



[Minnesota.](#)
[District Court \(Carver County\).](#)
[Civil and Criminal Case Files and Index.](#)

Copyright Notice:

This material may be protected by copyright law (U.S. Code, Title 17). Researchers are liable for any infringement. For more information, visit www.mnhs.org/copyright.

No. 819

DISTRICT COURT;
CARVER COUNTY, MINN.

Jackson Mack
Plaintiff.

vs.

Henry Young
Defendant.

Batter & Beck
Plaintiff's Attorney.

Defendant's Attorney.

Date of Entry *April 27th 1874*

Register of Actions *A* Page *174*

Term Tried.....19.....

Judgment for.....

Amount of Judgment \$.....

Date of Judgment.....19.....

Judgment Book.....Page.....

Default Judgment Book.....Page.....

Date of Docketing.....19.....

claim by reason of the facts aforesaid, unless a Writ of Attachment issue; and prays that such Writ of Attachment may be allowed and issued against the property of said Defendant therein, according to the Statute in such case provided; and said Affiant says that no previous application has been made therein for such order, and further saith not.

Packson. Mock

Subscribed and Sworn to before me, on this *24th* day of *April*

A. D. 187*4*

L L Baxter
Notary Public
Clermont County Minn

District Court.
County of Clermont

Jackson Mock
against

Henry Young

AFFIDAVIT FOR ATTACHMENT.

To the Clerk of said Court:

On filing the within affidavit and a bond approved by me in the within entitled cause, let a Writ of Attachment issue as within prayed.

Dated *April 24th* 187*4*

L L Baxter
Judge of said Court.
Clermont Co Minn

Filed *April 24.* A. D. 187*4*

L L Baxter
Clerk of said Court.

Baxter & Peck
Plaintiff, Attorneys.

D. Enosley, Printer.

194-

State of Minnesota,
County of Carnegie }

No. 32.

District Court.
8th District

Jackson Mock
against

Affidavit for Attachment.

Henry Veremy

STATE OF MINNESOTA,
County of Carnegie }

Jackson Mock came

before me personally, and being first duly sworn, doth say, that he is

said Plaintiff in the above entitled action, which is brought for the recovery of money, and a summons has been issued therein.

That a cause of action exists against the Defendant, and in favor of said Plaintiff therein, and the amount of said Plaintiff's claim therein is nine hundred and twenty five Dollars, and the ground thereof

is as follows, that is to say: upon a promissory note of which the following is a copy dated

Chicago July 26th 1894
Thirty days after date I promise to pay to Jackson Mock or order Twenty five Dollars at 12% per annum until paid. Value received.

\$925.00

And that the said defendant, as this Affiant Henry Veremy, has departed from the State of Minnesota with the intent to defraud his creditors

And said Affiant doth depose and say, that said Plaintiff is in danger of losing his said

Judgment against the said defendants for
the sum of Nineteen hundred and twenty five dol-
lars with interest thereon at the rate
of twelve per cent per annum from
and after the 26th day of February 1884
besides the costs of this action

Baxter Peck

Plaintiff's Attorney

State of Minnesota
County of Cass
District Court 8th Dist

Jackson Moeck
as

Henry Zarny
Complainant

Filed April 24th 1884
Guthrie & Co.
Attorneys

-194-

Baxter Peck
Deputy Attorney

111

State of Minnesota
District Court Eighth Judicial District
County of Carver

Jackson Mock }
 } against
Henry Young }

The plaintiff in the above
entitled action for complaint therein
respectfully states and shows to the Court
that on the 26th day of February A.D.
1894 the above named defendant for
value received made and executed
his promissory note in writing and
delivered the same to the above named
plaintiff for the sum of nine hundred
and twenty five dollars, which said pro-
missory note is in the words and figures
following to wit. Chaska Feb'y 26th 1894

Thirty days after date I promise to pay
to Jackson Mock or order nine hundred
and twenty five dollars at 12% per annum
until paid value received

\$925.⁰⁰/₁₀₀

Henry Young

And the plaintiff further says that he is now
the lawful owner and holder of said
promissory note, and that the same
has not been paid nor any part thereof
wherefore the said plaintiff demands

State of Minnesota } I hereby certify & return
 County of Leavenworth } that on the 29th day of
 April A.D. 1874, at the village of Chaska
 County aforesaid, I did serve the within
 summons by handing to & leaving with
 Magdalena Young wife of Henry Young
 at his usual place of abode, a true and
 correct copy hereof. F. E. Du Pail, Sheriff
 Fees - Summons \$1.00 Leavenworth Minn.

STATE OF MINNESOTA, }
 County of *Leavenworth*

District Court,

Judicial District.

Jackman & Co

-22-

Henry Young

SUMMONS.

*29th April 1874 by F. E. Du Pail
 Sheriff of Leavenworth
 Minn.*

Robert & Co
 Attorneys.

Filed and for sale at the St. Paul Pioneer office.

Clawson

194

State of Minnesota
County of Leavenworth

DISTRICT COURT,

8th

Judicial District.

Jackson Moch

- 2 -

Henry Young

SUMMONS.

THE STATE OF MINNESOTA,

To the above named Defendant.

You Henry Young are hereby summoned and required to answer the Complaint in the above entitled action, which has been filed in the office of the Clerk of this Court, at Charles in said County, and to serve a copy of your answer to the said complaint, on the subscriber at their office in Charles

Leavenworth in the County of Leavenworth and State aforesaid, within twenty days after the service of this summons on you, exclusive of the day of such service; and if you fail to answer the said Complaint within the time aforesaid, the Plaintiff will take judgment against you for the sum of nine hundred and twenty five dollars, and interest thereon since the 26th day of February 1874 at 12 per cent per annum until paid

Dated _____ A. D. 187

Barton & Rice Plaintiff's Attorney.

Charles

Minn.

STATE OF MINNESOTA, } ss.
County of Cann

Be it known that on this 27th day of April

A. D. 1874 came before me personally John O'Hagan & Lyman W. Noble of Cassia Cann Co Minn

to me well known to be the same persons who executed the foregoing Bond, and each severally acknowledged the same to be his own free act and deed.

L L Dwyer Notary Public
Cann County Minn

STATE OF MINNESOTA, } ss.
County of Cann

Lyman W. Noble

John O'Hagan & Joseph H. Hagan

upon oath doth say, each for himself, that he is

one of the sureties above named; that he is a resident and freeholder of and in the State of Minnesota, and worth the amount of Two hundred and fifty Dollars,

specified in the foregoing bond, above his debts and liabilities, and exclusive of his property which is exempt from execution.

Sworn to and subscribed before me this 27th

day of April A. D. 1874.

L L Dwyer
Notary Public
Cann Co

L M Noble
Joseph Hagan

State of Minnesota
District Court.
County of Cann
Jackman & Co
vs
Henry Garrison

BOND FOR ATTACHMENT,

I hereby approve the within Bond, and the sureties therein.

Dated Cassia April 22nd A. D. 1874

J A Dwyer
Court Commissioner
Cann County Minn

John Wagoner
Witness

Baxter & Co

Plaintiff's Attorney.

Charles
Taylor Minn.

STATE OF MINNESOTA, }
County of Cannon } ss.

Superior COURT
St. Louis Cannon County,

Jackson Macks

Henry Gruening

Bond for Attachment.

Know all Men by these Presents, That Jackson Macks as
Marshal and John O. Henson and Lymann
W. North as parties of Cannon Co Minn.
are held and firmly bound unto Henry Gruening the above
named

defendant

in the above entitled action, in the sum of Two hundred and fifty Dollars,
lawful money of the United States, to be paid unto the said Henry Gruening — his

heirs, executors, administrators or assigns, for which payment well and truly to be made, we jointly and severally bind ourselves, our heirs, executors and administrators, firmly by these presents.

Sealed with our Seals. Dated this 27th day of April

A. D. 187 4

The condition of this obligation is such, that WHEREAS, the above-named plaintiff has duly applied for a Writ of Attachment against the property of said defendant in this action, according to the statute in such case provided.

NOW THEREFORE, if the said defendant recover judgment, if the plaintiff shall pay all costs that may be awarded to the defendant and all damages which he may sustain by reason of the attachment, not exceeding the penalty of this Bond, then this obligation shall be void, otherwise of force.

IN TESTIMONY WHEREOF, we have hereunto set our hands and seals, on this 27th
day of April A. D. 187 4

Signed, Sealed and Delivered in presence of

L. L. Bayler
F. E. Du Toit

Jackson Macks SEAL.
S. M. P. M. SEAL.
Pos. Henson SEAL.

State of Minnesota: I hereby certify & return
 County of Carver 3 that by virtue of the within
 Writ of Attachment, I did on the 27th
 day of April 1874, in the village of Chaska
 County aforesaid levy upon the Notes, Book
 accounts and Stock of General Merchandise
 of Henry Young & file an inventory
 of the above described property - on
 Levy in the office of the Town Clerk
 of Chaska
 Fees - Service of Writ \$1.00

Inventory & care of goods. 2.00.

3.00

F. E. Du Tail

Sheriff Carver Co.
 Minn.

District Court,

County of Carver.

Wesley Mack

vs
 Henry Young.

WRIT OF ATTACHMENT.

1874

Issued April 27th
 J. W. Weyenbush
 Clerk.

1874

Returned August 4th
 J. W. Weyenbush
 Clerk.

194.

Plaintiff Attorney.

Printed and for sale at the office of the St. Paul Pioneer.

1st Copy of Writ of Attachment

87
State of Minnesota,
County of Carr

DISTRICT COURT,
Eight Judicial District.

Jackson Mack

vs
Henry Young

Writ of Attachment.

STATE OF MINNESOTA,
County of Carr.

The State of Minnesota,

To the Sheriff of the County of Carr, GREETING:

Whereas, in the above entitled action, which is for the recovery of money, an application has been made by Jackson Mack the Plaintiff, for a Writ of Attachment against the property of Henry Young

defendant therein, and to that end an affidavit has been made and filed, showing that a cause of action exists against such defendant, specifying the amount of the claim and the ground thereof; and that the ^{said} defendant, as this Affiant verily believes has departed from the State of Minnesota with the intent to defraud his creditors and the ~~land~~ in such case required by law, has been duly filed, and such Writ has been duly allowed.

Therefore, You are hereby commanded and required to attach and safely keep all the property of said Henry Young within your County, and not exempt from execution, or so much thereof as may be sufficient to satisfy the said plaintiff demands (which amounts to the sum of nine hundred and twenty five dollars as appears by the Complaint in said action,) together with costs and expenses, and that you proceed hereon in the manner required of you by law.

Witness the Honorable R. Chatfield Judge
of the District Court aforesaid, at Charles
this Twenty seventh day of April in the
year 1874

Wraymuth Clerk.

870

No.

