Before the Hearings Panel At Porirua City Council

Under Schedule 1 of the Resource Management Act 1991

In the matter of the Proposed Porirua District Plan

Between Various

Submitters

And Porirua City Council

Respondent

Statement of supplementary planning evidence of Torrey James McDonnell on behalf of Porirua City Council

Date: 27 September 2021

INTRODUCTION:

- 1 My full name is Torrey James McDonnell. I am employed as a Principal Policy Planner for Porirua City Council.
- I have read the evidence and tabled statements provided by submitters relevant to the Section 42A Report Part A Overarching Report¹.
- I have prepared this statement of evidence on behalf of the Porirua City Council (Council) in respect of technical related matters arising from the submissions and further submissions on the Proposed Porirua District Plan (PDP).
- 4 Specifically, this statement of evidence relates to the matters in the Section 42A Report Part A Overarching Report
- 5 I am authorised to provide this evidence on behalf of the Council.

QUALIFICATIONS, EXPERIENCE AND CODE OF CONDUCT

- Appendix C of my section 42A report sets out my qualifications and experience.
- 7 I confirm that I am continuing to abide by the Code of Conduct for Expert
 Witnesses set out in the Environment Court's Practice Note 2014.

SCOPE OF EVIDENCE

8 My statement of evidence addresses one matter raised by a submitter through expert evidence. I would also like to address a procedural matter relating to further submissions.

-

¹ Noting that there were no matters raised in relation to the Part A Overarching Report in the evidence provided by Foodstuffs, Rebecca Eng for Transpower NZ Ltd or the Department of Corrections.

I acknowledge that there are a range of matters in contention as outlined in expert evidence from submitters. However, there is nothing further I wish to add in addition to my analysis in the s42A report for these matters.

Documents incorporated by reference

- In relation to Karen William's evidence for Kāinga Ora (paragraph 5.14),

 I would like to confirm for the Panel that submission point 81.927 will be addressed further in a subsequent hearing stream.
- I would like to clarify that design guides are not incorporated by reference. Design guides are in fact incorporated into, and form part of the PDP.

Further submissions

- I would like to advise the Panel that there are further submissions that support submissions in their entirety, and which were omitted in my s42A report. These are:
 - the further submission from QEII [FS06.1] supports the submission from Forest and Bird in its entirety
 - the further submission from QEII [FS06.2] supports the submission from DOC in its entirety
 - the further submission from TROTR [FS70.50] supports the submission Te Whanau Horomona as follows:

TROTR supports the submitter's amendments, additions, and supporting statements for the PDP on the basis that they support and uphold cultural values, intergenerational wellbeing and Ngāti Toa's role as mana whenua.

In these cases, recommendations in relation to these further submissions reflect the recommendations on the relevant primary submission.

Date: 27/9/2021

M. Donal