



# Community Meeting

Edward McNair, NANOG Executive Director  
Cat Gurinsky, NANOG Program Committee Chair

# Registration Fee Increase

# Registration Prices

## Spring 2017

### Member Pricing

- Early: \$525
- Standard: \$625
- Late: \$725
- Onsite: \$925

### Non-Member Pricing

- Early: \$550
- Standard: \$650
- Late: \$750
- Onsite: \$950

### Student Pricing

- Early: \$100
- Standard: \$100
- Late: \$100
- Onsite: \$100

### Virtual Pricing

- Standard: \$100
- Complementary: \$0

## Fall 2022

### Member Pricing

- Early: \$675
- Standard: \$775
- Late: \$875
- Onsite: \$1075

### Non-Member Pricing

- Early: \$700
- Standard: \$800
- Late: \$900
- Onsite: \$1100

### Student Pricing

- Early: \$100
- Standard: \$100
- Late: \$100
- Onsite: \$100

### Virtual Pricing

- Standard: \$100
- Complementary: \$0



# Development Projects

# Appointment Tool

- The Appointment tool is beta
- On May 26th it was demoed to our volunteer beta testers, the board, and members of the Program Committee
- The beta testers are currently giving feedback through a dedicated Slack channel.
- The core calendaring engine is in place and additional features and bug fixes are constantly being addressed.
- The public beta of the tool will be released with the opening of NANOG 86 registration.

# Affinity Groups

- The new forum allow us to offer more diverse engagement for our community
- In addition to our mailman mirror, there are several affinity groups designed to offer more opportunities for engagement.
- Topics include:
  - Network Automation
  - Women in Tech
  - LGBTQ+
  - Running
  - Coffee
  - Walking
  - Whiskey Connoisseurs
  - Diversity in Tech
  - ...and more
- Also a section for “In Memoriam” where we can remember those who have passed away.



# COMMUNITY FORUM

Welcome! The NANOG Community Forum is a digital space that inspires community building, fosters mentorship, and provides ongoing support for students and professionals in Internet technology. By joining the forum, you'll also have the opportunity to share your insights and provide feedback as we work together to build the Internet of tomorrow. By using this forum you are agreeing to abide by NANOG's [Usage Guidelines](#), and [Code of Conduct](#).

all categories ▶

all tags ▶

Categories

Latest

New (3)

Unread (3)

Bookmarks



+ New Topic

Category

Topics

Latest

## Mailman List

A public category this is a read only mirror of the NANOG Mailing list. It is currently read only, and serves as a modern, searchable archive.

54.4k

3 unread  
2 new



FCC proposes higher speed goals (100/20 Mbps) for USF providers

■ Mailman List

65  
4h

## General Technical Discussions

This community-moderated forum is open to all, and a great place to discuss topics related to network engineering. Ask questions,

7



Comcast: "Reloading Statics" today

■ Mailman List

0  
6h



AERINIC vote buying

0

[Mailman List](#)
[all tags](#)
[Latest](#)
[New \(2\)](#)
[Unread \(3\)](#)
[Bookmarks](#)


[+ New Topic](#)


☰ Topic

Replies Views Activity

FCC proposes higher speed goals (100/20 Mbps) for USF providers



104

5

5m

FCC vs FAA Story •



14

2

2h

last visit

GAO: Federal broadband efforts fragmented and overlapping •



0

0

1d

Anyone on list from Zayo about possible router issue?



2

2

2d

Weekly Global IPv4 Routing Table Report



0

This topic has 0 replies

FCC Launches Tutorials & Help Center for Broadband Data Collection



0

0

3d

Google Fi IPs



2

0

4d

NANOG 85 Kicks Off Monday! Learn More



0

1

4d



# FCC proposes higher speed goals (100/20 Mbps) for USF providers

Mailman List



Sean\_Donelan

14d

Federal Communications Commission – 20 May 22

**FCC Proposes Higher Speed Goals for Small Rural Broadband Providers**

FCC considers a proposal to establish an enhanced Alternative Connect America Model (A-CAM) to achieve faster speeds in rural areas and proposes targeted modifications to the high-cost program to improve efficiency in the program

The Federal Communications Commission voted [May 19, 2022] to seek comment on a proposal to provide additional universal service support to certain rural carriers in exchange for increasing deployment to more locations at higher speeds. The proposal would make changes to the Alternative Connect America Cost Model (A-CAM) program, with the goal of achieving widespread deployment of faster 100/20 Mbps broadband service throughout the rural areas served by rural carriers currently receiving A-CAM support.

