Febr 14th 1874 Complaint of Andrew Olson on
file.

Febr 14, 1874, Warrant issued, and delivered to Fred
Constable of said County, returnable forth
with.

Febr 14, 1874 at 3. O'Clock P.M. Warrant returned
duly served, with the Defendant, and he,
the Defendant, required to plead thereto.
The Defendant plead not guilty, on Motion
of the Defendant this case is adjourned untill
Friday the 20th day of Febr A.D. 1874 at
10, O'Clock A.M. And it is hereby ordered
by the Court, the Defendant, stand con-
nected untill to the said adjourned day
Peter Michels

Justices of the Peace

Febr 20th 1874 Prisoner was brought by the Sheriff
to the Court Ernst Hainlin Esqr appeared
for the State, Frank Warner Esqr for
Prisoner J. St Sargent a Justice of the
Peace, in and for said County, was called
to act as one of the Justices, to hear the
Evidence, in said Action. The following Wit-
ness being sworn, all on behalf of the State
Gustaf Rummwall, Hermann Rummwall
Matilde Rummwall, Chs Johnson Esqr.
and Dr Lewis Esqr.

State of Minnesota } Criminal Action
County of Carver } 99. Assault to do great
bodily Harm.

Febr 14th 1874 Complaint of Andrew Olson on
file.

Febr 14, 1874, Warrant issued, and delivered to Fred
Constable of said County, returnable forth
with.

Febr 14, 1874 at 3. O'Clock P.M. Warrant returned
duly served, with the Defendant, and he,
the Defendant, required to plead thereto.
The Defendant plead not guilty, on Motion
of the Defendant this case is adjourned untill
Friday the 20th day of Febr A.D. 1874 at
10, O'Clock A.M. And it is hereby ordered
by the Court, the Defendant, stand com-
mitted untill to the said adjourned day
Peter Michels

Justices of the Peace

Febr 20th 1874 Prisoner was brought by the Sheriff
to the Court Ernst Mainlin Esqr appeared
for the State, Frank Warner Esqr for
Prisoner J. A. Sargent a Justice of the
Peace, in and for said County, was called
to act as one of the Justices, to hear the
Evidence, in said Action. The following Wit-
ness being sworn, all on behalf of the State
Gustaf Rummwall, Hermann Rummwall
Matilde Rummwall, Chs Johnson Esqr.
and Dr Lewis Esqr.

being sworn as Juror, after evidence
was heard for prosecution, Defendant
offered no evidence, the Court has prob-
able cause to believe that offence has been
committed as charged in the said Complaint
it is ordered that the said Defendant
enter into Recognizance in the Sum of \$5000
to appear, and be before the District Court,
next to be held in and for said County of
Carver, and Answer said Charge, and in
default thereof, to be committed to the
Jail of said County of Carver

Given under my hand this 20th day of February,
A.D. 1874

Peter Michels

Justice of the Peace

State of Minnesota }
County of Carver } ss.

Defendant refuse in to Recognizance there-
upon Warrant for Commitment issued and
delivered to F & Dutoit Sheriff
Carver this 20th day of Febr A.D. 1874

Peter Michels

Justice of the Peace

of the Room, and put both hands, in to the Sack, when he took his hand out of the Sack, he staped to the left, and staped her with the knife, a large knife, the Sack and ¹³knife is before the Court, Def Witness saw when the Def took the knife out of the Sack, Witness was about four feet from his Wife when Quick stapped her, that is the knife wher he staped her with, the Knife being offered as evidence objected to My Wife was standing up when he staped her and Quick says he will murder so long he can and after that he will hang him self, I was rapping with him in to an other Room and out Dors styl I got the knife from him and, Dft runn away, the Witness went with ¹⁴the Knife in the House, and put it first in a Wood Box, the Knife being since there except when I show it to some body, and this day, the Knife being since in my possession except when I bring it here before the Court the Knife ben offered as Evidence Dft wounded my Wife in the bowels, and the Witness hand got badly hurt when he took the knife from Dft. the wound of my Wifs body is about 3 fingers long I send after Dr Lewis, wright away and he came and dressed her wound, Dft was so ¹⁵angery that he ground his Teeth. This Sack showing it has ben in my possession ever since, four loafs of Bread and one pease of boiled pork two pear of meedens and this Knife being in the Sack, the Sack was offered as evidence, it was one hour adjourned

No 1.

Testimonia of the Witness.
State of Minnesota } Before Peter Michels
County of Carver } and J. A. Gardend
State of Minnesota } Justices of the Peace
vs.
Eric Larson Quick

Prisoner in Court Ernst Mainlin
Esqr for the State and Frank Warner Esqr
for Prisoner, Chs Johnson sworn as
Interpender.

Gustaf Pimwall Witness.
I live in Hancock Carver County, lived there
on the 14th day of Febr, I am acquainted with
Deft. I am acquainted with Sabra Pimwall
my Wife. I saw the Deft. on the 14th day
of Febr in my House Deft. does not live
with me, Deft. came to my House on the 12th
day of Febr. in the Evening, donot know
why he came unless to see my Wife, there
was some words between Deft. and Witness.
Wife, Deft got up before I did. Quits.
Wife was also up, and there was some trouble
between Deft and his own Wife, Witness
got up by their loud Talking Witness
Wife took their Child on their Arm, the
Witness Wife, said do Deft. if she have
done any thing against him he shall Vexip
her. O Deft says, Sara, I thake your live
then went to the side of the Room where
his Sack war, and pull the Sack in the Center

Frank Warner Esqr Examination
 first Witness Left came on the night of the 12th day
 of Febr in my house, he came there by foot, under
 the influence of Liquor I know Left 8 years. he
 have that Jack with him, Quicks Wife Wistnests wife
 and Children was in the House when Quick came
 there on the 12th day of Febr. in the night, Quick
 brought his Wife three Weeks for Christmas to me
 he brought her there with a Team, Quick demanded
 a certificate from Wistness that he brought her there
 Quick send that certificate afterwards to Quick in
 a Letter Quick stop that eight time two
 days with me when he brought her Quick prom=
 ising that his Wife would not work for him
 Question: was Quick drunk when he brought his wife
 to you, objected, Motion allowed What was the
 condition of Left when he came to your House
 3 Weeks before Christmas with his Wife, was he
 laboring under the influence of¹² Liquor
 immaterial and improper

objection sustained Left except

~~Hermann Rumwal being sworn~~

If any trouble was between Quick and his Wife when
 he brought her to Mr Rumwal objected, Motion
 overruled objection overruled

Quick came about the 5th day of January to Rum=
 wals House again, Question what was the condition
 of him when he came that time to Rumwall
 objected, as immaterial, Motion overruled sustained
 Left except

Nov 4.

