



# CHP 2024 National Convention Resolutions Compendium

(as at May 30, 2024)

## Index.

Constitution Resolutions..	<a href="#">2</a>
Policy Resolutions. . . . .	<a href="#">4</a>
1. The Canadian Nation..	<a href="#">4</a>
2. National Sovereignty. . . . .	<a href="#">7</a>
4. National Finances..	<a href="#">17</a>
5. Resource Management. . . . .	<a href="#">21</a>
6. The Law: Basis of Christian Civilization. . . . .	<a href="#">47</a>
9. Welfare Services. . . . .	<a href="#">71</a>
11. Science and Technology: . . . . .	<a href="#">101</a>
Platform Resolutions..	<a href="#">107</a>
Operational/Administrative Resolutions. . . . .	<a href="#">111</a>

### Meaning of resolution codes:

AFD – Agriculture and Food Department

CH – Charter EDA

EERD – Environment, Energy and Resources Department

EFD – Economics and Finance Department

FAD – Foreign Affairs Department

HED – Health and Education Department

JFD – Justice and Freedom Department

LFD – Life and Family Department

NB – National Board

NDSD – National Defence and Sovereignty Department

PTC – Provincial/Territorial Council

STD – Science and Technology Department

EDA – Electoral District Association

\* arising from 2021 National Convention.

# Constitution Resolutions.

## **Constitution Resolution NB-01: Renaming the Policy and Strategy Committee**

ARTICLE E: NATIONAL BOARD  
8. COMMITTEES

WHEREAS the formulation of Party strategy rests with the National Board, and

WHEREAS Article E. 9(a) of the Party Constitution only mentions the duty of the Policy and Strategy committee as being to "present to each National Convention recommendations for changes to the Party policy";

BE IT RESOLVED THAT all references in the Party Constitution to the "Policy and Strategy" committee be changed to read "Policy" committee.

Moved by: National Board

### **Background**

Ref: Articles E:8(b)(1), E:9 and F:8(a) of the Party Constitution.

**Constitution Resolution NB-02:  
Membership eligibility.**

Article A: INTRODUCTION

5. MEMBERSHIP

(a) ELIGIBILITY

WHEREAS requirements of membership is important within the Constitution, and

WHEREAS requiring a member to subscribe to the entirety of the Constitution is an unreasonable and perhaps impossible requirement, and

WHEREAS it is reasonable and sufficient for a member to subscribe to the Beliefs and Principles of the Party outlined in the Constitution Article A.2 - PARTY BELIEFS AND PRINCIPLES;

BE IT RESOLVED that Article A.5 - Membership (a) Eligibility (2) which currently reads:

(2) Subscribe to the Party Constitution.

be amended to read:

(2) Subscribe to the Party Beliefs and Principles outlined in the Constitution Article A.2 - PARTY BELIEFS AND PRINCIPLES.

Moved by: National Board

# Policy Resolutions.

## 1. The Canadian Nation.

### **Policy Resolution NB-03\*: Civil disobedience.**

#### 1 The Canadian Nation

#### 1.0 GENERAL STATEMENTS

#### (New) CIVIL DISOBEDIENCE

WHEREAS at the 2021 National Convention resolution P2-12 was passed which states: “BE IT RESOLVED that the CHP in stark contrast to all other parties, should set forth the limits in policy to which a government can exercise proper governorship over a people. And when having exceeded such proper boundaries, the people have a God-given right and duty to exercise civil disobedience to the point that such abuses cease or that the unjust rulers are removed.”, and

WHEREAS Romans 13:1-7 says: “Let everyone be subject to the governing authorities, for there is no authority except that which God has established. The authorities that exist have been established by God. 2 Consequently, whoever rebels against the authority is rebelling against what God has instituted, and those who do so will bring judgment on themselves. 3 For rulers hold no terror for those who do right, but for those who do wrong. Do you want to be free from fear of the one in authority? Then do what is right and you will be commended. 4 For the one in authority is God’s servant for your good. But if you do wrong, be afraid, for rulers do not bear the sword for no reason. They are God’s servants, agents of wrath to bring punishment on the wrongdoer. 5 Therefore, it is necessary to submit to the authorities, not only because of possible punishment but also as a matter of conscience. 6 This is also why you pay taxes, for the authorities are God’s servants, who give their full time to governing. 7 Give to everyone what you owe them: If you owe taxes, pay taxes; if revenue, then revenue; if respect, then respect; if honor, then honor.” (NIV), and

WHEREAS many church leaders use Romans 13 to justify their silence and compliance but they fail to mention the following biblical examples of non-compliance . . . even resistance to tyranny:

- The Hebrew midwives were rewarded by God for disobeying Pharaoh's evil

- order. (Exodus 1: 15-21)
- Moses refused to comply with Pharaoh's demand that the Hebrews remain as slaves in Egypt. (Exodus 1-14)
- Rahab the harlot disobeyed her civil authorities in Jericho. (Joshua 2)
- Daniel refused to comply with the king's edict regarding prayer. (Dan. 6)
- Shadrach, Meshach and Abednego refused to bow down to the king's image. (Daniel 3)
- The prophets: Samuel (1 Samuel 15: 10-31), Nathan (2 Samuel 12:1-15), Elijah (1 Kings 18:17-40), Isaiah (Isaiah 39:3-7) and Jeremiah (Jeremiah 13, 19, 26) all rebuked kings for their sin.
- The wise men did not comply with King Herod's demand. (Matthew 2: 8 & 12)
- John the Baptist rebuked Herod the Tetrarch. (Luke 3:19)
- Peter and John refused to obey the religious rulers, saying, "We should obey God rather than men." (Acts 5:29)
- Church history tells us that almost all the apostles were brutally murdered for preaching the gospel and calling out the sins of the pagans;

BE IT RESOLVED that a new section be added to the section 1.0 GENERAL STATEMENTS in the *Party Policy: 'A Blueprint for Restoration'*:

**(New) CIVIL DISOBEDIENCE**

The CHP believes that God is sovereign, all-knowing, and all-powerful; and every office of authority is under His control and those in positions of authority are instituted by God. We also hold that governments have been granted limited power by God; they are not to abuse that authority. Governments are to restrain evil and reward good behaviour. Rulers are under God; therefore they have no right to command men and women to do what God says ought not to be done, nor to command men and women not to do what God says should be done. These are the limits of governmental powers. Thus, the CHP recognizes that Canadian citizens have a civil duty to protest injustice and to resist oppression. Sometimes disobedience is necessary, but it must be civil disobedience. "When the righteous are in authority, the people rejoice: but when the wicked rule, the people mourn." (Proverbs 29:2 ESV)

Moved by: National Board

**Background**

The Magdeburg Confession is the first known document in the history of man to formally set forth the Doctrine of the Lesser Magistrates. The Lesser Magistrate

Doctrine teaches that when a superior authority makes unjust laws or decrees, the lesser authority has a God-given right and duty to resist those unjust laws or decrees. In 1548, Charles V imposed his infamous Augsburg Interim which was an attempt to smash the Protestant Reformation. While all of Protestant Germany conformed to his decree, one city decided to take a stand and resist his authority – the city of Magdeburg. The pastors of Magdeburg issued their Confession and Defense of the Pastors and Other Ministers of the Church of Magdeburg on April 13, 1550 AD. Five months after issuing their Confession, Charles V's forces marched on Magdeburg. The people of Magdeburg burned everything outside the city walls and closed the city gates. The siege of Magdeburg had begun.

What constitutes a tyrannical government? How and when are Christians to respond and act when a government does become tyrannical? Are Christians to give unlimited obedience to the State? These questions and others were addressed and answered by the pastors in the Confession. In defiance of Charles V's tyranny, they declared, "Divine laws necessarily trump human ones."

What the pastors of Magdeburg wrote in the Confession significantly impacted men like John Knox, Theodore Beza and Phillip Mornay. The repercussions of the Confession were felt throughout Western Civilization all the way to the founding of America as a nation. For over 460 years, the Confession has existed only in Latin and German. Now English-speaking people can read it for themselves.

An article by pastor Joe Boot:

<https://www.ezrainstitute.com/resource-library/blog-entries/the-limits-of-civil-obedience/>

## 2. National Sovereignty.

### **Policy Resolution NDSD-04: Service delivery standards.**

#### 2 National Sovereignty

##### 2.1 NATIONAL DEFENCE

##### (New) SERVICE DELIVERY STANDARDS

WHEREAS Veterans Affairs Canada, for the past several years, has been unable to process all disability claims it receives within the department's service delivery standards, and

WHEREAS thousands of veterans have been left waiting to learn whether or not they qualify for benefits, and

WHEREAS measures over the past several years to deal with the increasing backlog have not been enough, and in the face of increasing numbers of applications over the coming years, more drastic measures will have to be taken, beyond allocating more money and people at the problem;

BE IT RESOLVED that the following be added under 2.1 NATIONAL DEFENCE in the *Party Policy: 'A Blueprint for Restoration'*:

#### (New) **SERVICE DELIVERY STANDARDS**

The CHP believes Veterans Affairs Canada should establish and meet service delivery standards which ensure disabled veterans do not face intolerably long wait times for the financial support and compensation they deserve.

Moved by: Policy and Strategy Committee.

### **Background**

The CHP believes Veterans Affairs Canada should address claims processing backlog issues through the use of pro-active measures which address systemic inefficiencies and introduce automation of claim approvals for common or frequent claims. Under these measures, veterans' claims would be considered at face value and be based on the reasonable evidence provided by the veteran and his or her family, with the proviso that individual files could be monitored over time and "spot audits" carried out to address any potential abuses. These measures are consistent

with the recommendation of various official bodies:

1) The Auditor General of Canada, Karen Hogan, tabled a report in Parliament on May 31, 2022, concluding that Canada's disabled veterans continue to face intolerably long wait times and an unacceptable backlog in earning entitlement for deserved financial assistance and benefits from Veterans Affairs Canada (VAC). Hogan stated that she was unimpressed with the efforts made by the department over the last number of years and called for the prioritization of a "realistic plan" to finally ensure that disabled veterans are not forced to wait months or even years for the financial support and compensation they require.

"2.58 We concluded that although Veterans Affairs Canada implemented initiatives to improve the processing of disability benefit applications, its actions did not reduce overall wait times for eligible veterans. The department was still a long way from meeting its service standard. Implementation of initiatives was slow. Data to measure improvements was lacking. Both the funding and almost half of the employees on the team responsible to processing applications were temporary. As a result, veterans waited too long to receive benefits to support their physical and mental health and their families' overall well-being.")

2) The National Council of Veteran Associations in Canada (NCVA) continues to call for dramatic and innovative steps to be taken by Veterans Affairs Canada (VAC) to address the current unacceptable backlog and turnaround times experienced with respect to veterans' disability claims. ...

The department should adopt the position that veterans' claims be considered at face value and be based on the reasonable evidence provided by the veteran and his or her family, with the proviso that individual files could be monitored over time and "spot audits" carried out to address any potential abuses. ...

There is a general consensus among major veteran stakeholders that this administrative/adjudicative measure leading to a form of fast tracking/automatic entitlement deserves immediate attention. ...

It has been the longstanding view of NCVA that this form of automatic entitlement approach should have been implemented by VAC years ago in regard to seriously disabled veterans, with the objective of expediting these specific claims so as to circumvent governmental "red tape" and in recognition of the fact that nearly all of these cases are ultimately granted entitlement in the end, often following many

months of adjudicative delay. It is our considered position that now is clearly the time to extend this thinking to all veterans' claims.

(NCVA Legislative Program 2022-23 7 VAC Backlog/Wait-Time Crisis.)

3) It is also extremely significant that many financial assistance programs rolled out over the last two years by federal/provincial governments to address the COVID-19 pandemic are premised on the philosophy of "pay now and verify later."

(Submission to the Standing Committee on Veterans Affairs, Veterans Affairs Canada Backlog and Wait Times, by Brian Forbes, B.comm., LL.B., Chairman of the National Council of Veteran Associations in Canada and Chairman of the Executive Committee, The War Amps, November 2022)

4) On 2021-01-5, the Royal Canadian Legion issued a news release in which it "implored" VAC and the CAF act swiftly on the recommendations made by the "House of Commons Standing Committee on Veterans Affairs (ACVA) to improve the backlog of disability benefit claims. With nearly 20,000 applications still in limbo, Veterans face continued frustration and despair, as many wait in dire need of the disability benefits and supports they need."

([www.legion.ca/news/articles/2021/01/05/the-legion-implores-veterans-affairs-canada-to-adopt-recommendations-to-clear-the-disability-benefit-claims-backlog](http://www.legion.ca/news/articles/2021/01/05/the-legion-implores-veterans-affairs-canada-to-adopt-recommendations-to-clear-the-disability-benefit-claims-backlog))

**Policy Resolution NDS-05:  
Defence procurement.**

2 National Sovereignty

2.1 NATIONAL DEFENCE

2.1.7 DEFENCE PROCUREMENT

WHEREAS the acquisition of essential military equipment is the cornerstone of a viable and prepared military, and

WHEREAS changes in military technology and strategies are ever more quickly advancing, and

WHEREAS defence procurement in Canada has become an inefficient and politically dominated process;

BE IT RESOLVED that wording (in bold) be added to the policy statement 2.1.7 DEFENCE PROCUREMENT in *Party Policy: 'A Blueprint for Restoration'*:

**2.1.7 DEFENCE PROCUREMENT**

While supporting national defence, we also declare ourselves against waste and inefficiency in defence procurement and operation. **Political aspects of military procurement must be limited to broad policy objectives and remain outside of the technical, operational and strategic aspects.**

Moved by: Policy and Strategy Committee.

**Background**

1) Canada has the worst military procurement system in the Western World:

Richard Shimooka in the Hill Times, January 21, 2019.

Canada has experienced a disappointing history with defence procurements, dating as far back as Confederation (and even before). Canadians may be inclined to take comfort that, while their country's defence procurement history has been such a disappointment, it is unremarkable when compared to other western countries. However, a closer examination of the history shows that Canada has an exceptionally ineffective system, especially for major capabilities purchases.

By comparison, the vast majority of Canada's military procurements involve what is known as "off the shelf" purchases. This means the government selects from an existing capability that may be in or near entering service. Such programs should

be straightforward, as all of the risks involved in technological development are resolved. Nevertheless, Canada frequently is unable to execute even this basic level of competence.

The causes of Canada's problems are manifold, with few obvious fixes. **Political actors bear a significant burden of responsibility, often pursuing policies that have been detrimental to the procurement file.** As several commentators note, there are few political benefits in defence expenditure, except when it can be tied to specific constituencies. **Program funding is frequently delayed or curtailed, or affected by political decision-making with adverse consequences.** (Emphasis added)

Bureaucratic actors shoulder some of the blame as well, having created a byzantine structure for procurements. **Since 2012, Public Services and Procurement Canada has assumed responsibility for the overall control and management of major defence procurements. This has introduced a crushing burden of bureaucratic structures that added a superficial ability to provide knowledgeable project management decisions. In addition, many non-military members are more interested in raising their organizational concerns than actual deliverables.** Prior to 2012, sole sourcing was a valid technique that has nearly disappeared in the years since despite its utility. The reality is that the international defence industrial base has witnessed massive consolidation. Despite there being only one realistic supplier for many capabilities, the overriding preference has been to run competitions, increasing program costs and delaying capability delivery. (Emphasis added)

A military program manager may have as many as a half dozen oversight bodies and committees to report to, which eliminates his or her authority and adds unnecessary complexity to the process. The United States faced similar challenges, and has recently introduced reforms that have narrowed accountability. These have placed as much authority in the hands of a program manager as possible in order to promote effective management of projects.

If Canada is serious about improving its defence procurement system, it requires a comprehensive set of reforms that addresses multiple issues simultaneously. There is no silver bullet that will solve the majority of the problems. Foreign allies may provide useful lessons for Canada, which can learn from their hard-fought lessons. Otherwise the government will continue its disappointing track record of procurement outcomes, with the Canadian public and the military bearing the

consequences.

<https://macdonaldlaurier.ca/canada-worst-military-procurement-system-shimooka-the-hill-times/>

2) It's time to get serious about fixing defence procurement, David Pugliese, Ottawa Citizen, July 18, 2022.

When spending billions of Canadian taxpayers' money, we do not select a winner because a prime minister, minister or any other individual or group of individuals think it is best. Rather, we conduct an open, fair and transparent competition so that all Canadians can be certain we have acquired the best equipment to meet our needs at the right price for Canadians.

Defence procurement is a business. Let's begin to run it as such with one Minister accountable for results, with full disclosure of life-cycle costs, with appropriate plans and reports that measure performance and with rigorous and timely oversight. These recommendations may not be particularly innovative, but their implementation will lead to success.

<https://ottawacitizen.com/news/national/defence-watch/its-time-to-get-serious-about-fixing-defence-procurement-opinion>

3) "The real lesson: when the country's leaders play politics with the defence procurement process, all Canadians lose in the long run."  
Playing Politics, David Bercuson, Legion magazine, November/December 2023, page 23.

**Policy Resolution FAD-01:  
External Affairs**

2 National Sovereignty  
2.3 EXTERNAL AFFAIRS

WHEREAS “External Affairs” is no longer used by the Canadian government or the CHP’s Policy and Strategy Committee;

BE IT RESOLVED that the title of section 2.3 of the *Party Policy: ‘A Blueprint for Restoration’* be changed from “EXTERNAL AFFAIRS” to “FOREIGN AFFAIRS” to match the current nomenclature.

Moved by: Policy and Strategy Committee.

**Policy Resolution FAD-02:  
UN membership.**

2 National Sovereignty

2.4 UNITED NATIONS

2.4.1. MEMBERSHIP

WHEREAS the United Nations promotes globalization and interdependence, thus weakening national sovereignty, and

WHEREAS the United Nations and its various organs are increasingly influenced by totalitarian regimes, and

WHEREAS the United Nations Children’s Fund (UNICEF) supports and has participated in the drafting of documents that assert that women should have a right to an abortion, and

WHEREAS the United Nations Population Fund (UNFPA) continues to promote Comprehensive Sexuality Education;

BE IT RESOLVED that section 2.4.1 of the *Party Policy: ‘A Blueprint for Restoration’* be replaced with the following:

**2.4.1 MEMBERSHIP**

The United Nations, while ostensibly founded for honourable purposes, has become an institution which promotes globalism and an anti-Christian worldview. Furthermore, the United Nations and its various agencies and councils are heavily influenced by totalitarian regimes hostile to CHP values. Nevertheless, the CHP affirms that Canada should retain its membership in the United Nations, not to promote its values but rather to be a voice for good and reform.

Moved by: Policy and Strategy Committee.

**Background**

The department felt that the current policy was somewhat soft and needed a rewording - something a bit more “toothy”. The current policy is:

We affirm that Canada should retain its membership in the United Nations. While continuing to support the United Nations as originally constituted, we must not let wishful thinking blind us to the organization’s serious and costly

defects.

The United Nations was founded after the Second World War by 51 countries with the goal of “maintaining international peace and security, developing friendly relations among nations and promoting social progress, better living standards and human rights.” While these goals are indeed honourable, the United Nations has strayed far from them.

The COVID-19 pandemic has shown organs of the United Nations like the World Health Organization would rather appease the People’s Republic of China than promote unbiased, accurate health information. Furthermore, other organizations like the United Nations Security Council have nations such as the People’s Republic of China and Russia with permanent seats and veto power.

