

I have shown any changes proposed as follows:

s42A Report	deletions /insertions
Statement of supplementary planning evidence	deletions /insertions
Right of Reply version	deletions /insertions

Recommended responses to submissions and further submissions on Variation 1 to the PDP - Residential Zones, Planning Maps and General Topics

Sub. Ref.	Submitter / Further Submitter	Provision	Decision Requested	Section of this Report where Addressed	Officer's Recommendation	Officers' Reasons/Comments	Recommended Amendments to PDP?
Planning Maps – General							
OS76.64 ¹	Kāinga Ora		Mapping changes sought are included in Appendix 3 [to submission] [Refer to original submission for full decision requested, including attachment]	3.2 See Statement of supplementary planning evidence of Michael David Rachlin and See Right of Reply of Michael Rachlin	Reject Accept in part	See body of the report See Statement of supplementary planning evidence of Michael David Rachlin and See Right of Reply of Michael Rachlin	No Yes
OS76.121 ²	Kāinga Ora		Accept all changes sought from Kāinga Ora to the planning maps as shown in Appendix 3 [to submission].	3.2 See Statement of supplementary planning evidence of Michael David Rachlin and See Right of Reply of Michael Rachlin	Reject Accept in part	See body of the report See Statement of supplementary planning evidence of Michael David Rachlin and See Right of Reply of Michael Rachlin	No Yes

¹ Oppose - Leigh Subritzky [FS17.732], Alan Collett [FS99.97], Rebecca Davis [FS127.71], Roger Gadd [FS75.19], Te Rūnanga o Toa Rangatira [FS114.77], Support in part – KiwiRail [FS72.32]

² Oppose - Leigh Subritzky [FS17.789], Alan Collett [FS99.154], Rebecca Davis [FS127.128], Te Rūnanga o Toa Rangatira [FS114.80], Support in part – KiwiRail [FS72.35]

Sub. Ref.	Submitter / Further Submitter	Provision	Decision Requested	Section of this Report where Addressed	Officer's Recommendation	Officers' Reasons/Comments	Recommended Amendments to PDP?
OS76.165 ³	Kāinga Ora		Accept the changes sought to the planning maps as shown in Appendix 3 of this submission.	3.2 See Statement of supplementary planning evidence of Michael David Rachlin and See Right of Reply of Michael Rachlin	Reject Accept in part	See body of the report See Statement of supplementary planning evidence of Michael David Rachlin and See Right of Reply of Michael Rachlin	No Yes
Planning Maps -Metropolitan Zone Centre (City Centre Zone in PDP) and Large Format Retail Zone							
OS76.308 ⁴	Kāinga Ora	General	Accept the changes sought from Kāinga Ora to the planning maps as shown in Appendix 3 of this submission [in relation to MCZ].	3.2	Reject	See body of report	No
OS76.334 ⁵	Kāinga Ora	Rezoning	Reduce the spatial extent of the LFRZ to the north of the city centre and rezone this area to MCZ.	3.2	Reject	See body of report	No
OS76.335 ⁶	Kāinga Ora	Rezoning	Accept the changes sought from Kāinga Ora to the planning maps as shown in Appendix 3 of this submission. [Large Format Retail Zone]	3.2	Reject	See body of report	No
OS76.336 ⁷	Kāinga Ora	General	It is acknowledged that this includes the area identified as the Whitireia Tertiary Education Precinct, which is also sought to be rehoused into the MCZ, with consequential changes to provisions to reflect the shift in chapters.	3.2	Reject	See body of report	No
OS76.30 ⁸	Kāinga Ora	Rezoning	Expand spatial extent of MCZ by rezoning Large Format Retail Zone to the north of the city MCZ.	3.2	Reject	See body of report	No
OS76.51 ⁹	Kāinga Ora	Rezoning	Rezone northern extent of the city centre from LFRZ to MCZ.	3.2	Reject	See body of report	No
OS76.306 ¹⁰	Kāinga Ora	General	Generally, supports the use of the MCZ; although Kāinga Ora is seeking expansion to the zone to replace the LFRZ at the north of the city centre.	3.2	Reject	See body of report	No
Planning Maps – Local Centre Zone							
OS76.243 ¹¹	Kāinga Ora	General	Retain Local Centre Zone and spatial extent as notified, with the exception of Mana, where a new Town Centre Zone is sought.	3.2	Accept in part	See body of the report	No

³ Oppose - Leigh Subritzky [FS17.833], Alan Collett [FS99.198], Rebecca Davis [FS127.172], Support in part – KiwiRail [FS72.37]

⁴ Oppose - Leigh Subritzky [FS17.976], Alan Collett [FS99.341], Rebecca Davis [FS127.315], Support in part – KiwiRail [FS72.44]

⁵ Oppose - Leigh Subritzky [FS17.1002], Alan Collett [FS99.367], Rebecca Davis [FS127.341], Greater Wellington Regional Council [FS74.158]

⁶ Oppose - Leigh Subritzky [FS17.1003], Alan Collett [FS99.368], Rebecca Davis [FS127.342], Support in part – KiwiRail [FS72.45]

⁷ Oppose - Leigh Subritzky [FS17.1004], Alan Collett [FS99.369], Rebecca Davis [FS127.343]

⁸ Oppose - Leigh Subritzky [FS17.698], Alan Collett [FS99.63], Rebecca Davis [FS127.341], Greater Wellington Regional Council [FS74.137], Roger Gadd [FS75.51]

⁹ Oppose - Leigh Subritzky [FS17.719], Alan Collett [FS99.84], Rebecca Davis [FS127.341], Greater Wellington Regional Council [FS74.143], Roger Gadd [FS75.31].

¹⁰ Oppose - Leigh Subritzky [FS17.974], Alan Collett [FS99.339], Rebecca Davis [FS127.313], Greater Wellington Regional Council [FS74.157].

¹¹ Oppose - Leigh Subritzky [FS17.911], Alan Collett [FS99.276], Rebecca Davis [FS127.250], Greater Wellington Regional Council [FS74.155].

Sub. Ref.	Submitter / Further Submitter	Provision	Decision Requested	Section of this Report where Addressed	Officer's Recommendation	Officers' Reasons/Comments	Recommended Amendments to PDP?
OS76.245 ¹²	Kāinga Ora	General	Accept the changes sought from Kāinga Ora to the planning maps as shown in Appendix 3 of this submission. [in relation to Local Centre Zone]	3.2 See Right of Reply of Michael Rachlin	Reject Accept in part	See body of the report See Right of Reply of Michael Rachlin	No Yes
OS76.37 ¹³	Kāinga Ora	Rezoning	Extend spatial extent of LCZ in Paremata.	3.2 See Right of Reply of Michael Rachlin	Reject Accept in part	See body of the report See Right of Reply of Michael Rachlin	No Yes
OS28.1 ¹⁴	Paremata Business Park	Rezoning	Include 17, 19, 21, 23, 25 ,27 and 29 Paremata Crescent into the Local Centre Zoning. This should also include extending the active frontage requirements. Or adopt any other such relief, including additions, deletions or consequential amendments necessary as a result of the matters raised in this submission, as necessary to give effect to this submission	3.2 See Right of Reply of Michael Rachlin	Reject Accept in part	See body of the report See Right of Reply of Michael Rachlin	No Yes
OS76.56 ¹⁵	Kāinga Ora	Rezoning	Expand the spatial extent of LCZ in Paremata.	3.2 See Right of Reply of Michael Rachlin	Reject Accept in part	See body of the report See Right of Reply of Michael Rachlin	No Yes
Planning Maps – Neighbourhood Centre Zone							
OS76.218 ¹⁶	Kāinga Ora	General	Otherwise, retain and support the use of and spatial extent of the NCZ as notified.	3.2	Accept	Agree with submitter	No
OS76.219 ¹⁷	Kāinga Ora	General	Accept the changes sought from Kāinga Ora to the planning maps as shown in Appendix 3 of this submission. [expanded NCZ at Pukerua Bay]	3.2	Reject	See body of the report	No
OS76.44 ¹⁸	Kāinga Ora	Rezoning	Extend spatial extent of the NCZ in Pukerua Bay.	3.2	Reject	See body of the report	No
OS76.55 ¹⁹	Kāinga Ora	Rezoning	Expand the spatial extent of NCZ at Pukerua Bay.	3.2	Reject	See body of the report	No
Planning Maps – Mixed Use Zone							
OS76.279 ²⁰	Kāinga Ora	General	Retain Mixed Use Zone and spatial extent as notified, with the exception of the MUZ to the east of the Mungavin Interchange (west of Rānui), where HRZ is sought.	3.2	Accept in part, insofar as it relates to retaining the spatial extent of	See body of the report	No

¹² Oppose - Leigh Subritzky [FS17.913], Alan Collett [FS99.278], Rebecca Davis [FS127.252], Support – KiwiRail [FS72.40].

¹³ Oppose - Leigh Subritzky [FS17.705], Alan Collett [FS99.70], Rebecca Davis [FS127.44], Greater Wellington Regional Council [FS74.138], Roger Gadd [FS75.45].

¹⁴ Oppose - Leigh Subritzky [FS17.607].

¹⁵ Oppose - Leigh Subritzky [FS17.724], Harbour Trust & Guardians of Pāuatahanui Inlet [FS32.68, FS32.82], Alan Collett [FS99.89], Rebecca Davis [FS127.63], Greater Wellington Regional Council [FS74.148], Roger Gadd [FS75.26].

¹⁶ Oppose - Leigh Subritzky [FS17.886], Alan Collett [FS99.251], Rebecca Davis [FS127.225].

¹⁷ Oppose - Leigh Subritzky [FS17.887], Alan Collett [FS99.252], Rebecca Davis [FS127.226], Support – KiwiRail [FS72.39].

¹⁸ Oppose - Leigh Subritzky [FS17.712], Alan Collett [FS99.77], Rebecca Davis [FS127.51], Greater Wellington Regional Council [FS74.139], Pukerua Bay Residents Association [FS47.23], Roger Gadd [FS75.38].

¹⁹ Oppose - Leigh Subritzky [FS17.723], Harbour Trust & Guardians of Pāuatahanui Inlet [FS32.67, FS32.81], Alan Collett [FS99.88], Rebecca Davis [FS127.62], Greater Wellington Regional Council [FS74.147], Roger Gadd [FS75.27].

²⁰ Oppose - Leigh Subritzky [FS17.947], Alan Collett [FS99.312], Rebecca Davis [FS127.286], Greater Wellington Regional Council [FS74.156].

Sub. Ref.	Submitter / Further Submitter	Provision	Decision Requested	Section of this Report where Addressed	Officer's Recommendation	Officers' Reasons/Comments	Recommended Amendments to PDP?
					the Mixed Use Zone.		
Planning Maps – Extension to High Density Residential Zone							
OS76.25 ²¹	Kāinga Ora	General	Introduce zone [High Density Residential Zone] in walkable catchment around the train stations of Pukerua Bay and Paremata, which service commuter travel on the Kāpiti Line.	3.2	Reject	See body of the report	No
OS76.26 ²²	Kāinga Ora	General	Increase spatial extent of HRZ around an expanded Metropolitan Centre Zone.	3.2	Reject	See body of the report	No
OS76.48 ²³	Kāinga Ora	General	Expand the HRZ to apply to areas that are generally: 10min/800m from the edge of MCZ	3.2	Reject	See body of the report	No
OS76.116 ²⁴	Kāinga Ora	Rezoning	Retain the areas applied with HRZ as notified, with the exception of specific changes sought in this submission and in Appendix 3 [to submission].	3.2 See Statement of supplementary planning evidence of Michael David Rachlin and See Right of Reply of Michael Rachlin	Reject Accept in part	See Statement of supplementary planning evidence of Michael David Rachlin and See Right of Reply of Michael Rachlin	No Yes
OS76.117 ²⁵	Kāinga Ora	General	Expand and seek for HRZ to apply to areas that are generally: i. 10min/800m walkable catchment from the expanded edge of MCZ and from rapid transit stops (including the train stations at Paremata and Pukerua Bay) ii. 10min/800m walkable catchment from the Town Centre Zone iii. Increase height limits to from 22m to 36m within 400m catchment of the Metropolitan Centre Zone as a Height Variation Control.	3.2	Reject, insofar as it relates to extension to HRZ	See body of the report	No
OS76.163 ²⁶	Kāinga Ora	Rezoning	Retain the areas applied with MRZ across the Plan as notified, except where changes are sought from Kāinga Ora in this submission and in Appendix 3 [to submission] to upzone specific locations from MRZ to HRZ.	3.2 See Statement of supplementary planning	Reject Accept in part	See Statement of supplementary planning evidence of Michael David Rachlin and See Right of Reply of Michael Rachlin	No Yes

²¹ Oppose - Leigh Subritzky [FS17.693], Harbour Trust & Guardians of Pāuatahanui Inlet [FS32.60, FS32.74], Pukerua Bay Residents Association [FS47.22], Alan Collett [FS99.58], Rebecca Davis [FS127.32], Greater Wellington Regional Council [FS74.134], Roger Gadd [FS75.56], Te Rūnanga o Toa Rangatira [FS114.66].

²² Oppose - Leigh Subritzky [FS17.694], Harbour Trust & Guardians of Pāuatahanui Inlet [FS32.61, FS32.75], Alan Collett [FS99.59], Rebecca Davis [FS127.33], Greater Wellington Regional Council [FS74.135], Roger Gadd [FS75.55], Toka Tū Ake EQC [FS37.11], Support – RVA [FS118.204].

²³ Oppose - Leigh Subritzky [FS17.716], Alan Collett [FS99.81], Rebecca Davis [FS127.55], Greater Wellington Regional Council [FS74.141], Roger Gadd [FS75.34].

²⁴ Oppose - Leigh Subritzky [FS17.784], Alan Collett [FS99.149], Rebecca Davis [FS127.123], Greater Wellington Regional Council [FS74.149], Te Rūnanga o Toa Rangatira [FS114.78], Support – KiwiRail [FS72.33].

²⁵ Oppose - Leigh Subritzky [FS17.785], Alan Collett [FS99.150], Rebecca Davis [FS127.124], Greater Wellington Regional Council [FS74.150], Toka Tū Ake EQC [FS37.18].

²⁶ Oppose - Leigh Subritzky [FS17.831], Alan Collett [FS99.196], Rebecca Davis [FS127.170], Support – KiwiRail [FS72.36].

Sub. Ref.	Submitter / Further Submitter	Provision	Decision Requested	Section of this Report where Addressed	Officer's Recommendation	Officers' Reasons/Comments	Recommended Amendments to PDP?
				evidence of Michael David Rachlin and See Right of Reply of Michael Rachlin			
OS81.8 ²⁷	Waka Kotahi	Rezoning	Re-zone Pukerua Bay from Medium Density Residential Zone to High Density Residential Zone, or provide justification as to why Medium Density is most appropriate for the area.	3.2	Reject	See body of the report	No
OS11.1 ²⁸	Paul Clegg	Retain zoning	Retain the decision not to have a HRZ in Pukerua Bay.	n/a	Accept	Agree with submitter	No
OS76.47 ²⁹	Kāinga Ora	General	Mapping changes are required to reflect amendments to the wider geographical spread of the HRZ to better achieve well-functioning urban environments and national and regional consistency. [see Appendix 3 to submission]	3.2 See Statement of supplementary planning evidence of Michael David Rachlin and See Right of Reply of Michael Rachlin	Reject Accept in part	See Statement of supplementary planning evidence of Michael David Rachlin and See Right of Reply of Michael Rachlin	No Yes
OS21.1 ³⁰	Robin Auld	General	[Not specified, refer to original submission] [Supports the high density plan for Plimmerton]	n/a	Accept	Agree with submitter	No
OS76.27 ³¹	Kāinga Ora	Rezoning	Rezone land to the east of the Mungavin Interchange from Mixed Use Zone to HRZ.	3.2	Reject	See body of the report	No
OS76.52 ³²	Kāinga Ora	Rezoning	Commensurate increase in spatial extent of High Density Residential Zone in surrounding area [due to expanded MCZ sought by Submitter]	3.2	Reject	See body of the report	No
OS76.53 ³³	Kāinga Ora	Rezoning	Rezone area of Mixed Use Zone to the east of the Mungavin Interchange (western extent of Rānui) to High Density Residential Zone.	3.2	Reject	See body of the report	No
OS76.120 ³⁴	Kāinga Ora	Rezoning	Rezone land on the eastern side of the Mungavin interchange, at the western extent of Rānui from Mixed Use to High Density Residential.	3.2	Reject	See body of the report	No
Planning Maps – Rezoning from Open Space Zone to residential or from residential to Open Space Zone							

²⁷ Oppose - Leigh Subritzky [FS17.1042], Support - Kāinga Ora [FS76.394].

²⁸ Support - Leigh Subritzky [FS17.24], Alan Collett [FS99.18], Rebecca Davis [FS127.1].

²⁹ Oppose - Leigh Subritzky [FS17.715], Alan Collett [FS99.80], Rebecca Davis [FS127.54], Greater Wellington Regional Council [FS74.140], Te Rūnanga o Toa Rangatira [FS114.76], Roger Gadd [FS75.35], Support – KiwiRail [FS72.31].

³⁰ Oppose - Leigh Subritzky [FS17.602].

³¹ Oppose - Leigh Subritzky [FS17.695], Harbour Trust & Guardians of Pāuatahanui Inlet [FS32.62, FS32.76], Alan Collett [FS99.60], Rebecca Davis [FS127.34], Greater Wellington Regional Council [FS74.136], Roger Gadd [FS75.54], Support – RVA [FS118.205].

³² Oppose - Leigh Subritzky [FS17.720], Harbour Trust & Guardians of Pāuatahanui Inlet [FS32.64, FS32.78], Alan Collett [FS99.85], Rebecca Davis [FS127.59], Greater Wellington Regional Council [FS74.144], Roger Gadd [FS75.30].

³³ Oppose - Leigh Subritzky [FS17.721], Harbour Trust & Guardians of Pāuatahanui Inlet [FS32.65, FS32.79], Alan Collett [FS99.86], Rebecca Davis [FS127.60], Greater Wellington Regional Council [FS74.145], Roger Gadd [FS75.29].

³⁴ Oppose - Leigh Subritzky [FS17.788], Alan Collett [FS99.153], Rebecca Davis [FS127.127], Greater Wellington Regional Council [FS74.151].

Sub. Ref.	Submitter / Further Submitter	Provision	Decision Requested	Section of this Report where Addressed	Officer's Recommendation	Officers' Reasons/Comments	Recommended Amendments to PDP?
OS74.74 ³⁵	GWRC	Rezoning	Amend to avoid zoning of High Density Residential within stream corridors and amend to a more appropriate zoning, such as open space.	3.2	Reject	See body of the report	No
OS74.74 ³⁶	GWRC	Rezoning	Amend to avoid zoning of Medium Density Residential within stream corridor and amend to a more appropriate zoning, such as open space.	3.2	Reject	See body of the report	No
Planning Maps – Precincts							
OS76.162 ³⁷	Kāinga Ora	MRZ-Residential Intensification Precinct	Retain the areas applied with MRZ-Residential Intensification Precinct as notified.	n/a	Accept	Agree with submitter	No
OS64.7 ³⁸	Brian Warburton	MRZ-Residential Intensification Precinct	Seeks that, as far as the MRZ-RIP in Titahi Bay and the 'accessibility' parameter of the NPS-UD are concerned, the Council should discount St Pius School. [Refer to original submission for full decision requested, including attachments where relevant]	3.2	Reject	See body of the report	No
OS76.164 ³⁹	Kāinga Ora	MRZ-Residential Intensification Precinct	Seek an increase to the spatial extent of MRZ-RIP to include areas shown as "MRZ-Residential Intensification Precincts" which are within 400m of the Local Centre – as shown on the maps in Appendix 3 [to submission].	3.2	Reject	See body of the report	No

³⁵ Support - Leigh Subritzky [FS17.399], Harbour Trust & Guardians of Pāuatahanui Inlet [FS32.55], Oppose - Kāinga Ora [FS76.387], RVA [FS118.139]

³⁶ Support - Leigh Subritzky [FS17.400], Harbour Trust & Guardians of Pāuatahanui Inlet [FS32.56], Oppose - Kāinga Ora [FS76.388], RVA [FS118.140]

³⁷ Oppose - Leigh Subritzky [FS17.830], Alan Collett [FS99.195], Rebecca Davis [FS127.169].

³⁸ Support - Leigh Subritzky [FS17.273]

³⁹ Oppose - Leigh Subritzky [FS17.832], Alan Collett [FS99.197], Rebecca Davis [FS127.171], Greater Wellington Regional Council [FS74.153].

Recommended responses to submissions and further submissions on Variation 1 to the PDP - Residential Zones, Planning Maps and General Topics - Continued

Sub. Ref.	Submitter / Further Submitter	Provision	Decision Requested	Section of this Report where Addressed	Officer's Recommendation	Officers' Reasons/Comments	Recommended Amendments to PDP?
Approach to Walkable catchments							
OS38.18	Amos Mann	Walkable catchment	Enable larger, more comprehensive developments in centres, and increase height limits in the 15-minute walking catchments to rail stations.	3.3	Accept in part	See body of the report	No
OS56.3	John Cody	Walkable catchment	Seeks: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Extend the scope for high density development to within 1.2 km of a railway station unless precluded by engineering limitations or gradients. Specific decisions about building heights should be subject to a requirement that changes in the pattern of settlement must contribute to the Objectives. 	3.3	Reject	See body of the report	No
OS81.3 ⁴⁰	Waka Kotahi	Walkable catchment	[Not specified, refer to original submission]	3.3	Accept	Agree with submitter	No
OS104.1	Frances Cawthorn	Walkable catchment	Larger walking catchments for intensification around centres and mass transit hubs.	3.3	Reject	See body of the report	No
OS38.21	Amos Mann	Walkable catchment	In regard to the HRZ, supports larger walking catchments for intensification around centres and mass transit hubs.	3.3	Reject	See body of the report	No
OS56.5	John Cody	Walkable catchment	Introduce a positive term that is an equivalent of 'walkable catchment' and emphasises the potential advantages of increasing the number of people able to use local amenities and services.	3.3	Reject	See body of the report	No
OS60.5	Rosie Gallagher	Walkable catchment	In regard to the HRZ, supports larger walking catchments for intensification around centres and mass transit hubs.	3.3	Reject	See body of the report	No
OS60.8	Rosie Gallagher	Walkable catchment	Submitter supports larger walking catchments for intensification around centres and mass transit hubs.	3.3	Reject	See body of the report	No
OS64.9	Brian Warburton	Walkable catchment	[Not specified, refer to original submission]	3.3	Reject	See body of the report	No
OS70.2	Paremata Residents Association	Walkable catchment	Define "walkable catchment" and apply it sensibly and reasonably to determine the areas that should be identified as MRZ – Intensification Precincts.	3.3	Accept in part	See body of the report	No
OS79.10 ⁴¹	Plimmerton Residents' Association	Walkable catchment	Request for council to consider the following: (1) Walkable by who? A fit 30 year old ? A parent with a baby buggy and a five year old? An elderly person or someone with mobility issues? Someone returning from the supermarket with heavy shopping bags? The Submitter asks that the needs of all users are taken into account, especially as less provision is being made for parking of private cars in new developments. (2) Has topography been taken into account? With so many steep hill sites proposed for HRZ, this factor is important. Walking up or down a steep hill implies quite a different level of "walkability" than walking on the flat. Submitter further note that the walkways	3.3	Accept in part	See body of the report	No

⁴⁰ Support - Kāinga Ora [FS76.391]

⁴¹ Rebecca Davis [FS127.375]

Sub. Ref.	Submitter / Further Submitter	Provision	Decision Requested	Section of this Report where Addressed	Officer's Recommendation	Officers' Reasons/Comments	Recommended Amendments to PDP?
			in the Plimmerton/Camborne area are generally steep stairways, which cannot be accessed by parents with strollers or less mobile pedestrians. (3) Another factor complicating the walkability and accessibility of the area is the location of safe pedestrian crossings across the rail line and a four lane State Highway, plus the location of access routes to the station itself. Request for Council to share the basis for setting the HRZ zone boundaries.				
OS81.10 ⁴²	Waka Kotahi	Walkable catchment	Retain as notified.	n/a	Accept	See body of the report	No
OS83.5	Isabella G F Cawthorn	Walkable catchment	Larger walking catchments for intensification around centres and mass transit hubs. Where a 10-minute catchment has been used or a conservative 15-minute walking catchment used (e.g. because there is a hill), this should be extended to a bold 15-minute or even a 20-minute walk.	3.3	Reject	See body of the report	No
OS83.14	Isabella G F Cawthorn	Walkable catchment	Larger walking catchments for intensification around centres and mass transit hubs. Where a 10-minute catchment has been used or a conservative 15-minute walking catchment used (e.g. because there is a hill), this should be extended to a bold 15-minute or even a 20-minute walk.	3.3	Reject	See body of the report	No
OS83.11	Isabella G F Cawthorn	Walkable catchment	Height limits increased in the 15-minute walking catchments to rail of Kenepuru, Paremata, Mana, Plimmerton, and Pukerua Bay.	3.3	Accept in part	See body of the report	No
OS79.9	Plimmerton Residents' Association	Walkable catchment	[Not specified, refer to original submission]	3.3	Reject	See body of the report	No
OS40.1	Ian Baxter	Walkable catchment	[Not specified, refer to original submission]	3.3	Accept in part	See body of the report	No
OS38.12	Amos Mann	Walkable catchment	In regard to the MRZ, submitter supports larger walking catchments for intensification around centres and mass transit hubs.	3.3	Reject	See body of the report	No
OS2.1	Marg Pearce	Intensification	Strongly supports the government's direction to enable medium and possibly high density housing in Porirua City.	n/a	Accept	Agree with submitter	No
OS60.1	Rosie Gallagher	Walkable catchment	In regard to Medium Density Residential Zones, increase height limits in the 15-minute walking catchments to rail stations	3.3	Accept in part	See body of the report	No
Urban Design							
OS38.1	Amos Mann	Urban Design Guides	Accessibility and Universal Design requirements in the Design Guides and in incentives.	3.4	Reject	See body of the report	No
OS39.3	Madeleine Waters	Urban Design Guides	In relation to High and Medium Density Residential Zones, seeks that well thought out Design Guidelines are needed to ensure the medium and high density developments in Porirua:	3.4	Accept	Agree with submitter	No

⁴² Support - Kāinga Ora [FS76.395]

Sub. Ref.	Submitter / Further Submitter	Provision	Decision Requested	Section of this Report where Addressed	Officer's Recommendation	Officers' Reasons/Comments	Recommended Amendments to PDP?
			<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Are of a high quality • Are sympathetic to people's physical and mental health needs <p>Are designed to be well laid out, aesthetically pleasing, fit for people to live in</p>				
OS76.4 ⁴³	Kāinga Ora	Urban Design Guides	Delete references to Design Guides across the plan and update provisions to reflect design outcomes. External design guides to be referenced as a guidance note.	3.4	Reject	See body of the report	No
OS76.5 ⁴⁴	Kāinga Ora	Urban Design Guides	In the alternative, design guidance is streamlined and simplified.	3.4 See Right of Reply of Michael Rachlin	Reject Accept in part	See body of the report See Right of Reply of Michael Rachlin	No Yes
OS76.6 ⁴⁵	Kāinga Ora	Urban Design Guides	Seeks the design guides are guidance that is provided outside of the Plan and can be updated on best practice without the need to undertake a Schedule 1 of the RMA process every time it needs to be updated.	3.4	Reject	See body of the report	No
OS76.12 ⁴⁶	Kāinga Ora	Urban design	Residential Zones – generally better reflect design flexibility, planned urban built form, development density and height/daylight expectations.	3.4	Reject	See body of the report	No
OS76.15 ⁴⁷	Kāinga Ora	General	Remove reference to design guide(s) and introduce alternative guidance directly into provisions [from MRZ chapter].	3.4	Reject	See body of the report	No
OS76.33 ⁴⁸	Kāinga Ora	General	Revised provisions to clarify intended design outcomes. [MCZ]	3.4	Reject	See body of the report	No
OS76.39 ⁴⁹	Kāinga Ora	General	Revised provisions to clarify intended design outcomes. [LCZ]	3.4	Reject	See body of the report	No
OS76.42 ⁵⁰	Kāinga Ora	General	Revised provisions to clarify intended design outcomes. [NCZ]	3.4	Reject	See body of the report	No
OS76.45 ⁵¹	Kāinga Ora	General	Revised provisions to clarify intended design outcomes. [MUZ]	3.4	Reject	See body of the report	No
OS76.46 ⁵²	Kāinga Ora	Urban Design Guides	All Design Guides are deleted [from the District Plan].	3.4	Reject	See body of the report	No
OS76.65	Kāinga Ora	Urban Design Guides	Seeks the Design Guidelines are removed from within the District Plan and are treated as non-statutory tool, outside of the District Plan. A note should be added where reference is made to such guidelines:	3.4	Reject	See body of the report	No

⁴³ Oppose – Roger Gadd [FS75.77], Support – RVA [FS118.206]

⁴⁴ Oppose – Roger Gadd [FS75.76], Support – RVA [FS118.207]

⁴⁵ Oppose – Roger Gadd [FS75.75], Support – RVA [FS118.141]

⁴⁶ Support – RVA [FS118.183]

⁴⁷ Oppose – Roger Gadd [FS75.66]

⁴⁸ Oppose – Roger Gadd [FS75.48]

⁴⁹ Oppose – Roger Gadd [FS75.43]

⁵⁰ Oppose – Roger Gadd [FS75.40]

⁵¹ Oppose – Roger Gadd [FS75.37]

⁵² Oppose – Roger Gadd [FS75.36]

Sub. Ref.	Submitter / Further Submitter	Provision	Decision Requested	Section of this Report where Addressed	Officer's Recommendation	Officers' Reasons/Comments	Recommended Amendments to PDP?
			<p><u>Note:</u></p> <p><u>1. Acceptable means of compliance and best practice urban design guidance is contained within the Council's Design Guidelines.</u></p>				
OS76.66	Kāinga Ora	Urban Design Guides	Delete all references to the Design Guidelines and in any requirement to meet or follow the Design Guidelines in the provisions and PDP.	3.4	Reject	See body of the report	No
OS76.69	Kāinga Ora	Urban Design Guides	Seeks the opportunity to review these guidelines [design guides] if they are to remain a statutory document.	3.4 See Right of Reply of Michael Rachlin	Reject Accept in part	See body of the report See Right of Reply of Michael Rachlin	No Yes
OS76.68	Kāinga Ora	Urban Design Guides	Seeks the opportunity to review these guidelines [design guides] if they are to remain a statutory document.	3.4 See Right of Reply of Michael Rachlin	Reject Accept in part	See body of the report See Right of Reply of Michael Rachlin	No Yes
OS76.350 ⁵³	Kāinga Ora	Urban Design Guides	Seeks the Design Guidelines are removed from within the District Plan and are treated as non-statutory tool, outside of the District Plan. A note should be added where reference is made to such guidelines:	3.4	Reject	See body of the report	No
			<p><u>Note:</u></p> <p><u>1. Acceptable means of compliance and best practice urban design guidance is contained within the Council's Design Guidelines.</u></p>				
OS76.351 ⁵⁴	Kāinga Ora	Urban Design Guides	Delete all references to the Design Guidelines.	3.4	Reject	See body of the report	No
OS76.352 ⁵⁵	Kāinga Ora	Urban Design Guides	Where particular design outcomes are to be achieved, these should be specifically stated in matters of discretion or assessment, such as and not limited to:	3.4	Reject	See body of the report	No
			<ul style="list-style-type: none"> i. <u>Optimise the quality of the built form outcome with an integrated, comprehensive design approach to the site.</u> ii. <u>Achieve visual interest while also achieving aesthetic coherence and integration.</u> iii. <u>Achieve driveways, manoeuvring and parking areas that are safe, convenient, and attractive.</u> 				

⁵³ Oppose – RVA [FS118.198]

⁵⁴ Oppose – RVA [FS118.199]

⁵⁵ Oppose – RVA [FS118.200]

Sub. Ref.	Submitter / Further Submitter	Provision	Decision Requested	Section of this Report where Addressed	Officer's Recommendation	Officers' Reasons/Comments	Recommended Amendments to PDP?
			<ul style="list-style-type: none"> iv. <u>Integrate building form and open space design to achieve high internal amenity and form well-located and usable private open spaces.</u> v. <u>Achieve reasonable sunlight, daylight, and outlook.</u> vi. <u>Provide reasonable internal visual privacy for all units within a development.</u> vii. <u>Ensure outdoor living areas are well-located, functional for the intended use, and high quality.</u> viii. <u>Achieve visual amenity, safety, and functionality with planting.</u> ix. <u>Achieve high quality, legible and efficient circulation.</u> x. <u>Provide for servicing that is suitably generous, convenient, and visually discreet.</u> 				
OS76.353 ⁵⁶	Kāinga Ora	Urban Design Guides	If the Council does not provide the relief sought, in deleting the design guidelines and references to such guidelines in the District Plan, Kāinga Ora seeks that the design guidelines are amended, simplified and written in a manner that is easy to follow. The outcomes sought in the guidelines should read as desired requirements with sufficient flexibility to provide for a design that fits and works on site, rather than rules that a consent holder must follow and adhere to. Otherwise, there is no flexibility and scope to create a design that fits with specific site characteristics and desired built form development.	3.4 See Right of Reply of Michael Rachlin	Reject Accept in part	See body of the report See Right of Reply of Michael Rachlin	No Yes
OS76.354 ⁵⁷	Kāinga Ora	Urban Design Guides	Seek the opportunity to review these guidelines if they are to remain a statutory document.	3.4 See Right of Reply of Michael Rachlin	Reject Accept in part	See body of the report See Right of Reply of Michael Rachlin	No Yes
OS76.320	Kāinga Ora	MCZ-P7 Large scale built development	<p>Amend:</p> <p>Provide for larger-scale built development that <u>reflects the planned urban built environment of the Metropolitan Centre Zone where it can be demonstrated that the development contributes positive design outcomes taking into consideration the following design objectives as relevant to the specific site, development type, and the planned urban built environment of the zone:</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. <u>Optimise the quality of the outcome with an integrated, comprehensive design approach.</u> 	3.4	Reject	See body of the report	No

⁵⁶ Oppose – RVA [FS118.201]

⁵⁷ Oppose – RVA [FS118.202]

Sub. Ref.	Submitter / Further Submitter	Provision	Decision Requested	Section of this Report where Addressed	Officer's Recommendation	Officers' Reasons/Comments	Recommended Amendments to PDP?
			<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 2. <u>Buildings spatially define street edges in order to contribute to a high-quality public realm.</u> 3. <u>Provision is made for safe and convenient pedestrian movement.</u> 4. <u>Servicing and parking is functional and maintains a high level of public realm amenity.</u> 5. <u>Provide for reasonable light, outlook, and internal amenity for occupied internal spaces.</u> 6. <u>Achieve visual interest and avoid visual monotony while also achieving aesthetic coherence and integration.</u> 7. <u>Achieve integrated building top and roof design.</u> 8. <u>Ensure materials and detailing are suitably robust and fit-for-purpose in order to maintain their appearance over time.</u> 9. <u>Street edges are visually interesting and active, which contribute to the safety and attractiveness of their setting.</u> 10. <u>Where applicable, the connection to the Porirua Stream is enhanced and potential impacts on the openness and historical and cultural values of the stream are addressed.</u> 11. <u>Relevant sections of RESZ-P10 in regard to residential units and activities.</u> <p><u>Note:</u></p> <p><u>1. Acceptable means of compliance and best practice urban design</u></p>				
OS76.291 ⁵⁸	Kāinga Ora	MUZ-P7 Larger scale built development	<p>Amend:</p> <p>Provide for larger-scale built development that <u>reflects the planned urban built environment of the Mixed Use Zone where it can be demonstrated that the development contributes positive design outcomes taking into consideration the following design objectives as relevant to the specific site, development type, and the planned urban built environment of the zone:</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. <u>Optimise the quality of the outcome with an integrated, comprehensive design approach.</u> 2. <u>Provision is made for safe and convenient pedestrian movement.</u> 3. <u>Servicing and parking is functional and maintains a high level of public realm amenity.</u> 4. <u>Achieve visual interest and avoid visual monotony while also achieving aesthetic coherence and integration.</u> 5. <u>Achieve integrated building top and roof design.</u> 	3.4	Reject	See body of the report	No

⁵⁸ Oppose – RVA [FS118.195]

Sub. Ref.	Submitter / Further Submitter	Provision	Decision Requested	Section of this Report where Addressed	Officer's Recommendation	Officers' Reasons/Comments	Recommended Amendments to PDP?
			<p>6. <u>Ensure materials and detailing are suitably robust and fit-for-purpose in order to maintain their appearance over time.</u></p> <p>7. <u>Street edges are visually interesting and active, which contribute to the safety and attractiveness of their setting.</u></p> <p>8. <u>Relevant sections of RESZ-P10 in regard to residential units and activities.</u></p> <p><u>Note:</u></p> <p><u>1. Acceptable means of compliance and best practice urban design guidance is contained within the Council's Design Guidelines.</u></p> <p><u>1. Acknowledges and reflects the planned urban built environment of the Mixed Use Zone; and</u></p> <p><u>2. Is consistent with the Mixed Use Zone Design Guide contained in APP5 – Mixed Use Zone Design Guide.</u></p>				
OS76.340	Kāinga Ora	LFRZ-P7 Larger scale built development	<p>Amend:</p> <p>Provide for larger-scale built development that <u>reflects the planned urban built environment of the Large Format Retail Zone where it can be demonstrated that the development contributes positive design outcomes taking into consideration the following design objectives as relevant to the specific site, development type, and the planned urban built environment of the zone:</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. <u>Optimise the quality of the outcome with an integrated, comprehensive design approach.</u> 2. <u>Buildings are located on site and planned to shape positive open space, and complement the buildings, sites, and streets around them.</u> 3. <u>Provision is made for safe and convenient pedestrian movement.</u> 4. <u>Servicing and parking is functional and maintains a high level of public realm amenity.</u> 5. <u>Provide for reasonable light, outlook, and internal amenity for occupied internal spaces.</u> 6. <u>Achieve visual interest and avoid visual monotony while also achieving aesthetic coherence and integration.</u> 7. <u>Achieve integrated building top and roof design.</u> 8. <u>Ensure materials and detailing are suitably robust and fit-for-purpose in order to maintain their appearance over time.</u> 	3.4	Reject	See body of the report	No

Sub. Ref.	Submitter / Further Submitter	Provision	Decision Requested	Section of this Report where Addressed	Officer's Recommendation	Officers' Reasons/Comments	Recommended Amendments to PDP?
			<p>9. <u>Where applicable, the connection to the Porirua Stream is enhanced and potential impacts on the openness and historical and cultural values of the stream are addressed.</u></p> <p>10. <u>Achieve street and building edges that are visually interesting and active, and which contribute to the safety and attractiveness of the area.</u></p> <p><u>Note:</u></p> <p><u>1. Acceptable means of compliance and best practice urban design guidance is contained within the Council's Design Guidelines.</u></p> <p><u>that:</u></p> <p>1. <u>Acknowledges and reflects the planned urban built environment of the Large Format Retail Zone; and</u></p> <p><u>Is consistent with the Large Format Retail Zone Design Guide contained in APP6 – Large Format Retail Zone Design Guide.</u></p>				
OS76.257 ⁵⁹	Kāinga Ora	LCZ-P7 Larger scale built development	<p>Amend:</p> <p>Provide for larger-scale built development that <u>reflects the planned urban built environment of the Local Centre Zone where it can be demonstrated that the development contributes positive design outcomes taking into consideration the following design objectives as relevant to the specific site, development type, and the planned urban built environment of the zone:</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. <u>Optimise the quality of the outcome with an integrated, comprehensive design approach.</u> 2. <u>Buildings spatially define street edges in order to contribute to a high-quality public realm.</u> 3. <u>Provision is made for safe and convenient pedestrian movement.</u> 4. <u>Servicing and parking is functional and maintains a high level of public realm amenity.</u> 5. <u>Provide for reasonable light, outlook, and internal amenity for occupied internal spaces.</u> 6. <u>Achieve visual interest and avoid visual monotony while also achieving aesthetic coherence and integration.</u> 7. <u>Achieve integrated building top and roof design.</u> 	3.4	Reject	See body of the report	No

⁵⁹ Oppose – RVA [FS118.194]

Sub. Ref.	Submitter / Further Submitter	Provision	Decision Requested	Section of this Report where Addressed	Officer's Recommendation	Officers' Reasons/Comments	Recommended Amendments to PDP?
			<p>8. <u>Ensure materials and detailing are suitably robust and fit-for-purpose in order to maintain their appearance over time.</u></p> <p>9. <u>Street edges are visually interesting and active, which contribute to the safety and attractiveness of their setting.</u></p> <p>10. <u>Relevant sections of RESZ-P10 in regard to residential units and activities.</u></p> <p><u>Note:</u></p> <p><u>1. Acceptable means of compliance and best practice urban design guidance is contained within the Council's Design Guidelines.</u></p> <p><u>that:</u></p> <p>1. Acknowledges and reflects the planned urban built environment of the Local Centre Zone; and</p> <p>Is consistent with the Local Centre Zone Design Guide contained in APP7 - Local Centre Zone Design Guide.</p>				
OS76.322	Kāinga Ora	MCZ-P9	<p>Amend:</p> <p>Only allow for ground level car parking and parking lots where:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> It is not located along a primary frontage identified on the planning maps; Any adverse effects on the amenity and quality of the streetscape and public open spaces can be minimised; and The design and layout of aAny parking lot fulfils the intent of the relevant outcomes and objectives noted in MCZ-P7. is consistent with the Metropolitan Centre Zone Design Guide contained in APP4 - Metropolitan Centre Zone Design Guide. <p><u>Note:</u></p> <p><u>1. Acceptable means of compliance and best practice urban design guidance is contained within the Council's Design Guidelines.</u></p>	3.4	Reject	See body of the report	No
OS79.3	Plimmerton Residents' Association	Urban Design Guides	<p>The use of the Residential Design Guide.</p>	n/a	Accept	Agree with submitter	No
OS118.108	RVA	NCZ-P3 - Health and well-being for residential activity and residential units	<p>Amend:</p> <p>NCZ-P3 Health and well-being for residential activity and residential units</p> <p>Provide for residential activity and residential units where it</p>	3.4	Reject	See body of the report	No

Sub. Ref.	Submitter / Further Submitter	Provision	Decision Requested	Section of this Report where Addressed	Officer's Recommendation	Officers' Reasons/Comments	Recommended Amendments to PDP?
			achieves a quality urban built environment that provides for people's well-being in respect of: ... 3. Contributing to the Residential Design Guide in APP3 – Residential Design Guide planned urban built environment.				
OS118.127	RVA	Residential Design Guide	Seeks to exclude retirement villages from the applicability of the Residential Design Guide.	n/a	Accept	Agree with submitter	No
Health and wellbeing policies							
OS76.105 ⁶⁰	Kāinga Ora	RESZ-P5	Amend: Enable buildings and structures: 1. That meet the health amenity and well-being needs of people and communities; and 2. Are of an intensity, form, scale and design that achieve the planned urban built form for the zone or precinct they are located in	3.5	Reject	See body of the report	No
OS76.226 ⁶¹	Kāinga Ora	NCZ-P3	Amend: Health Amenity and well-being for residential activity and residential units Enable residential activity and residential units where they provide a healthy quality urban built environment that provides for people's amenity and well-being in respect of: 1. Access to sunlight, daylight and outdoor living space; and 2. Privacy and site design; and 3. Consistency with the Residential Design Guide in APP3 – Residential Design Guide. <u>Note:</u> <u>1. Acceptable means of compliance and best practice urban design guidance is contained within the Council's Design Guidelines.</u> -	3.5	Reject	See body of the report	No

⁶⁰ Oppose - Harbour Trust & Guardians of Pāuatahanui Inlet [FS32.88], KiwiRail [FS72.24], Waka Kotahi [FS81.45]

⁶¹ Oppose - KiwiRail [FS72.26], RVA [FS118.193]

Sub. Ref.	Submitter / Further Submitter	Provision	Decision Requested	Section of this Report where Addressed	Officer's Recommendation	Officers' Reasons/Comments	Recommended Amendments to PDP?
			[Refer to original submission for full decision requested, including attachment]				
OS76.253 ⁶²	Kāinga Ora	LCZ-P3	<p>Health Amenity and well-being for residential activity and residential units</p> <p>Enable residential activity and residential units where they provide a healthy quality urban built environment that provides for people's amenity and well-being in respect of:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Access to sunlight, daylight and outdoor living space; and 2. Privacy and site design. 	3.5	Reject	See body of the report	No
OS76.339 ⁶³	Kāinga Ora	LFRZ-P3	<p>Amend:</p> <p>Health Amenity and well-being for residential activity and residential units</p> <p>Enable residential activity and residential units where they provide a healthy quality urban built environment that provides for people's amenity and well-being in respect of:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Access to sunlight, daylight and outdoor living space; and 2. Privacy and site design. 	3.5	Reject	See body of the report	No
OS76.287 ⁶⁴	Kāinga Ora	MUZ-P3	<p>Amend:</p> <p>Health Amenity and well-being for residential activity and residential units</p> <p>Enable residential activity and residential units where they provide a healthy quality urban built environment that provides for people's amenity and well-being in respect of:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Access to sunlight, daylight and outdoor living space; and 2. Privacy and site design 	3.5	Reject	See body of the report	No
OS76.316	Kāinga Ora	MCZ-P3	<p>Amend:</p>	3.5	Reject	See body of the report	No

⁶² Oppose - KiwiRail [FS72.27]

⁶³ Oppose - KiwiRail [FS72.30]

⁶⁴ Oppose - KiwiRail [FS72.29]

Sub. Ref.	Submitter / Further Submitter	Provision	Decision Requested	Section of this Report where Addressed	Officer's Recommendation	Officers' Reasons/Comments	Recommended Amendments to PDP?
			<p>Health Amenity and well-being for residential activity and residential units</p> <p>Ensure residential activity and residential units achieve a healthy quality urban built environment that provides for people's amenity and well-being in respect of:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Access to sunlight, daylight and outdoor living space; and 2. Privacy and site design. 				
Qualifying matter – Shading controls							
OS76.13 ⁶⁵	Kāinga Ora	General	Remove restrictive controls limiting development on steep, south facing slopes.	3.6	Reject	See body of the report	No
OS76.20 ⁶⁶	Kāinga Ora	HRZ - High Density Residential Zone > General	Remove restrictive controls limiting development on steep, south facing slopes.	3.6	Reject	See body of the report	No
OS76.57 ⁶⁷	Kāinga Ora	Planning Maps > Height Control Mapping	Remove identification of sites subject to the proposed qualifying matter relating to development of steep south facing slopes.	3.6	Reject	See body of the report	No
OS76.96 ⁶⁸	Kāinga Ora	RESZ - General Objectives and Policies for all Residential Zones > General	Delete Introduction paragraph 4: There are also areas that have lower height limits for buildings and structures because of their slope aspect or topographical constraints. In these areas, additional control is necessary to mitigate the adverse effects of taller buildings on the health and well-being of people and communities. They are qualifying matters under s771 of the RMA. These areas are identified on the planning map layer as Height Controls – Shading. They represent areas that are generally suited to a medium density intensity of development, but which have steep southern slope aspects or a complex topography that means the adverse effects of taller buildings need additional control.	3.6	Reject	See body of the report	No
OS76.97 ⁶⁹	Kāinga Ora	General	Seeks consequential changes throughout the Variation planning maps and provisions to delete reference to “Height Controls – Shading”	3.6	Reject	See body of the report	No
OS76.109 ⁷⁰	Kāinga Ora	RESZ-P9 Height Control - Shading	On sites identified on the planning maps as being subject to Height Control – Shading, limit the height of buildings and structures where these would result in adverse shading effects on <u>the Mungavin netball courts facility</u> .: 1. Loss of sunlight to adjacent	3.6	Reject	See body of the report	No

⁶⁵ Oppose - Harbour Trust & Guardians of Pāuatahanui Inlet [FS32.58, FS32.72], Greater Wellington Regional Council [FS74.109], Roger Gadd [FS75.68]

⁶⁶ Oppose - Harbour Trust & Guardians of Pāuatahanui Inlet [FS32.59, FS32.73], Toka Tū Ake EQC [FS37.10], Greater Wellington Regional Council [FS74.110], Roger Gadd [FS75.61]

⁶⁷ Oppose - Harbour Trust & Guardians of Pāuatahanui Inlet [FS32.69, FS32.83], Greater Wellington Regional Council [FS74.111], Roger Gadd [FS75.25]

⁶⁸ Oppose - Harbour Trust & Guardians of Pāuatahanui Inlet [FS32.86]

⁶⁹ Oppose - Greater Wellington Regional Council [FS74.114]

⁷⁰ Oppose - Greater Wellington Regional Council [FS74.115]

Sub. Ref.	Submitter / Further Submitter	Provision	Decision Requested	Section of this Report where Addressed	Officer's Recommendation	Officers' Reasons/Comments	Recommended Amendments to PDP?
			residential sites; or Adverse shading effects on the Mungavin netball courts facility.				
OS76.110	Kāinga Ora	General	All provisions and rules relating to this proposed qualifying matter [shading] are sought to be deleted.	3.6	Reject	See body of the report	No
OS76.119 ⁷¹	Kāinga Ora	Planning Maps > Height Control Mapping	Remove identification of sites subject to a shading qualifying matter on sloping sites with steep south facing topography. Remove provisions related to this matter from the Plan	3.6	Reject	See body of the report	No
OS76.151 ⁷²	Kāinga Ora	HRZ-S2 Height	Amendments sought 1. Buildings and structures must not exceed a height of: 1. 22m; 2. 16m on sites subject to Height Control – Shading A as identified on the planning maps; a. 36m where located within 400m of the edge of the Metropolitan Centre Zone as identified on the Planning Maps as a Height Variation Control. i. 11m on sites subject to Height Control – Heritage A as identified on the planning maps; ii. 8m on sites subject to Height Control – Heritage C, as identified on the planning maps; and iii. 8m on sites subject to Height Control – SASM as identified on the planning maps. ... Consequential deletion of matters of discretion that refer to policies relevant to the matters being deleted.		Reject, insofar as it relates to site specific shading controls.	See body of the report	No
OS76.161 ⁷³	Kāinga Ora	Planning Maps > Height Control Mapping	Remove identification of sites that are subject to a shading qualifying matter on sloping sites with steep south facing topography. Remove provisions related to this shading matter.	3.6	Reject	See body of the report	No
OS76.202 ⁷⁴	Kāinga Ora	MRZ-S2 Height	Amend: 1. Buildings and structures must not exceed a height of: 1. 11m; 2. 18m in the MRZ-Residential Intensification Precinct; 3. 14m on sites subject to Height Control – Shading B as identified on the planning maps; 5. 8m on sites subject to Height Control – Shading D as identified on the planning maps; 6. 11m on sites subject to Height Control – Heritage A as identified on the planning maps;	3.6	Reject	See body of the report	No

⁷¹ Oppose - Toka Tū Ake EQC [FS37.14], Oppose - Greater Wellington Regional Council [FS74.116]

⁷² Oppose - Toka Tū Ake EQC [FS37.16], Greater Wellington Regional Council [FS74.123, FS74.131], Roger Gadd [FS75.80], TROTR [FS116.64]

⁷³ Oppose - Greater Wellington Regional Council [FS74.117]

⁷⁴ Oppose - Greater Wellington Regional Council [FS74.124, FS74.132], TROTR [FS114.74]

Sub. Ref.	Submitter / Further Submitter	Provision	Decision Requested	Section of this Report where Addressed	Officer's Recommendation	Officers' Reasons/Comments	Recommended Amendments to PDP?
			7. 8m on sites subject to Height Control – Heritage C, as identified on the planning maps; and 8. 8m on sites subject to Height Control – SASM as identified on the planning maps.				
OS76.203	Kāinga Ora	MRZ-S2 Height	Consequential deletion of matters of discretion that refer to policies relevant to the matters being deleted [height controls for shading].	3.6	Reject	See body of the report	No
OS76.204 ⁷⁵	Kāinga Ora	MRZ-S2 Height	Seeks the deletion of height controls in relation to this matter. [Height Control – Shading B, and Height Control – Shading C].	3.6	Reject	See body of the report	No
OS76.206 ⁷⁶	Kāinga Ora	MRZ-S2 Height	Consequential changes to the name and label of the Height Control – Shading Area will be required.	3.6	Reject	See body of the report	No
OS103.1	Claire and Brad Keenan	Planning Maps > Height Control Mapping	35 Terrace Road should not be considered as being a Height Variation Control Area.	3.6	Reject	See body of the report	No
Retirement Villages							
OS118.1	RVA	General	Variation 1 needs to adequately address the critical need for retirement accommodation and aged care in the District.	3.7	Accept in part	See body of the report	No
OS118.3	RVA	General	Provide a clear and consistent regime for retirement villages.	3.7	Accept in part	See body of the report	No
OS118.5	RVA	General	That the potential effects from retirement villages are managed proportionately and efficiently with the least regulation and prescription necessary.	3.7	Accept in part	See body of the report	No
OS118.7	RVA	General	The significant benefits of retirement villages need to be given appropriate weight.	3.7	Accept in part	See body of the report	No
OS118.9	RVA	General	Seeks national consistency in the planning regimes for retirement villages through the intensification planning instruments required under the Resource Management (Enabling Housing Supply and Other Matters) Amendment Act 2021 (Enabling Housing Act).	3.7	Accept in part	See body of the report	No
OS118.10	RVA	General	Variation 1 must include a restricted discretionary activity rule for retirement villages in all relevant residential zones.	3.7	Accept in part	See body of the report	No
OS118.11	RVA	General	Ensure that the Porirua District Plan specifically and appropriately provides for and enables retirement villages in all relevant residential and commercial/mixed use zones.	3.7	Accept in part	See body of the report	No
OS118.13	RVA	General	Recognise that retirement villages are a residential activity.	3.7	Reject	See body of the report	No
OS118.14	RVA	General	Better enable housing and care for the ageing population.	3.7	Accept in part	See body of the report	No
OS118.18	RVA	General	Provide for change to existing urban environments in order to achieve the intensification envisaged in Policy 3 of the NPSUD. Explicitly acknowledge that the functional and operational needs of retirement villages are a driver of appropriate and necessary change because of demographic ageing and the increasing housing needs of older people.	3.7	Reject	See body of the report	No
OS118.20	RVA	General	Recognise the intensification opportunities provided by larger sites.	3.7	Reject	See body of the report	No

⁷⁵ Oppose - Greater Wellington Regional [FS74.125]

⁷⁶ Oppose - Greater Wellington Regional [FS74.118]

Sub. Ref.	Submitter / Further Submitter	Provision	Decision Requested	Section of this Report where Addressed	Officer's Recommendation	Officers' Reasons/Comments	Recommended Amendments to PDP?
OS118.22	RVA	General	Recognise the unique internal amenity needs of retirement villages.	3.7	Accept in part	See body of the report	No
OS118.24	RVA	General	Provide clear and focused matters of discretion.	3.7	Accept in part	See body of the report	No
OS118.28	RVA	General	Use the MDRS as a guideline.	3.7	Accept in part	See body of the report	No
OS118.30	RVA	General	Provide for retirement villages in commercial and mixed use zones.	3.7	Accept in part	See body of the report	No
OS118.31	RVA	General	Seeks that Variation 1 is amended to provide a fit-for-purpose retirement-village specific framework.	3.7	Accept in part	See body of the report	No
OS118.33	RVA	General	Amendments to the MDRS are required to ensure they are workable to retirement villages.	3.7	Reject ⁷⁷	See body of the report	No
OS118.35	RVA	General	Amendments to other Proposed Plan provisions.	3.7	Accept in part	See body of the report	No
OS118.38	RVA	General	The objectives and policies of the Proposed Plan must enable appropriate accommodation and care for the aging population as follows: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • An objective to provide for the housing and care needs of the ageing population; • A policy that recognises the need for change over time to the existing character and amenity of neighbourhoods to provide for the diverse and changing needs of the community; • A policy that recognises the need to provide for a range of housing and care options for older people and to recognise the functional and operational needs of retirement villages; • A policy to enable the efficient use of larger sites; • A policy that directs that density standards are to be used as a baseline for the assessment of the effects of developments. 		Reject ⁷⁸ , insofar as it relates to Variation 1	See body of the report	No
OS118.41	RVA	General	Retirement villages need to be provided for as a residential activity and enabled as follows: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • A rule that permits the use and operation of retirement villages, recognising that this activity is expected and encouraged in residential zones; • A rule that regulates the construction of retirement villages as a restricted discretionary activity, recognising that this activity is anticipated in residential zones with limited matters requiring assessment. 	3.7	Reject	See body of the report	No
OS118.42	RVA	General	Provide tailored and fit for purpose retirement village matters of discretion, as follows:	3.7	Reject ⁷⁹	See body of the report	No