On the 12th day of Febr when he came he was
drunk, complaining that his Wife not work for
him, dont know the Time when he came, it was
a little for Evening, he have about two trinks
of Wisky with him give Witnefs and Miss Quick
some I dont know if my Wife trink Wisky We
went that night at 9 O Clock to Bed Rumwall
belifs that Quick, went a lople times out the
Bed, Rumwall belifs that Quick not Sleep that
night dont know how was first up that Morning
Rumwall was that day home except went once to
the Schoolhouse, Quick was home all day except
went in the Temper and went to some Neighbors,
It was some trouble between Quick and his wife
by Talking it was no Liguore trink that day
Sotherland was there that day and he lears
dont tell if Quick and Sotherland lears together
or not he thought he have some Wisky
When Rumwall went to Shoal with a log
he meet Mons Swanson when he went with
a load of Hey to Larver Quick, gives Mons
50 cents, he say if he du it, dont know
if Monsan brought some Alcohol for Quick
he see him, giving Quick a Boddel, he did
ent see Mons Swanson any more the Lay day
see that Quick gat the Boddel, Quick giving
Rumwall ^{some} out the Boddel, about 9 O Clock
went to Bed right after that hear nothing
tyll after Midnight, Quick and Wife laying on

Nov 5.

the Slave dressed Qualling together, Witness get up that time, he made them on Dress and make them go to Bed, it was no body up, as Ramwall getting up between 6 and 7 O'clock in the Morning, Quick call Ramwal up, got Qualling again that Morning Ramwall get up and made fire in the other Room, sitting on a Chair and Watching Quick, Quick was mad, dont know if he was drunk, Quick say that Ramwal keep his Wife so long there, and doing wrong to him Witness see Quick not drinking that Morning, and Witness. think now Witness will swear that he think no Alcohol that Morning, Miss Ramwal after get up hold their Child on her Arm, till Quick stopped her she was dressed only by with an under Dress. Miss Ramwal said to Quick he must not been so angry Quick told Miss Ramwall that he would take her live, after saying so, he went after that Jack, he looking angry that time, using ^{such} Knife for Butshering Question; if Quick making the handles Objected Witness knows August Hohl, that Knife was not in the possession of August Hohl yesterday Question; if Witness say no Legs when he is not under Oath objected to the last Question.

Question, if Witness say not to Mr Warner that Andrew Hohl got that Knife in his possession? jess he say so, on the Account that he get rid of Mr Warner. Miss Ramwal told Quick that Morning if she do something against Quick he will Virgify her. here told you that you shall show Mr Warner that Knife, Objected Motion overuled sustained and except by Jph

Nro 6

Hermann Rummel being sworn.

I am 15 years old Gustaf Rummel is my Father and Sara Rummel is my Mother, and I live with them, I know Mr Quick, I was home last Saturday the 14th day this Month, Mr Quick was there that Time, Mr Quick slap my Mother, he gath a Jack, tack it on the Floor and put his hand in it, turn around where my Mother stand, he has a knife in his hand, and slap my Mother with the knife, my Mother gat the Baby on her Arm My Father jump up, and took hold on his Arm and my Sister Matilde open the door, and my Mother wend out, and I wend out to Mr ^{Dr.} Johnson, this was a little before seven, at ower House, inside in the Room My Mother ask him, what the Matter is that he is so made. I stand about one Step from my Mother when he Staped her, I see the Knife the next, when the Dr. was there, Mr Quick looks mad in the Evening Mr. Soterland was there and talking together tyll 9, O'Clock Warner to Boy talking to fast to Writ it down.

Matilde Rummel being sworn

I am the Doughtress of Gustaf and Sara Rummel I was home last Saturday, I know Mr Quick, Quick came to our house on the 12th day of Febr Miss Quick was that Time on our house, and remain there tyll Saturday, My Mother and Mr Quick has no particular Truble, since that Time, when he was there, My Father and Mother Mr Quick and Miss Quick and I sleeping in one Room Fryday night it was no Treple that Night between Mr ~~and Miss~~ Quick and

State of Minnesota
against
Eric Larson Quick

I hereby certify and return that the within is a
true Transcript of my Docket in the above entitled
action and the papers hereunto attached are and
filed in my Office in the Examination of
said Defendant

In Witness whereof I set hereunto set my
hand this 17th day of March A.D. 1874
Peter Michels
Justice of the Peace

Transcript of my
Docket in the case
State of Minn against
Eric Larson Quick
and a copy of
the evidence given
by said case.
filed in my office
this 20th day of
Febr A.D. 1874
Peter Michels
Justice of the Peace

Ar 7.

my Mother, My Vather I belive was first up that Morning, Quick was up when I get up, I was present when Quick staped my Mother I was about one hour up in the Morning when he stape her, it was Qualing that Morning in the House not that I hear all what I see, my Mother hold the Baby, and Mr Quick rush at my Mother, and my Mother ben Gailing, I see no Knife, I hear that she was stapt, it was a Qualing between Quick and his Wife, the only thing what I hear, was, Mr Quick ask Miss Quick, if she want to go whit him? she says, he must not expect that, I shall go with you the way you treat me

Dr Lewis been sworn

I belive it is not worth to mention here what kind of mediceen he give Miss Bramwal by the seeing Miss Bramwal first my opinion was that it was a dangerous Wand, and is more danger the Dr was there every day Since except one day the Wand was two inches long and the omentum was cut.

I hereby certify that the Evidence as mentioned in the above papers Ar 1. to Ar 7. being a true copie of the Witnesses Testimonies taken by me on the 20th day of Febr at L. 1874

Peter Michels Justice
of the Peace

State of Minnesota
Judicial Court
Carver County.

The State of Minnesota
vs
Erick Larson, Quick

Transcript of Verdict.

Criminal Action,

Filed, March 22nd AD 1874
G. H. Kuykendahl
Clerk

See file
Court Rep A

193

State of Minnesota *vs* District Court
County of Carver, *vs* Eighth Judicial District
April General Term, A.D. 1894.

The State of Minnesota *vs* Indictment for Assault
with dangerous Weapon,
Ernest Hanson Quick, *vs*

The jury returned into Court and delivered the following verdict:

" State of Minnesota *vs* We the jury have agreed
County of Carver, *vs* and find the defendant
guilty of the offense charged in the Indictment,
Henry P. Smith
Foreman."

The jury having determined the question of your guilt, it only remains for the law to take its course.