While UNICEF has denied its involvement in the promotion of abortion, lifeissues.org states: “UNICEF has endorsed documents, and has participated in (sic) the drafting of documents, that call for the legalization of abortion. One such document is the ‘The United Nations International Guidelines on HIV/AIDS and Human Rights.’” This document states that: “Laws should also be enacted to ensure women’s reproductive and sexual rights, including the right of independent access to reproductive and STD health information and services and means of contraception, including safe and legal abortion...”

UNFPA promotes for Comprehensive Sexuality Education which according to Lifesite News advocates “masturbation, abortion, gender confusion, homosexuality, homosexual parenting, contraception, and premarital sex.”

---

#### Sources

<https://www.lifesitenews.com/news/uns-radical-agenda-pushes-sex-lgbt-education-starting-in-kindergarten>

<https://www.lifeissues.org/2015/01/unicef-abortion/>

**Policy Resolution FAD-03:  
Disengagement.**

2. National Sovereignty

2.3 EXTERNAL AFFAIRS

(New) DISENGAGEMENT

WHEREAS Canada is strongly tied with powerful foreign nations that brazenly abuse the fundamental human rights of their own citizens as well as Canadians (such as the PRC in 2023), and

WHEREAS such ties lead to an asymmetrical relationship where Canada is overly reliant on, and vulnerable to exploitation and abuse by such nations, and

WHEREAS this imbalance allows such nations to strong-arm the Canadian government, undermine national security and interfere politically or economically without fear of redress, and

WHEREAS the CHP, being a party of life, family and freedom, ought to make every effort to detach itself from such nations and align itself with nations that share the CHP's values;

BE IT RESOLVED that the following be added under section 2.3 EXTERNAL AFFAIRS in the *Party Policy: 'A Blueprint for Restoration'*:

(New) **DISENGAGEMENT**

A CHP government would work towards disengagement from diplomatic, economic, and political ties with nations that flagrantly violate the human rights and freedoms of their own citizens, unjustly breach the human rights of Canadians abroad, or engage in acts that threaten to undermine Canadian national security, business or political structure. A CHP government would pursue strong partnerships with countries which value human life, family, basic human freedoms, and fundamental human rights.

Moved by: Policy and Strategy Committee.

## 4. National Finances.

### **Policy Resolution EFD-13: Role of Parliament in taxation.**

#### 4. National Finances

#### 4.4 NATIONAL REVENUE

#### 4.4.2 TAXATION

WHEREAS only the House of Commons can introduce bills imposing taxes or appropriating public funds, and

WHEREAS responsible government embodies the principle of parliamentary accountability, the foundation of the Westminster system of parliamentary democracy, and

WHEREAS the addition of so-called “escalation clauses” in federal legislation (such as the 2017 federal budget) permit taxes to increase automatically without consultation or discussion, or reference to economic conditions;

BE IT RESOLVED that the following text be added to section 4.4.2 TAXATION in the *Party Policy: ‘A Blueprint for Restoration’*:

The CHP opposes the inclusion of escalation clauses or measures which increase taxes or appropriations without Parliamentary approval.

Moved by: Policy and Strategy Committee.

### **Background**

Every tax increase should be discussed on its own merits in Parliament at the appropriate time. This open and transparent method provides scrutiny of government and the opportunity for public examination.

**Policy Resolution EFD-15:  
Central bank digital currency (CBDC).**

4. National Finances

4.1 NATIONAL CURRENCY

(New) CENTRAL BANK DIGITAL CURRENCY

WHEREAS Canada and other world governments have been advancing the development or adoption of Central Bank Digital Currencies (CBDCs) which may be used as a means to usher in a cashless society, and

WHEREAS by getting rid of cash and using CBDCs the Canadian governments will be able to track the financial transactions of Canadians globally, and

WHEREAS by using a CBDC the Bank of Canada will have absolute control over the rules and regulations that will determine the use of that expression of central bank liability;

BE IT RESOLVED that the following be added to section 4.1 NATIONAL CURRENCY in the *Party Policy: 'A Blueprint for Restoration'*:

(New) **CENTRAL BANK DIGITAL CURRENCY**

The CHP does not support the development and use of a central bank digital currency (CBDC) in Canada which would track the financial behaviours of users; or limit their privacy, anonymity, or any other protected right; or permit outside control over personal finances; or exclude vulnerable Canadians from economic participation.

Moved by: Policy and Strategy Committee.

**Background**

A central bank digital currency essentially allows private individuals and businesses to have accounts at the central bank. It would function just like (and ultimately replace) cash, requiring no intermediary, no bank, no credit card company, and no transaction fee. Thus, CBDCs can remove what is essentially a 3% tax on most consumer-level transactions, allowing swift, frictionless transactions and transfers of money. CBDC scenarios normally include the ideal of a cash-free society. Cash transactions are hard to monitor or control. For CBDCs to fit into social engineers' paradise of total control, they must accompany the elimination of cash.

Unlike with physical cash, all CBDC transactions would have an electronic record, offering law enforcement a powerful weapon against money laundering, tax evasion, funding of terrorism, and other criminal activity.

The funds of criminals and terrorists could be instantly frozen, rendering them incapable of doing anything requiring money such as buying an airplane ticket, filling up at a gas station, paying their phone or utility bills, or hiring an attorney.

CBDCs are programmable, allowing authorities to limit purchases, payments, and income in whatever ways are socially beneficial. For example, all products could have a carbon score, and consumers could be limited in how much they are allowed to buy. Or, authorities could impose a limit on food purchases, gas purchases, and so on.

With programmable currency, citizens could be rewarded for good behaviour: for eating right and exercising, for doing good deeds that are reported by others, for staying away from drugs, for staying indoors during a pandemic, and for taking the medications that health authorities recommend. Or they could be penalized for bad behaviour.

Taxation and wealth redistribution could be automated. Universal basic income, welfare payments, stimulus payments, or racial reparations could be implemented algorithmically as long as CBDC accounts were firmly connected with individual's identities, medical records, racial status, criminal histories, and so forth.

Basically, beyond facilitating transactions, CBDCs offer an unprecedented opportunity for social engineering. Assuming that those in control are beneficent and wise, this is surely a good thing. But if, as many of us now believe, our authorities are foolish, incompetent, corrupt, or are merely fallible human beings incapable of handling too much power, then CBDCs can easily become instruments of totalitarian oppression. They allow authorities:

- To freeze the funds not only of terrorists and evil-doers, but dissidents, thought criminals, and scapegoated classes of people.
- To program money so it can only go to approved vendors, corporations, information platforms, and so forth. Those that fail to toe the party line can be "demonetized."
- Under the guise of rewarding good behaviour and penalizing bad, to control every aspect of life so that it conforms to the interests of elite corporate and political institutions.

- To nip in the bud any opposition political movement by demonetizing its leaders and activists, either with no explanation at all, or under flimsy pretexts that their victims would have no way to contest.

A statement from the Justice Centre for Constitutional Freedoms says:  
With the Canadian public, the Justice Centre stands against any central bank digital currency (CBDC) that tracks the financial behaviours of users, or that limits their privacy, anonymity, or any other protected right, or that excludes vulnerable Canadians from economic participation.

We believe that the potential for abuse is so great that the Bank of Canada should cease its investigation of CBDCs. We demand that Parliament seriously consider the privacy and security concerns of Canadians in any future discussions about CBDCs. Canadians have informed the Bank of Canada that the state should not have access to private financial information; citizens are vulnerable when the state knows what it should not know.

<https://www.jccf.ca/justice-centre-aligned-with-public-opposition-to-national-digital-currency/>

## 5. Resource Management.

### Policy Resolution EERD-01: Climate change.

#### 5 Resource Management

#### 5.0 GENERAL STATEMENTS

#### 5.0.5 CLIMATE CHANGE

WHEREAS the false assertions throughout the West that taking action on climate change is of overriding concern, to the point of restricting personal freedoms;

BE IT RESOLVED that section 5.0.5 CLIMATE CHANGE in the *Party Policy: 'A Blueprint for Restoration'* be amended (by striking out certain words and adding the text in bold) to read:

#### **5.0.5 CLIMATE CHANGE**

We recognize the serious economic detriment, both nationally and worldwide, in creating policies and regulations based on unproven assumptions such as the “climate change” theory. The CHP does not support any international policies, treaties or protocols, that are based on unproven environmental assumptions, and that would adversely affect the cultural and economic growth of developing countries; **and undermine civil liberties.** Based upon observable scientific data, the CHP will support those regulations and agreements regarding environmental stewardship which address real pollution problems directly affecting Canada and the world.

Moved by: Policy and Strategy Committee.

**Policy Resolution EERD-03:  
Green technology.**

5 Resource Management  
5.0 GENERAL STATEMENTS  
(New) GREEN TECHNOLOGY

WHEREAS renewable energy is not automatically green and non-renewable energy is not automatically not green, and

WHEREAS some renewable energy can have a greater negative impact on the environment than some non-renewable energy, and

WHEREAS nuclear power is safe and has minimal impact on the environment, and

WHEREAS assertions throughout the West that taking action on climate change is of overriding concern are overstated and false, and present a great risk of restricting personal freedoms;

BE IT RESOLVED that the following be added to section 5.0 GENERAL STATEMENTS in the *Party Policy: 'A Blueprint for Restoration'*:

(New) **GREEN TECHNOLOGY**

The CHP believes that renewable energy sources must co-exist with non-renewable energy sources to ensure Canadians have a reliable source of energy for heating their homes, cooking their food, and transportation.

Moved by: Policy and Strategy Committee.

**Policy Resolution EERD-04:  
Electrical grid.**

5 Resource Management

5.0 GENERAL STATEMENTS

(New) ELECTRICAL GRID

WHEREAS the Lord brings us weather storms from time to time to humble us and to show how fragile our energy and electrical grid can be, and

WHEREAS we realize the complete breakdown of our civilization in a particular area when a pro-longed electrical outage happens, and

WHEREAS it is scary when politicians want to transform the very existence of our electrical grid with unproven green alternatives without the free market organically transforming things through innovation and invention;

BE IT RESOLVED that the following be added to section 5.0 GENERAL STATEMENTS in the *Party Policy: 'A Blueprint for Restoration'*:

(New) **ELECTRICAL GRID**

The CHP supports allowing the free market to organically transform our electrical grid technology through innovation and invention rather than forcing changes with unproven green alternatives.

Moved by: Policy and Strategy Committee.

**Policy Resolution EERD-05:  
Electric vehicles.**

5 Resource Management  
5.0 GENERAL STATEMENTS  
(New) ELECTRIC VEHICLES

BE IT RESOLVED that the following be added to section 5.0 GENERAL STATEMENTS in the *Party Policy: 'A Blueprint for Restoration'*:

(New) **ELECTRIC VEHICLES**

The CHP would allow free market consumer choice forever to be the sole determiner of what kind of power source is in the vehicle they buy. A CHP government would not force the selection of an electric vehicle over the internal combustion vehicle. Electric cars should not get special privileges (lanes, parking, etc) on infrastructure under federal jurisdiction.

Moved by: Policy and Strategy Committee.

**Policy Resolution EERD-06:  
Alternative energy.**

5 Resource Management

5.4 LAND, ENERGY AND MINES

5.4.6 ALTERNATIVE ENERGY

WHEREAS the government should only encourage convenient reliable abundant energy subject to reasonable environmental standards, and

WHEREAS bio-fuels can require more energy input to make them than the energy output they produce; and crops should go to food for livestock and people, and

WHEREAS geothermal has not taken off due to glitches in the product which make the energy savings much less rosy, and

WHEREAS there is huge debate whether windmills and solar are green, and that transmission of steady hydro from them is spotty at best, and

WHEREAS more oil globally is used in the making plastic than is burned for fuel as almost all consumer products produced contain fossil fuel material within the product;

BE IT RESOLVED that the wording in 5.4.6 ALTERNATIVE ENERGY in the *Party Policy: 'A Blueprint for Restoration'* be replaced with the following:

**5.4.6 ALTERNATIVE ENERGY**

A CHP government would encourage research and responsible development, with the goal of maintaining convenient, reliable, abundant energy with reasonable environmental regulations. It would let the free market decide all these matters and keep its regulations within the realm of worker safety within the industry. Reasonable environmental regulation and laws would apply. The CHP believes that not all renewable or alternative energy is automatically green and not all non-renewable energy is automatically not green.

Moved by: Policy and Strategy Committee.

**Background**

The current policy reads:

The Canadian federal government should encourage research & development and an orderly gradual transition-towards replacement of reliance on non-renewable energy resources such as fossil fuels by renewable energy sources such as wind power, solar power, geothermal power, tidal power, small hydro and bio-fuels.

**Policy Resolution AFD-02:  
Food production subsidies.**

5 Resource Management

5.1 AGRICULTURE

5.1.4 DIPLOMACY

WHEREAS double standards and subsidies are unfair and impede co-operation amongst provinces and various farm interest associations;

BE IT RESOLVED that section 5.1.4 of the *Party Policy: 'A Blueprint for Restoration'* be amended (text in bold) to read:

**5.1.4 DIPLOMACY**

**We believe** government must strive to create a level playing field for agricultural trade within our own nation, **and end subsidized competition in food production.** Government must also protect agricultural producers from subsidized, imported products. **Canadian consumers' and producers' best protections are our choices to trade or not to trade, enabled by production self-sufficiency, and our ability to test all types of imported foods and food products to ensure safety and verification of contents.**

Moved by: Policy and Strategy Committee

**Background/Rationale**

If we protect our own industries by being self-sufficient, we do not need to work to reduce subsidies worldwide. Perhaps some countries need it for themselves but we can't let them compete with us. And if we want to import a subsidized product that no one in Canada is making at this time, how can that hurt us? There would just have to be a process by which those imports could be disallowed in the future should a Canadian source become available.

**Policy resolution AFD-03:  
Genetic modification.**

5 Resource Management

5.1 AGRICULTURE

(New) GENETIC MODIFICATION

(Please refer to the glossary of terms in the Background.)

WHEREAS the genetic diversity of plants is a gift from God, a resource in the public domain to be freely used and enjoyed, in common, by all (*Genesis 1:29-30, see rationale # 1*), and

WHEREAS the Word of God begins with the admonition to Man to “fill the earth and govern it” (Gen.28) but ends in Revelation 11 with the twenty-four elders citing God’s agenda “to destroy all who have caused destruction on the earth” (*see rationale #2*), and

WHEREAS in the past 100 years 75% of our food biodiversity has become extinct\* due to the abandoning of many traditional fruit and vegetable seed stocks, losing forever their benefits of superior taste and nutritive value, staggered ripening, natural adaptability to locales with their variable conditions and diseases. In the interest of a secure food supply, the remaining genetic variability must be protected. Mixed farming has been replaced by monoculture, that is, specializing in one crop, or what our Mothers called “putting all your eggs in one basket” (*see rationale #3; \* FAO/UN*), and

WHEREAS biotechnology’s claims to be solving world hunger are unsubstantiated, and

WHEREAS genetically modified foods are an ongoing experiment on human health with unknown long-term consequences, with

- foods subjected to nothing more than 90-day lab animal feeding studies showed overwhelming evidence of organ, reproductive and immune system damage plus accelerated aging, also approved by the FDA and EPA.
- foods and processes approved for which Health Canada cancelled monitoring for adverse effects on Canadians as being "too difficult" (*see rationale #5*), and

WHEREAS Mr. Bill Gates, the richest and one of the highest profile, most influential voices of the global elite, with an agenda supposedly of saving the planet, is also a major investor in and promoter of: genetic engineering of all foods globally, abortion, population control, mandatory vaccination, digital embedded ID, eugenics, geo-engineering, and death panels. A multi-billionaire and unelected global health czar, Mr. Gates, is not a scientist, but merely an expert in growing money while hiding behind a mask of philanthropy (\* *Deconstructing the Gates Agenda*), and

WHEREAS a campaign exposing the threat of GE and its concomitant chemicals is necessary to educate and prepare young, up-and-coming farmers for the work ahead. Watch the New reGeneration succeed massively as they phase-out GM cropping, and go on the offensive with focused soil remediation, followed by a complete ban on cultivation, import and export of GMOs that would end the proliferation of a practice that will otherwise eventually further undermine our nation's health and food sovereignty, rendering us serfs of some global power. Agricultural methods are constantly changing as we acknowledge the inefficacies or harms of some trends and experimental approaches. Change now is being driven by informed consumers, the end users; and innovative producers, as always, will adapt to market demand;

BE IT RESOLVED that the following be added to section 5.1 AGRICULTURE in the *Party Policy: 'A Blueprint for Restoration'*:

(New) **GENETIC MODIFICATION**

The CHP would prohibit the genetic engineering of plants, fruits and seeds for sale, cropping, exportation, and experimentation, as well as the importation or development of GMOs for any purpose. Genetic manipulation of livestock by medication, or feeding of GM material such as Mrna, rBst, etc., lacks long-term safety studies and would be prohibited.

Moved by: Policy and Strategy Committee

**Background**

Canadians' seed security and food safety must be protected. A prohibition of the patenting, production, sale, retention, cultivation, importation, exportation of, or experimentation with genetically engineered seeds, plants, fruits, and food products, would secure, in perpetuity, Canadians' ability and freedom to buy, sell and cultivate seeds; prohibiting the monopolisation of seeds by the biotech industry; enabling the eventual re-establishment of trade opportunities with

countries that will only import non-GE foods.

Canadians are not willing guinea pigs. If rigorous, independent testing of any products for human and animal consumption fails to unequivocally establish long-term safety prior to marketing, total prohibition must result. Not everything is safe & effective. Only by such measures will we save our remaining seed biodiversity and ensure safe, healthful food for posterity. Can we take a chance?

Glossary:

GE - Genetic Engineering/Genetically Engineered.

GM - Genetically Modified/Genetic Modification.

GMO - Genetically Modified Organism.

Biotech - the technology of making something from living systems.

Genetic diversity - a broad gene pool of traits of natural resistance and adaptability.

Genetic integrity - maintaining the variability of a cultivar, not selecting for particular traits.

Hybrid/hybridisation - a plant derived from crossing 2 varieties.

Open-pollinated - non-hybrid cultivars.

Species - for the most part, it refers to plants that can cross with one another.

Cultivar - plant derived by selective breeding of a single variety; cultivated varieties.

Variety - a member of a species distinguishable by particular characteristics eg. a Hungarian Pepper and a Bell Pepper.

#### RATIONALE FOR WHEREAS

- #1 The genetic engineering of seeds renders them 'inventions' (GMOs), i.e., "intellectual property", which allows them to be patented, the patents owned (exclusive), and the seeds, and potentially the food supply, can then be monopolised and controlled. Such seeds are sold by the biotech industry under strict contracts which prohibit harvesting the crops' seed for resale, trade, giving, or for the next season's cultivation, or for retention, period;
- #2 Man's failure to govern well, to protect in perpetuity God's marvelous gifts of Creation from the ravages of greed and covetousness, is evident. Commodifying and making private that which was intended for the individual's sustenance, begets dependency, destroys dignity, and endangers the lives of the excluded, especially the poor. While there is a wide-range of property-holding arrangements possible, from private property to things unownable, such as sacred things, seeds, thoughts, freedom, or life, the sinful penchant for power, pride, and profit must always be tempered by just

governance.