DISTRICT COURT,
CARVER COUNTY, MINN.

Joseph Starke
Plaintiff.

vs.

Henry Young
Defendant.

Baxter & Beck
Plaintiff's Attorney.

Defendant's Attorney.

Date of Entry *April 27, 1874*

Register of Actions *A* Page *195*

Term Tried *1*

Judgment for

Amount of Judgment \$

Date of Judgment *1*

Judgment Book Page

Default Judgment Book Page

Date of Docketing *1*

State of Minnesota
District Court Eighth Judicial District
County of Carver

Joseph Starken }
 agent
Henry Zaring }

The Plaintiff in the above entitled
action for complaint then respectfully states
and shows to the court that on the
3rd day of January AD 1874 the above named
defendant for value received made and
executed in writing and delivered to one
Philip Hunk his promissory note for the
sum of three hundred and sixty dollars
in the corner and figures following to wit
 Chicago Jan 3 1874

On demand after date I promise
to pay to Ph Hunk or order three
hundred and sixty dollars at
12 $\frac{1}{2}$ c pr annum until paid value
received

Henry Zaring

That before the commencement of this
action the said Philip Hunk duly
transferred and ~~assigned~~ the said
promissory note the above named
Plaintiff who is now the law full
owner and holder thereof - and the
Plaintiff says that the same has not

District Court
Carmi County

Joseph Starker
vs

Henry Young

Complaint

Filed, April 24th 1874
J. H. Gray, Clerk
Carmi

-195-

Bugter & Rich
Attys

been paid nor any part thereof.

And the plaintiff for a further cause of action alleges that on the 15th day of March AD 1874 he said plaintiff at the special instance and request of said defendant loaned to said defendant the sum of five hundred dollars ^{in money} without any time being agreed upon for the repayment of the same. but with the agreement between said plaintiff and defendant that defendant should pay plaintiff the sum of 12 per cent ^{per annum} interest on said sum until paid. And the plaintiff avers that no part of said sum has been paid.

And plaintiff for a further cause of action avers that during the year 1878 and 1879 the said plaintiff and Joseph Frankel during the time aforesaid copartners as Starkel and Frankel at the special instance and request of said defendant said sum of five hundred dollars and interest of the same and for the sum of fifty one and $\frac{2}{100}$ dollars. That the said Joseph Frankel before the

commencement of this action
duly sold, ^{transferred} and assigned to said
plaintiff all of his title and
interest in and to the said account
and claim against said defendant
on account of such sale of said
goods when and where claimed
as aforesaid. And heff says that
he is now the lawful owner
and holder of said claim and
all of it. And that no part thereof
has been paid.

Wherefore plaintiff demands
judgment against the de-
fendant for the sum of nine
hundred and twenty eight dollars
and fifty two cents with interest
thereon as follows to wit upon the sum
of three hundred and sixty dollars at
the rate of 12 per cent per annum
from and since the 8th day of January
A.D. 1874 - Upon the sum of five
hundred dollars at the rate of 12 per cent
interest per annum from and since the
15th day of March A.D. 1874 - and upon
the sum of \$51.62 at the rate of seven per cent
Resides the costs of this action.

David D. Dick
Plaintiff's Attorney

claim by reason of the facts aforesaid, unless a Writ of Attachment issue; and prays that such Writ of Attachment may be allowed and issued against the property of said Defendant therein, according to the Statute in such case provided; and said Affiant says that no previous application has been made therein for such order, and further saith not.

Jos Starken

Subscribed and Sworn to before me, on this 24th day of April

A. D. 1874.

L R Dexter
Notary Public
Carmen County Minn

District Court.
County of Carmen

Joseph Starken
against

Harry Young

AFFIDAVIT FOR ATTACHMENT.

To the Clerk of said Court:

On filing the within affidavit and a bond approved by me in the within entitled cause, let a Writ of Attachment issue as within prayed.

Dated April 24th 1874

J A Sarge

Court Commissioner
Carmen Co Minn

Filed J A Sarge A.D. 1874

John. April 24th a 1874
H. W. Sargeant

Clerk of said Court

Dexter & Sarge
Plaintiff, Attorney

D. K. Sargeant, Printer.

-195-

State of Minnesota,
County of Le Sueur

No. 33.

Le Sueur
8' Le Sueur

Court.

Joseph Starcken

against

Henry Yenny,

STATE OF MINNESOTA,
County of Le Sueur

Affidavit for Attachment.

Joseph Starcken came

before me personally, and being first duly sworn, doth say, that he is Joseph Starcken said Plaintiff in the above entitled action, which is brought for the recovery of money, and a summons has been issued therein.

That a cause of action exists against the Defendant, and in favor of said Plaintiff therein, and the amount of said Plaintiff's claim therein is Two hundred and

Twenty eight and 2/100 Dollars, and the ground thereof is as follows that is to say: Upon a promissory note dated March 15th 1874
whereby said Plaintiff in sum of \$500. and interest
at 12 per cent per annum until paid, also
2nd - One promissory note executed by Defendant
to Philip Beck for the sum of Three hundred and
sixty dollars with 12 per cent interest dated Jan'y
3rd 1874 - And 3rd upon account for goods sold
by said Starcken to deft. the amt of which is fifty
and 2/100 dollars

And that the said defendant has departed
from the State of Minnesota, as this affiant
truly believes, with the intent to defraud
his creditors

And said Affiant doth depose and say, that said Plaintiff is in danger of losing his said

STATE OF MINNESOTA, }

County of Cass }

Be it known that on this 24th day of April

A. D. 187 4 came before me personally Joseph Starke Philip
Huck and Joseph Frank — —

to me well known to be the same persons who executed the foregoing Bond, and each severally acknowledged the same to be his own free act and deed.

STATE OF MINNESOTA, }

County of Cass }

L. D. Dwyer
Notary Public
Cass Co Minn
Philip Huck

and Joseph Frank upon oath doth say, each for himself, that he is one of the sureties above named; that he is a resident and freeholder of and in the State of Minnesota, and worth the amount of two hundred and fifty Dollars, specified in the foregoing bond, above his debts and liabilities, and exclusive of his property which is exempt from execution.

Sworn to and subscribed before me this 24th

day of April A. D. 187 4

L. D. Dwyer
Notary Public
Cass Co Minn

J. Frank
Joseph Frank

District Court.

County of Cass

Joseph Starke

or
Henry Green

BOND FOR ATTACHMENT.

I hereby approve the within Bond, and the sureties thereon.

Dated April 24th A. D. 187 4

J. W. Dwyer (55)
Notary Public
Cass Co Minn

Filed April 24th 1874
W. H. Dwyer

Dwyer & Leck

Plaintiff's Attorney.

195

STATE OF MINNESOTA, }
County of Carna } ss.

District COURT
8th District

Joseph Starken

Bond for Attachment.

Agust
Henry Young

Know all Men by these Presents, That We Joseph Starken as
principal and Philip Hank and Joseph
Franken of Carna County Minnesota ~~are~~
are held and firmly bound unto Henry Young defendant

defendant

in the above entitled action, in the sum of two hundred and fifty Dollars,
lawful money of the United States, to be paid unto the said Henry Young
his

heirs, executors, administrators or assigns, for which payment well and truly to be made, we jointly and severally bind ourselves, our heirs, executors and administrators, firmly by these presents.

Scaled with our Seals. Dated this _____ day of _____

A. D. 187

The condition of this obligation is such, that WHEREAS, the above-named plaintiff ha duly applied for a Writ of Attachment against the property of said defendant in this action, according to the statute in such case provided.

NOW THEREFORE, if the said defendant recover judgment, if the plaintiff shall pay all costs that may be awarded to the defendant and all damages which he may sustain by reason of the attachment, not exceeding the penalty of this Bond, then this obligation shall be void, otherwise of force.

IN TESTIMONY WHEREOF, we have hereunto set our hands and seals, on this 24th
day of April A. D. 187 4

Signed, Scaled and Delivered in presence of

L. H. Bayler
W. H. Graymiller

Jos. Starken SEAL.
Philip Hank SEAL.
Joseph Franken SEAL.

State of Minnesota } I hereby certify and
 County of Leavenworth }
 return that on the 30th day of April
 A.D. 1874. at the village of Cherokee
 County - & State of Iowa, I did ~~serve~~
 the within Summons by handing to & leaving
 with Magdalena Young wife of Henry
 Young, at his usual place of residence
 a true & correct copy hereof.

Deep Summons \$1.00
 Copy \$1.20

J. E. Du Toit
 Sheriff Ann Co. Minn

STATE OF MINNESOTA,
 County of Cass

District Court,

Judicial District.

vs. Stephen Starck

Henry Young

UNIMONS.

Given April 30th 1874
 J. E. Du Toit
 Sheriff Ann Co. Minn

Raymond P. Phelps
 Attorney

Printed and for sale at the St. Paul Pioneer office.

County of *Corn*

ss.

Egbert

Judicial District.

Joseph Sturtevant
vs
Henry Young

SUMMONS.

THE STATE OF MINNESOTA,

To the above named Defendant.

You are hereby summoned and required to answer the Complaint in the above entitled action, which has been filed in the office of the Clerk of this Court, at *Chaska* in said County, and to serve a copy of your answer to the said complaint, on the subscriber, at *their* office in *Chaska*

in the County of *Corn* and State aforesaid, within twenty days after the service of this summons on you, exclusive of the day of such service; and if you fail to answer the said Complaint within the time aforesaid, the

Plaintiff will take full payment against you for the sum of *four hundred and twenty eight and 2/100* dollars with interest thereon as follows - *from the sum of*

\$940. at 12 percent from Jan'y 30 1874 on the sum of five hundred dollars from and since March 15 1874 - at 12 percent thereon - and upon the sum of \$51.62 with 7 percent interest from Apr 15 1874 lessing the costs of this action

Dated *April 24* at

A. D. 1874

Danforth & Pelt Plaintiff's Attorney.

Chaska Minn.

District Court,
County of *Warner,*

Joseph Standen
vs
Henry Young,

WRIT OF ATTACHMENT.

Issued *April 24th* 1874
G. Wrayentahl Clerk.

Returned *August 4th* 1874
G. Wrayentahl Clerk.

Barth & Pugh
Plaintiffs Attorney.

Printed and for sale at the office of the St. Paul Pioneer.

= 195 =

State of Minnesota ss. I Henry Seftig
Clerk of said County do hereby certify
and return that by virtue of the within
that of attachment of writ on the 27th
day of April 1874. in the village
of Charles County, State of Minnesota
being upon the stock in various Merchandise
and also accounts of Henry Young
and also an inventory of the above
described goods are stored in this
office of the House Clerk
The, Service of writ \$1.00
" Copy " " 30
" Mileage 20
Inventory & return of goods 2.00
3.50.

