

**Before the Hearings Panel
At Porirua City Council**

Under Schedule 1 of the Resource Management Act 1991

In the matter of the Proposed Porirua District Plan

Between **Various**

Submitters

And **Porirua City Council**

Respondent

**Statement of supplementary planning evidence of Gina Sweetman on behalf
of Porirua City Council**

Date: 12 May 2022

INTRODUCTION:

- 1 My full name is Gina Marie Sweetman. I am a consultant planner, engaged by Porirua City Council (the Council) for the purpose of the Proposed Porirua District Plan (PDP).

- 2 I have read the respective expert evidence of:
 - a. Adrienne Black, Waka Kotahi [82]

 - b. Claudia Jones, Waka Kotahi [82]

 - c. Ray O’Callahan, 1010 Homes Ltd [125]¹

- 3 I have prepared this statement of evidence on behalf of the Council in respect of technical related matters arising from the submissions and further submissions on the PDP.

- 4 Specifically, this statement of evidence relates to the matters in Chapter FUZ – Future Urban Zone, in Part 2 of the PDP. I note that I am also the author of the section 42A report on Strategic Objectives RE-O1 and RE-O2 and UFD-O5. There are no matters arising from submissions to address in this statement.

- 5 I am authorised to provide this evidence on behalf of the Council.

QUALIFICATIONS, EXPERIENCE AND CODE OF CONDUCT

- 6 Appendix C of my section 42A report sets out my qualifications and experience.

¹ I note that at the time of writing this statement, the Panel had yet to determine whether it would be accepted as late evidence.

7 I confirm that I am continuing to abide by the Code of Conduct for Expert Witnesses set out in the Environment Court's Practice Note 2014.

SCOPE OF EVIDENCE

8 My statement of evidence addresses the following matters:

8.1 Recommended amendment to Appendix 11 sought by Waka Kotahi

8.2 The submission from the Gwynn Family Trust

8.3 The submission from Jenny Blake

AMENDMENT TO APPENDIX 11 TO INCLUDE INTEGRATED TRANSPORT ASSESSMENT

9 Waka Kotahi submitted on the inclusion of Judgeford Hills in the FUZ – Future Urban Zone, seeking that it be removed.

10 While Ms. Black remains of the view that inclusion of Judgeford Hills is not aligned with the strategic and policy direction that guides Waka Kotahi, she acknowledges that:

10.1 Waka Kotahi is either a partner to or has previously provided support to strategic documents that have included Judgeford Hills as a growth area

10.2 Judgeford Hills meets the criteria in FUZ-P1 for its inclusion in the FUZ – Future Urban Zone²

11 In paragraph 7.5, she amends the relief to conditional support of Judgeford Hills, subject to the PDP signalling that more work is required

² Paragraph 7.2 of Ms. Black's statement of evidence.

to determine how adequate transportation connections will be put in place, through the Structure Plan process.³

- 12 Relying on the evidence of Ms Black, Ms Jones seeks that Appendix 11 be amended under the header “Transport networks” to include a new 6, the provision of an integrated transport assessment, addressing points 1 to 5 above, and including any intersection capacity assessments.
- 13 In my view, this amendment is not necessary. Under the header “Specialist documents to support the structure plan and plan change process’, item 2: Infrastructure already includes “integrated transport assessment”. While the header states ‘reports may be required on the matters listed below” [my emphasis], I consider that this is sufficient direction to address Waka Kotahi’s concern. In respect to the need for intersection capacity assessments, in my view this is already sufficiently encapsulated by points 1 to 5 under transport networks.
- 14 If the Panel is of the view that this is not sufficient, I recommend that point 1 could be expanded to include “..., including the capacity of any intersections”.

SUBMISSION FROM THE GWYNN FAMILY TRUST [12]

- 15 I erroneously did not specifically include or address the submission from the Gwynn Family Trust (the Trust) in my s42A report. I have reviewed the submission, which in summary seeks that Judgeford Flat be rezoned to Rural Lifestyle, for a number of reasons. As part of the submission, the Trust also seeks that the FUZ – Future Urban Zone be moved to be up Moonshine Road.

³ Conclusion in Ms. Black’s statement of evidence.

16 In my opinion, the matters raised by the Trust are the same as those that have been raised by other submitters to inclusion of Judgeford Flat in the FUZ – Future Urban Zone, as set out and addressed in section 3.5. of my section 42A report. In particular, section 3.5.2 addressed submissions against the Future Urban Zoning and section 3.5.4 addresses amendments to the Future Urban Zone Boundary.

SUBMISSION FROM JENNIFER BLAKE [17]

17 Ms. Blake has contacted the Council expressing concern that her submission seeking rezoning of Judgeford Flat to Rural Lifestyle Zone has not been addressed in my section 42A report. Her requested rezoning is addressed in paragraphs 3.5.3.1 to 3.5.3.3 of my section 42A report.

Date: 12 May 2022

Gina Sweetman

Consultant Planner