You Ernest Hanson Quick, are sentenced to be punished by imprisonment and confinement at hard labor in the State Prison at Stillwater in the State of Minnesota, for the term of five years.
By the Court,
J. H. Mayenhull Clerk

State of Minnesota
County of Carver

By J. Gustave Wrayenbuhl
Clerk of the District Court Eighth Judicial District
in and for said County of Carver and State of
Minnesota, do hereby certify that the foregoing
is a true and correct copy of the Commission
and Sentence in the action wherein the State
of Minnesota is Plaintiff and Emil Larson
Quill is Defendant, as the same appears
in the records of this Court.

In Testimony Whereof, I have hereunto
set my name and affixed the Seal of said
Court, at Charles in said County, this
Eleventh day of April A.D. 1894.

J. Wrayenbuhl
Clerk.

State of Minnesota
District Court
County of Carver,

The State of Minnesota
vs
Orest Harrison Ansd.,

Attested Copy of
Conviction & Sentence,

and provided, and against the peace
and dignity of the State of Minnesota

Dated at Chaska in said
County of Carver and State of Minnesota
this 7th day of April A.D. 1894
A true bill.

Wm Benson

Foreman of Grand Jury

The names of the witnesses sworn and examined
before the Grand jury are, Gustav Rummel
Sarah E. Rummel, Herman Rummel,
Mary Senick, F. E. du Toit, Andrew Nett,
and Dr E. H. Lewis

District Court 8th Dist.
County of Carver
The State of Minnesota
-189-
Senick, Lewis, Senick

Indictment

A true Bill

Wm Benson

Foreman Grand Jury

1893-

Ernest M. Seiler
Co Attorney

State of Minnesota ss. The Within Indictment was presented to the Court by the
County of Carver, ss. Foreman of the Grand Jury, in open Court in the presence
of the Grand Jury, and duly filed this seventh day
of April A.D. 1894.
Attest
Clerk.

The District Court for the County of Cass and
State of Minnesota, 8th Judicial District
The State of Minnesota }
against }
Erick Larson Quick }

Erick Larson Quirk is accused
by the grand jury of the County of Larver
by this indictment, of the Crime of, Assault
with a dangerous weapon, with intent to
murder, Committed as follows,

The said Erick Larson Denick on the fourteenth day of February, A.D. 1874 at the Town of Hancock in the County of Carver and State of Minnesota, he the said Erick Larson Denick being then and there armed with a dangerous weapon, to wit: a large knife then and there held in the right hand of him the said Erick Larson Denick, did wilfully, maliciously, unlawfully, and feloniously assault on Sarah E. Remwald with intent to murder her, the said Sarah E. Remwald, and did then and there at the time and place aforesaid and with the intent aforesaid strike with the aforesaid knife and cut and inflict a dangerous wound on the person of her the said Sarah E. Remwald, Contrary to the form of the Statute in such case made.

State of Minnesota
Hills County
County of Barnes

The State of Minnesota
Wick & Anton Quick

Verdict,

Filed, April 10th A.D. 1894,
Eschmayer & Co.
clerk,

State of Minnesota)

County of Carver,

We the Jury, have agreed, and find the
defendant guilty, of the offense, charged
in the Indictment

Wm Henry Vantz
J.
Foreman

No. 810

DISTRICT COURT,
CARVER COUNTY, MINN.

Florian Luepfleer
Plaintiff.

vs.

Charles May
Defendant.

Baxter & Beck
Plaintiff's Attorney.

Defendant's Attorney.

Date of Entry *March 24th 1874*

Register of Actions *"A"* Page *191*

Term Tried *19*

Judgment for

Amount of Judgment \$

Date of Judgment *19*

Judgment Book Page

Default Judgment Book Page

Date of Docketing *19*

Filed 1 State of Minnesota

District Court Eighth Judicial District
County of Carver

Florian Linenfeller

against

Charles May

The plaintiff in the above entitled action for
complaint therein respectfully states and shows to the court
that the above named defendant contriving and maliciously
intending to injure the said plaintiff in his good name fame
and credit and to bring him into public scandal in-
famy and disgrace and to cause it to be suspected and believed
that he is guilty of the crimes and offenses hereinafter mentioned
to have been imputed to him by said defendant, and to harass
and oppress him said plaintiff, the said defendant heretofore
did on the 10th day of March A.D. 1874 at the village of
Chaska in the county of Carver in the State of Minnesota
in a certain discourse which he the said defendant
then and there had in the presence and hearing of Frederick
Grinner Christ Edert and divers other good and worthy
citizens of said State did speak and publish of and
concerning the said plaintiff, and to the said plaintiff
in the German language (the said plaintiff being then and
there present) the several false scandalous malicious and
defamatory words following, that is to say - Su (meaning
the plaintiff) bist ein Dieb - Su Schlichter miserabler
Wurm, (meaning the plaintiff) Alles was ihr habt, habet
ihr gestohlen und erschwindet; which false scan-
dalous and defamatory words when translated

And under the English language are as follows - You (meaning the plaintiff) are a thief
 You damned scoundrel (meaning the plaintiff). You
 had miserable day (meaning the plaintiff) You stole
 and swindled all you have, whereby the said
 plaintiff has been and is greatly injured in his
 aforesaid good name fame and credit and
 brought into public scandal infamy and disgrace
 & with and amongst all his neighbors and other good
 and worthy citizens of this state to the damage of
 said plaintiff in the sum of the thousand and
 dollars. For which said sum of ten thousand
 dollars and the costs of this action the plaintiff
 demands judgment

Paul H. Peck

Plaintiff's Attorneys

District Court
 Garret County

Plaintiff
 or
 Plaintiff

Charles May
 Complainant

Filed March 27th 1894
 C. H. Peck
 Plaintiff's Attorney

-191-

Paul H. Peck
 Plaintiff's Attorney

No. 811

DISTRICT COURT,
CARVER COUNTY, MINN.

Joseph Ludwig
Plaintiff.

vs.

Otto Nagel
Defendant.

Henry Hinder
Plaintiff's Attorney.

Baxter + Beck
Defendant's Attorney.

Date of Entry *March 24th* 1874

Register of Actions *"A"* Page *119*

Term Tried *April General* 1874

Judgment for _____

Amount of Judgment \$ _____

Date of Judgment _____ 19 _____

Judgment Book _____ Page _____

Default Judgment Book _____ Page _____

Date of Docketing _____ 19 _____

State of Minnesota 3 S.S.
County of Carver.

I hereby certify and return
that on the 24th day of February 1874. at the
town of Chaska in said County, I served
the within Summons & Complaint upon
the within named defendant personally by
reading the within summons & Complaint
to him & also by handing to and leaving
with him true copies thereof.