J. E. Lee
House Clerk

Wray

2nd Charles & Pugh v. Young

State of Minnesota ss. I hereby certify
 County of Cass and return that by virtue of the within
 writ of attachment, I did on the 27th
 day of April A.D. 1874, in the Village
 of Chaska County & State a general
 levy upon the stock in general Merchandise
 and Book accounts of Henry Young
 and file an Inventory of the above
 described goods ore Levy in the
 office of the County Clerk.

Fees, Service of Writ \$1.00

" Copy " " 30

Mileage 20

Inventory & Car of Goods. 2.00

3.50.

J. E. Lee Tailor
 Sheriff's Court Co.

Writ

District Court,

County of Warner,

Joseph Standen

vs

Henry Young,

WRIT OF ATTACHMENT.

Issued April 24 1874

Edw. J. Standen clerk.

Returned August 4 1874

Edw. J. Standen clerk.

29th

W. B. Taylor & Son

Plaintiff's Attorney,

Printed and for sale at the office of the St. Paul Pioneer.

- 195 -

2nd Goods of Standen & Bank acct

STATE OF MINNESOTA

DISTRICT COURT,

State of Minnesota,

DISTRICT COURT,

County of

Carver

Eight

Judicial District.

Joseph Starcken
vs
Henry Young,

Writ of Attachment.

STATE OF MINNESOTA,

County of

Carver

ss.

The State of Minnesota,

To the Sheriff of the County of

Carver

, GREETING:

Whereas, in the above entitled action, which is for the recovery of money, an application has been made by Joseph Starcken the Plaintiff, for a Writ of Attachment against the property of Henry Young

defendant therein, and to that end an affidavit has been made and filed, showing that a cause of action exists against such defendant, specifying the amount of the claim and the ground thereof; and that the defendant ^{said} has departed from the State of Minnesota as his affiant verily believes with intent to defraud his creditors and the bond in such case required by law, has been duly filed, and such Writ has been duly allowed.

Therefore, You are hereby commanded and required to attach and safely keep all the property of said Henry Young within your County, and not exempt from execution, or so much thereof as may be sufficient to satisfy the said plaintiff demand, (which amounts to the sum of nine hundred twenty eight & 02/100 dollars as appears by the Complaint in said action,) together with costs and expenses, and that you proceed herein in the manner required of you by law.

Witness the Honorable A. G. Chatfield Judge
of the District Court aforesaid, at Charles
this Twenty seventh day of April in the
year 1874.

Chas. H. H. H. Clerk.

No. 821

DISTRICT COURT,
CARVER COUNTY, MINN.

Philip Henk

Plaintiff.

vs

Henry Young

Defendant.

Baxter & Peck

Plaintiff's Attorney.

Defendant's Attorney.

Date of Entry Apr 27 1874
Court
Register of Actions a Page 194

Term Tried 19

Judgment for

Amount of Judgment \$

Date of Judgment 19

Judgment Book Page

Default Judgment Book Page

Date of Docketing 19

STATE OF MINNESOTA, }

County of Cum

Be it known that on this 27th day of April

A. D. 1874 came before me personally Philip Hunk and Peter W. H.
and Jacob H. Schubert

to me well known to be the same persons who executed the foregoing Bond, and each severally acknowledged the same to be his own free act and deed.

STATE OF MINNESOTA, }

County of Cum

Jacob H. Schubert

Peter W. H. and

upon oath doth say, each for himself, that he is one of the sureties above named; that he is a resident and freeholder of and in the State of Minnesota, and worth the amount of Two hundred & fifty Dollars,

specified in the foregoing bond, above his debts and liabilities, and exclusive of his property which is exempt from execution.

Sworn to and subscribed before me this 27th

day of April A. D. 1874

L. B. Buxton
Notary Public
Cum Co Min

Lester Court.
County of Cum

Philip Hunk
- 25 -
Henry Hunk

BOND FOR ATTACHMENT.

I hereby approve the within Bond, and the sureties thereon.

Dated April 27 A. D. 1874

W. A. Buxton
County Commissioner

(Filed April 27th 1874)
W. A. Buxton

Buxton & Buxton
Plaintiff's Attorney.

Arthur
Th

STATE OF MINNESOTA, }
 County of Cum } 83.

Leitric COURT
8^o Jewel Leit

Philip Hank
vs.
Henny Gummy

Bond for Attachment.

Know all Men by these Presents, That I Philip Hank as principal
and Peter Htis and
as parties
 are held and firmly bound unto Henny Gummy the one,
namely

in the above entitled action, in the sum of Two hundred & fifty ^{defendant} Dollars,
 lawful money of the United States, to be paid unto the said Henny Gummy

heirs, executors, administrators or assigns, for which payment well and truly to be made, we jointly and se-
 verally bind ourselves, our heirs, executors and administrators, firmly by these presents.

Scaled with our Seals. Dated this 27th day of April,
 A. D. 1874.

The condition of this obligation is such, that WHEREAS, the above-named plaintiff has duly applied
 for a Writ of Attachment against the property of said defendant in this action, according to the statute in
 such case provided,

NOW THEREFORE, if the said defendant recover judgment, if the plaintiff shall pay all costs
 that may be awarded to the defendant and all damages which he may sustain by reason of the attachment,
 not exceeding the penalty of this Bond, then this obligation shall be void, otherwise of force.

IN TESTIMONY WHEREOF, we have hereunto set our hands and seals, on this 27th
 day of April A. D. 1874

Signed, Sealed and Delivered in presence of

H. J. Beck
L. L. Bayter

Philip Hank
Peter Htis
Goldfild Htis

SEAL.

SEAL.

SEAL.

State of Minnesota
Lenn Leuty, Sub Com & Secy
Philip Thork
-25-

Lenny Jimmy,

The ~~United~~ for Complaint
in the above entitled action and
and then to the Court.

That on the 21st day of ^{January} ~~February~~ 1874
our John Peters sold and delivered
to said defendant wheat to the
amount and of the value of
\$160. which said defendant
refused to pay, and at the time
of same executed to said Peter
his due bill to receive as follows
to wit, Chicago January 21-1874,
I owe to John Peters for wheat that
he has the sum of One hundred and
sixty dollars, \$160. that and here
they have ever the price.

That upon the announcement of this
action for value the said plaintiff
became the owner & holder of said
bill & hence & then bill that
the same is now due & the whole
thereof with interest from date of
January 21-1874.

Deed-Grant
Cave Quarry

Philip H. H. H.

- 80 -

Henry H. H.

Comptroller

(Filed, April 1, 1915, as 1894)
Attest my hand
Attest

- 196 -

Braxton & Reels
Atty's for Reels.

A Supreme Court, under
Judgment against said ~~defendant~~
for the sum of \$100. & interest
as of course with costs

Braxton & Reels

Atty's for Reels.

State of Minnesota }
County of Le Sueur. }

Philip Hanks }

Hennepin County }
County of Le Sueur. } ss.

Affidavit of Attachment

Philip Hanks being duly sworn
says that he is the Plaintiff in the
above entitled action, that said defendant
is indebted to this Plaintiff in the
sum of One hundred and sixty
dollars, upon express contract
Affiant further says that said
defendant has departed from the
State of Minnesota with intent to
defraud his creditors as this affiant
verily believes, and that this affi-
davit is made for the purpose
of causing a writ of attachment
to issue

Subscribed and sworn to

this 27th day of
April 1874.

P. H. Hanks

Peter Ltr Justice of the Peace

Serial Count
Serial Count

P. Hark.

H. Gamm

Apparatus.

Baxter & Peck
Atty for Peck.

Get a cut of Althaus
See as has promised
for.

J. A. S. S. S. S.
Counsellor

Filed April 24th 1894
G. W. S. S. S.
-196-

State of Minnesota,
County of Carver

DISTRICT COURT,
Eighth Judicial District.

Phillip Hunt

Henry Young

Writ of Attachment.

STATE OF MINNESOTA,
County of Carver ss.

The State of Minnesota,

To the Sheriff of the County of Carver, GREETING:

Whereas, in the above entitled action, which is for the recovery of money, an application has been made by Phillip Hunt the Plaintiff, for a Writ of Attachment against the property of Henry Young

defendant therein, and to that end an affidavit has been made and filed, showing that a cause of action exists against such defendant, specifying the amount of the claim and the ground thereof; and that the defendant has departed from the State of Minnesota with intent to defraud his creditors as the affiant verily believes — and the bond in such case required by law, has been duly filed, and such Writ has been duly allowed.

Therefore, You are hereby commanded and required to attach and safely keep all the property of said Henry Young within your County, and not exempt from execution, or so much thereof as may be sufficient to satisfy the said plaintiff demand, (which amounts to the sum of One hundred & sixty dollars with interest hereon as appears by the Complaint in said action, together with costs and expenses, and that you proceed hereon in the manner required of you by law.

Witness the Honorable A. G. Chatfield Judge
of the District Court aforesaid, at Charle
this Twenty seventh day of April in the
year 1874

J. H. Graymuhl Clerk.

State of Minnesota D.S.S. I hereby certify and return that by virtue of the within writ of attachment I did on the 27th day of April A.D. 1874 in the Village of Chaska, County of State, found levy upon the stock in General Merchandise and ~~Boat~~ accounts of Henry Young and file an Inventory of the above described goods now levied in the Office of the Town Clerk.

Fees Service of Writ	\$1.00
Copy	30
Mileage	20
Inventory	2.00
	<u>3.50.</u>

J. E. Du Roch
Shinn's Carriage Co
Minneapolis

District Court,
County of Carver

Philip Hensel
vs

Henry Young.

WRIT OF ATTACHMENT.

Issued April 24th 1874.

C. H. Hagenbuchel Clerk.

Returned August 4th 1874.

C. H. Hagenbuchel Clerk.

1963

Boylston & Rich
Plaintiff Attorney.

Printed and for sale at the office of the State Engineer.

State of Minnesota ss. I hereby certify
 County of Cass I do hereby certify
 and return that by virtue of the
 within sum I did on the 28th day
 of April 1874 at Chaska, County
 of Hennepin, serve the within sum upon
 Magdalena Young by handing & leaving
 with her a true & correct copy thereof
 at her residence in Chaska,
 Dues sum \$1.00 J. E. De Laet
 Sheriff Cass Co Minn

DISTRICT COURT.

Judicial District.

City of Cass

Plaintiff

vs -

Henry J. Young.

SUMMONS.

Y. J. Lang & Co. 1874
 Attorney at Law
 Chaska

- 196 -

Plaintiff

Attorney

Ramaley & Cunningham, Printers and Stationers.

STATE OF MINNESOTA,

DISTRICT COURT,

County of Le Sueur

Judicial District.

Plaintiff Heute- vs -
Henry Grunig,

SUMMONS.

