#### Tailored Dietary Approach for Individuals With Migraine

Susan Linke<sup>1</sup>, Gustavo Zarini<sup>2</sup>, Michael McLean<sup>2</sup>, Joan Hogan<sup>3</sup>, Patrick Hogan<sup>4</sup>, and Jan Patenaude<sup>5</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Food Sensitivity Specialists LLC; <sup>2</sup>Oxford Biomedical Technologies, Inc.; <sup>3</sup>Food 4 Life; <sup>4</sup>South Puget Sound Neurology; and <sup>5</sup>Food Sensitivity Solutions, LLC

**Objectives:** We examined the therapeutic potential of the Lifestyle Eating and Performance (LEAP) program to improve global symptom scores for frequency and severity in individuals with migraine.

**Methods:** The retrospective study analyzed de-identified data from individuals (n = 40) suffering from migraine counseled by dietitians in private practices. The LEAP program is based on the oligoantigenic diet principles and is built on selecting less immune reactive foods and chemicals from the Leukocyte Activation Assay-MRT (LAA-MRT) blood results. The LAA-MRT was conducted using Flow Cytometry Systems to characterize and quantify the volumetric change in each white blood cells population after being challenged and incubated with 150 food and chemical antigens. BMI was calculated as weight in kg/height in m<sup>2</sup>. A global symptom survey was used to evaluate the frequency and severity of 13 domains of symptoms. The survey was quantified on a scale of 0 - 4, ranging from 0 to 248 points, with a higher score signifying a less desirable health state. Descriptive statistics and linear mixed models were performed using the SPSS V25.0. The study received approval from an independent Institutional Review Board (IRB).

Results: Thirty-five (87.5%) were female, had a mean age 42.1  $\pm$  11.3 years, and a BMI of 28.2  $\pm$  7.3 kg/m<sup>2</sup>. The average follow-up time seen by the dietitians was 82.3  $\pm$  71.0 days. Linear mixed models showed a significant decrease in mean (standard error) overall global symptom scores pre- versus post-intervention  $(72.1 \pm 4.5 \text{ vs. } 31.5 \pm 4.5, P < 0.001)$  and for each of the 13 domains [constitutional (10.1  $\pm$  0.7 vs. 4.9  $\pm$  0.6, P < 0.001); emotional/mental (9.5  $\pm$  0.8 vs. 4.7  $\pm$  0.7, P < 0.001); head/ears  $(5.2 \pm 0.5 \text{ vs. } 2.1 \pm 0.3, P < 0.001)$ ; skin  $(2.9 \pm 0.4 \text{ vs. } 1.4 \pm 0.3, P < 0.001)$ ; skin  $(2.9 \pm 0.4 \text{ vs. } 1.4 \pm 0.3, P < 0.001)$ ; skin  $(2.9 \pm 0.4 \text{ vs. } 1.4 \pm 0.3, P < 0.001)$ ; skin  $(2.9 \pm 0.4 \text{ vs. } 1.4 \pm 0.3, P < 0.001)$ ; skin  $(2.9 \pm 0.4 \text{ vs. } 1.4 \pm 0.3, P < 0.001)$ ; skin  $(2.9 \pm 0.4 \text{ vs. } 1.4 \pm 0.3, P < 0.001)$ ; skin  $(2.9 \pm 0.4 \text{ vs. } 1.4 \pm 0.3, P < 0.001)$ ; skin  $(2.9 \pm 0.4 \text{ vs. } 1.4 \pm 0.3, P < 0.001)$ ; skin  $(2.9 \pm 0.4 \text{ vs. } 1.4 \pm 0.3, P < 0.001)$ ; skin  $(2.9 \pm 0.4 \text{ vs. } 1.4 \pm 0.3, P < 0.001)$ ; skin  $(2.9 \pm 0.4 \text{ vs. } 1.4 \pm 0.3, P < 0.001)$ ; skin  $(2.9 \pm 0.4 \text{ vs. } 1.4 \pm 0.3, P < 0.001)$ ; skin  $(2.9 \pm 0.4 \text{ vs. } 1.4 \pm 0.3, P < 0.001)$ ; skin  $(2.9 \pm 0.4 \text{ vs. } 1.4 \pm 0.3, P < 0.001)$ ; skin  $(2.9 \pm 0.4 \text{ vs. } 1.4 \pm 0.3, P < 0.001)$ ; skin  $(2.9 \pm 0.4 \text{ vs. } 1.4 \pm 0.3, P < 0.001)$ ; skin  $(2.9 \pm 0.4 \text{ vs. } 1.4 \pm 0.3, P < 0.001)$ ; skin  $(2.9 \pm 0.4 \text{ vs. } 1.4 \pm 0.3, P < 0.001)$ ; skin  $(2.9 \pm 0.4 \text{ vs. } 1.4 \pm 0.3, P < 0.001)$ ; skin  $(2.9 \pm 0.4 \text{ vs. } 1.4 \pm 0.3, P < 0.001)$ ; skin  $(2.9 \pm 0.4 \text{ vs. } 1.4 \pm 0.3, P < 0.001)$ ; skin  $(2.9 \pm 0.4 \text{ vs. } 1.4 \pm 0.3)$ ; skin  $(2.9 \pm 0.4 \text{ vs. } 1.4 \pm 0.4 \text{ vs. } 1.4 \pm 0.3)$ ; skin  $(2.9 \pm 0.4 \text{ vs. } 1.4 \pm 0.3)$ ; skin  $(2.9 \pm 0.4 \text{ vs. } 1.4 \pm 0.$ P = 0.002; nasal/sinus (6.4  $\pm$  0.8 vs. 2.5  $\pm$  0.4, P < 0.001); mouth/throat (3.2  $\pm$  0.5 vs. 1.1  $\pm$  0.3, P < 0.001); lungs  $(1.9 \pm 0.4 \text{ vs. } 0.8 \pm 0.2, P = 0.020)$ ; eyes  $(4.3 \pm 0.5 \text{ vs. } 1.9 \pm 0.4,$ P < 0.001; genitourinary (1.3  $\pm$  0.3 vs. 0.6  $\pm$  0.1, P = 0.013); musculoskeletal (8.0  $\pm$  0.8 vs. 3.9  $\pm$  0.6, P < 0.001); cardiovascular  $(1.1 \pm 0.7 \text{ vs. } 0.4 \pm 0.1, \text{ P} < 0.001)$ ; digestive  $(12.4 \pm 1.2 \text{ vs. } 5.3 \pm .9, \text{ s})$ P < 0.001); and weight management (5.5  $\pm$  0.7 vs. 1.8  $\pm$  0.3, P < 0.001)].