Fees. Service Summa \$ 1.00
Complaint 1.00

Milage, 2 Mils. Trial 20
\$ 2.20.

J. E. Du Toit
Shuffbarn Co
Min.

State of Minnesota,
Lower COUNTY.

District Court, 8th

District.

Joseph Ludwig

against

Otto Nagle

Summons

THE STATE OF MINNESOTA, TO THE ABOVE NAMED DEFENDANT :

You are hereby summoned and required to answer the Complaint in this action, a copy of which is herewith served upon you

and to serve a copy of your answer to said complaint on the subscriber, at his office, at Shakopee in the said County of Scott within twenty days after the service of this Summons upon you, exclusive of the day of such service; and if you fail so to answer the said Complaint within the time aforesaid, the Plaintiff in this action will have the amount he is entitled to recover ascertained by the Court, or under its direction, and take judgment for the amount so ascertained besides the costs of this action

Dated,

A. D. 187

Harry Herold

Plaintiff's Attorney, Shakopee, Minn.

1 State of Minnesota
County of Carver

District Court
8th Judicial District

Joseph Ludwig }
Against }
Otto Hagle. }

The plaintiff in the above entitled action for complaint against the defendant therein, avers that at Chaska in said County, on the 19th day of February A.D. 1874, the said defendant assaulted and beat the plaintiff with a club, and with a Lantern upon his head and body in a cruel and inhuman manner, whereby his skull was broken and fractured, and his head and body
2 bruised, and by reason thereof the Plaintiff then became sick, sore, and lame, and greatly disabled and crippled for life, to the plaintiffs damage to the sum of five thousand dollars.

And the Plaintiff further avers, that by reason of the injuries so inflicted on him by the defendant, he became sick, and it became necessary for the plaintiff to employ a physician and nurses, and that he did employ a physician and nurses, in and about his endeavor to be healed and cured of the injuries and wounds so inflicted upon him.

3 by the Defendant, whose services the plaintiff avers were of the value of Fifty dollars, and their necessary future services in that regard will be worth the sum of five hundred dollars.

And the Plaintiff further avers that by reason of the injuries so inflicted upon him by the defendant, he has been unable to attend to his work and affairs, and will not in the future be able to attend to his work and business, whereby he has sustained special damages by this cause to the amount of five thousand dollars.

4 The Plaintiff further avers that the defendant was aided and abetted in his said assault, and beating aforesaid by his minor son, who acted under the advice, countenance, and direction of the defendant and in his presence.

The Plaintiff therefore demands judgment, against the defendant in the sum of Ten thousand five hundred and fifty dollars, and the costs of this action.
Dated February 23rd 1874

Henry Harris
Atty for Plaintiff

Joseph Ludwig

N

Otto Nagle

Summons and
Complaint.

Filed April 6th 1844.
G. H. Graymire
Clerk

—119—

No.

812

DISTRICT COURT,
CARVER COUNTY, MINN.

George Schulties
Plaintiff.

Amelia Schulties
Defendant.

Baxter Child
Plaintiff's Attorney.

Defendant's Attorney.

Date of Entry *April 4, 1874*

Register of Actions *A* Page *63*

Term Tried *1*

Judgment for *Plaintiff*

Amount of Judgment \$

Date of Judgment *Apr 4th 1874*

Judgment Book *Minute Record A* Page *499*

Default Judgment Book Page

Date of Docketing *1*

State of Minnesota
County of Carver I hereby Certify and
return that I did in the town of Dahlgren
in the said County of Carver serve the within
Summons & annexed Complaint on the
within, named defendant, by handing to and
leaving with her personally a true & correct
copy hereof on the 15th day of February
A.D. 1877.

Fees Service Summons \$1.00
" Complaint 1.00
Frost 14 miles 1.40
3.40

J. E. DeSail Sheriff
by J. B. Chauborn
Deputy

DISTRICT COURT.

Eighth Judicial District.

County of Carver

George Schultens

Amelia Schultens

SUMMONS FOR RELIEF.

Filed April 14 1877
J. B. Chauborn
Deputy

Brent Child

Plaintiff Attorney.

State of Minnesota,

DISTRICT COURT,

County of Cass

Judicial District.

George Schulteis
vs
Amelia Schulteis

SUMMONS.

The State of Minnesota, TO THE ABOVE NAMED DEFENDANT:

You are hereby summoned and required to answer the Complaint in the above entitled action, a copy of which is herewith served upon you, and to serve a copy of your answer to the said Complaint on the subscribers, at their office in Chicago in the county of Cass and State aforesaid, within twenty days after the service of this summons on you, exclusive of the day of such service; and if you fail to answer the said Complaint within the time aforesaid, the plaintiff in this action will apply to the said Court for the relief demanded in the said Complaint

Dated January 19th A. D. 1877 Barth & Child
 Plaintiff's Attorneys, Chicago Minn.

She had two children, to wit, Fred Schultze aged about eight years and Carl Schultze this aged about five years. But the said plaintiff alleges that he is not the father of said children or either of them and that they are both illegitimate.

And the plaintiff further says that the said defendant as regarding the solemnity of his marriage vow has since the marriage of said plaintiff to her as aforesaid committed adultery at divers times and places, and especially that the said defendant on some day or days during the winter of the year A.D. 1875- but on what particular day or days said plaintiff is unable to state, at the town of Macon in the county of Cowan aforesaid did commit adultery and have carnal connection with one Julius Luck, and that the said defendant at various other times during the year A.D. 1875- committed adultery and had carnal connection with the said Julius Luck at the town and county last aforesaid.

And the plaintiff further says that the said defendant as regarding the solemnity of the marriage vow as aforesaid has since his said marriage with said plaintiff committed adultery at divers times and in various places, and especially that

the said defendant on or about the 15th day of October AD 1875 - at Moscow aforesaid did commit adultery and have carnal connection with one Michael Birtha, and that the said defendant did at various other times during the same year of AD 1875 - commit adultery and have carnal connection with the said Michael Birtha at the place last aforesaid or at some other place or places within the said county of Carver.

And the plaintiff further says that he was wholly and entirely ignorant of the commission of the aforesaid acts of adultery by the said defendant or any or either of them until on or about the first day of January AD 1877. That three years have not elapsed since he discovered the fact that such adultery had been committed by her; and that he has not voluntarily cohabited with her since the discovering thereof, and that such adultery was committed by her without his consent, connivance, privity or procurement.

Wherefore the plaintiff demands judgment against the said defendant that the marriage between said plaintiff and defendant be dissolved.