⁷⁷ Based on assessment for the more specific submission points

⁷⁸ Based on assessment for the more specific submission points

⁷⁹ Based on assessment for the more specific submission points

Sub. Ref.	Submitter / Further Submitter	Provision	Decision Requested	Section of this Report where Addressed	Officer's Recommendation	Officers' Reasons/Comments	Recommended Amendments to PDP?
			<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Recognise the positive effects of retirement villages; Focus effects assessments on exceedances of relevant standards, effects on the safety of adjacent streets or public open spaces, and effects arising from the quality of the interface between the village and adjacent streets or public open spaces to reflect the policy framework within the Enabling Housing Act. A degree of control over longer buildings is also acknowledged as appropriate; and Enable the need to provide for efficient use of larger sites and the functional and operational needs of retirement villages to be taken into account when assessing effects 				
OS118.44	RVA	General	Limited notification should only be available where a retirement village application breaches one or more of the height, height in relation to boundary, setbacks and building coverage standards and the relevant RMA effects threshold is met.	3.7	Reject	See body of the report	No
OS118.47	RVA	General	The outdoor living space, outlook space, windows to street and landscaped area standards should generally reflect the MDRS with some amendments. No additional development standards should apply.	3.7	Reject ⁸⁰ , insofar as it relates to Variation 1	See body of the report	No
OS118.48	RVA	General	Seeks that fit for purpose retirement village planning provisions are applied in appropriate commercial and mixed-use zones, similar to those proposed for residential zones.	3.7	Accept in part	See body of the report	No
OS118.126	RVA	General	Recognise that retirement villages are a residential activity.	3.7	Reject, insofar as it relates to Variation 1	See body of the report	No
OS67.1	Ryman Healthcare Limited	General	Ryman seeks the relief sought by the RVA in its submission on Variation 1 and PC19.	3.7	Accept in part	See body of the report	No
OS51.1	Summerset Group Holdings Limited	General	Submitter requests the Council engages constructively with the Retirement Villages Association in relation to Council's housing intensification plan change and variation.	3.7	Accept in part	See body of the report	No
OS51.2	Summerset Group Holdings Limited	General	Submitter requests the Council engages constructively with the Retirement Villages Association in relation to Council's housing intensification plan change and variation.	3.7	Accept in part	See body of the report	No
OS85.7	Metlifecare Limited	Notification preclusion	That the rule [for building/structure in the residential zones]] is precluded from being publicly notified.	n/a	Accept	Agree with submitter	No
GRZ-General Residential Zone							

⁸⁰ Based on assessment for the more specific submission points

Sub. Ref.	Submitter / Further Submitter	Provision	Decision Requested	Section of this Report where Addressed	Officer's Recommendation	Officers' Reasons/Comments	Recommended Amendments to PDP?
OS118.90	RVA	General	Retain deletion.	n/a	Accept	Agree with submitter	No
RESZ-General objectives and policies for residential zones – General submissions							
OS76.93 ⁸¹	Kāinga Ora	Chapter Introduction	<p><u>Amend Introduction Paragraph 2:</u></p> <p>The Residential Zones provide for a range of densities and built forms and recognise that residential activities encompass a wide range of housing and living arrangements. This includes social and community housing and multi-generational living, as well as traditional family housing. They do not promote one form of housing over another but instead provide flexibility to meet the community's diverse housing preferences and needs. <u>It is anticipated that the urban built form, appearance, and amenity of residential environments within the Residential Zones will change over time, in accordance with the planned urban built form of each zone and precinct.</u></p>	3.10	Reject	See body of the report	No
OS53.12 ⁸²	Transpower	Chapter Introduction	<p>Amend RESZ as follows:</p> <p>RESZ - General Objectives and Policies for all Residential Zones Introduction</p> <p>.....</p> <p><u>There are parts of the Residential Zones where the permitted development, height or density directed by the NPSUD may be modified and/or limited by qualifying matters and qualifying matter areas.</u></p> <p><i>There are also areas that have lower height limits for buildings and structures because of their slope aspect or topographical constraints. In these areas, additional control is necessary to mitigate the adverse effects of taller buildings on the health and well-being of people and communities. They are qualifying matters under s771 of the RMA. These areas are identified on the planning map layer as Height Controls – Shading. They represent areas that are generally suited to a medium density intensity of development, but which have steep southern slope aspects or a complex topography that means the adverse effects of taller buildings need additional control.</i></p> <p>.....</p>	3.10	Reject	See body of the report	No
OS114.41	Te Rūnanga o Toa Rangatira	RESZ-P9	It is encouraging to see RESZ-P9 height Variation Control – Qualifying Matters. This means when the SASM schedule is finally	3.10	Reject	See body of the report	No

⁸¹ Support – RVA [FS118.174]

⁸² Support – KiwiRail [FS72.13], RNZ [FS73.20]

Sub. Ref.	Submitter / Further Submitter	Provision	Decision Requested	Section of this Report where Addressed	Officer's Recommendation	Officers' Reasons/Comments	Recommended Amendments to PDP?
			given effect with the Plan Change, the heights would be able to be controlled. A clause should be added to clarify this.				
OS114.42	Te Rūnanga o Toa Rangatira	RESZ-P16	RESZ-P16 does not spell out the 'Effects on Sites and Areas of Significance' – Qualifying matters.	3.10	Reject	See body of the report	No
OS85.1 ⁸³	Metlifecare Limited	Retirement villages	The objectives and policies for all residential zones should recognise the benefits of retirement village development and their functional and operational needs.	3.10	Accept in part	See body of the report	No
OS118.37	RVA	Retirement villages	The objectives and policies of the Proposed Plan must enable appropriate accommodation and care for the aging population as follows: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • An objective to provide for the housing and care needs of the ageing population; • A policy that recognises the need for change over time to the existing character and amenity of neighbourhoods to provide for the diverse and changing needs of the community; • A policy that recognises the need to provide for a range of housing and care options for older people and to recognise the functional and operational needs of retirement villages; • A policy to enable the efficient use of larger sites; • A policy that directs that density standards are to be used as a baseline for the assessment of the effects of developments. 	3.10	Reject	See body of the report	No
OS83.17	Isabella G F Cawthorn	General	Add provisions to encourage development of the "missing middle".	3.10	Reject	See body of the report	No
RESZ-General objectives and policies for residential zones – Objectives							
OS118.64	Retirement Villages Association of New Zealand Incorporated	New objective	Seeks that a new Objective is inserted that provides for the housing and care needs of the ageing population. <u>RESZ-OX Ageing population</u> <u>Recognise and enable the housing and care needs of the ageing population.</u>	3.10	Reject	See body of the report	No
OS50.2	Ara Poutama Aotearoa the Department of Corrections	RESZ-O1	Amend Objective RESZ-O1 as follows: RESZ-O1 Housing Choice A relevant residential zone provides for a variety of housing types, <u>households</u> , and sizes that respond to:	3.10	Reject	See body of the report	No

⁸³ Support in part – RVA [FS118.150]

Sub. Ref.	Submitter / Further Submitter	Provision	Decision Requested	Section of this Report where Addressed	Officer's Recommendation	Officers' Reasons/Comments	Recommended Amendments to PDP?
			1. Housing needs and demand; and 2. The neighbourhood's planned urban built character, including 3-storey buildings.				
OS76.98	Kāinga Ora	RESZ-O1	Retain as notified.	n/a	Accept	Agree with submitter	No
OS81.16 ⁸⁴	Waka Kotahi	RESZ-O1	Retain as notified.	n/a	Accept	Agree with submitter	No
OS118.61	RVA	RESZ-O1	Retain RESZ-O1 as notified.	n/a	Accept	Agree with submitter	No
OS58.27	FENZ	RESZ-O2	Retain as drafted.	n/a	Accept	Agree with submitter	No
OS76.99 ⁸⁵	Kāinga Ora	RESZ-O2	<i>Amendments sought</i> Residential zones: 1. Primarily consist of residential activities; 2. <u>Provide for a range of built form and housing types, with higher densities enabled in areas that are well served by public transport or are close to a range of services, amenities, schools, and public open space;</u> and 3. Accommodate other activities that support the health and wellbeing of people and communities, where these are compatible with the planned urban built environment and amenity values of the zone.	3.10	Reject	See body of the report	No
OS118.62	RVA	RESZ-O2	Retain RESZ-O2 as notified and provide retirement village specific policies as requested [in separate submission points].	n/a	Accept	Agree with submitter	No
OS53.13	Transpower	RESZ-O3	Retain Objective RESZ-O3	n/a	Accept	Agree with submitter	No
OS58.28	FENZ	RESZ-O3	Retain as drafted.	n/a	Accept	Agree with submitter	No
OS76.100	Kāinga Ora	RESZ-O3	Retain as notified.	n/a	Accept	Agree with submitter	No
OS118.63	RVA	RESZ-O3	Amend RESZ-O3 so that its focus is solely on the efficient use and development of residentially zoned land.	3.10	Accept in part	See body of the report	Yes
RESZ-General objectives and policies for residential zones – Policies							
OS85.3	Metlifecare Limited	New policy	<u>RESZ-P[x]: "Recognise the functional and operational requirements of retirement villages and non-residential activities that support the health and wellbeing of people and communities"</u>	3.10	Reject	See body of the report	No
OS118.75	RVA	New policy	Seeks that a new policy is inserted that recognises the intensification opportunities provided for by larger sites. RESZ-PX Larger sites <u>Recognise the intensification opportunities provided by larger sites within all residential zones by providing for more efficient use of those sites.</u>	3.10	Reject	See body of the report	No
OS118.76	RVA	New policy	Seeks that a new Policy is inserted that recognises the diverse and changing community needs and that the existing character and amenity of the residential zones will change over time.	3.10	Reject	See body of the report	No

⁸⁴ Support – KiwiRail [FS72.49]

⁸⁵ Support – Waka Kotahi [FS81.44], RVA [FS118.181]

Sub. Ref.	Submitter / Further Submitter	Provision	Decision Requested	Section of this Report where Addressed	Officer's Recommendation	Officers' Reasons/Comments	Recommended Amendments to PDP?
			RESZ-PX Changing communities <u>To provide for the diverse and changing residential needs of communities, recognise that the existing character and amenity of the residential zones will change over time to enable a variety of housing types with a mix of densities.</u>				
OS118.77	RVA	New policy	Seeks a new policy that enables the density standards to be utilised as a baseline for the assessment of the effects of developments. RESZ-PX Role of density standards <u>Enable the density standards to be utilised as a baseline for the assessment of the effects of developments.</u>	3.10	Reject	See body of the report	No
OS50.3	Ara Poutama Aotearoa the Department of Corrections	RESZ-P1 Residential activity	Amend Objective RESZ-O1 as follows: RESZ-P1 Residential Activity Enable a variety of housing types <u>and households</u> with a mix of densities within the zone, including 3-storey attached and detached dwellings, and low-rise apartments.	3.10	Reject	See body of the report	No
OS53.14 ⁸⁶	Transpower	RESZ-P1 Residential activity	Amend RESZ-P1 as follows: RESZ-P1 Residential activity <i>Enable a variety of housing types with a mix of densities within the zone, including 3-storey attached and detached dwellings, and low-rise apartments, while avoiding inappropriate locations, heights and densities of buildings and development within qualifying matter areas as specified by the relevant qualifying matter area provisions.</i>	3.10	Reject	See body of the report	No
OS76.101	Kāinga Ora	RESZ-P1 Residential activity	Retain as notified.	n/a	Accept	Agree with submitter	No
OS81.17 ⁸⁷	Waka Kotahi	RESZ-P1 Residential activity	Retain as notified.	n/a	Accept	Agree with submitter	No
OS118.65	RVA	RESZ-P1 Residential activity	Retain RESZ-P1 as notified.	n/a	Accept	Agree with submitter	No
OS53.15	Transpower	RESZ-P2 Medium Density Residential Standards	Retain RESZ-P2	n/a	Accept	Agree with submitter	No
OS76.102	Kāinga Ora	RESZ-P2 Medium Density Residential Standards	Retain as notified.	n/a	Accept	Agree with submitter	No
OS81.18 ⁸⁸	Waka Kotahi	RESZ-P2 Medium Density Residential Standards	Supports the implementation of the policies in accordance with the MDRS standards.	n/a	Accept	Agree with submitter	No
OS118.66	RVA	RESZ-P2 Medium Density Residential Standards	Retain as notified.	n/a	Accept	Agree with submitter	No

⁸⁶ Support – KiwiRail [FS72.14], RNZ [FS73.21], Oppose - Kāinga Ora [FS76.366]

⁸⁷ Support – KiwiRail [FS72.50]

⁸⁸ Support – KiwiRail [FS72.51]

Sub. Ref.	Submitter / Further Submitter	Provision	Decision Requested	Section of this Report where Addressed	Officer's Recommendation	Officers' Reasons/Comments	Recommended Amendments to PDP?
OS76.103	Kāinga Ora	RESZ-P3 Safety and street scene quality	Retain RESZ-P3 as notified.	n/a	Accept	Agree with submitter	No
OS81.19 ⁸⁹	Waka Kotahi	RESZ-P3 Safety and street scene quality	Retain as notified.	n/a	Accept	Agree with submitter	No
OS118.67	RVA	RESZ-P3 Safety and street scene quality	Retain RESZ-P3 as notified.	n/a	Accept	Agree with submitter	No
OS76.104	Kāinga Ora	RESZ-P4 Health and well-being	Retain as notified.	n/a	Accept	Agree with submitter	No
OS81.20 ⁹⁰	Waka Kotahi	RESZ-P4 Health and well-being	Retain as notified.	n/a	Accept	Agree with submitter	No
OS118.68	RVA	RESZ-P4 Health and well-being	Retain RESZ-P4 as notified.	n/a	Accept	Agree with submitter	No
OS81.21 ⁹¹	Waka Kotahi	RESZ-P5 Buildings and structures	Retain as notified.	n/a	Accept	Agree with submitter	No
OS118.69	RVA	RESZ-P5 Buildings and structures	Delete RESZ-P5.		Reject	See body of the report	No
OS76.106	Kāinga Ora	RESZ-P6 Providing for development	Retain as notified.	n/a	Accept	Agree with submitter	No
OS114.39	Te Rūnanga o Toa Rangatira	RESZ-P6 Providing for development	Te Rūnanga will be keen to understand the rationale for this to be introduced that the plan in general is enabling housing at every level, form, and function.	3.10	Reject	See body of the report	No
OS118.70	RVA	RESZ-P6 Providing for development	Retain RESZ-P6 as notified.	n/a	Accept	Agree with submitter	No
OS76.107 ⁹²	Kāinga Ora	RESZ-P7 Health and well-being - Development not meeting permitted activity standards	<p>RESZ-P7</p> <p>Health Amenity and well-being – Development not meeting permitted activity standards</p> <p>Provide for buildings and structures built form that does not meet the permitted activity standards where it can be demonstrated, as relevant and having regard to the planned urban built environment for the zone or precinct, that:</p> <p>1. The separation from site boundaries and heights in respect to site boundaries, safeguards on-site and off-site privacy,</p>	3.10 See Right of Reply of Michael Rachlin	Reject Accept in part	See body of the report See Right of Reply of Michael Rachlin	No Yes

⁸⁹ Support – KiwiRail [FS72.52]

⁹⁰ Support – KiwiRail [FS72.53]

⁹¹ Support – KiwiRail [FS72.54]

⁹² Oppose – KiwiRail [FS72.25]

Sub. Ref.	Submitter / Further Submitter	Provision	Decision Requested	Section of this Report where Addressed	Officer's Recommendation	Officers' Reasons/Comments	Recommended Amendments to PDP?
			<p>mitigates visual dominance to adjacent sites, and ensures adequate access to sunlight and daylight;</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. There is a reasonable standard of visual privacy between habitable rooms of different buildings, on the same or adjacent sites; 2. Appropriate levels of useable outdoor amenity space for residential units is provided that can readily accommodate outdoor activities, taking into account proximity of the site to public open space; 3. Visual dominance, shading and loss of privacy for adjacent residential sites from over height buildings is mitigated or remedied; and 3. <u>Built form that does not comply with the height in relation to boundary, building set back, site coverage or height standards is mitigated or remedied through either design responses to the built development, landscaping, or site specific factors, ensuring adequate provision of privacy and access to sunlight is made to neighbouring residential properties internal and external living areas, and the impact of building bulk and dominance on neighbouring residential properties is reduced; and</u> 4. Topographical or other site constraints make compliance with a density standard impractical. 				
OS114.40	Te Rūnanga o Toa Rangatira	RESZ-P7 Health and well-being - Development not meeting permitted activity standards	[Not specified, refer to original submission]	3.10	Reject	See body of the report	No
OS118.71	RVA	RESZ-P7 Health and well-being - Development not meeting permitted activity standards	Delete RESZ-P7.	3.10	Reject	See body of the report	No
OS53.16	Transpower	RESZ-P8 Urban built environment - Development not meeting permitted activity standards	Retain RESZ-P8	n/a	Accept	Agree with submitter	No
OS76.108	Kāinga Ora	RESZ-P8 Urban built environment - Development not meeting permitted activity standards	Retain as notified.	n/a	Accept	Agree with submitter	No
OS118.72	RVA	RESZ-P8 Urban built environment -	Delete RESZ-P8.	3.10	Reject	See body of the report	No

Sub. Ref.	Submitter / Further Submitter	Provision	Decision Requested	Section of this Report where Addressed	Officer's Recommendation	Officers' Reasons/Comments	Recommended Amendments to PDP?
		Development not meeting permitted activity standards					
OS76.111 ⁹³	Kāinga Ora	RESZ-P10 Urban built environment - Development not meeting permitted activity standard for number of residential units on a site	<p><i>Amendments sought</i></p> <p>Provide for more than three residential units on a site where it can be demonstrated that the development is consistent with the Residential Design Guide as contained in APP3 – Residential Design Guide.</p> <p><u>Provide for residential intensification of a site where it can be demonstrated that the development achieves positive design outcomes and living environments, taking into consideration the following design objectives as relevant to the specific site, development type, and the planned urban built environment of the zone:</u></p> <p><u><i>Built form:</i></u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. <u>Optimise the quality of the built form outcome with an integrated, comprehensive design approach to the site.</u> 2. <u>Achieve a positive frontage to the street.</u> 3. <u>Achieve visual interest while also achieving aesthetic coherence and integration.</u> 4. <u>Achieve driveways, manoeuvring and parking areas that are safe, convenient, and attractive.</u> <p><u><i>Amenity and well-being</i></u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 5. <u>Integrate building form and open space design to achieve high internal amenity and form well-located and usable private open spaces.</u> 6. <u>Achieve reasonable sunlight, daylight, and outlook.</u> 7. <u>Provide reasonable internal visual privacy for all units within a development.</u> 8. <u>Ensure outdoor living areas are well-located, functional for the intended use, and high quality.</u> 9. <u>Achieve visual amenity, safety, and functionality with planting.</u> 10. <u>Achieve high quality, legible and efficient circulation.</u> 11. <u>Provide for servicing that is suitably generous, convenient, and visually discreet.</u> 	3.10 See Right of Reply of Michael Rachlin	Reject Accept in part	See body of the report See Right of Reply of Michael Rachlin	No Yes

⁹³ Oppose – RVA [FS118.182]

Sub. Ref.	Submitter / Further Submitter	Provision	Decision Requested	Section of this Report where Addressed	Officer's Recommendation	Officers' Reasons/Comments	Recommended Amendments to PDP?
OS118.73	RVA	RESZ-P10 Urban built environment - Development not meeting permitted activity standard for number of residential units on a site	Seeks amendment to RESZ-P10 so that it does not apply to retirement villages. A retirement village-specific policy [separate submission point] will encourage high quality retirement village development.	3.10	Accept in part	See body of the report	Yes
OS58.29	FENZ	RESZ-P11 Non-residential activities	Retain as notified.	3.10	Accept in part	Accept in part, subject to amendments made in response to other submissions	No
OS76.112	Kāinga Ora	RESZ-P11 Non-residential activities	Amend: Provide for non-residential activities that contribute to the health amenity and wellbeing of people and communities where: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. <u>They support the needs of local communities;</u> 2. These are <u>of an intensity, scale and design that is compatible with the planned urban built environment and amenity of the area;</u> 3. <u>They contribute positively to the urban environment and achieve attractive and safe streets;</u> 4. <u>Any adverse effects on the amenity values of adjoining sites can be adequately mitigated, including from the location and scale of utility and external storage areas;</u> 5. <u>These do not result in adverse effects on the amenity values of adjoining sites from the movement of people and vehicles associated with the activity which cannot be mitigated;</u> <p>4. The hours of operation are compatible with residential amenity values; and</p> <p>5. For Emergency Service Facilities, the activity has an operational need or functional need to locate in the zone</p>	3.10 See Right of Reply of Michael Rachlin	Reject Accept in part	See body of the report See Right of Reply of Michael Rachlin	No Yes
OS76.59 ⁹⁴	Kāinga Ora	General	Any consequential changes necessary to give effect to the changes highlighted above or in Appendix 1, 2, and 3 attached [to submission]	3.10	Accept in part	See body of the report	Yes
OS92.6	Ministry of Education	RESZ-P11 Non-residential activities	RESZ- P11- Non-residential activities	3.10	Reject	See body of the report	No

⁹⁴ Oppose - Harbour Trust & Guardians of Pāuatahanui Inlet [FS32.70, FS32.84], Roger Gadd [FS75.23]

Sub. Ref.	Submitter / Further Submitter	Provision	Decision Requested	Section of this Report where Addressed	Officer's Recommendation	Officers' Reasons/Comments	Recommended Amendments to PDP?
			<p>Provide for non-residential activities that contribute to the health and wellbeing of people and communities where:</p> <p>(...)</p> <p>6. <u>They can ensure that the needs of the community can be met by supporting the development capacity of educational facilities.</u></p>				
OS76.113	Kāinga Ora	RESZ-P12 Commercial activity	<p>Delete:</p> <p>Only allow commercial activities where they are ancillary to a residential activity and of a scale where significant adverse effects are avoided, and any other adverse effects are appropriately remedied or mitigated.</p>	3.10	Reject	See body of the report	No
OS76.114	Kāinga Ora	RESZ-P13 Retirement villages	Retain as notified.	n/a See Right of Reply of Michael Rachlin	Accept	Agree with submitter, subject to amendments made in response to other submissions (See Right of Reply of Michael Rachlin)	No
OS85.2	Metlifecare Limited	Retirement villages	<p>Amend:</p> <p>RESZ-P13:</p> <p>Recognise the benefits of, and pProvide for retirement villages where:</p> <p>(a) Significant adverse effects on the residential amenity values of adjoining residential properties and the surrounding neighbourhood can be are avoided, remedied or mitigated;</p> <p>(b) Other adverse effects on residential amenity values are minimised, including those from:</p> <p>(i) The movement of vehicles and people; and</p> <p>(ii) The layout of buildings, Fencing and the location and scale of utility areas and external storage areas;</p> <p>(c) On-site amenity, including outdoor living space, for residents is provided, which reflects the nature of and diverse needs of residents of the village;</p> <p>(d) The site can accommodate the scale and intensity of the activity, in terms of its size, topography and location; and</p>	3.10	Accept in part	See body of the report	Yes

Sub. Ref.	Submitter / Further Submitter	Provision	Decision Requested	Section of this Report where Addressed	Officer's Recommendation	Officers' Reasons/Comments	Recommended Amendments to PDP?
			(e) The overall scale , form, composition, and design of buildings does not compromise the planned urban built form of the zone or precinct they are located in, <u>while recognising that retirement villages may require greater density than the planned urban built character to enable efficient provision of services.</u>				
OS118.74	RVA	RESZ-P13 Retirement villages	<p>Seeks that RESZ-P13 is amended as follows to integrate acknowledgement of the diverse housing and care options of retirement villages, and their unique functional and operational needs:</p> <p>RESZ-P13 Retirement villages</p> <p>1. Provide for a diverse range of housing and care options that are suitable for the particular needs and characteristics of older persons in [add] zone, such as retirement villages.</p> <p>2. Recognise the functional and operational needs of retirement villages, including that they:</p> <p>a. May require greater density than the planned urban built character to enable efficient provision of services.</p> <p>b. Have unique layout and internal amenity needs to cater for the requirements of residents as they age.</p> <p>Provide for retirement villages where:</p> <p>1. Significant adverse effects on the residential amenity values of adjoining residential properties and the surrounding neighbourhood are avoided, remedied or mitigated;</p> <p>2. Other adverse effects on residential amenity values are minimised, including those from:</p> <p>a. The movement of vehicles and people; and</p> <p>b. The layout of buildings, fencing, location and scale of utility areas and external storage areas;</p> <p>3. On-site amenity, including outdoor living space, for residents is provided, which reflects the nature of and diverse needs of residents of the village;</p> <p>4. The site can accommodate the scale and intensity of the activity, in terms of its size, topography and location; and</p> <p>5. The overall scale, form, composition, and design of buildings does not compromise the planned urban built form of the zone or precinct they are located in.</p>	3.10 See Right of Reply of Michael Rachlin	Reject Accept in part	See body of the report See right of reply of Michael Rachlin	No Yes
OS118.95	RVA	General	<p>Amend RESZ-P13 as set out [in separate submission point].</p> <p>[Refer to original submission for full decision requested]</p>	3.10 See right of reply of Michael Rachlin	Reject Accept in part	See body of the report See right of reply of Michael Rachlin	No Yes
OS76.115	Kāinga Ora	RESZ-P14 Other activities	Retain as notified.	n/a	Accept	Agree with submitter	No

Sub. Ref.	Submitter / Further Submitter	Provision	Decision Requested	Section of this Report where Addressed	Officer's Recommendation	Officers' Reasons/Comments	Recommended Amendments to PDP?
HRZ-High Density Residential Zone– General submissions							
OS20.1	Kathleen Ahipene	High density in Plimmerton	[Not specified, refer to original submission].	n/a	Accept	See body of the report	No
OS76.118 ⁹⁵	Kāinga Ora	Building heights	Propose a new height variation control (36m maximum height) to enable more height in HRZ in the Plan. This is shown in Appendix 3.	3.11	Reject	See body of the report	No
OS53.23	Transpower	National Grid Yards	Seeks that the relief sought in its submission points to the MRZ also apply to the HRZ, should the HRZ extent be amended such that existing National Grid assets traverse the zone.	3.11	Reject	See body of the report	No
OS76.18 ⁹⁶	Kāinga Ora	Urban design	Expand design flexibility and threshold for permitted residential development.	3.11	Reject	See body of the report	No
OS76.19 ⁹⁷	Kāinga Ora	Building heights	Increase height limits from 22m to 36m when proximate to the Metropolitan Centre Zone (within 400m) as an additional Height Variation Control.	3.11 See Statement of Supplementary Planning Evidence of Michael Rachlin	Reject Accept in part	See body of the report See Statement of Supplementary Planning Evidence of Michael Rachlin	No Yes
OS76.117 ⁹⁸	Kāinga Ora	Building heights	Expand and seek for HRZ to apply to areas that are generally: iv. 10min/800m walkable catchment from the expanded edge of MCZ and from rapid transit stops (including the train stations at Paremata and Pukerua Bay) v. 10min/800m walkable catchment from the Town Centre Zone vi. Increase height limits to from 22m to 36m within 400m catchment of the Metropolitan Centre Zone as a Height Variation Control.	3.11 See Statement of Supplementary Planning Evidence of Michael Rachlin	Reject, insofar as it relates to increased height variation control to 36m Accept in part, insofar as it relates to increased height variation control to 36m	See body of the report See Statement of Supplementary Planning Evidence of Michael Rachlin	No Yes
OS76.24 ⁹⁹	Kāinga Ora	Notification preclusion clauses	Revisions to notification preclusion statements.	3.11	Accept in part	See body of the report	Yes
OS76.122 ¹⁰⁰	Kāinga Ora	Chapter introduction	Amend: <i>[Paragraph One Changes]:</i>	3.11	Reject	See body of the report	No

⁹⁵ Oppose - Toka Tū Ake EQC [FS37.13], TROTR [FS114.67, FS114.79], Support in part – KiwiRail [FS72.34]

⁹⁶ Oppose – Roger Gadd [FS75.63]

⁹⁷ Oppose - Toka Tū Ake EQC [FS37.6], Roger Gadd [FS75.62], TROTR [FS114.65]

⁹⁸ Oppose - Toka Tū Ake EQC [FS37.18], Greater Wellington Regional Council [FS74.150]

⁹⁹ Oppose – Roger Gadd [FS75.57]

¹⁰⁰ Support – RVA [FS118.183]

Sub. Ref.	Submitter / Further Submitter	Provision	Decision Requested	Section of this Report where Addressed	Officer's Recommendation	Officers' Reasons/Comments	Recommended Amendments to PDP?
			The High Density Residential Zone provides for residential activities with a high intensity and bulk of buildings, including apartments and townhouses , and other compatible activities. <u>It is anticipated that the urban built form, appearance, and amenity of residential environments within the Zone will change over time.</u>				
OS76.123 ¹⁰¹	Kāinga Ora	Chapter introduction	Amend: <i>[New Paragraph]</i> <u>Some areas have been identified as being suited to a more intensive built form through increased building heights than the standard zone height. These areas are located within a walkable catchment of the Metropolitan Centre Zone. They are identified on the planning maps as Height Variation Controls.</u>	3.11	Reject	See body of the report	No
OS118.16	RVA	Retirement villages	Provide for retirement villages.	n/a	Accept	Agree with submitter	No
OS60.3	Rosie Gallagher	General	Add a standard requiring that developments adequately accommodate active travel as the building users' first-best choice for accessing it, with universal accessibility as a non-negotiable.	3.11	Accept in part	See body of the report	No
HRZ-High Density Residential Zone – Objectives							
OS76.124 ¹⁰²	Kāinga Ora	HRZ-O1 Planned urban built environment of the High Density Residential Zone	Amend: The planned urban built environment in the High Density Residential Zone is characterised by: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. A planned built form of terraced housing and apartments buildings, predominantly six storeys in height <u>and up to ten storeys in identified Height Variation Control areas</u>; 2. A greater intensity of buildings than anticipated in the Medium Density Residential Zone and the MRZ-Residential Intensification Precinct; 3. A quality-built environment that provides for the health and well-being of people and communities residing in the Zone; and 4. An urban environment that is visually attractive, safe, easy to navigate and convenient to access. 	3.11 See right of reply of Michael Rachlin	Reject Accept	See body of the report See right of reply of Michael Rachlin	No Yes

¹⁰¹ Oppose - Toka Tū Ake EQC [FS37.19]

¹⁰² Oppose - Toka Tū Ake EQC [FS37.15]

Sub. Ref.	Submitter / Further Submitter	Provision	Decision Requested	Section of this Report where Addressed	Officer's Recommendation	Officers' Reasons/Comments	Recommended Amendments to PDP?
OS81.24 ¹⁰³	Waka Kotahi	HRZ-O1 Planned urban built environment of the High Density Residential Zone	Retain as notified.	n/a See right of reply of Michael Rachlin	Accept	Agree with submitter, subject to amendments made in response to other submissions. See right of reply of Michael Rachlin	No
OS114.7	Te Rūnanga o Toa Rangatira	HRZ-O1 Planned urban built environment of the High Density Residential Zone	HRZ is not an objective and describes the Zone. Te Rūnanga are unsure the way in which the need for speed of giving effect to the NPS-UD have generated adequate consideration and addition of objectives and policies into this Chapter. It is not clear in the drafting why HRZ is promoted because it will encourage a better use of land supporting the reduction of our emissions or we will endeavour to reduce our construction and building footprint in the face of growing population? The interface with Taiao is not clear in articulating the purpose of this Zone Chapter. [Refer to original submission for full reason]	3.11	Reject	See body of the report	No
OS118.78	RVA	HRZ-O1 Planned urban built environment of the High Density Residential Zone	Retain HRZ-O1 as notified.	n/a See right of reply of Michael Rachlin	Accept	Agree with submitter, subject to amendments made in response to other submissions. See right of reply of Michael Rachlin	No
HRZ-High Density Residential Zone – Rules							
OS118.79	RVA	HRZ-R1 Buildings and structures, including additions and alterations, but excluding fences and stand-alone walls	Seeks that HRZ-R1 is amended as follows to include a set of focused matters of discretion that are applicable to retirement villages: HRZ-R1 Buildings and structures, including additions and alterations, but excluding fences and stand-alone walls a. Activity status: Permitted Where: b. c. Activity status: Restricted discretionary Where: d. Compliance is not achieved with HRZ-S1, HRZ-S2, HRZ-S3, HRZ-S4, HRZ-S5, HRZ-S6, HRZ-S7, or HRZ-S8 <u>HRZ-R1(1)(a)</u> . Matters of discretion are restricted to: e. The matters of discretion of any infringed standards.	3.11	Reject	See body of the report	No

¹⁰³ Support – KiwiRail [FS72.55]

Sub. Ref.	Submitter / Further Submitter	Provision	Decision Requested	Section of this Report where Addressed	Officer's Recommendation	Officers' Reasons/Comments	Recommended Amendments to PDP?
			<p>f. <u>Activity status: Restricted discretionary</u></p> <p><u>Where:</u></p> <p>g. Compliance is not achieved with R1(1)(a).</p> <p>h. The application is for a retirement village.</p> <p><u>Matters of discretion are restricted to:</u></p> <p>i. <u>The matters of discretion of any infringed built form standards;</u></p> <p>j. <u>The effects of the retirement village on the safety of adjacent streets or public open spaces;</u></p> <p>k. <u>The extent to which articulation, modulation and materiality addresses adverse visual dominance effects associated with building length;</u></p> <p>l. <u>The effects arising from the quality of the interface between the retirement village and adjacent streets or public open spaces;</u></p> <p>m. <u>When assessing the matters in 1 - 5, consider:</u></p> <p>n. <u>The need to provide for efficient use of larger sites; and</u></p> <p>o. <u>The functional and operational needs of the retirement village.</u></p> <p>p. <u>The positive effects of the construction, development and use of the retirement village.</u></p> <p><u>For clarity, no other rules or matters of discretion relating to the effects of density apply to buildings for a retirement village.</u></p> <p>Notification:</p> <p>q. <u>An application under this rule where compliance is not achieved with HRZ-S1, HRZ-S6 or HRZ-S7 is precluded from being publicly or limited notified in accordance with sections 95A and 95B of the RMA.</u></p> <p>r. <u>An application under this rule where compliance is not achieved with HRZ-S3, HRZ-S4, HRZ-S5, or HRZ-S8 is precluded from being publicly notified in accordance with section 95A of the RMA.</u></p> <p>s. <u>An application under this rule that is associated with a retirement village is precluded from being publicly notified.</u></p> <p>t. <u>An application under this rule that is associated with a retirement village where compliance is achieved with HRZ-S2, HRZ-S3 and HRZ-S4 is precluded from being limited notified.</u></p>				
OS118.40	RVA	Retirement villages	<p>Retirement villages need to be provided for as a residential activity and enabled as follows:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • A rule that permits the use and operation of retirement villages, recognising that this activity is expected and encouraged in residential zones; • A rule that regulates the construction of retirement villages as a restricted discretionary activity, recognising that this 	3.11	Reject	See body of the report	No

Sub. Ref.	Submitter / Further Submitter	Provision	Decision Requested	Section of this Report where Addressed	Officer's Recommendation	Officers' Reasons/Comments	Recommended Amendments to PDP?
			activity is anticipated in residential zones with limited matters requiring assessment.				
OS85.11	Metlifecare Limited	Retirement villages	The High Density Residential zone provisions should provide for the construction of retirement villages as a restricted discretionary activity.	3.11	Reject	See body of the report	No
OS85.8	Metlifecare Limited	Retirement villages	Seeks a new rule [in residential zones] that provides for "Construction of buildings for a retirement village" as a restricted discretionary activity subject to the following matters of discretion: (a) RESZ-P3 (Safety and street scene quality); (b) RESZ – P4 (Health and well-being); (c) RESZ-P5 (Buildings and structures); (d) RESZ-P13 (Retirement Villages); (e) RESZ-P[x] (explained in separate point); and (f) the extent and effect of non-compliance with any of the following standards: MRZ-S2, MRZ-S3, MRZ-S4, MRZ-S5, MRZ-S6.	3.11	Reject	See body of the report	No
OS76.125	Kāinga Ora	HRZ-R1	Amend: <i>Change non-notification clause associated with HRZ-R1(2) as follows:</i> Notification: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> An application under this rule where compliance is not achieved with <u>HRZ-S1, HRZ-S5, HRZ-S6, or HRZ-S7 of HRZ-S8</u> is precluded from being publicly or limited notified in accordance with sections 95A and 95B of the RMA. An application under this rule where compliance is not achieved with <u>HRZ-S3, or HRZ-S4, HRZ-S5, or HRZ-S8</u> is precluded from being publicly notified in accordance with section 95A of the RMA.	n/a	Accept	Agree with submitter	Yes
OS76.126	Kāinga Ora	HRZ-R2 Construction activity	Amend: HRZ-R2 Construction <u>and demolition</u> activity	3.11	Reject	See body of the report	No
OS76.127	Kāinga Ora	HRZ-R3 Rainwater tank	Retain as notified.	n/a	Accept	Agree with submitter	No
OS76.128	Kāinga Ora	HRZ-R4 Fences and stand-alone walls	Retain as notified.	n/a	Accept	Agree with submitter	No

Sub. Ref.	Submitter / Further Submitter	Provision	Decision Requested	Section of this Report where Addressed	Officer's Recommendation	Officers' Reasons/Comments	Recommended Amendments to PDP?
OS76.129	Kāinga Ora	HRZ-R5 Residential activity, excluding papakāinga	Retain as notified.	n/a	Accept	Agree with submitter	No
OS76.130	Kāinga Ora	HRZ-R6 Conservation activity	Retain as notified.	n/a	Accept	Agree with submitter	No
OS76.131	Kāinga Ora	HRZ-R7 Customary harvesting	Retain as notified.	n/a	Accept	Agree with submitter	No
OS76.132	Kāinga Ora	HRZ-R8 Sport and recreation facility	Retain as notified.	n/a	Accept	Agree with submitter	No
OS50.4	Ara Poutama Aotearoa the Department of Corrections	HRZ-R9 Supported residential care activity	Retain Rule HRZ-R9.	n/a	Accept	Agree with submitter	No
OS76.133	Kāinga Ora - Homes and Communities	HRZ-R9 Supported residential care activity	Amend: Notification: An application under this rule where compliance is not achieved is precluded from being publicly or limited notified in accordance with sections 95A and 95B of the RMA.	3.11	Reject	See body of the report	No
OS76.134	Kāinga Ora	HRZ-R10 Home business	Retain as notified.	n/a	Accept	Agree with submitter	No
OS76.135	Kāinga Ora	HRZ-R11 Educational facility, including home-based childcare services	Retain as notified.	n/a	Accept	Agree with submitter	No
OS76.136	Kāinga Ora	HRZ-R12 Visitor accommodation	Retain as notified.	n/a	Accept	Agree with submitter	No
OS76.137	Kāinga Ora	HRZ-R13 Papakāinga	Retain as notified.	n/a	Accept	Agree with submitter	No
OS76.138	Kāinga Ora	HRZ-R14 Show home	Retain as notified.	n/a	Accept	Agree with submitter	No
OS76.139	Kāinga Ora	HRZ-R15 Community garden	Retain as notified.	n/a	Accept	Agree with submitter	No
OS76.140	Kāinga Ora	HRZ-R16 Emergency service facility	Retain as notified.	n/a	Accept	Agree with submitter	No
OS58.33	FENZ	HRZ-R16 Emergency service facility Activity status - RDIS	Retain as drafted.	n/a	Accept	Agree with submitter	No

Sub. Ref.	Submitter / Further Submitter	Provision	Decision Requested	Section of this Report where Addressed	Officer's Recommendation	Officers' Reasons/Comments	Recommended Amendments to PDP?
OS76.141	Kāinga Ora	HRZ-R17 Community facility, excluding healthcare activities and hospitals	Retain as notified.	n/a	Accept	Agree with submitter	No
OS76.142	Kāinga Ora	HRZ-R18 Healthcare activity	Retain as notified.	n/a	Accept	Agree with submitter	No
OS76.143 ¹⁰⁴	Kāinga Ora	HRZ-R19 Retirement village	Retain as notified.	n/a	Accept	Agree with submitter	No
OS85.5	Metlifecare Limited	HRZ-R19 Retirement village	Seeks that rule HRZ-R19 be amended to provide for retirement villages are as a permitted activity.	3.11	Reject	See body of the report	No
OS118.80	RVA	HRZ-R19 Retirement village	Amend the activity status of retirement villages as an activity to be provided for as a permitted activity (with the construction of a retirement villages provided for as a restricted discretionary activity under HRZ-R1). HRZ-R19 Retirement village 1. Activity status: Restricted discretionary Permitted Matters of discretion are restricted to: 1. The matters in RESZ-P13. Notification: An application under this rule is precluded from being publicly notified in accordance with section 95A of the RMA.	3.11	Reject	See body of the report	No
OS118.50 ¹⁰⁵	RVA	Whole of plan	Any alternative or consequential relief to address the matters addressed in the submission.	3.11	Accept in part	See body of report	Yes
OS83.18	Isabella G F Cawthorn	HRZ-R20 Commercial activity	The zone more enabling of small-scale public-facing commercial activities. Public-facing commercial activities beneath 50m2 footprint, and meeting design guide requirements for sticky and active street frontage, should be restricted discretionary with discretion matters limited to their enablement of low carbon transport.	3.11	Reject	See body of the report	No
OS83.9	Isabella G F Cawthorn	General	Small-scale commercial activity should be controlled or permitted or restricted discretionary, rather than the proposed discretionary.	3.11	Reject	See body of the report	No

¹⁰⁴ Oppose – RVA [FS118.184]

¹⁰⁵ Support - Rebecca Davis [FS127.501]

Sub. Ref.	Submitter / Further Submitter	Provision	Decision Requested	Section of this Report where Addressed	Officer's Recommendation	Officers' Reasons/Comments	Recommended Amendments to PDP?
OS83.10	Isabella G F Cawthorn	General	Small-scale commercial activity should be controlled or permitted or restricted discretionary, rather than the proposed discretionary.	3.11	Reject	See body of the report	No
OS104.8	Frances Cawthorn	HRZ-R20 Commercial activity	Seeks greater enablement of small-scale public-facing commercial activities.	3.11	Reject	See body of the report	No
OS76.144	Kāinga Ora	HRZ-R20 Commercial activity	<p><i>Amendments sought</i></p> <p>Commercial Activity</p> <p>1. Activity status: Discretionary</p> <p><u>1. Activity status: Restricted Discretionary</u></p> <p><u>Where:</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. <u>The commercial activity is limited to the ground floor tenancy of an apartment building;</u> 2. <u>The gross floor area of the commercial activity/activities does not exceed 200m²; and</u> 3. <u>The hours of operation are between:</u> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. <u>7.00am and 9.00pm Monday to Friday; and</u> 2. <u>8.00am and 7.00pm Saturday, Sunday, and public holidays.</u> <p>Matters of discretion are restricted to:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. <u>The matters in RESZ-P11.</u> <p><u>2. Activity status: Discretionary</u></p> <p><u>Where:</u></p> <p><u>Compliance is not achieved with HRZ-R20-1.a, HRZ-R20-1.b, or HRZ-R20-1.c.</u></p>	3.11	Reject	See body of the report	No
OS76.23	Kāinga Ora	Commercial activities	Introduce flexibility to enable commercial activities at ground floor of apartment buildings through a defined consent pathway.	3.11	Reject	See body of the report	No
OS76.145	Kāinga Ora	HRZ-R21 Any activity not listed as a permitted, controlled, restricted discretionary, discretionary or non-complying activity	Retain as notified.	n/a	Accept	Agree with submitter	No
OS76.146	Kāinga Ora	HRZ-R22 Industrial activity	Retain as notified.	n/a	Accept	Agree with submitter	No

Sub. Ref.	Submitter / Further Submitter	Provision	Decision Requested	Section of this Report where Addressed	Officer's Recommendation	Officers' Reasons/Comments	Recommended Amendments to PDP?
OS76.147	Kāinga Ora	HRZ-R23 Rural industry	Retain as notified.	n/a	Accept	Agree with submitter	No
OS76.148	Kāinga Ora	HRZ-R24 Hospital	Retain as notified.	n/a	Accept	Agree with submitter	No
OS76.149	Kāinga Ora	HRZ-R25 Primary production	Retain as notified.	n/a	Accept	Agree with submitter	No
HRZ-High Density Residential Zone – Standards							
OS112.6 ¹⁰⁶	Wellington Electricity	General	Seeks that reference be provided in the HRZ standards to the effect that discretion can be applied to the matters in INF-P5.	3.11	Reject	See body of the report	No
OS112.10	Wellington Electricity	General	Alternatively if the ISPP process unable to adopt the sought relief, that the permitted activity performance standards contained within PC19 and VA1 for High and Medium Density housing include reference to the potential effects of Regionally Significant Infrastructure.	3.11	Reject	See body of the report	No
OS112.12 ¹⁰⁷	Wellington Electricity	General	In the event that the ISPP process cannot assign Qualifying Matters to land within and surrounding the two identified sites, seeks that the HRZ and MRZ performance standards indicate that permitted activity discretion can be given to the PDP Infrastructure chapter, particularly in regard to the avoidance of reverse sensitivity to Regionally Significant Infrastructure.	3.11	Reject	See body of the report	No
OS32.8 ¹⁰⁸	Harbour Trust & Guardians of Pāuatahanui Inlet	General – building coverage	A site coverage requirement of no more than 80% should be imposed.	3.11	Reject	See body of the report	No
OS79.12 ¹⁰⁹	Plimmerton Residents Association	General – building coverage	A maximum building coverage standard should be stated for the HRZ	3.11	Reject	See body of the report	No
OS83.7 ¹¹⁰	Isabella Cawthorn	General – permeability standard	Add a permeability standard, such as that 30-40% of sites should be permeable.	3.11	Reject	See body of the report	No
OS104.3 ¹¹¹	Francis Cawthorn	General – permeability standard	Add a permeability standard, such as that 30-40% of sites should be permeable.	3.11	Reject	See body of the report	No
OS38.14	Amos Mann	General – permeability standard	Add a permeability standard, such as that 30-40% of sites should be permeable.	3.11	Reject	See body of the report	No
OS76.150	Kāinga Ora	HRZ-S1 Number of residential units per site	Amend:	3.11	Reject	See body of the report	No

¹⁰⁶ Oppose - Kāinga Ora [FS76.399]

¹⁰⁷ Oppose - Kāinga Ora [FS76.403]

¹⁰⁸ Support - Leigh Subritzky [FS17.62], Rebecca Davis [FS127.459]

¹⁰⁹ Support - Leigh Subritzky [FS17.430], Rebecca Davis [FS127.377]

¹¹⁰ Support – Harbour Trust & Guardians of Pāuatahanui Inlet [FS32.100], Oppose Leigh Subritzky [FS17. 1092]

¹¹¹ Support - Leigh Subritzky [FS17.499]

Sub. Ref.	Submitter / Further Submitter	Provision	Decision Requested	Section of this Report where Addressed	Officer's Recommendation	Officers' Reasons/Comments	Recommended Amendments to PDP?
			<p><i>HRZ-S1 - Number of residential units per site</i></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. There must be no more than 3 <u>6</u> residential units per site; <u>and</u> 2. <u>Compliance with the following standards is achieved:</u> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> i. <u>HRZ-S2 – height</u> ii. <u>HRZ-S3 - HIRTB;</u> iii. <u>HRZ-S4 - only in relation to the rear/side yard boundary setback;</u> iv. <u>HRZ-S7– outlook space.</u> 3. <u>Activity Status: Restricted Discretionary</u> <p><u>i. Where compliance with HRZ-S1(1.) cannot be achieved.</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. <u>The scale, form, and appearance of the development is compatible with the planned urban built form of the neighbourhood;</u> 2. <u>The development contributes to a safe and attractive public realm and streetscape;</u> 3. <u>The extent and effects on the three waters infrastructure, achieved by demonstrating that at the point of connection the infrastructure has the capacity to service the development; and</u> <u>The degree to which the development delivers quality on-site amenity and occupant privacy that is appropriate for its scale.</u> <p><u>ii. Where compliance with HRZ-S1(2.) cannot be achieved:</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 4. <u>The extent and effect of non-compliance with any relevant standard as specified in the associated assessment criteria for the infringed standard.</u> <p><u>Notification status:</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. <u>An application for resource consent which complies with HRZ-S1(1.) but does not comply with HRZ-S1(2.) is precluded from being publicly notified.</u> 2. <u>An application for resource consent made which does not comply with HRZ-S1(1.) but complies with HRZ-S1(2.) is precluded from being either publicly or limited notified.</u> 				

Sub. Ref.	Submitter / Further Submitter	Provision	Decision Requested	Section of this Report where Addressed	Officer's Recommendation	Officers' Reasons/Comments	Recommended Amendments to PDP?
			3. An application for resource consent made which does not comply with HRZ-S1(1.) and HRZ-S1(2.) but complies with MRZ-S2 height is precluded from being either publicly notified.				
OS81.25	Waka Kotahi	HRZ-S1 Number of residential units per site	Retain as notified.	n/a	Accept	Agree with submitter	No
OS118.81	RVA	HRZ-S1 Number of residential units per site	Amend HRZ-S1 to refer to retirement units. <i>As detailed in response to RESZ-P10 [separate submission point], seeks for the phrasing of RESZ-P10 (being a matter of discretion applicable to HRZ-S1) to be amended.</i>	3.11	Reject	See body of the report	No
OS6.2	Francesse Middleton	HRZ-S2 Height	Require geo technical requirements for the land. Require consideration be given to shade cast onto neighbors and methods to mitigate.	3.11	Accept in part	See body of the report	No
OS58.34	FENZ	HRZ-S2 Height	Amend: ... <i>This standard does not apply to:</i> ... x. Emergency service facilities up to 9m in height and hose drying towers up to 15m in height.	3.11	Reject, Insofar as it relates to HRZ-S2-1.a and HRZ-S2-1.b	See body of the report	No
OS76.151	Kāinga Ora	HRZ-S2 Height	<i>Amendments sought</i> 1. Buildings and structures must not exceed a height of: 1. 22m; 2. 16m on sites subject to Height Control — Shading A as identified on the planning maps; a. 36m where located within 400m of the edge of the Metropolitan Centre Zone as identified on the Planning Maps as a Height Variation Control. i. 11m on sites subject to Height Control — Heritage A as identified on the planning maps; ii. 8m on sites subject to Height Control — Heritage C, as identified on the planning maps; and iii. 8m on sites subject to Height Control — SASM as identified on the planning maps.	3.11 See Right of Reply of Michael Rachlin	Reject, insofar as it relates to height variation control for 36m. Accept in part, insofar as it relates to height variation control for 36m	See body of the report See Right of Reply of Michael Rachlin	Yes

Sub. Ref.	Submitter / Further Submitter	Provision	Decision Requested	Section of this Report where Addressed	Officer's Recommendation	Officers' Reasons/Comments	Recommended Amendments to PDP?
			... <i>Consequential deletion of matters of discretion that refer to policies relevant to the matters being deleted.</i>				
OS81.26	Waka Kotahi	HRZ-S2 Height	Retain as notified.	n/a See Right of Reply of Michael Rachlin	Accept, subject to response to other submissions	Agree with submitter and see Right of Reply of Michael Rachlin	No
OS118.82	RVA	HRZ-S2 Height	Amend the matter of discretion to refer to the effects of the height breach.	3.11	Reject	See body of the report	No
OS58.42	FENZ	HRZ-S3 Height in relation to boundary	Amend: <i>This standard does not apply to:</i> ... <i>x. Emergency service facilities up to 9m in height and hose drying towers up to 15m in height.</i>	3.11	Reject insofar as it relates to exemption from HRZ-S3-1.a	See body of the report	No
OS76.152	Kāinga Ora	HRZ-S3 Height in relation to boundary	Amend Standard: 1. All buildings and structures must not project beyond a: a. 60° recession plane measured from a point 19m vertically above ground level along the first 20m of the side boundary as measured from the road frontage; b. 60° recession plane measured from a point 8m vertically above ground level along all other boundaries; c. Except no part of any building or structure may project beyond a: i. 60° recession plane measured from a point 4m vertically above ground level along any boundary that adjoins a site in the Medium Density Residential Zone; or ii. 60° recession plane measured from a point 4m vertically above ground level along any boundary with a site containing a heritage item or heritage setting for sites subject to HIRB Control Heritage B; iii. 45° recession plane measured from a point 3m vertically above ground level on any boundary with a site containing a heritage item or heritage setting for sites subject to HIRB Control Heritage A; or	3.11	Accept in part, insofar as it relates to HIRB for any boundary that adjoins a site in the Medium Density Residential Zone	See body of the report	Yes

Sub. Ref.	Submitter / Further Submitter	Provision	Decision Requested	Section of this Report where Addressed	Officer's Recommendation	Officers' Reasons/Comments	Recommended Amendments to PDP?								
			<p>iv. <u>45° recession plane measured from a point 3m vertically above ground level on any boundary with a site containing an identified site of or areas of significance to Māori.</u></p> <p>...</p> <p>Matters of discretion are restricted to:</p> <p>The matters in RESZ-P7 <u>and RESZ-P8</u></p>												
OS118.83	RVA	HRZ-S3 Height in relation to boundary	Amend HRZ-S3 so that it does not apply to boundaries adjoining open space and recreation zones, commercial and mixed use zones, and special purpose zones. Amend the matter of discretion to refer to the effects of the breach.	3.11	Accept in part	See body of the report	No								
OS76.153 ¹¹²	Kāinga Ora	HRZ-S4 Setbacks	<p>Amend:</p> <p>1. Buildings and structures must be set back from the relevant boundary by the minimum depth listed in the yards table below:</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Yard</th> <th>Minimum depth</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Front</td> <td><u>1.5 metres, where that boundary is to a road, otherwise it must be 1 metre</u></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Side</td> <td>1m</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Rear</td> <td>1 metre (excluded on corner sites)</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Yard	Minimum depth	Front	<u>1.5 metres, where that boundary is to a road, otherwise it must be 1 metre</u>	Side	1m	Rear	1 metre (excluded on corner sites)	3.11	Reject	See body of the report	No
Yard	Minimum depth														
Front	<u>1.5 metres, where that boundary is to a road, otherwise it must be 1 metre</u>														
Side	1m														
Rear	1 metre (excluded on corner sites)														
OS118.84	RVA	HRZ-S4 Setbacks	Amend the matter of discretion to refer to the effects of the breach.	3.11	Accept in part	See body of the report	No								
OS76.154 ¹¹³	Kāinga Ora	HRZ-S5 Landscaped area	<p>Amend:</p> <p>Matters of discretion are restricted to:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> The matters in RESZ-P8. <u>How proposed landscaping enhances onsite and/or streetscape amenity;</u> <u>The appropriateness of any planting (including location, extent, and species selection) to the local climatic environment or the presence of infrastructure;</u> 	3.11	Reject	See body of the report	No								

