Chapter 12: Legal, Ethical, and Documentation Standards

Section A: Documentation Essentials

Overview

Accurate and complete documentation is not only a clinical responsibility—it is a legal safeguard. In orthopedic splinting, every detail recorded can serve as a reference for patient safety, care continuity, billing justification, and protection against liability. The documentation process must reflect the complexity of the injury, the rationale for immobilization, patient cooperation, and the education provided for home care and follow-up.

Incomplete or vague notes may compromise patient care and open the door to legal scrutiny. This section outlines the key components of effective documentation in splinting procedures and provides examples of best practices for clinical records.

I. Describing the Injury, Splint Type, and Application Details

Every splint application note should contain detailed descriptions of the following:

1. Clinical Presentation and Injury Description

- Mechanism of injury (e.g., FOOSH, MVC, fall from height)
- Exact anatomical site and laterality (e.g., right distal radius, left 5th metacarpal)
- Suspected or confirmed diagnosis (e.g., greenstick fracture, suspected scaphoid fracture)
- Signs/symptoms (e.g., edema, ecchymosis, deformity, neurovascular status)

2. Splint Type and Positioning

- Name of splint (e.g., Volar wrist, Ulnar gutter, Long leg posterior)
- Joint positions (e.g., wrist at 30° extension, elbow at 90° flexion)
- Rationale for splint choice (e.g., preserves function, prevents contracture)
- Side applied and materials used (e.g., 4-inch fiberglass, stockinette, cast padding)

3. Application Technique

- Description of layers and order (e.g., “Applied stockinette, followed by 2 layers of cast padding, 4-inch splint roll, and elastic bandage wrap.”)
- Skin condition before and after
- Patient positioning during splinting (e.g., seated, supine)
- Support surfaces and padding considerations (e.g., offloading bony prominences)

II. Neurovascular Assessment

Before and After Application, the following neurovascular elements should be documented clearly:

Parameter	Details to Include
Sensation	“Intact to light touch at radial, ulnar, median distributions”
Motor function	“Able to extend fingers, dorsiflex ankle”
Capillary refill	“<2 seconds at nail beds bilaterally”
Pulse	“Radial/dorsalis pedis pulse 2+ and symmetric”
Skin color/temp	“Pale, warm; no cyanosis noted”
Pain	“Non-exacerbated by splint; tolerable at 4/10”

If any compromise is observed, urgent re-evaluation and provider notification must be documented, along with corrective actions taken.

III. Refusal of Care and Consent Procedures

Splinting procedures, though generally non-invasive, still require **informed consent**, particularly in pediatric, cognitively impaired, or legally vulnerable populations.

Documentation Components:

- Patient (or parent/guardian) **verbal or written consent**
  - “Verbal consent obtained from patient to apply short leg splint”
  - “Parent signed consent form prior to pediatric splint application”
- **Discussion of risks/benefits**
  - “Patient advised on risks including skin irritation, pressure injury, need for elevation”
- **Refusal of care**
  - “Patient declined splint application after explanation of risks; verbalized understanding”
  - “Provider notified; education reinforced; patient signed AMA form”

 *Note:* Always follow institutional policy for documenting refusal and have a witness (preferably clinical staff) co-sign when possible.

IV. Educational Documentation Provided to Patient/Family

Educational efforts must be recorded to support patient safety and legal defensibility. Include:

Topics Covered:

- Home care instructions (e.g., elevation, ice, skin monitoring)
- Red flag symptoms (e.g., numbness, discoloration, excessive pain)
- Activity restrictions and positioning
- Splint hygiene and dressing care
- Follow-up instructions and emergency contact protocols

Documentation Sample Phrases:

- “Reviewed splint care instructions and red flag symptoms with patient and mother. Written handout provided.”
- “Patient verbalized understanding of how to monitor for swelling and when to return for follow-up.”

Educational Materials Provided:

- Checklist handouts (e.g., neurovascular check guide)
- Verbal instructions confirmed with teach-back
- QR code or website for video demonstration (if applicable)

Conclusion

Documentation in orthopedic splinting is more than a record of action—it is a clinical and legal narrative that captures the care rendered, the rationale behind each decision, and the patient's role in their recovery. From injury description and technique specifics to consent and follow-up education, well-documented encounters support continuity of care, reduce liability, and ensure adherence to professional standards. Orthopedic technologists must view documentation as a vital extension of their technical expertise—where clarity, completeness, and precision are non-negotiable.

References

- American Medical Association (AMA). (2022). *Guidelines for Informed Consent in Medical Practice*.
- American Academy of Orthopaedic Surgeons (AAOS). (2023). *Legal Aspects of Orthopedic Documentation*.
- Norkin, C. C., & Levangie, P. K. (2016). *Joint Structure and Function* (5th ed.). F.A. Davis.
- Sullivan, D. O. (2020). *Legal and Ethical Issues in Health Occupations* (4th ed.). Elsevier.