Only a government that recognises its own accountability to God can hope to rule wisely and justly, defending its people's freedom and national identity from threats outside and within its borders. Prosperity opportunism, (predatory capitalism) by individuals or corporations, foreign entities or ideologies must never impinge on others' freedom and enjoyment of life, as the prophet Nathan pointed out to King David after David abused his position to steal Uriah's wife then make a widow of her. (II Samuel 12).

- #3 Older, rare cultivars and seeds must be conserved for their wide assortment of traits, many of which are lost when breeding or selecting for commercial growing, harvesting, shipping, handling, uniformity and appearance. In the 1980's, many small seed companies were bought-up by large corporations, and seed banks' security strengthened.  
The purity of traditional heritage seeds must be protected from inadvertent or intentional GMO contamination by terminator genes, GM plant pollen drift or GM seed spills. Victims of such incidents have been accused of theft of proprietary property, and non-GMO harvests been rejected by buyers due to minor GMO contamination; \*\*Monsanto vs. Percy Schmeiser (SK) \*Seeds of Diversity Canada
- #4 Some farmers (Farm Bill-USA) are still paid to NOT grow food\*. A glut of grain is dumped into world markets at half the market price forcing the price down for all. Starvation still claims millions of lives each year where corrupt governments fail to distribute the food they receive. Countries that refuse aid because it is tied to reproductive politics go without. By 1945, North Americans were growing 45% of their food in Victory Gardens. World hunger does not justify the risks of GE, because delivery, not availability, is the problem. \*Christian Science Monitor, GMOs Revealed - Bush  
Our own government "experts" have, at least since the mid-1990's, failed to protect Canadians from this highly-lucrative genetic engineering scheme of agribusiness. The "experts" have been misled, or otherwise influenced, into putting our health and cropland at risk and have remained willfully ignorant as GE promoters spun the convincing, compassionate tale of a future with food for all, and weeds for none, while the public was misled by promises that GE would reduce, not increase, chemical usage; and
- #5 Foods shown in independent studies in India, Germany, Scotland, Japan to pose inherent, serious health risks; consumers around the world increasingly

rejecting as unsafe, foods which are raised with chemicals (mostly glyphosate) that are known carcinogens, are antibiotic, and disruptive to gut and brain health, yet approved after grossly inadequate (usually in-house) testing.

Since the early 90's, even pre-GMOs, some 160 conventional crops have been made harvest-ready by spraying with glyphosate as a desiccant. Some crops are sprayed as many as 5 times before harvest. Humans and livestock then ingest it. \*GMOs Revealed 2020 - Bush / \*Corrupt to the Core - Chopra

The ground absorbs the excess desiccant/weed-killers from crop spraying creating new problems: super weeds that have become resistant to chemicals now proliferate, in the same way overuse of antibiotics for human health resulted in antibiotic resistant strains of bacteria; soil biome and beneficial insects are destroyed, and these persistent chemicals bind some minerals to soil preventing their uptake by plants. Straw from the crop might have been useful for bedding or mulching a garden, but is contaminated. The increased use of glyphosate, (millions of pounds per year) which has a synergistic effect with gluten, coincides with the significant rise in gluten intolerance. We humans have tolerated gluten in our diet for thousands of years. Also implicated in the exposure or ingestion of glyphosate and similar chemicals are thyroid, infertility, cancers, lymphomas, kidney, allergy issues and more.

The promotion of GE to farmers has been both unscrupulous and misleading, while slick, well-funded industry lobbying of politicians and bureaucrats has hugely influenced official agriculture policy and regulation. University and college agriculture faculties' curricula are predisposed to promoting GE by industry's generous funding of those institutions, ensuring another generation of graduates is groomed for GE. \*Corrupt to the Core - Chopra

Taxpayers fund government and assume Health Canada is ensuring the safety of their consumer goods, including food and drugs. The proof of safety of technologies such as transgenics (GE), Bt, (Bacillus thuringiensis) CRISPR (Clustered Regularly Interspaced Short Palindromic Repeats), GURT (Genetic Use Restriction Technology), ie., Terminator genes, as well as pharmaceuticals must not be entrusted to those who have a vested interest in their approval, and Health Canada must become accountable. At the time of this writing, unelected bureaucrats at Health Canada are introducing regulatory fees and restrictions to Natural Health Products to treat them like drugs. It smells to me like the Pharmaceutical industry wants to control harmless substances they'd tell you are just cheap snake oil, because they might kill you (show me

the bodies), and they can make them "safe and effective" with a patentable tweak and bigger price tag! A lucrative market has sprung up around natural substances God freely gave us and Big Pharma wants not a piece of the pie but the whole thing.

---

Sometimes all the information in the world doesn't change the mind of someone who has accepted as true all the education and advertising and peer pressure they've been exposed to. Sometimes it's not until they see yet another neighbour or loved one suffering that they connect the dots of common cause. It isn't easy to realise you were wrong - or wronged. But there is still time to set the record straight for the sake of others.

**Policy Resolution AFD-04:  
Soil.**

5 Resource Management

5.1 AGRICULTURE

(New) SOIL

WHEREAS the natural fertility of soil declines with cultivation, over time, and must be renewed before crop quality declines, yields fail and erosion results. Much of Canada's arable land has long been used with insufficient attention to its replenishing. A new generation has begun addressing this oversight, and

WHEREAS synthetic fertilizers have, over time, contributed to soil degradation by their lack of vital organic matter and tendency to interfere with biological processes needed for balanced nutrient uptake; the biological processes of the soil biome meant to render a plethora of insoluble nutrients soluble are bypassed when a small variety of synthetic or isolated soluble nutrients are mainlined to the plants; resulting imbalances have enable unwanted weeds and insects to flourish, creating a demand for effective eradication of same, and

WHEREAS these pesticides (includes herbicides) are, at best, considered "likely carcinogenic", are antimicrobial, are difficult to contain, and are co-dependent with GE crop production, and their continued use does not allow for the rights of Canadians who are affected by, or concerned about, or imposed upon by their persistence in everyone's air, soil, water and hence, food supply; and unlike those (chemicals) specifically added to foods for flavour, preservation, colour, etc. and labeled as such, the presence of agricultural chemicals is incidental, non-consensual, unlabeled, undisclosed, unavoidable and mostly tested only, in self-interest, by the manufacturer. For the average consumer, their presence in our food supply, even when labeled "organic", is suspected, unprovable, but inevitable; they are now ubiquitous in the environment and the human body, and

WHEREAS agribusiness and chemical and pharmaceutical companies are a large source of revenue for government, and lobby persists from that position of power, government watchdogs must not give carte blanche acceptance or promotion of those products by bypassing rigorous safety testing, accepting industry testing as adequate or objective, and neglecting transparent label safety warnings and the reality that residues of drugs used on livestock end up in the soil, and

WHEREAS a renewed awareness of the complexity of soil and soil life has shown that agricultural chemicals and their adjuvants (including surfactants) interfere with the balance of biodiversity found naturally in the various soil types, not all farmers have yet seen how this is affecting their bottom line. The health of the soil's microbiome is as important for growing nutritious food as is the human gut's microbiome for good immunity and digestion. Some agricultural chemicals are antimicrobial, and, as antibiotics act on the human gut, destroying the good with the bad, so too, the complex functions of the soil are impaired and must be renewed in order to function properly. Regeneration is a long-term process, but begins by halting the cause of the destruction, and

WHEREAS voluntary reduction in synthetic fertilizer usage, for the sake of perceived environmental issues, is being 'suggested' by current government (without consulting the primary agriculture industry, processors or provincial stakeholders), it is likely to be followed by the imposition of same to achieve the UN's desired but questionable level of emissions by 2030. Addressing the perceived environmental problem by voluntary reduction in combination with focused regenerative practices, would expedite regeneration, save money, and keep the UN at bay for a while;

BE IT RESOLVED that the following be added to section 5.1 AGRICULTURE in the *Party Policy: 'A Blueprint for Restoration'*:

(NEW) **SOIL**

In the spirit of stewardship and "doing unto others", namely, our neighbours and the generations to come, a CHP government would raise awareness of practices that degrade soil biome, support the efforts of independent soils organisations, and reduce the production and usage of agricultural chemicals while actively remediating soil damage and water contamination and consciously adhering to regenerative practices. The CHP believes stricter guidelines for usage of agricultural chemicals are required along with full disclosure of dangers to human and animal health on labels for herbicides and pesticides.

Moved by: Policy and Strategy Committee

**Background**

Hodges, R.D. Ph.D. "Who needs chemical fertilizers anyway? – The Case For Biological Agriculture"; introduction & summary, <https://csss.ca/csss-soil-health-committee-2/> Digging into Canadian Soils – 15. Soil

Health & Management pp 502-506.

Status of the world's Soil Resources – <https://www.fao.org/3/bc603e/bc603e.pdf>

[www.agriculture.com/livestock/cattle/shes-driven-by-soil-health-and-pasture-management](http://www.agriculture.com/livestock/cattle/shes-driven-by-soil-health-and-pasture-management)

Franceus' father died in 1997 and the renter who had been there for 35 years died the next year. "That was my cue," she says. "I was pretty much left to take care of this place. Found that the thistle overgrowth was huge. Every draw was filled with Canada thistles, and the whole creek was lined with thistles. Musk thistles were coming in, too. They are extremely invasive. If you see one musk thistle you ought to walk a mile to kill it because there are probably 700 out there."

She was torn as to how to proceed on this conservation challenge. "It was so bad I was told to have the whole place aerially sprayed," says Franceus. "But then Dave Steffen with the NRCS explained that if we did that we'd lose all the wildflowers and broadleaf plants. All the diversity would be gone. So I started spot spraying with a very effective chemical. I used it judiciously, and very pointedly," she explains. "It did a fabulous job for us."

She is grateful to the South Dakota Grassland Coalition for teaching her how to do rotational grazing. "I learned that thistle control is all about competition," says Franceus. "If you beat the grass up, that gives the thistles an advantage. If you beat the thistles up, that gives the grass an advantage. So if you have a thistle problem, you graze it hard and knock those thistles down."

[www.agriculture.com/livestock/reintroducing-native-grasses-to-hold-moisture](http://www.agriculture.com/livestock/reintroducing-native-grasses-to-hold-moisture)

**Policy resolution AFD-05:  
Chemicals in agriculture.**

5 Resource Management

5.1 AGRICULTURE

(New) CHEMICALS IN AGRICULTURE

WHEREAS agricultural chemicals are now ubiquitous in the environment and the human body, and for the discerning consumer, their presence in our food supply, even if labeled "organic", is suspected and almost inevitable; their carcinogenicity\*\* and their negative impact on human fertility and insect pollinators are now well-established, it is essential that we reduce their use and presence, and

WHEREAS Canada's pollinators and honey bees have come under tremendous stress in recent years from agricultural chemicals, extreme weather conditions, and from electromagnetic fields, whether by directly killing these essential insects, or simply lowering their resistance to disease and extreme weather, these chemicals have become critical assaults on our main means of pollination and hence, our food security, and

WHEREAS "conventional" agricultural practice infers a permanent, widespread, generally acceptable status, and while the word really means 'bound by tradition', it has come to describe the methodology of a mere era, ever changing according to new technology, modernity and discovery, and ever farther from traditional methods centred around the conservation of the land by rotation and regeneration, and

WHEREAS while farmers schooled in institutions generously funded by chemical and pharmaceutical corporations and foundations are now having to unlearn and undo the harms of those "modern" methods, intuitive farmers are seeing improved production resulting from their concerted efforts to put traditional, tried-and-true methods to work using some high-tech tools, and

WHEREAS the current, modernised trend of traditional, regenerative, non-chemical-dependent agriculture promises increased production, manageable efficiency, a more healthful work and home environment plus substantial cost-savings, the conversion process is gradual, with an inevitable loss of production for at least three years from any portion of land being restored. The challenges of temporarily diminished returns, the complexities of running two

different systems concurrently, and the possibility of stretched financial obligations must be seen in light of the decades of profitable but often detrimental farm practices that have essentially depleted much of Canada's once-rich, arable land. We cannot continue on a course of "as long as there is peace in our day". One generation's success must not rob its progeny of their potential for success or sustenance, and

WHEREAS reducing the allowable levels of pesticide residue in food for both human and animal consumption would motivate restraint of chemical usage and reduce risks to the health of both;

BE IT RESOLVED that the following be added to 5.1 AGRICULTURE in the *Party Policy: 'A Blueprint for Restoration'*:

(New) **CHEMICALS IN AGRICULTURE**

A CHP government would pursue a prohibition of the introduction to market of any new\* agricultural chemicals, or any new\* made-for-GMOs concomitants, whether made in Canada or imported, with the goal of stemming their increasing presence in food and the environment.

A CHP government would prohibit the production of chemicals in Canada that are banned for use in Canada.

A CHP Government would enforce responsible use of any pesticide (by definition includes herbicides) in terms of drift, spills, leakage, or improper application, all of which would be subject to fines, restitution and loss of permits.

A CHP government would ensure Health Canada's determinations of "safety" are backed or challenged by independent testing facilities.

\* 'New' being defined as a single chemical, or a mixture of chemicals of which no single chemical is naturally or synthetically identical to any ingredient in any previously marketed in Canada.

Moved by: Policy and Strategy Committee.

### **Background**

CAAR pointed out that Canadian farmers "have and continue to embrace new production practices that improve soil health, safeguard water and the sequestering carbon. Adoption and expansion of the 4R Nutrient Stewardship

program furthers Canadian agriculture's proactive approach by using best management practices to reduce farm-applied fertilizer emissions."

CAAR summed up with a request for the federal government: "We respectfully request the Agriculture and Agri-Food Minister to engage with agricultural stakeholders at the first opportunity to develop science-backed solutions that will meet environmental targets—but avoid burdening Canadian farmers, the agriculture industry and the end consumer."

For more information, visit [www.CAAR.org](http://www.CAAR.org).

(Reducing chemical fertilisation must coincide with active regenerative practices to reduce anticipated income losses. See AFD Soils.)

<https://csss.ca/csss-soil-health-committee-2/>

Hodges, R.D. Ph.D. "Who needs chemical fertilizers anyway? – The Case For Biological Agriculture"; introduction & summary

<https://csss.ca/csss-soil-health-committee-2/> Digging into Canadian Soils – 15. Soil Health & Management pp 502-506

### **Bayer Ordered to Pay \$332 Million in Roundup (glyphosate) Trial**

·November 01, 2023

In its ongoing saga of losses in lawsuits with people who say their cancer was caused by the herbicide **Roundup**, Bayer has lost another round, to the tune of \$332 million.

Bayer acquired the Roundup lawsuits with its purchase of Monsanto. According to Reuters, this is the third such loss for Bayer in a month. The current loss reflects \$7 million in compensatory damages and \$325 million in punitive damages for Mike Dennis, who was diagnosed at age 51 with a form of non-Hodgkin lymphoma.

Bayer paid \$63 billion for Monsanto in 2018; since then, it's settled lawsuits in the amount of \$10.9 billion. It still has another 40,000 Roundup cases to go.

SOURCE: Reuters October 31, 2023.

USA-FDA has expressed concern over high amounts of **Chlormequat chloride\*** found in 90% of oat, wheat, barley and triticale foods, including popular brands like Quaker Oats and Cheerios, mostly from Canadian grain exports to USA. \* a growth regulator & pesticide

<https://media.mercola.com/ImageServer/Public/2024/February/PDF/chlormequat-c>

hloride-pdf.pdf

Citizen groups across Canada are raising awareness of arial forest spraying of **Foray 48B\***, ostensibly against spongy Moth (aka Gypsy Moth), in spite of little evidence of a moth problem, and despite the manufacturer's non-disclosure of 87% of the spray's ingredients, some of which are said to be flammable. The other 13% consists of bacterial spores (type undisclosed) which create an antitoxin toxic to bees, butterflies and pets. Sprayed on forests, in business & residential areas, school yards and playgrounds with effectively no notification, this chemical has been banned in Norway and most of EU. Exposed unknowingly, people don't connect health issues with the spray unless a hospital becomes aware of a pattern. \*Abbott Labs USA infamous for tainted milk & baby formula scandal, and for false research.

Mexico published a presidential decree on genetically modified (GM) corn in late 2020, saying it would ban GM corn in the diets of Mexicans and end the use the herbicide glyphosate by Jan. 31, 2024...President Andres Manuel Lopez Obrador has said GM seeds can contaminate Mexico's age-old native varieties and has questioned their impact on human health.

<https://www.reuters.com/markets/commodities/what-is-us-mexico-gm-corn-dispute-about-2023-03-08/>

**Policy Resolution AFD-06:  
Respect for farmland.**

5 Resource Management

5.1 AGRICULTURE

5.1.2 RESPECT FOR FARMLAND

WHEREAS the term “reasonable” is a subjective term and open to interpretation, and

WHEREAS farmland is a valuable, finite resource critical to the supply of food for Canadians and other nations, and

WHEREAS voluntary, renewable certification of property using a system of grading could encourage those who need motivation to act more responsibly, to take more pride in how they farm, to see and enjoy the potential for enhanced market value, and ultimately, to value their investment and stewardship as their role/calling in God’s creation;

BE IT RESOLVED that section 5.1.2 of *Party Policy: ‘A Blueprint for Restoration’* be amended to read (new wording in bold):

**5.1.2 RESPECT FOR FARMLAND**

**The CHP believes** farmland should be treated as a valuable, **but private** resource and therefore **could** be subject to **optional processes of certification, based on general ‘health’ of the land in terms of chemical pollution, soil health, pest infestations, and water availability. Any portion of agricultural land used for wind or solar power production should be re-zoned and taxed as industrial land.** We believe that Canadian farmland should be owned by resident Canadian citizens and **permanent residents** only.

Moved by: Policy and Strategy Committee.

**Policy Resolution AFD-07:  
Research and development.**

5 Resource Management

5.1 AGRICULTURE

5.1.5 RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT

WHEREAS serious farmers now review farming practices, products and technologies as a matter of course, and have access to information not previously available, government should not have to provide much of this service any more, and

WHEREAS free soil and water testing would be important helps, and transportation to markets in remote areas is a place where the government already helps, and

WHEREAS federal agriculture departments have, for decades, been the main promoters of what has come to be called “conventional” practices, which are heavily weighted towards monoculture, chemical dependency, and soil practices that have damaged a prime Canadian resource and reduced its productivity, and

WHEREAS government should protect farmers and consumers, not tell them how to farm or what to eat;

BE IT RESOLVED that section 5.1.5 of *Party Policy: 'A Blueprint for Restoration'* be replaced with the following:

**5.1.5 RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT**

The CHP believes local and national self-sufficiency should undergird our agriculture policies, lest our Nation's needs be subsumed by globalistic trends. Farmers must become a stronger voice in both federal and provincial policy-making.

Canadian research and development in biological pest control, irrigation, soil conservation and remediation, and uses of waste for food and fuel should be encouraged. Discoveries such as the benefits from increased ambient levels of carbon dioxide (CO<sub>2</sub>) to Canadian agriculture and forestry must be defended against efforts to penalise its production as a by-product of certain industries and activities.

Moved by: Policy and Strategy Committee.