THE STATE OF MINNESOTA to the above named Defendant:

You Henry Grunig are hereby summoned and required to answer the complaint in this action which has been filed with the Clerk of the said Court of said Co. at Crush

and to serve a copy of your answer to the said complaint on the subscriber, at his office in Crush in said County.

within twenty days after the service of this summons upon you, exclusive of the day of such service, and if you fail to answer the said complaint within the time aforesaid, the Plaintiff in this action will enter judgment against you for the sum of one hundred and sixty dollars and interest since the 21st day of January 1894 with costs

Dated

187

Benton & PackPlaintiff Attorney, Crush Minn.

No. 822

DISTRICT COURT,
CARVER COUNTY, MINN.

Charles May
Plaintiff.

vs.

Henry Young
Defendant.

Baxter & Peck
Plaintiff's Attorneys

Defendant's Attorney.

Date of Entry April 27th 1874

Register of Actions A Page 197

Term Tried 19

Judgment for

Amount of Judgment \$

Date of Judgment 19

Judgment Book Page

Default Judgment Book Page

Date of Docketing 19

State of Minnesota
County of Cass
Circuit Court.

Charles May,

- v -

Henry Jerny,

} Complainant

The Verdict for Complaint in the
above entitled action reads and
shows to the Court.

That on the 15th day of September
1853, for value received the
said defendant made and executed
and delivered to this Verdict his
certain promissory note in writing
whereby and which said note is in
words and figures following to wit:

"Check a Sept, 15th 1853,
" Nine months after date I promise to
" pay to Ch. May, or order four hun-
" dred and fifty (\$450.00) dollars
" at Twelve per cent per annum
" until paid
" Henry Jerny,"
" \$450.00

That said Verdict is now the
owner and holder of said note
that no part thereof has been paid
that there is now due and owing
this Verdict the sum of

Exec. Comm.
Comm. Council

Chas. Allen

- 25 -

Henry (Murray,
Comptroller

Bretton & Co
Attys for Plaintiff

(John) April 1945 Ad 1874
Murray & Co
Bretton & Co

1945

four hundred and fifty dollars
and interest thereon since the date
of said note at 12 per cent per
annum.

Whom Plaintiff demands judgment
against said Defendant for the
sum of \$450. and interest at
12 per cent with costs and disbursements
of suit.

Bretton & Co

Attys for Plaintiff

STATE OF MINNESOTA, } ss.
County of *Carm*

District COURT
Eighth Judicial District

Charles May

against

Henry Loring

Bond for Attachment.

Know all Men by these Presents, That *we* *Charles May*
as principal and

are held and firmly bound unto *Henry Loring* *the de-*
fendant

defendant
in the above entitled action, in the sum of *Two hundred and fifty* Dollars,
lawful money of the United States, to be paid unto the said *Henry Loring*

heirs, executors, administrators or assigns, for which payment well and truly to be made, we jointly and severally bind ourselves, our heirs, executors and administrators, firmly by these presents.

Scaled with our Seals. Dated this *24th* day of *April*
A. D. 187*4*

The condition of this obligation is such, that WHEREAS, the above-named plaintiff has duly applied for a Writ of Attachment against the property of said defendant in this action, according to the statute in such case provided.

NOW THEREFORE, if the said defendant recover judgment, if the plaintiff shall pay all costs that may be awarded to the defendant and all damages which he may sustain by reason of the attachment, not exceeding the penalty of this Bond, then this obligation shall be void, otherwise of force.

IN TESTIMONY WHEREOF, we have hereunto set our hands and seals, on this *24th*
day of *April* A. D. 187*4*

Signed, Sealed and Delivered in presence of

L. L. Baxter

H. J. Pick.

Carl May

Wm Brin Khan

Henry Loring



STATE OF MINNESOTA, }

County of Carr ss.

Be it known that on this 24th day of April

A. D. 1874 came before me personally

to me well known to be the same persons who executed the foregoing Bond, and each severally acknowledged the same to be his own free act and deed.

STATE OF MINNESOTA, }

County of Carr ss.

upon oath doth say, each for himself, that he is

one of the sureties above named; that he is a resident and freeholder of and in the State of Minnesota, and worth the amount of Two hundred and fifty Dollars,

specified in the foregoing bond, above his debts and liabilities, and exclusive of his property which is exempt from execution.

Sworn to and subscribed before me this 24th day of April A. D. 1874

Wm Brim Rhau
George M. M.

L. L. Baxter Notary Public
Carr & Minn

District Court.

County of Carr

Charles M. M.

Henry M. M.

BOND FOR ATTACHMENT.

I hereby approve the within Bond, and the sureties thereon.

Dated April 27 A. D. 1874

Wm Brim Rhau

George M. M.

John, Capital of the State of

Minnesota

Plaintiff's Attorney.

John M. M.

Best Court
Carm Court

Chm Allen

²⁵⁰
Henry Gmms.

Appended.

Proctor Richo

Ally for Rigg.

Let a man of action
use us him / my
for.

for A Surgeon -
Carm & Municipal SS

John April 14th 1874
H. Graymuhl
Ally

-194-

Carm has been

State of Minnesota, Last Court
County of Hennepin } 8th Series
Charles H. May,

- 185 -

{ Affidavit of Attachment

Henry Henry.

Ans. Charles H. May

Any duty since pays
that on the 21st of the Plaintiff in the above
entitled action, that some dependent
is indebted to this Plaintiff in the
sum of \$450.00 and interest
since the 15th day of Sept 1873.

Upon personal notice of that date
which is long over due. That
said dependent has departed from
the State of Minnesota with intent
to defraud his creditors as this
affidavit needs believe. That this
affidavit is made for the purpose
of causing writ of attachment to
issue.

Subscribed and sworn to
this 27th day of
April 1874,

Leah May

W. H. Simpson

Notary Public

State of Minnesota I hereby certify & return that
County of Leavenworth on the 29th day of April A.D. 1874.
at the village of Chaska, County aforesaid, I
did serve the within Summons by handing
to & leaving with Magdalene Young wife of
Henry Young at his usual place of abode a true
and correct copy hereof.

Fees - Summons 1.00

J. E. McNeil
Shielf Camp Co. Minn.

STATE OF MINNESOTA.

County of Leavenworth

District Court,

Eighth Judicial District.

Charles May

vs

Mary Young

SUMMONS.

John, Aug 1874
G. W. Maynard
I

- 197 -

Beaumont & Co

Attorney.

Printed and for sale at the St. Paul Pioneer office.

STATE OF MINNESOTA,

DISTRICT COURT,

County of

Cass

ss.

Eighth

Judicial District.

Charles May
vs
Henry Young

SUMMONS.

THE STATE OF MINNESOTA,

To the above named Defendant.

You are hereby summoned and required to answer the Complaint in the above entitled action, which has been filed in the office of the Clerk of this Court, at Chicago in said County, and to serve a copy of your answer to the said complaint, on the subscriber, at Chicago office in Chicago

in the County of Cass and State aforesaid, within twenty days after the service of this summons on you, exclusive of the day of such service; and if you fail to answer the said Complaint within the time aforesaid, the

Plaintiff will take judgment against you for the sum of four hundred and fifty dollars with interest thereon at the rate of twelve per cent per annum from and after the 15th day of September 1874

Dated April 24th

A. D. 1874

Bryant Peck

Plaintiff's Attorney.

Chicago

Minn.

State of Minnesota I hereby certify & return
County of Carver that by virtue of the within
Writ of Attachment, I did on the 27th day
of April 1874, in the village of Chaska
County aforesaid, levy upon Forty Thousand
Hoop & Hoop-poles of Henry Young & file
an Inventory of the above described
property on Levy in the office of the
Town Clerk of Chaska.

Fees - Service of Writ \$1.00
Inventory & Care of papers 2.00
3.00

J. E. McLaughlin Sheriff
Carver Co. Minn.

District Court,

County of Carver

Charles May
vs
Henry Young.

WRIT OF ATTACHMENT.

Issued April 27th 1874
G. H. Brownbuhl Clerk.

Returned August 19th 1874
G. H. Brownbuhl Clerk.

194-

By Geo. P. Rich

Plaintiff Attorney.

Printed and for sale at the office of Geo. P. Rich.

State of Minnesota,

DISTRICT COURT.

County of

Carver

Eighth

Judicial District.

Charles May

vs

Henry Young.

Writ of Attachment.

STATE OF MINNESOTA,

County of

Carver

ss.

The State of Minnesota,

To the Sheriff of the County of

Carver

, GREETING:

Whereas, in the above entitled action, which is for the recovery of money, an application has been made by Charles May the Plaintiff, for a Writ of Attachment against the property of Henry Young

defendant therein, and to that end an affidavit has been made and filed, showing that a cause of action exists against such defendant, specifying the amount of the claim and the ground thereof; and that the defendant has departed from the State of Minnesota with intent to defraud his creditors, as this Affiant verily believes — and the bond in such case required by law, has been duly filed, and such Writ has been duly allowed.

Therefore, You are hereby commanded and required to attach and safely keep all the property of said Henry Young within your County, and not exempt from execution, or so much thereof as may be sufficient to satisfy the said plaintiff demand, (which amounts to the sum of Four hundred and fifty dollars, with interest since Septth 4th 1873, as appears by the Complaint in said action,) together with costs and expenses, and that you proceed hereon in the manner required of you by law.

Witness the Honorable

A. G. Chatfield

Judge

of the District Court aforesaid, at

Charles

this Twenty seventh day of

April

in the

year 1874,

G. Grayenhuehl

Clerk.

No. 823

DISTRICT COURT,
CARVER COUNTY, MINN.

Mathay Schmidt
Plaintiff.

vs.

Henry Young
Defendant.

Baxter & Beck
Plaintiff's Attorney.

Defendant's Attorney

Date of Entry Apr 27 1874
Court a Page 198
Register of Actions

Term Tried 19

Judgement for

Amount of Judgement \$

Date of Judgement 19

Judgement Book Page

Default Judgement Book Page

Date of Docketing 19

STATE OF MINNESOTA, }
County of Cass } ss.

Be it known that on this twenty fourth day of April
A. D. 1874 came before me personally Matthew Schmidt, Fred
Sutton and Gathel Schubert
to me well known to be the same persons who executed the foregoing Bond, and each severally acknowledged the
same to be his own free act and deed.

STATE OF MINNESOTA, }
County of Cass } ss.

am Gathel Schubert upon oath doth say, each for himself, that he is
one of the sureties above named; that he is a resident and freeholder of and in the State of Minnesota, and
worth the amount of two hundred and fifty Dollars,
specified in the foregoing bond, above his debts and liabilities, and exclusive of his property which is exempt
from execution.