And a divorce decreed according to
the Statute in such case made
and provided, And that the said
Marion Schuchter and Carl Schuchter
be declared to be illegitimate. And
for such other and further relief
as to said court shall seem just
and proper

Bayard Child
Plaintiffs Attorney

State of Minnesota
County of Carver

George Schuchter being
duly sworn on oath says that he is
plaintiff in the above entitled action
that he has here read the foregoing
complaint and knows the contents
thereof and that the same is true
of his own knowledge except as to
matters therein set forth upon his
information and belief and that
as to those matters he believes
it to be true.

Subscribed and sworn George Schuchter
to before me this 18th day
of January AD 1877

W. H. Child

Notary Public
Carver Co Minn

State of Minnesota } District Court
County of Carver } 8th Judicial District

George Schulties }
Against }
Amelia Schulties }

State of Minnesota }
County of Carver } McChilch being first
duly sworn, oath depose and say, that he
is one of the Attorneys for the Plaintiff in
the above entitled action, that the summons
and complaint
and in said action was personally served
upon the defendant therein as appears
by the return thereon, that more than
thirty days have elapsed since the service
of said summons and complaint and
that no answer or demurrer, or copy of
either, has been received by the Plaintiff's
attorneys in this cause, and that said
defendant has, in no manner appeared
in this action.

Subscribed and Sworn } McChilch
to before me this 19th day }
of March A.D. 1877 }

Peter L. L.
Justice of the Peace

District Court
Lamar County

George Schulties
vs

Amelia Schulties

Affidavit of No Answer

Filed April 24th 1894
J. W. Raynolds
Clerk

Carter & Child
Attys

District Court
County Carver

George Schulteis
vs

Amelia Schulteis
vs
Supervisors
Complaint

Filed April 14th 1884
J. H. Krayenbuhl
Clerk

Barth & Child
Plffs Atty

State of Minnesota } District Court
County of Carver } 8th Judicial District.

George Schultzie }
 Against }
Amelia Schultzie }

Upon the Summons, and
complaint herein, with due proof of
service of the same and the affidavit
of W. A. Child, that no answer or demurrer
has been received by said Plaintiff's attorneys
in this action,

Now on motion of Baxter & Child
attorneys for said Plaintiff, it is ordered
that the above entitled action be referred
to W. B. Loismald to take the proofs on
the part of the Plaintiff herein and report
the same to the court with all convenient
speed

Dated March 19th A.D. 1877

J. L. MacDonald
Judge 8th Dist.

Carver County
District Court

George Schulties
vs
Amelia Schulties
Order of Reference

Filed April 14th 1884.
Chas. Krausmuhl
Clerk

Filed April 4th 1877
Chas. W. Weymouth
Clerk

State of Minnesota District Court
County of Larmer 8th Judicial District

George Schulties }
 Against
 } Amelia Schulties

To the above named District Court

Pursuant to an order of this court dated
the 19th day of March A.D. 1877 in hereby I was
referred to me, to take the proofs on the part
of said plaintiff, I respectfully report
1st That I have taken the proofs in this
action on the part of the plaintiff which are
hereto annexed as a part of this report
Dated March 31st 1877

W B Brinsford

Sole referee

State of Minnesota } District Court
County of Carver } 5th Judicial District

George Schulthies }
 Against
Amelia Schulthies }

Depositions taken this 31st day of March
A.D. 1877 in the above entitled action in the
part of the plaintiff before W.B. Griswold referee
March 31st Baxter Child appears as counsel
for the plaintiff and no one appears for the
defendant

George Schulthies, the plaintiff, was duly
sworn by said referee, and on being examined
orally by plaintiff's counsel, deposes and
says, My name is George Schulthies, I am
the Plaintiff in this action, I know Ame-
lia Schulthies, she is my wife, I was
married to her on the 15th day of March A.D.
1868, in Waconia, Carver County Minnesota
I and my wife have both lived in said
Carver County during all the time since
the said marriage, and I am still
living in this county, I am fifty one
years of age and the defendant my wife
is thirty-seven or thirty-eight years old.
We lived together as man and wife from the
time of said marriage until the about

the 10th day of November A.D. 1876. I first
learned that my wife had committed
adultery with Michael Bertha on or
about the 26th day of December A.D. 1876.
I also learned about the same time that
she had committed adultery with
Julius Luck. I have not had con-
nection or cohabited with my wife since
I learned of such acts of adultery.
That said acts of adultery were committed
without my consent, knowledge, or procure-
ment; That in and during the past four
years she has refused to sleep and cohabit
with me. During the time my wife
lived with me there were born to her
two children named Theodore and Carl.
The former being eight years old and the
latter five years old. My wife has repeatedly
told me that these children were not
mine, and that I was not the father of them.

George N. Putnam

Matthias Mayers sworn as interpreter
Ferdinand Pirska being duly sworn testi-
fies through the interpreter as follows:
I am thirty four years old, and live in
Waconia, Carver County, Minn. I know
the parties to this action, and have known
them for seven years. I live a quarter of a
mile from them. In or about the month
of February A.D. 1875 one Julius Luck was
at my house in Waconia and the defen-
dant Amelia Schutthius was there at the
same time and each of them stayed all
night. Julius Luck went to bed with
me and my wife and children slept
in another bed and Mrs Schutthius the
defendant slept on a mattress all
in the same room. In the night Julius
Luck got out of my bed and got into
the bed of Mrs Schutthius and I saw
them together and know they have connections
for I heard them and saw them. In the
morning I told her (Mrs Schutthius) that
she must leave my house for I would-
not have a whore in my house and
she said she must have some. In the
month of October A.D. 1875 a man by the
name of Michael Butke was at work for
me, and Mrs Schutthius came to my

house one night and slept on the lounge
and I waked up during the night and
saw Burtka laying on her (Mrs Schultze)
on the lounge and they were having il-
licit connexion together. Burtka
worked for me about two months,
and this occurred about four weeks
after he commenced work. He com-
menced work for me in September A.D.
1875. About one week from the time men-
tioned she came to my house about 12
o'clock in the day time, and I saw her go
into the house and Burtka followed her
in and I went to the house and my
children told me that Mrs Schultze and
Burtka were up stairs, and I went up
stairs and saw Mrs Schultze lying on the
floor and Burtka was buttoning up his
breeches and covering towards me.
I know her general reputation for chastity in
the community where she lived and it
is very bad, In January 1875 she Mrs
Schultze came to me and said that Ja-
cob Loh had had illicit connections
with her and promised to give her fifty
dollars, and she wanted me to go and
get it, which I refused to do. The
next day the same Jacob Loh came