¹¹² Support – Waka Kotahi [FS81.46]

¹¹³ RVA [FS118.185]

Sub. Ref.	Submitter / Further Submitter	Provision	Decision Requested	Section of this Report where Addressed	Officer's Recommendation	Officers' Reasons/Comments	Recommended Amendments to PDP?
			<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 4. <u>The extent of tree and garden planting between the building and the road boundary to soften and integrate the development into the surrounding area;</u> 5. <u>The extent to which the breach is necessary to enable more efficient, cost effective and/or practical use of the remainder of the site; and</u> 6. <u>Any additional accessibility and safety benefits of providing less landscaped area.</u> 				
OS118.85	RVA	HRZ-S5 Landscaped area	<p>Amend the matter of discretion to refer to adequate provision of landscaping and planting to meet the needs of the residents. Seeks to amend HRZ-S5 as follows to provide for retirement units:</p> <p>HRZ-S5 Landscaped area</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. A residential unit <u>or retirement unit</u> at ground floor level must have a landscaped area of a minimum of 20% of a developed site with grass or plants and can include the canopy of trees regardless of the ground treatment below them. 2. The landscaped area may be located on any part of the development site, and does not need to be associated with each residential unit <u>or retirement unit</u>. 	3.11	Reject	See body of the report	No
OS76.155 ¹¹⁴	Kāinga Ora	HRZ-S6 Outdoor living space - Per unit	<p>Amend:</p> <p>Matters of discretion are restricted to:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The matters in RESZ-P7; 2. <u>The extent to which outdoor living spaces provide useable space, contribute to overall on-site spaciousness, and enable access to sunlight throughout the year for occupants;</u> 3. <u>The accessibility and connection of the outdoor living space to the internal living area for occupiers of the residential unit(s) that the outdoor living space services;</u> 4. <u>Whether the size, sunlight access and quality of on-site communal outdoor living space or other open space amenity compensates for any reduction in private outdoor living space;</u> 	3.11	Reject	See body of the report	No

¹¹⁴ Oppose – RVA [FS118.186]

Sub. Ref.	Submitter / Further Submitter	Provision	Decision Requested	Section of this Report where Addressed	Officer's Recommendation	Officers' Reasons/Comments	Recommended Amendments to PDP?
			<p>5. <u>The extent to which a reduction in outdoor living space will result in retention of mature on-site vegetation;</u></p> <p>6. <u>Proximity of the residential unit to accessible public open space; and</u></p> <p>7. <u>The provision of space for bicycle storage, servicing, washing lines and heat-pump units elsewhere on the site.</u></p>				
OS118.86	RVA	HRZ-S6 Outdoor living space - Per unit	<p>Seeks to amend HRZ-S6 as follows to enable the communal outdoor living spaces of retirement villages to count towards the amenity standard:</p> <p>HRZ-S6 Outdoor living space (per unit)</p> <p>...</p> <p><u>4. For retirement units, clause 1 and 2 apply with the following modifications:</u></p> <p><u>a. the outdoor living space may be in whole or in part grouped cumulatively in 1 or more communally accessible location(s) and/or located directly adjacent to each retirement unit; and</u></p> <p><u>b. a retirement village may provide indoor living spaces in one or more communally accessible locations in lieu of up to 50% of the required outdoor living space.</u></p> <p>Delete reference to RESZ-P7 from the matters of discretion.</p>	3.11	Reject	See body of the report	No
OS76.156	Kāinga Ora	HRZ-S7 Outlook space - Per unit	<p>Retain as notified.</p>	n/a	Accept	Agree with submitter	No
OS118.87	RVA	HRZ-S7 Outlook space - Per unit	<p>Seeks to amend HRZ-S7 as follows:</p> <p>HRZ-S7 Outlook space (per unit)</p> <p>...</p> <p><u>10. For retirement units, clauses 1 – 9 apply with the following modification: The minimum dimensions for a required outlook space are 1 metre in depth and 1 metre in width for a principal living room and all other habitable rooms.</u></p> <p>Delete reference to RESZ-P7 from the matters of discretion.</p>	3.11	Reject	See body of the report	No
OS76.157	Kāinga Ora	HRZ-S8 Windows to street	<p>Retain as notified.</p>	n/a	Accept	Agree with submitter	No
OS118.88	RVA	HRZ-S8 Windows to street	<p>Seeks to amend HRZ-S8 as follows to provide for retirement units:</p> <p>HRZ-S8 Windows to street</p> <p>1. Any residential unit or retirement unit facing the a public street must have a minimum of 20% of the street-facing façade in glazing.</p>	3.11	Reject	See body of the report	No

Sub. Ref.	Submitter / Further Submitter	Provision	Decision Requested	Section of this Report where Addressed	Officer's Recommendation	Officers' Reasons/Comments	Recommended Amendments to PDP?
			This can be in the form of windows or doors. 2. This standard only applies to sites with a direct frontage to a <u>public road</u> and the residential unit <u>or retirement unit</u> is within 15m of that frontage.				
OS76.158	Kāinga Ora	HRZ-S9 Rainwater tanks	Amend: 1. The volume of any individual rainwater tank must not exceed 5000 litres per site. 2. <u>Rainwater tanks must not be located in a front yard, unless</u> a. <u>They are at least 1.5m from the front boundary; and</u> b. <u>They are a maximum height of 1m</u> 3. <u>Rainwater tanks in any 'outlook space' must not be higher than 1m.</u> 4. <u>Rainwater tanks must not exceed 3m in height in a side or rear yard.</u>	3.11	Reject	See body of the report	No
OS70.7	Paremata Residents Association	HRZ-S10 Fences and standalone walls along boundaries	Allow fences and standalone walls of up to 2 metres in height along the length of a site boundary with Mana Esplanade and St Andrews Road greater than 30%, where this is appropriate for road noise reduction.	3.11	Reject	See body of the report	No
OS76.159	Kāinga Ora	HRZ-S10 Fences and standalone walls along boundaries	Amend: 1. All fences and standalone walls must not exceed a maximum height above ground level of: a. <u>1.2m 1.5m</u> for the length of the site boundary where that boundary is located between the front of a principal building and a road, except that the height above ground level can be up to 2m for up to <u>3050%</u> of the length of the boundary with a road; b. <u>1.2m 1.5m</u> where a site boundary adjoins a public reserve, vested to Porirua City Council under the Reserves Management Act, <u>or up to 2m where the section above 1.5m is at least 50% visually permeable</u> ; and c. 2m for all other site boundaries.	3.11	Accept in part	See body of the report	Yes
OS118.89	RVA	HRZ-S10 Fences and standalone walls along boundaries	Amend standard to provide for higher height of fences where some permeability is provided.	3.11	Accept in part	See body of the report	Yes

MRZ-Medium Density Residential Zone – General submissions

Sub. Ref.	Submitter / Further Submitter	Provision	Decision Requested	Section of this Report where Addressed	Officer's Recommendation	Officers' Reasons/Comments	Recommended Amendments to PDP?
OS38.13	Amos Mann	General	That building height limits and recession planes are made universally consistent with the Coalition for More Homes' Alternative MDRS.	3.12	Reject	See body of the report	No
OS104.2	Frances Cawthorn	Density standards	Building height limits and recession planes and setbacks consistent with the Coalition for More Homes' Alternative MDRS.	3.12	Reject	See body of the report	No
OS38.15	Amos Mann	General	Add the Coalition for More Homes' Alternative MDRS recommendations for outdoor living space and green space. [Refer to original submission for full decision requested, including weblink provided]	3.12	Reject	See body of the report	No
OS53.17	Transpower	General	Retain the introductory text to the MRZ zone, in particular the second bullet point and listing of MRZ-R16.	n/a	Accept	Agree with submitter	No
OS60.2	Rosie Gallagher	General	Add the Coalition for More Homes' Alternative MDRS recommendations for outdoor living space and green space.	3.12	Reject	See body of the report	No
OS75.14	Roger Gadd	General	In regard to the Introduction, exclude the faded text from the District Plan.	n/a	Accept	Agree with submitter	No
OS76.17 ¹¹⁵	Kāinga Ora	General	Revisions to notification preclusion statements. [Refer to original submission for full decision requested, including attachment]	3.12	Accept in part	See body of the report	Yes
OS76.166 ¹¹⁶	Kāinga Ora	General	Amend [introduction]: The Medium Density Residential Zone provides for residential areas predominantly used for residential activity with a moderate concentration and bulk of buildings, <u>enabling</u> a range of dwelling typologies, and other compatible activities. <u>It is anticipated that the urban built form, appearance, and amenity of residential environments within the Zone will change over time.</u>	3.12	Reject	See body of the report	No
OS118.17	RVA	Retirement villages	Provide for retirement villages.	n/a	Accept	Agree with submitter	No
OS118.91	RVA	Retirement villages	Seeks that paragraph 1 of the Medium Density Residential Zone is amended as follows to provide clarity around the level of residential activity anticipated in the zone: The Medium Density Residential Zone provides for residential areas predominantly used for residential activity <u>that enables more intensive development including medium density development that typically comprises with a</u>	3.12	Reject	See body of the report	No

¹¹⁵ Oppose – Roger Gadd [FS76.64]

¹¹⁶ Support – RVA [FS118.187]

Sub. Ref.	Submitter / Further Submitter	Provision	Decision Requested	Section of this Report where Addressed	Officer's Recommendation	Officers' Reasons/Comments	Recommended Amendments to PDP?
			moderate concentration and bulk of buildings, a range of dwelling typologies, and other compatible activities.				
OS85.10	Metlifecare Limited	Retirement villages	The Medium Density Residential zone provisions should provide for the construction of retirement villages as a restricted discretionary activity.	3.12	Reject	See body of the report	No
OS118.39	RVA	Retirement villages	Retirement villages need to be provided for as a residential activity and enabled as follows: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • A rule that permits the use and operation of retirement villages, recognising that this activity is expected and encouraged in residential zones; • A rule that regulates the construction of retirement villages as a restricted discretionary activity, recognising that this activity is anticipated in residential zones with limited matters requiring assessment. 	3.12	Reject	See body of the report	No
OS88.1	Nash Alexander	General	In regard to MRZ-O2, the requirement for open space needs to ensure that buildings are adequately angled to make the most of sun planes. Neighbours who live immediately adjacent to proposed 2 or 3 storey buildings must be able to get informed of any proposal to build high properties so that they are able to have input into the final decision.	3.12	Reject	See body of the report	No
OS118.46	RVA	General - standards	The outdoor living space, outlook space, windows to street and landscaped area standards should generally reflect the MDRS with some amendments. No additional development standards should apply.	3.12	Accept in part	See body of the report	No
MRZ-Medium Density Residential Zone – Objectives – General							
OS75.15	Roger Gadd	objectives	Exclude the faded text from the District Plan, Specifically the old MRZO1, MRZ-O2, old MRZ-PREC02-01, old MRZ-PREC02-02, and old MRZ-PREC02-03.	n/a	Accept	Agree with submitter	No
MRZ-O1 Planned urban built environment of the Medium Density Residential Zone							
OS53.18	Transpower	MRZ-O1	Retain MRZ-O1	n/a	Accept	Agree with submitter	No
OS70.1	Paremata Residents Association	MRZ-O1	Remove the reference to predominantly 3-storey buildings and replace with more appropriate wording (such as the Medium Density description in Plimmerton Farm Plan Change 19). The following is preferred: “a planned form providing a variety of housing types and sizes and enabling the development of buildings up to 3-storeys”.	3.12	Reject	See body of the report	No
OS75.2	Roger Gadd	MRZ-O1	Not applicable.	n/a	Accept	Agree with submitter	No

Sub. Ref.	Submitter / Further Submitter	Provision	Decision Requested	Section of this Report where Addressed	Officer's Recommendation	Officers' Reasons/Comments	Recommended Amendments to PDP?
			The objective as defined is reasonable and consistent with Government legislation.				
OS76.167	Kāinga Ora	MRZ-O1	Retain as notified.	n/a	Accept	Agree with submitter	No
OS92.5	Ministry of Education	MRZ-O1	MRZ-O1- Planned urban environment of the Medium Density Residential Zone 3. An urban environment that is visually attractive, safe, easy to navigate, and convenient to access, <u>including existing and planned educational facilities</u> . Any supporting policies associated with MRZ-O1 should be amended to reflect the Ministry's outlined relief and any consequential amendments.	3.12	Reject	See body of the report	No
OS118.92	RVA	MRZ-O1	Delete MRZ-O1 and replace with an objective that reflects Objective 2 of the MDRS.	3.12	Reject	See body of the report	No
MRZ-PREC02-O1 Planned urban built environment of the MRZ - Residential Intensification Precinct							
OS58.37	FENZ	MRZ-PREC02-O1	Retain as drafted.	n/a	Accept	Agree with submitter	No
OS70.3	Paremata Residents Association	MRZ-PREC02-O1	Modify the existing description with more appropriate wording such as: "A planned built form providing a variety of housing types and sizes, including terraced housing and apartment buildings up to four and five storeys in height".	3.12	Reject	See body of the report	No
OS76.168	Kāinga Ora	MRZ-PREC02-O1	Retain as notified.	n/a	Accept	Agree with submitter	No
OS114.26	Te Rūnanga o Toa Rangatira	MRZ-PREC02-O1	MRZ-PREC01-O2 is not clear, in the sense that the 'how' is missing. In the same regard, MRZ-PREC01-O1 is not clear as it asks for a planned built form with four and five storeys, a greater intensity of buildings than normally seen in the Medium Density Residential Zone and a quality of built environment that provides for the health and well-being of people residing in the Precinct. These concepts are not mutually exclusive; they are subjective. These objectives can be improved by including 'by way of'.	3.12	Reject	See body of the report	No
OS118.93	RVA	MRZ-PREC02-O1	Amend MRZ-PREC02-O1 for alignment with the new MRZ-O1 as sought [in separate submission point].	3.12	Reject	See body of the report	No
MRZ-PREC02-O2 Managing scale of development at MRZ - Residential Intensification Precinct Interface							
OS70.4	Paremata Residents Association	MRZ-PREC02-O2	Modify wording to provide protection to mitigate against adverse effects on the health and well-being of persons residing <u>both within and outside</u> the Precinct.	3.12	Reject	See body of the report	No

Sub. Ref.	Submitter / Further Submitter	Provision	Decision Requested	Section of this Report where Addressed	Officer's Recommendation	Officers' Reasons/Comments	Recommended Amendments to PDP?
OS75.3	Roger Gadd	MRZ-PREC02-O2	Delete the words "located outside of the Precinct".	3.12	Reject	See body of the report	No
OS76.169	Kāinga Ora	MRZ-PREC02-O2	<i>Amendments sought</i> Use and Built development within the MRZ-Residential Intensification Precinct is of a form, design and scale that an appropriate scale and proportion for the planned urban built environment of the precinct and effectively minimises adverse effects on the amenity values of adjacent sites in the Medium Density Residential Zone mitigate adverse effects on the health and well-being of people residing in adjacent sites located outside of the Precinct.	3.12 See Right of Reply of Michael Rachlin	Accept in part	See body of the report and See Right of Reply of Michael Rachlin	Yes
OS114.26	Te Rūnanga o Toa Rangatira	MRZ-PREC02-O2	MRZ-PREC01-O2 is not clear, in the sense that the 'how' is missing. In the same regard, MRZ-PREC01-O1 is not clear as it asks for a planned built form with four and five storeys, a greater intensity of buildings than normally seen in the Medium Density Residential Zone and a quality of built environment that provides for the health and well-being of people residing in the Precinct. These concepts are not mutually exclusive; they are subjective. These objectives can be improved by including 'by way of'.	3.12	Reject	See body of the report	No
OS118.94	RVA	MRZ-PREC02-O2	Amend MRZ-PREC02-O2 for alignment with the new MRZ-O1 as sought [in separate submission point]. [Refer to original submission for full decision requested]	3.12	Reject	See body of the report	No
OS114.24	Te Rūnanga o Toa Rangatira	MRZ-PREC02-O2	This chapter could be improved by stating how medium density form, design, and scale that mitigate adverse effects on the health and well-being of people residing in adjacent sites located outside of the Precinct.	3.12	Reject	See body of the report	No
MRZ-PREC03-O1 Recognition of development constraints of natural environmental overlays in the Takapuwahia Precinct							
OS76.170	Kāinga Ora		Retain as notified	n/a	Accept	Agree with submitter	No
MRZ-Medium Density Residential Zone – Rules – General submissions							
OS53.19	Transpower	Introduction text	Retain the introductory text to the rules within the MRZ chapter.	n/a	Accept	Agree with submitter	No
OS75.16	Roger Gadd	Deleted wording	Exclude the faded text from the District Plan.	n/a	Accept	Agree with submitter	No
OS104.6	Frances Cawthorn	Commercial activities	The scale of commercial activities that are permitted in these zones should be increased where it's activities that involve people spending time together, such as daycares.	3.12	Reject	See body of the report	No
MRZ-R1 Buildings and structures, including additions and alterations, but excluding fences and stand-alone walls							

Sub. Ref.	Submitter / Further Submitter	Provision	Decision Requested	Section of this Report where Addressed	Officer's Recommendation	Officers' Reasons/Comments	Recommended Amendments to PDP?
OS53.20 ¹¹⁷	Transpower		<p>Amend Rule MRZ-R1 as follows:</p> <p>[...]</p> <p><i>Notification:</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • An application under this rule where compliance is not achieved with MRZ-S1, MRZ-S7, or MRZ-S8 is precluded from being publicly or limited notified in accordance with sections 95A and 95B of the RMA. • An application under this rule where compliance is not achieved with MRZ-S1, MRZ-S2, MRZ-S3, MRZ-S4, MRZ-S5, MRZ-S6 or MRZ-S6S9 is precluded from being publicly notified in accordance with section 95A of the RMA. <p><i>Note: Activities subject to MRZ-R1 shall comply with, and are subject to, the relevant provisions for qualifying matter areas.</i></p>	3.12	Reject	See body of the report	No
OS118.96	RVA		<p>Seeks that MRZ-R1 is amended as follows to include a set of focused matters of discretion that are applicable to retirement villages:</p> <p>MRZ-R1 Buildings and structures, including additions and alterations, but excluding fences and stand-alone walls</p> <p>a. Activity status: Permitted</p> <p>....</p> <p>b. Activity status: Restricted discretionary</p> <p>Where:</p> <p>c. Compliance is not achieved with MRZ-S1, MRZ-S2, MRZ-S3, MRZ-S4, MRZ-S5, MRZ-S6, MRZ-S7, MRZ-S8 or MRZ-S9 <u>MRZ-R1(1)(a)</u>.</p> <p>Matters of discretion are restricted to:</p> <p>d. The effects of the breach of any infringed standards.</p> <p>e. Activity status: Restricted discretionary</p> <p><u>Where:</u></p> <p>f. Compliance is not achieved with <u>MRZ-R1(1)(a)</u>; and</p> <p>g. The application is for a retirement village.</p> <p><u>Matters of discretion are restricted to:</u></p> <p>h. The matters of discretion of any infringed built form standards;</p> <p>i. The effects of the retirement village on the safety of adjacent streets or public open spaces;</p> <p>j. The effects arising from the quality of the interface between the</p>	3.11	Accepted in part	See body of the report	Yes

¹¹⁷ Support – KiwiRail [FS72.15], RNZ [FS73.22]

Sub. Ref.	Submitter / Further Submitter	Provision	Decision Requested	Section of this Report where Addressed	Officer's Recommendation	Officers' Reasons/Comments	Recommended Amendments to PDP?
			<p><u>retirement village and adjacent streets or public open spaces;</u> <u>k. The extent to which articulation, modulation and materiality addresses adverse visual dominance effects associated with building length;</u></p> <p><u>l. When assessing the matters in 1 - 5, consider:</u> <u>m. The need to provide for efficient use of larger sites; and</u> <u>n. The functional and operational needs of the retirement village.</u> <u>o. The positive effects of the construction, development and use of the retirement village.</u> <u>For clarity, no other rules or matters of discretion relating to the effects of density apply to buildings for a retirement village.</u></p> <p>Notification: p. An application under this rule where compliance is not achieved with MRZ-S1, MRZ-S7 or MRZ-S8 is precluded from being publicly or limited notified in accordance with sections 95A and 95B of the RMA. q. An application under this rule where compliance is not achieved with MRZ-S3, MRZ-S4, MRZ-S5, MRZ-S6 or MRZ-S9 is precluded from being publicly notified in accordance with section 95A of the RMA. r. <u>An application under this rule that is associated with a retirement village is precluded from being publicly notified.</u> s. <u>An application under this rule that is associated with a retirement village where compliance is achieved with MRZ-S2, MRZ-S3, MRZ-S4 and MRZ-S5 is precluded from being limited notified.</u></p>				
OS76.171 ¹¹⁸	Kāinga Ora		<p>Change non-notification clause associated with MRZ-R1(2):</p> <p>Notification:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • An application under this rule where compliance is not achieved with <u>MRZ-S1, MRZ-S6, MRZ-S7, or MRZ-S8</u> of <u>MRZ-S9</u> is precluded from being publicly or limited notified in accordance with sections 95A and 95B of the RMA. • An application under this rule where compliance is not achieved with <u>MRZ-S3, MRZ-S4, or MRZ-S5, MRZ-S6 or MRZ-S9</u> is precluded from being publicly notified in accordance with section 95A of the RMA. 	n/a	Accept	Agree with submitter	Yes
MRZ-R2 Construction activity							

¹¹⁸ Support – Survey and Spatial [FS122.2], Oppose – RVA [FS118.189]

Sub. Ref.	Submitter / Further Submitter	Provision	Decision Requested	Section of this Report where Addressed	Officer's Recommendation	Officers' Reasons/Comments	Recommended Amendments to PDP?
OS76.172	Kāinga Ora		Amend: MRZ-R2 Construction and demolition activity..	3.12	Reject	See body of the report	No
MRZ-R3 Rainwater tank, MRZ-R4 Fences and stand-alone walls, MRZ-R5 Residential activity, excluding papakāinga, MRZ-R6 Conservation activity, MRZ-R7 Customary harvesting, MRZ-R8 Sport and recreation facility, MRZ-R9 Supported residential care activity							
OS76.173	Kāinga Ora	MRZ-R3 Rainwater tank	Retain as notified	n/a	Accept	Agree with submitter	No
OS76.174	Kāinga Ora	MRZ-R4 Fences and stand-alone walls	Retain as notified	n/a	Accept	Agree with submitter	No
OS76.175	Kāinga Ora	MRZ-R5 Residential activity, excluding papakāinga	Retain as notified	n/a	Accept	Agree with submitter	No
OS76.176	Kāinga Ora	MRZ-R6 Conservation activity	Retain as notified	n/a	Accept	Agree with submitter	No
OS76.177	Kāinga Ora	MRZ-R7 Customary harvesting	Retain as notified	n/a	Accept	Agree with submitter	No
OS76.178	Kāinga Ora	MRZ-R7 Customary harvesting	Retain as notified	n/a	Accept	Agree with submitter	No
OS76.179	Kāinga Ora	MRZ-R9 Supported residential care activity	<i>Amendments sought</i> 1. Activity status: Permitted Where: The maximum occupancy does not exceed six ten residents.	3.12 See Right of Reply of Michael Rachlin	Reject Accept	See body of the report See Right of Reply of Michael Rachlin	No Yes
OS76.190	Kāinga Ora	MRZ-R9 Supported residential care activity Notification preclusion	Notification: An application under this rule where compliance is not achieved is precluded from being publicly or limited notified in accordance with sections 95A and 95B of the RMA.	3.12	Reject	See body of the report	No
MRZ-R10 Home business							
OS75.5	Roger Gadd		Amend subclause a. to read something like "No more than 40m2 of total gross floor area of each dwelling and its associated buildings on site is used for the home business"	3.12	Reject	See body of the report	No
OS76.180	Kāinga Ora		Retain as notified	n/a	Accept	Agree with submitter	No

Sub. Ref.	Submitter / Further Submitter	Provision	Decision Requested	Section of this Report where Addressed	Officer's Recommendation	Officers' Reasons/Comments	Recommended Amendments to PDP?
MRZ-R11 Educational facility, including home-based childcare services, MRZ-R12 Visitor accommodation, MRZ-R14 Show home, MRZ-R15 Community garden							
OS76.181	Kāinga Ora	MRZ-R11 Educational facility	Retain as notified	n/a	Accept	Agree with submitter	No
OS76.182	Kāinga Ora	MRZ-R12 Visitor accommodation	Retain as notified	n/a	Accept	Agree with submitter	No
OS76.184	Kāinga Ora	MRZ-R14 Show home	Retain as notified	n/a	Accept	Agree with submitter	No
OS76.191	Kāinga Ora	MRZ-R15 Community garden	Retain as notified	n/a	Accept	Agree with submitter	No
MRZ-R16 Buildings and structures within the National Grid Yard, MRZ-R17 Activities within the National Grid Yard							
OS53.21 ¹¹⁹	Transpower	MRZ-R16 Buildings and structures within the National Grid Yard	MRZ-R16 be retained as notified, subject to amendment to the National Grid Yard rules as sought in the submitter's submission to the PDP and evidence presented at hearings.	3.12	Accept in part	Addressed in Hearing Stream 5	No
OS76.185	Kāinga Ora	MRZ-R16 Buildings and structures within the National Grid Yard	Retain as notified	3.12	Accept in part	Addressed in Hearing Stream 5	No
OS53.22 ¹²⁰	Transpower	MRZ-R17 Activities within the National Grid Yard	MRZ-R17 be retained as notified, subject to amendment to the National Grid Yard rules as sought in the submitter's submission to the PDP and evidence presented at hearings (in particular Hearing Stream 4).	3.12	Accept in part	Addressed in Hearing Stream 5	No
OS76.186	Kāinga Ora	MRZ-R17 Activities within the National Grid Yard	Retain as notified	3.12	Accept in part	Addressed in Hearing Stream 5	No
MRZ-R18 Activities within the Gas Transmission Pipeline Corridor, MRZ-R19 Emergency service facility, MRZ-R20 Community facility, excluding healthcare activities and hospitals, MRZ-R21 Healthcare activity							
OS76.187	Kāinga Ora	MRZ-R18 Activities within the Gas Transmission Pipeline Corridor	Retain as notified	n/a	Accept	Agree with submitter	No
OS58.41	FENZ	MRZ-R19 Emergency service facility	Retain as notified	n/a	Accept	Agree with submitter	No
OS76.188	Kāinga Ora	MRZ-R19 Emergency service facility	Retain as notified	n/a	Accept	Agree with submitter	No
OS76.189	Kāinga Ora	MRZ-R20 Community facility, excluding healthcare activities and hospitals	Retain as notified	n/a	Accept	Agree with submitter	No

¹¹⁹ Oppose – Kāinga Ora [FS17.632]

¹²⁰ Oppose – Kāinga Ora [FS17.369]

Sub. Ref.	Submitter / Further Submitter	Provision	Decision Requested	Section of this Report where Addressed	Officer's Recommendation	Officers' Reasons/Comments	Recommended Amendments to PDP?
OS76.192	Kāinga Ora	MRZ-R21 Healthcare activity	Retain as notified	n/a	Accept	Agree with submitter	No
MRZ-R22 Retirement village							
OS76.193 ¹²¹	Kāinga Ora		Retain as notified	n/a	Accept	Agree with submitter	No
OS85.6	Metlifecare Limited		Seeks that rule MRZ-R22 be amended to provide for retirement villages as a permitted activity.	3.11	Reject	See body of the report	No
OS118.97	RVA		Seeks to amend the activity status of retirement villages as an activity to be provided for as a permitted activity (with the construction of a retirement villages provided for as a restricted discretionary activity under MRZ-R1). MRZ-R22 Retirement village 1. Activity status: Restricted discretionary Permitted Matters of discretion are restricted to: 1. The matters in RESZ-P13. Notification: An application under this rule is precluded from being publicly notified in accordance with section 95A of the RMA.	3.11	Reject	See body of the report	No
OS88.3 ¹²²	Nash Alexander	General	In regard to MPZ-P6, Retirement villages should have a kindergarten or other early childhood education on site that elderly residents can be paid to assist at.	3.12	Reject	See body of the report	No
MRZ-R23 Commercial activities							
OS76.195	Kāinga Ora		Retain as notified	n/a	Accept	Agree with submitter	No
OS104.5	Frances Cawthorn		Small-scale commercial activity should be controlled or permitted or restricted discretionary, rather than the proposed discretionary.	3.12	Reject	See body of the report	No
OS38.16	Amos Mann		Small-scale commercial activity should be controlled or permitted or restricted discretionary, rather than the proposed discretionary.	3.12	Reject	See body of the report	No
OS38.17	Amos Mann		The scale of commercial activities that are permitted in these zones should be increased where it's activities that involve people spending time together, such as daycares.	3.12	Reject	See body of the report	No

¹²¹ Oppose – RVA [FS118.190]

¹²² Oppose – RVA [FS118.151]

Sub. Ref.	Submitter / Further Submitter	Provision	Decision Requested	Section of this Report where Addressed	Officer's Recommendation	Officers' Reasons/Comments	Recommended Amendments to PDP?
MRZ-R24 Any activity not listed as a permitted, controlled, restricted discretionary, discretionary or non-complying activity, MRZ-R25 Industrial activity, MRZ-R26 Rural industry, MRZ-R27 Hospital, MRZ-R28 Primary production,							
OS76.194	Kāinga Ora	MRZ-R24 Any activity not listed as a permitted, controlled, restricted discretionary, discretionary or non-complying activity	Retain as notified	n/a	Accept	Agree with submitter	No
OS76.194	Kāinga Ora	MRZ-R25 Industrial activity	Retain as notified	n/a	Accept	Agree with submitter	No
OS76.194	Kāinga Ora	MRZ-R26 Rural industry	Retain as notified	n/a	Accept	Agree with submitter	No
OS76.194	Kāinga Ora	MRZ-R27 Hospital	Retain as notified	n/a	Accept	Agree with submitter	No
OS76.194	Kāinga Ora	MRZ-R28 Primary production	Retain as notified	n/a	Accept	Agree with submitter	No
MRZ-Medium Density Residential Zone Standards							
OS75.17	Roger Gadd	General	Exclude the faded text from the District Plan.	n/a	Accept	Agree with submitter	No
OS88.5	Nash Alexander	Fence heights	Public reserves should be subject to a homeowner being able to fence off their property to a maximum of 2m in height for safety and security reasons.	3.12	Reject	See body of the report	No
OS112.5 ¹²³	Wellington Electricity	General	That reference be provided in the MRZ Standards to the effect that discretion can be applied to the matters in INF-P5.	3.11	Reject	See body of the report	No
OS76.200 ¹²⁴	Kāinga Ora	MRZ-S1 Number of residential units per site	<p><i>Amendments sought</i></p> <p><i>MRZ-S1 - Number of residential units per site</i></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. There must be no more than 3 residential units per site; <u>and</u> 2. <u>Compliance with the following standards is achieved:</u> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> i. <u>MRZ-S2 – height</u> ii. <u>MRZ-S3 - HIRTB;</u> iii. <u>HRZ-S4 – building coverage</u> iv. <u>HRZ-S5 - only in relation to the rear/side yard boundary setback;</u> v. <u>HRZ-S8– outlook space.</u> 3. <u>Activity Status: Restricted Discretionary</u> 	3.12	Reject	See body of the report	No

¹²³ Oppose - Kainga Ora [FS76.398]

¹²⁴ Oppose – Survey and Spatial [FS122.3]

Sub. Ref.	Submitter / Further Submitter	Provision	Decision Requested	Section of this Report where Addressed	Officer's Recommendation	Officers' Reasons/Comments	Recommended Amendments to PDP?
			<p><u>i. Where compliance with MRZ-S1(1.) cannot be achieved.</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. <u>The scale, form, and appearance of the development is compatible with the planned urban built form of the neighbourhood;</u> 2. <u>The development contributes to a safe and attractive public realm and streetscape;</u> 3. <u>The extent and effects on the three waters infrastructure, achieved by demonstrating that at the point of connection the infrastructure has the capacity to service the development; and</u> 4. <u>The degree to which the development delivers quality on-site amenity and occupant privacy that is appropriate for its scale.</u> <p><u>ii. Where compliance with MRZ-S1(2.) cannot be achieved:</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 5. <u>The extent and effect of non-compliance with any relevant standard as specified in the associated assessment criteria for the infringed standard.</u> <p><u>Notification status:</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. <u>An application for resource consent which complies with MRZ-S1(1.) but does not comply with MRZ-S1(2.) is precluded from being publicly notified.</u> 2. <u>An application for resource consent made which does not comply with MRZ-S1(1.) but complies with MRZ-S1(2.) is precluded from being either publicly or limited notified.</u> 3. <u>An application for resource consent made which does not comply with MRZ-S1(1.) and MRZ-S1(2.) but complies with MRZ-S2 height and MRZ-S4 – building coverage is precluded from being either publicly notified.</u> 				
OS76.201	Kāinga Ora	MRZ-S1 Number of residential units per site	<p>Amend notification:</p> <p><u>Notification status:</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. <u>An application for resource consent which complies with MRZ-S1(1.) but does not comply with MRZ-S1(2.) is precluded from being publicly notified.</u> 	3.12	Reject	See body of the report	No

Sub. Ref.	Submitter / Further Submitter	Provision	Decision Requested	Section of this Report where Addressed	Officer's Recommendation	Officers' Reasons/Comments	Recommended Amendments to PDP?
			<p>2. <u>An application for resource consent made which does not comply with MRZ-S1(1.) but complies with MRZ-S1(2.) is precluded from being either publicly or limited notified.</u></p> <p><u>An application for resource consent made which does not comply with MRZ-S1(1.) and MRZ-S1(2.) but complies with MRZ-S2 height and MRZ-S4 – building coverage is precluded from being either publicly notified.</u></p>				
OS81.22	Waka Kotahi	MRZ-S1 Number of residential units per site	Retain as notified.	3.12	Accept in part	Accept in part subject to amendments made in response to other submissions.	No
OS114.28	Te Rūnanga o Toa Rangatira	MRZ-S1 Number of residential units per site	MRZ-S1 specifies the 'Number of residential units per site' and how this standard interacts with Papakāinga developments and whether this has negative impact on how Te Rūnanga might want to implement their rights and interests.	3.12	Accept	See body of the report	Yes
OS118.98	RVA	MRZ-S1 Number of residential units per site	Amend MRZ-S1 to refer to retirement units. Seeks [in separate submission point] the phrasing of RESZ-P10 (being a matter of discretion applicable to MRZ-S1) to be amended.	3.11	Accept in part	See body of the report	Yes
OS58.43	FENZ	MRZ-S2 (MRZ-S1 in PDP) Height	<p>Amend:</p> <p>...</p> <p><i>This standard does not apply to:</i></p> <p>...</p> <p><u>x. Emergency service facilities up to 9m in height and hose drying towers up to 15m in height.</u></p>	3.12	Accept in part, insofar as it relates to an exemption from the underlying zone standard only	See body of the report	No
OS81.23	Waka Kotahi	MRZ-S2 (MRZ-S1 in PDP) Height	Retain as notified.	n/a	Accept	Agree with submitter	No
OS118.99	RVA	MRZ-S2 (MRZ-S1 in PDP) Height	Amend the matter of discretion to refer to the effects of the height breach or the planned urban built form of the zone.	3.11	Reject	See body of the report	No
OS58.44	FENZ	MRZ-S3 (MRZ-S2 in PDP) Height in relation to boundary	<p>Amend:</p> <p>...</p> <p><i>This standard does not apply to:</i></p> <p>...</p> <p><u>x. Emergency service facilities up to 9m in height and hose drying towers up to 15m in height.</u></p>	3.12	Reject insofar as it relates to MRZ-S3-1.a and MRZ-S3-1.b.	See body of the report	No

Sub. Ref.	Submitter / Further Submitter	Provision	Decision Requested	Section of this Report where Addressed	Officer's Recommendation	Officers' Reasons/Comments	Recommended Amendments to PDP?
OS64.1	Brian Warburton	MRZ-S3 (MRZ-S2 in PDP) Height in relation to boundary	[Not specified, refer to original submission]	3.12	Reject	See body of the report	No
OS75.7	Roger Gadd	MRZ-S3 (MRZ-S2 in PDP) Height in relation to boundary	[Not specified, refer to original submission]	3.12	Accept in part	See body of the report	No
OS76.207	Kāinga Ora	MRZ-S3 (MRZ-S2 in PDP) Height in relation to boundary	Amend: Matters of discretion are restricted to: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The matters in RESZ-P7 and RESZ-P8 2. Building bulk and dominance effects on adjoining properties; 3. Privacy effects on adjacent residential units, including habitable rooms or outdoor living areas; and 4. Shading and overshadowing effects on the adjoining properties and the degree of impact on any adjoining internal or external living areas. 	3.12	Reject , insofar as it relates to the restructuring of the standard and the additional matters of discretion.	See body of the report	No
OS118.100	RVA	MRZ-S3 (MRZ-S2 in PDP) Height in relation to boundary	Amend HRZ-S3 so that it does not apply to boundaries adjoining open space and recreation zones, commercial and mixed use zones, and special purpose zones. Amend the matter of discretion to refer to the effects of the breach.	3.11	Accept in part	See body of the report	No
OS32.27	Harbour Trust & Guardians of Pāuatahanui Inlet	MRZ-S4 (MRZ-S3 in PDP) Building coverage	[Not specified, refer to original submission]	3.12	Accept in part	See body of the report	No
OS75.8	Roger Gadd	MRZ-S4 (MRZ-S3 in PDP) Building coverage	Perhaps clarify meaning of "net site area".	3.12	Accept in part	See body of the report	No
OS76.208	Kāinga Ora	MRZ-S4 (MRZ-S3 in PDP) Building coverage	Amend: Matters of discretion are restricted to: The matters in RESZ-P7 and RESZ-P8 .	3.12	Reject	See body of the report	No
OS118.101	RVA	MRZ-S4 (MRZ-S3 in PDP) Building coverage	Amend the matter of discretion to refer to the effects of the building coverage breach on the planned urban built form of the zone.	3.12	Reject	See body of the report	No

Sub. Ref.	Submitter / Further Submitter	Provision	Decision Requested	Section of this Report where Addressed	Officer's Recommendation	Officers' Reasons/Comments	Recommended Amendments to PDP?
OS76.209	Kāinga Ora	MRZ-S5 (MRZ-S4 and MRZ-S5 in PDP) Setbacks	Retain as notified	n/a	Accept	Agree with submitter	No
OS118.102	RVA	MRZ-S5 (MRZ-S4 and MRZ-S5 in PDP) Setbacks	Amend the matter of discretion to refer to the effects of the breach.	3.11	Reject	See body of the report	No
OS75.10	Roger Gadd	MRZ-S6 landscaped area	Not applicable.	3.12	Accept in part	See body of the report	No
OS76.210 ¹²⁵	Kāinga Ora	MRZ-S6 landscaped area	Amend: Matters of discretion are restricted to: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The matters in RESZ-P8. 2. <u>How proposed landscaping enhances onsite and/or streetscape amenity;</u> 3. <u>The appropriateness of any planting (including location, extent, and species selection) to the local climatic environment or the presence of infrastructure;</u> 4. <u>The extent of tree and garden planting between the building and the road boundary to soften and integrate the development into the surrounding area;</u> 5. <u>The extent to which the breach is necessary to enable more efficient, cost effective and/or practical use of the remainder of the site; and</u> 6. <u>Any additional accessibility and safety benefits of providing less landscaped area.</u> 	3.12	Reject	See body of the report	No
OS118.103	RVA	MRZ-S6 landscaped area	Seeks to amend MRZ-S6 as follows to provide for retirement units: MRZ-S6 Landscaped area <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 1. A residential unit <u>or retirement unit</u> at ground floor level must have a landscaped area of a minimum of 20% of a developed site with grass or plants, and can include the canopy of trees regardless of the ground treatment below them. 2. The landscaped area may be located on any part of the development site, and does not need to be associated with each residential unit <u>or retirement unit</u>. 	3.11	Reject	See body of the report	No

¹²⁵ Oppose – RVA [FS118.191]

Sub. Ref.	Submitter / Further Submitter	Provision	Decision Requested	Section of this Report where Addressed	Officer's Recommendation	Officers' Reasons/Comments	Recommended Amendments to PDP?
			Amend the matter of discretion to refer to adequate provision of landscaping and planting to meet the needs of the residents.				
OS76.211 ¹²⁶	Kāinga Ora	MRZ-S7 Outdoor living space - Per unit	Amend: Matters of discretion are restricted to: 1. The matters in RESZ-P7; 2. <u>The extent to which outdoor living spaces provide useable space, contribute to overall on-site spaciousness, and enable access to sunlight throughout the year for occupants;</u> 3. <u>The accessibility and connection of the outdoor living space to the internal living area for occupiers of the residential unit(s) that the outdoor living space services;</u> 4. <u>Whether the size, sunlight access and quality of on-site communal outdoor living space or other open space amenity compensates for any reduction in private outdoor living space;</u> 5. <u>The extent to which a reduction in outdoor living space will result in retention of mature on-site vegetation;</u> 6. <u>Proximity of the residential unit to accessible public open space; and</u> <u>The provision of space for bicycle storage, servicing, washing lines and heat-pump units elsewhere on the site.</u>	3.12	Reject	See body of the report	No
OS104.4	Frances Cawthorn	MRZ-S7 Outdoor living space - Per unit	Seeks the Coalition for More Homes' Alternative MDRS recommendations for outdoor living space and green space are added.	3.12	Reject	See body of the report	No
OS83.8	Isabella Cawthorn	Outdoor living space standards	Add the Coalition for More Homes' proposals for outdoor living space and green space.	3.12	Reject	See body of the report	No
OS118.104	RVA	MRZ-S7 Outdoor living space - Per unit	Seeks to amend MRZ-S7 as follows to enable the communal outdoor living spaces of retirement villages to count towards the amenity standard: MRZ-S7 Outdoor living space (per unit) ... <u>4. For retirement units, clause 1 and 2 apply with the following modifications:</u> <u>a. the outdoor living space may be in whole or in part grouped</u>	3.11	Reject	See body of the report	No

¹²⁶ Oppose – RVA [FS118.192]

Sub. Ref.	Submitter / Further Submitter	Provision	Decision Requested	Section of this Report where Addressed	Officer's Recommendation	Officers' Reasons/Comments	Recommended Amendments to PDP?
			<p><u>cumulatively in 1 or more communally accessible location(s) and/or located directly adjacent to each retirement unit; and</u></p> <p><u>b. a retirement village may provide indoor living spaces in one or more communally accessible locations in lieu of up to 50% of the required outdoor living space.</u></p> <p>Delete reference to RESZ-P7 from the matters of discretion.</p>				
OS75.11	Roger Gadd	MRZ-S8 Outlook space - Per unit	Clarify and correct "9. Outlook spaces must– ... b. Not extend over an outlook space or outdoor living space required by another dwelling."	3.12	Reject	See body of the report	No
OS76.212	Kāinga Ora - Homes and Communities	MRZ-S8 Outlook space - Per unit	Retain as notified.	n/a	Accept	Agree with submitter	No
OS118.105	Retirement Villages Association of New Zealand Incorporated	MRZ-S8 Outlook space - Per unit	<p>Seeks to amend MRZ-S8 as follows:</p> <p>MRZ-S8 Outlook space (per unit)</p> <p>...</p> <p>10. <u>For retirement units, clauses 1 – 9 apply with the following modification: The minimum dimensions for a required outlook space are 1 metre in depth and 1 metre in width for a principal living room and all other habitable rooms.</u></p> <p>Delete reference to RESZ-P7 from the matters of discretion.</p>	3.11	Reject	See body of the report	No
OS75.12	Roger Gadd	MRZ-S9 Windows to street	Perhaps clarify that this refers to 20% of the area of the façade, not 20% of its length. Perhaps provide an exemption for street level floors.	3.12	Reject	See body of the report	No
OS76.213	Kāinga Ora - Homes and Communities	MRZ-S9 Windows to street	Retain as notified.	n/a	Accept	Agree with submitter	No
OS118.106	Retirement Villages Association of New Zealand Incorporated	MRZ-S9 Windows to street	<p>Seeks to amend MRZ-S9 as follows to provide for retirement units:</p> <p>MRZ-S9 Windows to street</p> <p>1. Any residential unit <u>or retirement unit</u> facing the <u>a public</u> street must have a minimum of 20% of the street-facing façade in glazing. This can be in the form of windows or doors.</p> <p>2. This standard only applies to sites with a direct frontage to a <u>public road</u> and the residential unit <u>or retirement unit</u> is within 15m of that frontage.</p>	3.11	Reject	See body of the report	No

Sub. Ref.	Submitter / Further Submitter	Provision	Decision Requested	Section of this Report where Addressed	Officer's Recommendation	Officers' Reasons/Comments	Recommended Amendments to PDP?
OS76.214 ¹²⁷	Kāinga Ora - Homes and Communities	MRZ-S10 Rainwater tanks	Amend: 1. The volume of any individual rainwater tank must not exceed 5000 litres per site. 2. <u>Rainwater tanks must not be located in a front yard, unless</u> a. <u>They are at least 1.5m from the front boundary; and</u> b. <u>They are a maximum height of 1m</u> 3. <u>Rainwater tanks in any 'outlook space' must not be higher than 1m.</u> 4. <u>Rainwater tanks must not exceed 3m in height in a side or back yard.</u>	3.11	Reject	See body of the report	No
OS75.13	Roger Gadd	MRZ-S11 (MRZ-S10 in PDP) Fences and standalone walls along boundaries	Delete "b. 1.2m where a site boundary adjoins a public reserve, vested to Porirua City Council under the Reserves Management Act".	3.12	Reject	See body of the report	No
OS76.215	Kāinga Ora	MRZ-S11 (MRZ-S10 in PDP) Fences and standalone walls along boundaries	Amend: 1. All fences and standalone walls must not exceed a maximum height above ground level of: a. 1.2m <u>1.5m</u> for the length of the site boundary where that boundary is located between the front of a principal building and a road, except that the height above ground level can be up to 2m for up to 30 <u>50</u> % of the length of the boundary with a road; b. 1.2m <u>1.5m</u> where a site boundary adjoins a public reserve, vested to Porirua City Council under the Reserves Management Act, <u>or up to 2m where the section above 1.5m is at least 50% visually permeable</u> ; and 2m for all other site boundaries.	3.11	Accept in part	See body of the report	Yes
OS118.107	RVA	MRZ-S11 (MRZ-S10 in PDP) Fences and standalone walls along boundaries	Amend standard to provide for higher height of fences where some permeability is provided.	3.11	Accept in part	See body of the report	Yes

¹²⁷ Oppose - Harbour Trust & Guardians of Pāuatahanui Inlet [FS32.87]

Recommended responses to submissions and further submissions on the PDP- Residential Zones, Planning Maps and General Topics

Sub. Ref.	Submitter / Further Submitter	Provision	Decision Requested	Section of this Report where Addressed	Officer's Recommendation	Officers' Reasons/Comments	Recommended Amendments to PDP?
Planning Maps – General submissions							
264.62	Te Rūnanga o Toa Rangatira	Rezoning	Retain as notified subject to the following amendments: Amend MRZ to include all Western Porirua residential zone. This is detailed in the Map attached to this submission.	3.2	Accept in part	See body of report	No
264.63	Te Rūnanga o Toa Rangatira	Rezoning	Amend MRZ to include all Western Porirua residential zone. This is detailed in the Map attached to this submission.	3.2	Accept in part	See body of report	No
81.941 ¹²⁸	Kāinga Ora	Rezoning	See maps appended to this submission as Attachment 3 [to Kāinga Ora submission] which show the areas where residential [MRZ] rezoning of the urban areas is sought.	3.2	Accept in part	See body of report	No
81.946 ¹²⁹	Kāinga Ora	Rezoning	Seeks rezoning of these areas from GRZ to MRZ [as shown on the maps in Attachment 3 to submission]	3.2	Accept in part	See body of report	No
81.9	Kāinga Ora	Retain zoning	Retain 138 Warspite Avenue as Medium Density Residential Zone	3.2	Accept in part	See body of report	No
81.6	Kāinga Ora	Rezoning	Give consideration to a Town Centre Zone at Waitangirua	3.2	Reject	See body of report	No
81.10	Kāinga Ora	Retain zoning	Retain 91-93 Waihora Crescent as Medium Density Residential Zone	3.2	Accept in part	See body of report	No
81.11	Kāinga Ora	Retain zoning	Retain 5 Louisa Grove and 7 Loogana Street as Medium Density Residential Zone	3.2	Accept in part	See body of report	No
81.12	Kāinga Ora	Retain zoning	Retain 14 Pukaki Grove as Medium Density Residential Zone	3.2	Accept in part	See body of report	No
81.18 ¹³⁰	Kāinga Ora	Rezoning	Rezone or extend the Medium Density Residential Zone as shown in Appendix 3 to submission.	3.2	Accept in part	See body of report	No
81.911 ¹³¹	Kāinga Ora	General	Seeks increased spatial extent of MRZ and increased heights in urban zones (both commercial and residential)	3.2	Accept in part	See body of report	No
107.6	Gavin Faulke	Rezoning	Amend the zoning of sites adjacent to the existing centres to allow mixed use development on the ground and first floors.	3.2	Accept in part	See body of report	No
107.18 ¹³²	Gavin Faulke	Rezoning	Rezone the sixteen properties at the eastern end of York Place to Medium Density Residential Zone.	n/a	Accept	Agree with submitter	No
107.19 ¹³³	Gavin Faulke	Rezoning	Rezone the nine properties to the southwest of the eastern Champion Street/Cromwell Crescent intersection to Medium Density Residential Zone.	3.2	Accept in part	See body of report	No
97.15 ¹³⁴	Andrew and Leanne Parsons	Rezoning	Rezone the twenty-eight properties between Champion Street and Herford Street to Medium Density Residential Zone.	3.2	Accept in part	See body of report	No

¹²⁸ Support - Stanislav Vyskocil [FS68.7], oppose in part – Rob Spreo [FS57.3], support in part – BLAC Property [FS56.1], oppose – Transpower [FS04.8], Paremata Residents Association [FS08.6], Robyn Smith [FS09.2], Russell Morrison [FS22.8], Plimmerton Residents Association [FS61.3],

¹²⁹ Oppose - Robyn Smith [FS09.3], Russell Morrison [FS22.10]

¹³⁰ Support in part - Rob Spreo [FS57.2], oppose in part – Forest and Bird [FS52.7], oppose – RNZ [FS60.37], Robin Jones [FS53.1], Transpower [FS04.9], Greater Wellington Regional Council [FS40.47], Heritage New Zealand [FS14.2], Russell Morrison [FS22.13], Robyn Smith [FS09.9], Bryce Holmes [FS51.5].