Subscribed to and subscribed before me this 24th day of April A. D. 1874
L. S. Patton
Notary Public
Cass Co Minn

District Court.
County of Cass
Matthew Schmidt
or
Frederick Patton
BOND FOR ATTACHMENT.
I hereby approve the within Bond, and the
sureties thereon.
Dated April 24th A. D. 1874
De Sargent
County Commissioner
Cass Co Minn
John, a part of up as copy
Whitney
Patton
Plaintiff's Attorney.

-148-

STATE OF MINNESOTA, }
County of Carr } ss.

District COURT
Eighth Judicial District

Matthias Schmitt
vs.
Henry Young } Bond for Attachment.

Know all Men by these Presents, That Matthias Schmitt as
principal

are held and firmly bound unto Henry Young the
defendant

in the above entitled action, in the sum of Two hundred and fifty Dollars,
lawful money of the United States, to be paid unto the said Henry Young
his—

heirs, executors, administrators or assigns, for which payment well and truly to be made, we jointly and severally bind ourselves, our heirs, executors and administrators, firmly by these presents.

Scaled with our Seals. Dated this 24th day of April
A. D. 1874

The condition of this obligation is such, that WHEREAS, the above-named plaintiff has duly applied for a Writ of Attachment against the property of said defendant in this action, according to the statute in such case provided.

NOW THEREFORE, if the said defendant recover judgment, if the plaintiff shall pay all costs that may be awarded to the defendant and all damages which he may sustain by reason of the attachment, not exceeding the penalty of this Bond, then this obligation shall be void, otherwise of force.

IN TESTIMONY WHEREOF, we have hereunto set our hands and seals, on this 24th
day of March A. D. 1874

Signed, Sealed and Delivered in presence of

L. L. Dwyer

Matthias Schmitt SEAL.
John G. Schmitt SEAL.
L. Salter SEAL.

claim by reason of the facts aforesaid, unless a Writ of Attachment issue; and prays that such Writ of Attachment may be allowed and issued against the property of said Defendant therein, according to the Statute in such case provided; and said Affiant says that no previous application has been made therein for such order, and further saith not.

Subscribed and Sworn to before me, on this 27th day of April
A. D. 1874,

Matthias Schmidt.

L. L. Baughin
Notary Public
Carmen Co. Minn.

Levee Court.

County of Carmen.

Matthias Schmidt

against

Henry Gentry.

AFFIDAVIT FOR ATTACHMENT.

To the Clerk of said Court:

On filing the within affidavit and a bond approved by me in the within entitled cause, let a Writ of Attachment issue as within prayed.

Dated April 24th 1874

Clerk of said Court
Carmen Co. Minn.

Filed April 24th A. D. 1874

Gibson

Clerk of said Court.

Baughin & Rees

Plaintiff Attorneys.

D. F. Baughin

198

State of Minnesota,
County of Leann

No. 22.

Lestrict Court.
8^o Lestrict

Matthias Schmith

against

Affidavit for Attachment.

Henry Gurney

STATE OF MINNESOTA,
County of Leann

Matthias Schmith came

before me personally, and being first duly sworn, doth say, that he is Matthias Schmith said Plaintiff in the above entitled action, which is brought for the recovery of money, and a summons has been issued therein.

That a cause of action exists against the Defendant, and in favor of said Plaintiff therein, and the amount of said Plaintiff's claim therein is \$200. with interest at 12 per cent since the 4th day of Sept 1853 Dollars, and the ground thereof is as follows, that is to say: One promissory note as follows:

- " Christina Sept 4/53
- " Three months after date I promise to pay,
- " to Matthias Schmith or order Two hundred
- " dollars at 12 per cent interest until paid
- " \$200. Henry Gurney,
- " that the same is true and unperjured

And that said Defendant has departed from the State of Minnesota as this Affiant is informed and hereby believes with intent to defraud his Creditor

And said Affiant doth depose and say, that said Plaintiff is in danger of losing his said

State of Minnesota }
 County of Leavenworth } I hereby certify & return
 that on the 28th day of April A.D. 1874,
 at the village of Chaska County - aforesaid,
 I did serve the within summons by handing
 to & leaving with Magdalena Young,
 wife of Henry Young, at his usual place
 of abode, a true & correct copy hereof.
 Fees - Summons 1.00.

J. E. Du Toit Sheriff
 Leavenworth Co Minn.

STATE OF MINNESOTA,

County of Leavenworth

District Court,

Leavenworth Judicial District.

William Schmidt

vs

Henry Young

JMMONS.

John, Aug 2nd 1874
 J. E. Du Toit Sheriff

1874 -

Dwyer & Dwyer

Attorneys

Printed and for sale at the St. Paul Pioneer office.

County of Carver

ss.

Eighth

Judicial District.

Mathias Schmith
against

Henry Boumy

SUMMONS.

THE STATE OF MINNESOTA,

To the above named Defendant.

You are hereby summoned and required to answer the Complaint in the above entitled action, which has been filed in the office of the Clerk of this Court, at Chaska in said County, and to serve a copy of your answer to the said complaint, on the subscriber, at Their office in Chaska in the County of Carver and State aforesaid, within twenty days after the service of this summons on you, exclusive of the day of such service; and if you fail to answer the said Complaint within the time aforesaid, the Plaintiff will take judgment against you for the sum of two hundred dollars with interest thereon at the rate of twelve percent per annum from and since the 1st day of September 1873 less the costs of this action.

Dated April 24th A. D. 1874

Raymond Beck Plaintiff's Attorney.

Chaska Minn.

State of Minnesota }
 County of Cass } I hereby certify & return
 that by virtue of the Within Writ of
 Attachment, I did on the 27th day
 of April 1874 in the village of Chaska
 County aforesaid levy upon the Hols's &
 book accounts of Henry Young, & file an
 Inventory of the above described goods
 on levy in the office of the Town Clerk.
 Fee-Service of Writ \$1.00
 Copy

Inventory & Copy of Goods 2.00
 3.00.

J. E. Du Toit, Sheriff
 Cass Co. Minn.

District Court,

County of Cass

Mathias Schmidt

vs
 Henry Young,

WRIT OF ATTACHMENT.

Issued April 27th 1874

G. W. Wrayenbuhl Clerk.

Returned August 4th 1874

G. W. Wrayenbuhl Clerk.

29-1874

B. A. T. Wrayenbuhl

Plaintiff Attorney.

Printed and for sale at the office of the Clerk of the District Court.

Minneapolis

STATE OF MINNESOTA.

DISTRICT COURT,

State of Minnesota,

DISTRICT COURT,

County of

Carver.

Judicial District.

Mathias Schmidt

vs

Henry Young,

Writ of Attachment.

STATE OF MINNESOTA,

County of

Carver

ss.

The State of Minnesota,

To the Sheriff of the County of

Carver

GREETING:

Whereas, in the above entitled action, which is for the recovery of money, an application has been made by Mathias Schmidt the Plaintiff, for a Writ of Attachment against the property of Henry Young,

defendant therein, and to that end an affidavit has been made and filed, showing that a cause of action exists against such defendant, specifying the amount of the claim and the ground thereof; and that the defendant ^{said} has departed from the State of Minnesota as this Affiant is informed and verily believes, with intent to defraud his creditors, and the bond in such case required by law, has been duly filed, and such Writ has been duly allowed.

Therefore, You are hereby commanded and required to attach and safely keep all the property of said Henry Young within your County, and not exempt from execution, or so much thereof as may be sufficient to satisfy the said plaintiff demand, (which amounts to the sum of Two Hundred dollars, with interest in and to Sept 4th 1893 as appears by the Complaint in said action,) together with costs and expenses, and that you proceed hereon in the manner required of you by law.

Witness the Honorable

A. G. Chatfield

Judge

of the District Court aforesaid, at

Charles

this Twenty seventh day of

April

in the

year 1894.

G. H. Meynert

Clerk.

No. 824

DISTRICT COURT,
CARVER COUNTY, MINN.

Lillian Warner
Plaintiff.

vs.

Frederick E. Dutton
Defendant.

Baxter & Peck
Plaintiff's Attorney.

Defendant's Attorney.

Date of Entry April 27, 1874

Register of Actions A Page 179

Term Tried 1

Judgment for

Amount of Judgment \$

Date of Judgment 1

Judgment Book Page

Default Judgment Book Page

Date of Docketing 1

to me well known to be the same persons described in and who executed the foregoing bond, and severally acknowledged that they executed the same.

State of Minnesota,
County of *Levee*

} ss.

L L Bayler
Natny Public
Levee Co Minn
Philz Burke

being duly sworn, each for himself, doth say that he is one of the sureties named in the foregoing bond; that he is a resident and freeholder of the State of Minnesota, and that he is worth the sum of *\$ 7080, or* — Dollars, above his debts and liabilities, and exclusive of his property exempt from execution.

Subscribed and sworn to before me

This *27* day of *April* A. D. 18*74*

L L Bayler
Natny Public
Levee Co Minn

DISTRICT COURT,

County of *Levee*

Lucien Warner

vs.

Indweld E. DeLance

BOND IN REPLEVIN.

I hereby approve the within bond and the sureties therein.

Dated *April 27* A. D. 18*74*
St. Oberle County of *Levee*

County, Minn.

Filed, April 27th 1874.
Notary Public, Levee Co

Bayler & Burke

Plaintiff Attorney,

Chas. A. Minn.

ST. PAUL PIONEER PRINT.

—199—

State of Minnesota,
County of *Leum*

DISTRICT COURT,
8 Judicial District.

Lucien Warner

- 2 -

Frederick G. Leu Loit

Bond in Replevin.

Know all Men by these Presents, That we *Lucien Warner*
and *Philip Hawk* the plaintiff in this action as principal,

are held and firmly bound unto *Frederick G. Leu Loit* ^{sureties}
defendant in
said action, in the sum of \$ *7080.00* — Dollars,
lawful money of the United States, to be paid unto the said defendant, his
heirs, executors, administrators or assigns, for which payment well and truly to
be made, we bind ourselves, our heirs, executors and administrators, firmly by
these presents.

Sealed with our seals and dated this *Twenty seventh*
day of *April* A. D. 1874,

WHEREAS, The plaintiff in said action, which is brought for the
recovery of the possession of certain personal property, claims the immediate de-
livery of such property, and to that end has procured to be issued to the *Shiff*
of the County of *Leum* a writ as prescribed by the statute in such
case made and provided.

NOW THEREFORE, the condition of this obligation is such, that if said
plaintiff shall prosecute said action with effect, and return said property to
said defendant if a return is adjudged, and shall pay to *him* such sum as for
any cause may be recovered against the plaintiff, then this obligation shall be
void, otherwise of force.

Signed, sealed, and delivered in presence of

L. L. Baxter
H. J. Rich.

Lucien Warner
Philip Hawk

State of Minnesota,
County of *Leum* ss.