District Court
Carver County

George Schuetter
vs

Amelia Schuetter

Report of Referee

John, Refund H & A 1899
Gibbs & Co
Edmond

and Carl were not the children of her
husband Mr Schuetter but that they were
the children of Gust Loh and Beck. The
former the father of Theodor and the latter
the father of Carl. I am acquainted with
her ^{general} reputation for chastity. She is very good
among her neighbors. J. D. Ellis

He me and wanted me to board Mrs
Schulthuis and he would pay for her board,
which I refused to do. During the last
two years Mrs Schulthuis has often told
me that he, ^{Schulthuis} could not do anything for
her, and therefore she had to look out to
get it from somebody else. I reminded
her that she had refused to let Schulthuis
her husband sleep or occupy a bed with
her, then she said he was good for nothing,
he couldn't do any thing and had
to go to other men to get satisfaction. She
has often told me that her second child
Carl was not the child of her husband
Mr Schulthuis, but that Dick made it.
For Diana and Fred &c

Gerhard Dress being first duly sworn
deposes and says: I am forty eight
years old. I know the parties to this ac-
tion. I have known Mrs Schulthuis over ten
years. I have had some conversation with
her about her family matters. About two
years ago I had a conversation with her
at the poor farm. She said while there
many times that her children Theodore

District Court
Leamer County

George Schulthies
vs

Amelia Schulthies

Order for judgment

Given, April 4th 1884
J. H. Brown
J. H. Brown

State of Minnesota } District Court
County of Leavenworth } 8th Judicial District

George Schulteis }
Against }
Amelia Schulteis }

This action having been referred
to W B Griswold, to take the proofs on the part of
the plaintiff, upon due proof of the default of
defendant to answer or appear in said
action, and said referee having filed his
report herein, and appearing to the court
that all the allegations in the complaint herein
are true, Now on motion of Baxter &
Child, Attorneys for said plaintiff, it is order-
ed that the marriage contract between the said
plaintiff and defendant be dissolved, and a
divorce granted to said plaintiff. That said
plaintiff have the relief as demanded in his said
complaint and that judgment be entered accord-
ingly

Dated April 3^d AD 1877

J. S. Macdonald
Judge 8th Dist

District Court
Carver County

George Schulties
vs

Amelia Schulties

Judgment Roll

Judgment in Favor
of Plaintiff.

Filed April 4th 1874
Geo Krausenhuttl
clerk

Page 63

Baxter Child atty for
Plaintiff. Charles Miller

State of Minnesota
County of Carver

District Court
8th Judicial District

George Schulteis }
Against }
Amelia Schulteis }

This action having been brought on to be heard upon the complaint herein, and upon proof of the defendants failure to answer or appear, and upon the report of W. B. Griswold duly appointed referee in this action from which it appears that all the material facts alleged in the complaint are true and that the defendant has been guilty of the several acts of adultery therein charged, on motion of Bester & Child attorneys for said plaintiff. It is adjudged that the marriage between said plaintiff George Schulteis and the defendant Amelia Schulteis be dissolved and the same is hereby dissolved accordingly, and the said parties and each of them is freed from the obligations thereof.

It is further adjudged that said children named in said complaint Theodore and Carl be and are hereby declared to be illegitimate.
Dated April 4th A.D. 1894.

By the Court
J. Krayenbuhl
JRK

District Court
Carmel County

George Schulties
vs

Amelia Schulties

Order of Referee

Filed April 14th 1884
Ch. Krausentuhl
Clerk

State of Minnesota } District Court
County of Larmer } Judicial District

George Schulteis }
Against }
Anelia Schulteis }

State of Minnesota }
County of Larmer } do
solemnly swear that I will faithfully and
fairly hear the evidence and take the proofs in
this action wherein George Schulteis is
plaintiff and Anelia Schulteis defendant
and make a just and true report thereon accord-
ing to the best of my understanding and
ability. So help me God
Subscribed and sworn to
before me this 31st day of }
March A.D. 1877 } W B Griswold

W B Griswold

Notary Public

No. 813

DISTRICT COURT,
CARVER COUNTY, MINN.

L. S. Jensen & J. A. J. Jensen
Plaintiff.

vs.

J. A. J. Jensen
Defendant.

Plaintiff's Attorney.

Defendant's Attorney.

Date of Entry *April 4th 1874*

Register of Actions *"A"* Page *124*

Term Tried *April General* Page *1874*

Judgment for *1874*

Amount of Judgment \$

Date of Judgment

Judgment Book Page *19*

Default Judgment Book Page

Date of Docketing Page *19*

State of Minnesota,

County of *Carver*

} ss.

I certify that on
the *30* day of *January* 187*4*,
at the town of *Charles* in said County,
I served the within Summons upon the within named
defendant, by *mailing the same to*

Sharon and E. Horns Wife
and deposit a copy thereof.

W. E. Sutcliffe

\$.15

se, 20

12

50

Sheriff of Carver County
by W. Schoenborn
Deputy

Constable

January the 30th 1874.

187

Returned and filed this

187

SUMMONS.

JUSTICE COURT.

Thru Sminfelsen + Seiger
Faber under firm name of
Sminfelsen + Faber
against

Before J. A. Sargent
Justice Peace

S. Worn ~~the land Sminfelsen~~
as S. Worn + Son

1874

Nov 24 - Sminfelsen filed with N. Schenckman Clerk Sheriff
returnable Dec 7 1874 at 11 o'clock

Dec 7

Sminfelsen returned duly served for 10

Pffs + debt appeared -

Plaintiff complains orally that the said debt
are indebted to them since Pffs in the sum of \$30.29
for goods wares merchandise sold and delivered
to said defendants between April 10th 1873 + since
20 1873 for which said sum debt agreed to
pay as per bill delivered in Court marked
#1 + for back of this Complaint bill on
file. Wherefore Pffs demand Judgment
against said defendants for sum of
\$30.29 + 100 in and out costs. Sworn
to before me this 7th day of Dec 1874

J. A. Sargent
Justice Peace

On motion of both case adjourned
to Dec 16th 1874 - 11 AM -

Dec 16

Thru Sminfelsen sworn - After
hearing evidence I hereby render

judgment against said defendant
 & in favor of said Petitioner of \$31.95
 & Costs — Judgment \$31.95

Shuffle 50
 Motion 91-
 Return $\frac{2 \text{ cr}}{3, 41-}$

Costs 1.45

Wm. J. Sargent
 Justice Place \$33.40

July 23

Att for appeal - Bond for appeal -
 Notice of appeal - Appeal allowed } Wm. J. Sargent
 Justice Place

State of Minnesota } ss
 County of Hennepin }
 I hereby certify that
 the within is a true copy of ~~the~~ decision in the
 above entitled action & the papers thereto attached

Wm. J. Sargent
 Justice Place

Emmerson & Peabody
 vs
 E. W. Hanson

Transcript -

July, 23rd 1894
 Wm. J. Sargent
 Justice Place

10/11

MISSIE for a
Pelia May 9 1874
J. H. Seymour
New York

Filed April 4th 1874.
G. H. Seymour
Clerk.

