

**Response from Paremata Residents Association to the proposed PDP Hearing Panel's  
Minute 41 – Stream 6 Follow-Up**

To the Chair  
Proposed PDP Hearings Panel

Thank you for giving us the option of providing a condition-by-condition commentary to identify those conditions that we believe have continuing relevance.

As previously stated, we have been reluctant to spend further time on such an exercise because:

- We see it as a very subjective exercise requiring us to speculate about what people reading the designations in the PDP may want to know, and what designation changes might be sought after the consultations required by the Environment Court.
- We believe that the appropriate time to make changes would be when making any changes arising from those consultations and subsequent decisions. To do so earlier seems premature and could make the consultations more difficult.
- There doesn't seem to be any compelling reasons that require the conditions to be removed at this stage and, at least from a public perspective, the advantages of retaining them seem to outweigh any administrative reasons to remove them.

The attached summary covers designation K0412 because it contains condition 59 requiring the consultations. It confirms our belief that there are reasons which, to a greater or lesser extent, justify retaining virtually all the conditions at this stage – and we envisage that the same would apply with designation K0411.

We thought it might also be useful to comment on your observations about the situation now with condition 59 which stipulates that the consultations should happen *“prior to the completion of the construction of Transmission Gully Motorway”*. We believe that this was always understood by the community and other parties to mean prior to the opening of the new route – in the same way that the commitment made by Transit to remove the Mana clearways was supposed to be carried out *“in conjunction with the opening of TGM”* and would be honoured *“whether imposed as conditions of the requirement or not”*. After Waka Kotahi officers appear to have discovered the existence of the BOI decision in May last year, however, they then chose to give it precedence over condition 59.

For your information, although we are happy from a practical point of view for the formal consultations and longer-term decision-making to be delayed until Transmission Gully has been in place for over 6 months, we resent Waka Kotahi's decision to dishonour its commitments without any consultation and its refusal to even discuss trialing the removal of the now unnecessary clearways with all their associated health and safety issues.

We hope these comments and the attached analysis are helpful.

Tony Shaw and Russell Morrison  
For Paremata Residents Association

30 June 2022