BE IT KNOWN, that on this *27th* day of *April*
A. D. 1874, personally came before me

L. L. Baxter
Notary Public
Carroll Co Minn

Lacum County.
Justice Court.

Lacum Warren

vs.

Frederick E. Du Wit

Complaint in Replevin.

Filed, April 24th A.D. 1874.
Wraymuhl Relator

Robert R. Rich
Plaintiff's Attorney.

Printed and for Sale at the St. Paul Pioneer Office.

199.

Wherefore said Plaintiff demand judgment against said Defendant for the immediate return and possession of the property above described, or the sum of three thousand and ninety Dollars, the value thereof, in case a delivery thereof cannot be had, and besides the costs and disbursements of this action.

Dated December 22nd 1874

Robert R. Rich
Plaintiff's Attorney.

State of Minnesota,)

County of Carnia)

District

Court.

Eighth Judicial District

Lucia Warner

Against

Frederick E. De Wit

COMPLAINT.

The Complaint of the Plaintiff in this action shows to this Court, and states:
That said Plaintiff is the owner and entitled to the immediate possession
of that certain personal property which is described as follows, that is to say:

Three thousand bushels of Number two
wheat now ^{lying} in the ~~house~~ ^{house} ~~store~~
house in rear of the store of Harry Brown
in the Village of Chaska in the
said County of Carnia

That the same, all and singular, and the possession thereof, are wrongfully
and unlawfully detained from said Plaintiff by said Defendant at the County of

Carnia in the State aforesaid. That said Plaintiff has demanded
and caused to be demanded of said Defendant the delivery and possession there-
of before the commencement of this action; but said Defendant has refused and
still refuses and neglects to deliver the same, or any part of the same to the
Plaintiff. And that the same is worth, and of the actual value of three

thousand and ninety Dollars.

No. 825

DISTRICT COURT,
CARVER COUNTY, MINN.

Philip Hank
Plaintiff.

vs.

Frederick C. Lott
Defendant.

Baxter & Beck
Plaintiff's Attorney.

Defendant's Attorney.

Date of Entry *April 27th, 1874*

Register of Actions *"A"* Page *200*

Term Tried *19*

Judgment for

Amount of Judgment \$

Date of Judgment *19*

Judgment Book Page

Default Judgment Book Page

Date of Docketing *19*

State of Minnesota,
County of *Cum*

DISTRICT COURT,
8 Judicial District.

Philip Hawk

James E. Gu Luit

Bond in Replevin.

Know all Men by these Presents, That we *Philip Hawk*
the plaintiff in this action as principal,
and *Lucien Warner*

are held and firmly bound unto *James E. Gu Luit*
said action, in the sum of *\$2060.00* Dollars,
lawful money of the United States, to be paid unto the said defendant,
heirs, executors, administrators or assigns, for which payment well and truly to
be made, we bind ourselves, our heirs, executors and administrators, firmly by
these presents.

Sealed with our seals and dated this *Twenty seventh*
day of *April* A. D. 18*74*

WHEREAS, The plaintiff in said action, which is brought for the
recovery of the possession of certain personal property, claims the immediate de-
livery of such property, and to that end has procured to be issued to the *Shiff*
of the County of *Cum* a writ as prescribed by the statute in such
case made and provided.

NOW THEREFORE, the condition of this obligation is such, that if said
plaintiff shall prosecute said action with effect, and return said property to
said defendant if a return is adjudged, and shall pay to *him* such sum as for
any cause may be recovered against the plaintiff, then this obligation shall be
void, otherwise of force.

Signed, sealed, and delivered in presence of

H. J. Pelt
L. L. Baister

Philip Hawk
Lucien Warner

State of Minnesota,
County of *Cum*

BE IT KNOWN, that on this *27* day of *April*
A. D. 18*74*, personally came before me *Philip Hawk*
Lucien Warner

State of Minnesota
District Court Eighth Judicial District
County of Carn

Philip H. Smith
against
Frederick E. Du Bois

The plaintiff in the
above entitled action for Complaint
therein respectfully states and shows
to the Court that he is the owner
and entitled to the immediate
possession of that certain personal
property which is described as
follows, to wit: ~~There are~~
some bushels of Minnesota Two Wheat
now lying and being in the ware
house in rear of the store of Henry
Murray in the village of Chaska
in the said County of Carn

That the same, and all
and singular, and the possession
thereof, are wrongfully and unlaw-
fully detained from said plaintiff
by said defendant at the County of
Carn in the State aforesaid - That
said plaintiff has demanded
and caused to be demanded of
said defendant the delivery

and possession thereof before the
commencement of this action, but the said
defendant refused and still refuses and
neglects to deliver the same or any
part of the same to the plaintiff, And
that the same is worth and of the
actual value of One thousand
and thirty dollars, wherefore said plain-
tiff demands judgment against said
defendant for the immediate return and
possession of the property above described
or the sum of one thousand and
thirty dollars the value thereof
in case a delivery thereof cannot
be had and one dollar damages
for the detention thereof besides
the costs and disbursements of
this action

Raymond S. Pick
Plaintiff's Attorney

County of Curran
District Court

Philip Hunk
vs

W. E. Du Vail
Complainant

Filed April 24th 1874
J. H. Maymunt
Clerk.

200.

Baxter Dick
Deft, Atty

No. 826

DISTRICT COURT,
CARVER COUNTY, MINN.

August Vogel
Plaintiff.

vs.

Henry Young
Defendant.

Baxter & Peck
Plaintiff's Attorney.

Defendant's Attorney.

Date of Entry April 28, 1874

Register of Actions A Page 201

Term Tried 1

Judgment for

Amount of Judgment \$

Date of Judgment 1

Judgment Book Page

Default Judgment Book Page

Date of Docketing 1

State of Minnesota
County of Cass
I hereby certify & return
that by virtue of the within writ of
attachment I did on the 28th day of
April A.D. 1874, in the village of Shakopee
County aforesaid, levy upon the stock
in general merchandise of Henry Young
& file an inventory of the above de-
scribed property on Levy in the office
of the Town Clerk of Shakopee

Fees - Service of Writ \$ 1.00.

Inventory of Goods.

\$ 2.00
\$ 3.00

J. E. Du Saut

Shruff Cass Co. Minn.

District Court,

County of Cass.

August Vogel

vs
Henry Young,

WRIT OF ATTACHMENT.

Issued April 28th 1874.

By the undersigned clerk.

Returned August 25th 1874

By the undersigned clerk.

— 201 —

Plaintiff Attorney.

Printed and for sale at the office of the St. Paul Pioneer.

547 Good St. St. Paul, Minn.
X 2 8 2 1/2

State of Minnesota,

County of

Carver

DISTRICT COURT,

Eighth

Judicial District.

August Vogel

vs

Henry Young.

Writ of Attachment.

STATE OF MINNESOTA,

County of

Carver

ss.

The State of Minnesota,

To the Sheriff of the County of

Carver

GREETING:

Whereas, in the above entitled action, which is for the recovery of money, an application has been made by August Vogel the Plaintiff, for a Writ of Attachment against the property of Henry Young

defendant therein, and to that end an affidavit has been made and filed, showing that a cause of action exists against such defendant, specifying the amount of the claim and the ground thereof; and that the defendant has departed from the State of Minnesota with intent to defraud his creditors as this
Officer verily believes and the bond in such case required by law, has been duly filed, and such Writ has been duly allowed.

Therefore, You are hereby commanded and required to attach and safely keep all the property of said Henry Young within your County, and not exempt from execution, or so much thereof as may be sufficient to satisfy the said plaintiff demand, (which amounts to the sum of Two hundred and fifty four dollars thirty cents, with interest appears by the Complaint in said action,) together with costs and expenses, and that you proceed herein in the manner required of you by law.

Witness the Honorable

R. G. Chatfield

Judge

of the District Court aforesaid, at

Charles

this Twenty eighth day of

April

in the

year 1874

W. H. H. H. H.

Clerk.

State of Minnesota & I hereby certify & return that
 County of Carver & on the 29th day of April A.D. 1874,
 at the village of Chaska County - Minnesota I did
 serve the within summons by handing to and
 leaving with Magdalena Young, wife
 of Henry Young, at his usual place of
 abode, a true & correct copy hereof.
 Fees Summs \$1.00. J. E. Du Saut
 Sheriff Carver Co.
 Minn.

DISTRICT COURT.

8 Judicial District.

County of Carver

Lynard Vogel

vs.
 Henry Young

SUMMONS.

Filed, Aug 19th 1874.
 J. E. Du Saut
 Sheriff

- 101 -

Wm. & Peh

Plaintiff Attorney

Ramaley & Cunningham Attorneys.

STATE OF MINNESOTA,

DISTRICT COURT,

County of Linn

Judicial District.

August Vogelvs.
Henry Jorrey,

SUMMONS.

THE STATE OF MINNESOTA to the above named Defendant:

You Henry Jorrey are hereby summoned and required to answer the complaint in this action which has been filed with the Clerk of the District Court of said Co. at Cherokee and to serve a copy of your answer to the said complaint on the subscribers, at Cherokee Minn office in Cherokee in said Co.

within twenty days after the service of this summons upon you, exclusive of the day of such service, and if you fail to answer the said complaint within the time aforesaid, the Plaintiff in this action will take

judgment against you for the sum of
264.30 and interest from & after April 2,
1894 with costs

Dated

187 .

Baxter & Lusk

Plaintiff's Attorney,

Cherokee

Minn.

Geo. C. C. C.
C. C. C. C. C.
August 1844
- 125 -

Henry Young.

Applicant

Let a writ of
Attachment issue
as herein prayed for

J. L. Sangster
County Commissioner

Filed April 18th and 1844
G. H. C. C. C.
C. C. C. C. C.

Vol. -

State of Minnesota
County of Le Sueur, Vice Chm & Clerk
August Vogel,

- 25 -

Henry Young,
County of Le Sueur.

} Affidavit for attachment

August Vogel being
duly sworn says that he is the
Plaintiff in the above entitled action
that a cause of action exists in
favor of said Plaintiff and against
said defendant that said defendant
is indebted to him for wheat sold
and delivered, amounting to the
sum of \$2^{94.38}~~04~~ which defendant owes to him.
Affiant further says that the
said defendant has departed
from the State of Minnesota with
intent to defraud his creditors,
as this affiant verily believes.
That he is in danger of losing his
said claim & deemed it well
a writ of attachment shall issue
him and pray that a writ of
attachment may issue as provided by
law

Subscribed & sworn to
this 27th day of April 1874

August Vogel

L. L. Gustafson
Notary Public
in and for the State of Minnesota

State of Minnesota,

County of Cass ss.

Philip H. H. H.

and

Gottlieb Schumacher

came before me personally, and

being by me first duly sworn, doth say, each for himself, that he is the surety above named; that he is a resident and freeholder of and in this State of Minnesota, and worth the amount of

Dollars, specified in the foregoing

Bond, above his debts and liabilities, and exclusive of his property which is exempt from execution.