CHANKA, MINN., February 12th 1874.

Mrs. L. Lamm & Son
Chaska, Minn.

Bought of Linnenfelser & Haber,

Corner of Second and Walnut Streets,

TERMS CASH. - *the last of month.*
but read at 10 per cent after thirty days.

(Minneapolis Freie Presse Print.)

Made from April 10th 1873.

to April 26. 1873 as per

Bill Rendered Dec 30. 1873 \$ 30.29

Interest at 7%

1.66

\$ 31.95

" 11"
A

Seniorkette & Fillein
23
L. Horner & C.

Fillein July 23 1894
H. L. Sanger
- in the Pinner

Fillein April 14 & 1894
G. H. Sanger
Edith

State of Minnesota }
County of Linn } SS

Came personally before me
Lucius Horn and makes
oath that he is one of the defendants in an
action wherein Seninfuler & Fisher was plaintiffs
and S. Horn & Son is defendant. Here before
of S. A. Sargent a Justice of the Peace in and for said
County. On the 16th day of July 1874 and Dredgman
rendered against said defendant for \$33.40. and that
said defendant desires to appeal from the said
Judgment to the District Court in and for said
County. That said appeal is made in good
faith and not for the purpose of delay. —

L. Horn

Said is subscribed to
on the 23rd day
of Aug 1874
J. A. Sargent
Justice of the Peace

State of Minnesota } ss
County of Ocean }

learned personally - before
me Benin Nelson &

made with that the same - then another
notice on George Parker one of the military
dependents by delivering to him a true
copy thereof in Church in same Co July
23 1874

2nd Subscribed to }
before me this 23rd July 1874 }
J^{as} S. S. }
Wm. P. }
Wm. P. }

R. Helms

Justice Court,

County

Scrubland + Park

against

L. Warner & Son

Notice of Grounds of Appeal.

Due service of the within notice is hereby

admitted at

Filed on this 23 day of

July A. D. 1874

J. A. Sargent
Watson Park

REMAINDER CHURCH & WHITE ST. PAUL
John, Agent of St. Paul, N.Y.
Chapman & Co. N.Y.

State of Minnesota,

County of Carter

IN JUSTICE COURT.

Before J A Sargent
Justice of the Peace.

Olvin Simpfelsen + George Parker
under false name Simpfelsen + Parker

vs
Lucia, Horn + Son - Lucia Horn + Emma Horn

Sir: Please to take notice, That the above named defendants appeals to the
District Court of this in and for said County, from the judgment
rendered by said Justice of the Peace, in the above entitled action, on the 16th day of
July A. D. 1874, against said defendants
therein; and that the said appeal is taken upon questions of both law + fact

Dated July 23 1874

Yours Respectfully,

L. H. Sargent

Att'y for

To the above named Olvin Simpfelsen
and George Parker

Att'y for said

State of Minnesota,

County of _____

and

being by me first duly sworn, doth say, each for himself, that he is the surety above named; that he is a resident and freeholder of and in this State of Minnesota, and worth the amount of _____ Dollars, specified in the foregoing Bond, above his debts and liabilities, and exclusive of his property which is exempt from execution.

Subscribed and Sworn to before me, on this

day of

A. D. 187

IN JUSTICE COURT.

County

BEFORE

Justice.

Simonsen & Suler
AGAINST

S. Korne & Son

BOND ON APPEAL.

I hereby approve the within Bond and the sureties thereon.

Dated and filed

Feb 23

A. D. 187

4

Just Sargent
Justice of the Peace.

Attorney for

John Alfred Hot and 1894
Chas. H. H. H. H. H.
1894

Before W. B. Sargent Justice.

Plum Sienfelsen & Co. Paken under
 from name of Sienfelsen & Paken
 by the name of Sienfelsen & Paken

BOND ON APPEAL.

KNOW ALL MEN BY THESE PRESENTS, That we *Lucius Hume*

as principal, and Reuben McKim

as suret **3** are held and firmly bound unto

Sonnenfelsen & Gabel above named

in the sum of Seventy five

Dollars, to be paid to the said

for the payment whereof, well and truly to be made, we jointly and severally bind ourselves, our heirs, executors and administrators, firmly by these presents.

Sealed with our seals and dated this 23 day of July

A. D. 187 4

The condition of this obligation is such that, whereas the said *L. Home & Son*

appeals to the District Court for said county from that certain judgment rendered by said Justice of the Peace, in the above entitled action, in favor of said

Sonnenfelsen + Fieber

and against said

2 Home & Son

on the

day of 'J'any

A. D. 187 L

NOW THEREFORE, if the said Appellant *James H. Brown* shall prosecute his appeal with effect and abide the order of the Court therein, then this obligation shall be null and void, otherwise of force and effect.

In testimony whereof, we have hereunto set our hands and seals the day and year aforesaid.

Signed, Sealed and Delivered in Presence of

W. A. Sargent

L Howe
R Melvin



SEAL



STATE OF MINNESOTA.

County of Locust

77.

Be it Known, That on this 23^d

day of Feb

A. D. 1874, came before me personally 2 Nov

+ R² Melrose

to me well known to be the same persons who executed the foregoing Bond, and they severally acknowledged the same to be their own free act and deed.

W. A. S. Wright
Martin Price

Larson

No. 814

DISTRICT COURT,
CARVER COUNTY, MINN.

The State of Minnesota
Plaintiff.

vs.

Martin DeBorja
Defendant.

Plaintiff's Attorney,

Defendant's Attorney.

Date of Entry *Oct 4, 1874*
Court Rec A Page *126*
Register of Actions

Term Tried *1*

Judgment for

Amount of Judgment \$

Date of Judgment *1*

Judgment Book Page

Default Judgment Book Page

Date of Docketing *1*

State of Minnesota,

ss.