¹³¹ Support in part – BLAC Property [FS56.2], Oppose - Transpower [FS04.10], Te Rūnanga o Toa Rangatira [FS70.25]

¹³² Support in part - Kāinga Ora [FS65.13]

¹³³ Ibid

¹³⁴ Support in part - Kāinga Ora [FS65.14]

Sub. Ref.	Submitter / Further Submitter	Provision	Decision Requested	Section of this Report where Addressed	Officer's Recommendation	Officers' Reasons/Comments	Recommended Amendments to PDP?
97.16 ¹³⁵	Andrew and Leanne Parsons	Rezoning	Rezone the six properties to the southwest of the western intersection of Champion Street and Cornwall Crescent to Medium Density Residential Zone.	n/a	Accept	Agree with submitter	No
97.17 ¹³⁶	Andrew and Leanne Parsons	Rezoning	Rezone the seven properties at the northern end of Lincoln Grove to Medium Density Residential Zone.	3.2	Accept in part	See body of report	No
97.18 ¹³⁷	Andrew and Leanne Parsons	Rezoning	Rezone the sixteen properties at the eastern end of York Place to Medium Density Residential Zone.	n/a	Accept	Agree with submitter	No
97.19 ¹³⁸	Andrew and Leanne Parsons	Rezoning	Rezone the nine properties to the southwest of the eastern Champion Street/Cromwell Crescent intersection to Medium Density Residential Zone.	3.2	Accept in part	See body of report	No
97.12 ¹³⁹	Andrew and Leanne Parsons	Rezoning	Rezone ten properties at the western end of Cumberland Grove to Medium Density Residential Zone.	3.2	Accept in part	See body of report	No
97.13 ¹⁴⁰	Andrew and Leanne Parsons	Rezoning	Rezone the nine properties on the western side of Norfolk Grove and the adjacent rear Lot 220 Sievers Grove to Medium Density Residential Zone.	3.2	Accept in part	See body of report	No
97.14 ¹⁴¹	Andrew and Leanne Parsons	Rezoning	The zoning of the seventy properties in the vicinity of Mungavin Ave, Wiltshire Place, Gloucester Street, Somerset Place and Dorset Grove needs to be examined and areas which are suitable should be rezoned to Medium Density Residential Zone	3.2	Accept in part	See body of report	No
97.6	Andrew and Leanne Parsons	Rezoning	Amend the zoning of sites adjacent to the existing centres to allow mixed use development on the ground and first floors.	3.2	Accept in part	See body of report	No
96.1 ¹⁴²	Andrew and Leanne Parsons	Rezoning	Rezone 28 and 30 Tireti Road to Medium Density Residential Zone	n/a	Accept	Agree with submitter	No
107.12 ¹⁴³	Gavin Faulke	Rezoning	Rezone ten properties at the western end of Cumberland Grove to Medium Density Residential Zone.	3.2	Accept in part	See body of report	No
107.13 ¹⁴⁴	Gavin Faulke	Rezoning	Rezone the nine properties on the western side of Norfolk Grove and the adjacent rear Lot 220 Sievers Grove to Medium Density Residential Zone.	3.2	Accept in part	See body of report	No
107.15 ¹⁴⁵	Gavin Faulke	Rezoning	Rezone the twenty-eight properties between Champion Street and Herford Street to Medium Density Residential Zone.	3.2	Accept in part	See body of report	No
107.14 ¹⁴⁶	Gavin Faulke	Rezoning	The zoning of the seventy properties in the vicinity of Mungavin Ave, Wiltshire Place, Gloucester Street, Somerset Place and Dorset Grove needs to be examined and areas which are suitable to MRZ should be rezoned.	3.2	Accept in part	See body of report	No

¹³⁵ Ibid

¹³⁶ Ibid

¹³⁷ Ibid

¹³⁸ Ibid

¹³⁹ Support in part - Kāinga Ora [FS65.15]

¹⁴⁰ Ibid

¹⁴¹ Ibid

¹⁴² Support in part - Kāinga Ora [FS65.16]

¹⁴³ Support in part - Kāinga Ora [FS65.18]

¹⁴⁴ Ibid

¹⁴⁵ Ibid

¹⁴⁶ Ibid

Sub. Ref.	Submitter / Further Submitter	Provision	Decision Requested	Section of this Report where Addressed	Officer's Recommendation	Officers' Reasons/Comments	Recommended Amendments to PDP?
107.16 ¹⁴⁷	Gavin Faulke	Rezoning	Rezone the six properties to the southwest of the western intersection of Champion Street and Cornwall Crescent to Medium Density Residential Zone.	n/a	Accept	Agree with submitter	No
107.17 ¹⁴⁸	Gavin Faulke	Rezoning	Rezone the seven properties at the northern end of Lincoln Grove to Medium Density Residential Zone.	3.2	Accept in part	See body of report	No
75.6 ¹⁴⁹	Draycott Property Holdings Ltd	Rezoning	Amend the zoning of sites adjacent to the existing centres to allow mixed use development on the ground and first floors.	3.2	Accept in part	See body of report	No
75.10 ¹⁵⁰	Draycott Property Holdings Ltd	Rezoning	Rezone ten properties at the western end of Cumberland Grove to Medium Density Residential Zone.	3.2	Accept in part	See body of report	No
75.11 ¹⁵¹	Draycott Property Holdings Ltd	Rezoning	Rezone the nine properties on the western side of Norfolk Grove and the adjacent rear Lot 220 Sievers Grove to Medium Density Residential Zone.	3.2	Accept in part	See body of report	No
75.12 ¹⁵²	Draycott Property Holdings Ltd	Rezoning	The zoning of the seventy properties in the vicinity of Mungavin Ave, Wiltshire Place, Gloucester Street, Somerset Place and Dorset Grove needs to be examined and areas which are suitable should be rezoned to Medium Density Residential Zone.	3.2	Accept in part	See body of report	No
75.13 ¹⁵³	Draycott Property Holdings Ltd	Rezoning	Rezone the twenty-eight properties between Champion Street and Herford Street to Medium Density Residential Zone.	3.2	Accept in part	See body of report	No
75.14 ¹⁵⁴	Draycott Property Holdings Ltd	Rezoning	Rezone the six properties to the southwest of the western intersection of Champion Street and Cornwall Crescent to Medium Density Residential Zone.	n/a	Accept	Agree with submitter	No
75.15 ¹⁵⁵	Draycott Property Holdings Ltd	Rezoning	Rezone the seven properties at the northern end of Lincoln Grove to Medium Density Residential Zone.	3.2	Accept in part	See body of report	No
75.16 ¹⁵⁶	Draycott Property Holdings Ltd	Rezoning	Rezone the sixteen properties at the eastern end of York Place to Medium Density Residential Zone.	n/a	Accept	Agree with submitter	No
75.17 ¹⁵⁷	Draycott Property Holdings Ltd	Rezoning	Rezone the nine properties to the southwest of the eastern Champion Street/Cromwell Crescent intersection to Medium Density Residential Zone.	3.2	Accept in part	See body of report	No
68.2	Carrus Corporation Ltd	Rezoning	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Change the zoning map for the property situated at Lot 101 DP545051 (24 Frances Brown Avenue, Aotea) from General Residential Zone to Medium Density Residential Zone. 2. Change the zoning map for the property situated at Lot 4 DP85351 and Lot 1 DP371891 (32 Sasanhof View, 	3.2	Accept in part	See body of report	No

¹⁴⁷ Ibid

¹⁴⁸ Ibid

¹⁴⁹ Support in part - Kāinga Ora [FS65.20]

¹⁵⁰ Ibid

¹⁵¹ Ibid

¹⁵² Ibid

¹⁵³ Ibid

¹⁵⁴ Ibid

¹⁵⁵ Ibid

¹⁵⁶ Ibid

¹⁵⁷ Ibid

Sub. Ref.	Submitter / Further Submitter	Provision	Decision Requested	Section of this Report where Addressed	Officer's Recommendation	Officers' Reasons/Comments	Recommended Amendments to PDP?
			<p>Ascot Park) from General Residential Zone to Medium Density Residential Zone.</p> <p>3. Change the zoning map for the property situated at Lot 275 DP498135 (1 John Burke Drive, Aotea) from General Residential Zone to Medium Density Residential Zone.</p> <p>4. Change the zoning map for the property situated at Lot 280 DP530586 (no address) from General Residential Zone to Medium Density Residential Zone.</p>				
267.1 ¹⁵⁸	Aaron and Lorraine Taylor	Rezoning	Expand the medium density housing zone through the whole of Gear Terrace.	n/a	Accept	Agree with submitter	No
265.2 ¹⁵⁹	Te Āhuru Mōwai	Rezoning	Amend to extend the Medium Density Residential Zone to include Elsdon Residential and the entire areas of Titahi Bay Residential. These areas are indicated on the attached document (areas for amendment are circled). [Refer to original submission for full decision requested, including attachments]	3.2	Accept in part	See body of report	No
264.82 ¹⁶⁰	Te Rūnanga o Toa Rangatira	Rezoning	Amend MRZ to include all Western Porirua residential zone. [Refer to map in original submission]	3.2	Accept in part	See body of report	No
214.1	Porirua Pacific Services Network	Health, Safety and Wellbeing	Introduce No Go Zones to combat the negative health implications of this development plan. These zones will be areas where there would be limited access to alcohol, tobacco and unhealthy food. These No Go Zones would be present throughout the whole city, especially where our people are most vulnerable.	3.2	Reject	See body of report	No
69.7	Paremata Business Park Ltd	Rezoning	<p>Rezone the properties situated to the east of 5-17 Paremata Crescent, as shown on plans attached to full submission, as follows:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <u>Option 1</u>: From General Residential Zone to Medium Density Residential Zone; or • <u>Option 2</u>: From General Residential Zone to Local Centre Zone. <p>Adopt any other such relief, including additions, deletions, or consequential amendments necessary as a result of the matters raised in these submissions, as necessary to give effect to this submission.</p> <p>[Refer to original submission for full decision requested, including attachments.]</p>	n/a	Accept	Agree with submitter	No

Planning Maps - Metropolitan Zone Centre (City Centre Zone in PDP) and Large Format Retail Zone

¹⁵⁸ Support in part - Kāinga Ora [FS65.22]

¹⁵⁹ Oppose – RNZ [FS60.38], Support in part - Kāinga Ora [FS65.23]

¹⁶⁰ Oppose – Greater Wellington Regional Council [FS40.160], Support in part - Kāinga Ora [FS65.24]

Sub. Ref.	Submitter / Further Submitter	Provision	Decision Requested	Section of this Report where Addressed	Officer's Recommendation	Officers' Reasons/Comments	Recommended Amendments to PDP?
81.925	Kāinga Ora	General	Seeks extension of the City Centre Zone to the north, replacing the Large Format Zone in that area	3.2	Reject	See body of report	No
81.7 ¹⁶¹	Kāinga Ora	Rezoning	Extend City Centre Zone to replace the Large Format Zone to the north of the City Centre Zone in the PDP. [Refer to original submission for full decision, including attachments] [See Appendix 3 to original submission for plan]	3.2	Reject	See body of report	No
81.726	Kāinga Ora	Rezoning	Seek consequential changes to the spatial extent of the LFZ, otherwise retain as notified.	3.2	Reject	See body of report	No
144.1	Harvey Norman Properties	Rezoning	Rezone 19 Parumoana Road to City Centre.	3.2	Reject	See body of report	No
144.3	Harvey Norman Properties		Support the Primary Frontage Control provided that the site is rezoned to City Centre.	3.2	Reject	See body of report	No
122.41	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Retain zoning	Retain zoning as notified. [New World Porirua City - 2 Walton Leigh Ave] [Metropolitan Centre Zone]	n/a	Accept	Agree with submitter	No, except zone renamed Metropolitan Centre Zone in Variation 1
122.42 ¹⁶²	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Retain zoning	Retain zoning as notified [PAK'nSAVE Porirua - 12 Parumoana St] [Large Format Retail Zone]	n/a	Accept	Agree with submitter	No
122.43	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Retain zoning	Retain zoning as notified [Porirua Fuel - 23 Parumoana St] [Large Format Retail Zone]	n/a	Accept	Agree with submitter	No
Planning Maps – Local Centre Zone							
92.2 ¹⁶³	Z Energy Limited	Retain zoning	Retain the zoning of Z MANA -143 Mana Esplanade, Mana as Local Centre.	n/a	Accept	Agree with submitter	No
92.4	Z Energy Limited	Retain zoning	Retain the zoning of Z MUNGAVIN AVE– 5 Mungavin Ave, Ranui as Local Centre.	n/a	Accept	Agree with submitter	No
122.45	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Retain zoning	Retain zoning as notified. [New World Paremata - 93-97 Mana Esplanade]	n/a	Accept	Agree with submitter	No
122.44	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Retain zoning	Retain zoning as notified Remove Active Street Frontage - Primary frontage and building line control. [New World Whitby - 69A Discovery Drive]	3.2	Accept, insofar as it relates to retention of zoning	Agree with submitter	No
190.9	Paremata Residents Association	Retain zoning	[Refer to original submission for full decisions requested]	n/a	Accept	Agree with submitter	No
Planning Maps – Mixed Use Zone							

¹⁶¹ Oppose in part – Forest and Bird [FS52.5], Oppose - Foodstuffs North Island Limited [FS38.5], Te Rūnunga o Toa Rangatira [FS70.3], Greater Wellington Regional Council [FS40.44]

¹⁶² Oppose - Kāinga Ora [FS65.17].

¹⁶³ Support - [Name withheld for privacy reasons] [FS17.7].

Sub. Ref.	Submitter / Further Submitter	Provision	Decision Requested	Section of this Report where Addressed	Officer's Recommendation	Officers' Reasons/Comments	Recommended Amendments to PDP?
92.9	Z Energy Limited	Retain zoning	Retain the zoning of Z Plimmerton Truckstop - State Highway 1 [20 Northpoint Street], as Mixed Use Zone.	n/a	Accept	Agree with submitter	No
190.1	Paremata Residents Association	Rezoning	Amend the proposed Mixed Use Zone at the South Western end of Mana Esplanade to be Local Centre Zone.	3.2	Reject	See body of the report	No
190.2	Paremata Residents Association	Rezoning	Amend the proposed Mixed Use Zone at the South Western end of Mana Esplanade to be Local Centre Zone.	3.2	Reject	See body of the report	No
Planning Maps – General Industrial Zone – PDP submissions							
157.1	Raiha Properties Ltd	Retain zoning	Retain the new General Industrial Zone for this area	n/a	Accept	Agree with submitter	No
144.2	Harvey Norman	Rezoning	Rezone 5 John Seddon Drive and the locality (i.e. the Large Format Retail Zone to the west of the City Centre) to General Industrial.	3.2	Reject	See body of the report	No
Planning Maps – Extension to High Density Residential Zone							
81.942 ¹⁶⁴	Kāinga Ora	General	Kāinga Ora has identified locations in accordance with these principles where a HRZ is sought, as shown in the appended maps at Attachment 3 [to Kāinga Ora submission]	3.2	Accept in part	See body of the report	No
81.19 ¹⁶⁵	Kāinga Ora	Rezoning	Rezone areas to High Density Residential Zone. [Refer to original submission for full decision requested, including attachments] [See Appendix 3 to original submission for plan]	3.2	Accept in part	See body of the report	No
81.912 ¹⁶⁶	Kāinga Ora	Rezoning	Seeks High Density Residential Zone in these areas [around the City Centre/Large Format Zones - 400m proximity, and around planned and existing Rapid Transit Stops - 400m proximity] Development to be enabled to be at least six storeys in height.	3.2	Accept in part	See body of the report	No
Planning Maps – Rezoning from Open Space Zone to residential or from residential to Open Space Zone							
81.13 ¹⁶⁷	Kāinga Ora	Rezoning	Rezone 36-54 Hampshire Street from Open Space Zone/Sport and Active Recreation Zone to Medium Density Residential Zone	3.2	Reject	See body of the report	No
81.14 ¹⁶⁸	Kāinga Ora	Rezoning	Rezone 32 Cheshire Street/53A Hereford Street from Open Space Zone/Sport and Active Recreation Zone to Medium Density Residential Zone.	3.2	Reject	See body of the report	No
81.15 ¹⁶⁹	Kāinga Ora	Rezoning	Rezone 5 Louisa Grove (access strip) from Open Space Zone/Sport and Active Recreation Zone to Medium Density Residential Zone	3.2	Reject	See body of the report	No

¹⁶⁴ Oppose - Paremata Residents Association [FS08.7], Pauline and Mack Morum [FS15.1], Robyn Smith [FS09.6], [Name withheld for privacy reasons] [FS17.19], Pukerua Bay Residents Association [FS18.3], Michael Jebson [19.3], Russell Morrison [FS22.9], Robert and Claire Burton [FS46.3]

¹⁶⁵ Oppose – Plimmerton Residents Association [FS61.2], Paremata Residents Association [FS08.7], Pauline and Mack Morum [FS15.2], Robyn Smith [FS09.4], [Name withheld for privacy reasons] [FS17.21], Pukerua Bay Residents Association [FS18.4], Michael Jebson [19.4], Russell Morrison [FS22.14], Robert and Claire Burton [FS46.3], Bryce Holmes [FS51.6], Greater Wellington Regional Council [FS40.48], Robert and Claire Burton [FS46.4], Oppose in part - Waka Kotahi [FS36.8], Forest & Bird [FS52.8].

¹⁶⁶ Oppose - Robyn Smith [FS09.9], [Name withheld for privacy reasons] [FS17.22], Pukerua Bay Residents Association [FS18.5], Michael Jebson [19.5], Russell Morrison [FS22.15], Robert and Claire Burton [FS46.5], Te Rūnunga o Toa Rangatira [FS70.26].

¹⁶⁷ Oppose – Te Rūnunga o Toa Rangatira [FS70.4], Robyn Smith [FS09.5], Russell Morrison [FS22.11], Bryce Holmes [FS51.3], Greater Wellington Regional Council [FS40.45], Oppose in part - Forest & Bird [FS52.].

¹⁶⁸ Ibid

¹⁶⁹ Ibid

Sub. Ref.	Submitter / Further Submitter	Provision	Decision Requested	Section of this Report where Addressed	Officer's Recommendation	Officers' Reasons/Comments	Recommended Amendments to PDP?
81.16 ¹⁷⁰	Kāinga Ora	Rezoning	Rezone 16B and 16C Driver Crescent from Open Space Zone/Sport and Active Recreation Zone to Medium Density Residential Zone	3.2	Reject	See body of the report	No
Planning Maps – Precincts							
81.17 ¹⁷¹	Kāinga Ora	EPRIP	Include additional sites within the Eastern Porirua Residential Intensification Precinct [Refer to original submission for full decision requested, including attachments] [See Appendix 3 to original submission for plan]	3.2	Reject	See body of the report	No
81.922 ¹⁷²	Kāinga Ora	EPRIP	Seek additional height in the EPRIP	3.2	Reject	See body of the report	No
107.1	Gavin Faulke	EPRIP	Apply the Urban Design Technical Report Assessment criteria to Suburban Zone land regardless of ownership	3.2	Reject	See body of the report	No
107.2 ¹⁷³	Gavin Faulke	EPRIP	Apply the Urban Design Technical Report Assessment criteria along all access routes to the existing centres	3.2	Reject	See body of the report	No
107.3 ¹⁷⁴	Gavin Faulke	EPRIP	Rezone land along all approaches to each centre EPRIP to provide aesthetic cohesiveness.	3.2	Reject	See body of the report	No
107.4	Gavin Faulke	EPRIP	Do not provide voids or exceptions [to EPRIP identification] which punctuate the streetscape and adversely impact upon the aesthetic cohesiveness	3.2	Reject	See body of the report	No
107.7 ¹⁷⁵	Gavin Faulke	EPRIP	Amend the EPRIP boundaries to better reflect the natural breakpoints such as roads, parks and walkways to create more developable blocks and minimise the potential for adverse effects between different zones.	3.2	Reject	See body of the report	No
107.8	Gavin Faulke	EPRIP	Provide additional development potential via the EPRIP both where there is currently or where there could be, a high frequency bus route rather than just on the current high frequency bus route.	3.2	Reject	See body of the report	No
107.9	Gavin Faulke	EPRIP	Include areas along the existing No. 226 Bus route in the EPRIP	3.2	Reject	See body of the report	No
107.5	Gavin Faulke	EPRIP	Amend the Eastern Porirua Residential Intensification Precinct based upon a 5 km/ph walking speed and a 10 minute walking time to rail stations, bus routes (all routes not just high frequency routes), centres, open spaces and schools.	3.2	Reject	See body of the report	No
97.1 ¹⁷⁶	Andrew and Leanne Parsons	EPRIP	Apply the Urban Design Technical Report Assessment criteria to Suburban Zone land regardless of ownership	3.2	Reject	See body of the report	No
97.2	Andrew and Leanne Parsons	EPRIP	Apply the Urban Design Technical Report Assessment criteria along all access routes to the existing centres	3.2	Reject	See body of the report	No

¹⁷⁰ Ibid

¹⁷¹ Oppose - Rob Spreo [FS57.1], Russell Morrison [FS22.12], Greater Wellington Regional Council [FS40.46], Bryce Holmes [FS51.4], Oppose in part – Forest & Bird [FS52.6].

¹⁷² Oppose – Russell Morrison FS22.1[

¹⁷³ Support - Kāinga Ora [FS65.4]

¹⁷⁴ Support in part - Kāinga Ora [FS65.12]

¹⁷⁵ Support in part - Kāinga Ora [FS65.11]

¹⁷⁶ Support- Kāinga Ora [FS65.2]

Sub. Ref.	Submitter / Further Submitter	Provision	Decision Requested	Section of this Report where Addressed	Officer's Recommendation	Officers' Reasons/Comments	Recommended Amendments to PDP?
97.3 ¹⁷⁷	Andrew and Leanne Parsons	EPRIP	Rezone land along all approaches to each centre EPRIP to provide aesthetic cohesiveness.	3.2	Reject	See body of the report	No
97.7 ¹⁷⁸	Andrew and Leanne Parsons	EPRIP	Amend the EPRIP boundaries to better reflect the natural breakpoints such as roads, parks and walkways to create more developable blocks and minimise the potential for adverse effects between different zones.	3.2	Reject	See body of the report	No
97.4	Andrew and Leanne Parsons	EPRIP	Do not provide voids or exceptions [to EPRIP identification] which punctuate the streetscape and adversely impact upon the aesthetic cohesiveness	3.2	Reject	See body of the report	No
97.5	Andrew and Leanne Parsons	EPRIP	Amend the Eastern Porirua Residential Intensification Precinct based upon a 5 km/ph walking speed and a 10 minute walking time to rail stations, bus routes (all routes not just high frequency routes), centres, open spaces and schools.	3.2	Reject	See body of the report	No
97.9	Andrew and Leanne Parsons	EPRIP	Include areas along the existing No. 226 Bus route in the EPRIP	3.2	Reject		No
97.8	Andrew and Leanne Parsons	EPRIP	Provide additional development potential via the EPRIP both where there is currently or where there could be, a high frequency bus route rather than just on the current high frequency bus route.	3.2	Reject	See body of the report	No
75.22 ¹⁷⁹	Draycott Property Holdings Ltd	EPRIP	Rezone land along all approaches to each centre EPRIP to provide aesthetic cohesiveness.	3.2	Reject	See body of the report	No
75.5 ¹⁸⁰	Draycott Property Holdings Ltd	EPRIP	Amend the EPRIP boundaries to better reflect the natural breakpoints such as roads, parks and walkways to create more developable blocks and minimise the potential for adverse effects between different zones.	3.2	Reject	See body of the report	No
75.4	Draycott Property Holdings Ltd	EPRIP	Amend the Eastern Porirua Residential Intensification Precinct based upon a 5 km/ph walking speed and a 10 minute walking time to rail stations, bus routes (all routes not just high frequency routes), centres, open spaces and schools.	3.2	Reject	See body of the report	No
75.3 ¹⁸¹	Draycott Property Holdings Ltd	EPRIP	Include areas along the existing No. 226 Bus route into the shed analysis zones in the EPRIP as this is an existing bus route where the frequency of the service can be increased as demand increases.	3.2	Reject	See body of the report	No
75.23	Draycott Property Holdings Ltd	EPRIP	Do not provide voids or exceptions [to EPRIP identification] which punctuate the streetscape and adversely impact upon the aesthetic cohesiveness	3.2	Reject	See body of the report	No
75.2	Draycott Property Holdings Ltd	EPRIP	Provide additional development potential via the EPRIP both where there is currently or where there could be, a high frequency bus route rather than just on the current high frequency bus route.	3.2	Reject	See body of the report	No

¹⁷⁷ Support in part - Kāinga Ora [FS65.14]

¹⁷⁸ Support in part - Kāinga Ora [FS65.16]

¹⁷⁹ Support in part - Kāinga Ora [FS65.20]

¹⁸⁰ Support in part - Kāinga Ora [FS65.20]

¹⁸¹ Support - Kāinga Ora [FS65.6]

Sub. Ref.	Submitter / Further Submitter	Provision	Decision Requested	Section of this Report where Addressed	Officer's Recommendation	Officers' Reasons/Comments	Recommended Amendments to PDP?
75.1	Draycott Property Holdings Ltd	EPRIP	Apply the Urban Design Technical Report Assessment criteria to Suburban Zone land regardless of ownership	3.2	Reject	See body of the report	No
Planning Maps – Active frontages - PDP							
99.1	Ballinger Industries Limited		Delete the Active Street Frontage line from the PDP Planning Maps and any other part of the PDP that refers to the imposition of this specific control in the PDP on 35 Kenepuru Drive.	n/a	Accept	Agree with submitter	Removed by Variation 1
92.3 ¹⁸²	Z Energy Limited		Delete the Active Street Frontage – Primary Frontage Control from Z MANA -143 Mana Esplanade, Mana.	3.2	Reject	See body of the report	No
122.44	Foodstuffs North Island Limited		Remove Active Street Frontage - Primary frontage and building line control. [New World Whitby – 69A Discovery Drive]	3.2	Accept in Part	See body of the report	Yes
144.4	Harvey Norman Properties (N.Z.) Limited		Remove the Secondary Frontage Control from 5 John Seddon Drive.	3.2	Reject	See body of the report	No
Planning Maps – Other rezoning requests - PDP							
118.1	Paul and Julia Botha	Retain zoning	Support the rezoning report for 10A The Track.	3.2	Accept	Agree with submitter	No
118.2	Paul and Julia Botha	Amended zone boundary	The proposed residential boundary zone as it relates to 10 the Track, Plimmerton, could extend northwards a little and end at the ponding mapping. [Refer to original submission for full decision requested, including attachments]	3.2 See Right of Reply of Michael Rachlin	Reject Accept in part	See body of the report See Right of Reply of Michael Rachlin	No Yes
218.3 ¹⁸³	Plimmerton Residents' Association Inc	General	Seeks that any development of 10A The Track (DP 86437) requires appropriate mitigation in place to ensure Taupō Swamp Outstanding Natural Feature and Landscape (ONFL002) is protected.	3.2	Reject	See body of the report	No
217.1	Remi Leblanc	Rezoning	Seeks a change in the zoning proposed by the new district plan for LOT 12 DP 312536 - SUBJ TO & INT IN ROW, Raiha Street, Kenepuru, Porirua. Requests to zone the land either: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Medium Density housing as required by the NPS-UD 20; or • General Residential Zone; or • Zone the same as Kenepuru Landing which has the same qualities for location. 	3.2	Reject	See body of the report	No
207.2 ¹⁸⁴	Robin Jones	10A The Track	Ensure that any rezoning/subdivision of 10A The Track is subject to adequate protection and mitigation for the Taupō Swamp wetland.	3.2	Reject	See body of the report	No

¹⁸² Oppose - [Name withheld for privacy reasons][FS17.8]

¹⁸³ Oppose – Paul and Julia Botha [FS27.6]

¹⁸⁴ Oppose – Paul and Julia Botha [FS27.7]

Sub. Ref.	Submitter / Further Submitter	Provision	Decision Requested	Section of this Report where Addressed	Officer's Recommendation	Officers' Reasons/Comments	Recommended Amendments to PDP?
178.21 ¹⁸⁵	Friends of Taupo Swamp & Catchment Inc	10A The Track	Amend the proposed Residential Zone at the Track Plimmerton (No.10A The Track, Plimmerton) 'Rural-Residential' Zone.	3.2	Reject	See body of the report	No
168.17 ¹⁸⁶	Robyn Smith	10A The Track	Amend the proposed Residential Zone at the Track Plimmerton (No. 10A The Track, Plimmerton) to 'Rural-Residential' Zone.	3.2	Reject	See body of the report	No
141.1 ¹⁸⁷	Jeanette and Bruce Menzies	60 Muri Road	Rezone 60 Muri Road to residential.	3.2	Reject	See body of the report	No

Recommended responses to submissions and further submissions on the PDP- Residential Zones, Planning Maps and General Topics - continued

Sub. Ref.	Submitter / Further Submitter	Provision	Decision Requested	Section of this Report where Addressed	Officer's Recommendation	Officers' Reasons/Comments	Recommended Amendments to PDP?
Approach to Walkable catchments							
81.8	Kāinga Ora	Walkable catchment	Apply a variation height control tool (or similar method) to urban zones where located within 400m of the City Centre and/or rapid transit stops. [Refer to original submission for full decision requested, including attachments] [See Appendix 3 to original submission for plan]	3.3	Accept in part	See body of the report	No
81.1 ^{188,189}	Kāinga Ora	Walkable catchment	Introduce High Density Residential Zone Provisions (objectives, policies, rules, standards and chapter introduction) into Proposed District Plan as set out in Appendix 2 to submission. [Refer to original submission for full decision requested, including attachments]	n/a	Accept	Agree with submitter (Addressed by Variation 1)	No
27.1 ¹⁹⁰	Harpreet Singh	Walkable catchment	Support densification across the whole city in general and the only amendments that would be supported would be allowing greater density.	n/a	Accept	Agree with submitter (Addressed by Variation 1)	No

¹⁸⁵ Oppose - Paul and Julia Botha [FS27.2]

¹⁸⁶ Oppose - Paul and Julia Botha [FS27.3]

¹⁸⁷ Oppose - Pauline Morse [FS69.1]

¹⁸⁸ Oppose - Te Rūnunga o Toa Rangitira [FS70.2], Plimmerton Residents Association [FS61.1], Greater Wellington Regional Council [FS40.43], Forest & Bird [FS52.4], Paremata Residents Association [FS08.2], Robyn Smith [FS09.1], Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga [FS14.1], Pauline and Mack Morum [FS15.3], [Name withheld for privacy reasons][FS17.16], Pukerua Bay Residents Association [FS18.2], Michael Jebson [FS19.2], Russell Morrison [FS22.5], Robert and Claire Burton [FS46.2], Bryce Holmes [FS51.1].

¹⁸⁹ Support - Paremata Business Park [FS64.1], Carrus Corporation Limited [FS62.1], Kenepuru Limited Partnership [FS20.5], Waka Kotahi NZ Transport Agency [FS36.3].

¹⁹⁰ Support - Kāinga Ora [FS65.7]

Sub. Ref.	Submitter / Further Submitter	Provision	Decision Requested	Section of this Report where Addressed	Officer's Recommendation	Officers' Reasons/Comments	Recommended Amendments to PDP?
248.1	Gary Lewis	Walkable catchment	Focus on inner city development and intensification close to rail and transport routes.	3.3	Reject	See body of the report	No
81.943 ¹⁹¹	Kāinga Ora	Walkable catchment	Seeks height variation controls within Local Centre Zone, Neighbourhood Centre Zone and Mixed Use Zone to enable 6+ storeys within 400m of the City Centre and/or a rapid transit stop.	3.3	Accept in part	See body of the report	No
81.2 ¹⁹²	Kāinga Ora	Walkable catchment	Support spatial zoning of Local Centre zoned areas. Where located within 400m of the City Centre and/or a rapid transit stop, seeks height variation	3.3	Accept in part	See body of the report	No
81.3	Kāinga Ora	Walkable catchment	Support spatial zoning of Neighbourhood zoned areas. Where located within 400m of the City Centre and/or a rapid transit stop, seeks height variation controls within these zones to enable at least 6+ storeys.	3.3	Accept in part	See body of the report	No
81.4	Kāinga Ora	Walkable catchment	Support spatial zoning of Mixed Use zoned areas. Where located within 400m of the City Centre and/or a rapid transit stop, seeks height variation controls within these zones to enable at least 6+ storeys.	3.3	Accept in part	See body of the report	No
151.1	Lee Begg	Walkable catchment	Amend the zoning within 800m of railway stations to Medium Density Residential or a new higher density residential zoning.	3.3	Accept in part	See body of the report	No
151.2	Lee Begg	Walkable catchment	Amend the zoning around Local Centre zones and Neighbourhood Centre zones to Medium Density Residential.	3.3	Accept in part	See body of the report	No
75.21	Draycott Property Holdings Ltd	NPS-UD	Review the PDP and in particular the MDZ and EPRIP in light of the NPS-UD	3.3	Accept in part	See body of the report	No
81.923 ¹⁹³	Kāinga Ora	NPS-UD	Seeks full reconsideration of PDP framework to align with the NPS-UD, including: 1. introduction of specific controls within urban zone chapters to achieve locational height variations (6+ storeys). 2. related spatial mapping in accordance with the National Planning Standards. 3. High Density Residential Zone in appropriate locations	3.3	Accept in part	See body of the report	No
68.1	Carrus Corporation Ltd	NPS-UD and walkable catchment	Incorporate the requirements of the NPS-UD into the Proposed District Plan in terms of objectives and policies, rules and standards in all areas around railway stations in the Porirua District. This can be accomplished by the following three options or any other means that will result in the same outcome:	3.3	Accept in part	See body of the report	No

¹⁹¹ Oppose - [Name withheld for privacy reasons][FS17.17], Russell Morrison [FS22.6].

¹⁹² Oppose - [Name withheld for privacy reasons] [FS17.20], Foodstuffs North Island Limited [FS38.6], Bryce Holmes [FS51.2].

¹⁹³ Oppose - Robyn Smith [FS09.7], [Name withheld for privacy reasons][FS17.16], Pukerua Bay Residents Association [FS18.2], Michael Jebson [FS19.1], Russell Morrison [FS22.2], Robert and Claire Burton [FS46.1], John Carrad [FS43.1], The Neil Group Limited and the Gray Family [FS44.1], Pukerua Property Group Limited [FS45.1],

Sub. Ref.	Submitter / Further Submitter	Provision	Decision Requested	Section of this Report where Addressed	Officer's Recommendation	Officers' Reasons/Comments	Recommended Amendments to PDP?
			<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <u>Option 1:</u> Create a new medium density zone and mixed-use zone with associated objectives, policies, rules and standards that address the areas as set out in Policy 3 of the NPS-UD. This approach is supported as the existing medium density zone provisions are too limiting to address development up to six stories <u>Option 2:</u> Create an overlay over the existing zone areas allowing for the facilitation of higher densities. <u>Option 3:</u> Amend the existing medium density zone provisions to allow for higher density developments. An indication of what key standards will require amendment is indicated in sections 5 and 6 below. <p>Adopt any other such relief, including additions, deletions or consequential amendments necessary as a result of the matters raised in these submissions, as necessary to give effect to this submission.</p>				
69.6	Paremata Business Park Ltd	NPS-UD and walkable catchment	<p>Incorporate the requirements of the NPS-UD into the Proposed District Plan in terms of objectives and policies, rules and standards in all areas around railway stations in the Porirua District. This can be accomplished by the following three options or any other means that will result in the same outcome:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <u>Option 1:</u> Create a new medium density zone and mixed-use zone with associated objectives, policies, rules and standards that address the areas as set out in Policy 3 of the NPS-UD. This approach is supported as the existing medium density zone provisions are too limiting to address development up to six stories <u>Option 2:</u> Create an overlay over the existing zone areas allowing for the facilitation of higher densities. <u>Option 3:</u> Amend the existing medium density zone provisions to allow for higher density developments. An indication of what key standards will require amendment is indicated in sections 5 and 6 below. <p>Adopt any other such relief, including additions, deletions or consequential amendments necessary as a result of the matters raised in these submissions, as necessary to give effect to this submission.</p>	3.3	Accept in part	See body of the report	No
Urban Design							
194.2	Deirdre Dale	Urban Design Guides	Retain (APP3-multi-unit housing design guide]	3.4	Accept in part	See body of the report	No
214.16	Porirua Pacific Services Network	Urban Design Guides	Clarify what is meant by "driveways being multi-functional".	3.4	Reject	See body of the report	No
81.877	Kāinga Ora	Urban Design Guides	Delete Appendix 3. [Multi-Unit Housing Design Guide]	3.4	Reject	See body of the report	No
81.878	Kāinga Ora	Urban Design Guides	Delete Appendix 4 [City Centre Zone Design Guide]	3.4	Reject	See body of the report	No

Sub. Ref.	Submitter / Further Submitter	Provision	Decision Requested	Section of this Report where Addressed	Officer's Recommendation	Officers' Reasons/Comments	Recommended Amendments to PDP?
144.77	Harvey Norman	Urban Design Guides	[For City Centre Zone Design Guide] Road-test the Design Guide, along with the PDP's design-related policies, rules and standards, with actual proposals. Publish the process and outcome of those "applications" as part of Council's s32 evaluation to demonstrate to the submitters and decision makers that the implementation of the Design Guide, in the manner proposed, will deliver the intended outcomes, and at a fair and reasonable cost to applicants and the community. The appropriateness of the Design Guide, and the related planning provisions, can be reviewed based on that evidence	3.4	Reject	See body of the report	No
81.879	Kāinga Ora	Urban Design Guides	Delete Appendix 5 [Mixed Use Zone Design Guide]	3.4	Reject	See body of the report	No
81.880	Kāinga Ora	Urban Design Guides	Delete Appendix 6 [LFRZ Design Guide]	3.4	Reject	See body of the report	No
144.78	Harvey Norman	Urban Design Guides	[LFRZ Design Guide] As above	3.4	Reject	See body of the report	No
144.33	Harvey Norman	LFRZ-P5	Amend policy by removing clause LFRZ-P5-3. Amend policy by removing clause LFRZ-P5-5 unless the related rules and design guides are amended to target more specific activities/areas.	3.4	Reject, insofar as it relates to removal of LFRZ-P5-5	See body of the report	No
81.881	Kāinga Ora	Urban Design Guides	Delete Appendix 7 [LCZ Design Guide]	3.4	Reject	See body of the report	No
92.7	Z Energy Limited	Urban Design Guides	Retain the following in the interpretation section of the Local Centre Zone Design Guide: Only design objectives and guidelines that are relevant to the specific site, setting and development type should be applied. Include new text in the interpretation section of the Local Centre Zone Design Guide to recognise that the design guide does not provide guidance on the design of commercial developments, such as service stations, which require a different built form to the type of development envisaged by and addressed in the Design Guide even though these types of uses may be appropriate in Local Centre Zones. This could be achieved by making the following change (additions underlined): <i><u>This design guide does not provide guidance on the design of commercial developments, such as service stations, which require a different built form to the type of development envisaged by and addressed in the Design Guide even though these types of uses may be appropriate in Local Centre Zones. Only design objectives and guidelines that are relevant to the specific site, setting and development type should be applied.</u></i>	3.4	Reject	See body of the report	No
81.927 ¹⁹⁴	Kāinga Ora	Urban Design Guides	Opposes any policy or rule within the PDP which requires development proposals to comply with or be "consistent" with design guidelines.	3.4	Reject	See body of the report	No

¹⁹⁴ Support - Kenepuru Limited Partnership (KLP)[FS20.2]

Sub. Ref.	Submitter / Further Submitter	Provision	Decision Requested	Section of this Report where Addressed	Officer's Recommendation	Officers' Reasons/Comments	Recommended Amendments to PDP?
81.776	Kāinga Ora	CCZ-P5 (deleted and replaced by MCZ-P6 and MCZ-P7 in Variation 1)	<p>Amend:</p> <p>Provide for and encourage high quality and high-density built development that:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Acknowledges and reflects the <u>planned purpose and urban built form</u> purpose, scale and context of the City Centre Zone; 2. Aligns with the anticipated compact, high density character envisaged for the City Centre Zone; 3. Is well designed and contributes actively to creating safe and vibrant public spaces; 4. Provides active street frontages in locations identified on the planning maps; 5. Provides visual interest by using a variety of building forms, materials and colours; <u>and</u> 6. Is consistent with the City Centre Zone Design Guide contained in APP4 City Centre Zone Design Guide; and 7. Where applicable, enhances the connection to the Porirua Stream and addresses potential impacts on the openness and historical and cultural values of the stream. 	3.4	Reject	See body of the report	No
81.695	Kāinga Ora	LCZ-P5 (now P7 – larger scale built development in Variation 1)	<p>Amend:</p> <p>Provide for built development that:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is of a scale that is compatible with the <u>anticipated planned urban built form</u>, role and function of the Local Centre Zone and the surrounding area; 2. Reflects the anticipated medium density scale and built character of the Local Centre Zone; 3. Is well designed and contributes to an attractive urban environment; <u>and</u> 4. Provides active street frontages in locations identified on the planning maps; and 5. Is consistent with the Local Centre Zone Design Guide contained in APP7 Local Centre Zone Design Guide. 	3.4	Reject	See body of the report	No

Sub. Ref.	Submitter / Further Submitter	Provision	Decision Requested	Section of this Report where Addressed	Officer's Recommendation	Officers' Reasons/Comments	Recommended Amendments to PDP?
81.736	Kāinga Ora	MUZ-P5 (now P7 – larger scale built development in Variation 1)	Provide for built development that: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is of a scale that is compatible with the <u>planned urban built form</u>, role and function of the Mixed Use Zone; 2. Reflects the anticipated medium density scale and built character of the Mixed Use Zone; 3. Is well designed and contributes to an attractive mixed-use environment; <u>and</u> 4. Provides active street frontages in locations identified on the planning maps; and 5. Is consistent with the Mixed Use Zone Design Guide contained in APP5 Mixed Use Zone Design Guide. 	3.4	Reject	See body of the report	No
81.778	Kāinga Ora	CCZ-P7 (now MCZ-P9 – car parking and parking lots)	Amend: Only allow for ground level car parking where: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 1. It is not located along a primary frontage identified on the planning maps; <u>and</u> 2. Any adverse effects on the amenity and quality of the streetscape and public open spaces can be minimised; and 3. It is consistent with the City Centre Zone Design Guide contained in APP4 City Centre Zone Design Guide. 	3.4	Reject	See body of the report	No
Qualifying matter - shading							
107.20	Gavin Faulke	Shading controls	[Not specified, refer to original submission] – reason refers to supporting zoning around the Mungavin Netball courts	3.6	Accept	See body of the report	No
97.20	Andrew and Leanne Parsons	Shading controls	[Not specified, refer to original submission] – reason refers to supporting zoning around the Mungavin Netball courts	3.6	Accept	See body of the report	No
75.18	Draycott Property Holdings Ltd	Shading controls	[Not specified, refer to original submission] – reason refers to supporting zoning around the Mungavin Netball courts	3.6	Accept	See body of the report	No
GRZ-General Residential Zone							
81.522 ¹⁹⁵	Kāinga Ora	General	Kāinga Ora seeks consequential changes consistent with its overall submission on the Plan. Key areas of concern are (but not limited to): <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Inclusion of an additional objective and policy to reflect that amenity values should reflect the planned urban built form and that this is expected to change over time. 	3.9	Reject	See body of the report	No

¹⁹⁵ Support - Kenepuru Limited Partnership [FS20.54]

Sub. Ref.	Submitter / Further Submitter	Provision	Decision Requested	Section of this Report where Addressed	Officer's Recommendation	Officers' Reasons/Comments	Recommended Amendments to PDP?
			<p>2. Deletion of reference to Design Guides and requirement that development be "consistent" with these to achieve compliance;</p> <p>3. Review and re-drafting of notification exclusion clauses;</p> <p>4. Removal of provisions specific to "multi-unit housing" and integration within policies, rules and standards more generally;</p> <p>5. Amendment to spatial extent of the GRZ;</p> <p>6. Change language to align with NPS-UD - "planned built urban form" in anticipation of changing character and associated amenity values;</p> <p>7. Amend provisions with direct 'avoid' statements. This needs to be qualified in light of the King Salmon meaning of 'avoid; and</p> <p>8. Consequential changes to the numbering of provisions following changes sought throughout chapter.</p>				
264.62 ¹⁹⁶	Te Rūnanga o Toa Rangatira	General	<p>Retain as notified subject to the following amendments:</p> <p>Amend MRZ to include all Western Porirua residential zone. This is detailed in the Map attached to this submission.</p>	3.9	Reject	See body of the report	No
81.523 ¹⁹⁷	Kāinga Ora	General	<p>Amend:</p> <p>The General Residential Zone encompasses the majority of the existing developed areas where people live in the City, as well as areas identified for future residential development. The residential villages <u>neighbourhoods</u> that make up the General Residential Zone have a strong open space framework and have generally developed as spacious living environments characterised by a low to medium density and a strong presence of trees and vegetation. Residential neighbourhoods are internally well connected by roads, pedestrian paths and cycle routes, and these also help connect people to the City's open space and recreational areas.</p> <p>The Zone objectives, policies and rules provide the framework for managing the effects of development and ensuring that residential amenity values and the quality of the built environment are <u>consistent with the planned urban built form maintained and enhanced</u>. They seek to ensure that high standards of on-site and</p>	3.9	Reject	See body of the report	No

¹⁹⁶ Support - Kāinga Ora [FS65.290]

¹⁹⁷ Support - Carrus Corporation Limited [FS62.12], Paremata Business Park [FS64.12], Oppose - Te Rūnanga o Toa Rangatira [FS70.20]

Sub. Ref.	Submitter / Further Submitter	Provision	Decision Requested	Section of this Report where Addressed	Officer's Recommendation	Officers' Reasons/Comments	Recommended Amendments to PDP?
			<p>neighbourhood amenity are achieved, including by requiring that residential properties are provided with good access to sunlight and daylight and have a reasonable level of privacy. They also provide for a wide range of housing types typologies and living arrangements to meet the diverse needs of the community. This includes stand-alone houses, semi-detached housing, residential conversions, minor residential units, social and community housing and multi-generational living. It does not promote one form of housing over another, but instead provides flexibility to meet the community's diverse housing demands and needs.</p> <p>Home business, retirement villages and other activities that support the social and economic health and wellbeing of the community may also occur in the Zone where these are compatible with the planned urban built form of the zone residential character and amenity values. Non-residential activities that are incompatible with the planned urban built form residential character and amenity values, or which are more appropriately located within the City Centre Zone, Mixed Use Zone, General Industrial Zone, the Local Centre Zone or the Neighbourhood Centre Zone are discouraged.</p> <p>The Takapūwāhia Precinct applies to a limited number of sites located in the western part of Takapūwāhia. These consist of large lots which have remained undeveloped for some time and which are subject to the Significant Natural Area and Special Amenity Landscape overlays. The presence of these overlays restricts the development potential of these sites. The Precinct recognises these constraints while providing for Ngāti Toa Rangatira whānau and hapū to exercise their customary responsibilities as kaitiaki, and to undertake development that supports their cultural, social and economic wellbeing.</p> <p>The Precinct objective needs to be read in conjunction with the ECO - Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity, and NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes chapters. They include policies, rules and standards relevant to the development of land in the Precinct.</p>				
81.526 ¹⁹⁸	Kāinga Ora	New provision	<p>Insert new Objective, with consequential changes to numbering and referencing throughout.</p> <p>GRZ-03 Residential amenity</p>	3.9	Reject	See body of the report	No

¹⁹⁸ Support - BLAC Property [FS56.14]

Sub. Ref.	Submitter / Further Submitter	Provision	Decision Requested	Section of this Report where Addressed	Officer's Recommendation	Officers' Reasons/Comments	Recommended Amendments to PDP?
			<u>Achieve a high level of residential amenity within the zone that reflects the planned urban built form and compact urban settlement pattern.</u>				
119.51	FENZ	GRZ-O1	Retain as proposed.	3.9	Reject	See body of the report	No
134.18	Ministry of Education	GRZ-O1	Retain as proposed.	3.9	Reject	See body of the report	No
81.524 ¹⁹⁹	Kāinga Ora	GRZ-O1	Amend: The General Residential Zone: 1. Primarily consists of residential activities in a range of residential unit types <u>typologies</u> and sizes; and 2. Accommodates other activities that support the health and wellbeing of people and communities, where they are compatible with the character <u>planned urban built form and anticipated amenity values</u> of the Zone.	3.9	Reject	See body of the report	No
81.525 ²⁰⁰	Kāinga Ora	GRZ-O2	Amend: <u>GRZ-O2 Planned urban built environment of the General Residential Zone</u> The character and amenity values, including the scale, form and density of use and development, <u>planned urban form</u> in the General Residential Zone includes: 1. A built form of single and two-storey buildings with openness around and between buildings; 2. Landscaping and trees, especially on street frontages; 3. A spacious living environment with high quality on-site residential amenity; and 4. An urban environment that is visually attractive, safe, easy to navigate and convenient to access.	3.9	Reject	See body of the report	No
81.527	Kāinga Ora	GRZ-PREC03-O1	Retain as notified	3.9	Reject	See body of the report	No
225.208	Forest and Bird	GRZ-PREC03-O1	Amend GRZ-O2 as follows: The significant coverage of identified natural environmental overlays across the Takapūwāhia Precinct and the contribution these make to the wider community is recognised, and <u>the</u>	3.9	Reject	See body of the report	No

¹⁹⁹ Support - Oranga Tamariki [FS35.2], Carrus Corporation Limited [FS62.13], Paremata Business Park [FS64.13].

²⁰⁰ Support - BLAC Property [FS56.15]

Sub. Ref.	Submitter / Further Submitter	Provision	Decision Requested	Section of this Report where Addressed	Officer's Recommendation	Officers' Reasons/Comments	Recommended Amendments to PDP?
			<u>appropriate use and development of the Zone, including papakāinga and residential activities are provided for.</u>				
81.529 ²⁰¹	Kāinga Ora	New Provision	GRZ-P2 Changes to amenity values <u>Recognise that the planned urban built form may result in changes to the amenity values and characteristics of the urban environment over time.</u>	3.9	Reject	See body of the report	No
143.4 ²⁰²	Oranga Tamariki – Ministry of Children	GRZ-P1	Amend the policy as follows: Enable residential activities <u>land uses</u> and in a diverse range of residential unit types and sizes where these are compatible with the built form, character and amenity values anticipated in the General Residential Zone.	3.9	Reject	See body of the report	No
155.1	Design Network Architecture Limited	GRZ-P1	[Not specified, refer to original submission]	3.9	Reject	See body of the report	No
81.528 ²⁰³	Kāinga Ora	GRZ-P1	Amend: Enable residential activities and a diverse range of residential unit types <u>typologies</u> and sizes where these are compatible with the <u>planned urban form of built form, character and amenity values anticipated</u> in the General Residential Zone.	3.9	Reject	See body of the report	No
81.530	Kāinga Ora	GRZ-P2	Amend: Only allow <u>Enable</u> minor residential units where they are of an ancillary scale and form to the principal residential unit on the same site.	3.9	Reject	See body of the report	No
155.2	Design Network Architecture Limited	GRZ-P2	[Not specified, refer to original submission]	3.9	Reject	See body of the report	No
155.3	Design Network Architecture Limited	GRZ-P3	[Not specified, refer to original submission]	3.9	Reject	See body of the report	No
119.52	FENZ	GRZ-P3	Retain as proposed.	3.9	Reject	See body of the report	No
81.531	Kāinga Ora	GRZ-P3	Recognise the benefits of, and provide for, non-residential activities that contribute to the health and wellbeing of people and communities where:	3.9	Reject	See body of the report	No

²⁰¹ Support - BLAC Property [FS56.16]

²⁰² Support in part - Kāinga Ora [FS65.297]

²⁰³ Support - Oranga Tamariki [FS35.23]

Sub. Ref.	Submitter / Further Submitter	Provision	Decision Requested	Section of this Report where Addressed	Officer's Recommendation	Officers' Reasons/Comments	Recommended Amendments to PDP?
			<p>1. These are compatible with the <u>planned urban built form character and amenity values</u> of the surrounding area;</p> <p>2. Any adverse effects on the amenity values of adjoining sites can be adequately mitigated, including from the location and scale of utility and external storage areas;</p> <p>3. These do not result in adverse effects on the amenity values of adjoining sites from the movement of people and vehicles associated with the activity which cannot be mitigated;</p> <p>4. The hours of operation are compatible with residential amenity values; and</p> <p>5. For emergency service facilities, the activity has an operational need or functional need to locate in the Zone.</p>				
82.221 ²⁰⁴	Waka Kotahi	GRZ-P4	<p>Amend provision:</p> <p>Only allow commercial activities where they are ancillary to a residential activity and of a scale where significant adverse effects are avoided, and any other adverse effects are appropriately remedied, or mitigated or avoided as appropriate.</p>	3.9	Reject	See body of the report	No
81.532	Kāinga Ora	GRZ-P4	<p>Amend:</p> <p>Only allow Provide for commercial activities where they are ancillary to a residential activity and of a scale where significant adverse effects are avoided, and any other adverse effects are appropriately remedied or mitigated.</p>	3.9	Reject	See body of the report	No
155.4	Design Network Architecture Limited	GRZ-P4	[Not specified, refer to original submission]	3.9	Reject	See body of the report	No
155.5	Design Network Architecture Limited	GRZ-P5	[Not specified, refer to original submission]	3.9	Reject	See body of the report	No
81.533 ²⁰⁵	Kāinga Ora	GRZ-P5	<p>Delete:</p> <p>Provide for multi-unit housing where it can be demonstrated that it:</p>	3.9	Reject	See body of the report	No

²⁰⁴ Support - Kāinga Ora [FS65.299]

²⁰⁵ Support - Kenepuru Limited Partnership [FS20.55]

Sub. Ref.	Submitter / Further Submitter	Provision	Decision Requested	Section of this Report where Addressed	Officer's Recommendation	Officers' Reasons/Comments	Recommended Amendments to PDP?
			<p>1. Responds positively to, and integrates with, the surrounding built environment through high quality urban design; and</p> <p>2. Is consistent with the Multi Unit Housing Design Guide contained in APP3 Multi Unit Housing Design Guide.</p>				
81.534	Kāinga Ora	GRZ-P6	<p>Amend:</p> <p>Recognise the benefits of, and provide for, retirement villages where:</p> <p>[.....]</p> <p>5. <u>The overall scale, form, composition and design of buildings does not compromise the planned urban built form of the area.</u></p>	3.9	Reject	See body of the report	No
155.6	Design Network Architecture Limited	GRZ-P6	[Not specified, refer to original submission]	3.9	Reject	See body of the report	No
155.7	Design Network Architecture Limited	GRZ-P7	[Not specified, refer to original submission]	3.9	Reject	See body of the report	No
81.535	Kāinga Ora	GRZ-P7	<p>Amend:</p> <p>Avoid non-residential activities which <u>that</u> are incompatible with the <u>planned urban built form, role, and function anticipated purpose, character and amenity values</u> of the Zone <u>where effects cannot be mitigated or managed.</u></p>	3.9	Reject	See body of the report	No
81.536	Kāinga Ora	GRZ-P8	<p>Delete and replace with:</p> <p><u>Enable buildings and structures that respond to the spacious qualities and characteristics of the residential setting and provide for high quality amenity in accordance with the planned urban built form of the General Residential Zone by:</u></p> <p>1. <u>ensuring that the siting, scale and appearance of the building is compatible with surrounding development patterns, planned urban built form and/or the residential setting;</u></p> <p>2. <u>exhibiting the planned visual amenity through enabling one-to-two storey buildings and by controlling the placement of garages in front yards;</u></p>	3.9	Reject	See body of the report	No

Sub. Ref.	Submitter / Further Submitter	Provision	Decision Requested	Section of this Report where Addressed	Officer's Recommendation	Officers' Reasons/Comments	Recommended Amendments to PDP?
			<p>3. <u>providing usable outdoor living spaces and controlling building coverage to create space between buildings, minimise enclosure and dominance effects, and provide high-quality onsite amenity;</u></p> <p>4. <u>providing reasonable levels of privacy and access to sunlight both onsite and within adjoining properties;</u></p> <p>5. <u>allowing passive surveillance of the street or public open space by minimising the use of high fences or walls on road boundaries.</u></p>				
155.8	Design Network Architecture Limited	GRZ-P8	[Not specified, refer to original submission]	3.9	Reject	See body of the report	No
60.97	Transpower	GRZ-P9	Retain	3.9	Reject	See body of the report	No
81.537	Kāinga Ora	GRZ-P9	Retain as notified	3.9	Reject	See body of the report	No
155.9	Design Network Architecture Limited	GRZ-P9	[Not specified, refer to original submission]	3.9	Reject	See body of the report	No
134.19	Ministry of Education	GRZ-P9	Retain as proposed.	3.9	Reject	See body of the report	No
155.10	Design Network Architecture Limited	GRZ-P10	[Not specified, refer to original submission]	3.9	Reject	See body of the report	No
155.11	Design Network Architecture Limited	GRZ-R1	[Not specified, refer to original submission]	3.9	Reject	See body of the report	No
81.538	Kāinga Ora	GRZ-R1	<p>Amend:</p> <p>1.Activity status: Permitted</p> <p>Where:</p> <p>a. Compliance is achieved with:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> i. GRZ-S1; ii. GRZ-S2; iii. GRZ-S3; iv. GRZ-S4; v. GRZ-S5; and vi. GRZ-S6; and vii. GRZ-S7. 	3.9	Reject	See body of the report	No

Sub. Ref.	Submitter / Further Submitter	Provision	Decision Requested	Section of this Report where Addressed	Officer's Recommendation	Officers' Reasons/Comments	Recommended Amendments to PDP?
			<p>Except that:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> GRZ-S6 and GRZ-S7 does not apply to non-residential buildings or structures. <p>2. Activity status: Restricted discretionary</p> <p>Where:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Compliance is not achieved with GRZ-S1, GRZ-S2, GRZ-S3, GRZ-S4, GRZ-S5, <u>or</u> GRZ-S6, or GRZ-S7. <p>Matters of discretion are restricted to:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> The matters of discretion of any infringed standard. <p>Notification:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> An application under this rule where compliance is not achieved with GRZ-S4, or GRZ-S6, or GRZ-S7 is precluded from being publicly or limited notified in accordance with sections 95A and 95B of the RMA. <p>An application under this rule where compliance is not achieved with GRZ-S1, GRZ-S2, GRZ-S3, GRZ-S4, or GRZ-S5 is precluded from being publicly notified in accordance with section 95A of the RMA.</p>				
81.539	Kāinga Ora	GRZ-R2	Retain as notified.	3.9	Reject	See body of the report	No
155.12	Design Network Architecture Limited	GRZ-R2	[Not specified, refer to original submission]	3.9	Reject	See body of the report	No
155.13	Design Network Architecture Limited	GRZ-R3	[Not specified, refer to original submission]	3.9	Reject	See body of the report	No
81.540	Kāinga Ora	GRZ-R3	Retain as notified	3.9	Reject	See body of the report	No
155.14	Design Network Architecture Limited	GRZ-R4	[Not specified, refer to original submission]	3.9	Reject	See body of the report	No
81.541	Kāinga Ora	GRZ-R4	Retain as notified.	3.9	Reject	See body of the report	No

Sub. Ref.	Submitter / Further Submitter	Provision	Decision Requested	Section of this Report where Addressed	Officer's Recommendation	Officers' Reasons/Comments	Recommended Amendments to PDP?
81.542 ²⁰⁶	Kāinga Ora	GRZ-R5	Delete rule	3.9	Reject	See body of the report	No
155.15	Design Network Architecture Limited	GRZ-R5	[Not specified, refer to original submission]	3.9	Reject	See body of the report	No
155.16	Design Network Architecture Limited	GRZ-R6	[Not specified, refer to original submission]	3.9	Reject	See body of the report	No
81.543	Kāinga Ora	GRZ-R6	<p>Amend:</p> <p>GRZ-R6 Residential activity, excluding papakāinga GRZ-R6 Residential activity and residential unit, excluding Papakainga, minor residential unit and multi-unit housing</p> <p>1. Activity status: Permitted Where: a) No more than two residential units occupy the site.</p> <p>2. Activity status: Restricted discretionary Where: b) <u>Compliance is not achieved with GRZ-R6(1)(a).</u></p> <p>Matters of discretion are restricted to:</p> <p>1. The extent to which building design, siting and external appearance achieves an Urban Design outcome that: a. Achieves the planned urban built form of the zone; b. Achieves attractive and safe streets and public open spaces; c. Achieves high quality onsite living environments; having taken into account the surrounding context, site limitations and planned outcomes for the zone.</p> <p>2. <u>The extent to which topography, site orientation and planting have been integrated into the site layout and design.</u></p> <p>Note: <u>1. Acceptable means of compliance and best practice urban design guidance is contained within Porirua City Council's Residential Design Guidelines.</u></p> <p>Notification: <u>An application under this rule is precluded from being publicly notified in accordance with section 95A of the RMA.</u> <u>Note: Where more than two residential units will occupy a site, see multi-unit housing under GRZ-R18.</u></p>	3.9	Reject	See body of the report	No

²⁰⁶ Oppose – Transpower [FS04.52]