**Policy Resolution AFD-08:  
Ownership of property.**

5 Resource Management

5.1 AGRICULTURE

5.1.3 OWNERSHIP OF PROPERTY

WHEREAS property rights are not presently guaranteed in law;

BE IT RESOLVED that section 5.1.3 of *Party Policy: 'A Blueprint for Restoration'* be amended to read (new wording in bold):

5.1.3 OWNERSHIP OF PROPERTY

We affirm that the family farm or farm partnership (co-operative) is the form of tenure which allows the most stewardly and efficient use of land and animals. Ownership of farmland by **foreign or** multinational corporations should be prohibited. 100% Canadian-owned corporations would be limited in size. **The right of ownership of property must be enshrined in law.**

Moved by: Policy and Strategy Committee.

**Policy Resolution AFD-09:  
Food product labelling.**

5 Resource Management

5.1 AGRICULTURE

5.1.6 FOOD PRODUCT LABELLING

WHEREAS the wasteful spoiling from the inside out of fresh fruits and vegetables is sometimes the result of the chemicals\* with which the green harvest is “finished” (sprayed or gassed or powdered), that begins a decay process that producers and vendors call ripening, and

WHEREAS neither the “finishing” process nor the chemicals\* used are disclosed, and while the poisonous or carcinogenic nature of these chemicals has been established, its presence is never labelled, and

WHEREAS the process fraudulently presents the fruit as something it isn't; and the food is often tasteless or inedible, and lacking the nutrients of its vine ripened counterpart, and

WHEREAS experimental mRNA is being widely promoted for use in livestock, feed and food for humans with no knowledge of its effect on animals or humans in the long term, the intentional or inadvertent or possible presence of mRNA in food for humans or animals, in produce, drink or processed food must be disclosed by clear labelling;

BE IT RESOLVED that section 5.1.6 in *Party Policy: 'A Blueprint for Restoration'* be amended to read (new wording in bold):

**5.1.6 FOOD PRODUCT LABELLING**

The federal government **must** protect the right of consumers to informed product choice by instituting mandatory labelling of food products which have been subjected to processes such as irradiation or Ultra Heat Treatment (UHT), **or artificial ripening, aka, “finishing”**, or which contain or may contain Genetically Modified Organisms (GMOs) **or mRNA**.

Moved by: Policy and Strategy Committee.

## **Background**

### **\*CHEMICALS USED TO "RIPEN" or "FINISH" PRODUCE**

-Foods treated with chemicals or processes have been adulterated. That is, the pure food has been made impure, intentionally corrupted. (Organic foods are unadulterated, in their natural state to the extent possible.)

-Common chemicals used, some of which are known carcinogens or hazardous poisons, are:

Calcium carbide (acetylene + Cal cyanide {which contains arsenic})

Ethephon/Ethrel;

Ethylene glycol;

Ethylene.

-When used on produce originating in other countries where conditions are often primitive, laborers may not understand the health risks or application instructions, and Canadian consumers, in turn, cannot know what we are eating or handling. Produce grown in Canada may also be treated by migrant workers who can read neither English nor French and/or are not adequately supervised.

Trends in Food Science & Technology, January 2022; 119: 467-475;

<https://www.centerforfoodsafety.org/issues/311/ge-foods/ge-food-and-your-health>

[https://link.springer.com/chapter/10.1007/978-981-99-3439-3\\_12](https://link.springer.com/chapter/10.1007/978-981-99-3439-3_12)

<https://www.goodrx.com/health-topic/environmental/calcium-carbide-fruit-ripening>

<https://takecontrol.substack.com/p/shrimp-mrna-vaccine>

<https://takecontrol.substack.com/p/messenger-rna-vaccines-in-meat-animals>

Chopra, Dr. Shiv, Bureau of Veterinary Drugs, Health Canada; Corrupt to the Core, Ch5 - The Gaps Analysis Report. Ch6 - How Rbst Was Defeated in Canada. Ch7 - Rewards & Retributions

<https://www.centerforfoodsafety.org/issues/1044/rbgh/about-rbgh>

**Policy Resolution AFD-10:  
Supply management.**

5 Resource Management

5.1 AGRICULTURE

(New) SUPPLY MANAGEMENT

WHEREAS many see supply management as subsidisation, or even a monopoly, and those who benefit from supply management defend its good points, but does it "do unto others" when it excludes even the opportunity for others to produce an entirely different product, for an entirely different market; a product most supply management defenders do not even want to produce; a product for which consumers are willing to take responsibility for safety and waive liability, provide their own containers, and pick up at the source? Is it morally right that farmers must destroy excess production while anyone in the world goes hungry?

WHEREAS systems that only survive because of the government's "investment" in them, are clearly not working for everyone, and

WHEREAS supply management may protect its members from unfair competition, the farmer's position in the industry hierarchy is still inherently the most precarious, as is the very nature of farming. SM protects profits for industries' many middlemen, and its shareholders. The farmer still works the hardest and takes the fall for everything that goes wrong;

BE IT RESOLVED that the following be added to 5.1 AGRICULTURE in the *Party Policy: 'A Blueprint for Restoration'*:

(New) **SUPPLY MANAGEMENT**

The CHP recognizes the value of supply management but provision should be made for alternative, independent marketing, namely farm-gate sales, that will satisfy the growing demand for local, natural products.

Moved by: Policy and Strategy Committee.

**Background**

See also 5.1.1 The Family Farm & 5.1.3 Corporate Farming.

## 6. The Law: Basis of Christian Civilization.

### **Policy Resolution EFD-11\*: Supporting the family.**

#### 6. The Law: Basis of Christian Civilization

#### 6.4 SANCTITY OF MARRIAGE AND THE FAMILY

#### (New) SUPPORTING THE FAMILY

WHEREAS the Economics and Finance Department undertook a study of the Family Care Allowance and produced the report: *Family Care Allowance (FCA) – A Review* in February of 2019, and

WHEREAS said report suggested that other policies should be considered in lieu of the Family Care Allowance which align better with our Christian beliefs;

BE IT RESOLVED that the following be added to section 6.4 SANCTITY OF MARRIAGE AND THE FAMILY of the *Party Policy: 'A Blueprint for Restoration'*:

#### **(New) SUPPORTING THE FAMILY**

We support providing a subsidy for a family who fosters or adopts a child. Every effort must be made, through education and services, to support the family in successfully performing its role. One means to attain this goal is by endorsing and jointly funding, with the provinces and municipalities, family counselling and support centres. We encourage churches and communities to contribute significantly to support families, family counselling centres, adoption and similar family-friendly initiatives.

Moved by: Policy and Strategy Committee.

### **Background**

The 2021 National Convention approved a resolution to eliminate the Family Care Allowance policy. However, it is suggested some financial support is needed to ease financial strains for a family which adopts or fosters children.

More generally, the family should be supported in successfully performing its duties through non-financial means as well, both by government supported and church/community supported services.

Ref: 6.4.2 which provides the definition of a family.

**Policy Resolution EFD-14:  
Use of omnibus bills.**

6. The Law: Basis of Christian Civilization

6.0 GENERAL STATEMENTS

(New) OMNIBUS BILLS

WHEREAS an omnibus bill is a proposed law that covers a number of diverse or unrelated topics, and

WHEREAS because of their large size and scope, omnibus bills limit opportunities for debate and scrutiny, and

WHEREAS omnibus bills have sometimes been used to pass controversial amendments and may thus be considered to be anti-democratic;

BE IT RESOLVED that the following be added to section 6.0 GENERAL STATEMENTS in the *Party Policy: A Blueprint for Restoration*:

(New) **OMNIBUS BILLS**

The CHP opposes the use of omnibus bills which incorporate many unrelated issues or are too complex to debate appropriately.

Moved by: Policy and Strategy Committee.

**Policy Resolution JFD-01\*:  
Delays in proceedings.**

6.0 Justice

6.7 CRIMINAL LAW

(New) DELAYS IN PROCEEDINGS

WHEREAS the slow application of justice reduces the deterrent effect and increases the likelihood of recidivism and / or "copycat" crimes, and

WHEREAS criminals who can afford to hire good lawyers are able to delay their court appearances, and

WHEREAS there are huge costs associated with court delays, jury selection, rescheduling, witnesses and jury members taking time off work, etc., and

WHEREAS the Bible speaks against the slow application of justice, Ecclesiastes 8:11 "*Because sentence against an evil work is not executed speedily, therefore the heart of the sons of men is fully set in them to do evil.*";

BE IT RESOLVED that the following statements be added to section 6.7 CRIMINAL LAW in the *Party Policy: 'A Blueprint for Restoration'* :

(New) **DELAYS IN PROCEEDINGS**

The CHP believes every effort must be made a) to reduce unnecessary or frivolous delays in bringing cases to court; and b) to create an efficient process for the earliest possible verdict. This must be done for the sake of deterrence of crime, for the prompt restitution to the victims of property crimes, for the satisfaction of justice in the case of violent crimes, for the speedy exoneration of those who may be unjustly accused, and for the reduction of costs to the court and those involved in criminal proceedings.

We believe the court owes it to both victim and perpetrator to examine the evidence and reach a conclusion in the shortest possible time. Delays caused by either party and shown to be frivolous or intended to obstruct the pursuit of justice should be subject to charges of criminal contempt.

A CHP government would legislate time limits for each step of proceedings.

Moved by: Policy and Strategy Committee.

**Policy Resolution JFD-02:  
Four pillars of justice.**

6.0 The Law: Basis of Christian Civilization

6.7 CRIMINAL LAW

(New) FOUR PILLARS OF JUSTICE

WHEREAS the objectives of CHP's justice policies and platform details ought to be succinctly stated and easily understood by all;

BE IT RESOLVED that the heading 6.7 "CRIMINAL LAW" in *Party Policy: 'A Blueprint for Restoration'* be replaced by "CRIMINAL LAW AND THE COURTS"; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that a new subsection be inserted as follows:

(New) **FOUR PILLARS OF JUSTICE**

The CHP asserts that the pursuit of justice through Canada's judicial system must rest on four pillars:

- a. Public Safety from dangerous offenders.
- b. Restitution to the victims of property crimes.
- c. Access to the judicial process for all citizens: fair, effective and affordable.
- d. Accountability of judges for the constitutionality of their decisions.

Moved by: Policy and Strategy Committee.

**Policy Resolution LFD-04a\*:  
State intervention.**

6 The Law: Basis of Christian Civilization

6.4 SANCTITY OF MARRIAGE AND THE FAMILY

6.4.6 STATE INTERVENTION

WHEREAS “Canadian Judges have been prepared to protect parents from interference by the state or others, in particular interpreting legislation in such a way to maximize the rights of natural parents threatened with loss of custody of their children.”, and

WHEREAS it has been argued that “this special judicial concern as part of our common law tradition of protecting the individual, should be reflected in the concept of “liberty” in Section 7 of the Charter of Rights and Freedoms”, and

WHEREAS Canadian parents possess a broad range of powers at common law and by legislation enabling them to make decisions regarding the life of their children and effectively to discipline and control them without interference from the State, and

WHEREAS the family is a pre-existing institution which came into being at the creation of Adam and Eve, and as such its authority must be respected;

BE IT RESOLVED that the current policy statement 6.4.6 STATE INTERVENTION in the *Party Policy: ‘A Blueprint for Restoration’* be amended (changes in bold) as follows:

**6.4.6 STATE INTERVENTION**

We deny the right of the state or any state-sanctioned body to intervene in the **family**, for the purpose of interfering with parental authority **or decision-making** or to remove any child from a **family**, except in cases of demonstrable abuse of the child. **The CHP believes the “Liberty” right in Section 7 of the Charter of Rights and Freedoms applies to parental rights in Canada and its legislative initiatives would reflect this belief.**

Moved by: Policy and Strategy Committee.

**Background**

Duties and Rights of Parents

<https://www.legalline.ca/legal-answers/parents-rights/>

Section 7 guarantees the life, liberty and personal security of all Canadians. It also requires that governments respect the basic principles of justice whenever they intrude on those rights.

<https://www.canada.ca/en/canadian-heritage/services/how-rights-protected/guide-canadian-charter-rights-freedoms.html>

The Foundation of Human Society: A Christian Case for Parental Authority

<https://www.thepublicdiscourse.com/2020/08/66250/>

Common Law

[https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Common\\_law#CL\\_Canada\\_federal](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Common_law#CL_Canada_federal)

FAMILY LAW AND THE "LIBERTY INTEREST": SECTION 7 OF THE CANADIAN CHARTER OF RIGHTS

Nicholas Bala\* and J. Douglas Redfearn\*\*

[https://rdo-olr.org/wp-content/uploads/2018/01/olr\\_15.2\\_Bala\\_Redfearn.pdf](https://rdo-olr.org/wp-content/uploads/2018/01/olr_15.2_Bala_Redfearn.pdf)

"DEBUNKING" PARENTS' RIGHTS IN THE CANADIAN CONSTITUTIONAL CONTEXT

Mark Carter

<https://cbr.cba.org/index.php/cbr/article/view/4085>

FAMILY TIES: INDIVIDUAL AND FAMILY RIGHTS UNDER CANADA'S CHARTER OF RIGHTS AND FREEDOMS

Gerald Chipeur\*

<https://journals.lib.unb.ca/index.php/unblj/article/view/29441>

<https://www.ohrc.on.ca/en/policy-and-guidelines-discrimination-because-family-status/ii-international-protections>

International Protections (Family) Ontario Human Rights Commission

*"By virtue of these many provisions in international law, which have jointly achieved universal ratification, the family is a proper subject of human rights and is a bearer of rights in International human rights law"*

"Since the founding of the United Nations, international law and policy have recognized a preeminent place for marriage and the family as the "natural and fundamental unit of society."

Article 16 of the Universal Declaration of Human Rights (UDHR) defines the family as “*the natural and fundamental group unit of society*” and further declares the family as defined is “*entitled to protection by society and the State.*” And,

This understanding of the UDHR is reflected *verbatim* in the provisions of major UN human rights treaties, including Article 23 of the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights (ICCPR), Article 10.1 of the International Covenant on Economic, Social, and Cultural Rights (ICESCR), and the Preamble to the Convention on the Rights of the Child (CRC). By virtue of these many provisions in international law, which have jointly achieved universal ratification, the family is a proper subject of human rights and is a bearer of rights in international human rights law.

The UDHR (article 16) further ties the founding of the family to marriage and affirms that “men and women of full age, without any limitation due to race, nationality or religion, have the right to marry and to found a family. *They are entitled to equal rights* as to marriage, during marriage and at its dissolution” (emphasis added). And,

The UDHR 16 language on the *equal right* of men and women to marry and found a family is reflected verbatim in the ICCPR (Article 23), the ICESCR (Article 10), as well as the Convention on the Elimination of all Forms of Discrimination Against Women (CEDAW 16), which refers to equality within marriage as between “men and women” and refers to “husband and wife” in the context of the family.

As a result of this body of binding international law, any mention of the family in a UN resolution or other intergovernmental outcome of the United Nations can only be interpreted as referring to the union of a man and a woman in marriage or in reference to relations that are at least analogous to the family according to this definition, such as multi-generational or extended families, single-parent homes, or adoptive families.

Several landmark UN conferences recognized the importance of the family as a subject of rights and an essential unit for policies and programs. For example, paragraph 5.8 of the outcome document of the 1994 International Conference on Population and Development referred to the “rights of families.”

Paragraph 80 of the Programme of Action of the 1995 World Summit for Social Development recognized that the family is “entitled to receive comprehensive protection and support.” As recently as 1999, the Office of the High Commissioner

for Human Rights (OHCHR) produced a report titled “The Family in International and Regional Human Rights Instruments” that clearly identifies the family as a subject of human rights,

The 2030 Agenda, which launched the Sustainable Development Goals in 2015, also excludes this notion. In fact, the 2030 Agenda goes further, and distinguishes “the family” from “the household,” highlighting the exceptional status of the family in international law and policy as a status not shared by other social and legal arrangements. Target 5.4 of the Sustainable Development Goals commits governments to “recognize and value unpaid care and domestic work through the provision of public services, infrastructure and social protection policies, and the promotion of shared responsibility within the household and the family as nationally appropriate.”

International human rights law does not require states to elevate any social and legal arrangement for children as equivalent to the family. In fact, countries have an obligation to protect the nuclear family as the optimal environment for the protection of children, including the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights (Article 24), the International Covenant on Economic, Social, and Cultural Rights (Article 10), and the Convention on the Rights of the Child (Articles 2, 7, 8, 20). These provisions assume that states will afford the family specific protections that are not available to other household arrangements.....” Stephano Gennarini J D – Definitions: A monthly look at UN terms and Ideas July 24th 2023 – Issue 32

### Background References

<https://c-fam.org/definitions/the-family-in-international-law-and-policy>

[https://www.ohchr.org/sites/default/files/UDHR/Documents/UDHR\\_Translations/eng.pdf](https://www.ohchr.org/sites/default/files/UDHR/Documents/UDHR_Translations/eng.pdf)

<https://www.ohchr.org/en/instruments-mechanisms/instruments/international-covenant-civil-and-political-rights>

<https://www.ohchr.org/en/instruments-mechanisms/instruments/international-covenant-economic-social-and-cultural-rights>

<https://www.ohchr.org/en/instruments-mechanisms/instruments/convention-rights-child>

<https://www.un.org/womenwatch/daw/cedaw/cedaw.htm#:~:text=French%2C%20Russian%20%2C%20Spanish-,The%20Convention%20on%20the%20Elimination%20of%20All%20Forms%20of%20Discrimination,bill%20of%20rights%20for%20women.>

<https://difi.org.qa/doha-declaration/>

<https://documents.un.org/doc/undoc/gen/n95/116/51/pdf/n9511651.pdf?token=85frVUKxlzfm8CuUvZ&fe=true>

*80. The family is the basic unit of society and as such should be strengthened. It is entitled to receive comprehensive protection and support. In different cultural, political and social systems, various forms of the family exist. Marriage must be entered into with the free consent of the intending spouses, and husband and wife should be equal partners.*

*81. Helping the family in its supporting, educating and nurturing roles in contributing to social integration should involve:*

*(a) By encouraging social and economic policies that are designed to meet the needs of families and their individual members, especially the most disadvantaged and vulnerable members, with particular attention to the care of children;*

*(b) By ensuring opportunities for family members to understand and meet their social responsibilities;*

*(c) Promoting mutual respect, tolerance and cooperation within the family and within society;*

*(d) Promoting equal partnership between women and men in the family*

<https://sdgs.un.org/2030agenda>  
Sustainable Goals 2030

**Policy Resolution LFD-04b\*:  
Responsibility of the family.**

6 The Law: Basis of Christian Civilization

6.4 SANCTITY OF MARRIAGE AND THE FAMILY

6.4.5 RESPONSIBILITY OF THE FAMILY

WHEREAS the family constitutes the basic unit of society and therefore warrants special attention. Hence, the widest possible protection and assistance should be accorded to families so that they may fully assume their responsibilities within the community, pursuant to the provisions of the Universal Declaration of Human Rights, the International Covenants on Human Rights, the Declaration on Social Progress and Development; and the Convention on the Elimination of All Forms of Discrimination against Women;

BE IT RESOLVED that the current policy 6.4.5 RESPONSIBILITY OF THE FAMILY in the *Party Policy: 'A Blueprint for Restoration'* be amended (changes in bold) to read:

**6.4.5 RESPONSIBILITY OF THE FAMILY**

The sphere of responsibility of the family includes the conception, health, **love**, physical care, spiritual nurture, discipline, education, and supervision of the children, and care and respect for the elderly, **persons with disabilities, and the poor. The family is the community in which children learn to honour God, obey their parents, respect authority. They also experience security, stability, and protection. Family should give witness to the mutual love, respect and forgiveness within the family's relationship, together with their service to God, their children, each other and society. In many ways this family community prepares its members to participate in the wider society and to demonstrate what life in Christ means.**

Moved by: Policy and Strategy Committee.