Section B: Liability and Risk Management

Overview

Orthopedic splinting, while typically low-risk, can carry significant medicolegal consequences if improperly performed or poorly documented. Adverse outcomes such as nerve damage, pressure sores, loss of circulation, or incorrect anatomical positioning can lead to patient harm, prolonged disability, and legal claims of malpractice or negligence. Additionally, splinting procedures often involve vulnerable populations—such as children, the elderly, and cognitively impaired individuals—requiring heightened attention to ethical standards and informed consent.

Effective risk management is a combination of technical competency, clinical judgment, proactive communication, and timely reporting. This section provides a framework for minimizing liability and addressing critical legal responsibilities in orthopedic splinting practice.

I. Avoiding Allegations of Negligence or Harm

Definition of Negligence in Clinical Practice: Failure to provide care that a reasonably prudent professional with similar training would offer under the same circumstances. In splinting, this can include:

- Improper splint application causing neurovascular compromise
- Inadequate padding over bony prominences resulting in pressure injuries
- Incorrect joint positioning leading to stiffness or contracture
- Failure to reassess circulation or sensation post-splinting
- Delayed recognition of complications

Best Practices for Prevention:

- **Standardized Training**: Ensure splinting is performed by credentialed or supervised personnel with formal training.
- **Procedural Checklists**: Use application protocols that mandate neurovascular checks before and after application.
- **Documentation**: Accurately document injury details, materials used, positioning, and patient response.
- **Visual and Manual Inspections**: Double-check for excessive tightness, splint migration, or contact with open wounds.
- **Clinical Escalation**: Promptly report complications such as numbness, cyanosis, or increasing pain to the supervising provider.

🛑 *Risk Alert:* In court proceedings, the standard is not perfection but reasonableness. Your best legal defense is a documented record showing you followed recognized clinical guidelines.

II. Informed Consent and Legal Considerations in Minors

Key Principles of Consent:

- Patients must voluntarily agree to treatment after being informed of:
  - The nature of the procedure (e.g., “splinting to stabilize a wrist fracture”)
  - Risks and benefits (e.g., “may cause discomfort but prevents further injury”)
  - Reasonable alternatives (e.g., casting or sling if applicable)

Documentation of Consent:

- “Verbal consent obtained prior to splinting”
- “Procedure and risks explained; patient (or guardian) verbalized understanding”

Special Situations:

- **Minors (<18 years of age):**
  - Legal guardian or parent must provide consent.
  - Exception: Emancipated minors or emergencies (e.g., life/limb-threatening injuries).
- **Language Barriers**: Use certified medical interpreters when needed—never rely on children or family members.
- **Cognitively Impaired Adults**: Consent should come from a legally authorized representative (LAR).

 *Legal Tip:* Informed consent is a **process**, not just a form. Documentation must reflect discussion and understanding, not merely a signature.

III. Incident Reporting and Adverse Outcome Protocols

In the event of a complication or patient harm associated with splinting, a timely and complete **incident report** must be filed per facility policy. These reports are **non-punitive tools** used for quality improvement and legal protection—not disciplinary records.

Trigger Events for Reporting:

- Development of pressure ulcer beneath splint
- Post-splint neurovascular impairment
- Improvised field splints requiring urgent revision
- Patient or family complaint about splint discomfort, misuse, or trauma
- Near-miss events (e.g., almost applying a splint on the wrong limb)

Incident Report Components:

1. Patient identifiers and date/time
2. Description of the event (objective, no speculation)
3. Actions taken (e.g., splint removed, physician notified)
4. Outcome and follow-up plan
5. Witnesses or personnel involved

Follow-up Documentation in Medical Record:

- Brief summary of the incident (if clinically relevant)
- Description of patient's condition and any interventions
- Notification of supervising provider

Confidentiality Reminder: Incident reports are internal and should **never** be placed in the patient's official medical chart.

📋 *Example:* "Patient reported numbness in left fingers 1 hour post-splinting. Splint removed immediately. Capillary refill slow in digits 2–5. Notified physician. Neurovascular reassessment performed. Incident report filed per protocol."

Conclusion

Legal and ethical accountability is an inseparable part of orthopedic splinting practice. Whether it is through preventing avoidable harm, securing valid consent, or documenting unexpected complications, healthcare providers must uphold standards that protect both the patient and the clinician. Understanding your professional responsibilities, adhering to protocols, and embracing transparency through documentation and incident reporting are essential to quality care—and legal resilience. Splinting is not only a technical skill but a practice embedded in trust, safety, and responsibility.

References

- American Health Law Association (AHLA). (2021). *Legal Issues in Clinical Orthopedics*.
- Beauchamp, T. L., & Childress, J. F. (2019). *Principles of Biomedical Ethics* (8th ed.). Oxford University Press.
- Joint Commission. (2022). *Sentinel Event Reporting and Risk Management Toolkit*.
- Sullivan, D. O. (2020). *Legal and Ethical Issues in Health Occupations* (4th ed.). Elsevier.
- American Academy of Orthopaedic Surgeons (AAOS). (2023). *Patient Safety and Risk Management Guidelines for Technologists*.
- Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS). (2023). *Conditions of Participation for Hospitals: Incident Reporting Standards*.