Sub. Ref.	Submitter / Further Submitter	Provision	Decision Requested	Section of this Report where Addressed	Officer's Recommendation	Officers' Reasons/Comments	Recommended Amendments to PDP?
81.544	Kāinga Ora	GRZ-R7	Delete rule	3.9	Reject	See body of the report	No
61.1	Mike & Christine Jacobson	GRZ-R7	Retain this rule.	3.9	Reject	See body of the report	No
155.17	Design Network Architecture Limited	GRZ-R7	[Not specified, refer to original submission]	3.9	Reject	See body of the report	No
155.18 ²⁰⁷	Design Network Architecture Limited	GRZ-R8	[Not specified, refer to original submission]	3.9	Reject	See body of the report	No
82.227 ²⁰⁸	Waka Kotahi	GRZ-R8	Retain as notified.	3.9	Reject	See body of the report	No
81.545 ²⁰⁹	Kāinga Ora	GRZ-R8	Amend: 1. Activity status: Permitted Where: a. No more than 40m² of total gross floor area of all buildings on site is used for the home business; b. All materials and goods sold, stored, repaired or manufactured in association with the home business must be within buildings on the site or screened from view at ground level; c. The home business does not involve the repair, alteration, restoration or maintenance of motor vehicles; and d. No more than one <u>two</u> full-time employee or equivalent engaged in the home business resides off-site. 2. Activity status: Discretionary Where: Compliance is not achieved with GRZ-R8-1.a, GRZ-R8-1.b, GRZ-R8-1.c or GRZ-R8-1.d.	3.9	Reject	See body of the report	No
81.546	Kāinga Ora	GRZ-R9	Retain as notified	3.9	Reject	See body of the report	No
155.19	Design Network Architecture Limited	GRZ-R9	[Not specified, refer to original submission]	3.9	Reject	See body of the report	No
134.20	Ministry of Education	GRZ-R9	Retain as proposed.	3.9	Reject	See body of the report	No

²⁰⁷ Oppose in part - Kāinga Ora [FS65.304], Oppose - Rhys Richards [FS23.1]

²⁰⁸ Oppose in part - Kāinga Ora [FS65.304], Oppose - Rhys Richards [FS23.1]

²⁰⁹ Oppose - Rhys Richards [FS23.1]

Sub. Ref.	Submitter / Further Submitter	Provision	Decision Requested	Section of this Report where Addressed	Officer's Recommendation	Officers' Reasons/Comments	Recommended Amendments to PDP?
155.20	Design Network Architecture Limited	GRZ-R10	[Not specified, refer to original submission]	3.9	Reject	See body of the report	No
81.547 ²¹⁰	Kāinga Ora	GRZ-R10	Amend: 1. Activity status: Permitted Where: a. The site is held under Te Ture Whenua Māori Act 1993 b. The gross floor area of all commercial activities does not exceed 100m ² per site; and c. The gross floor area of all community facilities does not exceed 200m ² per site. (.....)	3.9	Reject	See body of the report	No
81.548	Kāinga Ora	GRZ-R11	Retain as notified	3.9	Reject	See body of the report	No
155.21	Design Network Architecture Limited	GRZ-R11	[Not specified, refer to original submission]	3.9	Reject	See body of the report	No
155.22	Design Network Architecture Limited	GRZ-R12	[Not specified, refer to original submission]	3.9	Reject	See body of the report	No
143.7 ²¹¹	Oranga Tamariki – Ministry of Children	GRZ-R12	Amend the rule as follows: The maximum occupancy does not exceed six residents (<u>excluding staff</u>).	3.9	Reject	See body of the report	No
135.8 ²¹²	Ara Poutama Aotearoa the Department of Corrections	GRZ-R12	Retain “supported residential care activities” as a Permitted Activity.	3.9	Reject	See body of the report	No
81.549 ²¹³	Kāinga Ora	GRZ-R12	Amend: 1. Activity status: Permitted Where: a. The maximum occupancy <u>per residential unit</u> does not exceed six <u>ten</u> residents <u>including staff</u> . 2. Activity status: Restricted discretionary	3.9	Reject	See body of the report	No

²¹⁰ Oppose in part - Te Rūnunga o Toa Rangatira [FS70.21]

²¹¹ Support in part - Kāinga Ora [FS65.305]

²¹² Support in part - Kāinga Ora [FS65.306]

²¹³ Support - Oranga Tamariki [FS35.4], Oppose – Waka Kotahi [FS36.7]

Sub. Ref.	Submitter / Further Submitter	Provision	Decision Requested	Section of this Report where Addressed	Officer's Recommendation	Officers' Reasons/Comments	Recommended Amendments to PDP?
			<p>Where:</p> <p>a. Compliance is not achieved with GRZ-R12-1.a. Matters of discretion are restricted to:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The matters in GRZ-P3; and 2. The matters in GRZ-P9. <p>Notification:</p> <p>An application under this rule is precluded from being publicly <u>and limited</u> notified in accordance with sections <u>95A and 95B</u> of the RMA.</p>				
81.550	Kāinga Ora	GRZ-R13	Retain as notified.	3.9	Reject	See body of the report	No
155.23	Design Network Architecture Limited	GRZ-R13	[Not specified, refer to original submission]	3.9	Reject	See body of the report	No
155.24	Design Network Architecture Limited	GRZ-R14	[Not specified, refer to original submission]	3.9	Reject	See body of the report	No
155.25	Design Network Architecture Limited	GRZ-R15	[Not specified, refer to original submission]	3.9	Reject	See body of the report	No
52.10	Hamish Tunley	GRZ-R15	<p>GRZ-R15 needs further review and clarification regarding the second notification point on GRZ-R15:</p> <p><i>"When deciding whether any person is affected in relation to this rule for the purposes of section 95E of the RMA, Porirua City Council will give specific consideration to any adverse effects on First Gas Ltd."</i></p> <p>What protection if any is there for landowner. Is the intent to require a resource consent, for any activities where the site is used for residential purposes or sensitive use?</p>	3.9	Reject	See body of the report	No
81.552	Kāinga Ora	GRZ-R15	Retain as notified	3.9	Reject	See body of the report	No
84.24	Firstgas Limited	GRZ-R15	Retain as proposed.	3.9	Reject	See body of the report	No
81.553	Kāinga Ora	GRZ-R16	<p>Amend:</p> <p>1. Activity status: Permitted</p> <p>Where:</p> <p>a. The use of the residential building and land as a show home ceases within <u>2436</u> months from the time of first use as a show home;</p>	3.9	Reject	See body of the report	No

Sub. Ref.	Submitter / Further Submitter	Provision	Decision Requested	Section of this Report where Addressed	Officer's Recommendation	Officers' Reasons/Comments	Recommended Amendments to PDP?
			<p>b. The hours of operation are between:</p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;">i. 7.00am and 9.00pm Monday to Friday;</p> <p>and</p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;">ii. 8.00am and 7.00pm Saturday, Sunday and public holidays.</p> <p>2. Activity status: Discretionary Where:</p> <p>a. Compliance is not achieved with GRZ-R16-1.a or GRZ-R16-1.b.</p>				
155.26	Design Network Architecture Limited	GRZ-R16	[Not specified, refer to original submission]	3.9	Reject	See body of the report	No
81.554	Kāinga Ora	GRZ-R17	Retain as notified.	3.9	Reject	See body of the report	No
155.27	Design Network Architecture Limited	GRZ-R17	[Not specified, refer to original submission]	3.9	Reject	See body of the report	No
81.555	Kāinga Ora	GRZ-R18	Delete rule	3.9	Reject	See body of the report	No
155.28	Design Network Architecture Limited	GRZ-R18	[Not specified, refer to original submission]	3.9	Reject	See body of the report	No
72.25	Survey+Spatial	GRZ-R18	Add a non-notification provision for precluding limited notification that applies where the multi-unit housing proposal complies with standards GRZ-S1 to GRZ-S8 (except compliance is not required with GRZ-S6).	3.9	Reject	See body of the report	No
81.556	Kāinga Ora	GRZ-R19	Retain as notified.	3.9	Reject	See body of the report	No
155.29	Design Network Architecture Limited	GRZ-R19	[Not specified, refer to original submission]	3.9	Reject	See body of the report	No
119.53	FENZ	GRZ-R19	Retain as proposed.	3.9	Reject	See body of the report	No
155.30	Design Network Architecture Limited	GRZ-R20	[Not specified, refer to original submission]	3.9	Reject	See body of the report	No
81.557	Kāinga Ora	GRZ-R20	Retain as notified.	3.9	Reject	See body of the report	No
81.558	Kāinga Ora	GRZ-R21	Retain as notified.	3.9	Reject	See body of the report	No
155.31	Design Network	GRZ-R21	[Not specified, refer to original submission]	3.9	Reject	See body of the report	No

Sub. Ref.	Submitter / Further Submitter	Provision	Decision Requested	Section of this Report where Addressed	Officer's Recommendation	Officers' Reasons/Comments	Recommended Amendments to PDP?
	Architecture Limited						
155.32	Design Network Architecture Limited	GRZ-R22	[Not specified, refer to original submission]	3.9	Reject	See body of the report	No
81.559	Kāinga Ora	GRZ-R22	Retain as notified	3.9	Reject	See body of the report	No
81.560	Kāinga Ora	GRZ-R23	Retain as notified	3.9	Reject	See body of the report	No
155.33	Design Network Architecture Limited	GRZ-R23	[Not specified, refer to original submission]	3.9	Reject	See body of the report	No
81.561	Kāinga Ora	GRZ-R24	Retain as notified	3.9	Reject	See body of the report	No
155.34	Design Network Architecture Limited	GRZ-R24	[Not specified, refer to original submission]	3.9	Reject	See body of the report	No
81.562	Kāinga Ora	GRZ-R25	Retain as notified	3.9	Reject	See body of the report	No
155.35	Design Network Architecture Limited	GRZ-R25	[Not specified, refer to original submission]	3.9	Reject	See body of the report	No
155.36	Design Network Architecture Limited	GRZ-R26	[Not specified, refer to original submission]	3.9	Reject	See body of the report	No
81.563	Kāinga Ora	GRZ-R26	Retain as notified	3.9	Reject	See body of the report	No
81.564	Kāinga Ora	GRZ-R27	Retain as notified	3.9	Reject	See body of the report	No
155.37	Design Network Architecture Limited	GRZ-R27	[Not specified, refer to original submission]	3.9	Reject	See body of the report	No
155.38	Design Network Architecture Limited	GRZ-R28	[Not specified, refer to original submission]	3.9	Reject	See body of the report	No
81.565	Kāinga Ora	GRZ-R28	Retain as notified	3.9	Reject	See body of the report	No
81.566	Kāinga Ora	GRZ-R29	Retain as notified	3.9	Reject	See body of the report	No
155.39	Design Network Architecture Limited	GRZ-R29	[Not specified, refer to original submission]	3.9	Reject	See body of the report	No
155.40	Design Network	GRZ-S1	[Not specified, refer to original submission]	3.9	Reject	See body of the report	No

Sub. Ref.	Submitter / Further Submitter	Provision	Decision Requested	Section of this Report where Addressed	Officer's Recommendation	Officers' Reasons/Comments	Recommended Amendments to PDP?
	Architecture Limited						
119.54	FENZ	GRZ-S1	<p>Amend the standard as follows:</p> <p>GRZ-S1 Height</p> <p>[.....]</p> <p>This standard does not apply to:</p> <p>[.....]</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <u>Emergency service facilities and hose drying towers up to 15m associated with emergency service facilities.</u> 	3.9	Reject	See body of the report	No
81.567	Kāinga Ora	GRZ-S1	<p>Amend:</p> <p>1.All buildings and structures must not exceed a maximum height above ground level of 8m, except:</p> <p>[.....]</p> <p>Matters of discretion are restricted to:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The location, design and appearance of the building or structure; 2. Any adverse effects on the streetscape <u>taking into account the context, topography of the site and its surrounds and planned urban form</u>; 3. Visual dominance, shading and loss of privacy for adjacent residential sites; 4. Compatibility with the anticipated scale, proportion and context of buildings and activities in the surrounding area; 5. Retention of established landscaping; 6. Whether an increase in building or structure height results from a response to natural hazard mitigation; and 	3.9	Reject	See body of the report	No

Sub. Ref.	Submitter / Further Submitter	Provision	Decision Requested	Section of this Report where Addressed	Officer's Recommendation	Officers' Reasons/Comments	Recommended Amendments to PDP?
			7. Whether topographical or other site constraints make compliance with the standard impractical.				
81.568	Kāinga Ora	GRZ-Figure 1	Retain Figure as notified.	3.9	Reject	See body of the report	No
81.569 ²¹⁴	Kāinga Ora	GRZ-S2	<p>Amend:</p> <p>a. 55° measured into the site from any point 3m vertically above ground level along northern site boundaries; or</p> <p>b. 45° measured into the site from any point 3m vertically above ground level along site boundaries.</p> <p>See GRZ-Figure 2 below to identify a northern boundary.</p> <p>See GRZ-Figure 3 below which demonstrate how the height in relation to boundary is to be measured.</p> <p>Except that:</p> <p>Where adjacent to a shared access in excess of 2.5m in width, the measurement shall be taken from the furthest side.</p> <p>For multi-unit housing residential units and retirement villages, the height in relation to boundary standard only applies at the external boundary of the site.</p> <p>For two or more residential units connected horizontally and/or vertically by a common wall or common floor, the height in relation to boundary standard only applies at the external boundary of the site. The height in relation to boundary standard requirement does not apply:</p> <p>a. on any horizontal or vertical boundary between connected residential units; and</p> <p>b. Any offset between the residential units that project not more than 2m beyond the common wall or common floor.</p> <p>This standard does not apply to:</p> <p>A boundary with a road;</p> <p><u>Buildings that share a common wall along the boundary:</u></p> <p>Solar water heating components provided these do not exceed the height in relation to boundary by more than 500mm;</p> <p>Chimney structures not exceeding 1.1m in width on any elevation and provided these do not exceed the height in relation to boundary by more than 1m;</p> <p>Antennas, aerials, satellite dishes (less than 1m in diameter), flues, and architectural features (e.g. finials, spires) provided these do not exceed the height in relation to boundary by more than 3m measured vertically;</p>	3.9	Reject	See body of the report	No

²¹⁴ Support – BLAC Property [FS56.17]

Sub. Ref.	Submitter / Further Submitter	Provision	Decision Requested	Section of this Report where Addressed	Officer's Recommendation	Officers' Reasons/Comments	Recommended Amendments to PDP?
			<p>Boundaries adjoining the City Centre Zone, Local Centre Zone, Hospital Zone, Neighbourhood Centre Zone, Mixed Use Zone, Large Format Retail Zone, General Industrial Zone and General Rural Zone; or</p> <p>A gable end, dormer or roof where that portion beyond the height in relation to boundary is no greater than 1.5m² in area and no greater than 1m in height.</p> <p>Matters of discretion are restricted to:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Visual dominance, shading and loss of privacy for adjacent residential sites; 2. Whether topographical or other site constraints make compliance with the standard impractical; and 3. Whether an increase in height in relation to boundary results from a response to natural hazard mitigation. 				
155.41	Design Network Architecture Limited	GRZ-S2	[Not specified, refer to original submission]	3.9	Reject	See body of the report	No
168.102	Robyn Smith	GRZ-S2	Amend so that the height control line begins 1.2m above the ground at the boundary where it is a common boundary between the residential land and land that is in the OSZ.	3.9	Reject	See body of the report	No
72.5	Survey+Spatial	1. All buildings and structures must be contained beneath a line of: 55° measured [...]	Remove hyperlink to definition of line.	3.9	Reject	See body of the report	No
81.570	Kāinga Ora	GRZ-Figure 2	Retain Figure as notified	3.9	Reject	See body of the report	No
81.571	Kāinga Ora	GRZ-Figure 3	Retain Figure as notified.	3.9	Reject	See body of the report	No
81.572	Kāinga Ora	GRZ-S3	<p>Amend:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The maximum building coverage must not exceed: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> a. 40% 45% of net site area; or b. 45% of net site area for retirement villages and papakāinga. <p>This standard does not apply to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Pergola structures that are not covered by a roof; • Uncovered decks no more than 300mm in height above ground level; • Uncovered outdoor swimming pools; • Buildings and structures that are no more than 2m² in floor area and 2m in height above ground level; or • Eaves up to a maximum of 600mm in width and external gutters or downpipes (including their brackets) up to an additional width of 150mm. <p>Matters of discretion are restricted to:</p>	3.9	Reject	See body of the report	No

Sub. Ref.	Submitter / Further Submitter	Provision	Decision Requested	Section of this Report where Addressed	Officer's Recommendation	Officers' Reasons/Comments	Recommended Amendments to PDP?
			<p>1. <u>Effect on the streetscape amenity of the area, taking into account the context, topography of the site and its surrounds and planned urban built form; The visual dominance of the building on the street from the scale of the new building;</u></p> <p>2. <u>Effect on amenity values of nearby residential properties, especially privacy and outlook of adjoining sites; The visual dominance impact on adjacent residential sites;</u></p> <p>3. Whether the balance of open space and buildings will maintain the amenity anticipated for the General Residential Zone; and</p> <p>4. Whether topographical or other site constraints make compliance with the standard impractical.</p>				
155.42 ²¹⁵	Design Network Architecture Limited	GRZ-S3	Amend standard to 45%	3.9	Reject	See body of the report	No
154.1	Peter Wakefield	GRZ-S3	Seeks the maximum building area coverage to be 35%.	3.9	Reject	See body of the report	No
109.1	Peter Scott	GRZ-S3	Retain maximum building area coverage of 35% or make definition of "building" more explicit, this is a structure for people to live in or a storage shed.	3.9	Reject	See body of the report	No
155.43	Design Network Architecture Limited	GRZ-S4	Amend standard to 3.00m.	3.9	Reject	See body of the report	No
81.573	Kāinga Ora	GRZ-S4	<p>Amend:</p> <p>1. Buildings and structures must not be located within a 4m setback from a boundary with a road except:</p> <p>a. On a site with two or more boundaries to a road, the building or structure must not be located within a 2m setback from the boundary with one road; and</p> <p>b. Where any garage and/or carport with a vehicle door or vehicle opening facing the road, it must not be located within a 5m setback from the boundary with the road.</p> <p>This standard does not apply to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Fences and standalone walls — see GRZ-R4; Buildings and structures that are no more than 2m² in floor area and 2m in height above ground level; or Eaves up to a maximum of 600mm in width and external gutters or downpipes (including their brackets) up to an additional width of 150mm. <p>Matters of discretion are restricted to:</p>	3.9	Reject	See body of the report	No

²¹⁵ Support in part - Kāinga Ora [FS65.310]

Sub. Ref.	Submitter / Further Submitter	Provision	Decision Requested	Section of this Report where Addressed	Officer's Recommendation	Officers' Reasons/Comments	Recommended Amendments to PDP?
			1. Effect on the streetscape amenity of the area, taking into account the context, topography of the site and its surrounds and planned urban form; The streetscape and amenity of the area; 2. The design and siting of the building or structure; 3. Screening, planting and landscaping of the building or structure; 4. Pedestrian and cyclist safety (see TR-P3); and 5. Whether topographical or other site constraints that make compliance with the standard impractical.				
61.2	Mike & Christine Jacobson	GRZ-S4	Such a boundary with a public street-to-street walkway should be covered (specifically included in) GRZ-S5. The 1m setback and S2 height in relation to boundary standards should apply et al.	3.9	Reject	See body of the report	No
72.16	Survey+Spatial	1. Buildings and structures must not be located within a 4m setback from a boundary with a road [...]	Amend: 1. Buildings and structures must be located within a 4m 3m setback from a boundary with a road except: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. On a site with two or more boundaries to a road, the building or structure must not be located within a 2m setback from the boundary with one road; and 2. Where any garage and/or carport with a vehicle door or vehicle opening facing the road, it must not be located within a 5m setback from the boundary with the road. [.....]	3.9	Reject	See body of the report	No
81.574	Kāinga Ora	GRZ-S5	Amend: 1. Buildings and structures must not be located within a 1m setback from any site boundary. Except that: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • For multi-unit housing residential units and retirement villages, the setback standard only applies at the external boundary of the site. • For two or more residential units connected horizontally and/or vertically by a common wall or common floor, the setback standard only applies at the external boundary of the site. The setback standard requirement does not apply: • On any horizontal or vertical boundary between connected residential units; and 	3.9	Reject	See body of the report	No

Sub. Ref.	Submitter / Further Submitter	Provision	Decision Requested	Section of this Report where Addressed	Officer's Recommendation	Officers' Reasons/Comments	Recommended Amendments to PDP?
			<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Any offset between the residential units that project not more than 2m beyond the common wall or common floor. <p>This standard does not apply to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Any part of a building or structure that is 7m or less in length, where this exemption only occurs once per site; Fences and standalone walls — see GRZ-R4; Buildings and structures that are no more than 2m² in floor area and 2m in height above ground level; <u>Buildings that share a common wall along the boundary;</u> Uncovered decks no more than 300mm in height above ground level; or Eaves up to a maximum of 600mm in width and external gutters or downpipes (including their brackets) up to an additional width of 150mm. <p>Matters of discretion are restricted to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Dominance on, and privacy of, adjacent residential sites; Whether the balance of open space and buildings will maintain the amenity anticipated for the General Residential Zone; and <p>Whether topographical or other site constraints make compliance with the standard impractical.</p>				
155.44	Design Network Architecture Limited	GRZ-S5	[Not specified, refer to original submission]	3.9	Reject	See body of the report	No
155.45	Design Network Architecture Limited	GRZ-S6	Amend standard to 30m ² for residential unit and 3.00m minimum width no circle	3.9	Reject	See body of the report	No
81.575	Kāinga Ora	GRZ-S6	<p>Amend:</p> <p>GRZ-S6 Outdoor living space GRZ-S6 outdoor living space — Residential unit and minor residential unit, excluding multi-unit housing</p> <p>1. A minimum area of outdoor living space must be provided as follows:</p> <p>a. Per residential unit at ground level: 40m² at ground level;</p> <p>b. Per minor residential unit at ground level: 20m² at ground level;</p>	3.9	Reject	See body of the report	No

Sub. Ref.	Submitter / Further Submitter	Provision	Decision Requested	Section of this Report where Addressed	Officer's Recommendation	Officers' Reasons/Comments	Recommended Amendments to PDP?
			<p>c. Per minor residential unit located above ground floor: balcony at least 6m² and minimum dimension of 1.8m; or</p> <p>d. Per residential unit located above ground floor: balcony at least 6m² and minimum dimension of 1.8m.</p> <p>Except that:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • A minor residential unit that has direct access to a minimum 40m² of outdoor living space provided for the principal residential unit, does not need to provide additional outdoor living space; and • For multi-unit housing sites with three or more residential units the outdoor living space can be provided as private space and shared space provided that: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Each residential unit is provided with a minimum private space of 20m²; and The shared space has minimum area of 40m². <p>2. The outdoor living space must:</p> <p>a. Have a minimum 4m diameter circle with a maximum gradient of less than 1:20, where located on ground level;</p> <p>b. Be directly accessible from a habitable room or kitchen;</p> <p>c. Be free of buildings, parking spaces and manoeuvring areas, except for eaves up to a maximum of 600mm in width and external gutters or downpipes (including their brackets) up to an additional width of 150mm; and</p> <p>d. Be orientated to the north, west and/east side of the residential unit, as shown in the diagram below; except that:</p> <p style="margin-left: 40px;">i. Up to 30% of the outdoor living space may be orientated to the south of the residential unit.</p> <p>See GRZ-Figure 4 below which shows the required orientation for outdoor living space.</p> <p>This standard does not apply to non-residential buildings, retirement villages, or papakāinga.</p> <p>Matters of discretion are restricted to:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The residential amenity for the occupiers of the residential units Whether adequate useable space is provided to accommodate outdoor activities; 2. Proximity of the residential unit to accessible public open space; 3. The accessibility and convenience of the outdoor living space for occupiers; 4. Whether adequate sunlight is provided to the outdoor living space throughout the year; 				

Sub. Ref.	Submitter / Further Submitter	Provision	Decision Requested	Section of this Report where Addressed	Officer's Recommendation	Officers' Reasons/Comments	Recommended Amendments to PDP?
			5. Whether the balance of open space and buildings will maintain provide reasonable the amenity anticipated for the General Residential Zone considering the context, topography of the site and its surrounds and planned urban built form; and 6. Whether topographical or other site constraints make compliance with the standard impractical.				
81.576	Kāinga Ora	GRZ-S7	Delete standard	3.9	Reject	See body of the report	No
155.46	Design Network Architecture Limited	GRZ-S7	[Not specified, refer to original submission]	3.9	Reject	See body of the report	No
81.577	Kāinga Ora	GRZ-Figure 4	Amend Figure 4 to refer to "outdoor living space" instead of "outdoor living area"	3.9	Reject	See body of the report	No
155.47	Design Network Architecture Limited	GRZ-S8	Delete standard.	3.9	Reject	See body of the report	No
81.578	Kāinga Ora	GRZ-S8	Amend: 1. The volume of any individual rainwater tank must not exceed 5000 <u>7,500</u> litres. Matters of discretion are restricted to: Visual dominance of adjacent residential sites.	3.9	Reject	See body of the report	No
82.231 ²¹⁶	Waka Kotahi	GRZ-S9	Amend provision: <u>2. All fences and standalone walls must not compromise visibility splays and minimum sight distances per INF-Figure 5 & INF-Table 6.</u> <u>Matters of discretion are restricted to:</u> <u>5. The safe and efficient operation of the transport network.</u>	3.9	Reject	See body of the report	No
81.579	Kāinga Ora	GRZ-S9	Amend: 1. All fences and standalone walls must not exceed a maximum height above ground level of: a. 1.5m-1.2m where a site boundary adjoins a public reserve, vested to Porirua City Council under the Reserves Management Act; and	3.9	Reject	See body of the report	No

²¹⁶ Oppose - Kāinga Ora [FS65.311]

Sub. Ref.	Submitter / Further Submitter	Provision	Decision Requested	Section of this Report where Addressed	Officer's Recommendation	Officers' Reasons/Comments	Recommended Amendments to PDP?
			<p>b. 2m for all other site boundaries.</p> <p>Matters of discretion are restricted to:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The streetscape and amenity of the area, including visual dominance, taking into account the context, topography of the site and its surrounds and planned urban form; 2. The amenity of adjacent adjoining residential properties; where the over height fence/wall is located on their boundary; 3. Whether the reduction in the ability to view the adjacent public reserve reduces a sense of safety for users of the public reserve; and 4. Whether topographical or other site constraints make compliance with the standard impractical. 				
168.100	Robyn Smith	GRZ-S9	Supports these provisions.	3.9	Reject	See body of the report	No
155.48	Design Network Architecture Limited	GRZ-S9	[Not specified, refer to original submission]	3.9	Reject	See body of the report	No
MRZ-Medium Density Residential Zone – General submissions							
194.1	Deirdre Dale	General	Retain	3.12	Accept in part	See body of the report	No
81.580 ²¹⁷	Kāinga Ora – Homes and Communities	General	<p>Kāinga Ora seeks consequential changes consistent with its overall submission on the Plan. Key areas of concern are (but not limited to):</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Inclusion of an additional objective and policy to reflect that amenity values should reflect the planned urban built form and that this expected to change over time; 2. Deletion of reference to Design Guides and requirement that development be “consistent” with these to achieve compliance; 3. Review and re-drafting of notification exclusion clauses; 4. Removal of provisions specific to “multi-unit housing” and integration within policies, rules and standards more generally; 	3.12	Accept in part	See body of the report	No

²¹⁷ Oppose – Transpower [FS04.54], Support – Kenepuru Limited Partnership [FS20.56], Paremata Business Park [FS64.14], Carrus Corporation Limited [FS62.14]

Sub. Ref.	Submitter / Further Submitter	Provision	Decision Requested	Section of this Report where Addressed	Officer's Recommendation	Officers' Reasons/Comments	Recommended Amendments to PDP?
			<p>5. Amendment to the spatial extent of the MRZ in accordance with NPS-UD direction and zoning principles of Kāinga Ora;</p> <p>6. Change language to align with NPS-UD - "planned built urban form" in anticipation of changing character and associated amenity values;</p> <p>7. Amend provisions with direct 'avoid' statements. This needs to be qualified in light of the King Salmon meaning of 'avoid';</p> <p>8. Incorporate height variation controls to areas of the MRZ where additional height is appropriate, to reflect NPS-UD;</p> <p>9. Consequential amendments to reflect changes sought specific to eastern Porirua (including zoning changes); and</p> <p>10. Consequential changes to the numbering of provisions following changes sought throughout chapter.</p>				
81.581 ²¹⁸	Kāinga Ora – Homes and Communities	Chapter Introduction	<p>Amend:</p> <p>The Medium Density Residential Zone is a reasonably high-intensity zone enabling <u>enables</u> a greater intensity of development than in the General Residential Zone. It is a transformative zone that will result in changes to existing densities and built form characteristics and <u>provide</u> a greater diversity of housing options <u>choice for</u> in the City. The Zone supports a higher density <u>intensity</u> of development through its proximity to the Local Centres Zone and/or the City Centre Zone and areas of public open space, providing easy access to shops, services and amenities. It is also well served by public transport. <u>The Zone provides for development within a walkable catchment of existing centres, strategic transport corridors and community facilities.</u></p> <p>The provisions provide the framework for managing the effects of use and development and ensuring <u>that residential amenity values and the quality of the built environment are consistent with the planned urban built form.</u> the maintenance of residential amenity values and a high quality of built environment in a way that recognises the anticipated character of the Zone. Multi-unit housing <u>A variety of housing typologies</u>, including townhouses and apartments, are promoted when there is a high standard of urban design that integrates the development into the surrounding area while contributing <u>contributes</u> positively to its changing</p>	3.12	Accept in part	See body of the report	No

²¹⁸ Support – BLAC Property [FS56.18], Support in part - Rob Spreo [FS57.4]

Sub. Ref.	Submitter / Further Submitter	Provision	Decision Requested	Section of this Report where Addressed	Officer's Recommendation	Officers' Reasons/Comments	Recommended Amendments to PDP?
			<p>character, creates a high level of on-site amenity and minimises the effects of development on adjoining sites.</p> <p>The Medium Density Residential Zone recognises that residential activities encompass a wide range of housing and living arrangements. This includes social and community housing and multi-generational living, as well as traditional family housing. It does not promote one form of housing over another but instead provides flexibility to meet the community's diverse housing <u>preferences demands and needs</u>.</p> <p>Home business and other activities that support the social and economic health and wellbeing of the community may also occur in the <u>this</u> Zone where they are of a compatible scale and nature. Non-residential activities that are incompatible with residential amenity values <u>anticipated in the planned urban environment</u>, or which are more appropriately located within the City Centre Zone, Mixed Use Zone, General Industrial Zone, the Local Centre Zone or the Neighbourhood Centre Zone are discouraged.</p> <p>Some of the Medium Density Residential Zone in Eastern Porirua has been identified as suitable for higher residential development density, subject to scale and design. These areas are identified as the Eastern Porirua Residential Intensification Precinct in the planning map layers. They represent areas that are undergoing a master-planned regeneration process and support a higher intensity <u>planned urban</u> built environment. The precincts, in conjunction with the underlying Medium Density Residential Zone, support the wider regeneration objectives in Eastern Porirua.</p> <p>The Eastern Porirua Residential Intensification Precinct has specific objectives and policies that apply in addition to the objectives, policies and rules of the Medium Density Residential Zone. Where there is a conflict with the Medium Density Residential Zone provisions, the precinct provisions prevail.</p>				
MRZ-Medium Density Residential Zone – objectives amended or deleted by Variation 1							
81.582	Kāinga Ora ²¹⁹	MRZ-O1	<p>Amend:</p> <p>The Medium Density Residential Zone:</p> <p>1. Primarily consists of residential activities in a range of residential unit types <u>typologies</u> and sizes including apartments,</p>	3.12	Accept in part	See body of the report	No

²¹⁹ Support – BLAC Property [FS56.19], Oranga Tamariki [FS35.5]

Sub. Ref.	Submitter / Further Submitter	Provision	Decision Requested	Section of this Report where Addressed	Officer's Recommendation	Officers' Reasons/Comments	Recommended Amendments to PDP?
			<p>at a higher density <u>intensity</u> than is anticipated in the General Residential Zone; and</p> <p>2. Accommodates other activities that support the health and wellbeing of people and communities, where they are compatible with the character <u>planned urban built form</u> and <u>anticipated</u> amenity values of the Zone.</p>				
155.49	Design Network Architecture Limited	MRZ-O1	[Not specified, refer to original submission]	3.12	Accept in part	See body of the report	No
119.55	FENZ	MRZ-O1	Retain as proposed.	3.12	Accept in part	See body of the report	No
143.5 ²²⁰	Oranga Tamariki – Ministry of Children	MRZ-O1	<p>Amend the objective as follows:</p> <p>The Medium Density Residential Zone:</p> <p>1. Primarily consists of residential activities <u>land uses</u> in a range of residential-unit types and sizes including apartments, at a higher density than is anticipated in the General Residential Zone; and</p> <p>2. Accommodates other activities that support the health and wellbeing of people and communities, where they are compatible with the character and amenity values of the Zone.</p>	3.12	Reject	See body of the report	No
134.21	Ministry of Education	MRZ-O1	Retain as proposed.	3.12	Accept in part	See body of the report	No
155.50	Design Network Architecture Limited	MRZ-O2 (MRZ-O1 in Variation 1)	Amend the objective by deleting clause MRZ-O2-4.	3.12	Reject	See body of the report	No
81.583	Kāinga Ora	MRZ-O2 (MRZ-O1 in Variation 1)	<p>Amend:</p> <p>The scale, form and density of use and development <u>planned urban built form</u> in the Medium Density Residential Zone is characterised by:</p> <p>1. A built form of predominantly two and three-storey buildings, surrounded by open space;</p>	3.12	Accept in part	See body of the report	No

²²⁰ Support in part - Kāinga Ora [FS65.313]

Sub. Ref.	Submitter / Further Submitter	Provision	Decision Requested	Section of this Report where Addressed	Officer's Recommendation	Officers' Reasons/Comments	Recommended Amendments to PDP?
			<p>2. A greater intensity of buildings than anticipated in the General Residential Zone;</p> <p>3. <u>A mixture of housing typologies;</u></p> <p>4. Good quality on-site residential amenity;</p> <p>5. Good quality amenity for adjoining sites; and</p> <p>6. An urban environment that is visually attractive, safe, easy to navigate and convenient to access.</p>				
69.2 ²²¹	Paremata Business Park Ltd	MRZ-O2 (MRZ-O1 in Variation 1)	<p>Amend:</p> <p>The scale, form and density of use and development in the Medium Density Residential Zone is characterised by:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. A built form of predominantly two and three-storey buildings, <u>with the provision of/or within walkable proximity of accessible surrounded by</u> open space; 2. A greater intensity of buildings than anticipated in the General Residential Zone; 3. Good quality on-site residential amenity; 4. Good quality amenity for adjoining sites; and 5. An urban environment that is visually attractive, safe, easy to navigate and convenient to access. <p>Or adopt any other such relief, including additions, deletions or consequential amendments necessary as a result of the matters raised in this submission, as necessary to give effect to this submission</p>	3.12	Accept in part	See body of the report	No
68.3 ²²²	Carrus Corporation Ltd	MRZ-O2 (MRZ-O1 in Variation 1)	<p>Amend:</p> <p>The scale, form and density of use and development in the Medium Density Residential Zone is characterised by:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. A built form of predominantly two and three-storey buildings, <u>with the provision of/or within walkable proximity of accessible surrounded by</u> open space; 2. A greater intensity of buildings than anticipated in the General Residential Zone; 3. Good quality on-site residential amenity; 	3.12	Accept in part	See body of the report	No

²²¹ Support in part - Kāinga Ora [FS65.317]

²²² Ibid

Sub. Ref.	Submitter / Further Submitter	Provision	Decision Requested	Section of this Report where Addressed	Officer's Recommendation	Officers' Reasons/Comments	Recommended Amendments to PDP?
			<p>4. Good quality amenity for adjoining sites; and</p> <p>An urban environment that is visually attractive, safe, easy to navigate and convenient to access.</p> <p>or;</p> <p>Adopt any other such relief, including additions, deletions, or consequential amendments as a result of the matters raised in these submissions, as necessary to give effect to this submission.</p>				
59.2 ²²³	Kenepuru Limited Partnership	MRZ-O2 (MRZ-O1 in Variation 1)	<p>Amend the Objective as stated in the Submission</p> <p>A built form of <u>1-4 storeys but</u> predominantly two and three-storey buildings, <u>integrated into well designed public and private</u> surrounded by open space;</p>	3.12	Accept in part	See body of the report	No
82.232	Waka Kotahi	MRZ-PREC02-O1	Retain as notified.	3.12	Reject	See body of the report	No
81.585	Kāinga Ora ²²⁴	MRZ-PREC02-O1	<p>Amend:</p> <p>The Eastern Porirua Residential Intensification Precinct <u>primarily</u> consists of residential activities predominantly in the form of terrace housing and apartment buildings.</p>	3.12	Reject	See body of the report	No
155.51	Design Network Architecture Limited	MRZ-PREC02-O1	[Not specified, refer to original submission]	3.12	Reject	See body of the report	No
82.233	Waka Kotahi	MRZ-PREC02-O2	Retain as notified.	3.12	Reject	See body of the report	No
81.586	Kāinga Ora	MRZ-PREC02-O2	<p>Amend:</p> <p><u>MRZ—PREC02-O2 Planned urban built environment of the Eastern Porirua Residential Intensification Precinct</u></p> <p>The <u>scale, form and density of use and development</u> planned urban <u>built form</u> in the Eastern Porirua Residential Intensification Precinct is characterised by:</p> <p>1. A built form of predominantly three and four-storey buildings comprising <u>terrace</u> housing and apartment buildings;</p>	3.12	Reject	See body of the report	No

²²³ Support in part - Kāinga Ora [FS65.318]

²²⁴ Support – Rob Spreo [FS57.5]

Sub. Ref.	Submitter / Further Submitter	Provision	Decision Requested	Section of this Report where Addressed	Officer's Recommendation	Officers' Reasons/Comments	Recommended Amendments to PDP?
			<p>2. A greater intensity of buildings than anticipated in the Medium Density Residential Zone, particularly where located adjacent to road intersections and public open spaces; and</p> <p>3. A quality-built environment that provides on-site and off-site residential amenity appropriate to a more intensive living environment and responds <u>contributes</u> positively to the <u>planned urban built form and</u> anticipated character and amenity values of the surrounding area.</p>				
155.52	Design Network Architecture Limited	MRZ-PREC02-O2	[Not specified, refer to original submission]	3.12	Reject	See body of the report	No
81.587 ²²⁵	Kāinga Ora	MRZ-PREC02-O3	<p>Delete:</p> <p>Use and development within the Eastern Porirua Residential Intensification Precinct has minimal adverse effects on the amenity values of adjacent sites located outside of the Precinct.</p>	n/a	Accept	Agree with submitter	No
82.234	Waka Kotahi	MRZ-PREC02-O3	Retain as notified.	3.12	Reject	See body of the report	No
MRZ-Medium Density Residential Zone – New objectives							
81.584 ²²⁶	Kāinga Ora	New objective	<p>Insert new Objective, with consequential changes to numbering and referencing throughout:</p> <p>MRZ-03 Residential amenity</p> <p><u>Achieve a high level of residential amenity within the zone that reflects the planned urban built form and compact urban settlement pattern.</u></p>	3.12	Reject	See body of the report	No
MRZ-Medium Density Residential Zone – deleted Policies							
81.589 ²²⁷	Kāinga Ora	New policy	<p>Add new Policy:</p> <p><u>MRZ-P2 Changes to amenity values</u></p> <p><u>Recognise that the planned urban built form may result in changes to the amenity values and characteristics of the urban environment over time.</u></p>	3.12	Reject	See body of the report	No
81.588 ²²⁸	Kāinga Ora	MRZ-P1	Amend:	3.12	Reject	See body of the report	No

²²⁵ Support in part – Rob Spreo [FS57.6]

²²⁶ Support - BLAC Property [FS56.19]

²²⁷ Support - BLAC Property [FS56.20]

²²⁸ Support – BLAC Property [FS56.21], Oranga Tamariki [FS35.6]

Sub. Ref.	Submitter / Further Submitter	Provision	Decision Requested	Section of this Report where Addressed	Officer's Recommendation	Officers' Reasons/Comments	Recommended Amendments to PDP?
			Enable residential activities and a diverse range of residential unit types and sizes typologies , that reflect high-quality design and are compatible with the built planned urban built form, character and amenity values anticipated in the Medium Density Residential Zone.				
155.53	Design Network Architecture Limited	MRZ-P1	[Not specified, refer to original submission]	3.12	Reject	See body of the report	No
143.6 ²²⁹	Oranga Tamariki – Ministry of Children	MRZ-P1	Amend the policy as follows: Enable residential activities <u>land uses</u> and a diverse range of residential unit types and sizes, compatible with the built form, character and amenity values anticipated in the Medium Density Residential Zone.	3.12	Reject	See body of the report	No
155.54	Design Network Architecture Limited	MRZ-P2	[Not specified, refer to original submission]	3.12	Reject	See body of the report	No
81.590	Kāinga Ora	MRZ-P2	Amend: Only allow Enable minor residential units where they are of an ancillary scale and form to the principal residential unit on the same site.	3.12	Reject	See body of the report	No
155.55	Design Network Architecture Limited	MRZ-P3	[Not specified, refer to original submission]	3.12	Accept in part	See body of the report	No
119.56	FENZ	MRZ-P3	Retain as proposed.	3.12	Accept in part	See body of the report	No
81.591	Kāinga Ora	MRZ-P3	Amend: Recognise the benefits of, and provide for, non-residential activities that contribute to the health and wellbeing of people and communities where: 1. These are compatible with the anticipated character <u>planned urban built form</u> and amenity of the area; 2. Any adverse effects on the amenity values of adjoining sites can be adequately mitigated, including from the location and scale of utility and external storage areas;	3.12	Accept in part	See body of the report	No

²²⁹ Support in part - Kāinga Ora [FS65.319]

Sub. Ref.	Submitter / Further Submitter	Provision	Decision Requested	Section of this Report where Addressed	Officer's Recommendation	Officers' Reasons/Comments	Recommended Amendments to PDP?
			<p>3. These do not result in adverse effects on the amenity values of adjoining sites from the movement of people and vehicles associated with the activity which cannot be mitigated;</p> <p>4. The hours of operation are compatible with residential amenity values; and</p> <p>5. For Emergency Service Facilities, the activity has an operational need or functional need to locate in the Zone.</p>				
155.56	Design Network Architecture Limited	MRZ-P4	[Not specified, refer to original submission]	3.12	Accept in part	See body of the report	No
81.592	Kāinga Ora	MRZ-P4	<p>Amend:</p> <p>Only allow Provide for commercial activities where they are ancillary to a residential activity and of a scale where significant adverse effects are avoided, and any other adverse effects are appropriately remedied or mitigated.</p>	3.12	Reject	See body of the report	No
81.593	Kāinga Ora	MRZ-P5	<p>Delete:</p> <p>Provide for multi-unit housing where it can be demonstrated that it:</p> <p>1. ——— Contributes positively to the anticipated built environment through high-quality urban design; and</p> <p>2. ——— Is consistent with the Multi-Unit Housing Design Guide contained in APP3 Multi-Unit Housing Design Guide.</p>	3.12	n/a	Agree with submitter	No
75.19	Draycott Property Holdings Ltd	MRZ-P5	Amend MRZ-P5 by deleting point 1.	3.12	Accept in part	See body of the report	No
59.3	Kenepuru Limited Partnership	MRZ-P5	Review Policy and design Guide to remove distinction between multi units on one fee simple title and medium density housing on individual Titles. The design standards and principles should be the same regardless on the form of land ownership. This may mean an amendment to the definition of Multi-Unit. The Multi Unit Design Guide needs to be applicable to the entire Medium Density Zone and across multiple lots - not just multi units on a single lot. Integrated design across multi -lots that don't comply with the Standards for lot sizes and bulk and location in the MDZ should be able to reference the Guide as a means of compliance under Restricted Discretionary Activity.	3.12	Accept in part	See body of the report	No

Sub. Ref.	Submitter / Further Submitter	Provision	Decision Requested	Section of this Report where Addressed	Officer's Recommendation	Officers' Reasons/Comments	Recommended Amendments to PDP?
97.21	Andrew and Leanne Parsons	MRZ-P5	Amend MRZ-P5 by deleting point 1.	3.12	Accept in part	See body of the report	No
107.21	Gavin Faulke	MRZ-P5	Amend MRZ-P5 by deleting point 1.	3.12	Accept in part	See body of the report	No
155.57	Design Network Architecture Limited	MRZ-P5	[Not specified, refer to original submission]	3.12	Accept in part	See body of the report	No
155.58	Design Network Architecture Limited	MRZ-P6	[Not specified, refer to original submission]	3.12	Accept in part	See body of the report	No
81.594	Kāinga Ora	MRZ-P6	Amend: Recognise the benefits of, and provide for, retirement villages where: 1) Significant adverse effects on the residential amenity values of adjoining residential properties and the surrounding neighbourhood are avoided; 2) Other adverse effects on residential amenity values are minimised, including those from: a. The movement of vehicles and people; and b. The layout of buildings, fencing, location and scale of utility areas and external storage areas; 3) On-site amenity, including outdoor living space, for residents is provided, which reflects the nature of and diverse needs of residents of the village; 4) The site is able to accommodate the scale and intensity of the activity, in terms of its size, topography and location; and 5) The overall scale, form, composition and design of buildings does not compromise the anticipated character and amenity <u>planned urban built form of the Zone area.</u>	3.12	Accept	See body of the report	No
82.238	Waka Kotahi	MRZ-P7	Retain as notified	3.12	Reject	See body of the report	No
81.595	Kāinga Ora	MRZ-P7	Amend: Avoid non-residential activities which that are incompatible with the <u>planned urban built form, role, and function</u> anticipated	3.12	Reject	See body of the report	No

Sub. Ref.	Submitter / Further Submitter	Provision	Decision Requested	Section of this Report where Addressed	Officer's Recommendation	Officers' Reasons/Comments	Recommended Amendments to PDP?
			purpose, character and amenity values of the Zone where effects cannot be mitigated or managed.				
155.59	Design Network Architecture Limited	MRZ-P7	[Not specified, refer to original submission]	3.12	Reject	See body of the report	No
155.60	Design Network Architecture Limited	MRZ-P8	[Not specified, refer to original submission]	3.12	Reject	See body of the report	No
81.596	Kāinga Ora	MRZ-P8	Amend: Encourage Enable buildings and structures that are of a form, scale and design that achieve the built environment anticipated <u>planned urban built form</u> for the Zone, by ensuring a <u>generally medium rise built form, consisting of buildings up to three storeys that reflect a moderate scale and intensity.</u> 1. — A generally medium rise built form, consisting of buildings up to three storeys; and 2. — Levels of openness around and between buildings that reflect a moderate scale and intensity of built form.	3.12	Reject	See body of the report	No
81.597 ²³⁰	Kāinga Ora	MRZ-P9	Amend: Ensure buildings and structures achieve good quality on-site and off-site residential amenity by requiring: 1. Separation from site boundaries and heights in respect to site boundaries, that safeguard on-site and off-site privacy, minimise adverse privacy and visual dominance effects upon to adjacent sites, and ensure adequate access to sunlight and daylight in <u>accordance with the planned urban built form</u> ; and 2. Appropriate levels of useable outdoor amenity space for residential units, that have access to sunlight and can readily accommodate outdoor activities.	3.12	Reject	See body of the report	No
68.4 ²³¹	Carrus Corporation Ltd	MRZ-P9	Amend:	3.12	Reject	See body of the report	No

²³⁰ BLAC Property [FS56.22]

²³¹ Support in part - Kāinga Ora [FS65.322]

Sub. Ref.	Submitter / Further Submitter	Provision	Decision Requested	Section of this Report where Addressed	Officer's Recommendation	Officers' Reasons/Comments	Recommended Amendments to PDP?
			<p>Ensure buildings and structures achieve good quality on-site and off-site residential amenity by requiring:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Separation from site boundaries and heights in respect to site boundaries, that safeguard on-site and off-site privacy, minimise visual dominance to adjacent sites, and ensure adequate access to sunlight and daylight; and 2. Appropriate levels of useable <u>quality</u> outdoor amenity space for residential units <u>and/or residents</u>, that have access to sunlight and can readily accommodate outdoor activities. <p>or;</p> <p>Adopt any other such relief, including additions, deletions, or consequential amendments as a result of the matters raised in these submissions, as necessary to give effect to this submission.</p>				
68.5	Carrus Corporation Ltd	MRZ-P9	<p>Amend:</p> <p>Ensure buildings and structures achieve good quality on-site and off-site residential amenity by requiring:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Separation from site boundaries and heights in respect to site boundaries, that safeguard on-site and off-site privacy, minimise visual dominance to adjacent sites, and ensure adequate access to sunlight and daylight; and 2. Appropriate levels of useable <u>quality</u> outdoor amenity space for residential units <u>and/or residents</u>, that have access to sunlight and can readily accommodate outdoor activities. <p>or;</p> <p>Adopt any other such relief, including additions, deletions, or consequential amendments as a result of the matters raised in these submissions, as necessary to give effect to this submission.</p>	3.12	Reject	See body of the report	No
69.3 ²³²	Paremata Business Park Ltd	MRZ-P9	<p>Amend:</p> <p>Ensure buildings and structures achieve good quality on-site and off-site residential amenity by requiring:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Separation from site boundaries and heights in respect to site boundaries, that safeguard on-site and off-site 	3.12	Reject	See body of the report	No

²³² Support in part - Kāinga Ora [FS65.323]

Sub. Ref.	Submitter / Further Submitter	Provision	Decision Requested	Section of this Report where Addressed	Officer's Recommendation	Officers' Reasons/Comments	Recommended Amendments to PDP?
			<p>privacy, minimise visual dominance to adjacent sites, and ensure adequate access to sunlight and daylight; and</p> <p>2. Appropriate levels of useable <u>quality</u> outdoor amenity space for residential units <u>and/or residents</u>, that have access to sunlight and can readily accommodate outdoor activities.</p> <p>Or adopt any other such relief, including additions, deletions or consequential amendments necessary as a result of the matters raised in this submission, as necessary to give effect to this submission</p>				
69.4	Paremata Business Park Ltd	MRZ-P9		3.12	Reject	See body of the report	No
155.61	Design Network Architecture Limited	MRZ-P9	[Not specified, refer to original submission]	3.12	Reject	See body of the report	No
155.62	Design Network Architecture Limited	MRZ-P10	[Not specified, refer to original submission]	3.12	Reject	See body of the report	No
81.598	Kāinga Ora	MRZ-P10	<p>Amend:</p> <p>Require use and development to contribute to attractive and safe streets and public spaces by:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Providing for passive surveillance; 2. Requiring an appropriate level of openness and landscaping in the street scene, taking into account the built <u>environment anticipated for planned urban built form of the Zone</u>; and 3. Minimising visual dominance of garage doors. 	3.12	Reject	See body of the report	No
134.22	Ministry of Education	MRZ-P11	Retain as proposed.	3.12	Reject	See body of the report	No
155.63	Design Network Architecture Limited	MRZ-P11	[Not specified, refer to original submission]	3.12	Reject	See body of the report	No
81.599	Kāinga Ora	MRZ-P11	Amend:	3.12	Reject	See body of the report	No

Sub. Ref.	Submitter / Further Submitter	Provision	Decision Requested	Section of this Report where Addressed	Officer's Recommendation	Officers' Reasons/Comments	Recommended Amendments to PDP?
			Recognise <u>Recognise</u> the functional and operational requirements of retirement villages and non-residential activities that support the health and wellbeing of people and communities.				
155.64	Design Network Architecture Limited	MRZ-PREC02-P1	[Not specified, refer to original submission]	3.12	Reject	See body of the report	No
81.600	Kāinga Ora	MRZ-PREC02-P1	Amend: Enable buildings and structures that are of an intensity, form, scale and design that achieve the built environment anticipated <u>planned urban built form of</u> for the Eastern Porirua Residential Intensification Precinct.	3.12	Reject	See body of the report	No
82.240	Waka Kotahi	MRZ-PREC02-P1	Retain as notified	3.12	Reject	See body of the report	No
155.65	Design Network Architecture Limited	MRZ-PREC02-P2	[Not specified, refer to original submission]	3.12	Reject	See body of the report	No
81.601	Kāinga Ora	MRZ-PREC02-P2	Amend: Ensure buildings and structures within the Eastern Porirua Residential Intensification Precinct achieve quality on-site and off-site residential amenity appropriate to the anticipated living environment , by requiring: 1. Reasonable access to sunlight, daylight and privacy for on-site residents and adjacent residential sites <u>in accordance with the planned urban built form</u> ; and 2. Accessible outdoor amenity space, which may include shared amenity space, that is of <u>a</u> sufficient size and amenity for residents.	3.12	Reject	See body of the report	No
81.602 ²³³	Kāinga Ora	MRZ-PREC02-P3	Delete: Ensure buildings are located and designed to minimise dominance, shading and privacy effects on sites located outside of the Eastern Porirua Residential Intensification Precinct.	3.12	Reject	See body of the report	No
155.66	Design Network Architecture Limited	MRZ-PREC02-P3	[Not specified, refer to original submission]	3.12	Reject	See body of the report	No
MRZ-Medium Density Residential Zone – Rules							

²³³ Support – Rob Spreo [FS57.7]

Sub. Ref.	Submitter / Further Submitter	Provision	Decision Requested	Section of this Report where Addressed	Officer's Recommendation	Officers' Reasons/Comments	Recommended Amendments to PDP?
167.3 ²³⁴	House Movers section of the New Zealand Heavy Haulage Association Inc	New Rule	<p>Expressly provide for relocation, removal, and re-siting of dwellings as a permitted activity subject to the same zone standards as in situ dwellings.</p> <p>Accompany the permitted activity classification with the following performance standards in addition to the zone performance standards which currently apply to "Construction Activity":</p> <p><u>a. Any relocated building complies with the relevant standards for Permitted Activities in the District Plan;</u></p> <p><u>b. Any relocated dwelling must have been previously designed, built and used as a dwelling;</u></p> <p><u>c. A building inspection report shall accompany the building consent for the building/dwelling (refer Schedule 1). The report is to identify all reinstatement work required to the exterior of the building/dwelling;</u></p> <p><u>d. The building shall be located on permanent foundations approved by building consent, no later than 2 months of the building being moved to the site;</u></p> <p><u>e. All work required to reinstate the exterior of any relocated building/dwelling, including the siting of the building/dwelling on permanent foundations, shall be completed within 12 months of the building being delivered to the site.</u></p> <p>A non-notified restricted discretionary activity status for relocated buildings that do not comply with the performance standards, with the following assessment criteria:</p> <p><u>Restricted Discretionary Activity</u></p> <p><u>(on a non-notified, non-service basis)</u></p> <p><u>Where an activity is not permitted by this Rule, Council will have regard to the following matters when considering an application for resource consent:</u></p> <p><u>i) Proposed landscaping;</u></p>	3.12	Reject	See body of the report	No

²³⁴ Oppose - Kāinga Ora [FS65.324]

Sub. Ref.	Submitter / Further Submitter	Provision	Decision Requested	Section of this Report where Addressed	Officer's Recommendation	Officers' Reasons/Comments	Recommended Amendments to PDP?
			<p><u>ii) the proposed timetable for completion of the work required to reinstate the exterior of the building and connections to services.</u></p> <p>Provides a suggested pre-inspection report which may either be a non-statutory form, or prescribed into the plan, or to similar effect [Refer to original submission, including appendices].</p> <p>Any further or consequential amendments to give effect to this submission in accordance with the reasons for this submission and the relief sought.</p>				
81.603 ²³⁵	Kāinga Ora	MRZ-R1 Notification preclusion	<p>Amend:</p> <p>1. Activity status: Permitted</p> <p>Where:</p> <p>a. Compliance is achieved with:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> i. MRZ-S1; ii. MRZ-S2; iii. MRZ-S3; iv. MRZ-S4; v. MRZ-S5; vi. MRZ-S6; <u>and</u> vii. MRZ-S7; and viii. MRZ-S8. <p>2. Activity status: Restricted discretionary</p> <p>Where:</p> <p>a. Compliance is not achieved with MRZ-S1, MRZ-S2, MRZ-S3, MRZ-S4, MRZ-S5, MRZ-S6, <u>or</u> MRZ-S7, or MRZ-S8.</p>	3.12	Accept in part	See body of the report	No