2 Replies

Heart, Link, More, Reply icons

created	last reply	104	5	37				
		replies	views	users				



May 23

1 / 105

May 23

Back

5m ago





**Aaron3**

14d

The Fiber Broadband Association estimates that the average US household will need more than a gig within 5 years. Why not just jump it to a gig or more?

3 Replies

Like, Link, More, Reply



**Sean\_Donelan**

14d

Money, money, money.

1 Reply

Like, Link, More, Reply



**Michael\_Thomas**

14d **Aaron3**

The Fiber Broadband Association estimates that the average US household will need more than a gig within 5 years. Why not just jump it to a gig or more?

Really? What is the average household doing to use up a gig worth of bandwidth?

Mike

5 Replies

Like, Link, More, Reply



**Michael\_Thomas**

14d



May 23

1 / 105

May 23

Back

10m ago



# NANOG College Immersion (NCI) Program

# NCI Program Updates

- At the request of the board, the program was reimagined by staff.
- The qualifications for the program now mirror the standards for the scholarship program.
- The program also allows graduate students to apply as individuals.
- The program is now sponsor funded.
- Howard University, an HBCU, is the first college to participate in the new program.

# NANOG 84 Ombuds Report

# Formal Concerns of Ombuds'

Below are concerns directly expressed to the Ombuds. \*Note: Numbers in parentheses reflect the number of distinct (different people at different times) concerns brought forward.

- (1) Insincere delivery of Code of Conduct
- (2) Touchy-feely and inappropriately 'playful' attendees
- (2) A lack of belonging for women
- (1) Attendees "treating this conference like a dating pool"
- (1) A lack of belonging for people of color
- (1) Challenge with a vendor in the enforcement of the conference vaccination policy
- (1) Marketing materials (blood red color)
- (3) Overbearing and controlling leaders drowning out participant's experiences

Read the full report: <https://nanog.org/resources/nanog-ombuds/nanog-84-ombuds-report/>

# Annual Report



NANOG<sup>®</sup>

2021

Annual Report

North American  
Network Operators'  
Group

# Program Committee Updates



# Rolling Call for Presentations

*Reminder! You can submit for future NANOGs in the tool*

- **Earlier submissions allow for:**
  - More time for improvements and feedback
  - Consideration as soon as they have a draft slide deck
- **Earlier acceptances allow for:**
  - More time for business and travel approvals
  - Earlier publishing of the agenda

## Meeting

- ✓ NANOG 85 - Montréal, Québec
- NANOG 86 - Hollywood, CA
- NANOG 87 - Atlanta, GA**
- NANOG 88 - Seattle, WA
- NANOG 89 - San Diego, CA

# Rolling CFP Success!

- We already have 4 talks accepted and confirmed for NANOG 86 in Hollywood, CA.
- We also have 12 talks currently in pending status as of May 26th

# Life Cycle of a Talk Submission

## What does a shepherd & content reviewer do?

- Shepherd: guides you through the process the whole way from submission to peer review (and acceptance if applicable)
- Content Reviewer: like your copy editor, ensures the NANOG Presentation Guidelines are met



# Life Cycle of a Talk Submission

## How complete do draft slides need to be?

- More than just an abstract or just titles of slides, but can still be fleshed out further later.
- Enough to get your main points across to the reviewers



# Increase Your Chance of Success

- Program Committee members and your shepherd are here to help!
- With the pandemic easing up, submission rates are increasing
  - Get your draft slides in as early as possible
  - Improve your talk with peer review feedback from the PC
  - Reference the NANOG Presentation Guidelines for font sizes, logo placements, etc.

# Polls



Q+A