County of CannonFredrick Paplar of said county,

being first duly sworn and examined on oath by the undersigned J. H. Sargent
 one of the justices of the peace of said county, on his oath complains and says, that on the 31st
 day of December at the town of Lake Town
 in said county, John Saloman & Matthias Mass did commit the crime
 of Larceny as follows:

The said John Saloman and Matthias
 Mass at the town of Lake Town in the County
 of Cannon in the State of Minnesota did
 on the 31st day of December A.D. 1873 feloniously
 take steal and carry away from the posses-
 sion of J. H. Sargent Constable in and
 for said County five cords of wood
 of the value of two dollars
 per cord, the said property being then
 and then the property of Abraham
 and not the property of either the said
John Saloman or Matthias Mass

Against the peace and dignity of the State of Minnesota, and contrary to the Statute in such
 case made and provided. And complainant asks that said John Saloman & Matthias Mass
 may be arrested and dealt with according to law.

Subscribed and Sworn to before me,
 On this 31st day of December 1873

Fred Paplar

J. H. Sargent
Matthias Mass

Justice of the Peace.

JUSTICE COURT.

THE STATE OF MINNESOTA

vs.

John Callahan & Martin Mass

COMPLAINT.

*Filed, April 14th A.D. 1874
Guthrie & Co.
Deputy*

Filed this _____ day of _____

187

196,

Justice of the Peace.

JUSTICE COURT,
THE STATE OF MINNESOTA

vs.

John Salomon Eric Matheson Mar.

WARRANT.

State of Minnesota, }
County of _____ } ss.

I certify that by virtue
of the within warrant I have arrested the
within named _____

and have _____ now in my custody.

Dated _____

Constable.

Service, 25 c. *Milroy* 120

Travel, *Conroy* 30

Ally Court 200

RAMALEY, CHANEY & Co., Printers, St. Paul.

Ally Court 50

25

Justice 195

445

640

4

45

*Filed, April 14th
A.D. 1894.
Guthrie
Udick*

State of Minnesota,

ss.

County of

Cass

THE STATE OF MINNESOTA

To the Sheriff or any Constable of said County:

Whereas

Frederick Papler has this day

complained in writing to me, on oath, that John Oahman and Mathias Mass

did on the 31st day of December 1873, at the town

of Lake View in said County of Cass and State of Minnesota

did on the 31st day of December 1873 feloniously take

steal and carry away from the possession of W. Shuman

constable in and for said County five boxes of Cord wood of

the value of two dollars per cord, the said property

belonging then and there to the property of another and not

the property of either the said John Oahman or Mathias Mass

and prayed that the said John Oahman and Mathias Mass might be arrested

and dealt with according to law; now, therefore, you are commanded forthwith to apprehend the

said John Oahman and Mathias Mass and bring him before me to be dealt

with according to law.

Given under my hand this

31st day of

December

1873

J. S. Sargent

Justice of the Peace.

In Justice Court,

Cass County

The State of Minnesota

against

John Schuman

Notice of Grounds of Appeal.

Due service of the within notice is hereby
admitted at *Cass*
Minnesota, on this *3^d* day of

January A.D. 1874
Jos. Heilmann
Attorney at Law
Baptist Church
St. Louis, Mo.

Printed and for sale by D. Ramaley.

Filed, April 4th 1874.
Attest: D. Ramaley, Clerk.

State of Minnesota.

IN JUSTICE COURT.

County of

Carnie

Before

J. A. Sargent

Justice of the Peace.

The State of Minnesota
 against
 John Dahman

Sir: Please to take notice, That the above named *Defendant* appeals to the
District Court in and for said County, from the judgment
 rendered by said Justice of the Peace, in the above entitled action, on the *first* day of
January A.D. 1874, against said *Defendant*
 therein; and that the said appeal is taken upon questions of *law and fact*

Dated *Chas. J. Sargent* 2^d 1874

Yours Respectfully,

Pay to & Reck

Att'y for Defendant

To the above named

Joseph W. W. W. W.

and

County Att'y for said County of Carnie

The state of Missouri
 John Dohman

County of Curran ss.
 We John Dohman and Martin Dohman
 acknowledge ourselves to owe and
 be indebted to the State of Missouri
 in the personal sum of twenty five dollars
 to be levied and collected of our several
 goods and chattels, lands and tenements
 if default be made in the conditions
 following.

Whereas the said John Dohman
 was on the 12th day of January brought
 before J. A. Sargent one of the justices
 of the peace in and for the said
 County of Curran charged with
 the crime of petty larceny upon the
 complaint Frederick Populver and
 whereas the said John Dohman
 was on the day and upon aforesaid
 duly convicted by the said Justice
 by the said Justice of the peace
 and adjudged to pay a fine of five
 dollars and the costs of prosecution
 and judgment for said fine and
 costs was then and there duly
 rendered by said Justice of the peace

And whereas the said John Dohman
 has appeared from said judgment
 to the District Court in and for said
 County. Now therefore, the condition

State of Minnesota
Circuit Court
Carver County

State of Minnesota
vs.

John Dahman

Recognizance on Appeal

-
Filed April 14th 1894,
H. H. H. H. H. H.
H. H. H. H. H. H.

County of Carver,

I hereby approve of
the within Recognizance
and the Secretary thereof
and certify that said Recognizance
was this 14th day of April
1894 filed with me in
my office of U. S. Marshal
District of the First

State of Minnesota
County of Clear Election Camp Before Judge
Wm. Minnesota

State of Minnesota } ss. The Justice Court before
County of Larimer } J. Sargent Justice

State of Minnesota
Against
John Mohman &
Mathew Mass

1871

Dec 31 Gave a sermon of Frederick's Poem
that said John Lockman & Matthew Mass
committed the crime of killing him a
murder

left blank - on file -

Wanamiquia del Dr. Shuemburg.

returned affs in Court. Norwalk man
pleaded not guilty - case adjourned

on application of defects to June 1 1874 at 10 AM.

Parties appeared - S. Pappas - M. Schramm
Shuman - Lefty Schuman - After hearing

Evidence I find that John Johnson
is guilty as charged in the complaint &
that ~~Matthias~~ Mass is not

guilty - and adjudge that the said John Mohrman pay a fine of five dollars - in default payment to stay in Co Jail -

H. Sawyer

Walter Penn
over

Curt N Schum
H-25

Ans $\frac{195}{46,20}$

1874

July 2

Notice of Appeal lit

on file

Bonus

"

"

Appeal allowed

Apr 4

Return to clerk Secy Court

State of Minnesota } ss
County of Hennepin

to certify

to return that the annexed is a true copy
of my check in the matter entitled
at which time a check was filed in said action
action - Wm under my hand

This 4th Apr 1874 Wm Sargent

Notary Public

State of Minn
appt
John Dehman &
Muttis Mass

Transcribed &
checked -
Wm Sargent
Notary

Filed, April 14th 1874.
Wm Sargent
Notary Public

176