²³⁵ Support - Kenepuru Limited Partnership [FS20.57]

Sub. Ref.	Submitter / Further Submitter	Provision	Decision Requested	Section of this Report where Addressed	Officer's Recommendation	Officers' Reasons/Comments	Recommended Amendments to PDP?
			<p>Matters of discretion are restricted to:</p> <p>1) The matters of discretion of any infringed standard.</p> <p>Notification:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> An application under this rule where compliance is not achieved with MRZ-S4, MRZ-S6, or MRZ-S7, or MRZ-S8 is precluded from being publicly or limited notified in accordance with sections 95A and 95B of the RMA. <p>An application under this rule where compliance is not achieved with MRZ-S1, MRZ-S2, MRZ-S3, MRZ-S4, or MRZ-S5, or MRZ-S6 is precluded from being publicly notified in accordance with section 95A of the RMA.</p>				
155.67	Design Network Architecture Limited	MRZ-R1	[Not specified, refer to original submission] Supports rule	3.12	Accept in part	See body of the report	No
82.241	Waka Kotahi	MRZ-R1	Retain as notified.	3.12	Accept in part	See body of the report	No
81.604	Kāinga Ora	MRZ-R2	Retain as notified	n/a	Accept	Agree with submitter	No
155.68	Design Network Architecture Limited	MRZ-R2	[Not specified, refer to original submission]. Supports the rule	n/a	Accept	Agree with submitter	No
81.605	Kāinga Ora	MRZ-R3	Retain as notified.	n/a	Accept	Agree with submitter	No
155.69	Design Network Architecture Limited	MRZ-R3	Delete rule.	3.12	Reject	See body of the report	No
81.606	Kāinga Ora	MRZ-R4	Retain as notified.	n/a	Accept	Agree with submitter	No
155.70	Design Network Architecture Limited	MRZ-R4	[Not specified, refer to original submission]. Supports the rule	n/a	Accept	Agree with submitter	No
155.71	Design Network Architecture Limited	MRZ-R5	[Not specified, refer to original submission]. Supports the rule	3.12	Accept in part	See body of the report	No
81.607 ²³⁶	Kāinga Ora	MRZ-R5 Notification preclusion	Amend:	3.12	Accept in part	See body of the report	No

²³⁶ Support - Kenepuru Limited Partnership [FS20.58]

Sub. Ref.	Submitter / Further Submitter	Provision	Decision Requested	Section of this Report where Addressed	Officer's Recommendation	Officers' Reasons/Comments	Recommended Amendments to PDP?
			<p>MRZ-R5 Residential activity, excluding papakāinga</p> <p>1. Activity status: Permitted</p> <p>Where:</p> <p>a. No more than two<u>three</u> residential units occupy the site.</p> <p>2. Activity status: Restricted discretionary</p> <p>Where:</p> <p>a. <u>Compliance is not achieved with MRZ-R5-1.a.</u></p> <p>Matters of discretion are restricted to:</p> <p>1. <u>The extent to which building design and site layout achieves:</u></p> <p>a) <u>the planned urban built form of the zone;</u></p> <p>b) <u>Attractive and safe streets and public open spaces;</u></p> <p>c) <u>high quality onsite living environments; having taken into account the surrounding context, site limitations and planned outcomes for the zone.</u></p> <p>2. <u>The extent to which topography, site orientation and planting have been integrated into the site layout and design.</u></p> <p>Note:</p> <p><u>1. Acceptable means of compliance and best practice urban design guidance is contained within Porirua City Council's Residential Design Guidelines.</u></p> <p><u>Notification:</u></p> <p><u>An application under this rule is precluded from being publicly or limited notified in accordance with sections 95A and 95B of the RMA.</u></p> <p><u>Note: Where more than two residential units will occupy a site, see MRZ-R15.</u></p>				

Sub. Ref.	Submitter / Further Submitter	Provision	Decision Requested	Section of this Report where Addressed	Officer's Recommendation	Officers' Reasons/Comments	Recommended Amendments to PDP?
81.608 ²³⁷	Kāinga Ora	MRZ-R6 (minor residential unit)	<p>Delete:</p> <p>1. Activity status: Permitted</p> <p>Where:</p> <p>a. — No more than one minor residential unit occupies the site; and</p> <p>b. — The minor residential unit does not exceed a gross floor area of 50m².</p> <p>2. Activity status: Discretionary</p> <p>Where:</p> <p>a. — Compliance is not achieved with MRZ-R6 1.a or MRZ-R6 1.b.</p> <p>Notification:</p> <p>An application under this rule is precluded from being publicly notified in accordance with section 95A of the RMA.</p>	n/a	Accept	Agree with submitter	No
155.72	Design Network Architecture Limited	MRZ-R6 (minor residential unit)	<p>[Not specified, refer to original submission]</p> <p>Support rule</p>	3.12	Reject	See body of the report	No
155.73	Design Network Architecture Limited	MRZ-R7 (now MRZ-R8) (sport and recreation facility)	<p>[Not specified, refer to original submission]</p> <p>Support rule</p>	3.12	Accept	Agree with submitter	No
81.609	Kāinga Ora	MRZ-R7 (now MRZ-R8) (sport and recreation facility)	Retain as notified.	n/a	Accept	Agree with submitter	No
81.610 ²³⁸	Kāinga Ora	MRZ-R8 (now MRZ-R9) – Supported residential care activity	<p>Amend:</p> <p>1. Activity status: Permitted</p> <p>Where:</p>	3.12 See Right of Reply of Michael Rachlin	Reject Accept in part	See body of the report See Right of Reply of Michael Rachlin	No Yes

²³⁷ Support - Kenepuru Limited Partnership [FS20.59]

²³⁸ Support - Oranga Tamariki [FS35.7], Oppose – Waka Kotahi [FS36.9]

Sub. Ref.	Submitter / Further Submitter	Provision	Decision Requested	Section of this Report where Addressed	Officer's Recommendation	Officers' Reasons/Comments	Recommended Amendments to PDP?
			<p>a. The maximum occupancy <u>per residential unit</u> does not exceed six <u>ten</u> residents <u>including staff</u>.</p> <p>2. Activity status: Restricted discretionary</p> <p>Where:</p> <p>a. Compliance is not achieved with MRZ-R8-1.a.</p> <p>Matters of discretion are restricted to:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> The matters in MRZ-P3 and The matters in MRZ-P11. <p>Notification:</p> <p>An application under this rule is precluded from being publicly or <u>limited</u> notified in accordance with sections <u>95A and 95B</u> of the RMA.</p>				
155.74	Design Network Architecture Limited	MRZ-R8 (now MRZ-R9) – Supported residential care activity	[Not specified, refer to original submission]	3.12	Accept in part	See body of the report	No
155.75	Design Network Architecture Limited	MRZ-R8 (now MRZ-R9) – Supported residential care activity	[Not specified, refer to original submission]	3.12	Accept in part	See body of the report	No
143.8 ²³⁹	Oranga Tamariki	MRZ-R8 (now MRZ-R9) – Supported residential care activity	<p>Amend the rule as follows:</p> <p>The maximum occupancy does not exceed six residents (<u>excluding staff</u>).</p>	3.12 See Right of Reply of Michael Rachlin	Reject Accept in part	See body of the report See Right of Reply of Michael Rachlin	No Yes
135.9 ²⁴⁰	Ara Poutama Aotearoa the Department of Corrections	MRZ-R8 (now MRZ-R9) – Supported residential care activity	Retain “supported residential care activities” as a Permitted Activity.	3.12	Accept in part	See body of the report	No
155.76	Design Network Architecture Limited	MRZ-R9 (now R10) – home business	[Not specified, refer to original submission]	n/a	Accept	Agree with submitter	No

²³⁹ Support in part - Kāinga Ora [FS65.328]

²⁴⁰ Support - Kāinga Ora [FS65.329]

Sub. Ref.	Submitter / Further Submitter	Provision	Decision Requested	Section of this Report where Addressed	Officer's Recommendation	Officers' Reasons/Comments	Recommended Amendments to PDP?
82.245	Waka Kotahi	MRZ-R9 (now R10) – home business	Retain as notified.	n/a	Accept	Agree with submitter	No
81.611	Kāinga Ora	MRZ-R9 (now R10) – home business	Amend: 1. Activity status: Permitted Where: a. No more than 40m² of total gross floor area of all buildings on site is used for the home business; b. All materials and goods sold, stored, repaired or manufactured in association with the home business must be within buildings on the site or screened from view at ground level; c. The home business does not involve the repair, alteration, restoration or maintenance of motor vehicles; and d. No more than one <u>two</u> full-time employee or equivalent engaged in the home business resides off-site. 2. Activity status: Discretionary Where: a. Compliance is not achieved with MRZ-R9-1.a, MRZ-R9-1.b, MRZ-R9-1.c, or MRZ-R9-1.d.	3.12	Reject	See body of the report	No
81.612	Kāinga Ora	MRZ-R10 (now R11) – educational facility	Retain as notified.	3.12	Accept in part	See body of the report	No
155.77	Design Network Architecture Limited	MRZ-R10 (now R11) – educational facility	[Not specified, refer to original submission]	3.12	Accept in part	See body of the report	No
134.23	Ministry of Education	MRZ-R10 (now R11) – educational facility	Retain as proposed.	3.12	Accept in part	See body of the report	No
155.78	Design Network Architecture Limited	MRZ-R11 (now R12) – visitor accommodation	[Not specified, refer to original submission]	3.12	Accept in part	See body of the report	No
81.613	Kāinga Ora	MRZ-R11 (now R12) – visitor accommodation	Retain as notified.	3.12	Accept in part	See body of the report	No
155.80	Design Network	MRZ-R13 (now R14) – show home	[Not specified, refer to original submission]	n/a	Accept	Agree with submitter	No

Sub. Ref.	Submitter / Further Submitter	Provision	Decision Requested	Section of this Report where Addressed	Officer's Recommendation	Officers' Reasons/Comments	Recommended Amendments to PDP?
	Architecture Limited						
81.615	Kāinga Ora	MRZ-R13 (now R14) – show home	<p>Amend:</p> <p>1. Activity status: Permitted</p> <p>Where:</p> <p>a. The use of the residential building and land as a show home ceases within 24<u>36</u> months from the time of first use as a show home;</p> <p>b. The hours of operation are between:</p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;">i. 7.00am and 9.00pm Monday to Friday;</p> <p>and</p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;">ii. 8.00am and 7.00pm Saturday, Sunday and public holidays.</p> <p>2. Activity status: Discretionary</p> <p>Where:</p> <p>1. Compliance is not achieved with MRZ-R13-1.a, or MRZ-R13-1.b.</p>	3.12	Reject	See body of the report	No
81.616	Kāinga Ora	MRZ-R14 (now R15) – community garden	Retain as notified.	n/a	Accept	Agree with submitter	No
155.81	Design Network Architecture Limited	MRZ-R14 (now R15) – community garden	[Not specified, refer to original submission]	n/a	Accept	Agree with submitter	No
81.617	Kāinga Ora	MRZ-R15 (multi-unit housing)	<p>Delete:</p> <p>1. Activity status: Restricted discretionary</p> <p>Matters of discretion are restricted to:</p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;">1. The matters in MRZ P5</p> <p>Notification:</p> <p>An application under this rule is precluded from being publicly or limited notified in accordance with sections 95A and 95B of the RMA.</p>	n/a	Accept	Agree with submitter	No

Sub. Ref.	Submitter / Further Submitter	Provision	Decision Requested	Section of this Report where Addressed	Officer's Recommendation	Officers' Reasons/Comments	Recommended Amendments to PDP?
82.246 ²⁴¹	Waka Kotahi	MRZ-R15 (multi-unit housing)	Adopt submission on MRZ-P5. [Refer to original submission for full decision requested, including attachments]	3.12	Reject	See body of the report	No
155.82	Design Network Architecture Limited	MRZ-R15 (multi-unit housing)	[Not specified, refer to original submission]	3.12	Reject	See body of the report	No
81.618	Kāinga Ora	MRZ-R16 (now R19) – emergency facility	Retain as notified.	3.12	Accept in part	See body of the report	No
155.83	Design Network Architecture Limited	MRZ-R16 (now R19) – emergency facility	[Not specified, refer to original submission]	3.12	Accept in part	See body of the report	No
119.57	FENZ	MRZ-R16 (now R19) – emergency facility	Retain as proposed.	3.12	Accept in part	See body of the report	No
155.84	Design Network Architecture Limited	MRZ-R17 (now R20) – community facility	[Not specified, refer to original submission]	3.12	Accept in part	See body of the report	No
81.619	Kāinga Ora	MRZ-R17 (now R20) – community facility	Retain as notified.	3.12	Accept in part	See body of the report	No
81.620	Kāinga Ora	MRZ-R18 (now R21) – healthcare activity	Retain as notified	3.12	Accept in part	See body of the report	No
155.85	Design Network Architecture Limited	MRZ-R18 (now R21) – healthcare activity	[Not specified, refer to original submission]	3.12	Accept in part	See body of the report	No
155.86	Design Network Architecture Limited	MRZ-R19 (now R22) – retirement village	[Not specified, refer to original submission]	3.12	Accept in part	See body of the report	No
81.621	Kāinga Ora	MRZ-R19 (now R22) – retirement village	Retain as notified.	3.12	Accept in part	See body of the report	No
81.622	Kāinga	MRZ-R20 (now R23) – commercial activity	Retain as notified.	n/a	Accept	Agree with submitter	No
155.87	Design Network Architecture Limited	MRZ-R20 (now R23) – commercial activity	[Not specified, refer to original submission]	n/a	Accept	Agree with submitter	No
155.88	Design Network	MRZ-R21 (now R24) – catch-all rule	[Not specified, refer to original submission]	n/a	Accept	Agree with submitter	No

²⁴¹ Oppose - Kāinga Ora [FS65.330]

Sub. Ref.	Submitter / Further Submitter	Provision	Decision Requested	Section of this Report where Addressed	Officer's Recommendation	Officers' Reasons/Comments	Recommended Amendments to PDP?
	Architecture Limited						
81.623	Kāinga Ora	MRZ-R21 (now R24) – catch-all rule	Retain as notified	n/a	Accept	Agree with submitter	No
81.624	Kāinga Ora	MRZ-R22 (now R25) – industrial activity	Retain as proposed.	n/a	Accept	Agree with submitter	No
155.89	Design Network Architecture Limited	MRZ-R22 (now R25) – industrial activity	[Not specified, refer to original submission]	n/a	Accept	Agree with submitter	No
155.90	Design Network Architecture Limited	MRZ-R23 (now R26) – rural industry	[Not specified, refer to original submission]	n/a	Accept	Agree with submitter	No
81.625	Kāinga Ora	MRZ-R23 (now R26) – rural industry	Retain as notified.	n/a	Accept	Agree with submitter	No
81.626	Kāinga Ora	MRZ-R24 (now R27) – hospital	Retain as notified.	n/a	Accept	Agree with submitter	No
155.91	Design Network Architecture Limited	MRZ-R24 (now R27) – hospital	[Not specified, refer to original submission]	n/a	Accept	Agree with submitter	No
155.92	Design Network Architecture Limited	MRZ-R25 (now R28) – primary production	[Not specified, refer to original submission]	n/a	Accept	Agree with submitter	No
81.627	Kāinga Ora	MRZ-R25 (now R28) – primary production	Retain as notified.	n/a	Accept	Agree with submitter	No
MRZ-Medium Density Residential Zone – Standards							
81.628 ²⁴²	Kāinga Ora	MRZ-S1 (height) – now MRZ-S2	Amend: 1. All buildings and structures must not exceed a maximum height above ground level of: a. 11m; or b. 15m 16m in the Eastern Porirua Residential Intensification Precinct. Except that:	3.12	Accept in part	See body of the report	No

²⁴² Russell Morrison [FS22.18]

Sub. Ref.	Submitter / Further Submitter	Provision	Decision Requested	Section of this Report where Addressed	Officer's Recommendation	Officers' Reasons/Comments	Recommended Amendments to PDP?
			<p>a. An additional 1m can be added to the maximum height of any building with a roof pitch of between 15° and 45°, which rises to a ridge that is centred or within the middle third of the building footprint, as illustrated in MRZ-Figure 1 below.</p> <p>This standard does not apply to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Solar water heating components provided these do not exceed the height by more than 500mm; • Chimney structures not exceeding 1.1m in width on any elevation and provided these do not exceed the height by more than 1m; • Antennas, aerials, and flues provided these do not exceed the height by more than 1m; or • Satellite dishes (less than 1m in diameter) and architectural features (e.g. finials, spires) provided these do not exceed the height by more than 1m. • Lift overruns provided these do not exceed the height by more than 1m. <p>Matters of discretion are restricted to:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The location, design and appearance of the building or structure; 2. Any adverse effects on the streetscape <u>taking into account the context, topography of the site and its surrounds and planned urban form</u>; 3. Visual dominance, shading and loss of privacy for adjacent residential sites; 4. Compatibility with the anticipated scale, proportion and context of buildings and activities in the surrounding area; 5. Retention of established landscaping; 6. Whether an increase in building or structure height results from a response to natural hazard mitigation; and 7. Whether topographical or other site constraints make compliance with the standard impractical. 				

Sub. Ref.	Submitter / Further Submitter	Provision	Decision Requested	Section of this Report where Addressed	Officer's Recommendation	Officers' Reasons/Comments	Recommended Amendments to PDP?
69.5 ²⁴³	Paremata Business Park Ltd	MRZ-S1 (height) – now MRZ-S2	Adopt any other such relief, including additions, deletions, or consequential amendments necessary as a result of the matters raised in this submission, as necessary to give effect to this submission that will enable the objectives and policies of the NPS-UD.	3.12	Accept in part	See body of the report	No
68.6 ²⁴⁴	Carrus Corporation Ltd	MRZ-S1 (height) – now MRZ-S2	Any method that will enable the objectives and policies of the NPS-UD.	3.12	Accept in part	See body of the report	No
81.947 ²⁴⁵	Kāinga Ora	MRZ-S1 (height) – now MRZ-S2	Increase height limit in the EPRIP to 16m.	3.12	Reject	See body of the report	No
119.58	FENZ	MRZ-S1 (height) – now MRZ-S2	<p>Amend standard as follows:</p> <p>...</p> <p>This standard does not apply to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Solar water heating components provided these do not exceed the height by more than 500mm; Chimney structures not exceeding 1.1m in width on any elevation and provided these do not exceed the height by more than 1m; Antennas, aerials, and flues provided these do not exceed the height by more than 1m; Satellite dishes (less than 1m in diameter) and architectural features (e.g. finials, spires) provided these do not exceed the height by more than 1m; or Lift overruns provided these do not exceed the height by more than 1m; <u>or</u> <p><u>Emergency service facilities and hose drying towers up to 15m associated with emergency service facilities.</u></p>	3.12	Accept in part	See body of the report	No
158.1	Steve Grant	MRZ-S1 (height) – now MRZ-S2	The maximum height above ground level (11 metres) not to be compromised (reduced) by any other requirement for a higher relative lower finished floor level for any future development due to any other identified natural hazard , i.e. flooding / ponding and/or coastal hazards.	3.12	Accept in part	See body of the report	No
159.1	Steve Grant	MRZ-S1 (height) – now MRZ-S2	Would not like any additional building conditions regarding the maximum height above ground level (11 metres) compromised (reduced) by any other Council requirement for a higher relative lower finished floor level for any future development due to any other identified natural hazard, ie, Coastal Hazards.	3.12	Accept in part	See body of the report	No

²⁴³ Support in part - Kāinga Ora [FS65.331]

²⁴⁴ Support - Kenepuru Limited Partnership [FS20.60]

²⁴⁵ Oppose – Russell Morrison [FS22.19]

Sub. Ref.	Submitter / Further Submitter	Provision	Decision Requested	Section of this Report where Addressed	Officer's Recommendation	Officers' Reasons/Comments	Recommended Amendments to PDP?
155.95 ²⁴⁶	Design Network Architecture Limited	MRZ-S1 (height) – now MRZ-S2	[Not specified, refer to original submission]	3.12	Accept in part	See body of the report	No
81.629	Kāinga Ora	MRZ-Figure 1	Retain Figure as notified	3.12	Reject	See body of the report	No
81.630	Kāinga Ora	MRZ-S2 height in relation to boundary – now MRZ-S3	<p>Amend:</p> <p>1. All buildings and structures must be contained beneath a line of:</p> <p>a. 55° measured into the site from any point 3m vertically above ground level along northern boundaries; and</p> <p>b. 45° measured into the site from any point 3m vertically above ground level along any other site boundaries; or</p> <p>c. Within the Eastern Porirua Residential Precinct only:</p> <p>i. 60° measured from a point 8m vertically above ground level along the first 20m of the side boundary as measured from the road frontage, and that part of any site boundary that adjoins the Open Space Zone or Sport and Active Recreation Zone; and</p> <p>ii. <u>55° measured into the site from any point 3m vertically above ground level along northern boundaries and 45° measured from a point 3m vertically above ground level along any other site boundary at:</u></p> <p>a. Any rear boundary except as identified in c.i. above;</p> <p>b. The side boundary further than 20m from the road frontage; and</p> <p>c. Any common boundary where the lot adjoins the Medium Density Residential Zone.</p> <p>See MRZ-Figure 2 below for defining the northern boundary.</p> <p>See MRZ-Figure 3 below which demonstrate how the height in relation to boundary is to be measured.</p>	3.12	Accept in part	See body of the report	No

²⁴⁶ Oppose in part - Kāinga Ora [FS65.333]

Sub. Ref.	Submitter / Further Submitter	Provision	Decision Requested	Section of this Report where Addressed	Officer's Recommendation	Officers' Reasons/Comments	Recommended Amendments to PDP?
			<p>See MRZ-Figure 4 below for the alternative height in relation to boundary standard in the Eastern Porirua Residential Intensification Precinct.</p> <p>Except that:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Where adjacent to a shared access in excess of 2.5m in width, the measurement shall be taken from the furthest side. • For multi-unit housing residential units and retirement villages, the height in relation to boundary standard only applies at the external boundary of the site. • For two or more residential units connected horizontally and/or vertically by a common wall or common floor, the height in relation to boundary standard only applies at the external boundary of the site. The height in relation to boundary standard requirement does not apply: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ On any horizontal or vertical boundary between connected residential units; and ○ Any offset between the residential units that project not more than 2m beyond the common wall or common floor. <p>This standard does not apply to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • A boundary with a road; • <u>Buildings that share a common wall along the boundary;</u> • Solar water heating components provided these do not exceed the height in relation to boundary by more than 500mm; • Chimney structures not exceeding 1.1m in width on any elevation and provided these do not exceed the height in relation to boundary by more than 1m; • Antennas, aerials, satellite dishes (less than 1m in diameter), flues, and architectural features (e.g. finials, spires) provided these do not exceed the height in relation to boundary by more than 3m measured vertically; • Boundaries adjoining the City Centre Zone, Local Centre Zone, Hospital Zone, Neighbourhood Centre Zone, Mixed Use Zone, Large Format Retail Zone, General Industrial Zone and General Rural Zone; and 				

Sub. Ref.	Submitter / Further Submitter	Provision	Decision Requested	Section of this Report where Addressed	Officer's Recommendation	Officers' Reasons/Comments	Recommended Amendments to PDP?
			<ul style="list-style-type: none"> A gable end, dormer or roof where that portion beyond the height in relation to boundary is no greater than 1.5m² in area and no greater than 1m in height. <p>Matters of discretion are restricted to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Visual dominance, shading and loss of privacy for adjacent residential sites; Whether topographical or other site constraints make compliance with the standard impractical; and <p>Whether an increase in height in relation to boundary results from a response to natural hazard mitigation.</p>				
69.8 ²⁴⁷	Paremata Business Park Ltd	MRZ-S2 height in relation to boundary – now MRZ-S3	Adopt any other such relief, including additions, deletions or consequential amendments necessary as a result of the matters raised in this submission, as necessary to give effect to this submission that will enable the objectives and policies of the NPS-UD.	3.12	Accept in part	See body of the report	No
68.7	Carrus Corporation Ltd ²⁴⁸	MRZ-S2 height in relation to boundary – now MRZ-S3	Adopt any such relief, including additions, deletions, or consequential amendments as a result of the matters raised in these submissions, as necessary to give effect to this submission that will enable the objectives and policies of the NPS-UD.	3.12	Accept in part	See body of the report	No
75.20	Draycott Property Holdings Ltd	MRZ-S2 height in relation to boundary – now MRZ-S3	Amend as follows: Where adjacent to an access the measurement shall be taken from the furthest side of the access.	n/a	Accept	Agree with submitter	No
75.9	Draycott Property Holdings Ltd	MRZ-S2 height in relation to boundary – now MRZ-S3	Amend MRZ-S2 to all the 8m and 60 degree HRP to be taken from all side and rear boundaries. Retain the exception for a common boundary with a MRZ.	3.12	Reject	See body of the report	No
107.22	Gavin Faulke	MRZ-S2 height in relation to boundary – now MRZ-S3	Amend MRZ-S2 - Height in relation to boundary, as follows: <i>"Where adjacent to a shared access in excess of 2.5m in width, the measurement shall be taken from the furthest side."</i>	n/a	Accept	Agree with submitter	No
97.22	Andrew and Leanne Parsons	MRZ-S2 height in relation to boundary – now MRZ-S3	Amend MRZ-S2 - Height in relation to boundary, as follows: <i>"Where adjacent to a shared access in excess of 2.5m in width, the measurement shall be taken from the furthest side."</i>	n/a	Accept	Agree with submitter	No
97.11	Andrew and Leanne Parsons	MRZ-S2 height in relation to boundary – now MRZ-S3	Amend MRZ-S2 to allow the 8m and 60 degree HRP to be taken from all side and rear boundaries. Retain the exception for a common boundary with a MRZ.	3.12	Reject	See body of the report	No

²⁴⁷ Support in part - Kāinga Ora [FS65.334]

²⁴⁸ Support in part - Kāinga Ora [FS65.335], Support - Kenepuru Limited Partnership [FS20.61]

Sub. Ref.	Submitter / Further Submitter	Provision	Decision Requested	Section of this Report where Addressed	Officer's Recommendation	Officers' Reasons/Comments	Recommended Amendments to PDP?				
155.96	Design Network Architecture Limited	MRZ-S2 height in relation to boundary – now MRZ-S3	Amend standard to: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Not apply to street boundaries; and Apply to the other side of accessways and rows adjacent to boundary.	n/a	Accept	Agree with submitter	No				
107.11	Gavin Faulke	MRZ-S2 height in relation to boundary – now MRZ-S3	Amend MRZ-S2 to all the 8m and 60 degree HRP to be taken from all side and rear boundaries. Retain the exception for a common boundary with a MRZ.	3.12	Reject	See body of the report	No				
59.4	Kenepuru Limited Partnership	MRZ-S2 height in relation to boundary – now MRZ-S3	Amend Point 1 to add the words "or private road" after "road"	3.12	Reject	See body of the report	No				
81.631	Kāinga Ora	MRZ-Figure 2	Retain Figure as notified	3.12	Reject	See body of the report	No				
81.632	Kāinga Ora	MRZ-Figure 3	Retain Figure as notified.	3.12	Reject	See body of the report	No				
81.633	Kāinga Ora	MRZ-Figure 4	Retain Figure as notified.	3.12	Reject	See body of the report	No				
155.97	Design Network Architecture Limited	MRZ-S3 (building coverage)	Prefers 50%.	3.12	Accept in part	See body of the report	No				
107.10	Gavin Faulke	MRZ-S3 (building coverage)	Amend MRZ-S3 to increase the permitted site coverage in the EPRIP to 50%	3.12	Reject	See body of the report	No				
97.10	Andrew and Leanne Parsons	MRZ-S3 (building coverage)	Amend MRZ-S3 to increase the permitted site coverage in the EPRIP to 50%	3.12	Reject	See body of the report	No				
68.8 ²⁴⁹	Carrus Corporation Ltd	MRZ-S3 (building coverage)	<p>Any method that will enable the objectives and policies of the NPS-UD</p> <p>2. Amend S3 as follows:</p> <table border="1"> <tr> <td>MRZ-S3</td> <td>Building coverage</td> </tr> <tr> <td> <p>1. The maximum building coverage must not exceed 45% of net site area.</p> <p>This standard does not apply to:</p> </td> <td> <p>Matters of discretion are restricted to:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> The visual dominance of the building on the street from scale of the new building; The visual dominance impact on adjacent residential sites; and Whether topographical or other site constraints make compliance with the standard impractical. </td> </tr> </table>	MRZ-S3	Building coverage	<p>1. The maximum building coverage must not exceed 45% of net site area.</p> <p>This standard does not apply to:</p>	<p>Matters of discretion are restricted to:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> The visual dominance of the building on the street from scale of the new building; The visual dominance impact on adjacent residential sites; and Whether topographical or other site constraints make compliance with the standard impractical. 	3.12	Accept in part	See body of the report	No
MRZ-S3	Building coverage										
<p>1. The maximum building coverage must not exceed 45% of net site area.</p> <p>This standard does not apply to:</p>	<p>Matters of discretion are restricted to:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> The visual dominance of the building on the street from scale of the new building; The visual dominance impact on adjacent residential sites; and Whether topographical or other site constraints make compliance with the standard impractical. 										

²⁴⁹ Support - Kenepuru Limited Partnership [FS20.6], BLAC Property [FS56.23]

Sub. Ref.	Submitter / Further Submitter	Provision	Decision Requested	Section of this Report where Addressed	Officer's Recommendation	Officers' Reasons/Comments	Recommended Amendments to PDP?
			<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Pergola structures that are not covered by a roof; • Uncovered decks no more than 300m1m in height above ground level; • Uncovered outdoor swimming pools; • Buildings and structures that are no more than 2m² in floor area and 2m in height above ground level; or • Eaves up to a maximum of 600mm in width and external gutters or downpipes (including their brackets) up to an additional width of 150mm. <p>or;</p> <p>Adopt any other such relief, including additions, deletions, or consequential amendments as a result of the matters raised in these submissions, as necessary to give effect to this submission.</p>				
69.9	Paremata Business Park Ltd	MRZ-S3 (building coverage)	<p>Amend:</p> <p>1. The maximum building coverage must not exceed 45% of net site area.</p> <p>This standard does not apply to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Pergola structures that are not covered by a roof; • Uncovered decks no more than 300m1m in height above ground level; 	3.12	Accept in part	See body of the report	No

Sub. Ref.	Submitter / Further Submitter	Provision	Decision Requested	Section of this Report where Addressed	Officer's Recommendation	Officers' Reasons/Comments	Recommended Amendments to PDP?
			<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Uncovered outdoor swimming pools; Buildings and structures that are no more than 2m² in floor area and 2m in height above ground level; or Eaves up to a maximum of 600mm in width and external gutters or downpipes (including their brackets) up to an additional width of 150mm. <p>Or adopt any other such relief, including additions, deletions or consequential amendments necessary as a result of the matters raised in this submission, as necessary to give effect to this submission.</p> <p>Any method that will enable the objectives and policies of the NPS-UD</p>				
75.8	Draycott Property Holdings Ltd	MRZ-S3 (building coverage)	Amend MRZ-S3 to increase the permitted site coverage in the EPRIP to 50%.	3.12	Accept in part	See body of the report	No
81.634	Kāinga Ora	MRZ-S3 (building coverage)	<p>Amend:</p> <p>1. The maximum building coverage must not exceed 4555% of net site area.</p> <p>This standard does not apply to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Pergola structures that are not covered by a roof; Uncovered decks no more than 300mm in height above ground level; Uncovered outdoor swimming pools; Buildings and structures that are no more than 2m² in floor area and 2m in height above ground level; or Eaves up to a maximum of 600mm in width and external gutters or downpipes (including their brackets) up to an additional width of 150mm. <p>Matters of discretion are restricted to:</p> <p>1. <u>Effect on the streetscape amenity of the area, taking into account the context, topography of the site and its surrounds and planned urban built form;</u> The visual dominance of the building on the street from the scale of the new building;</p> <p>2. <u>Effect on amenity values of nearby residential properties, especially privacy and outlook of adjoining</u></p>	3.12	Accept in part	See body of the report	No

Sub. Ref.	Submitter / Further Submitter	Provision	Decision Requested	Section of this Report where Addressed	Officer's Recommendation	Officers' Reasons/Comments	Recommended Amendments to PDP?
			<p>sites; The visual dominance impact on adjacent residential sites; and</p> <p>3. Whether topographical or other site constraints make compliance with the standard impractical.</p>				
59.5	Kenepuru Limited Partnership	MRZ-S3 (building coverage)	Amend 45% to 50% or remove requirement completely and allow other Standards to take care of this issue.	3.12	Accept in part	See body of the report	No
81.635	Kāinga Ora	MRZ-S4 (setback from road)	<p>Amend:</p> <p>1. Buildings and structures must not be located within a 2m setback from a boundary with a road.</p> <p>2. Garages and/or carports with a vehicle door or vehicle opening facing the road must not be located within a 5m setback from the boundary with the road.</p> <p>This standard does not apply to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Fences and standalone walls — see MRZ-R4; Buildings and structures that are no more than 2m² in floor area and 2m in height above ground level; or Eaves up to a maximum of 600mm in width and external gutters or downpipes (including their brackets) up to an additional width of 150mm. <p>Matters of discretion are restricted to:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <u>Effect on the streetscape amenity of the area, taking into account the context, topography of the site and its surrounds and planned urban form; The streetscape and amenity of the area;</u> The design and siting of buildings or structures; Screening, planting and landscaping of the building or structure; — Pedestrian and cyclist safety (see policy TR-P3); and <p>5. Whether topographical or other site constraints make compliance with the standard impractical.</p>	3.12	Accept in part	See body of the report	No

Sub. Ref.	Submitter / Further Submitter	Provision	Decision Requested	Section of this Report where Addressed	Officer's Recommendation	Officers' Reasons/Comments	Recommended Amendments to PDP?
68.9 ²⁵⁰	Carrus Corporation Ltd	MRZ-S4 (setback from road)	<p>Amend:</p> <p>1. Buildings and structures must not be located within a 1m setback from any site boundary (other than a boundary with a road).</p> <p>Except that:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> For multi-unit housing residential units and retirement villages, the setback standard only applies at the external boundary of the site. For two or more residential units connected horizontally and/or vertically by a common wall or common floor, the setback standard only applies at the external boundary of the site. The setback standard requirement does not apply: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> On any horizontal or vertical boundary between connected residential units, and Any offset between the residential units that project not more than 2m beyond the common wall or common floor. <p>This standard does not apply to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Buildings and structures that are no more than 2m² in floor area and 2m in height above ground level; Fences and standalone walls — see MRZ-R4; Any part of a building or structure that is 7m or less in length, where this exemption only occurs once per site; 	3.12	Accept in part	See body of the report	No

²⁵⁰ Support - Kenepuru Limited Partnership [FS20.62]

Sub. Ref.	Submitter / Further Submitter	Provision	Decision Requested	Section of this Report where Addressed	Officer's Recommendation	Officers' Reasons/Comments	Recommended Amendments to PDP?
			<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Uncovered decks no more than 300m 1m in height above ground level; or Eaves up to a maximum of 600mm in width and external gutters or downpipes (including their brackets) up to an additional width of 150mm. 				
			<p>or;</p> <p>Adopt any other such relief, including additions, deletions, or consequential amendments as a result of the matters raised in these submissions, as necessary to give effect to this submission.</p>				
155.98	Design Network Architecture Limited	MRZ-S4 (setback from road)	[Not specified, refer to original submission]	3.12	Accept in part	See body of the report	No
155.93	Design Network Architecture Limited	MRZ-S5 (set from other boundaries)	[Not specified, refer to original submission]	3.12	Accept in part	See body of the report	No
69.10	Paremata Business Park Ltd	MRZ-S5 (set from other boundaries)	<p>Amend:</p> <p>1. Buildings and structures must not be located within a 1m setback from any site boundary (other than a boundary with a road).</p> <p>Except that:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> For multi-unit housing residential units and retirement villages, the setback standard only applies at the external boundary of the site. For two or more residential units connected horizontally and/or vertically by a common wall or common floor, the setback standard only applies at the external boundary of the site. The setback standard requirement does not apply: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> On any horizontal or vertical boundary between connected residential units, and Any offset between the residential units that project not more than 2m beyond the common wall or common floor. 	3.12	Accept in part	See body of the report	No

Sub. Ref.	Submitter / Further Submitter	Provision	Decision Requested	Section of this Report where Addressed	Officer's Recommendation	Officers' Reasons/Comments	Recommended Amendments to PDP?
			<p>This standard does not apply to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Buildings and structures that are no more than 2m² in floor area and 2m in height above ground level; • Fences and standalone walls — see MRZ-R4; • Any part of a building or structure that is 7m or less in length, where this exemption only occurs once per site; • Uncovered decks no more than 300mm in height above ground level; or • Eaves up to a maximum of 600mm in width and external gutters or downpipes (including their brackets) up to an additional width of 150mm. <p>Or adopt any other such relief, including additions, deletions, or consequential amendments necessary as a result of the matters raised in this submission, as necessary to give effect to this submission.</p>				
81.636	Kāinga Ora	MRZ-S5 (set from other boundaries)	<p>Amend:</p> <p>1. Buildings and structures must not be located within a 1m setback from any site boundary (other than a boundary with a road).</p> <p>Except that:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • For multi-unit housing residential units and retirement villages, the setback standard only applies at the external boundary of the site. • For two or more residential units connected horizontally and/or vertically by a common wall or common floor, the setback standard only applies at the external boundary of the site. The setback standard requirement does not apply: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ On any horizontal or vertical boundary between connected residential units, and ○ Any offset between the residential units that project not more than 2m beyond the common wall or common floor. <p>This standard does not apply to:</p>	3.12	Accept in part	See body of the report	No

Sub. Ref.	Submitter / Further Submitter	Provision	Decision Requested	Section of this Report where Addressed	Officer's Recommendation	Officers' Reasons/Comments	Recommended Amendments to PDP?
			<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Buildings and structures that are no more than 2m² in floor area and 2m in height above ground level; • Fences and standalone walls — see MRZ-R4; • <u>Buildings that share a common wall along the boundary;</u> • Any part of a building or structure that is 7m or less in length, where this exemption only occurs once per site; • Uncovered decks no more than 300mm in height above ground level; or • Eaves up to a maximum of 600mm in width and external gutters or downpipes (including their brackets) up to an additional width of 150mm. <p>Matters of discretion are restricted to:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Dominance on, and privacy of, adjacent residential sites; and 2. Whether topographical or other site constraints make compliance with the standard impractical. 				
81.637	Kāinga Ora	MRZ-S6 (landscaped area)	<p>Amend:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The front setback required under MRZ-S4 must consist of a minimum of: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 40% landscaped area excluding a driveway or other means of access to the building; or • 20% landscaped area excluding a driveway or other means of access to the building within the Eastern Porirua Residential Intensification Precinct. <p>Except that:</p> <p>On a site with two or more boundaries with a road, the landscaped area is only required to one boundary with a road.</p> <p>Matters of discretion are restricted to:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. <u>Effect on the streetscape amenity of the area, taking into account the context, topography of the site and its surrounds and planned urban built form;</u> The streetscape and amenity of the area; and 2. Whether topographical or other site constraints make compliance with the standard impractical. 	3.12	Accept in part	See body of the report	No

Sub. Ref.	Submitter / Further Submitter	Provision	Decision Requested	Section of this Report where Addressed	Officer's Recommendation	Officers' Reasons/Comments	Recommended Amendments to PDP?
155.94	Design Network Architecture Limited	MRZ-S6 (landscaped area)	[Not specified, refer to original submission]	3.12	Accept in part	See body of the report	No
155.99	Design Network Architecture Limited	MRZ-S7 (outdoor living space, excluding multi-unit housing)	[Not specified, refer to original submission]	3.12	Accept in part	See body of the report	No
81.638 ²⁵¹	Kāinga Ora	MRZ-S7 (outdoor living space, excluding multi-unit housing)	<p>Amend:</p> <p><u>MRZ-S7 Outdoor living space</u></p> <p>1. A minimum area of outdoor living space must be provided as follows:</p> <p>a. Per residential unit located at ground floor:</p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;">i. 30m² at ground level; or</p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;">ii. 20m² at ground level in the Eastern Porirua Residential Intensification Precinct; and</p> <p>b. Per minor residential unit located at ground floor: 15m² at ground level; and</p> <p>c. Per minor residential unit located above ground floor: Balcony at least <u>6m²</u> 8m² and a minimum dimension of 1.8m.</p> <p>d. Per residential unit located above ground floor: Balcony at least <u>6m²</u> 8m² and a minimum dimension of 1.8m.</p> <p><u>Except that:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <u>The outdoor living space can be provided as private space and shared space provided that:</u> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ <u>Each residential unit at ground level is provided with a minimum private space of 16m²; and</u> ○ <u>The shared space has minimum area of 30m².</u> • <u>A minor residential unit that has direct access to a minimum 30m² of outdoor living space provided for the primary residential unit, is not required to provide a separate outdoor living space.</u> 	3.12	Accept in part	See body of the report	No

²⁵¹ Support - Kenepuru Limited Partnership [FS20.61], Carrus Corporation Limited [FS62.25], Paremata Business Park [FS64.15]

Sub. Ref.	Submitter / Further Submitter	Provision	Decision Requested	Section of this Report where Addressed	Officer's Recommendation	Officers' Reasons/Comments	Recommended Amendments to PDP?
			<p>2. The outdoor living space must:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a. Have a minimum 4m diameter circle with a maximum gradient of less than 1:20, where located on ground level; b. Be directly accessible to a habitable room <u>or kitchen</u>, where provided as private outdoor living space; c. Be free of buildings, parking spaces and manoeuvring areas; d. Be orientated to the north, west and/east side of the residential unit, as shown in the diagram below; except that: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> i. Up to 30% of the outdoor living area may be orientated to the south of the residential unit. <p>Except that:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • A minor residential unit that has direct access to a minimum 30m² of outdoor living space provided for the primary residential unit, is not required to provide a separate outdoor living space. <p>See MRZ-Figure 5 below which shows the required orientation for outdoor living space.</p> <p>This standard does not apply to non-residential buildings, <u>retirement villages</u>, or papakāinga.</p> <p>Matters of discretion are restricted to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Whether adequate useable space is provided to accommodate outdoor activities; 2. Proximity of the residential unit to accessible public open space; 3. The accessibility and convenience of the outdoor living space for occupiers; 4. Whether adequate sunlight is provided to the outdoor living space throughout the year; 				

Sub. Ref.	Submitter / Further Submitter	Provision	Decision Requested	Section of this Report where Addressed	Officer's Recommendation	Officers' Reasons/Comments	Recommended Amendments to PDP?
			<p>5. Whether the balance of open space and buildings maintains the openness on the site <u>considering the context, topography of the site and its surrounds and planned urban built form</u>; and</p> <p>6. Whether topographical or other site constraints make compliance with the standard impractical.</p> <p><u>Note:1. Acceptable means of compliance and best practice urban design guidance is contained within Porirua City Council's Residential Design Guidelines.</u></p>				
69.11	Paremata Business Park Ltd	MRZ-S7 (outdoor living space, excluding multi-unit housing)	<p>Amend:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. A minimum area of outdoor living space must be provided as follows: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Per residential unit located at ground floor: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> i. 30m² at ground level; or ii. 20m² at ground level in the Eastern Porirua Residential Intensification Precinct; and 2. Per minor residential unit located at ground floor: 15m² at ground level; and 3. Per All minor residential units located above ground floor: Balcony at least 8m² and a minimum dimension of 1.8m. <u>must be provided with a space that is multifunctional and can be used as an outdoor and indoor living space in the form of a balcony, juliet balcony, deck roof terrace, or sunroom that has a minimum area of 8m² and has a minimum dimension of 1.8m;</u> 4. Per All residential units located above ground floor <u>must be provided with a space that is multifunctional and can be used as an outdoor and indoor living space in the form of a balcony, deck, roof terrace, or sunroom that has a minimum area of 8m² and as a minimum dimension of 1.8m;</u> <p>(...)</p> <p>Or adopt any other such relief, including additions, deletions, or consequential amendments necessary as a result of the matters raised in this submission, as necessary to give effect to this submission.</p>	3.12	Accept in part	See body of the report	No

Sub. Ref.	Submitter / Further Submitter	Provision	Decision Requested	Section of this Report where Addressed	Officer's Recommendation	Officers' Reasons/Comments	Recommended Amendments to PDP?		
68.10 ²⁵²	Carrus Corporation Ltd	MRZ-S7 (outdoor living space, excluding multi-unit housing)	<p>Amend:</p> <table border="1"> <tr> <td> <p>1. A minimum area of outdoor living space must be provided as follows:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Per residential unit located at ground floor: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. 30m² at ground level; or 2. 20m² at ground level in the Eastern Porirua Residential Intensification Precinct; and 2. Per minor residential unit located at ground floor: 15m² at ground level; and 3. Per All minor residential units located above ground floor: Balcony at least 8m² and a minimum dimension of 1.8m. <u>must be provided with a space that is multifunctional and can be used as an outdoor and indoor living space in the form of a balcony, juliet balcony, deck roof terrace, or sunroom that has a minimum area of 8m² and has a minimum dimension of 1.8m;</u> 4. Per All residential units located above ground floor <u>must be provided with a space that is multifunctional and can be used as an outdoor and indoor living space in the form of a balcony, deck, roof terrace, or sunroom that has a minimum area of 8m² and as a minimum dimension of 1.8m;</u> <p>2. The outdoor living space must:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Have a minimum 4m diameter circle with a maximum gradient of less than 1:20, where located on ground level; </td> <td> <p>Matters of discretion restricted to:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Whether adequate useable space provided to accommodate outdoor activities; 2. Proximity of the residential unit to accessible public open space; 3. The accessibility and convenience of the outdoor living space for occupiers; 4. Whether adequate sunlight is provided to the outdoor living space throughout the year; 5. Whether the balance of open space and buildings maintains the openness on site; and 6. Whether topographical or other site constraints meet compliance w </td> </tr> </table>	<p>1. A minimum area of outdoor living space must be provided as follows:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Per residential unit located at ground floor: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. 30m² at ground level; or 2. 20m² at ground level in the Eastern Porirua Residential Intensification Precinct; and 2. Per minor residential unit located at ground floor: 15m² at ground level; and 3. Per All minor residential units located above ground floor: Balcony at least 8m² and a minimum dimension of 1.8m. <u>must be provided with a space that is multifunctional and can be used as an outdoor and indoor living space in the form of a balcony, juliet balcony, deck roof terrace, or sunroom that has a minimum area of 8m² and has a minimum dimension of 1.8m;</u> 4. Per All residential units located above ground floor <u>must be provided with a space that is multifunctional and can be used as an outdoor and indoor living space in the form of a balcony, deck, roof terrace, or sunroom that has a minimum area of 8m² and as a minimum dimension of 1.8m;</u> <p>2. The outdoor living space must:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Have a minimum 4m diameter circle with a maximum gradient of less than 1:20, where located on ground level; 	<p>Matters of discretion restricted to:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Whether adequate useable space provided to accommodate outdoor activities; 2. Proximity of the residential unit to accessible public open space; 3. The accessibility and convenience of the outdoor living space for occupiers; 4. Whether adequate sunlight is provided to the outdoor living space throughout the year; 5. Whether the balance of open space and buildings maintains the openness on site; and 6. Whether topographical or other site constraints meet compliance w 	3.12	Accept in part	See body of the report	No
<p>1. A minimum area of outdoor living space must be provided as follows:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Per residential unit located at ground floor: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. 30m² at ground level; or 2. 20m² at ground level in the Eastern Porirua Residential Intensification Precinct; and 2. Per minor residential unit located at ground floor: 15m² at ground level; and 3. Per All minor residential units located above ground floor: Balcony at least 8m² and a minimum dimension of 1.8m. <u>must be provided with a space that is multifunctional and can be used as an outdoor and indoor living space in the form of a balcony, juliet balcony, deck roof terrace, or sunroom that has a minimum area of 8m² and has a minimum dimension of 1.8m;</u> 4. Per All residential units located above ground floor <u>must be provided with a space that is multifunctional and can be used as an outdoor and indoor living space in the form of a balcony, deck, roof terrace, or sunroom that has a minimum area of 8m² and as a minimum dimension of 1.8m;</u> <p>2. The outdoor living space must:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Have a minimum 4m diameter circle with a maximum gradient of less than 1:20, where located on ground level; 	<p>Matters of discretion restricted to:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Whether adequate useable space provided to accommodate outdoor activities; 2. Proximity of the residential unit to accessible public open space; 3. The accessibility and convenience of the outdoor living space for occupiers; 4. Whether adequate sunlight is provided to the outdoor living space throughout the year; 5. Whether the balance of open space and buildings maintains the openness on site; and 6. Whether topographical or other site constraints meet compliance w 								

²⁵² Support - Kenepuru Limited Partnership [FS20.63]

Sub. Ref.	Submitter / Further Submitter	Provision	Decision Requested	Section of this Report where Addressed	Officer's Recommendation	Officers' Reasons/Comments	Recommended Amendments to PDP?		
			<p>2. Be directly accessible to a habitable room, where provided as private outdoor living space;</p> <p>3. Be free of buildings, parking spaces and manoeuvring areas;</p> <p>4. Be orientated to the north, west and/east side of the residential unit, as shown in the diagram below; except that:</p> <p>1. Up to 30% of the outdoor living area may be orientated to the south of the residential unit.</p> <p>Except that:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> A minor residential unit that has direct access to a minimum 30m² of outdoor living space provided for the primary residential unit, is not required to provide a separate outdoor living space. <p>See MRZ-Figure 5 below which shows the required orientation for outdoor living space.</p> <p>This standard does not apply to non-residential buildings or papakainga.</p> <p>or;</p> <p>Adopt any other such relief, including additions, deletions, or consequential amendments as a result of the matters raised in these submissions, as necessary to give effect to this submission.</p>	the standard impractical.					
155.100	Design Network Architecture Limited	MRZ-S8 (outdoor living space – multi-unit housing)	Prefers 20m ² .	3.12	Accept in part	See body of the report	No		
68.11	Carrus Corporation Ltd	MRZ-S8 (outdoor living space – multi-unit housing)	<p>Amend:</p> <table border="1"> <tr> <td>1. A minimum area of outdoor living space must be provided as follows:</td> <td>Matters of discretion restricted to:</td> </tr> </table>	1. A minimum area of outdoor living space must be provided as follows:	Matters of discretion restricted to:	3.12	Accept in part	See body of the report	No
1. A minimum area of outdoor living space must be provided as follows:	Matters of discretion restricted to:								

Sub. Ref.	Submitter / Further Submitter	Provision	Decision Requested	Section of this Report where Addressed	Officer's Recommendation	Officers' Reasons/Comments	Recommended Amendments to PDP?
			<p>1. Per residential unit at ground floor level:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. 30m² at ground level; or 2. 20m² at ground level within the Eastern Porirua Residential Intensification Precinct; and <p>2. Per minor residential unit located at ground floor: 15m² at ground level; and</p> <p>3. Per All minor residential units located above ground floor: Balcony at least 8m² and a minimum dimension of 1.8m. <u>must be provided with a space that is multifunctional and can be used as an outdoor and indoor living space in the form of a balcony, juliet balcony, deck roof terrace, or sunroom that has a minimum area of 8m² and has a minimum dimension of 1.8m;</u></p> <p>4. Per All residential units located above ground floor <u>must be provided with a space that is multifunctional and can be used as an outdoor and indoor living space in the form of a balcony, deck, roof terrace, or sunroom that has a minimum area of 8m² and as a minimum dimension of 1.8m;</u></p> <p>Except that:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • For multi-unit housing, the outdoor living space can be provided as private space and shared space provided that: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Each residential unit at ground level is provided with a minimum private space of 16m²; and ○ The shared space has minimum area of 30m² <u>for 10 units and less, 60m² for 10-</u> 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Whether adequate useable space is provided to accommodate outdoor activities; 2. Proximity of the residential unit to accessible public open space; 3. The accessibility and convenience of the outdoor living space for occupiers; 4. Whether adequate sunlight is provided to the outdoor living space throughout the year; 5. Whether the balance of open space and buildings maintains the openness on the site; and 6. Whether topographical or other site constraints make compliance with the standard impractical. 			