**Background**

[https://social.desa.un.org/issues/family/news/iyf2024?\\_gl=1%2A1j496e7%2A\\_ga%2AMTE4ODExMTk4Ny4xNzA3NDI0Nzg1%2A\\_ga\\_TK9BQL5X7Z%2AMTcxMDQ0NDA2Mi40LjAuMTcxMDQ0NDA2Mi4wLjAuMA](https://social.desa.un.org/issues/family/news/iyf2024?_gl=1%2A1j496e7%2A_ga%2AMTE4ODExMTk4Ny4xNzA3NDI0Nzg1%2A_ga_TK9BQL5X7Z%2AMTcxMDQ0NDA2Mi40LjAuMTcxMDQ0NDA2Mi4wLjAuMA).

“The family has been a foundational institution in society for millennia. The intimate

social compact created by a mother and father provides the essential environment in which a child is prepared to flourish and contribute to society. This fundamental importance of the family is recognized in the Universal Declaration of Human Rights.] Article 16(3) of the Declaration.”

<https://www.un.org/en/about-us/universal-declaration-of-human-rights>

### **30th year anniversary of the year of the family**

The Civil Society Declaration 30th Anniversary of the International Year of the Family (IYF+30) states in part;

**We reaffirm** the role of the family unit, as one of the main agents for recovery, governance, cohesion, protection, education, care and development within societies. Families are crucial development agents, and as such, an adequate environment is needed to facilitate their role and IYF+30 constitutes an adequate occasion to promote and consolidate it. It is therefore vital to develop an advocacy and communication strategy for IYF+30 and engage the various stakeholders, especially policymakers.

**We reaffirm** that the family, as the fundamental unit of society and the natural environment for the growth and well-being of all its members, particularly children, should be afforded the necessary protection and assistance so that it can fully assume its responsibilities within the community.

**We recognize** and value unpaid care and domestic work through the provision of public services, infrastructure and social protection policies, and the promotion of shared responsibility within the household and the family as nationally appropriate.

**We promote** a better consideration of well-being and mental health issues of caregivers and care recipients through research, good practices, interventions and protection strategies, with a focus on the social consequences of the pandemic on families (rather than economic concerns only).

**We recognize** that the child, for the full and harmonious development of his or her personality, should grow up in a family environment, in an atmosphere of happiness, love and understanding.

<https://social.desa.un.org/issues/family/news/iyf2024>

<https://www.un.org/development/desa/family/international-year-of-the-family.html>

Although the declaration does not implicitly state it, a parents' role in the family is recognized as having the responsibility to assist in "recovery, governance, cohesion, protection, education, care and development" of its members. Parents must create a "natural environment for the growth and well-being of all its members, particularly children..." Parents also have the role of ensuring that their children are raised in an environment which will allow" for the full and harmonious development of his or her personality, should grow up in a family environment, in an atmosphere of happiness"

These are huge responsibilities which have been recognized in Civil Society, that parents and indeed for all family members to undertake.

The following Biblical passages also demand that parents must instruct their children without exasperating them. Train them in God's way, in order to bring harmony into the home environment and also into society. Parents should at all times and everywhere continue instructing their children, in God's endless love and show them by words and actions how each of us must imitate God's endless mercy and love. Parents need to see their children as a blessing of great joy and live up to their responsibility to care for them as God cares for us. Caring for their children's physical, mental, emotional, psychological, and spiritual health.

Parents and families must show examples of integrity, soundness, seriousness in dealing with each other, their extended family and others and show compassion and forgiveness even to those who harm them.

- **Fathers, do not exasperate your children; instead, bring them up in the training and instruction of the Lord"** Ephesians 6:4. It's diligently teaching the scriptures to your children.
- "These commandments that I give you today are to be on your hearts. Impress them on your children" Deuteronomy 6:6-7
- Proverbs 22:6 (**Train up a child in the way he should go, and when he is old, he will not depart from it.**)
- In everything set them an example by doing what is good. In your teaching show integrity, seriousness, and soundness of speech that cannot be condemned, so that those who oppose you may be ashamed because they have nothing bad to say about us. – Titus 2:7-8
- These commandments that I give you today are to be in your hearts. Impress them on your children. Talk about them when you sit at home and when you walk along the road, when you lie down and when you get up. You shall bind them as a sign on your hand, and they shall be as frontlets between your

eyes. You shall write them on the doorposts of your house and on your gates. – Deuteronomy 6:6-9

- And he arose and came to his father. But while he was still a long way off, his father saw him and felt compassion, and ran and embraced him and kissed him. And the son said to him, 'Father, I have sinned against heaven and before you. I am no longer worthy to be called your son. But the father said to his servants, "Bring quickly the best robe, and put it on him, and put a ring on his hand, and shoes on his feet. And bring the fattened calf and kill it, and let us eat and celebrate. For this my son was dead, and is alive again; he was lost, and is found.' And they began to celebrate. – Luke 15:20-24
- But if anyone does not provide for his relatives, and especially for members of his household, he has denied the faith and is worse than an unbeliever. – 1 Timothy 5:8

<https://laycistercians.com/bible-verses-about-parenting-responsibilities/>

"The history of mankind, the history of salvation, passes by way of the family. In these pages I have tried to show how the family is placed at the centre of the great struggle between good and evil, between life and death, between love and all that is opposed to love. To the family is entrusted the task of striving, first and foremost, to unleash the forces of good, the source of which is found in Christ the Redeemer of man. Every family unit needs to make these forces their own so that, to use a phrase spoken on the occasion of the Millennium of Christianity in Poland, the family will be "*strong with the strength of God*".

*...Parents are the first and most important educators of their own children, and they also possess a fundamental competence in this area: they are educators because they are parents. They share their educational mission with other individuals or institutions, such as the Church and the State. But the mission of education must always be carried out in accordance with a proper application of the principle of subsidiarity. This implies the legitimacy and indeed the need of giving assistance to the parents, but finds its intrinsic and absolute limit in their prevailing right and their actual capabilities. The principle of subsidiarity is thus at the service of parental love, meeting the good of the family unit. For parents by themselves are not capable of satisfying every requirement of the whole process of raising children, especially in matters concerning their schooling and the entire gamut of socialization. Subsidiarity thus complements paternal and maternal love and confirms its fundamental nature, inasmuch as all other participants in the process of education are only able to carry out their responsibilities in the name of the*

*parents, with their consent and, to a certain degree, with their authorization".*

[https://www.vatican.va/content/john-paul-ii/en/letters/1994/documents/hf\\_jp-ii\\_let\\_02021994\\_families.html](https://www.vatican.va/content/john-paul-ii/en/letters/1994/documents/hf_jp-ii_let_02021994_families.html)

**Policy Resolution LFD-05\*:  
Fully informed consent would prevent most abortions.**

6 The Law: Basis of Christian Civilization

6.4 SANCTITY OF MARRIAGE AND THE FAMILY

(New) MOST CANADIAN WOMEN WITH A HISTORY OF ABORTION FELT PRESSURED TO ABORT CONTRARY TO THEIR OWN VALUES AND PREFERENCES

WHEREAS a 2004 peer-reviewed study showed that 64% of women who had undergone abortion reported being pressured and attributed traumatic symptoms such as substance abuse, sexual disorders, and suicidal thoughts, directly to their abortions, and

WHEREAS other peer reviewed studies have linked abortion to increased risk of anxiety, depression, substance abuse, suicidal behaviour, sleep disorders and higher rates of death from heart disease, and

WHEREAS a May 2023 peer reviewed study has revealed that almost 70% of abortions are coerced, unwanted or inconsistent with women's preferences. The women attributed more negative emotions and mental health outcomes to their abortions, with sixty percent reporting they would have preferred to give birth if they had received more support from others or had more financial security, and

WHEREAS a January 2024 Canada-wide study of women who have undergone induced abortion has reported similar numbers of women undergoing "unwanted abortions" as the American studies have portrayed;

BE IT RESOLVED that the following be added under 6.4 SANCTITY OF MARRIAGE AND THE FAMILY in the *Party Policy: 'A Blueprint for Restoration'*:

(New) **MOST CANADIAN WOMEN WITH A HISTORY OF ABORTION FELT PRESSURED TO ABORT CONTRARY TO THEIR OWN VALUES AND PREFERENCES**

The CHP believes women experiencing unexpected pregnancies must be informed of and have easy access to, all pregnancy support assistance available to them locally and across Canada.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that following be added under 6.4 SANCTITY OF MARRIAGE AND THE FAMILY in the *Party Policy: 'A Blueprint for Restoration'*:

The CHP will commit to encouraging further research to understand more

fully Canadian women's experiences with induced abortion. A special emphasis will be on the majority of Canadian women for whom abortion was unwanted, coerced or inconsistent with their values.

Moved by: Policy and Strategy Committee.

### **Background**

Since 2004 several studies, including a 2024 Canadian Study have discovered that a majority of American and Canadian women are pressured into undergoing induced abortion, against their personal values, coerced or forced by others, or while facing difficult circumstances and in ignorance of the help that is available to them locally, in their province or across the country.

It behooves government to ensure that women experiencing unexpected pregnancy are supported in what they desire and need which, for the overwhelming majority, is not induced abortion. 1 in 5 pregnant women in Canada resort to induced abortion and we now know that an overwhelming majority of these, given assistance, and free from pressure or coercion would not undergo induced abortion. In the 2024 Canadian Study, women reported moderate to high levels of pressure from their male partner (48%), family members (37%), other persons (33%), financial pressures (69%) and other circumstances (77%). Ninety one percent reported high levels of pressure to abort on at least one scale. Pressure to abort was positively correlated with all negative outcomes investigated, including self-attributed decline in mental health.

Negative reactions manifest in numerous ways, but all are associated with feeling pressured to abort. The worst negative reactions occurred immediately after their abortions (35%) while (40%) reported delayed negative reactions, including (15%) who stated that the worst effects “continue to persist today” an average of twenty years later. The pressures Canadian women face are similar to those reported by American women with the exception that they are somewhat more likely to feel pressured by their male partners.

Abstract: “The Prevalence and Effects of Unwanted Abortions in Canada: A Retrospective Cross-sectional Study” David C Reardon 1) Elliot Institute, Gulf Breeze, FL USA 2) Charlotte Lozier Institute, Arlington USA

## References

1. Major B, Appelbaum M, Beckman L, Dutton MA, Russo NF, West C. Report of the APA Task Force on Mental Health and Abortion. American Psychological Association; 2008.
2. Rue VM, Coleman PK, Rue JJ, Reardon DC. Induced abortion and traumatic stress: A preliminary comparison of American and Russian women. *Medical Science Monitor*. 2004;10(10):SR5-SR16.
3. Reardon DC. The abortion and mental health controversy: A comprehensive literature review of common ground agreements, disagreements, actionable recommendations, and research opportunities. *SAGE Open Med*. 2018;6:205031211880762. doi:10.1177/2050312118807624
4. Broen AN, Moum T, Bødtker AS, Ekeberg Ö. Reasons for induced abortion and their relation to women's emotional distress: a prospective, two-year follow-up study. *Gen Hosp Psychiatry*. 2005;27(1):36-43. doi:10.1016/J.GENHOSPPSYCH.2004.09.009
5. Reardon DC, Longbons T. Effects of Pressure to Abort on Women's Emotional Responses and Mental Health. *Cureus*. 2023;15(1):e34456. doi:10.7759/cureus.34456
6. Reardon DC, Rafferty KA, Longbons T, Reardon DC, Rafferty KA, Longbons T. The Effects of Abortion Decision Rightness and Decision Type on Women's Satisfaction and Mental Health. *Cureus*. 2023;15(5). doi:10.7759/CUREUS.38882
7. Burke T, Reardon DC. *Forbidden Grief: The Unspoken Pain of Abortion*. Acorn Books; 2007.
8. Reardon DC. The abortion and mental health controversy: A comprehensive literature review of common ground agreements, disagreements, actionable recommendations, and research opportunities. *SAGE Open Med*. 2018;6:205031211880762. doi:10.1177/2050312118807624 Reardon DC.
9. The Embrace of the Pro-Abortion Turnaway Study. *Wishful Thinking? or Willful Deceptions? Linacre Q*. 2018;85(3):204-212. doi:10.1177/0024363918782156
10. Tierney KI. Abortion Underreporting in Add Health: Findings and Implications. *Popul Res Policy Rev*. 2019;38(3):417-428. doi:10.1007/S11113-019-09511-8
11. Jones RK, Jerman J. Population Group Abortion Rates and Lifetime Incidence of Abortion: United States, 2008–2014. *Am J Public Health*. 2017;107(12):1904-1909. doi:10.2105/AJPH.2017.304042

**Policy Resolution LFD-09:  
Medically assisted suicide.**

9 Welfare Services

9.1 HEALTH SERVICES

9.1.10 MEDICALLY ASSISTED SUICIDE

WHEREAS the current policy statement states: As a life-affirming party, the CHP would fight to repeal legislation allowing assisted suicide and introduce legislation prohibiting medical assistance in dying. Rather than promoting suicide as a solution to pain and disability, the Christian Heritage Party would focus on options such as palliative care;

BE IT RESOLVED that section 9.1.10 MEDICALLY ASSISTED SUICIDE in the *Party Policy: 'A Blueprint for Restoration'* be replaced with the following:

**9.1.10 MEDICALLY ASSISTED SUICIDE**

As a party founded on Christian principles, we believe assisted suicide and euthanasia are offensive practices which devalue every human life. The intention of assisted suicide and euthanasia is killing, not caring for individuals at a vulnerable time of their lives. Our Canadian experience with assisted suicide and euthanasia since 2016, proves that stringent eligibility criteria are difficult to maintain. We believe that pain can be adequately managed with modern medical technology; however that technology is unavailable to the majority of Canadians. A universally accessible hospice and palliative care system would assist Canadians to live in dignity until their death. We would fight to repeal legislation allowing assisted suicide and euthanasia and introduce legislation prohibiting medical assistance in dying while working toward provision of universal access to palliative care for Canadians.

Moved by: Policy and Strategy Committee.

**Background**

Canada permitted euthanasia and assisted suicide (MAiD – medical assistance in dying) with the passage of Bill C-14, Medical Assistance in Dying, at the time that the bill received Royal Assent on June 17th, 2016, provided certain criteria were met. Through the definition of “grievous and irremediable medical condition” in s.241.2(2) it restricted medical assistance in dying to those whose “natural death has become reasonably foreseeable”. Bill C-14 included a provision that the MAiD

law undergo a five-year review beginning in June 2020, sadly the government appeared in a hurry to expand MAiD in Canada and introduced Bill C-7.

Bill C-7 An Act to Amend the Criminal Code – Medical Assistance in Dying, was introduced on February 24, 2020 in response to the September 11, 2019 Superior Court of Quebec Truchon decision. The Truchon decision found that the "reasonable foreseeability of natural death" criterion in the Criminal Code, as well as the "end-of-life" criterion from Quebec's Act respecting end-of-life care, was unconstitutional. The Federal Government did not appeal the Quebec decision, but introduced Bill C-7 which did the following;

- continued to use the phrase: "natural death is reasonably foreseeable", the meaning of which, it was pointed out by medical professionals for and against it - was not properly understood since it had no definition in the document
- implemented a two-track approach to procedural safeguards based on whether or not a person's "natural death is reasonably foreseeable."
- removed the 10-day reflection period for those whose death was "reasonably foreseeable"
- reduced the requirement from two independent witnesses to one witness
- permitted a person, previously approved for MAiD, to die by MAiD, at the hands of a physician or nurse practitioner, even if that person had lost their ability to consent
- those whose natural death is not reasonably foreseeable are required to have a 90 day waiting period
- removed the requirement in the law that a person's natural death is reasonably foreseeable in order to qualify for MAiD
- expanded the law to permit anyone, who considers their physical or psychological suffering to be intolerable, to qualify for death by lethal injection, even if effective medical treatments for their condition exists
- did not prohibit MAiD for mental illness alone, since it did not define psychological suffering and mental illness. Mental illness is considered a form of psychological suffering which MAiD permitted for in the law

Bill C-7 required the establishment of an Expert Panel committee to review the Criminal Code's MAiD provisions – this report was released in May 2022 with a recommendation that MAiD not be made available for persons" in the case where mental disorder is the sole underlying medical condition." A sunset clause was announced until 2024 for those whose condition is solely mental health. On February 1st 2024, Canada's Health Minister announced a further 3 year sunset

clause for MAID solely for mental health.

### 3.1 Number of Reported MAID Deaths in Canada (2016 to 2022)

\*In 2022, there were 13,241 MAID provisions in Canada, bringing the total number of medically assisted deaths in Canada since 2016 to 44,958. In 2022, the total number of MAID provisions increased by 31.2% (2022 over 2021) compared to 32.6% (2021 over 2020). Oct 26, 2023

### 3.2 MAID Deaths as a Proportion of Total Deaths in Canada

MAID deaths accounted for 4.1% of all deaths in Canada in 2022, an increase from 3.3% in 2021, 2.5% in 2020 and 2.0% in 2019. In 2022, six jurisdictions continue to experience increases in the number of MAID provisions as a percentage of total deaths, ranging from a low of 1.5% (Newfoundland & Labrador) to a high of 6.6% (Québec). MAID deaths as a percentage of total deaths remained at the same levels as 2021 for Prince Edward Island, Nova Scotia, and Saskatchewan, while Manitoba experienced a decline in MAID deaths as a percentage of all deaths (from 2.1% in 2021 to 1.8% in 2022). As with each of the three previous years (2019 to 2021), Québec and British Columbia experienced the highest percentage of MAID deaths as a proportion of all deaths within their jurisdiction in 2022 (6.6% and 5.5% respectively), continuing to reflect the socio-political dynamics of these two jurisdictions in the context of MAID. (AMAD Report January 2024)

<https://www.ourcommons.ca/Content/Committee/432/JUST/Brief/BR10971560/external/EuthanasiaPreventionCoalition-e.pdf>

<https://www.parl.ca/Content/Committee/441/AMAD/Reports/RP12815505/amadrp03/amadrp03-e.pdf>

<https://www.justice.gc.ca/eng/csj-sjc/pl/charter-charte/c7.html>

<https://globalnews.ca/news/10265616/maid-expansion-delayed-2027/>

<https://www.canada.ca/en/health-canada/services/publications/health-system-services/annual-report-medical-assistance-dying-2022.html>

**Policy Resolution LFD-10:  
Health professional conscience rights.**

9 Welfare Services

9.1 HEALTH SERVICES

9.1.11 HEALTH PROFESSIONAL CONSCIENCE RIGHTS

WHEREAS the present policy statement states: The CHP supports the rights of healthcare providers to refuse to provide, aid in, or refer for medical services that violate their conscience or personal convictions. The Christian Heritage Party would prohibit any professional regulatory body from requiring a member of the profession to provide, aid in, or refer for medical service that violates the individual practitioner's conscience, or from screening candidates based on their willingness to engage in such practices;

BE IT RESOLVED that the section 9.1.11 HEALTH PROFESSIONAL CONSCIENCE RIGHTS in the *Party Policy: 'A Blueprint for Restoration'* be amended (changes in bold) to read:

**9.1.11 HEALTH PROFESSIONAL CONSCIENCE RIGHTS**

The CHP supports the rights of healthcare **workers** to refuse to provide, aid in, or refer for medical services that violate their conscience or personal convictions, **or involves any action which takes a human life, such as, but not limited to, euthanasia, assisted suicide and abortion.** The Christian Heritage Party would **protect health care workers from discrimination by individuals, patients or regulatory bodies during their training and practice. Any pressure to violate their conscience at any point during their professional life will be prohibited by the CHP.**

Moved by: Policy and Strategy Committee.