Subscribed and Sworn to before me, on this

day of

A. D. 1874.

Philip H. H. H.
Gottlieb Schumacher
29th day of April
L. L. Baughman
Notary Public
Cass Co Minn

District Court.

Cass County

August Vogel

AGAINST

Henry Meyer

BOND FOR ATTACHMENT,

I hereby approve the within Bond and the sureties thereon.

Dated April 25th

A. D. 1874.

J. S. Ingman - Clerk
Commissioner

John A. H. H. H.

Attorney at Law

Philip H. H. H.

Plaintiff's Attorney.

W. H. H.

State of Minnesota, }
County of Carm } ss.

District Court.
Eighth Judicial District

August Vogel
agent
Henry Young

Bond for Attachment.

Know all Men by these Presents, That we August Vogel ~~Principal~~
~~and Philip Hark~~
as principal, and Philip Hark and
Wm. Bunker as sureties are held and firmly bound unto
Henry Young defendant above named
in the sum of one hundred and fifty
Dollars, to be paid to the said Henry Young
for the payment whereof, well and truly to be made, we jointly and severally bind ourselves, our
heirs, executors and administrators, firmly by these presents.

Sealed with our seals and dated this 29th day of April
A. D. 1874.

The condition of this obligation is such that, whereas the above named plaintiff has duly
applied for a Writ of Attachment against the property of said defendant in this action, according
to the statute in such case provided.

NOW THEREFORE, if the said Defendant recover judgment, if the plaintiff shall
pay all costs that may be awarded to the defendant and all damages which he may sustain by
reason of the attachment, not exceeding the penalty of this Bond, then this obligation shall be void,
otherwise of force.

In testimony whereof, we have hereunto set our hands and seals on this 29th
day of April A. D. 1874

SIGNED, SEALED AND DELIVERED IN PRESENCE OF

L. L. Bayton
H. J. Rusk

August Vogel [SEAL]
Philip Hark [SEAL]
Gottlieb Schumacher [SEAL]

State of Minnesota, }
County of Carm } ss.

Be it Known, That on this 29th
day of April A. D. 1874, came before me personally August Vogel
Philip Hark & Gottlieb Schumacher
to me well known to be the same persons who executed the foregoing Bond, and they severally
acknowledged the same to be their own free act and deed.

L. L. Bayton
Notary Public
Carm

Leicester
Leicester
August Vogel

- 25 -
Henry. Jones,
Cyprian

Boston & Rich
Ctys for Reps

Filed April 18th 1874
Guthrie & Co
Clerk.

- 25 -
- 25 -

State of Minnesota
County of Cass & Cass & Cass
August 20th

-25-

} Henry Hunt

Henry Hunt,

The Plaintiff for said State
and then to the Court

That on or about that day of the
month of ^{January 1873} January March & April
1874 this Plaintiff sold and delivered
to said Defendant a large quantity
of wheat to wit: 254,300 bushels
~~at the agreed price~~ of wheat that
said wheat was reasonably worth and
of the value of one dollar per
bushel amounting in all to the sum
of \$254,30. which Defendant promised
to pay.

Plaintiff further says that the same
is now due and owing this Plaintiff
and the whole thereof.

Wherefore Plaintiff demands payment
against said Defendant for the
sum of \$254.30 an interest from the
date hereof.

B. L. & P. R.
Attys for Plff.

No. 877

DISTRICT COURT,
CARVER COUNTY, MINN.

Fred Schwichtenberg
Plaintiff.

vs.
Henry Young
Defendant.

Baxter Beck
Plaintiff's Attorney.

Defendant's Attorney

Date of Entry Apr 28 1874
Count A Page 202
Register of Actions

Term Tried 19

Judgement for

Amount of Judgement \$

Date of Judgement 19

Judgement Book Page

Default Judgement Book Page

Date of Docketing 19

DISTRICT COURT.

Excellt Judicial District.

y of Cassin

West Schenckbury

by

Henry Young

SUMMONS.

John George Taylor 1894

Edmundson

Edmundson

Nov,

August 1894

Printers Attorneys

State of Minnesota } I hereby certify and
County of Cass }
return that on the 30th day of April
AD 1894, at the village of Chaska
County & State of Minn. I did serve
the within Summons by leaving with
Magdalena Young wife of Henry Young
at his usual place of residence
a true & correct copy hereof.

Fees. Summ \$1.00

J. Edgar Tail Shop
Cass Co Minn

(44.)

STATE OF MINNESOTA,

County of

Cass

DISTRICT COURT,

Eight

Judicial District.

True Schumtze
vs
Henry Bunn

SUMMONS.

THE STATE OF MINNESOTA to the above named Defendant:

You are hereby summoned and required to answer the complaint in this action

which has been filed with the clerk of said court
in his office in Chaska in said County of Cass

and to serve a copy of your answer to the said complaint on the subscribers, at their office in

Chaska in the County of Cass and State of Minnesota
within twenty days after the service of this summons upon you, exclusive of the day of such service, and if you fail

to answer the said complaint within the time aforesaid, the Plaintiff in this action will take judgment
against you for the sum of one hundred
and fifty two and 35/100 dollars and interest
thereon under the costs of this action

Dated

April 28th

1874.

David A. Beck

Plaintiff, Attorney, Chaska Minn.

State of Minnesota. I hereby certify
 and return that by virtue of the within
 writ of attachment, I did on the
 28th day of April AD 1874, in the
 village of Chaska County - & State
 aforesaid, levy upon the stock &c
 general merchandise and Book
 accounts of Henry Young, and file
 an inventory of the Estate in the
 office of the Judge Carl W.

Fees, Service & Waits \$1.00
 Copy " 30
 Inventory 2.00
 3.30

G. E. DeLoach
 Sheriff Cass Co
 Minn.

District Court,
 County of Cass.

Thos. Schuettgenberg
 vs
 Henry Young.

WRIT OF ATTACHMENT.

Issued April 28th 1874
 Schuettgenberg Clerk.
 Returned Aug 4th 1874
 Schuettgenberg Clerk.

202

Barlow & Leach
 Plaintiff Attorney.
 Printed and for sale at the office of the Sheriff Pioneer.

State of Minnesota,
County of Carver

DISTRICT COURT.

Eighth Judicial District.

Fred Schmitenberg
vs
Henry Young.

Writ of Attachment.

STATE OF MINNESOTA,
County of Carver

The State of Minnesota,

To the Sheriff of the County of Carver, GREETING:

Whereas, in the above entitled action, which is for the recovery of money, an application has been made by Fred Schmitenberg the Plaintiff, for a Writ of Attachment against the property of Henry Young

defendant therein, and to that end an affidavit has been made and filed, showing that a cause of action exists against such defendant, specifying the amount of the claim and the ground thereof; and that the defendant has departed from the State of Minnesota as said Plaintiff verily believes with the intent to defraud his creditors, and the bond in such case required by law, has been duly filed, and such Writ has been duly allowed.

Therefore, You are hereby commanded and required to attach and safely keep all the property of said Henry Young within your County, and not exempt from execution, or so much thereof as may be sufficient to satisfy the said plaintiff demand, (which amounts to the sum of nine hundred thirty two dollars and thirty five cents, as appears by the Complaint in said action,) together with costs and expenses, and that you proceed hereon in the manner required of you by law.

Witness the Honorable A. G. Chatfield Judge
of the District Court aforesaid, at Charles
this Twenty eighth day of April in the
year 1874

J. H. Meyenbuhl Clerk.

State of Minnesota,

County of Cass } ss. John Laase and

Edmund Long came before me personally, and being by me first duly sworn, doth say, each for himself, that he is the surety above named; that he is a resident and freeholder of and in this State of Minnesota, and worth the amount of two hundred and fifty Dollars, specified in the foregoing Bond, above his debts and liabilities, and exclusive of his property which is exempt from execution.

John Laase Edmund Long

Subscribed and Sworn to before me, on this 28th day of April
A. D. 187 4

L. L. Dwyer
Notary Public
Cass Co Minn

District Court.

Cass County

Frank Schumacher
AGAINST

Henry Meyer

BOND FOR ATTACHMENT.

I hereby approve the within Bond and the sureties thereon.

Dated April 28

A. D. 1874.

W. S. Sargent
Notary Public

John Laase Edmund Long
Plaintiff's Attorney.

For
Plaintiff's Attorney.

\$1 per c

State of Minnesota, }
County of Carver } ss.

District Court.
Eighth Judicial District

Fred Schuyttenberg
against

Harry Young

Bond for Attachment.

Know all Men by these Presents, That we Fred Schuyttenberg
Plaintiff above named of Carver County Minnesota
as principal, and John H. Hesse and
Edward Long of said county as sureties are held and firmly bound unto
above named

in the sum of two hundred and fifty
Dollars, to be paid to the said Harry Young
for the payment whereof, well and truly to be made, we jointly and severally bind ourselves, our
heirs, executors and administrators, firmly by these presents.

Sealed with our seals and dated this 28th day of April
A. D. 1874.

The condition of this obligation is such that, whereas the above named plaintiff has duly
applied for a Writ of Attachment against the property of said defendant in this action, according
to the statute in such case provided.

NOW THEREFORE, if the said Defendant recover judgment, if the plaintiff shall
pay all costs that may be awarded to the defendant and all damages which he may sustain by
reason of the attachment, not exceeding the penalty of this Bond, then this obligation shall be void,
otherwise of force.

In testimony whereof, we have hereunto set our hands and seals on this 28th
day of April A. D. 1874

SIGNED, SEALED AND DELIVERED IN PRESENCE OF

L. L. Baxter }
H. J. Beck }

Vignatichany [SEAL]

Edward Long [SEAL]

Johann Ferkde [SEAL]

State of Minnesota, }
County of Carver } ss.

Be it Known, That on this 28th
day of April A. D. 1874, came before me personally

to me well known to be the same persons who executed the foregoing Bond, and they severally
acknowledged the same to be their own free act and deed.

L. L. Baxter
Notary Public
Carver Co. Minn.

State of Minnesota
District Court Eighth Judicial District
County of Cass

Fred Schuytenberg
against

Henry J. Tracy

State of Minnesota

County of Cass Fred Schuytenberg being duly sworn on oath says that he is Plaintiff in the above entitled action. That a cause of action exists against the above named defendant in favor of the above named Plaintiff and that the amount of the Plaintiff's claim against the defendant in said action is nine hundred and sixty two and $\frac{5}{100}$ dollars. And the grounds of said claim is as follows. Upon claims for wheat sold and delivered by Ferdinand Gatz - Mother, Fatz, Edward Long and John Haase to said defendant all of which said claims have been duly sold and assigned by said parties to said Plaintiff who is now the lawful owner and receiver thereof and amount in all to the sum of \$962.50

Also for wheat sold by plaintiff
to the defendant, the amount of
which is \$143,55-5-2 And upon a de-
bit given by defendant to Wm
Dingel for the sum of One hundred
and twenty one dollars, which debt
was duly sold and assigned to said
plaintiff who is now the beneficiary
thereof and has been thereof.