Sub. Ref.	Submitter / Further Submitter	Provision	Decision Requested	Section of this Report where Addressed	Officer's Recommendation	Officers' Reasons/Comments	Recommended Amendments to PDP?
			<p style="text-align: center;"><u>20 units and 90m² for more than 20 units</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • A minor residential unit that has direct access to a minimum 30m² of outdoor living space provided for the primary residential unit, is not required to provide a separate outdoor living space. <p>2. The outdoor living space must:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Have a minimum 4m diameter circle with a maximum gradient of less than 1:20, where located on ground level; 2. Be directly accessible from a habitable room, where provided as private outdoor living space; 3. Be free of buildings, parking spaces and manoeuvring areas; and 4. Be orientated to the north, west and/east side of the residential unit, as shown in the diagram below; except that: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Up to 30% of the outdoor living space may be orientated to the south of the residential unit. <p>See MRZ-Figure 5 below which shows the required orientation for outdoor living space.</p> <p>This standard does not apply to non-residential buildings or papakainga.</p> <p>or;</p> <p>Adopt any other such relief, including additions, deletions, or consequential amendments as a result of the matters raised in these submissions, as necessary to give effect to this submission.</p>				
69.12	Paremata Business Park Ltd	MRZ-S8 (outdoor living space – multi-unit housing)	<p>Amend:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. A minimum area of outdoor living space must be provided as follows: 	3.12	Accept in part	See body of the report	No

Sub. Ref.	Submitter / Further Submitter	Provision	Decision Requested	Section of this Report where Addressed	Officer's Recommendation	Officers' Reasons/Comments	Recommended Amendments to PDP?
			<p>1. Per residential unit at ground floor level:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> i. 30m² at ground level; or ii. 20m² at ground level within the Eastern Porirua Residential Intensification Precinct; and <p>2. Per minor residential unit located at ground floor: 15m² at ground level; and</p> <p>3. Per All minor residential units located above ground floor: Balcony at least 8m² and a minimum dimension of 1.8m. <u>must be provided with a space that is multifunctional and can be used as an outdoor and indoor living space in the form of a balcony, juliet balcony, deck roof terrace, or sunroom that has a minimum area of 8m² and has a minimum dimension of 1.8m;</u></p> <p>4. Per All residential units located above ground floor <u>must be provided with a space that is multifunctional and can be used as an outdoor and indoor living space in the form of a balcony, deck, roof terrace, or sunroom that has a minimum area of 8m² and as a minimum dimension of 1.8m;</u></p> <p>Except that:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • For multi-unit housing, the outdoor living space can be provided as private space and shared space provided that: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Each residential unit at ground level is provided with a minimum private space of 16m²; and ○ <u>The shared space has minimum area of 30m² for 10 units and less, 60m² for 10-20 units and 90m² for more than 20 units</u> • A minor residential unit that has direct access to a minimum 30m² of outdoor living space provided for the primary residential unit, is not required to provide a separate outdoor living space. <p>(.....)</p> <p>Or adopt any other such relief, including additions, deletions, or consequential amendments necessary as a result of the matters raised in this submission, as necessary to give effect to this submission.</p>				

Sub. Ref.	Submitter / Further Submitter	Provision	Decision Requested	Section of this Report where Addressed	Officer's Recommendation	Officers' Reasons/Comments	Recommended Amendments to PDP?
81.639	Kāinga Ora	MRZ-S8 (outdoor living space – multi-unit housing)	<p>Delete:</p> <p>1. A minimum area of outdoor living space must be provided as follows:</p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;">a. — Per residential unit at ground floor level:</p> <p style="padding-left: 80px;">i. — 30m² at ground level; or</p> <p style="padding-left: 80px;">ii. — 20m² at ground level within the Eastern Porirua Residential Intensification Precinct; and</p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;">b. — Per minor residential unit located at ground floor: 15m² at ground level; and</p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;">c. — Per minor residential unit located above ground floor: Balcony at least 8m² and a minimum dimension of 1.8m.</p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;">d. — Per residential unit located above ground floor: Balcony at least 8m² and a minimum dimension of 1.8m.</p> <p>Except that:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • For multi-unit housing, the outdoor living space can be provided as private space and shared space provided that: • Each residential unit at ground level is provided with a minimum private space of 16m²; and • The shared space has minimum area of 30m². • A minor residential unit that has direct access to a minimum 30m² of outdoor living space provided for the primary residential unit, is not required to provide a separate outdoor living space. <p>2. The outdoor living space must:</p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;">a. — Have a minimum 4m diameter circle with a maximum gradient of less than 1:20, where located on ground level;</p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;">b. — Be directly accessible from a habitable room where provided as private outdoor living space;</p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;">c. — Be free of buildings, parking spaces and manoeuvring areas; and</p>	n/a	Accept	Agree with submitter	No

Sub. Ref.	Submitter / Further Submitter	Provision	Decision Requested	Section of this Report where Addressed	Officer's Recommendation	Officers' Reasons/Comments	Recommended Amendments to PDP?
			<p>d. — Be orientated to the north, west and/east side of the residential unit, as shown in the diagram below; except that:</p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;">i. — Up to 30% of the outdoor living space may be orientated to the south of the residential unit.</p> <p>See MRZ Figure 5 below which shows the required orientation for outdoor living space.</p> <p>This standard does not apply to non-residential buildings or papakāinga.</p> <p>Matters of discretion are restricted to:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. — Whether adequate useable space is provided to accommodate outdoor activities; 2. — Proximity of the residential unit to accessible public open space; 3. — The accessibility and convenience of the outdoor living space for occupiers; 4. — Whether adequate sunlight is provided to the outdoor living space throughout the year; 5. — Whether the balance of open space and buildings maintains the openness on the site; and 6. — Whether topographical or other site constraints make compliance with the standard impractical. 				
81.640	Kāinga Ora	MRZ-Figure 5	Amend Figure 5 to refer to "outdoor living space" instead of "outdoor living area"	3.12	Reject	See body of the report	No
155.101	Design Network Architecture Limited	MRZ-S9 (now S10) - rainwater tanks	Delete standard.	3.12	Reject	See body of the report	No
81.641	Kāinga Ora	MRZ-S9 (now S10) - rainwater tanks	<p>Amend:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The volume of any individual rainwater tank must not exceed 5000 <u>7,500</u> litres per site. <p>Matters of discretion are restricted to:</p>	3.12	Reject	See body of the report	No

Sub. Ref.	Submitter / Further Submitter	Provision	Decision Requested	Section of this Report where Addressed	Officer's Recommendation	Officers' Reasons/Comments	Recommended Amendments to PDP?
			1. Visual dominance of adjacent residential sites.				
155.102	Design Network Architecture Limited	MRZ-S10 (now S11) - fences	Delete standard. Cover more options under Design Guide.	3.12	Reject	See body of the report	No
168.101	Robyn Smith	MRZ-S10 (now S11) - fences	Supports these provisions.	n/a	Accept	Agree with submitter	No
81.642	Kāinga Ora	MRZ-S10	<p>Amend:</p> <p>1. All fences and standalone walls must not exceed a maximum height above ground level of:</p> <p>a. 1.5m-1.2m for the length of the site boundary where that boundary is located between the front of a principal building and a road, except that the height above ground level can be up to 2m for up to 30% of the length of the boundary with a road;</p> <p>b. 1.5m-1.2m where a site boundary adjoins a public reserve, vested to Porirua City Council under the Reserves Management Act; and</p> <p>c. 2m for all other site boundaries.</p> <p>Matters of discretion are restricted to:</p> <p>1. The streetscape and amenity of the area, <u>including visual dominance, taking into account the context, topography of the site and its surrounds and planned urban built form;</u></p> <p>2. Whether the reduction in the ability to view the adjacent road or public reserve reduces a sense of safety for pedestrian users of the road and for users of the public reserve;</p> <p>3. The amenity of adjacent <u>adjoining</u> residential properties, where the over height fence/wall is located on their boundary; and</p> <p>4. Whether topographical or other site constraints make compliance with the standard impractical.</p>	3.12	Accept in part	See body of the report	Yes
General submissions							

Sub. Ref.	Submitter / Further Submitter	Provision	Decision Requested	Section of this Report where Addressed	Officer's Recommendation	Officers' Reasons/Comments	Recommended Amendments to PDP?
137.83 ²⁵³	Greater Wellington Regional Council	Residential zones	[Not specified, refer to original submission] Reason supports the approach to residential zones to achieve increased housing availability consistent with the regional urban design principles in Appendix 2 of the RPS.	n/a	Accept	Agree with submitter	No
168.99	Robyn Smith	General	Amend the bulk and location standards (height, and also height in relation to distance from boundary) for buildings so that they also apply to vegetation.	3.13	Reject	See body of the report	No
214.10	Porirua Pacific Services Network	General	Completely revise the housing development plan by considering the three principles of equity, accessibility and affordability for Pacific Residents with co-design support from the Pacific Community.	3.13	Reject	See body of the report	No
267.2	Aaron and Lorraine Taylor	General	Thought be given to the special HNZ zone to allow for other property developers to build great buildings for the Porirua people.	3.13	Reject	See body of the report	No
273.1	Rowland Rich	General	A height recession plane applying to trees should be added to the new district plan.	3.13	Reject	See body of the report	No
81.919 ²⁵⁴	Kāinga Ora	General	Seeks an amendment to the threshold at which point resource consent is required in the MRZ, increasing this to four or more residential units. In commercial zones, seeks no limiting threshold for residential development. Should be a permitted activity subject to meeting performance standards. Seeks changes to the proposed bulk, location, site coverage and matters of discretion in the residential zones to sufficiently address likely impacts on amenity values while providing for a range of housing typologies.	3.13	Accept in part	See body of the report	No
101.2 ²⁵⁵	Gerardo Labbe	General	Amend to provide for: Deletion of height restrictions for medium density development to allow for buildings of 4 or more storeys	3.13	Accept in part	See body of the report	No
101.1	Gerardo Labbe	General	Amend to provide for: 1. That 10% of all new developments, or sections from subdivisions or amalgamations of sites allow for buildings at least 4 or more storeys in height with 1 lift providing 16 Apartments of 1,2,3,4, bedrooms alternatives. These can be served by a maximum of 1 car park, depending of	3.13	Reject	See body of the report	No

²⁵³ Support - Kāinga Ora [FS65.8]

²⁵⁴ Oppose – Transpower [FS04.4], [Name withheld for privacy reasons][FS17.12]

²⁵⁵ Support - Kāinga Ora [FS65.3]

Sub. Ref.	Submitter / Further Submitter	Provision	Decision Requested	Section of this Report where Addressed	Officer's Recommendation	Officers' Reasons/Comments	Recommended Amendments to PDP?
			distance to a train station and public transport. Example of type of development is the Barcelona Housing System. To provide support for owners of buildings and follow up owner satisfaction.				
135.18	Ara Poutama Aotearoa the Department of Corrections	Community corrections facility	Amend the rules to include community corrections activities as a Discretionary Activity in all zones other than City Centre, Mixed Use, Local Centre and General Industrial zones	n/a Statement of supplementary planning evidence of Michael Rachlin	Accept Accept in part	Agree with submitter See Statement of supplementary planning evidence of Michael Rachlin	No Yes
137.84	Greater Wellington Regional Council	General	[Not specified, refer to original submission] – reason refers to need to amend plan to give effect to the NPS-UD within 2 years.	n/a	Accept	Agree with submitter	No
81.924	Kāinga Ora	Supported residential care	Seeks recognition that Supported Care Residential Activities are to be enabled as a Permitted Activity throughout the urban zones.	n/a	Accept	Agree with submitter	No
81.913	Kāinga Ora	General	Seeks changes to the PDP to focus on achieving the planned built urban form of the proposed zones.	n/a	Accept	Agree with submitter	No
120.1	Woolworths	General	None	n/a	Accept	Agree with submitter	No

Recommended Responses to Submissions and Further Submissions on the PDP - Commercial and Mixed Use Zones and General Industrial Zone

Sub. Ref.	Submitter / Further Submitter	Provision	Decision Requested	Section of this Report where Addressed	Officer's Recommendation	Officers' Reasons/Comments	Recommended Amendments to PDP?	Deemed to be a submission against Variation 1 under Clause 16B?
Centres hierarchy and distribution of business activities								
81.920	Kāinga Ora	Commercial zones	The City Centre, Local, Neighbourhood and Mixed Use zones are generally supported	n/a	Accept	Agree with the submitter	No	Yes
81.921 ²⁵⁶	Kāinga Ora	Commercial zones	Seek increase permitted height in these zones [City Centre Zone, Local Centre Zone and Mixed Use Zone]	n/a	Accept	Agree with the submitter	No	Yes
House Movers Association								
167.7 ²⁵⁷	House Movers Association	NCZ - New Provision	Expressly provide for relocation, removal, and re-siting of dwellings as a permitted activity subject to the same zone standards as in situ dwellings.	Error! Reference	Reject	See body of report	No	Yes

²⁵⁶ Opposed – [Name withheld for privacy reasons][FS17.13]

²⁵⁷ Opposed by Kāinga Ora [FS65.362]

Sub. Ref.	Submitter / Further Submitter	Provision	Decision Requested	Section of this Report where Addressed	Officer's Recommendation	Officers' Reasons/Comments	Recommended Amendments to PDP?	Deemed to be a submission against Variation 1 under Clause 16B?
			<p>Accompany the permitted activity classification with the following performance standards in addition to the zone performance standards which currently apply to "Construction Activity":</p> <p><u>a. Any relocated building complies with the relevant standards for Permitted Activities in the District Plan;</u></p> <p><u>b. Any relocated dwelling must have been previously designed, built and used as a dwelling;</u></p> <p><u>c. A building inspection report shall accompany the building consent for the building/dwelling (refer Schedule 1). The report is to identify all reinstatement work required to the exterior of the building/dwelling;</u></p> <p><u>d. The building shall be located on permanent foundations approved by building consent, no later than 2 months of the building being moved to the site;</u></p> <p><u>e. All work required to reinstate the exterior of any relocated building/dwelling, including the siting of the building/dwelling on permanent foundations, shall be completed within 12 months of the building being delivered to the site.</u></p> <p>A non-notified restricted discretionary activity status for relocated buildings that do not comply with the performance standards, with the following assessment criteria:</p> <p><u>Restricted Discretionary Activity</u> <u>(on a non-notified, non-service basis)</u></p> <p><u>Where an activity is not permitted by this Rule, Council will have regard to the following matters when considering an application for resource consent:</u></p> <p><u>i) Proposed landscaping;</u></p> <p><u>ii) the proposed timetable for completion of the work required to reinstate the exterior of the building and connections to services.</u></p> <p>Provides a suggested pre-inspection report which may either be a non-statutory form, or prescribed into the plan, or to similar effect [Refer to original submission, including appendices].</p> <p>Any further or consequential amendments to give effect to this submission in accordance with the reasons for this submission and the relief sought.</p>	source not found.				
167.8 ²⁵⁸	House Movers Association	New Provision	<p>Expressly provide for relocation, removal, and re-siting of dwellings as a permitted activity subject to the same zone standards as in situ dwellings. Accompany the permitted activity classification with the following performance standards in addition to the zone performance standards which currently apply to "Construction Activity":</p> <p><u>a. Any relocated building complies with the relevant standards for Permitted Activities in the District Plan;</u></p> <p><u>b. Any relocated dwelling must have been previously designed, built and used as a dwelling;</u></p>	Error! Reference source not found.	Reject	See body of report.	No	Yes

²⁵⁸ Oppose Kāinga Ora [FS65.390]

Sub. Ref.	Submitter / Further Submitter	Provision	Decision Requested	Section of this Report where Addressed	Officer's Recommendation	Officers' Reasons/Comments	Recommended Amendments to PDP?	Deemed to be a submission against Variation 1 under Clause 16B?
			<p><u>c. A building inspection report shall accompany the building consent for the building/dwelling (refer Schedule 1). The report is to identify all reinstatement work required to the exterior of the building/dwelling;</u></p> <p><u>d. The building shall be located on permanent foundations approved by building consent, no later than 2 months of the building being moved to the site;</u></p> <p><u>e. All work required to reinstate the exterior of any relocated building/dwelling, including the siting of the building/dwelling on permanent foundations, shall be completed within 12 months of the building being delivered to the site.</u></p> <p>A non-notified restricted discretionary activity status for relocated buildings that do not comply with the performance standards, with the following assessment criteria:</p> <p><u>Restricted Discretionary Activity (on a non-notified, non-service basis)</u></p> <p><u>Where an activity is not permitted by this Rule, Council will have regard to the following matters when considering an application for resource consent:</u></p> <p><u>i) Proposed landscaping;</u></p> <p><u>ii) the proposed timetable for completion of the work required to reinstate the exterior of the building and connections to services.</u></p> <p>Provides a suggested pre-inspection report which may either be a non-statutory form, or prescribed into the plan, or to similar effect [Refer to original submission, including appendices].</p> <p>Any further or consequential amendments to give effect to this submission in accordance with the reasons for this submission and the relief sought.</p>					
167.9 ²⁵⁹	House Movers Association	New Provision	<p>Expressly provide for relocation, removal, and re-siting of dwellings as a permitted activity subject to the same zone standards as in situ dwellings. Accompany the permitted activity classification with the following performance standards in addition to the zone performance standards which currently apply to "Construction Activity":</p> <p><u>a. Any relocated building complies with the relevant standards for Permitted Activities in the District Plan;</u></p> <p><u>b. Any relocated dwelling must have been previously designed, built and used as a dwelling;</u></p> <p><u>c. A building inspection report shall accompany the building consent for the building/dwelling (refer Schedule 1). The report is to identify all reinstatement work required to the exterior of the building/dwelling;</u></p> <p><u>d. The building shall be located on permanent foundations approved by building consent, no later than 2 months of the building being moved to the site;</u></p>	Error! Reference source not found.	Reject	See body of report	No	Yes

²⁵⁹ Opposed by Kāinga Ora [FS65.397]

Sub. Ref.	Submitter / Further Submitter	Provision	Decision Requested	Section of this Report where Addressed	Officer's Recommendation	Officers' Reasons/Comments	Recommended Amendments to PDP?	Deemed to be a submission against Variation 1 under Clause 16B?
			<p><u>e. All work required to reinstate the exterior of any relocated building/dwelling, including the siting of the building/dwelling on permanent foundations, shall be completed within 12 months of the building being delivered to the site.</u></p> <p>A non-notified restricted discretionary activity status for relocated buildings that do not comply with the performance standards, with the following assessment criteria: <u>Restricted Discretionary Activity</u> <u>(on a non-notified, non-service basis)</u> <u>Where an activity is not permitted by this Rule, Council will have regard to the following matters when considering an application for resource consent:</u> i) <u>Proposed landscaping;</u> ii) <u>the proposed timetable for completion of the work required to reinstate the exterior of the building and connections to services.</u></p> <p>Provides a suggested pre-inspection report which may either be a non-statutory form, or prescribed into the plan, or to similar effect [Refer to original submission, including appendices]. Any further or consequential amendments to give effect to this submission in accordance with the reasons for this submission and the relief sought.</p>					
167.10	House Movers Association	New Provision	<p>Expressly provide for relocation, removal, and re-siting of dwellings as a permitted activity subject to the same zone standards as in situ dwellings. Accompany the permitted activity classification with the following performance standards in addition to the zone performance standards which currently apply to "Construction Activity":</p> <p><u>a. Any relocated building complies with the relevant standards for Permitted Activities in the District Plan;</u> <u>b. Any relocated dwelling must have been previously designed, built and used as a dwelling;</u> <u>c. A building inspection report shall accompany the building consent for the building/dwelling (refer Schedule 1). The report is to identify all reinstatement work required to the exterior of the building/dwelling;</u> <u>d. The building shall be located on permanent foundations approved by building consent, no later than 2 months of the building being moved to the site;</u> <u>e. All work required to reinstate the exterior of any relocated building/dwelling, including the siting of the building/dwelling on permanent foundations, shall be completed within 12 months of the building being delivered to the site.</u></p> <p>A non-notified restricted discretionary activity status for relocated buildings that do not comply with the performance standards, with the following assessment criteria: <u>Restricted Discretionary Activity</u> <u>(on a non-notified, non-service basis)</u></p>	Error! Reference source not found.	Reject	See body of report	No	Yes

Sub. Ref.	Submitter / Further Submitter	Provision	Decision Requested	Section of this Report where Addressed	Officer's Recommendation	Officers' Reasons/Comments	Recommended Amendments to PDP?	Deemed to be a submission against Variation 1 under Clause 16B?
			<p><u>Where an activity is not permitted by this Rule, Council will have regard to the following matters when considering an application for resource consent:</u></p> <p>i) <u>Proposed landscaping;</u></p> <p>ii) <u>the proposed timetable for completion of the work required to reinstate the exterior of the building and connections to services.</u></p> <p>Provides a suggested pre-inspection report which may either be a non-statutory form, or prescribed into the plan, or to similar effect [Refer to original submission, including appendices].</p> <p>Any further or consequential amendments to give effect to this submission in accordance with the reasons for this submission and the relief sought.</p>					
NCZ – Neighbourhood Centre Zone								
81.646	Kāinga Ora	Multiple provisions Notification preclusion	<p>Kāinga Ora seeks consequential changes consistent with its overall submission on the Plan. Key areas of concern are (but not limited to):</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Review and re-drafting of notification exclusion clauses; 2. Removal of provisions specific to “multi-unit housing” and integration within policies, rules and standards more generally; 3. Change language to align with NPS-UD - “planned built urban form” in anticipation of changing character and associated amenity values; and 4. Consequential changes to the numbering of provisions following changes sought throughout chapter. 	3.6.1	Accepted in part	See body of the report	No	Yes
81.647	Kāinga Ora	General	<p>Amend:</p> <p>The Neighbourhood Centre Zone provides for a range of small-scale commercial, retail and community activities that service the day-to-day needs of the surrounding residential neighbourhood. Neighbourhood Centres provide a limited range of services, employment, and living opportunities at a scale appropriate to the residential neighbourhoods they are located in. In general, Neighbourhood Centres are of a low to medium <u>scale density</u> that aligns well with the <u>planned urban built form of the surrounding residential neighbourhood.</u></p> <p>Most of Porirua’s Neighbourhood Centre Zones usually typically <u>comprise of three to five small-scale shops and services, and are characterised by buildings that are of a very similar scale to the surrounding residential neighbourhood.</u> Neighbourhood Centres are generally located near the street edge sometimes with verandas and retail display windows along the frontage. Typically buildings are <u>The planned urban built form of the zone anticipates buildings up to three storeys high, which typically reflects the planed urban built form of the surrounding residential neighbourhood.</u> Parking is usually available on the street and while some Neighbourhood Centres have designated parking areas, these are mostly located in front of the shops. Some Neighbourhood Centres include residential units. <u>Residential units are anticipated in Neighbourhood Centres.</u></p> <p>Due to the small size <u>spatial footprint</u> and the location within residential neighbourhoods (often abutting residential sites) any non-residential</p>	3.6.1	Accepted in part	See body of the report	No	Yes

Sub. Ref.	Submitter / Further Submitter	Provision	Decision Requested	Section of this Report where Addressed	Officer's Recommendation	Officers' Reasons/Comments	Recommended Amendments to PDP?	Deemed to be a submission against Variation 1 under Clause 16B?
			activities and developments have the potential to generate adverse effects on surrounding residential areas <u>and accordingly effects at the zone interface are managed through District Plan controls.</u>					
81.648	Kāinga Ora	NCZ-O1	Retain as notified.	3.6.3	Accepted in part	See body of the report	No	Yes
81.649	Kāinga Ora	NCZ-O2	Amend: <u>NCZ-O2 Planned urban built environment of the Neighbourhood Centre Zone</u> Built development in the Neighbourhood Centre Zone: 1. Is of low to medium density and reflects the character <u>planned urban built form</u> of the surrounding residential neighbourhood; and 2. Is well-designed and contributes positively to the residential environment <u>context</u> .	3.6.3	Accepted in part	See body of the report	No	Yes
122.9	Foodstuffs	NCZ-O2	Amend objective as follows: Built development in the Neighbourhood Centre Zone: 1. Is of low to medium density and reflects the character of the surrounding residential neighbourhood; and 2. Is well-designed, responds and contributes positively to the residential context. <u>Where preferred built form outcomes are not achieved, development needs to achieve a quality built environment by positively contributing to public open space.</u>	3.6.3	Reject	See body of the report	No	Yes
81.650	Kāinga Ora	NCZ-O3	Amend: Use and development within the Neighbourhood Centre Zone: 1. Are of an appropriate scale and proportion for the <u>purpose and planned urban built form of the zone</u> and the surrounding residential environment ; and 2. Have minimal adverse <u>Minimise</u> adverse effects on the amenity values of adjacent sites in Residential Zones and Open Space and Recreation Zones.	3.6.3	Accept	Agree with submitter	No	Yes
81.651	Kāinga Ora	NCZ-P1	Amend: Enable activities that are compatible with the <u>planned purpose, character and amenity values and urban built form</u> of the Neighbourhood Centre Zone. and: 1. Service the day-to-day needs of the immediate residential neighbourhood; and 2. Minimise any adverse effects on the use and amenity of adjoining sites in Residential Zones and Open Space and Recreation Zones.	3.6.4	Accepted in part	See body of the report	No	Yes
81.652	Kāinga Ora	NCZ-P2	Amend: Provide for residential activity where: 1. It is located above ground floor or to the rear of the commercial frontage; 2. It does not interrupt or preclude <u>compromise</u> an active building frontage that addresses the street; and	3.6.4	Accepted in part	See body of the report	No	Yes

Sub. Ref.	Submitter / Further Submitter	Provision	Decision Requested	Section of this Report where Addressed	Officer's Recommendation	Officers' Reasons/Comments	Recommended Amendments to PDP?	Deemed to be a submission against Variation 1 under Clause 16B?
			<p>3. Any residential unit is designed to: <u>incorporate adequate provision of onsite amenity for the occupants and minimise reverse sensitivity effects on commercial activities.</u></p> <p>a. Ensure that indoor noise and ventilation levels are appropriate for occupants, thereby minimising reverse sensitivity on existing commercial activities; and</p> <p>b. Provide amenity for occupants in respect to outlook, privacy and daylight.</p>					
81.653	Kāinga Ora	NCZ-P3	<p>Amend: Only allow <u>Provide</u> for other activities, including larger-scale commercial activities and retail activities, where:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Any significant adverse effects, including reverse sensitivity effects, can be avoided, remedied or mitigated; 2. The intensity and scale of the activity is consistent with the anticipated character and amenity values <u>planned urban built form</u> of the Neighbourhood Centre Zone and the surrounding area; 3. The design and location of any <u>onsite</u> parking areas, vehicle access and servicing arrangements maintain streetscape amenity and do not compromise pedestrian and cyclist safety; and 4. They are of a size and scale that: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> a. Does not compromise activities that are enabled within the Zone; and b. Does not undermine the role and function of the City Centre Zone. 	3.6.4	Accepted in part	See body of the report	No	Yes
81.654	Kāinga Ora	NCZ-P4	<p>Amend: Avoid activities that are incompatible with the <u>planned urban built form, role, and function</u> size and anticipated purpose, character and amenity values of the Neighbourhood Centre Zone and the surrounding environment.</p>	3.6.4	Accepted in part	See body of the report	No	Yes
122.10	Foodstuffs	NCZ-P5	<p>Amend NCZ-P5 Built development to be read as follows: Provide for built development that:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is compatible with the purpose of the Neighbourhood Centre Zone; 2. Reflects the low to medium density scale and built character of the Neighbourhood Centre Zone; 3. Is well designed and contributes to an attractive urban environment; and 4. Is of a scale that is consistent with the anticipated character and amenity values of the surrounding residential area; and 5. <u>Recognise the functional and operational requirements of these activities.</u> 	3.6.4	Reject	See body of the report	No	Yes
81.655	Kāinga Ora	NCZ-P5	<p>Amend: Provide for built development that:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is compatible with the purpose and planned urban built form, of the Neighbourhood Centre Zone; 2. Reflects the low to medium density scale and built character of the Neighbourhood Centre Zone; 	3.6.4	Accepted in part	See body of the report	No	Yes

Sub. Ref.	Submitter / Further Submitter	Provision	Decision Requested	Section of this Report where Addressed	Officer's Recommendation	Officers' Reasons/Comments	Recommended Amendments to PDP?	Deemed to be a submission against Variation 1 under Clause 16B?
			<p>3. Is well designed and contributes to an attractive urban environment; and</p> <p>4. Is of a scale that is consistent with the anticipated character <u>planned urban built form</u> and amenity values of the surrounding residential area.</p>					
81.656	Kāinga Ora	NCZ-P6	Retain as notified	3.6.4	Accepted in part	See body of the report	No	Yes
81.657	Kāinga Ora	NCZ-P7	Retain as notified	n/a	Accept	Agree with submitter	No	Yes
122.37 ²⁶⁰	Foodstuffs	General	Insert new rule providing for supermarkets in the NCZ as a permitted activity.	3.6.2	Accept	See body of the report	No	Yes
81.658	Kāinga Ora	NCZ-R1 Notification preclusion	<p>Amend:</p> <p>1. Activity status: Permitted Where</p> <p>a. Compliance is achieved with:</p> <p>i. NCZ-S1; ii. NCZ-S2; iii. NCZ-S3; iv. NCZ-S4; v. NCZ-S5; vi. NCZ-S6; and vii. NCZ-S7.</p> <p>2. Activity status: Restricted discretionary Where:</p> <p>a. Compliance is not achieved with NCZ-S1, NCZ-S2, NCZ-S3, NCZ-S4, NCZ-S5, NCZ-S6 or NCZ-S7.</p> <p>Matters of discretion are restricted to:</p> <p>1. The matters of discretion of any infringed standard.</p> <p>Notification:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> An application under this rule where compliance is not achieved with NCZ-S2, NCZ-S3, NCZ-S4, NCZ-S5 or NCZ-S7 is precluded from being publicly notified in accordance with section 95A of the RMA. <p>An application under this rule where compliance is not achieved with NCZ-S4, NCZ-S5, or NCZ-S6 is precluded from being publicly or limited notified in accordance with sections 95A and 95B of the RMA.</p>	3.6.5	Accept in part	See body of the report	No	Yes
81.659	Kāinga Ora	NCZ-R2	Retain as notified	n/a	Accept	Agree with submitter	No	Yes
81.660	Kāinga Ora	NCZ-R3	Retain as notified.	3.6.5	Reject	See body of the report	No	Yes
122.7	Foodstuffs	NCZ-R3	Retain rule NCZ-R3 as notified.	3.6.5	Reject	See body of the report	No	Yes
81.661	Kāinga Ora	NCZ-R4	Retain as notified.	3.6.5	Reject	See body of the report	No	Yes

²⁶⁰ Opposed by Kāinga Ora [FS65.361]

Sub. Ref.	Submitter / Further Submitter	Provision	Decision Requested	Section of this Report where Addressed	Officer's Recommendation	Officers' Reasons/Comments	Recommended Amendments to PDP?	Deemed to be a submission against Variation 1 under Clause 16B?
81.662	Kāinga Ora	NCZ-R5	Retain as notified	3.6.5	Reject	See body of the report	No	Yes
81.663	Kāinga Ora	NCZ-R6	Retain as notified.	3.6.5	Reject	See body of the report	No	Yes
81.664	Kāinga Ora	NCZ-R7	Retain as notified	3.6.5	Reject	See body of the report	No	Yes
134.27	Ministry of Education	NCZ-R7	Retain as proposed	3.6.5	Reject	See body of the report	No	Yes
81.665	Kāinga Ora	NCZ-R8	Retain as notified.	3.6.5	Reject	See body of the report	No	Yes
81.666	Kāinga Ora	NCZ-R9	Retain as notified.	3.6.5	Reject	See body of the report	No	Yes
81.667	Kāinga Ora	NCZ-R10	<p>Amend:</p> <p>1. Activity status: Permitted Where: a. — No more than two residential units occupy the site; and b. — Compliance is achieved with: i. — NCZ S5; and ii. — NCZ S6.</p> <p>2. Activity status: Restricted discretionary Where: a. — Compliance is not achieved with NCZ-R10-1.a. Matters of discretion are restricted to: 1. — The matters in NCZ-P2 and NCZ-P6. Notification: An application under this rule is precluded from being publicly and limited notified in accordance with sections 95A and 95B of the RMA.</p> <p>-</p> <p>3. Activity status: Restricted discretionary Where: a. — Compliance is not achieved with NCZ S5 and NCZ S6. Matters of discretion are restricted to 1. — The matters of discretion of any infringed standard. Notification: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • An application under this rule where compliance is not achieved with NCZ S5 is precluded from being publicly notified in accordance with section 95A of the RMA. An application under this rule where compliance is not achieved with NCZ S5 and NCZ S6 is precluded from being publicly or limited notified in accordance with sections 95A and 95B of the RMA.</p>	3.6.5	Reject	See body of the report	No	Yes
81.668	Kāinga Ora	NCZ-R11	Retain as notified.	3.6.5	Reject	See body of the report	No	Yes
119.65	FENZ	NCZ-R11	Retain as proposed.	3.6.5	Reject	See body of the report	No	Yes
81.669	Kāinga Ora	NCZ-R12	Retain as notified.	n/a	Accept	Agree with submitter	No	Yes

Sub. Ref.	Submitter / Further Submitter	Provision	Decision Requested	Section of this Report where Addressed	Officer's Recommendation	Officers' Reasons/Comments	Recommended Amendments to PDP?	Deemed to be a submission against Variation 1 under Clause 16B?
81.670	Kāinga Ora	NCZ-R13	Retain as notified.	n/a	Accept	Agree with submitter	No	Yes
81.671	Kāinga Ora	NCZ-R14	Retain as notified.	n/a	Accept	Agree with submitter	No	Yes
81.672	Kāinga Ora	NCZ-R15	Retain as notified.	n/a	Accept	Agree with submitter	No	Yes
81.673	Kāinga Ora	NCZ-R16	Retain as notified.	n/a	Accept	Agree with submitter	No	Yes
81.674	Kāinga Ora	NCZ-R17	Retain as notified.	n/a	Accept	Agree with submitter	No	Yes
81.675	Kāinga Ora	NCZ-R18	Retain as notified.	n/a	Accept	Agree with submitter	No	Yes
9.5	Bunnings Limited	NCZ-R18	Retain rule as a non-complying.	n/a	Accept	Agree with submitter	No	Yes
81.676	Kāinga Ora	NCZ-R19	Retain as notified.	n/a	Accept	Agree with submitter	No	Yes
81.677	Kāinga Ora	NCZ-R20	Retain as notified.	n/a	Accept	Agree with submitter	No	Yes
81.678	Kāinga Ora	NCZ-R21	Retain as notified.	n/a	Accept	Agree with submitter	No	Yes
119.66	FENZ	NCZ-S1	<p>Amend standard as follows: NCZ-S1 Height 1. All buildings and structures must not exceed a maximum height above ground level of 11m, except that: An additional 1m can be added to the maximum height of any building with a roof slope of 15° or greater; and</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Any fence or standalone wall along a side or rear boundary which adjoins a site zoned General Residential Zone, Medium Density Residential Zone, Open Space Zone or Sport and Active Recreation Zone must not exceed 2m in height. <p>This standard does not apply to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Solar water heating components provided these do not exceed the height by more than 1m. Chimney structures not exceeding 1.1m in width on any elevation and provided these do not exceed the height by more than 1m. Antennas, aerials, and flues provided these do not exceed the height by more than 1m. Satellite dishes (less than 1m in diameter) and architectural features (e.g. finials, spires) provided these do not exceed the height by more than 1m. Lift overruns provided these do not exceed the height by more than 1m. <p><u>Emergency service facilities and hose drying towers up to 15m associated with emergency service facilities.</u></p>	n/a	Accept	See body of the report	Yes – See Officer's Report: Part B – FENZ and RNZ	Yes
81.679	Kāinga Ora	NCZ-S1	Amend:	3.6.6	Accept in part	See body of the report	No	Yes

Sub. Ref.	Submitter / Further Submitter	Provision	Decision Requested	Section of this Report where Addressed	Officer's Recommendation	Officers' Reasons/Comments	Recommended Amendments to PDP?	Deemed to be a submission against Variation 1 under Clause 16B?
			<p>1. All buildings and structures must not exceed a maximum height above ground level of 11m, except that:</p> <p>a. An additional 1m can be added to the maximum height of any building with a roof slope of 15° or greater; and</p> <p>b. Any fence or standalone wall along a side or rear boundary which adjoins a site zoned General Residential Zone, Medium Density Residential Zone, Open Space Zone or Sport and Active Recreation Zone must not exceed 2m in height.</p> <p>This standard does not apply to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Solar water heating components provided these do not exceed the height by more than 1m. Chimney structures not exceeding 1.1m in width on any elevation and provided these do not exceed the height by more than 1m. Antennas, aerials, and flues provided these do not exceed the height by more than 1m. Satellite dishes (less than 1m in diameter) and architectural features (e.g. finials, spires) provided these do not exceed the height by more than 1m. Lift overruns provided these do not exceed the height by more than 1m. <p>Matters of discretion are restricted to:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> The location, design and appearance of the building or structure; Any adverse effects on the streetscape <u>taking into account the context, topography of the site and its surrounds and planned urban built form;</u> Visual dominance, shading and loss of privacy for adjoining Residential or Open Space and Recreation zoned sites; Compatibility with the anticipated scale, proportion and context of buildings, structures and activities in the surrounding area; and Whether an increase in building height results from a response to natural hazard mitigation. 					
81.680	Kāinga Ora	NCZ-S2	Retain as notified.	3.6.6	Reject	See body of the report	No	Yes
81.681	Kāinga Ora	NCZ-S3	<p>Amend:</p> <p>1. Buildings and structures must not be located within a <u>1.5m</u> 3m setback from a side or rear boundary where that boundary adjoins a General Residential Zone, Medium Density Residential Zone, Open Space Zone or Sport and Active Recreation Zone.</p> <p>This standard does not apply to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> One accessory building or structure less than 2m in height and less than 7m long per site. Fences and standalone walls. <p>Matters of discretion are restricted to:</p>	3.6.6	Reject	See body of the report	No	Yes

Sub. Ref.	Submitter / Further Submitter	Provision	Decision Requested	Section of this Report where Addressed	Officer's Recommendation	Officers' Reasons/Comments	Recommended Amendments to PDP?	Deemed to be a submission against Variation 1 under Clause 16B?
			1. The visual amenity of adjoining Residential and Open Space and Recreation sites; 2. The location, design and appearance of the building or structure; 3. Whether any architectural features or steps are proposed in the building façade to provide an attractive appearance when viewed from adjoining Residential or Open Space and Recreation sites; and 4. Any benefits, including the extent to which the reduced setback will result in a more efficient, practical and better use of the balance of the site.					
81.682	Kāinga Ora	NCZ-S4	Retain as notified.	3.6.6	Reject	See body of the report	No	Yes
122.8	Foodstuffs	NCZ-S4	Amend standard as follows: 1. All <u>new</u> buildings must be built up to and oriented towards the front boundary of the site. 2. At least 55% of the ground floor frontage of a <u>new</u> building fronting a street, pedestrian mall or other public space must be display windows or transparent glazing. 3. The principal public entrance to the <u>new</u> building must be located on <u>orientated</u> to the front boundary.	3.6.6 Statement of supplementary planning evidence of Michael Rachlin	Accept in part	See body of the report <u>and</u> Statement of supplementary planning evidence of Michael Rachlin	Yes	Yes
81.683	Kāinga Ora	NCZ-S5	Retain as notified.	3.6.6	Reject	See body of the report	No	Yes
81.684	Kāinga Ora	NCZ-S6	Amend: 1. Each residential unit located on the ground floor must be provided with an outdoor living space that: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Has a minimum area of 20m²; Has a minimum dimension of 3m; Is directly accessible from a habitable room <u>or kitchen</u> in the residential unit to which it relates; and Is free of buildings, parking spaces and manoeuvring areas. 2. Each residential unit located entirely above ground floor must be provided with an outdoor living space in the form of a balcony, deck or roof terrace that: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Has a minimum area of 6m² <u>10m²</u>; Has a minimum dimension of 1.8m <u>2m</u>; and Is directly accessible from a habitable room <u>or kitchen</u> in the residential unit to which it relates. 3. For multi-unit housing, t The outdoor living space can be provided as private space and shared space provided that: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Each residential unit is provided with a private outdoor living space that has a minimum area of 6m² <u>10m²</u> with a minimum dimension of 1.8m <u>2m</u>, that is directly accessible from a habitable room <u>or kitchen</u> in the residential unit to which it relates; 	3.6.6	Accept in part	See body of the report	Amended by Variation 1	Yes

Sub. Ref.	Submitter / Further Submitter	Provision	Decision Requested	Section of this Report where Addressed	Officer's Recommendation	Officers' Reasons/Comments	Recommended Amendments to PDP?	Deemed to be a submission against Variation 1 under Clause 16B?
			<p>b. The shared outdoor living space has a minimum area of 20m² with a minimum dimension of 3m; and</p> <p>c. Any ground floor outdoor living space is free of buildings, parking spaces and manoeuvring areas.</p> <p>Matters of discretion are restricted to:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Whether adequate useable space is provided to accommodate outdoor activities; Whether there are topographical or other site constraints that make compliance with the standard impractical; and The proximity of the residential unit to accessible public open space. 					
81.685	Kāinga Ora	NCZ-S7	Retain as notified.	3.6.6	Reject	See body of the report	No	Yes
9.6	Bunnings Limited	NCZ-S7	<p>Amend the standard as follows:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Any on-site service areas, including rubbish collection areas, and areas for the outdoor storage of goods or materials must, without preventing the provision of an entry point to the site, be fully <u>adequately</u> screened by a <u>1.8m</u> fence or <u>2m</u> landscaping <u>buffer</u> where they are visible from any: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Public road; Other public space; and Directly adjoining site zoned General Residential, Medium Density Residential, Open Space or Sport and Active Recreation. Any on-site parking areas must be fully <u>adequately</u> screened by a <u>1.8m</u> fence or <u>2m</u> landscaping <u>buffer</u> from any directly adjoining site zoned General Residential, Medium Density Residential, Open Space or Sport and Active Recreation. 	3.6.6	Accept in part	See body of the report	No	Yes
122.11	Foodstuffs	NCZ-S7	<p>Amend the standard as follows:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Any on-site service areas, including rubbish collection areas, and areas for the outdoor storage of goods or materials must, without preventing the provision of an entry point to the site, be fully <u>adequately</u> screened by a <u>1.8m</u> fence or <u>2m</u> landscaping <u>buffer</u> where they are visible from any: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Public road; Other public space; and Directly adjoining site zoned General Residential, Medium Density Residential, Open Space or Sport and Active Recreation. Any on-site parking areas must be fully <u>adequately</u> screened by a <u>1.8m</u> fence or <u>2m</u> landscaping <u>buffer</u> from any directly adjoining site zoned General Residential, Medium Density Residential, Open Space or Sport and Active Recreation. 	3.6.6	Accept in part	See body of the report	No	Yes
LCZ – Local Centre Zone								
81.686	Kāinga Ora	Multiple provisions Notification preclusion	<p>Kāinga Ora seeks consequential changes consistent with its overall submission on the Plan. Key areas of concern are (but not limited to):</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Deletion of reference to Design Guides and requirement that development be “consistent” with these to achieve compliance; 	3.7.1	Accept in part	See body of the report	No	Yes

Sub. Ref.	Submitter / Further Submitter	Provision	Decision Requested	Section of this Report where Addressed	Officer's Recommendation	Officers' Reasons/Comments	Recommended Amendments to PDP?	Deemed to be a submission against Variation 1 under Clause 16B?
			<p>2. Review and re-drafting of notification exclusion clauses;</p> <p>3. Removal of provisions specific to “multi-unit housing” and integration within policies, rules and standards more generally;</p> <p>4. Review of height limits, both generally and in accordance with walkable catchments within proximity of the City Centre and Rapid Transit Stops. In places, this will require the introduction of a height variation control;</p> <p>5. Change language to align with NPS-UD - “planned built urban form” in anticipation of changing character and associated amenity values;</p> <p>6. Amend provisions with direct ‘avoid’ statements. This needs to be qualified in light of the King Salmon meaning of ‘avoid; and</p> <p>7. Consequential changes to the numbering of provisions following changes sought throughout chapter.</p>					
81.687	Kāinga Ora	General	<p>Amend:</p> <p>Local Centres are medium-scale commercial centres that are located conveniently to service the needs of the surrounding residential catchment. They provide for a range of retail, commercial and community activities, and offer services, employment and living opportunities. These can include supermarkets and medical centres. The actual size of the centres depends largely on the catchment they serve.</p> <p>Local Centres are at a scale that is appropriate to the surrounding residential area, while not undermining the primacy function, vitality, amenity, or viability of the City Centre.</p> <p>In general Local Centres are of medium <u>scale density</u>. Most of Porirua’s Local Centre Zones have buildings located near the street edge with verandas and retail display windows along the frontage. While the scale of the built form varies between each centre, buildings are typically two to three storeys high. The zone generally allows for buildings up to four commercial storeys. Parking is usually available on the street and some Local Centres have designated parking areas.</p> <p>The Local Centre Zone provides for residential activities above ground floor <u>promoting alternative housing options close to services and amenities</u>. Being mostly located within residential catchments, non-residential activities and developments have the potential to generate adverse environmental effects on adjoining Residential and Open Space and Recreation Zones. Most large format retail, larger commercial and light industrial activities are not anticipated within this zone as they are more appropriately located in the Large Format Retail Zone, the Mixed Use Zone or the City Centre Zone. <u>The interface of the local centres with the adjoining residential area is managed to ensure the planned outcomes for the adjacent residential zone are not significantly compromised.</u></p>	3.7.1	Accept in part	See body of the report	No	Yes
135.14	Dept. of Corrections	Objectives	Retain.	3.7.2	Reject	See body of the report	No	Yes
81.688	Kāinga Ora	LCZ-O1	Retain as notified.	3.7.2	Reject	See body of the report	No	Yes

Sub. Ref.	Submitter / Further Submitter	Provision	Decision Requested	Section of this Report where Addressed	Officer's Recommendation	Officers' Reasons/Comments	Recommended Amendments to PDP?	Deemed to be a submission against Variation 1 under Clause 16B?
81.689	Kāinga Ora	LCZ-O2	Amend: <u>LCZ-O2 Planned urban built environment of the Local Centre Zone</u> Local Centres are safe and attractive urban environments, containing well-designed buildings that: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Are generally of a medium-density scale; 2. <u>Provide good quality commercial and residential environments;</u> 3. <u>Are designed to minimise the opportunities for crime;</u> and 4. Contribute positively to the surrounding <u>streetscape and residential environment.</u> 	3.7.2	Accept in part	See body of the report	No	Yes
81.690	Kāinga Ora	LCZ-O3	Amend: Use and development within the Local Centre Zone: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Are of an appropriate scale and proportion for the <u>purpose and planned urban built form of the zone and the surrounding residential environment;</u> and 2. Have minimal <u>Minimises</u> adverse effects on the <u>amenity values</u> of adjacent sites in Residential Zones and Open Space and Recreation Zones. 	3.7.2	Accept in part	See body of the report	No	Yes
135.15	Dept. of Corrections	Policies	Retain.	3.7.3	Reject	See body of the report	No	Yes
190.10	Paremata Residents Association	LCZ-P1	Amend to enable the BP site on Mana Esplanade to be treated as a special case in the event that BP ever proposes to sell the site or change its use.	3.7.3	Reject	See body of the report	No	Yes
190.11 ²⁶¹	Paremata Residents Association	LCZ-P1	Amend to give priority to discussing possible options with Z Energy to ensure the views from the north end of their site between McDonalds restaurant and Goat Point are retained into the future.	3.7.3	Reject	See body of the report	No	Yes
81.691	Kāinga Ora	LCZ-P1	Amend: Enable activities that are compatible with the <u>planned purpose, character and amenity values and urban built form</u> of the Local Centre Zone and: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Service the needs of the surrounding residential catchment; and 2. Minimise any adverse effects on the use and amenity of adjoining sites in Residential Zones and Open Space and Recreation Zones. 	3.7.3	Accept in part	See body of the report	No	Yes
69.13	Paremata Business Park Ltd	LCZ-P2	Amend: Provide for residential activity where: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. It is located <u>entirely predominantly</u> above ground floor, where located along a primary frontage identified on the planning maps; 2. It <u>mostly</u> does not interrupt or preclude an ongoing active building frontage that provides a positive interface with the public space; 3. Any residential unit is designed to: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Ensure that indoor noise and ventilation levels are appropriate for occupants; and 	3.7.3	Accept in part	See body of the report	No	Yes

²⁶¹ Opposed by Z Energy Ltd [FS58.1]

Sub. Ref.	Submitter / Further Submitter	Provision	Decision Requested	Section of this Report where Addressed	Officer's Recommendation	Officers' Reasons/Comments	Recommended Amendments to PDP?	Deemed to be a submission against Variation 1 under Clause 16B?
			<p>2. Provide amenity for residents in respect to outlook, privacy and daylight;</p> <p>4. It is consistent with the Local Centre Zone Design Guide contained in APP7-Local Centre Zone Design Guide; and</p> <p>5. Reverse sensitivity effects on commercial activities are minimised.</p> <p>Or adopt any other such relief, including additions, deletions or consequential amendments necessary as a result of the matters raised in this submission, as necessary to give effect to this submission.</p>					
81.692	Kāinga Ora	LCZ-P2	<p>Amend:</p> <p>Provide for residential activity where:</p> <p>1. It is located entirely above ground floor, where <u>when</u> located along a primary frontage identified on the planning maps;</p> <p>2. It does not interrupt or preclude <u>compromise</u> an ongoing active building frontage that provides a positive interface with the public space; <u>and</u></p> <p>3. Any residential unit is designed to <u>incorporate adequate provision of onsite amenity for the occupants and minimise reverse sensitivity effects on commercial activities.:</u></p> <p>a. Ensure that indoor noise and ventilation levels are appropriate for occupants; and</p> <p>b. Provide amenity for residents in respect to outlook, privacy and daylight.;</p> <p>4. It is consistent with the Local Centre Zone Design Guide contained in APP7 Local Centre Zone Design Guide; and</p> <p>5. Reverse sensitivity effects on commercial activities are minimised.</p>	3.7.3	Accept in part	See body of the report	No	Yes
81.693	Kāinga Ora	LCZ-P3	<p>Amend:</p> <p>Only allow <u>Provide</u> for other activities including larger-scale activities where:</p> <p>1. Any significant adverse effects, including reverse sensitivity effects, can be avoided, remedied or mitigated;</p> <p>2. The intensity and scale of the activity is consistent with the <u>planned urban built form anticipated character and amenity values</u> of the Local Centre Zone and the surrounding area;</p> <p>3. The design and location of any <u>onsite</u> parking areas, vehicle access and servicing arrangements maintain streetscape amenity and do not compromise pedestrian safety;</p> <p>4. For any retirement village:</p> <p>a. On-site amenity for residents is provided, which reflects the nature of and diverse needs of residents of the village; and</p> <p>b. Any potential reverse sensitivity effects on the continued operation of non-residential activities are minimised;</p> <p>5. <u>Activation is achieved along identified street frontages;</u> Any change to an active street frontage identified on the planning maps is consistent with the relevant frontage provisions of the Local</p>	3.7.3	Accept in part	See body of the report	No	Yes

Sub. Ref.	Submitter / Further Submitter	Provision	Decision Requested	Section of this Report where Addressed	Officer's Recommendation	Officers' Reasons/Comments	Recommended Amendments to PDP?	Deemed to be a submission against Variation 1 under Clause 16B?
			Centre Zone Design Guide contained in APP7 Local Centre Zone Design Guide; and 6. They are of a size and scale that: a. Does not compromise activities that are enabled within the zone; and b. Does not undermine the role and function of the City Centre Zone.					
69.14	Paremata Business Park Ltd	LCZ-P4	Amend: <u>Avoid-Minimise adverse effects from activities that are incompatible with the anticipated purpose, character and amenity values of the Local Centre Zone and the surrounding environment.</u> Or adopt any other such relief, including additions, deletions or consequential amendments necessary as a result of the matters raised in this submission, as necessary to give effect to this submission.	3.7.3	Accept in part	See body of the report	No	Yes
82.281 ²⁶²	Waka Kotahi	LCZ-P4	Amend provision: Avoid activities that are incompatible with the anticipated purpose, character and amenity values of the Local Centre Zone and the surrounding environment; <u>or compromise the safety or efficiency of the transport network.</u>	3.7.3	Reject	See body of the report	No	Yes
81.694	Kāinga Ora	LCZ-P4	Amend: Avoid activities that are incompatible with the <u>planned urban built form, role, and function anticipated purpose, character and amenity values of the Local Centre Zone and the surrounding environment where effects cannot be mitigated or managed.</u>	3.7.3	Accept in part	See body of the report	No	Yes
69.15 ²⁶³	Paremata Business Park Ltd	LCZ-P5	Amend: Provide for built development that: 1. Is of a scale that is compatible with the anticipated role and function of the Local Centre Zone and the surrounding area; 2. Reflects the anticipated medium <u>to higher</u> -density scale and built character of the Local Centre Zone; 3. Is well designed and contributes to an attractive urban environment; 4. Provides active street frontages in locations identified on the planning maps; and 5. Is consistent with the Local Centre Zone Design Guide contained in APP7-Local Centre Zone Design Guide. Or adopt any other such relief, including additions, deletions or consequential amendments necessary as a result of the matters raised in this submission, as necessary to give effect to this submission.	3.7.3	Accept in part	See body of the report	No	Yes
81.695	Kāinga Ora	LCZ-P5	Amend: Provide for built development that:	3.7.3	Accept in part	See body of the report	No	Yes

²⁶² Opposed by Kāinga Ora [FS65.369]

²⁶³ Supported in part by Kāinga Ora [FS65.370]

Sub. Ref.	Submitter / Further Submitter	Provision	Decision Requested	Section of this Report where Addressed	Officer's Recommendation	Officers' Reasons/Comments	Recommended Amendments to PDP?	Deemed to be a submission against Variation 1 under Clause 16B?
			<p>1. Is of a scale that is compatible with the anticipated <u>planned urban built form</u>, role and function of the Local Centre Zone and the surrounding area;</p> <p>2. Reflects the anticipated medium density scale and built character of the Local Centre Zone;</p> <p>3. Is well designed and contributes to an attractive urban environment; <u>and</u></p> <p>4. Provides active street frontages in locations identified on the planning maps; and</p> <p>5. Is consistent with the Local Centre Zone Design Guide contained in APP7 Local Centre Zone Design Guide.</p>					
81.696	Kāinga Ora	LCZ-P6	<p>Amend: Provide for development that:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Creates <u>an attractive a positive</u> interface with the public space through high quality building designs; 2. Ensures any parking, storage and servicing areas are visually unobtrusive and preferably located within or to the back of the building; 3. Where located along an active street frontage identified on the planning maps, creates a positive interface with the public space and contributes to the streetscape well defined <u>open spaces through by ensuring</u>: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> a. Buildings that are oriented towards the front boundary of the site; b. A veranda or other form of shelter for pedestrians <u>is provided</u>; c. Transparent glazing <u>is incorporated</u> on the ground floor that allows visibility into and out of commercial frontages and reflects whether it is a primary or secondary frontage; and d. An obvious public entrance <u>is provided</u>; and 4. Is consistent with the Local Centre Zone Design Guide contained in APP7 Local Centre Zone Design Guide. 	3.7.3	Accept in part	See body of the report	No	Yes
81.697	Kāinga Ora	LCZ-P7	Retain as notified.	3.7.3	Reject	See body of the report	No	Yes
69.16	Paremata Business Park Ltd	LCZ-P7	<p>Amend: Minimise the adverse effects from use and development within the Local Centre Zone on directly adjoining sites that are zoned General Residential Zone, Medium Density Residential Zone, Open Space Zone or Sport and Active Recreation Zone by ensuring that:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Buildings and activities are located and designed to achieve a transition at the zone interface; 2. Buildings are located and designed to minimise shading and privacy effects on adjoining sites zoned Residential or Open Space and Recreation; 	3.7.3	Accept in part	See body of the report	No	Yes

Sub. Ref.	Submitter / Further Submitter	Provision	Decision Requested	Section of this Report where Addressed	Officer's Recommendation	Officers' Reasons/Comments	Recommended Amendments to PDP?	Deemed to be a submission against Variation 1 under Clause 16B?
			<p>3. Buildings are of a bulk, height and form that minimises dominance and enclosure effects on adjoining sites zoned Residential or Open Space and Recreation; and</p> <p>4. Screening and landscaping minimise adverse visual effects on adjoining sites zoned Residential or Open Space and Recreation.</p> <p><u>Development of sites adjacent to open space zones should be encouraged to take advantage of the aspect and outlook to the open space and recreation zones and development should be orientated to increase passive surveillance.</u></p> <p>Or adopt any other such relief, including additions, deletions or consequential amendments necessary as a result of the matters raised in this submission, as necessary to give effect to this submission.</p>					
135.6	Dept. of Corrections	Rules	Amend the rules to include community corrections activities as a Permitted Activity.	3.7.4	Accept	See body of the report	No	Yes
81.698	Kāinga Ora	LCZ-R1 Notification preclusion	<p>Amend:</p> <p>1. Activity status: Permitted</p> <p>Where:</p> <p>a. The gross floor area of the new building does not exceed 450m²;</p> <p>b. Any addition to an existing building does not result in the total gross floor area of the building exceeding 450m²; and</p> <p>c. Compliance is achieved with</p> <p>i. LCZ-S1;</p> <p>ii. LCZ-S2;</p> <p>iii. LCZ-S3;</p> <p>iv. LCZ-S4;</p> <p>v. LCZ-S5;</p> <p>vi. LCZ-S6; and</p> <p>vii. LCZ-S7.</p> <p>2. Activity status: Restricted discretionary</p> <p>Where:</p> <p>a. Compliance is not achieved with LCZ-R1-1.a or LCZ-R1-1.b.</p> <p>Matters of discretion are restricted to:</p> <p>1. The matters in LCZ-P5 and LCZ-P6.</p> <p>Notification:</p> <p>An application under this rule is precluded from being publicly <u>and limited</u> notified in accordance with sections 95A and 95B of the RMA.</p> <p>3. Activity status: Restricted discretionary</p> <p>Where:</p> <p>a. Compliance is not achieved with LCZ-S1, LCZ-S2, LCZ-S3, LCZ-S4 LCZ-S5, LCZ-S6 or LCZ-S7.</p> <p>Matters of discretion are restricted to:</p> <p>1. The matters of discretion of any infringed standard.</p> <p>Notification:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> An application under this rule where compliance is not achieved with LCZ-S2, LCZ-S3, LCZ-S4, LCZ-S5 or LCZ-S7 is precluded from 	3.7.4	Accept in part	See body of the report	No	Yes