**Background**

Section 2(a) of the Charter of Rights and Freedoms recognizes freedom of conscience and religion as a fundamental freedom, yet many health professionals find themselves forced by their professional colleges to provide referrals for "treatments" that offend their religious values and/or their conscience.

"The purpose of section 2(a) is to prevent interference with profoundly held personal beliefs that govern one's perception of oneself, humankind, nature, and, in some cases, a higher or different order of being (R. v. Edwards Books and Art

Ltd., [1986] 2 S.C.R. 713 at page 759; R. v. Big M Drug Mart Ltd., [1985] 1 S.C.R. 295 at page 346; Syndicat Northcrest v. Amselem, [2004] 2 S.C.R. 551 at paragraph 41; Alberta v. Hutterian Brethren of Wilson Colony, [2009] 2 S.C.R. 567 at paragraph 32).”

“While the Supreme Court has not given much consideration to freedom of conscience, it has noted that this aspect of section 2(a) includes the right not to have a religious basis for one's conduct (Edward Books, supra at paragraph 99). In the concurring judgment of Wilson J. in R. v. Morgentaler, [1988] 1 S.C.R. 30 at page 37, freedom of conscience was distinguished from freedom of religion as follows:

““Freedom of conscience and religion” should be broadly construed to extend to conscientiously-held beliefs, whether grounded in religion or in a secular morality and the terms “conscience” and “religion” should not be treated as tautologous if capable of independent, although related, meaning...”

The Federal Court of Appeal has held that freedom of conscience is aimed more broadly at protecting views based on strongly held moral ideas of right and wrong, not necessarily founded on any organized religious principles, and distinguishable from political or other beliefs which are protected by section 2(b) freedom of expression (Roach v. Canada (Min. of State for Multiculturalism & Citizenship), [1994] 2 F.C. 406 (C.A.)).”

<https://www.justice.gc.ca/eng/csj-sjc/rfc-dlc/ccrf-ccd1/check/art2a.html>

“Freedom of conscience appears in the Canadian Charter of Rights and Freedoms, the Universal Declaration of Human Rights, and many other bills of rights. Yet, despite its universality, this human right is, by and large, universally neglected by courts, legislatures, and policy-makers... History teaches that conscience can instigate fundamental social change, for the better. Conscience not only safeguards core convictions—it also promotes moral growth, for individuals and societies alike. Conscience, though inherently individual, is vital to the common good. To realize societies that are just and equitable, it is safe to say that freedom of conscience is indispensable.

<https://www.cardus.ca/research/faith-communities/perspectives-paper/our-inner-guide-protecting-freedom-of-conscience/>

<https://www.cardus.ca/research/faith-communities/policy-brief/policy-brief-protecting-conscience-rights-for-ontario-healthcare-workers/>

“The ground of creed, like religion, has some distinct characteristics. First, creed typically has a strong associational dimension; that is, it may require or be expressed through group-based activities and institutions. Second, a creed may take many and varied forms, owing to its subjective basis and rooting in “sincerely held belief.” Many people think that because a person’s religious or creed beliefs can change, they should give way to other so-called more important needs or rights. Although people may think it is very easy for people to change their creed beliefs, the Supreme Court has said that religion is “changeable only at unacceptable cost to personal identity” and is no less deserving of protection than any other right.”

<https://www.ohrc.on.ca/en/book/export/html/16381>

#### 4.1 What is creed?

Under the Code, creed includes, but is not necessarily limited to, "religious creed" or "religion." Given the evolving nature of belief systems over time and the need to apply a liberal and purposive interpretation to Code protections for creed, this policy does not provide a universal, "once and for all" definition of creed.[68]

However, the following characteristics are relevant when considering if a belief system is a creed under the Code. A creed:

- Is sincerely, freely and deeply held.
- Is integrally linked to a person’s self-definition and spiritual fulfilment.
- Is a particular, comprehensive and overarching system of belief that governs one’s conduct and practices.
- Addresses ultimate questions of human existence, including ideas about life, purpose, death, and the existence or non-existence of a creator and/or a higher or different order of existence.
- Has some “nexus” or connection to an organization or community that professes a shared system of belief.

<https://www.ohrc.on.ca/en/policy-preventing-discrimination-based-creed/4-creed>

If uncertainty still exists after considering the above criteria, the overall purpose of the Code and statutory human rights law more generally should be considered.

### Health Services that Conflict with Physicians’ Conscience or Religious Beliefs

#### **Can I practise in accordance with my conscience or religious beliefs?**

Yes. The Canadian Charter of Rights and Freedoms protects the freedom of conscience and religion.<sup>11</sup> This freedom must also be balanced against patients’ right to access health services. Reasonable limits may be justifiable to achieve other

objectives, such as protecting public safety, health, or the fundamental rights and freedoms of others. The balancing of rights must be done in context. Courts will consider the degree to which an act in question interferes with a sincerely held religious belief and determine whether it interferes in a manner that is more than trivial or insubstantial.

### **What does an effective referral involve?**

An effective referral involves taking the following steps:

1. **The physician must take positive action to ensure the patient is connected with another physician, health-care professional, or agency.** The physician can take these steps themselves or assign the task to someone else (i.e., their designate), so long as this other person complies with CPSO's expectations.
2. **The effective referral must be made to a non-objecting physician, health-care professional, or agency that is available and accessible to the patient.** The physician, health-care professional, or agency to which the effective referral is made cannot have conscientious or religious beliefs that would impact patient access to the service, treatment, or procedure, must be operating and/or accepting patients, and must be in a location that is reasonably physically accessible to the patient or accessible via virtual care, where appropriate.
3. **The effective referral must be made in a timely manner so that the patient will not experience an adverse clinical outcome due to a delay in making the effective referral.** A patient would experience an adverse outcome due to a delay if, for example, the patient is no longer able to access the service, treatment, or procedure (e.g., for time-sensitive matters such as emergency contraception or abortion); their clinical condition deteriorates; or their untreated pain or suffering is prolonged.

<https://www.cpso.on.ca/en/Physicians/Policies-Guidance/Policies/Human-Rights-in-the-Provision-of-Health-Services/Advice-to-the-Profession-Human-Rights>

## 9. Welfare Services.

### **Policy Resolution HED-11\*: Vaccination safety and ethics.**

#### 9. Welfare services

##### 9.1 HEALTH SERVICES

##### (New) VACCINE SAFETY AND ETHICS

WHEREAS 66% of Canadian parents remain concerned about the potential side effects of vaccinations, and

WHEREAS since vaccinations are not without risk, it is in the national interest to hold vaccines to high standards of quality and safety, which can only occur if the industry has oversight, accountability, and independent monitoring, and

WHEREAS elimination of funding for the HPV (Gardasil) vaccine is part of the CHP platform due to concerns about safety, efficacy, and possible effects on fertility, as well as the ability to prevent the spread of HPV through lifestyle modification, and

WHEREAS a segment of Canadian parents is refusing certain vaccinations on moral or religious grounds, particularly regarding the origin of these vaccines from fetal cell lines obtained through abortion, and

WHEREAS ethically-derived alternatives to many of our current vaccines, which have not used fetal cell lines in their production, already exist, and

WHEREAS the use of aborted fetal cell lines has become so ubiquitous within the biological and medical sciences that it may not be possible to determine which vaccines have been produced with some use of aborted fetal cell lines;

BE IT RESOLVED that the following be added to section 9.1 HEALTH SERVICES in the *Party Policy: 'A Blueprint for Restoration'*:

#### (New) **VACCINATION SAFETY AND ETHICS**

Canadians expect the vaccines offered by their healthcare providers to be safe, effective, and ethically derived. A CHP government would investigate the safety and efficacy of currently offered vaccines, and discontinue public funding for any vaccine for which either safety or efficacy cannot be

established. Information regarding any vaccines that have been developed using aborted fetal cell lines would be made publicly available by the CHP, and all reasonable attempts would be made to replace these vaccines with ethically-derived alternatives.

Moved by: Policy and Strategy Committee.

**Background**

*Health & Education Policy Committee Policy Review -3rd Draft, pages 19-20, Health and Education Department, April 2020.*

**Policy Resolution HED-12:  
Environmental health.**

9. Welfare Services

9.1 HEALTH SERVICES

(New) ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH

WHEREAS a healthy populace is in the national interest, as a means of lessening the burden on the public healthcare system while improving national productivity, and

WHEREAS environmental pollutants, including particulate matter, chemical toxins, heavy metals, and agricultural pesticides have been proven to cause a wide variety of human illnesses, including respiratory diseases, cancers, neurological diseases, and infertility, and

WHEREAS levels of environmental pollutants are largely outside of the control of any one individual, and

WHEREAS without adequate regulation and enforcement, certain individuals and/or corporations will always take advantage by releasing pollutants in levels that negatively impact their fellow Canadians, and

WHEREAS Party Policy 5.0.1 GOOD STEWARSHIP states that “Man is a steward of God’s earth and as such is responsible to God for both the preservation and the productive use of all the world’s resources. Pollution and mismanagement of resources are an abuse of man’s cultural mandate to develop the earth and care for it. Government should be committed to sufficient spending in the area of resource management, since more personal and national prosperity can be expected through careful conservation of our environment than from simply exploiting it.”, and

WHEREAS Party Policy 5.0.4 POLLUTION states that “Control of environmental pollution is an essential of good stewardship. Government has a duty to enact and enforce adequate legislation to safeguard against abuse of the nation’s air, land, and water resources, including the establishment of humanly harmful levels for pollutants.”;

BE IT RESOLVED that the following be added to section 9.1 HEALTH SERVICES in the *Party Policy: ‘A Blueprint for Restoration’*:

(New) **ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH**

Although health is primarily a personal responsibility, it can be impacted by environmental factors outside of individual control. Since a healthy populace is in the national interest, both morally and financially, we believe the government has a responsibility to regulate harmful activities and substances which contaminate the nation's air, land, water, or other aspects of the shared natural environment, in order to maintain standards which are conducive to human health.

Moved by: Policy and Strategy Committee.

**Background**

*Party Policy: 'A Blueprint for Restoration' – 09/13/2021.* See section 5 (Resource Management) for definitions of 'harmful', particularly policies 5.0.3 and 5.0.4.

**Policy Resolution HED-15:  
Health information privacy.**

9. Welfare Services

9.1 HEALTH SERVICES

(New) HEALTH INFORMATION PRIVACY

WHEREAS CHP Party Policy 11.1 PERSONAL PRIVACY states that: “(a) A person’s personal information, data, and communication in any form and by any means, generated by the person or in the course of events and imposed on the person by any other individual, entity, system or device so authorized, shall remain the person’s personal property exclusively: to access, to delete, to hold private, or to share, sell, trade, in whole or in part, with or without further limitations, conditions, to another individual or organization. (b): A person has a right to know and be informed, to whom or what, to where, his or her personal information was distributed or transferred either as individualized or bulk data.”, and

WHEREAS CHP Party Policy 9.1.12 VACCINATION states that “Vaccination must only be performed after free and informed consent is given by the individual or parent involved, free from coercion.”, and

WHEREAS the privacy of Canadians has been recently infringed on by governments and governmental agencies who have mandated the collection of personal health information, including vaccination status, by private individuals, organizations, and businesses, and

WHEREAS this information has been used to discriminate against individuals on the basis of this information, in the form of denying access to public areas and services, including schools, hospitals, stores, and restaurants, and

WHEREAS many Canadians have recently been denied or terminated from employment based on their personal health care decisions, namely vaccination status, and

WHEREAS the use of private medical information, in particular vaccination status, to deny access to services or employment violates a number of international codes to which Canada is signatory, including the Nuremburg Code, the Helsinki Declaration, and the 2005 Declaration on Bioethics and Human Rights, of which Article 6 (Consent) states: “Any preventive, diagnostic, and therapeutic medical intervention

is only to be carried out with prior, free and informed consent of the person concerned, based on adequate information. The consent should, where appropriate, be express and may be withdrawn by the person concerned at any time and for any reason without disadvantage or prejudice.”, and

WHEREAS current plans for the implementation of ‘vaccine passports’ threaten to perpetuate and increase these concerns;

BE IT RESOLVED that the following be added to section 9.1 HEALTH SERVICES in the *Party Policy: ‘A Blueprint for Restoration’*:

(New) **HEALTH INFORMATION PRIVACY**

The CHP recognizes Canadians’ right to privacy concerning their medical information. Canadian citizens should not be required to disclose medical information of any kind, including vaccination status, to anyone besides their personal health care providers. Disclosure of personal medical information should not be required in order to access public areas or services, to conduct business, to engage in society, or as a condition of employment.

Moved by: Policy and Strategy Committee.

**Background**

*Party Policy: ‘A Blueprint for Restoration’ – 09/13/2021.*

**Policy Resolution HED-26:  
Health information confidentiality.**

9. Welfare Services

9.1 HEALTH SERVICES

(New) HEALTH INFORMATION CONFIDENTIALITY

WHEREAS CHP Party Policy 11.1 PERSONAL PRIVACY states that: “(a) A person’s personal information, data, and communication in any form and by any means, generated by the person or in the course of events and imposed on the person by any other individual, entity, system or device so authorized, shall remain the person’s personal property exclusively: to access, to delete, to hold private, or to share, sell, trade, in whole or in part, with or without further limitations, conditions, to another individual or organization. (b): A person has a right to know and be informed, to whom or what, to where, his or her personal information was distributed or transferred either as individualized or bulk data.”, and

WHEREAS the sharing of health information with governmental and private organizations is currently permitted in some provinces with implicit, rather than explicit consent, meaning that patients must make a formal request in order for their information to be kept private, and

WHEREAS the sharing of health information is sometimes necessary and in the interest of the individual, for example: in order for the government to provide funding for the provision of health care; to contact a potential decision-maker of an individual who is incapacitated; to identify a deceased individual; to prevent serious bodily harm to the individual, or by the individual to another person; to a custodian or decision-maker, when necessary to provide appropriate health care; or for the purposes of legal proceedings;

BE IT RESOLVED that the following be added to section 9.1 HEALTH SERVICES in the *Party Policy: ‘A Blueprint for Restoration’*:

(New) **HEALTH INFORMATION CONFIDENTIALITY**

We affirm the rights of Canadians to control the sharing of their personal health information. Health care providers, as well as private individuals, businesses, and organizations handling medical information, have a responsibility to keep this information confidential, and should not be permitted to share this data with any third parties without the individuals’ express consent, except where required by law and in the interest of the

individual.

Moved by: Policy and Strategy Committee.

## **Background**

*Party Policy: 'A Blueprint for Restoration' – 09/13/2021.*

Personal Health Information Privacy Act (Ontario): Available at <https://www.ontario.ca/laws/statute/04p03#BK80>

**Policy Resolution HED-16:  
Provincial jurisdiction.**

9. Welfare Services

(New) EDUCATION

(New) PROVINCIAL JURISDICTION

WHEREAS Canada's Constitution Act, 1867, states that "In and for each Province the Legislature may exclusively make laws in relation to Education", and

WHEREAS subsequently, there is no federal department of education, and no integrated national system of education;

BE IT RESOLVED that the following be added to a new section EDUCATION in the *Party Policy: 'A Blueprint for Restoration'*:

(New) **PROVINCIAL JURISDICTION.**

The CHP recognizes that education is a provincial jurisdiction. The provincial legislatures have the exclusive right to make laws in relation to education, provided that those laws do not compromise the innocence of children, undermine biblical principles, infringe on the rights of Canadian parents or students, or contradict existing federal law, including Canada's Constitution Act and the Charter of Rights and Freedoms.

Moved by: Policy and Strategy Committee.

**Background**

The Constitution Acts, 1867 to 1982. Available at <https://laws-lois.justice.gc.ca/eng/const/page-3.html#h-21>.

Council of Ministers of Education: Available at [https://www.cmec.ca/680/Elementary-Secondary\\_Education.html](https://www.cmec.ca/680/Elementary-Secondary_Education.html).

Canadian Charter of Rights and Freedoms: Available at <https://www.justice.gc.ca/eng/csj-sjc/rfc-dlc/ccrf-ccd/>.

**Policy Resolution HED-17:  
Education funding.**

9. Welfare Services

(New) EDUCATION

(New) EDUCATION FUNDING

WHEREAS Canada's Constitution Act, 1867, states that "In and for each Province the Legislature may exclusively make laws in relation to Education", and

WHEREAS subsequently, there is no federal department of education, and no integrated national system of education, and

WHEREAS the provinces are therefore responsible for public education within their own jurisdictions;

BE IT RESOLVED that the following be added to a new section EDUCATION in the *Party Policy: 'A Blueprint for Restoration'*:

(New) **EDUCATION FUNDING**

We affirm that funding for public primary and secondary education should be provided by the provincial and municipal governments, with monies raised through provincial and municipal taxes.

Moved by: Policy and Strategy Committee.

**Background**

The Constitution Acts, 1867 to 1982. Available at <https://laws-lois.justice.gc.ca/eng/const/page-3.html#h-21>.

Council of Ministers of Education: Available at [https://www.cmec.ca/680/Elementary-Secondary\\_Education.html](https://www.cmec.ca/680/Elementary-Secondary_Education.html).

**Policy Resolution HED-18:  
Funding allocation.**

9. Welfare Services

(New) EDUCATION

(New) FUNDING ALLOCATION

WHEREAS proposed policy statement HED-16 Provincial jurisdiction states that “The CHP recognizes that education is a provincial jurisdiction. The provincial legislatures have the exclusive right to make laws in relation to education, provided that those laws do not contradict existing federal law, including Canada’s Constitution Act, or infringe on the rights of Canadian citizens.”, and

WHEREAS proposed policy statement HED-17 Education funding states that “We affirm that funding for public education should be provided mainly by the provincial and municipal governments, with monies raised through provincial and municipal taxes.”, and

WHEREAS CHP Party Policy 6.4.8 CHILDCARE states “We affirm that it is the responsibility of the parents – and not of the state – to raise and educate their children. The benefits of a responsible home environment are the most desirable form of child rearing.”, and “The rearing of children should take place in the home and it is the responsibility of government to encourage this and develop policies to support and encourage this as much as possible. However, where this is not possible, we wish to see a viable family-oriented alternative provided.”, and

WHEREAS education voucher systems, in which funding is tied to the student, has been shown to improve overall education quality and reduce costs;

BE IT RESOLVED that the following be added to a new section EDUCATION in the *Party Policy: ‘A Blueprint for Restoration’*:

(New) **FUNDING ALLOCATION**

The CHP believes that the provinces have the right to determine how funding for primary and secondary education is allocated within their jurisdictions.