And said Affiant further says
that the said defendant has departed
from the state of Minnesota as said
plaintiff verily believes with the intent
to defraud his creditors.

The plaintiff therefore asks that a
writ of Attachment issue against
the property of said defendant
Subscribed and sworn

to before me this 28th day of April A.D. 1894

Ld. Justice

Notary Public

Curran Co Minn

8. Plaintiff further says that for a further
cause of action against said deft.
above, that during the months of
January & February 1874, at the special
instance and request of said deft.
he sold and delivered to said deft.
at his warehouse in Chaska ^{one} ~~the~~ bushel
and ~~thirty~~ ^{sixty} ~~three~~ bushels of wheat (283)
at the agreed price of \$1.05 per bushel
amounting to the sum of \$173.55
which the defendant promises to pay.

Plaintiff further says that on
the 2^d day of April 1874 the
said defendant & John Dreyer
have a settlement and accounting
together and upon such settlement
and accounting, there was paid
then and there since Dreyer
upon account stated the sum of
\$121. which deft promises to pay.
that he has not paid the
same or any part thereof.

Plaintiff further says that before
trial and the 28^d day of April 1874
before the Commencement of this action
the said Andrew Gots, Gottlieb
Gots, William Dreyer, Edward Long
and John Goss. for value received

assigned & transpire to said
 Shilby all. This done and done
 on the accounts before set forth
 to this Shilby who is now the
 owner & holder thereof. That he had
 & of has been paid. There is
 due this Shilby the sum of
 \$962.35. I hope respectfully
 please to sign receipt
 for the sum of \$962.35 &
 copy same

Boston & Rich
 City & R. P. P.

Great Court
 Green Court
 Green Schuyler
 Green Schuyler

- 25 -
 Henry Garrison.
 Comptroller.

Boston & Rich
 City & R. P. P.

John, Capital 1885 and 1894
 John, Capital 1885 and 1894
 John, Capital 1885 and 1894

- 202 -

State of Minnesota
Said County 8th Sec^{ion} 1st Range
Fred Schrienerborg,

-75-

Complainant.

Heavenly Young.

The Plaintiff for Complainant in the above
entitled action states and shows to this Court

- 1- That herebefore during the months
of January February and March 1874 at
the special instance and request of said
Defendant Frederick Gate sold and
delivered to said Defendant at his warehouse
in Chaska in said County One hundred
and Twenty two bushels of wheat which
said wheat was worth and of the value
of one dollar and five cents per bushel
amounting in all to the sum of \$128.10
which sum said Defendant promised to
pay, that no part thereof has been
paid.

- 2- Plaintiff further says that during the months
of March 1874 at the special instance
and request of said Defendant Gate
sold and delivered to said Defendant
at his warehouse in Chaska in said County
Two hundred and sixteen bushels of wheat
(216 bushels) and of the value of \$1.05 per
bushel amounting in all to the sum of

two hundred and twenty six dollars,
\$226. which, said said defendant promised
to pay, that no part thereof has been paid.

3. Plaintiff further says and for a further
cause of action avers, that during the
month of March 1874 at the special
instance and request of said defen-
dant Edward Long sold and delivered
to said defendant at his warehouse
in Chicago sixty one bushels of wheat
(61) worth and of the value of \$1.05 per
bushel which said defendant promised
to pay, amounting to the sum of \$64.05.
that said defendant has not paid
the same or any part thereof.

4. Plaintiff, for a further cause of action
avers, that during the months of Febru-
ary and March 1874 at the special
instance and request of said defendant
John Haas sold and delivered to said
defendant at his warehouse in Chicago
two hundred and thirty seven bushels
of wheat at the agreed price of \$1.05
per bushel which defendant promised to pay,
amounting to the sum of \$248.85 which
said said defendant promised to pay,
that no part thereof has been paid.

District Court
Carmen County

Fred Schumgtening
vs

Henry Zimmey

Applicant for Attachment

Filed April 28th 1894

Wm. H. Wray, Clerk
Joh.

Let the writ issue as written
May all for J. H. Sargent
JH

Court Commissioner
Carmen County

Wm. H. Wray
Pepp. Attys

No. 828

DISTRICT COURT,
CARVER COUNTY, MINN.

E. H. Ridell et al.
Plaintiff.

vs.

Frederick E. Luitert
Defendant.

Baxter & Beck
Plaintiff's Attorney.

Defendant's Attorney.

Date of Entry April 22, 1874

Register of Actions A Page 203

Term Tried 19

Judgment for

Amount of Judgment \$

Date of Judgment 19

Judgment Book Page

Default Judgment Book Page

Date of Docketing 19

State of Minnesota
Dist. Court 8th Dist
Cann County,

E. H. Redell. H. Redell and
J. M. Fuller partners doing
business as E. H. Redell & Co.

-44-

} Complainant,

Fuller & Co. de Toit }

The Verdict for Complaint in the
above entitled action states and shows
to the Court, that for one year
last past said Verdicts have been
and still are operating during
business under the firm name
and style of E. H. Redell & Co.
Verdicts further say that on the 27th day
April 1874, they were the owners
and in possession of a large
quantity of wheat to wit: three
thousand bushels, the same being
in a grain mill in Chaska
owned and ~~occupied~~ by these
Verdicts. That while these Verdicts
were so the owners of said wheat
and in possession thereof, to wit on
the 27th day of April 1874. the said
defendants did wrongfully and
unlawfully and in violation of the

East Kent
Down County

E. H. Riddle & Co.

¹⁸
Frederick E. De Tont

Compliments

Yield, April 29th 1874
G. H. Wray & Co.
Albany

- No 3 -

Burton & Peck
Phy. Atty & Chemist

not be held that these findings
recover the value thereof amount-
-ing to the sum of \$3000. and
interest since the 27th day of April
and the sum of \$500. damages
for such wrongful taking and
detention.

Baxter & Beck
Attys for Plaintiffs
Oregon.

No. 879

DISTRICT COURT,
CARVER COUNTY, MINN.

Olof Anderson
Plaintiff.

vs.

Irene Anderson
Defendant.

Repter & Beck
Plaintiff's Attorney.

Defendant's Attorney.

Date of Entry April 29 1874
Court
Register of Actions A Page 192 1/2

Term Tried 1

Judgment for

Amount of Judgment \$

Date of Judgment 1

Judgment Book Page

Default Judgment Book Page

Date of Docketing 1

Folio 1

State of Minnesota
Dist Court 8^d Dist
County.

Olof Anderson }
- v - } Complaint
Irene Anderson }

The Plaintiff, for Complaint in
the above entitled action states, and
shows to the Court: That in the
year 1857 the said Plaintiff and Defend-
ant were married to each other in the
Kingdom of Sweden and ever since have
been and still are husband and wife,
that for ten years last past said
Plaintiff has been and still is a
resident of same County, Minnesota.

2 Plaintiff further says that his fifty
four years of age that said defendant
is sixty two years of age, that from
the time of said marriage up to and
until the 14th day of January 1869,
the said Plaintiff and defendant
lived together and cohabited together
as husband and wife, that during
all of said time the said Plaintiff
treated his said wife kindly and
in a kind and proper manner as
he was in duty bound to do.

That notwithstanding and without any
cause or provocation whatever the said
defendant did on the said 14th day
of January 1869, wilfully and intention-
ally abandon this Plaintiff, and his
home and refused longer to live or
cohabit with said Plaintiff, that
all of the time since said 14th day
of January 1869, against the express
wishes and protestations of this Plaintiff,
said defendant has resided separate
and apart from this Plaintiff.

Wherefore Plaintiff demands judgment
that the marriage contract existing
between said Plaintiff and defendant
be declared no longer of any force
or effect and that a decree of divorce
be granted said Plaintiff against said
defendant, and for such other
relief as shall be just and proper.

Baxter & Reed

Attys for Plaintiff

Chocka.

(44.)

STATE OF MINNESOTA,

DISTRICT COURT,

County of *Carver*

Judicial District.

Olof Anderson

vs
Erin Anderson

SUMMONS.

THE STATE OF MINNESOTA to the above named Defendant:

You *Erin Anderson* are hereby summoned and required to answer the complaint in this action
a copy of which is herewith send upon you
and

and to serve a copy of your answer to the said complaint on the subscriber, at *their* office in

Shuska in said County
within ~~twenty~~ *thirty* days after the service of this summons upon you, exclusive of the day of such service, and if you fail

to answer the said complaint within the time aforesaid, the Plaintiff in this action will *apply to*
the Court for the relief demanded in the
complaint.

Dated *April 28th*

1874.

Baxter & Peck

Plaintiff's Attorney, *Shuska Minn.*

DISTRICT COURT.

Eighth

Judicial District.

County of Beaver

Colo Anderson

- vs -

Wm Anderson

SUMMONS.

Complainant

Filed, April 29th 1874.
C. H. Hargrave, Clerk

19th

Box 100

Plaintiff & Attorney

Barnes & Cunningham, Printers and Stationers.

State of Minnesota, I hereby certify, and
County of Beaver, return that I have made
diligent search to find the said Wm
Anderson the defendant herein for the purpose
of serving upon him the within summons &
complaint that said defendant is not a
resident of said Beaver Co. and can not
be found therein.
Dated April 29th/74.

F. E. Du Roch
Shirley, Beaver Co.
Minn

State of Minnesota
District Court
County of Carver.

Olaf Anderson
vs
Irene Anderson,

Affidavit for publication
of the Summons

Filed, April 29th A.D. 1894
Wm. H. H. H. H. H.
Clerk
192 1/2

Notarially
attested for the Court

State of Minnesota
Circuit Court 8th Dist
Cannon County.

Chas Anderson }
vs. } Affidavit
Irene Anderson }
County of Cannon. } ss.

H. J. Peck being
duly sworn says that he is one of
the attys for the Plaintiff in the
above entitled action, that a cause
of action exists in favor of said
Plaintiff and against said Defendant
and that an action has been commen-
ced thereon to obtain a divorce
from said Defendant on the ground
of said Plaintiff by said Defendant
of wilful abandonment for a period
of three years next preceding the filing
of the Complaint herein.

That the residence of said Defend-
ant is unknown to this affiant,
that he has good reason to believe
and does believe that said Defendant
is not a resident of the State of
Minnesota and can not be found
therein.

Subscribed and sworn to }
this 29th day of April } H. J. Peck.
1874. G. W. Hagenbuhl, Clerk of Court
Cannon County.