**Conclusions:** The findings from this study highlight the role of LEAP program as a tailored dietary approach that alleviated overall symptoms in individuals with migraine.

Funding Sources: Oxford Biomedical Technologies, Inc.



# **Tailored Dietary Approach for Individuals with Migraine**

Susan Linke\*, Gustavo Zarini, Michael McLean, Joan Hogan, Patrick Hogan, Jan Patenaude



#### Abstract Presentation Number: P17-011-21

\*Email: linke308@verizon.net

### BACKGROUND

- Migraine is a disabling disorder and burden of public health in the United States and is a condition that reduces individual's health-related quality of life.
- Existing pharmacologic therapy for migraine is far from ideal with poor adherence and overuse, elevated cost, and side effects.
- Food and food chemicals have been demonstrated as triggers for migraines.
- Furthermore, migraine episodes are influenced by gastrointestinal clinical symptoms and frequency.
- Diagnosis of food hypersensitivity and implementation of elimination diets based on documented oral food challenges are troublesome, time-consuming, and complicated for patients and health care providers.
- Identification of specific foods and food components that impact migraine activation continues to be one of the most elusive areas of migraine management.

#### OBJECTIVE

 We examined the therapeutic potential of the Lifestyle Eating and Performance (LEAP) program to improve global symptom scores for frequency and severity in individuals with migraine.

#### METHODS

- The retrospective study analyzed de-identified data from individuals (n=40) suffering from migraine counseled by dietitians in private practices.
- The LEAP program is based on the oligoantigenic diet principles and is built on selecting less immune reactive foods and chemicals from the Leukocyte Activation Assay-MRT (LAA-MRT) blood results.

•	The LAA-MRT was conducted using Flow Cytometry Systems
	to characterize and quantify the volumetric change in each
	white blood cells population after being challenged and
	incubated with 150 food and chemical antigens.

**METHODS** (cont'd)

- BMI was calculated as weight in kg/height in m<sup>2</sup>.
- A global symptom survey was used to evaluate the frequency and severity of 13 domains of symptoms.
- The survey was quantified on a scale of 0 4, ranging from 0 to 248 points, with a higher score signifying a less desirable health state.
- Descriptive statistics and linear mixed models were performed using the SPSS V25.0.
- The study received approval from an independent Institutional Review Board (IRB).

### RESULTS

- Thirty-five (87.5%) were female, had a mean age 42.1±11.3 years, and a BMI of 28.2±7.3 kg/m. The average follow-up time seen by the dietitians was 82.3±71.0 days (Table 1).
- Linear mixed models showed a significant decrease in mean (standard error) overall global symptom scores pre- versus post-intervention (72.1±4.5 vs. 31.5±4.5, P< 0.001) and for each of the 13 domains (Table 2).

Table 1. Baseline Characteristics	N=40 M±SD
Gender (F) n (%)	35 (87.5)
Age (years)	42.1±11.3
BMI (kg/m²)	28.2±7.3
Time follow-up (days)	82.3±71.0

Table 2. Measures Pre- and Post-Dietary Intervention				
Symptom Survey Score	Pre-LEAP program M±SE	Post-LEAP program M±SE	P- value	
Constitutional	10.1±0.7	4.9±0.6	<0.001	
Emotional/mental	9.5±0.8	4.7±0.7	<0.001	
Head/ears	5.2±0.5	2.1±0.3	<0.001	
Skin	2.9±0.4	1.4±0.3	0.002	
Nasal/sinus	6.4±0.8	2.5±0.4	<0.001	
Mouth/throat	3.2±0.5	1.1±0.3	<0.001	
Lungs	1.9±0.4	0.8±0.2	0.020	
Eyes	4.3±0.5	1.9±0.4	<0.001	
Genitourinary	1.3±0.3	0.6±0.1	0.013	
Musculoskeletal	8.0±0.8	3.9±0.6	<0.001	
Cardiovascular	1.1±0.7	0.4±0.1	<0.001	
Digestive	12.4±1.2	5.3±0.9	<0.001	
Weight management	5.5±0.7	1.8±0.3	<0.001	

## CONCLUSION

- The findings from this study highlight the role of the LEAP program as a tailored dietary approach that alleviated overall symptoms in individuals with migraine.
- Migraine patients would benefit from long-lasting dietary changes with the control of gastrointestinal symptoms.
- Moreover, understanding the association between migraine and gastrointestinal symptomatology is critical, as it could modify the clinical course of these conditions.