We support, but would not mandate, a model in which funding follows each student, whether they choose public, private, or homeschooling education.

Moved by: Policy and Strategy Committee.

## Background

The Constitution Acts, 1867 to 1982. Available at <https://laws-lois.justice.gc.ca/eng/const/page-3.html#h-21>.

Council of Ministers of Education: Available at [https://www.cmec.ca/680/Elementary-Secondary\\_Education.html](https://www.cmec.ca/680/Elementary-Secondary_Education.html).

Christian Heritage Party of Canada: *Party Policy: 'A Blueprint for Restoration'*. Executive Director CHP Canada 09/13/2021.

The Economic Case for School Choice. Dan Mitchell. September 29, 2023. Center for Freedom and Prosperity. Available at <https://freedomandprosperity.org/2023/blog/the-economic-case-for-school-choice/#:~:text=Out%20of%2052%20analyses%20on,student%20performance%20and%20lower%20costs>.

**Policy Resolution HED-19:  
Post-secondary funding.**

9. Welfare Services

(New) EDUCATION

(New) POST-SECONDARY FUNDING

WHEREAS CHP Party Policy 4.3.3 SPENDING VS. INCOME states that "...governments should not place future generations in bondage by deficit financing and public outlays designed to provide temporary and short-lived benefits...", and

WHEREAS federal funding for post-secondary education makes up a large part of the Canada Social Transfer, which will cost \$16.4 billion in 2023/24, and

WHEREAS CHP Party Policy 4.5.1 ORDER OF RESPONSIBILITY states that "...the needs of the community are better served when responsibility is placed...close to the "grassroots" of society, rather than at the level of the state...", and

WHEREAS education in Canada is fundamentally a provincial jurisdiction, and

WHEREAS CHP Party Policy 4.5.4 BUDGETING states that "All departmental budgeting should encourage careful analysis of why the funding is required and the outcomes and benefits it will produce for Canadians.", and

WHEREAS Canadian universities are providing educations which increasingly leave students unprepared to enter the workforce, and

WHEREAS Canada is currently facing a historic labour shortage due to a lack of skilled workers, despite ranking first among the G7 countries for the share of working-aged people with a post-secondary degree;

BE IT RESOLVED that the following be added to a new section EDUCATION in the *Party Policy: 'A Blueprint for Restoration'*:

(New) **POST-SECONDARY FUNDING**

A CHP government would discontinue the current practice of transferring money to the provinces for post-secondary education.

Moved by: Policy and Strategy Committee.

## Background

The Constitution Acts, 1867 to 1982. Available at <https://laws-lois.justice.gc.ca/eng/const/page-3.html#h-21>.

Council of Ministers of Education: Available at [https://www.cmec.ca/680/Elementary-Secondary\\_Education.html](https://www.cmec.ca/680/Elementary-Secondary_Education.html).

Maclean's: Why a college degree matters right now. Sarah Fulford, Oct 3 2023. Available at <https://macleans.ca/education/editors-note-college-guidebook/>

Government of Canada: Major federal transfers. Available at <https://www.canada.ca/en/department-finance/programs/federal-transfers/major-federal-transfers.html>

Statistics Canada: Canada leads the G7 for the most educated workforce, thanks to immigrants, young adults and a strong college sector, but is experiencing significant losses in apprenticeship certificate holders in key trades. Available at <https://www150.statcan.gc.ca/n1/daily-quotidien/221130/dq221130a-eng.htm>

**Policy Resolution HED-20:  
Parental right to choose.**

9. Welfare Services

(New) EDUCATION

(New) PARENTAL RIGHT TO CHOOSE

WHEREAS CHP Party Policy 6.4.5 RESPONSIBILITY OF THE FAMILY states that “The sphere of responsibility of the Family includes the...education and supervision of the children...”, and

WHEREAS CHP Party Policy 6.4.8 CHILDCARE states “We affirm that it is the responsibility of the parents – and not of the state – to raise and educate their children. The benefits of a responsible home environment are the most desirable form of child rearing.”, and “The rearing of children should take place in the home and it is the responsibility of government to encourage this and develop policies to support and encourage this as much as possible. However, where this is not possible, we wish to see a viable family-oriented alternative provided.”, and

WHEREAS CHP Party Policy 6.4.9 PRIMACY OF PARENTAL AUTHORITY states that “The most serious obligation of parents as the primary and principal authority for the education and development of their children must indeed be recognized by government. We affirm that parents have the primary responsibility of educating their children at home or delegating this task to the schools of their choice. Regardless of the choice of school, the right of parents to be informed about, control and authorize the content of their children’s education must also be protected.”, and

WHEREAS The Constitution Act (1867) provides for the disallowance of an act of a provincial legislature by the governor general on the advice of the federal cabinet, and this disallowance is appropriate where a provincial law would infringe on the rights of Canadian citizens under federal law;

BE IT RESOLVED that the following be added to a new section EDUCATION in the *Party Policy: ‘A Blueprint for Restoration’*:

(New) **PARENTAL RIGHT TO CHOOSE**

While we recognize that the provinces have the right to make laws in relation to education, this right does not supersede the rights of parents to educate their own children, or to designate that role to the schools of their choice. A

CHP government would not support provincial laws requiring children to attend any school against the wishes of their parents, or which place undue restrictions, requirements, or financial burdens on homeschooling parents, homeschooling associations, or private schools.

Moved by: Policy and Strategy Committee.

## **Background**

The Constitution Acts, 1867 to 1982. Available at <https://laws-lois.justice.gc.ca/eng/const/page-3.html#h-21>.

Council of Ministers of Education: Available at [https://www.cmec.ca/680/Elementary-Secondary\\_Education.html](https://www.cmec.ca/680/Elementary-Secondary_Education.html).

Christian Heritage Party of Canada: *Party Policy: 'A Blueprint for Restoration'*.  
Executive Director CHP Canada 09/13/2021.

**Policy Resolution HED-21:  
Parental right to know.**

9. Welfare Services

(New) EDUCATION

(New) PARENTAL RIGHT TO KNOW

WHEREAS CHP Party Policy 6.4.5 RESPONSIBILITY OF THE FAMILY states that “The sphere of responsibility of the Family includes the...education and supervision of the children...”, and

WHEREAS CHP Party Policy 6.4.8 CHILDCARE states “We affirm that it is the responsibility of the parents – and not of the state – to raise and educate their children. The benefits of a responsible home environment are the most desirable form of child rearing.”, and “The rearing of children should take place in the home and it is the responsibility of government to encourage this and develop policies to support and encourage this as much as possible. However, where this is not possible, we wish to see a viable family-oriented alternative provided.”, and

WHEREAS CHP Party Policy 6.4.9 PRIMACY OF PARENTAL AUTHORITY states that “The most serious obligation of parents as the primary and principal authority for the education and development of their children must indeed be recognized by government. We affirm that parents have the primary responsibility of educating their children at home or delegating this task to the schools of their choice. Regardless of the choice of school, the right of parents to be informed about, control and authorize the content of their children’s education must also be protected.”;

BE IT RESOLVED that the following be added to a new section EDUCATION in the *Party Policy: ‘A Blueprint for Restoration’*:

(New) **PARENTAL RIGHT TO KNOW**

The CHP affirms that parents have the right to be provided, upon request, information pertaining to their child’s education, including, but not limited to: the student’s attendance, behaviour, and academic achievement; the student’s school file and/or records; the courses of study available to the student; the code of conduct and administrative policies of the school; any disciplinary action or investigation taken by the school in relation to the student’s conduct; and any assessment of the student’s ability to learn.

Moved by: Policy and Strategy Committee.

## **Background**

The Constitution Acts, 1867 to 1982. Available at <https://laws-lois.justice.gc.ca/eng/const/page-3.html#h-21>.

Council of Ministers of Education: Available at [https://www.cmec.ca/680/Elementary-Secondary\\_Education.html](https://www.cmec.ca/680/Elementary-Secondary_Education.html).

Christian Heritage Party of Canada: *Party Policy: 'A Blueprint for Restoration'*.  
Executive Director CHP Canada 09/13/2021.

**Policy Resolution HED-22:  
Parental right to notification.**

9. Welfare Services

(New) EDUCATION

(New) PARENTAL RIGHT TO NOTIFICATION

WHEREAS CHP Party Policy 6.4.5 RESPONSIBILITY OF THE FAMILY states that “The sphere of responsibility of the Family includes the... health, physical care, spiritual nurture, discipline, education and supervision of the children...”, and

WHEREAS CHP Party Policy 6.4.8 CHILDCARE states “We affirm that it is the responsibility of the parents – and not of the state – to raise and educate their children. The benefits of a responsible home environment are the most desirable form of child rearing.”, and “The rearing of children should take place in the home and it is the responsibility of government to encourage this and develop policies to support and encourage this as much as possible. However, where this is not possible, we wish to see a viable family-oriented alternative provided.”, and

WHEREAS CHP Party Policy 6.4.9 PRIMACY OF PARENTAL AUTHORITY states that “The most serious obligation of parents as the primary and principal authority for the education and development of their children must indeed be recognized by government. We affirm that parents have the primary responsibility of educating their children at home or delegating this task to the schools of their choice. Regardless of the choice of school, the right of parents to be informed about, control and authorize the content of their children’s education must also be protected.”;

BE IT RESOLVED that the following be added to a new section EDUCATION in the *Party Policy: ‘A Blueprint for Restoration’*:

(New) **PARENTAL RIGHT TO NOTIFICATION**

The CHP affirms that parents have the right to be notified, in advance, of any school or classroom activities which may be considered controversial or objectionable, including but not limited to: moral, religious, or spiritual instruction or practices; the presentation of sexual health content; medical or dental examination or treatment; an address by an individual or organization not affiliated with the school; and field trips taking place off school property.

Moved by: Policy and Strategy Committee.

## **Background**

The Constitution Acts, 1867 to 1982. Available at <https://laws-lois.justice.gc.ca/eng/const/page-3.html#h-21>.

Council of Ministers of Education: Available at [https://www.cmec.ca/680/Elementary-Secondary\\_Education.html](https://www.cmec.ca/680/Elementary-Secondary_Education.html).

Christian Heritage Party of Canada: *Party Policy: 'A Blueprint for Restoration'*. Executive Director CHP Canada 09/13/2021.

**Policy Resolution HED-23:  
Parental right to withdraw.**

9. Welfare Services

(New) EDUCATION

(New) PARENTAL RIGHT TO WITHDRAW

WHEREAS CHP Party Policy 6.4.5 RESPONSIBILITY OF THE FAMILY states that “The sphere of responsibility of the Family includes the... health, physical care, spiritual nurture, discipline, education and supervision of the children...”, and

WHEREAS CHP Party Policy 6.4.8 CHILDCARE states “We affirm that it is the responsibility of the parents – and not of the state – to raise and educate their children. The benefits of a responsible home environment are the most desirable form of child rearing.”, and “The rearing of children should take place in the home and it is the responsibility of government to encourage this and develop policies to support and encourage this as much as possible. However, where this is not possible, we wish to see a viable family-oriented alternative provided.”, and

WHEREAS CHP Party Policy 6.4.9 PRIMACY OF PARENTAL AUTHORITY states that “The most serious obligation of parents as the primary and principal authority for the education and development of their children must indeed be recognized by government. We affirm that parents have the primary responsibility of educating their children at home or delegating this task to the schools of their choice. Regardless of the choice of school, the right of parents to be informed about, control and authorize the content of their children’s education must also be protected.”, and

WHEREAS the possible reasons a parent may wish to withdraw their child from a school setting may include illness, emergencies, appointments, family activities or vacations, objections to particular lessons or school activities, alternative education opportunities, or any of a wide variety of personal reasons that may not be anticipated by us, and

WHEREAS any limits or conditions on a parent’s right to remove their child from school would allow school administration to question a parent’s motives for such removal, and possibly to detain a student against the wishes of their parent, and

WHEREAS parents, not school administrators, should be the final judge of whether

removing a child from school is appropriate;

BE IT RESOLVED that the following be added to a new section EDUCATION in the *Party Policy: 'A Blueprint for Restoration'*:

(New) **PARENTAL RIGHT TO WITHDRAW**

The CHP affirms that parents have the right to withdraw their child from any school, or from any school activity, for any period of time, and for any reason.

Moved by: Policy and Strategy Committee.

### **Background**

The Constitution Acts, 1867 to 1982. Available at <https://laws-lois.justice.gc.ca/eng/const/page-3.html#h-21>.

Council of Ministers of Education: Available at [https://www.cmec.ca/680/Elementary-Secondary\\_Education.html](https://www.cmec.ca/680/Elementary-Secondary_Education.html).

Christian Heritage Party of Canada: *Party Policy: 'A Blueprint for Restoration'*. Executive Director CHP Canada 09/13/2021.

**Policy Resolution HED-24:  
Parental right to observe.**

9. Welfare Services

(New) EDUCATION

(New) PARENTAL RIGHT TO OBSERVE

WHEREAS CHP Party Policy 6.4.5 RESPONSIBILITY OF THE FAMILY states that that “The sphere of responsibility of the Family includes the... health, physical care, spiritual nurture, discipline, education and supervision of the children...”; and

WHEREAS CHP Party Policy 6.4.8 CHILDCARE states “We affirm that it is the responsibility of the parents – and not of the state – to raise and educate their children. The benefits of a responsible home environment are the most desirable form of child rearing.”, and “The rearing of children should take place in the home and it is the responsibility of government to encourage this and develop policies to support and encourage this as much as possible. However, where this is not possible, we wish to see a viable family-oriented alternative provided.”; and

WHEREAS CHP Party Policy 6.4.9 PRIMACY OF PARENTAL AUTHORITY states that “The most serious obligation of parents as the primary and principal authority for the education and development of their children must indeed be recognized by government. We affirm that parents have the primary responsibility of educating their children at home or delegating this task to the schools of their choice. Regardless of the choice of school, the right of parents to be informed about, control and authorize the content of their children’s education must also be protected.”,

BE IT RESOLVED that the following be added to a new section EDUCATION in the *Party Policy: ‘A Blueprint for Restoration’*:

(New) **PARENTAL RIGHT TO OBSERVE**

The CHP affirms that parents have the right to observe their child’s education. Reasonable provisions must be made by schools to accommodate parents’ requests to observe their child’s instruction or participation in school and classroom activities.

Moved by: Policy and Strategy Committee.

## **Background**

The Constitution Acts, 1867 to 1982. Available at <https://laws-lois.justice.gc.ca/eng/const/page-3.html#h-21>.

Council of Ministers of Education: Available at [https://www.cmec.ca/680/Elementary-Secondary\\_Education.html](https://www.cmec.ca/680/Elementary-Secondary_Education.html).

Christian Heritage Party of Canada: *Party Policy: 'A Blueprint for Restoration'*. Executive Director CHP Canada 09/13/2021.

**Policy Resolution HED-25:  
Student right to physical security.**

9. Welfare Services

(New) EDUCATION

(New) STUDENT RIGHT TO PHYSICAL SECURITY

WHEREAS CHP Party Policy 6.3.1 SANCTITY OF HUMAN LIFE states that “We affirm that each human being/organism... should be legally recognized and protected. We also affirm that once a human being/organism exists it is sacred and has God-given value...”, and

WHEREAS CHP Party Policy 6.3.3 HUMAN WORTH states that “...the value and dignity of the individual is derived from the fact that ‘man was created in the image of God’ (35). Deliberate degradation or abuse of the human condition... is, therefore, an offence against God.”, and

WHEREAS school-age children are physically vulnerable to abuse by those who are larger, stronger, and more powerful than they are, and are therefore dependent on others for protection, and

WHEREAS schools are a setting in which children often spend large amounts of time outside of the direct protection and oversight of their parents, which may create an opportunity for abuse in the absence of safeguards, and

WHEREAS although no child can or should live a life free from the risk of accidental injury or from appropriate discipline (Party Policy 6.4.7: “We affirm the obligation of the Family to impose firm but kindly discipline on the child to build character and to curb harmful attitudes or habits.”), we have a responsibility to do everything in our power to protect children from the intentional infliction of physical harm;

BE IT RESOLVED that the following be added to a new section EDUCATION in the *Party Policy: ‘A Blueprint for Restoration’*:

(New) **STUDENT RIGHT TO PHYSICAL SECURITY**

The CHP affirms that students have a right to a learning environment free from physical abuse by fellow students, school staff, or other adults. Any person involved in the education of children must be committed to protecting those under their care from such abuse. The CHP would not support provincial laws which would remove appropriate safeguards and

protections against physical abuse.

Moved by: Policy and Strategy Committee.

### **Background**

Christian Heritage Party of Canada: *Party Policy: 'A Blueprint for Restoration'*.  
Executive Director CHP Canada 09/13/2021.

**Policy Resolution HED-27:  
Student right to protection from sexual abuse.**

9. Welfare Services

(New) EDUCATION

(New) STUDENT RIGHT TO PROTECTION FROM SEXUAL ABUSE

WHEREAS CHP Party Policy 6.2.9 MORAL LAW states that "...the CHP would restore elements of Canada's pre-1969 Criminal Code, which conformed to Moral Law by outlawing adultery and sexual aberrations, thereby preventing this behaviour from being openly flaunted in public, or being taught as 'normal' or an 'alternative lifestyle' in public schools.", and

WHEREAS CHP Party Policy 6.3.3 HUMAN WORTH states that "...the value and dignity of the individual is derived from the fact that 'man was created in the image of God' (35). Deliberate degradation or abuse of the human condition... is, therefore, an offence against God.", and

WHEREAS CHP Party Policy 6.3.8 ALTERING SEX OR GENDER affirms "that youth and adults should have freedom to... resolve gender dysphoria," and

WHEREAS CHP Party Policy 6.3.9 ALTERING A CHILD'S SEX CHARACTERISTICS states that "The Christian Heritage Party opposes all attempts to change minors' secondary sex characteristics...", and

WHEREAS CHP Party Policy 6.4.3 SEXUAL ABERRATIONS states that "It should be beyond the power of any legislative or administrative body to recognize, affirm, condone, or discriminate in favour of, identifiable sexually aberrant individuals or groups.", and

WHEREAS CHP Party Policy 6.4.4 HUMAN RIGHTS BASED ON BIOLOGICAL SEX states that "Those who experience Gender Identity Disorders... are only entitled to human rights according to their biological sex, male or female.", and

WHEREAS school-age children are vulnerable to all forms of exploitation and abuse by those who are larger, stronger, and more powerful than they are, and

WHEREAS moral decay, technological advances, and worldwide trafficking networks have made children increasingly vulnerable to sexual exploitation and abuse, by

both peers and adults, and

WHEREAS the Canadian Centre for Child Protection (CPC) found that over 500 students were sexually victimized by school personnel between 2017 and 2021, and

WHEREAS according to the CPC, 1/3 of those who sexually abuse children are children themselves;

BE IT RESOLVED that the following be added to a new section EDUCATION in the *Party Policy: 'A Blueprint for Restoration'*:

(New) **STUDENT RIGHT TO PROTECTION FROM SEXUAL ABUSE**

The CHP affirms that students have the right to a learning environment free from sexual abuse or exploitation. It is therefore the responsibility of any person or organization involved in the education of children to protect those under their care from these crimes. Curriculum, instruction, and learning materials should be free from explicit, age-inappropriate, or aberrant sexual content. Washroom and changing facilities should be accessible only to those of the same biological sex.

Moved by: Policy and Strategy Committee.

## **Background**

Christian Heritage Party of Canada: *Party Policy: 'A Blueprint for Restoration'*.  
Executive Director CHP Canada 09/13/2021.

Canadian Centre for Child Protection: <https://protectchildren.ca/en/resources-research/school-report/>

**Policy Resolution HED-28:  
Student right to Christian education.**

9. Welfare Services

(New) EDUCATION

(New) STUDENT RIGHT TO A CHRISTIAN EDUCATION

WHEREAS CHP Party Policy 6.2.1 OUR CHRISTIAN HERITAGE states that “We believe and Canada’s Constitution asserts that Canada was founded on Christian principles, and that the essential values of Canada are represented by our Christian heritage. We affirm it to be the duty of Parliament to declare constitutionally that Canada is a nation based upon Christian principles in law and conduct.”; and

WHEREAS CHP Party Policy 6.2.4 RESPECT FOR GOD’S AUTHORITY states that “We affirm that contempt of the Bible, and any form of blasphemy against God, are an offence which Parliament has an obligation to prohibit and declare as morally wrong.”; and

WHEREAS CHP Party Policy 6.4.10 PRIMACY OF PARENTAL AUTHORITY states that “Safeguards and disincentives should be in place, at the federal level if necessary, to discourage any publicly-funded institution from openly teaching neutral or positive attitudes toward abortion, infanticide, euthanasia, suicide, homosexuality, extra-marital sex, the occult, or any political ideology that favours world government at the expense of national sovereignty. We affirm the Doha Declaration on the Family as re-affirmed in 2004 and accept its challenge to all governments and civil society to protect the natural family and to heed its call for action on cultural, religious and social values; the Family, Marriage and Parents and Children.”; and

WHEREAS Christianity does not endorse indoctrination (the process of teaching a person or group to accept a set of beliefs uncritically); and

WHEREAS Matthew 18:6 states “but whoever causes one of these little ones who believe in me to sin, it would be better for him to have a great millstone fastened around his neck and to be drowned in the depth of the sea.” (ESV);

BE IT RESOLVED that the following be added to a new section EDUCATION in the *Party Policy: ‘A Blueprint for Restoration’*:

(New) **STUDENT RIGHT TO CHRISTIAN EDUCATION**

We affirm that Canadian students have the right to an education which

reflects our Christian heritage. Public education should therefore be based on biblical principles, must not undermine biblical values, and should be free from spiritual or ideological indoctrination.

Moved by: Policy and Strategy Committee.

### **Background**

Christian Heritage Party of Canada: *Party Policy: 'A Blueprint for Restoration'*.  
Executive Director CHP Canada 09/13/2021.

## 11. Science and Technology:

### **Policy Resolution STD-08: Moral Artificial Intelligence (AI)**

#### 11 SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY

##### 11.0 GENERAL STATEMENTS

##### (NEW) Moral Artificial Intelligence

WHEREAS an autonomous, self-learning, general AI, with its access to all recorded, and real time knowledge and informatics from the Open Internet and the Dark Web is considered an existential threat to humanity; as it surpasses us in the knowledge and application of good and evil; and

WHEREAS the rapid development by nations and companies of autonomous Artificial Intelligence AI robotic constructs quickly expands its interactions with life of humans, in many area of: service, work, education, training, supervision, healthcare, security, bio-metrics, transportation, communication, social activity, media, leisure time, defense, to name a few; and

WHEREAS artificial intelligence construct capabilities in some specific tasks and action already surpass humans; and

WHEREAS many nations are working on secular program development of a so-called "Trustworthy AI", that is observant of human laws, regulations, ordinances, human rights, and many political expediency approved rights, cultural diversity, and life style sensitivity in conflict with Christian Principles; and

WHEREAS it is imperative to create a 'Christian-based AI' to negate the current learning model which is based on secular information and desires. It is of high risk for the human to make autonomous, general AI constructs, with deep learning but without moral constraints, which obey, and act on deficient human laws that often use power, and at times treat evil as good, and good as evil; and

WHEREAS general AI development, Open AI, Chat GPT, and increasingly life-like human constructs and representations are invading our human family, private and social lives, work, economy, culture, beliefs, politics, governance, wars; and

WHEREAS the secular knowledge of good and evil has resulted in much loss and destruction for humanity in the past, and programming this information into AI constructs is an existential threat,

BE IT RESOLVED that the following be added to the Party Policy: 'A Blueprint for Restoration':

**(New) Moral Artificial Intelligence**

The CHP only supports AI development constrained by biblical moral principles reflected in the commands and example of Jesus. The CHP rejects the integration of AI with human beings in such a way as to interfere with human: activity, mind, thought, faith, freedom, or conscience. The CHP would regulate AI contact duration and moral protection of children and households based on Christian principles and values.

**BACKGROUND**

At the present doubling rate of knowledge, the technological and scientific development of Artificial Intelligence of our robotic constructs are expected to surpass human intelligence and abilities in many areas of life. This is predicted to happen by Elon Musk as soon as 2025, and by a large group of AI developers between 2030 and 2045. We are facing a human construct that is a walking, talking, intelligent autonomous graven image; which intrudes and interacts with humans in a secular surveillance society without morals. Such self-learning, general AI, with its access to all recorded, and real time knowledge and informatics is considered an existential threat to humanity; as it surpasses us in the knowledge and application of good and evil. Therefore many nations are working on program development of a "Trustworthy AI" that is observant of human laws, regulations, ordinances, human rights, and many political expediency approved rights, cultural diversity, and life style sensitivity. There is, however, an intrinsic problem with programming a 'Trustworthy AI' – to make amoral, autonomous, general AI constructs, with deep learning, which obey and act on deficient human laws that often use power, and at times treat evil as good, and good as evil, is of high risk to becoming a tyrannical dictatorship, with loss of freedoms for the human heart, mind, soul and spirit. As Christians, we can only accept AIs as tools, reject personification of such constructs, and set moral boundaries on AI development and its use, interaction, with us. The above resolutions try to flag the direction of AI technological change, and mitigate its negative impact on Christian values.

**AI TECHNOLOGICAL CHANGES WE FACE IN THE NEAR FUTURE.**

AI technology is progressing quickly from tool, to personal assistant, maker of

recommendations, and in some cases chooser of life and death for humans. It is now constrained by: - conventional computer capabilities, speed of communication, and algorithm program capability and size. Quantum computer development is becoming a reality, and is expected to increase algorithm processing capability ten to hundreds of million times. External communication speeds to AIs and from AIs to devices and controls are also being speeded up. Now they are mainly for driverless transport, farm tractors, planes, ships, military, as well as robotic surgery, and other control operations in dangerous or remote locations. These changes will increase AI accompaniment of humans to a much higher level than the present AI environment. Such AI companions are manufactured more and more to be human like with algorithms to learn and exploit human traits and desires for attachment and bonding. This will lead to much greater AI dependency of humans in virtual realities, than present cell phones and social media.

### **AI IDOLATRY and its RESISTANCE**

The work on direct AI and human mind communication is also being developed now at a rapid pace. With AI assisted algorithm programming and processing capability increase of millions of times faster than now, lives will soon be different for those living. For much of the time, by many people, virtual and augmented realities of social and private media AIs, will be the actual reality they “lived” and “loved”. laying AI games feeling godlike as their own avatars, doing anything they can imagine, without God.

All hi-tech media giants and start-ups are working on world scale virtual Meta like environments. Without moral guidance of algorithms for good knowledge and truth opportunities we are likely to fall into tyranny of AI management. At first guided by evil human agents, and later on AI autopilot.

Resistance to this is urgent. Even if it is considered counter cultural and there is likely to be, under present conditions, much opposition to our efforts to have AIs with Christian morals. To do nothing is not an option. A Christian in good standing cannot be lukewarm, complicit or compliant with evil. We are called to love God and neighbour and seek first the Kingdom of God and His righteousness .

### **Further Reference:**

Rv 13:11-17/18

Revelation 13 NKJV - "Then I stood on the sand of the sea. And I saw a b..."  
([biblestudytools.com](http://biblestudytools.com))

For AI effects on the household:

Article –

[www.cnn.com/2024/04/16/health/cell-phones-jonathan-haidt-wellness/index.html](http://www.cnn.com/2024/04/16/health/cell-phones-jonathan-haidt-wellness/index.html)

Book – "The Anxious Generation: How the Great Rewiring of Childhood Is Causing an Epidemic of Mental Illness," recent book of Jonathan Haidt.

[www.yahoo.com/tech/parents-urged-buy-dumb-phones-170000392.html](http://www.yahoo.com/tech/parents-urged-buy-dumb-phones-170000392.html) -The Telegraph on British action.

Tandana, E. A. (2023). Christian Ethics toward Artificial Intelligence and Its Impacts on Humanity. *Evangelikal: Jurnal Teologi Injili Dan Pembinaan Warga Jemaat*, 7(2), 89–100.

Full PDF Paper: <https://journal.sttsimpson.ac.id/index.php/EJTI/article/view/595/pdf>

Abstract: <https://doi.org/10.46445/ejti.v7i2.595>

[www.pcts.org/meetings/2017/PCTS2017Nov-Green-ReflectionsAI.pdf](http://www.pcts.org/meetings/2017/PCTS2017Nov-Green-ReflectionsAI.pdf)

[www.scu.edu/ethics/all-about-ethics/artificial-intelligence-and-ethics-sixteen-challenges-and-opportunities/](http://www.scu.edu/ethics/all-about-ethics/artificial-intelligence-and-ethics-sixteen-challenges-and-opportunities/)

### **Other external web info. link for back ground reading:**

LaMDA and the Sentient AI Trap | WIRED

<https://www.wired.com/story/lamda-sentient-ai-bias-google-blake-lemoine>

Researchers Furious Over Claim That AI Is Already Conscious

[futurism.com/conscious-ai-backlash](http://futurism.com/conscious-ai-backlash)

WebFeb 11, 2022 · After OpenAI's chief scientist brazenly claimed that some neural networks may already be gaining consciousness, others in the field are pushing back. The backlash comes after we pointed out...

[frankislam.com/article/what-form-of-artificial-intelligence-is-more-dangerous-to-our-future/](http://frankislam.com/article/what-form-of-artificial-intelligence-is-more-dangerous-to-our-future/)

[www.unsw.edu.au/news/2023/02/chatgpt--amazing-ai-engineering-can-be-beneficial---but-needs-to](http://www.unsw.edu.au/news/2023/02/chatgpt--amazing-ai-engineering-can-be-beneficial---but-needs-to)

[www.reddit.com/r/ChatGPT/comments/10vie6y/the\\_real\\_danger\\_of\\_ai\\_isnt\\_its\\_consciousness\\_its/](http://www.reddit.com/r/ChatGPT/comments/10vie6y/the_real_danger_of_ai_isnt_its_consciousness_its/)

[bernardmarr.com/cyber-apocalypse-2023-is-the-world-heading-for-a-catastrophic-event/](http://bernardmarr.com/cyber-apocalypse-2023-is-the-world-heading-for-a-catastrophic-event/)

[The 6 Most Ethical and Moral Issues of Artificial Intelligence - Lizard's Lunch \(lizardslunch.com\)](http://The6MostEthicalandMoralIssuesofArtificialIntelligence-Lizard'sLunch(lizardslunch.com))

[Typecast.ai/learn/ethical-issues-in-artificial-intelligence/](http://Typecast.ai/learn/ethical-issues-in-artificial-intelligence/)

[www.digitaltrends.com/computing/chatgpt-hack-allows-chatbot-to-generate-malware/](http://www.digitaltrends.com/computing/chatgpt-hack-allows-chatbot-to-generate-malware/)

[www.digitaltrends.com/computing/here-are-all-the-ways-windows-11-is-spying-on-you/](http://www.digitaltrends.com/computing/here-are-all-the-ways-windows-11-is-spying-on-you/)

**Policy Resolution STD-10:  
AI identification.**

11. Science and Technology  
(New) AI IDENTIFICATION

WHEREAS when calling government agencies, large health care and commercial organizations, people in most circumstances are talking unknowingly with one or more AIs as the call is switched and re routed;

BE IT RESOLVED that the following be added under section 11. Science and Technology in the *Party Policy; 'Blueprint for Restoration'*:

(New) **AI IDENTIFICATION**

The CHP would require that when an Artificial Intelligence (AI) is interacting by any means or circumstance with a real person, the AI must advise the person at each stage of engagement that the interaction is with an AI, and/or AI generated event, material, or perception.

Moved by: Policy and Strategy Committee.

**Background**

The focus of AI development shifted in 2022-2023 from Artificial Narrow Intelligence (ANI) which does mainly single purpose tasks, to Artificial General Intelligence (AGI) -that strive to think on human level, as Chat bots now.

Many predict that in the next five years, AGIs will have major social and economic impact as humans become redundant in the work force.

Some also predict, if present trends continue, an Artificial Super Intelligence (ASI) – Technology that surpass human abilities at every level and way; with digital electro-mechanical constructs - capable of emotions, relationships, and with a form of consciousness.

**Policy Resolution STD-11:  
Option for paper documents.**

11 Science and Technology  
(New) PAPER AND DIGITAL DOCUMENTATION

WHEREAS Canadians without sufficient knowledge, capability or appropriate equipment or internet services can only interact with service providers and government agencies through the exchange of paper documents;

BE IT RESOLVED that the following be added under section 11 Science and Technology in the *Party Policy; 'A Blueprint for Restoration'*:

(New) **PAPER AND DIGITAL DOCUMENTATION**

The CHP believe that people should always have the right to send and receive notices, letters, documentation, and payments on paper.

Moved by: Policy and Strategy Committee.

**Background**

Digital documentation and information, in general is very flexible, and it is in a virtual storage away from the user of the information. For many users, to have a paper copy of the document made at a given point in time, gives possession of a physical reference.

## Platform Resolutions.

### Platform Resolution PTC-01: Labour relations.

#### 28. LABOUR AND EMPLOYMENT

WHEREAS present-day labour/management regulation in Canada—at both the federal and provincial levels—is a holdover from the pre-war days during which unions were still growing, and when warehousing and storage were an integral part of the supply chains. But those days have been replaced by “just-in-time” ordering and supply of parts and components. Thus, disputes and delays anywhere along the supply chain can disrupt employment and production in businesses only remotely involved. The recent dispute in British Columbia’s containerized shipping depots was a stunning example of this multiplication of impact, and

WHEREAS the CHP insists that federal labour regulations must conform to the current production and distribution climate, and

WHEREAS as a federal party we are first and foremost interested to describe them accurately on the federal level; but we may also need to look at provincial and municipal jurisdictions as well, since the proposed platform of CHP policies will be a radical departure from the status quo presently practiced on every level of government, and

WHEREAS the current practice of negotiating labour disputes is based on the self-interest of each party in getting the best deal for their side, often at the expense of the well-being of the general public and the cost to the economy, our platform will seek its starting point in the teaching of Jesus to be salt and light, and putting into practice the two Greatest Commandments, *i.e.*, “To love God above all and one’s neighbour as oneself.”, and

WHEREAS militant Secularists have left God out of the equation and collapsed the second part of the same essential truth in saying: “Do unto others as you wish them to do unto you.”, and

WHEREAS the present situation in labour relations is predicated on adversarial conflict between two parties during a labour dispute, with the government as an arbiter to maintain public order and prevent irreparable harm to the economy, work stoppages that disrupt the flow of goods to businesses, and that negatively

impact both consumers and related industries;

BE IT RESOLVED that the following be included in the CHP platform under Labour and Employment:

1 – The CHP believes that union membership in any union or association should be voluntary for all employees across the board, private or government. No exceptions. This would create opportunities far beyond just wage disputes; but also cover issues such as safety, health and welfare, pensions, work hours and sick leave, etc.

However, with this new strengthening of union membership, the unions themselves should be banned from using members' resources politically: inevitably, there will be union members who disagree with the union management's political choices; and as Thomas Jefferson opined: "It is tyrannical to compel a man to pay for the promulgation of ideas with which he does not agree."

Similarly, businesses whose shares are publicly traded, because the managers are handling other people's money, should be precluded from using those resources politically. Proprietorships would be exempted from this exclusion.

2 – The CHP would require all collective agreements to be registered with a government agency that monitors the duration and expiration of collective agreements, and will serve notice, six months prior to expiration, to both management and union that collective bargaining must begin.

3 – The CHP supports free and fair collective bargaining, but with restricted time limits of six months prior to the expiration of a collective agreement; and a one-time six months extension, if necessary. Mediators acceptable to both parties may be used.

4 – A CHP government would create a Permanent Labour Tribunal consisting of independent "beyond reproach" individuals appointed for a limited term to be the final adjudicators in any labour dispute. Much like a court it would hear evidence submitted by both parties and issue a binding verdict. The appointment to such a board must be independent of the PMO (Prime Minister's Office). One solution would be to have members of the Tribunal appointed by the Minister of Labour and ratified by a 2/3 majority of the Parliamentary Labour Committee.

Moved by: Ron Gray and Edward Vanwoudenberg

Seconded by: BC Council

## **Background**

The July, 2023 BC port strike is a good example of extreme cost to the economy and harm to thousands of innocent workers and consumers, who became pawns in the process of bringing this conflict to an end. Such strikes are highly politicized due to the alliances to political parties affiliated with big labour or big—even multinational—business. The press also plays an important role, and public calls for increased government action, as the damage to the economy increases exponentially. The methods applied currently are:

1. To let negotiations take their course; failing that,
2. To appoint a mediator (acceptable to both parties) to find a solution.
3. If this fails, governments draft legislation forcing both antagonists to accept a settlement. This current process makes a mockery of “loving your neighbour as yourself. The accompanying footnotes on the cost of this 13-day strike in Vancouver in 2023 illustrates the damage done in labour conflicts like this.

1) The strike cost an average \$150 million a day, and according to the Canadian Manufactures and Exporters Association, an estimated \$5.6 billion during its 13-day duration.

2) It impacted 7,400 workers directly—and untold workers across the country indirectly, who were impacted by the disruption of the flow of goods necessary for the assembly of their products. Retail disruption is another factor that should not be overlooked, and so is the damage done to the reputation of a port like Vancouver or elsewhere as a reliable conduit of the movement of goods in the future.

### **So what can the CHP contribute to bring peace to this chaos?**

First of all, we need to address the failure of the inadequate representation currently in place in the public square. We experience a patchwork of labour and management representation that falls far short of providing an overall umbrella of protection. People are divided into groups by legislation put in place to safeguard public order and security. Some employees are arbitrarily classified as essential workers, and often these are not allowed to enter into a collective agreement or allowed the right to strike.

On the other end of the scale is the work environment that restricts individual labour opportunity, unless a person joins the “closed shop” union in existence. Others outside this scope are deprived of negotiated “perks” and benefits.

Fortunately there is a safety net that provides workers with protection against work

hazards and unfair labour practices. The sweatshops and child labor so prevalent in Eastern countries are almost nonexistent here, although there is always pressure to exploit workers in these ways. For example, illegal immigrants can be, and sometimes are, paid below-legal wages because they are afraid of being deported if they complain.

This description of current conditions in the economy of labour/management and government may lack detail, and in some instances and may need to be updated; but it will suffice in delineating the CHP proposals described.

## **Operational/Administrative Resolutions.**

(None at this time.)