Sub. Ref.	Submitter / Further Submitter	Provision	Decision Requested	Section of this Report where Addressed	Officer's Recommendation	Officers' Reasons/Comments	Recommended Amendments to PDP?	Deemed to be a submission against Variation 1 under Clause 16B?
			<p>being publicly notified in accordance with section 95A of the RMA.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> An application under this rule where compliance is not achieved with LCZ-S4, LCZ-S5, LCZ-S6 is precluded from being publicly or limited notified in accordance with sections 95A and 95B of the RMA. <p><u>Note:</u> Acceptable means of achieving best practice urban design guidance is contained within Porirua City Council's Local Centre Design Guidelines.</p>					
120.9 ²⁶⁴	Woolworths	LCZ-R1	Amend the standard to remove the requirement that any new building, addition or alteration be less than 450m ² .	3.7.4	Reject	See body of the report	No	Yes
122.12 ²⁶⁵	Foodstuffs	LCZ-R1	<p>Amend the rule as follows:</p> <p>"LCZ-R1 <u>New Buildings</u> and structures, including additions and alterations</p> <p>1. Activity status: Permitted</p> <p>Where:</p> <p>a. The gross floor area of the new building does not exceed 450m²;</p> <p>b. Any addition to an existing building does not result in the total gross floor area of the building exceeding 450m²; and</p> <p>c. Compliance is achieved with-</p> <p>i. LCZ-S1;</p> <p>ii. LCZ-S2;</p> <p>iii. LCZ-S3;</p> <p>iv. LCZ-S4;</p> <p>v. LCZ-S5;</p> <p>vi. LCZ-S6; and</p> <p>vii. LCZ-S7.</p> <p>2 1. Activity status: Restricted discretionary</p> <p>Where:</p> <p>a. Compliance is not achieved with LCZ-R1-1.a or LCZ-R1-1.b.</p> <p>Matters of discretion are restricted to:</p> <p>1. The matters in LCZ-P5 and LCZ-P6.</p> <p>Notification:</p> <p>An application under this rule is precluded from being publicly notified in accordance with section 95A of the RMA.</p> <p>3 2. Activity status: Restricted discretionary</p> <p>Where:</p> <p>a. Compliance is not achieved with LCZ-S1, LCZ-S2, LCZ-S3, LCZ-S4 LCZ-S5, LCZ-S6 or LCZ-S7.</p> <p>Matters of discretion are restricted to:</p> <p>1. The matters of discretion of any infringed standard.</p> <p>Notification:</p>	3.7.4	Reject	See body of the report	No	Yes

²⁶⁴ Opposed in part by Kāinga Ora [FS65.371]

²⁶⁵ Opposed in part by Kāinga Ora [FS65.372]

Sub. Ref.	Submitter / Further Submitter	Provision	Decision Requested	Section of this Report where Addressed	Officer's Recommendation	Officers' Reasons/Comments	Recommended Amendments to PDP?	Deemed to be a submission against Variation 1 under Clause 16B?
			<ul style="list-style-type: none"> An application under this rule where compliance is not achieved with LCZ-S2, LCZ-S3, LCZ-S4, LCZ-S5 or LCZ-S7 is precluded from being publicly notified in accordance with section 95A of the RMA. <p>An application under this rule where compliance is not achieved with LCZ-S6 is precluded from being publicly or limited notified in accordance with sections 95A and 95B of the RMA.</p>					
69.17 ²⁶⁶	Paremata Business Park Ltd	LCZ-R1	<p>Amend:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Activity status: Permitted <p>Where:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> The gross floor area of the new building does not exceed 450m²; Any addition to an existing building does not result in the total gross floor area of the building exceeding 450m²; and Compliance is achieved with <ol style="list-style-type: none"> LCZ-S1; LCZ-S2; LCZ-S3; LCZ-S4; LCZ-S5; LCZ-S6; and <p>LCZ-S7.</p>	3.7.4	Reject	See body of the report	No	Yes
81.699	Kāinga Ora	LCZ-R2	Retain as notified.	n/a	Accept	Agree with submitter	No	Yes
81.700	Kāinga Ora	LCZ-R3	Retain as notified.	3.7.4	Reject	See body of the report	No	Yes
81.701	Kāinga Ora	LCZ-R4	Retain as notified.	3.7.4	Reject	See body of the report	No	Yes
81.702	Kāinga Ora	LCZ-R5	<p>Amend:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Activity status: Permitted <p>Where:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> The gross floor area per tenancy does not exceed 450m² 200m²; and Compliance is achieved with LCZ-S7. <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Activity status: Restricted discretionary <p>Where:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Compliance is not achieved with LCZ- R5-1.a. <p>Matters of discretion are restricted to:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> The matters in LCZ-P3. <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Activity status: Restricted discretionary <p>Where:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Compliance is not achieved with LCZ-S7. <p>Matters of discretion are restricted to:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> The matters of discretion of any infringed standard. 	3.7.4	Reject	See body of the report	No	Yes

²⁶⁶ Opposed in part by Kāinga Ora [FS65.373]

Sub. Ref.	Submitter / Further Submitter	Provision	Decision Requested	Section of this Report where Addressed	Officer's Recommendation	Officers' Reasons/Comments	Recommended Amendments to PDP?	Deemed to be a submission against Variation 1 under Clause 16B?
			Notification: An application under this rule where compliance is not achieved with LCZ-S7 is precluded from being publicly notified in accordance with section 95A of the RMA.					
81.703	Kāinga Ora	LCZ-R6	Retain as notified.	3.7.4	Reject	See body of the report	No	Yes
81.704	Kāinga Ora	LCZ-R7	Retain as notified.	3.7.4	Reject	See body of the report	No	Yes
81.705	Kāinga Ora	LCZ-R8	Retain as notified.	3.7.4	Reject	See body of the report	No	Yes
134.38	Ministry of Education	LCZ-R8	Retain as proposed.	3.7.4	Reject	See body of the report	No	Yes
81.706	Kāinga Ora	LCZ-R9	Retain as notified.	3.7.4	Reject	See body of the report	No	Yes
81.707	Kāinga Ora	LCZ-R10	Retain as notified.	3.7.4	Reject	See body of the report	No	Yes
81.708	Kāinga Ora	LCZ-R11	Amend: <u>LCZ-R11 Residential activity, residential unit, and supported residential care activity</u> 1. Activity status: Permitted Where: a. — No more than two residential units occupy the site; and b. — Compliance is achieved with i. — LCZ-S5; and ii. — LCZ-S6. 2. Activity status: Restricted discretionary Where: a. — Compliance is not achieved with LCZ-R11-1.a. Matters of discretion are restricted to: 1. — The matters in LCZ-P2 and LCZ-P6. Notification: An application under this rule is precluded from being publicly notified in accordance with section 95A of the RMA. 3. Activity status: Restricted discretionary Where: a. — Compliance is not achieved with LCZ-S5 and LCZ-S6. Matters of discretion are restricted to 1. — The matters of discretion of any infringed standard. Notification: • An application under this rule where compliance is not achieved with LCZ-S5 is precluded from being publicly notified in accordance with section 95A of the RMA. An application under this rule where compliance is not achieved with LCZ-S6 is precluded from being publicly or limited notified in accordance with sections 95A and 95B of the RMA.	3.7.4	Reject	See body of the report	No	Yes

Sub. Ref.	Submitter / Further Submitter	Provision	Decision Requested	Section of this Report where Addressed	Officer's Recommendation	Officers' Reasons/Comments	Recommended Amendments to PDP?	Deemed to be a submission against Variation 1 under Clause 16B?
122.13	Foodstuffs	LCZ-R12	Amend the activity status for the rule from restricted discretionary to permitted activity.	3.7.4 See Right of Reply of Michael Rachlin	Reject Accept	See body of the report See Right of Reply of Michael Rachlin	No Yes	Yes
120.10	Woolworths	LCZ-R12	Amend the rule to be a Permitted Activity. Alternatively if Council pursues a Restricted Discretionary Activity status, include a non-notification clause for both public and limited notification be inserted for the rule.	3.7.4 See Right of Reply of Michael Rachlin	Reject Accept	See body of the report See Right of Reply of Michael Rachlin	No Yes	Yes
81.709	Kāinga Ora	LCZ-R12	Retain as notified.	3.7.4	Reject	See body of the report	No	Yes
81.710	Kāinga Ora	LCZ-R13	Retain as notified.	3.7.4	Reject	See body of the report	No	Yes
119.67	FENZ	LCZ-R13	Retain as proposed.	3.7.4	Reject	See body of the report	No	Yes
81.711	Kāinga Ora	LCZ-R14	Retain as notified.	3.7.4	Reject	See body of the report	No	Yes
81.712	Kāinga Ora	LCZ-R15 (now LCZ-R21)	Retain as notified	n/a	Accept	Agree with submitter	No	Yes
81.713	Kāinga Ora	LCZ-R16 (now LCZ-R22)	Retain as notified.	n/a	Accept	Agree with submitter	No	Yes
81.714	Kāinga Ora	LCZ-R17 (now LCZ-R23)	Retain as notified.	n/a	Accept	Agree with submitter	No	Yes
92.5	Z Energy Limited	LCZ-R17 (now LCZ-R23)	Retain Rule LCZ-R17 insofar as it provides for drive through activities including service stations as a discretionary activity.	n/a	Accept	Agree with submitter	No	Yes
81.715	Kāinga Ora	LCZ-R18 (now LCZ-R24)	Retain as notified.	n/a	Accept	Agree with submitter	No	Yes
81.716	Kāinga Ora	LCZ-R19 (now LCZ-R25)	Retain as notified	n/a	Accept	Agree with submitter	No	Yes
9.7	Bunnings Limited	LCZ-R19 (now LCZ-R25)	Delete rule. Insert new rules providing for trade suppliers as a discretionary activity in the LCZ.	3.7.4	Accept in part	See body of the report	No	Yes
81.717	Kāinga Ora	LCZ-R20 (now LCZ-R26)	Retain as notified.	n/a	Accept	Agree with submitter	No	Yes
81.718	Kāinga Ora	LCZ-R21 (now LCZ-R28)	Retain as notified.	n/a	Accept	Agree with submitter	No	Yes
81.719 ²⁶⁷	Kāinga Ora	LCZ-S1	Amend: 1. All buildings and structures must not exceed a maximum height above ground level of 12m <u>16m</u> , except that: a. An additional 1m can be added to the maximum height of any building with a roof slope of 15° or greater; and b. Any fence or standalone wall along a side or rear boundary which adjoins a site zoned General Residential,	3.7.5	Reject	See body of the report	No	Yes

²⁶⁷ Opposed by Paremata Residents Association [FS08.4], Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga [FS14.3] and [Name withheld for privacy reasons] [FS17.24]

Sub. Ref.	Submitter / Further Submitter	Provision	Decision Requested	Section of this Report where Addressed	Officer's Recommendation	Officers' Reasons/Comments	Recommended Amendments to PDP?	Deemed to be a submission against Variation 1 under Clause 16B?
			<p>Medium Density Residential, Open Space or Sport and Active Recreation must not exceed 2m in height.</p> <p>This standard does not apply to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Solar water heating components provided these do not exceed the height by more than 1m; Chimney structures not exceeding 1.1m in width on any elevation and provided these do not exceed the height by more than 1m; Antennas, aerials, and flues provided these do not exceed the height by more than 1m; or Satellite dishes (less than 1m in diameter) and architectural features (e.g. finials, spires) provided these do not exceed the height by more than 1m. Lift overruns provided these do not exceed the height by more than 1m. <p>Matters of discretion are restricted to:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> The location, design and appearance of the building or structure; Any adverse effects on the streetscape <u>taking into account the context, topography of the site and its surrounds and planned urban built form;</u> Visual dominance, shading and loss of privacy for adjoining Residential or Open Space and Recreation zoned sites; Compatibility with the anticipated scale, proportion and context of buildings, structures and activities in the surrounding area; and Whether an increase in building height results from a response to natural hazard mitigation; and Consistency with the Local Centre Zone Design Guide. 					
69.18 ²⁶⁸	Paremata Business Park Ltd	LCZ-S1	Any method that will enable the objectives and policies of the NPS-UD.	3.7.5	Accept	See body of the report	No	Yes
81.948 ²⁶⁹	Kāinga Ora	LCZ-S1	Increase height limit in the Local Centre Zone to 16m.	3.7.5	Reject	See body of the report	No	Yes
119.68	FENZ	LCZ-S1	<p>Amend standard as follows:</p> <p>...</p> <p>This standard does not apply to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Solar water heating components provided these do not exceed the height by more than 1m; Chimney structures not exceeding 1.1m in width on any elevation and provided these do not exceed the height by more than 1m; Antennas, aerials, and flues provided these do not exceed the height by more than 1m; or 	3.7.5	Reject	See body of the report	No	Yes

²⁶⁸ Supported by Kāinga Ora [FS65.376]

²⁶⁹ Opposed by Paremata Residents Association [FS08.5], [Name withheld for privacy reasons] [FS17.25] and Russell Morrison [FS22.20]

Sub. Ref.	Submitter / Further Submitter	Provision	Decision Requested	Section of this Report where Addressed	Officer's Recommendation	Officers' Reasons/Comments	Recommended Amendments to PDP?	Deemed to be a submission against Variation 1 under Clause 16B?
			<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Satellite dishes (less than 1m in diameter) and architectural features (e.g. finials, spires) provided these do not exceed the height by more than 1m. Lift overruns provided these do not exceed the height by more than 1m. <p><u>Emergency service facilities and hose drying towers up to 15m associated with emergency service facilities.</u></p>					
122.16 ²⁷⁰	Foodstuffs	LCZ-S1	<p>Amend matters of discretion for the standard as follows: Matters of discretion are restricted to:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> The location, design and appearance of the building or structure; Any adverse effects on the streetscape; Visual dominance, shading and loss of privacy for adjoining Residential or Open Space and Recreation zoned sites; Compatibility with the anticipated scale, proportion and context of buildings, structures and activities in the surrounding area; Whether an increase in building height results from a response to natural hazard mitigation; and Consistency with the Local Centre Zone Design Guide. 	n/a	Accept	Agree with submitter	No	Yes
69.19	Paremata Business Park Ltd	LCZ-S2	Any method that will enable the objectives and policies of the NPS-UD.	3.7.5	Accept	See body of the report	No	Yes
81.720	Kāinga Ora	LCZ-S2	Retain as notified.	3.7.5	Reject	See body of the report	No	Yes
81.721	Kāinga Ora	LCZ-S3	<p>Amend:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Buildings and structures must not be located within a <u>1.5m</u> 3m setback from a side or rear boundary where that boundary adjoins a General Residential Zone, Medium Density Residential Zone, Open Space Zone or Sport and Active Recreation Zone. This standard does not apply to: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> One accessory building or structure less than 2m in height and less than 7m long per site; or Fences or standalone walls. <p>Matters of discretion are restricted to:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> The visual amenity of adjoining Residential and Open Space and Recreation sites; The location, design and appearance of the building or structure; Whether any architectural features or steps are proposed in the building façade to provide an attractive appearance when viewed from adjoining Residential or Open Space and Recreation sites; and Any benefits, including the extent to which the reduced setback will result in a more efficient, practical and better use of the balance of the site. 	3.7.5	Reject	See body of the report	No	Yes
69.20	Paremata Business Park Ltd	LCZ-S3	Amend:	3.7.5	Reject	See body of the report	No	Yes

²⁷⁰ Supported by Kāinga Ora [FS65.375]

Sub. Ref.	Submitter / Further Submitter	Provision	Decision Requested	Section of this Report where Addressed	Officer's Recommendation	Officers' Reasons/Comments	Recommended Amendments to PDP?	Deemed to be a submission against Variation 1 under Clause 16B?
			<p>1. Buildings and structures must not be located within a 1.53m setback from a side or rear boundary where that boundary adjoins a General Residential Zone, Medium Density Residential Zone, Open Space Zone or Sport and Active Recreation Zone.</p> <p>This standard does not apply to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • One accessory building or structure less than 2m in height and less than 7m long per site; or • Fences or standalone walls. <p>Matters of discretion are restricted to:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The visual amenity of adjoining Residential and Open Space and Recreation sites; 2. The location, design and appearance of the building or structure; 3. Whether any architectural features or steps are proposed in the building façade to provide an attractive appearance when viewed from adjoining Residential or Open Space and Recreation sites; and <p>Any benefits, including the extent to which the reduced setback will result in a more efficient, practical and better use of the balance of the site.</p>					
81.722	Kāinga Ora	LCZ-S4	<p>Amend:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Along For sites with primary frontages and building lines identified on the planning maps all buildings must be built up to and oriented towards the identified building line and provide a veranda that: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> a. Extends along the entire length of the building frontage; b. Provides continuous shelter with any adjoining veranda; and c. Has a minimum setback of 500mm from any kerb face. 2. For sites with primary street-facing façade <u>frontage</u> controls identified on the planning maps: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> a. At least 55% of the ground floor building frontage must be display windows or transparent glazing; and b. The principal public entrance to the building must be located on the front boundary. 3. For sites with secondary street-facing façade <u>frontage</u> controls identified on the planning maps: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> a. At least 35% of the ground floor building frontage for non-residential activities must be display windows or transparent glazing. <p>Matters of discretion are restricted to:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The amenity and quality of the streetscape; and 2. The ability to reuse and adapt the building for a variety of activities; and 3. Consistency with the Local Centre Zone Design Guide. 	3.7.5	Accept	See body of the report	No	Yes
92.6 ²⁷¹	Z Energy Limited	LCZ-S4	Amend Rule LCZ-S4 so that it does not apply to existing service station developments. This could be achieved by the following:	3.7.5	Accept in part	See body of the report	No	Yes

²⁷¹ Opposed by [Name withheld for privacy reasons] [FS17.9]

Sub. Ref.	Submitter / Further Submitter	Provision	Decision Requested	Section of this Report where Addressed	Officer's Recommendation	Officers' Reasons/Comments	Recommended Amendments to PDP?	Deemed to be a submission against Variation 1 under Clause 16B?
			LCZ – S4 Active street frontages <u>This rule does not apply to existing service stations.</u> 1. Along... And Amend the Matters of discretion to remove the requirement for a development to be consistent with the Local Centre Design Guide, as follows: <u>3. The extent to which the building is consistent</u> Consistency with the Local Centre Zone Design Guide.					
122.17 ²⁷²	Foodstuffs	LCZ-S4	Amend matters of discretion for the standard as follows: Matters of discretion are restricted to: 1. The amenity and quality of the streetscape; 2. The ability to reuse and adapt the building for a variety of activities; and 3. Consistency with the Local Centre Zone Design Guide.	n/a	Accept	Agree with submitter	No	Yes
122.14	Foodstuffs	LCZ-S4	Amend the rule as follows: 1. Along building lines identified on the planning maps all <u>new</u> buildings must be built up to and oriented towards the identified building line and provide a veranda that: a. Extends along the entire length of the building frontage; b. Provides continuous shelter with any adjoining veranda; and c. Has a minimum setback of 500mm from any kerb face. 2. For sites with primary street-facing façade controls identified on the planning maps <u>new buildings shall provide the following</u> : a. At least 55% of the ground floor building frontage must be display windows or transparent glazing; and b. The principal public entrance to the building must be located on <u>orientated to</u> the front boundary. 3. For sites with secondary street-facing façade controls identified on the planning maps: a. <u>For new buildings</u> At least 35% of the ground floor building frontage for non-residential activities must be display windows or transparent glazing.	3.7.5	Accept	See body of the report	Yes	Yes
120.11	Woolworths	LCZ-S4	Amend the standard to enable landscaping to be provided along the building line where it is not feasible to build a building up to the identified building line.	3.7.5	Reject	See body of the report	No	Yes
81.723	Kāinga Ora	LCZ-S5	Retain as notified.	3.7.5	Reject	See body of the report	No	Yes
69.21	Paremata Business Park Ltd	LCZ-S5	Amend: 1. Along boundaries with primary street-facing façade controls identified in the planning maps, at <u>35 % of the street frontage may contain residential units at</u> Matters of discretion are restricted to: 1. The amenity and quality of the streetscape;	3.7.5	Reject	See body of the report	No	Yes

²⁷² Supported by Kāinga Ora [FS65.377]

Sub. Ref.	Submitter / Further Submitter	Provision	Decision Requested	Section of this Report where Addressed	Officer's Recommendation	Officers' Reasons/Comments	Recommended Amendments to PDP?	Deemed to be a submission against Variation 1 under Clause 16B?
			<p>ground residential units must be located above ground floor.</p> <p>2. The amenity for the occupiers of the residential units; and</p> <p>3. Consistency with the Local Centre Zone Design Guide.</p> <p>Or adopt any other such relief, including additions, deletions or consequential amendments necessary as a result of the matters raised in this submission, as necessary to give effect to this submission.</p>					
69.22	Paremata Business Park Ltd	LCZ-S6	<p>Amend:</p> <p>1. Each residential unit located on the ground floor must be provided with an outdoor living space that:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Has a minimum area of 20m²; 2. Has a minimum dimension of 3m; 3. Is directly accessible from a habitable room in the residential unit to which it relates; and 4. Is free of buildings, parking spaces and manoeuvring areas. <p>2. Each residential unit located entirely above ground floor must be provided with a space that is multifunctional and can be used as an outdoor and indoor living space in the form of a balcony, a juliet balcony deck or roof terrace or sunroom than an outdoor living space in the form of a balcony, deck or roof terrace that:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Has a minimum area of 10m²; 2. Has a minimum dimension of 2m; and 3. Is directly accessible from a habitable room in the residential unit to which it relates. <p>3. For multi-unit housing, the outdoor living space can be provided as private space and shared space provided that:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Each residential unit is provided with a private outdoor living space 	3.7.5	Accept in part	See body of the report	No	Yes

Sub. Ref.	Submitter / Further Submitter	Provision	Decision Requested	Section of this Report where Addressed	Officer's Recommendation	Officers' Reasons/Comments	Recommended Amendments to PDP?	Deemed to be a submission against Variation 1 under Clause 16B?
			<p>that has a minimum area of 10m² with a minimum dimension of 2m, that is directly accessible from a habitable room in the residential unit to which it relates;</p> <p>2. The shared outdoor living space has a minimum area of 20m² with a minimum dimension of 3m; and</p> <p>3. Any ground floor outdoor living space is free of buildings, parking spaces and manoeuvring areas.</p> <p>Or adopt any other such relief, including additions, deletions or consequential amendments necessary as a result of the matters raised in this submission, as necessary to give effect to this submission.</p>					
81.724	Kāinga Ora	LCZ-S6	<p>Amend:</p> <p>1. Each residential unit located on the ground floor must be provided with an outdoor living space that:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Has a minimum area of 20m²; Has a minimum dimension of 3m; Is directly accessible from a habitable room <u>or kitchen</u> in the residential unit to which it relates; and Is free of buildings, parking spaces and manoeuvring areas. <p>2. Each residential unit located entirely above ground floor must be provided with an outdoor living space in the form of a balcony, deck or roof terrace that:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Has a minimum area of 6m² 10m²; Has a minimum dimension of 1.8m 2m; and Is directly accessible from a habitable room <u>or kitchen</u> in the residential unit to which it relates. <p>3. For multi-unit housing, The outdoor living space can be provided as private space and shared space provided that:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Each residential unit is provided with a private outdoor living space that has a minimum area of 6m² 10m² with a minimum dimension of 1.8m 2m, that is directly accessible from a habitable room <u>or kitchen</u> in the residential unit to which it relates; The shared outdoor living space has a minimum area of 20m² with a minimum dimension of 3m; and Any ground floor outdoor living space is free of buildings, parking spaces and manoeuvring areas. <p>Matters of discretion are restricted to:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Whether adequate useable space is provided to accommodate outdoor activities; Whether there are topographical or other site constraints that make compliance with the standard impractical; <u>and</u> The proximity of the residential unit to accessible public open space; <u>and</u> 	3.7.5	Accept in part	See body of the report	No	Yes

Sub. Ref.	Submitter / Further Submitter	Provision	Decision Requested	Section of this Report where Addressed	Officer's Recommendation	Officers' Reasons/Comments	Recommended Amendments to PDP?	Deemed to be a submission against Variation 1 under Clause 16B?		
			4. Consistency with the Local Centre Zone Design Guide.							
81.725	Kāinga Ora	LCZ-S7	<p>Amend:</p> <p>1. Any on-site service area, including rubbish collection areas, and area for the outdoor storage of goods or materials must, without preventing the provision of an entry point to the site, be fully screened by a fence or landscaping where it is visible from any:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Public road; Other public space; and Directly adjoining site zoned General Residential, Medium Density Residential, Open Space or Sport and Active Recreation. <p>2. Any on-site parking area must:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Be fully screened by a fence or landscaping from any directly adjoining site zoned General Residential, Medium Density Residential, Open Space or Sport and Active Recreation. Where located along a street edge, provide a landscaping strip that extends at least 1.5m from the boundary with the road and comprise a mix of trees, shrubs and ground cover plants, without preventing the provision of an entry point to the site. <p>Except that:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The landscaping requirement for on-site parking areas along a street edge does not apply to individual parking spaces for residential development, if provided. <p>Matters of discretion are restricted to:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Any adverse effects on the streetscape; The visual amenity of adjoining Residential and Open Space and Recreation zoned sites including shading; The service, storage and parking needs of the activity; and The size and location of service, storage and parking areas; and <p>5. Consistency with the Local Centre Zone Design Guide.</p>	n/a	Accept	Agree with submitter	No	Yes		
69.23	Paremata Business Park Ltd	LCZ-S7	<p>Amend:</p> <table border="1"> <tr> <td> <p>1. Any on-site service area, including rubbish collection areas, and area for the outdoor storage of goods or materials must, without preventing the provision of an entry point to the site, be fully screened by a fence or landscaping where it is visible from any:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Public road; Other public space; and Directly adjoining site zoned General Residential, Medium Density Residential, Open Space or Sport and Active Recreation. <p>2. Any on-site parking area must:</p> </td> <td> <p>Matters of discretion are restricted to:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Any adverse effects on the streetscape; The visual amenity of adjoining Residential and Open Space and Recreation zoned sites including shading; The service, storage and parking needs of the activity; and </td> </tr> </table>	<p>1. Any on-site service area, including rubbish collection areas, and area for the outdoor storage of goods or materials must, without preventing the provision of an entry point to the site, be fully screened by a fence or landscaping where it is visible from any:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Public road; Other public space; and Directly adjoining site zoned General Residential, Medium Density Residential, Open Space or Sport and Active Recreation. <p>2. Any on-site parking area must:</p>	<p>Matters of discretion are restricted to:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Any adverse effects on the streetscape; The visual amenity of adjoining Residential and Open Space and Recreation zoned sites including shading; The service, storage and parking needs of the activity; and 	3.7.5	Reject	See body of the report	No	Yes
<p>1. Any on-site service area, including rubbish collection areas, and area for the outdoor storage of goods or materials must, without preventing the provision of an entry point to the site, be fully screened by a fence or landscaping where it is visible from any:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Public road; Other public space; and Directly adjoining site zoned General Residential, Medium Density Residential, Open Space or Sport and Active Recreation. <p>2. Any on-site parking area must:</p>	<p>Matters of discretion are restricted to:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Any adverse effects on the streetscape; The visual amenity of adjoining Residential and Open Space and Recreation zoned sites including shading; The service, storage and parking needs of the activity; and 									

Sub. Ref.	Submitter / Further Submitter	Provision	Decision Requested	Section of this Report where Addressed	Officer's Recommendation	Officers' Reasons/Comments	Recommended Amendments to PDP?	Deemed to be a submission against Variation 1 under Clause 16B?
			<p>a. Be fully screened by a fence or landscaping from any directly adjoining site zoned General Residential, Medium Density Residential, Open Space or Sport and Active Recreation.</p> <p>b. Where located along a street edge, provide a landscaping strip that extends at least 1.5m from the boundary with the road and comprise a mix of trees, shrubs and ground cover plants, without preventing the provision of an entry point to the site.</p> <p>Except that:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The landscaping requirement for on-site parking areas along a street edge does not apply to individual parking spaces for residential development, if provided. <p>Or adopt any other such relief, including additions, deletions or consequential amendments necessary as a result of the matters raised in this submission, as necessary to give effect to this submission.</p>					
9.8	Bunnings Limited	LCZ-S7	<p>Amend standard as follows:</p> <p>1. Any on-site service area, including rubbish collection areas, and area for the outdoor storage of goods or materials must, without preventing the provision of an entry point to the site, be fully adequately screened by a <u>1.8m</u> fence or <u>2m</u> landscaping <u>buffer</u> where they are visible from any:</p> <p>a. Public road; b. Other public space; and c. Directly adjoining site zoned General Residential, Medium Density Residential, Open Space or Sport and Active Recreation.</p> <p>2. Any on-site parking area must:</p> <p>a. Be fully adequately screened by a <u>1.8m</u> fence or <u>2m</u> landscaping from any directly adjoining site zoned General Residential, Medium Density Residential, Open Space or Sport and Active Recreation.</p> <p>b. Where located along a street edge, provide a landscaping strip that extends at least 1.5m from the boundary with the road and comprise a mix of trees, shrubs and ground cover plants, without preventing the provision of an entry point to the site.</p> <p>Except that: The landscaping requirement for on-site parking areas along a street edge does not apply to individual parking spaces for residential development, if provided.</p>	3.7.5	Accept in part	See body of the report	No	Yes

Sub. Ref.	Submitter / Further Submitter	Provision	Decision Requested	Section of this Report where Addressed	Officer's Recommendation	Officers' Reasons/Comments	Recommended Amendments to PDP?	Deemed to be a submission against Variation 1 under Clause 16B?
92.8	Z Energy Limited	LCZ-S7	Amend the rule to require screening of activities at ground level only from adjoining sites.	3.7.5	Reject	See body of the report	No	Yes
122.18 ²⁷³	Foodstuffs	LCZ-S7	Amend the standard as follows: Matters of discretion are restricted to: 1. Any adverse effects on the streetscape; 2. The visual amenity of adjoining Residential and Open Space and Recreation zoned sites including shading; 3. The service, storage and parking needs of the activity; 4. The size and location of service, storage and parking areas; and 5. Consistency with the Local Centre Zone Design Guide.	n/a	Accept	Agree with submitter	No	Yes
122.15	Foodstuffs	LCZ-S7	Amend the standard as follows: 1. Any on-site service area, including rubbish collection areas, and area for the outdoor storage of goods or materials must, without preventing the provision of an entry point to the site, be fully-adequately screened by a <u>1.8m</u> fence or <u>2m</u> landscaping <u>buffer</u> where they are visible from any: a. Public road; b. Other public space; and c. Directly adjoining site zoned General Residential, Medium Density Residential, Open Space or Sport and Active Recreation. 2. Any on-site parking area must: a. Be fully-adequately screened by a <u>1.8m</u> fence or <u>2m</u> landscaping from any directly adjoining site zoned General Residential, Medium Density Residential, Open Space or Sport and Active Recreation. b. Where located along a street edge, provide a landscaping strip that extends at least 1.5m from the boundary with the road and comprise a mix of trees, shrubs and ground cover plants, without preventing the provision of an entry point to the site. Except that: The landscaping requirement for on-site parking areas along a street edge does not apply to individual parking spaces for residential development, if provided.	3.7.5	Accept in part	See body of the report	No	Yes
LFRZ – Large Format Retail Zone								
144.25	Harvey Norman	LFRZ-O1	Retain as notified.	n/a	Accept	Agree with submitter	No	No
144.26	Harvey Norman	LFRZ-O2	Retain as notified.	Error! Reference source not found.	Accept in part	See body of report	No	Yes
144.27	Harvey Norman	LFRZ-O3	Retain as notified.	Error! Reference source not found.	Accept in part	See body of report	No	Yes

²⁷³ Supported by Kāinga Ora [FS65.378]

Sub. Ref.	Submitter / Further Submitter	Provision	Decision Requested	Section of this Report where Addressed	Officer's Recommendation	Officers' Reasons/Comments	Recommended Amendments to PDP?	Deemed to be a submission against Variation 1 under Clause 16B?
144.28	Harvey Norman	LFRZ-P1	Retain as notified.	Error! Reference source not found.	Accept in part	See body of report	No	Yes
144.29	Harvey Norman	LFRZ-P2	Retain as notified.	Error! Reference source not found.	Accept in part	See body of report	No	Yes
144.30	Harvey Norman	LFRZ-P3	Delete Policies P3.3 and P3.4 or address these under LFRZ-P5 (Building development).	Error! Reference source not found.	Accept in part	See body of report	No	Yes
144.31	Harvey Norman	LFRZ-P4	Retain as notified.	Error! Reference source not found.	Accept in part	See body of report	No	Yes
144.33	Harvey Norman	LFRZ-P5	Amend policy by removing clause LFRZ-P5-3. Amend policy by removing clause LFRZ-P5-5 unless the related rules and design guides are amended to target more specific activities/areas.	Error! Reference source not found.	Accept in part, insofar as it relates to removal of LFRZ-P5-3	See body of report	No	Yes
144.34	Harvey Norman	LFRZ-P6	Amend policy by removing clause LFRZ-P6-3.	Error! Reference source not found.	Accept in part	See body of report	No	Yes
122.38	Foodstuffs	New provision	Insert new rule providing for supermarkets in the LFRZ as a permitted activity.	n/a	Accept	Agree with submitter. Included in Variation 1 amendments.	No	Yes
144.35	Harvey Norman	LFRZ-R1	Amend rule to remove clauses LFRZ-R1-2 and LFRZ-R1-3.	Error! Reference source not found.	Accept in part	See body of report	No	Yes
144.36	Harvey Norman	LFRZ-R2	Amend rule to remove clauses LFRZ-R2-2 and LFRZ-R2-3.	Error! Reference source not found.	Accept in part	See body of report	No	Yes
144.37	Harvey Norman	LFRZ-R5	Retain as notified.	Error! Reference source not found.	Reject	See body of report	No	Yes
9.9	Bunnings Limited	LFRZ-R7	Retain the rule as notified.	Error! Reference source not found.	Reject	See body of report	No	Yes

Sub. Ref.	Submitter / Further Submitter	Provision	Decision Requested	Section of this Report where Addressed	Officer's Recommendation	Officers' Reasons/Comments	Recommended Amendments to PDP?	Deemed to be a submission against Variation 1 under Clause 16B?
144.38	Harvey Norman	LFRZ-R7	Retain as notified.	Error! Reference source not found.	Reject	See body of report	No	Yes
144.39	Harvey Norman	LFRZ-R8	Amend rule by removing clauses LFRZ-R8-1 and LFRZ-R8-2 and replace with the following: Activity Status: Restricted Discretionary, where compliance is achieved with LFRZ-S1 to LFRZ-S9. <u>Where compliance is not achieved with the above standards, a restricted discretionary activity resource consent is required in respect of that non-compliance. The matters of assessment include:</u> <u>a. any objective or policy which is relevant to the standard;</u> <u>b. the purpose of the standard and whether that purpose will still be achieved if consent is granted;</u> <u>c. any special or unusual characteristic of the site which is relevant to the standard;</u> <u>d. the effects of the infringement of the standard; and</u> <u>e. where more than one standard will be infringed, the effects of all infringements considered together.</u>	Error! Reference source not found.	Reject	See body of report	No	Yes
9.10	Bunnings Limited	LFRZ-R9	Retain the rule as notified.	Error! Reference source not found.	Reject	See body of report	No	Yes
122.19	Foodstuffs	LFRZ-R9	Retain LFRZ as notified.	Error! Reference source not found.	Reject	See body of report	No	Yes
144.40	Harvey Norman	LFRZ-R9	Retain, subject to the proposed changes to LFRZ-R13.	Error! Reference source not found.	Reject	See body of report	No	Yes
144.41	Harvey Norman	LFRZ-R13	Provide for one food and beverage tenancy up to 250m ² GFA for each large format retail tenancy on a site as a permitted activity. Where compliance is not achieved, a restricted discretionary activity is required.	Error! Reference source not found.	Accept in part	See body of report	Yes	Yes
134.28	Ministry of Education	LFRZ-R16	Retain as proposed	Error! Reference source not found.	Reject	See body of report	No	Yes
119.69	FENZ	LFRZ-R18	Retain as proposed.	Error! Reference source not found.	Reject	See body of report	No	Yes
144.42	Harvey Norman	LFRZ-R22	Amend the rule to permit industrial activities. Alternatively, rezone 5 John Seddon St and the Large Format Retail Zone to the east of the City Centre to General Industrial.	Error! Reference	Reject	See body of report	No	No

Sub. Ref.	Submitter / Further Submitter	Provision	Decision Requested	Section of this Report where Addressed	Officer's Recommendation	Officers' Reasons/Comments	Recommended Amendments to PDP?	Deemed to be a submission against Variation 1 under Clause 16B?
				source not found.				
144.43	Harvey Norman	LFRZ-S1	Retain as notified.	Error! Reference source not found.	Reject	See body of report	No	Yes
144.44	Harvey Norman	LFRZ-S3	Retain as notified.	Error! Reference source not found.	Reject	See body of report	No	Yes
144.45	Harvey Norman	LFRZ-S4	Delete this standard. Alternatively, make new buildings and structures (LFRZ-R8) a permitted activity, subject to complying with standards.	Error! Reference source not found.	Accept in part	See body of report	No	Yes
122.20	Foodstuffs	LFRZ-S4	Amend the standard as follows: 1. For sites with primary street-facing façade controls identified on the planning maps, <u>new buildings shall provide the following:</u> a. At least 40% of the primary ground floor building frontage must be display windows or transparent glazing; and b. The principal public entrance to the building must be located on the front boundary. 2. For sites with secondary street-facing façade controls identified on the planning maps <u>for new buildings</u> at least 20% of the ground floor building frontage must be display windows or transparent glazing.	Error! Reference source not found.	Accept in part	See body of report	No	Yes
122.21	Foodstuffs	LFRZ-S6	Amend the standard as follows: 1. Any on-site parking area must be fully adequately screened by a <u>1.8m</u> fence or <u>2m</u> landscaping from any directly adjoining site zoned General Residential Zone, Medium Density Residential Zone, Open Space Zone or Sport and Active Recreation Zone. 2. At least 5% of any ground level parking area not contained within a building must be landscaped. 3. Where a ground level parking area adjoins the street edge, a landscaping strip must be provided along the street edge, that extends at least 1.5m from the boundary with a road and comprise a mix of trees, shrubs and ground cover plants, without preventing the provision of an entry point.	Error! Reference source not found.	Accept in part	See body of report	Yes	Yes
122.23 ²⁷⁴	Foodstuffs	LFRZ-S6	Amend matters of discretion for the standard as follows: Matters of discretion are restricted to: 1. Any adverse effects on the streetscape; 2. The visual amenity of adjoining Residential or Open Space and Recreation sites including shading and loss of privacy; 3. The parking needs of the activity; and 4. Consistency with the Large Format Retail Zone Design Guide.	Error! Reference source not found.	Accept in part	See body of report	No	Yes

²⁷⁴ Supported by Kāinga Ora [FS65.381]

Sub. Ref.	Submitter / Further Submitter	Provision	Decision Requested	Section of this Report where Addressed	Officer's Recommendation	Officers' Reasons/Comments	Recommended Amendments to PDP?	Deemed to be a submission against Variation 1 under Clause 16B?
9.11	Bunnings Limited	LFRZ-S6	Amend rule as follows: 1. Any on-site parking area must be fully adequately screened by a <u>1.8m</u> fence or <u>2m</u> landscaping from any directly adjoining site zoned General Residential Zone, Medium Density Residential Zone, Open Space Zone or Sport and Active Recreation Zone. 2. At least 5% of any ground level parking area not contained within a building must be landscaped. 3. Where a ground level parking area adjoins the street edge, a landscaping strip must be provided along the street edge, that extends at least 1.5m from the boundary with a road and comprise a mix of trees, shrubs and ground cover plants, without preventing the provision of an entry point.	Error! Reference source not found.	Accept in part	See body of report	Yes	Yes
9.12	Bunnings Limited	LFRZ-S7	Amend rule LFRZ-S7 Service areas and outdoor storage to be read as follows: 1. Any on-site service area, including rubbish collection areas, and outdoor storage of goods or materials must, without preventing the provision of an entry point to the site, be fully adequately screened by a <u>1.8m</u> fence or <u>2m</u> landscaping where it is visible from any: a. Public road; b. Other public space; and c. Directly adjoining site zoned General Residential, Medium Density Residential, Open Space or Sport and Active Recreation.	Error! Reference source not found.	Accept in part	See body of report	No	Yes
122.24 ²⁷⁵	Foodstuffs	LFRZ-S7	Amend matters of discretion for the standard as follows: Matters of discretion are restricted to: 1. Any adverse effects on the streetscape; 2. The visual amenity of adjoining Residential or Open Space and Recreation sites including shading and loss of privacy; 3. The service and storage needs of the activity; 4. The size and location of the service and storage areas; and 5. Consistency with the Large Format Retail Zone Design Guide.	Error! Reference source not found.	Accept in part	See body of report	No	Yes
122.22	Foodstuffs	LFRZ-S7	Amend the standard as follows: 1. Any on-site service area, including rubbish collection areas, and outdoor storage of goods or materials must, without preventing the provision of an entry point to the site, be fully adequately screened by a <u>1.8m</u> fence or <u>2m</u> landscaping where it is visible from any: a. Public road; b. Other public space; and c. Directly adjoining site zoned General Residential, Medium Density Residential, Open Space or Sport and Active Recreation.	Error! Reference source not found.	Accept in part	See body of report	No	Yes
MUZ – Mixed Use Zone								
136.2	Porirua Chamber of Commerce	General	Consider reinforcing the rights of existing commercial and light industrial land users to continue to grow and expand their businesses in mixed use zones.	Error! Reference source not found.	Reject	See body of report	No	No

²⁷⁵ Supported by Kāinga Ora [FS65.382]

Sub. Ref.	Submitter / Further Submitter	Provision	Decision Requested	Section of this Report where Addressed	Officer's Recommendation	Officers' Reasons/Comments	Recommended Amendments to PDP?	Deemed to be a submission against Variation 1 under Clause 16B?
81.727	Kāinga Ora	Multiple provisions Notification preclusions	Kāinga Ora seeks consequential changes consistent with its overall submission on the Plan. Key areas of concern are (but not limited to): 1. Deletion of reference to Design Guides and requirement that development be "consistent" with these to achieve compliance; 2. Review and re-drafting of notification exclusion clauses; 3. Removal of provisions specific to "multi-unit housing" and integration within policies, rules and standards more generally; 4. Review and increase height limits, both generally and in accordance with walkable catchments within proximity of the City Centre and Rapid Transit Stops. In places, this will require the introduction of a height variation control; 5. Change language to align with NPS-UD - "planned built urban form" in anticipation of changing character and associated amenity values; 6. Amend provisions with direct 'avoid' statements. This needs to be qualified in light of the King Salmon meaning of 'avoid; and 7. Consequential changes to the numbering of provisions following changes sought throughout chapter.	Error! Reference source not found.	Accept in part	See body of report	No	Yes
81.728	Kāinga Ora	Introduction	Retain as notified.	Error! Reference source not found.	Reject	See body of report	No	Yes
135.12	Dept. of Corrections	Objectives	Retain.	Error! Reference source not found.	Reject	See body of report	No	Yes
81.729	Kāinga Ora	MUZ-O1	Amend: The Mixed Use Zone accommodates a wide range of activities, including commercial, <u>residential</u> , recreational, community and compatible light industrial activities, that service both businesses and surrounding residential catchments, as well as residential activities.	n/a	Accept	Agree with submitter	No	Yes
81.730	Kāinga Ora	MUZ-O2	Amend: <u>MUZ-O2 Planned urban built environment of the Mixed Use Zone</u> The Mixed Use Zone is a vibrant, attractive and safe urban environment, with well-designed buildings and sites that: 1. Reflect the mix of activities in the area; 2. Are generally of a medium-rise scale; and 3. Contribute positively to and integrate well with the <u>planned urban built form of the surrounding area.</u>	Error! Reference source not found.	Accept in part	See body of report.	No	Yes
81.731	Kāinga Ora	MUZ-O3	Amend: Use and development within the Mixed Use Zone: 1. Are of an appropriate scale and proportion for the <u>planned urban form of the zone</u> ; and 2. Have minimal <u>Minimise</u> adverse effects on the amenity values of adjacent sites in Residential Zones and Open Space and Recreation Zones.	Error! Reference source not found.	Accept in part	See body of report.	No	Yes
135.13	Dept. of Corrections	Policies	Retain.	Error! Reference	Reject	See body of report.	No	Yes

Sub. Ref.	Submitter / Further Submitter	Provision	Decision Requested	Section of this Report where Addressed	Officer's Recommendation	Officers' Reasons/Comments	Recommended Amendments to PDP?	Deemed to be a submission against Variation 1 under Clause 16B?
				source not found.				
81.732	Kāinga Ora	MUZ-P1	Amend: Enable activities that are consistent with the <u>planned purpose, character and amenity values</u> and urban built form of the Mixed Use Zone, which provides for a large variety of compatible activities.	Error! Reference source not found.	Accept in part	See body of report.	No	Yes
81.733	Kāinga Ora	MUZ-P2	Amend: Provide for residential activity where: 1. Any residential unit is designed to <u>incorporate adequate provision of onsite amenity for the occupants and minimise reverse sensitivity effects on commercial activities.</u> ; a. — Ensure that indoor noise and ventilation levels are appropriate for occupants; and b. — Provide for the amenity values of occupants in respect of outlook, privacy, daylight and site design; 2. It is consistent with the Mixed Use Zone Design Guide contained in APP5-Mixed Use Zone Design Guide; and 3. Reverse sensitivity effects on commercial activities are minimised.	Error! Reference source not found.	Accept in part	See body of report.	No	Yes
81.734	Kāinga Ora	MUZ-P3	Amend: Only allow Provide for other activities where: 1. Any significant a Adverse effects, including reverse sensitivity effects, can be avoided, remedied or mitigated; 2. The intensity and scale of the activity is consistent with the <u>planned urban built environment</u> anticipated character and amenity values of the Mixed Use Zone; 3. The design and location of any <u>onsite</u> parking areas, vehicle access and servicing arrangements maintain streetscape amenity and do not compromise pedestrian and cyclist safety; 4. For any retirement village: a. On-site amenity for residents is provided, which reflects the nature of and diverse needs of residents of the village; and b. Any potential reverse sensitivity effects on the continued operation of non-residential activities are minimised; 5. <u>Activation is achieved along identified street frontages</u> ; Any change to an active street frontage identified on the planning maps is consistent with the relevant frontage provisions of the Mixed Use Zone Design Guide contained in APP5-Mixed Use Zone Design Guide ; and 6. The activity is of a size and scale that: a. Does not compromise activities that are enabled within the zone; and b. Does not undermine the role and function of the Industrial or City Centre Zones.	Error! Reference source not found.	Accept in part	See body of report.	No	Yes
81.735	Kāinga Ora	MUZ-P4	Avoid activities that are incompatible with the <u>planned urban built form, role, and function</u> anticipated purpose, character and amenity values of the Mixed Use Zone <u>where effects cannot be mitigated or managed.</u>	Error! Reference	Accept in part	See body of report.	No	Yes

Sub. Ref.	Submitter / Further Submitter	Provision	Decision Requested	Section of this Report where Addressed	Officer's Recommendation	Officers' Reasons/Comments	Recommended Amendments to PDP?	Deemed to be a submission against Variation 1 under Clause 16B?
				source not found.				
81.736	Kāinga Ora	MUZ-P5	Provide for built development that: 6. Is of a scale that is compatible with the <u>planned urban built form</u> , role and function of the Mixed Use Zone; 7. Reflects the anticipated medium-density scale and built character of the Mixed Use Zone; 8. Is well designed and contributes to an attractive mixed-use environment; <u>and</u> 9. Provides active street frontages in locations identified on the planning maps; and 10. Is consistent with the Mixed Use Zone Design Guide contained in APP5-Mixed Use Zone Design Guide.	Error! Reference source not found.	Accept in part	See body of report.	No	Yes
81.737	Kāinga Ora	MUZ-P6	Amend: Provide for development that: 1. Creates an attractive a positive interface with the public space through high quality building designs; 2. Ensures any parking, storage and servicing areas are visually unobtrusive and preferably located within or to the rear of the building; 3. Where located along an active street frontage identified on the planning maps, creates a positive interface with the public space and contributes to the streetscape well defined open spaces through by <u>ensuring</u> : a. Buildings that are oriented towards the front boundary of the site; b. Transparent glazing on the ground floor that allows visibility into and out of commercial frontages and reflects whether it is a primary or secondary frontage; and c. Obvious and highlighted public entrances; and 4. Is consistent with the Mixed Use Zone Design Guide contained in APP5-Mixed Use Zone Design Guide.	Error! Reference source not found.	Accept in part	See body of report.	No	Yes
81.738	Kāinga Ora	MUZ-P7	Retain as notified.	Error! Reference source not found.	Reject	See body of report.	No	Yes
135.5	Dept. of Corrections	Rules	Amend the rules to include community corrections activities as a Permitted Activity.	n/a	Accept	Agree with submitter	No	Yes
135.19 ²⁷⁶	Dept. of Corrections	General	Amend the Mixed Use Zone rules to include "supported residential care activities" as a Permitted Activity.	n/a	Accept	Agree with submitter	No	Yes
122.39	Foodstuffs	New Provision	Insert new rule in the MUZ specifically providing for supermarkets as a permitted activity.	Error! Reference source not found.	Accept in part	See body of report.	No	Yes

²⁷⁶ Supported by Kāinga Ora [FS65.389]

Sub. Ref.	Submitter / Further Submitter	Provision	Decision Requested	Section of this Report where Addressed	Officer's Recommendation	Officers' Reasons/Comments	Recommended Amendments to PDP?	Deemed to be a submission against Variation 1 under Clause 16B?
81.739	Kāinga Ora	MUZ-R1 Notification preclusion	<p>Amend:</p> <p>1. Activity status: Permitted</p> <p>Where:</p> <p>a. The gross floor area of the new building does not exceed 450m²;</p> <p>b. Any addition to an existing building does not result in the total gross floor area of the building exceeding 450m²; and</p> <p>c. Compliance is achieved with:</p> <p>i.MUZ-S1;</p> <p>ii.MUZ-S2;</p> <p>iii.MUZ-S3;</p> <p>iv.MUZ-S4;</p> <p>v.MUZ-S5; and</p> <p>vi.MUZ-S6.</p> <p>2. Activity status: Restricted discretionary</p> <p>Where:</p> <p>a. Compliance is not achieved with MUZ-R1-1.a or MUZ-R1-1.b.</p> <p>Matters of discretion are restricted to:</p> <p>1. The matters in MUZ-P5 and MUZ-P6.</p> <p>Notification:</p> <p>An application under this rule is precluded from being publicly <u>and limited</u> notified in accordance with sections 95A and 95B of the RMA.</p> <p>3. Activity status: Restricted discretionary</p> <p>Where:</p> <p>a. Compliance is not achieved with MUZ-S1, MUZ-S2, MUZ-S3, MUZ-S4, MUZ-S5 or MUZ-S6.</p> <p>Matters of discretion are restricted to:</p> <p>1. The matters of discretion of any infringed standard.</p> <p>Notification:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> An application under this rule where compliance is not achieved with MUZ-S2, MUZ-S3, MUZ-S4 or MUZ-S6 is precluded from being publicly notified in accordance with section 95A of the RMA. An application under this rule where compliance is not achieved with <u>MUZ-S4 and</u> MUZ-S5 is precluded from being publicly or limited notified in accordance with sections 95A and 95B of the RMA. <p><u>Note:</u></p> <p><u>Acceptable means of achieving best practice urban design guidance is contained within Porirua City Council's Mixed Use Design Guidelines.</u></p>	Error! Reference source not found.	Accept in part	See body of report.	No	Yes
81.740	Kāinga Ora	MUZ-R2	Retain as notified.	n/a	Accept	Agree with submitter	No	No
81.741	Kāinga Ora	MUZ-R3	Retain as notified.	Error! Reference source not found.	Reject	See body of report.	No	Yes

Sub. Ref.	Submitter / Further Submitter	Provision	Decision Requested	Section of this Report where Addressed	Officer's Recommendation	Officers' Reasons/Comments	Recommended Amendments to PDP?	Deemed to be a submission against Variation 1 under Clause 16B?
9.13	Bunnings Limited	MUZ-R3	Retain rule as notified.	Error! Reference source not found.	Reject	See body of report.	No	Yes
122.25	Foodstuffs	MUZ-R3	Retain rule MUZ-R3 as notified.	Error! Reference source not found.	Reject	See body of report.	No	Yes
81.742	Kāinga Ora	MUZ-R4	Retain as notified.	Error! Reference source not found.	Reject	See body of report.	No	Yes
81.743	Kāinga Ora	MUZ-R5	Amend: 1. Activity status: Permitted Where: a. The gross floor area per tenancy does not exceed 450m² 200m ² ; and b. Compliance is achieved with MUZ-S6. 2. Activity status: Restricted discretionary Where: a. Compliance is not achieved with MUZ-R5-1.a. Matters of discretion are restricted to: 1. The matters in MUZ-P3. 3. Activity status: Restricted discretionary Where: a. Compliance is not achieved with MUZ-S6. Matters of discretion are restricted to: 1. The matters of discretion of any infringed standard. Notification: An application under this rule where compliance is not achieved with MUZ-S6 is precluded from being publicly notified in accordance with section 95A of the RMA.	Error! Reference source not found.	Reject	See body of report.	No	Yes
81.744	Kāinga Ora	MUZ-R6	Retain as notified.	Error! Reference source not found.	Reject	See body of report.	No	Yes
81.745	Kāinga Ora	MUZ-R7	Retain as notified.	Error! Reference source not found.	Reject	See body of report.	No	Yes
81.746	Kāinga Ora	MUZ-R8	Retain as notified.	Error! Reference source not found.	Reject	See body of report.	No	Yes
81.747	Kāinga Ora	MUZ-R9	Retain as notified.	Error! Reference	Reject	See body of report.	No	Yes

Sub. Ref.	Submitter / Further Submitter	Provision	Decision Requested	Section of this Report where Addressed	Officer's Recommendation	Officers' Reasons/Comments	Recommended Amendments to PDP?	Deemed to be a submission against Variation 1 under Clause 16B?
				source not found.				
81.748	Kāinga Ora	MUZ-R10	Retain as notified.	Error! Reference source not found.	Reject	See body of report.	No	Yes
134.29	Ministry of Education	MUZ-R10	Retain as proposed.	Error! Reference source not found.	Reject	See body of report.	No	Yes
81.749	Kāinga Ora	MUZ-R11	Retain as notified.	Error! Reference source not found.	Reject	See body of report.	No	Yes
81.750	Kāinga Ora	MUZ-R12	Retain as notified.	Error! Reference source not found.	Reject	See body of report.	No	Yes
81.751	Kāinga Ora	MUZ-R13	Retain as notified.	Error! Reference source not found.	Reject	See body of report.	No	Yes
92.10	Z Energy Limited	MUZ-R13	Retain the rule.	Error! Reference source not found.	Reject	See body of report.	No	Yes
81.752	Kāinga Ora	MUZ-R14	<p>Amend: <u>MUZ-R14 Residential activity, residential unit, and supported residential care activity</u> 1. Activity status: Permitted Where: a. — No more than two residential units occupy the site; and b. — Compliance is achieved with MUZ-S5.</p> <p>2. Activity status: Restricted discretionary Where: a. — Compliance is not achieved with MUZ-R14-1.a.</p> <p>Matters of discretion are restricted to: 1. — The matters in MUZ-P2 and MUZ-P6.</p> <p>Notification: An application under this rule is precluded from being publicly notified in accordance with section 95A of the RMA.</p> <p>3. Activity status: Restricted discretionary Where: a. — Compliance is not achieved with MUZ-S5.</p> <p>Matters of discretion are restricted to: 1. — The matters of discretion of any infringed standard.</p>	Error! Reference source not found.	Reject	See body of report.	No	Yes

Sub. Ref.	Submitter / Further Submitter	Provision	Decision Requested	Section of this Report where Addressed	Officer's Recommendation	Officers' Reasons/Comments	Recommended Amendments to PDP?	Deemed to be a submission against Variation 1 under Clause 16B?
			Notification: An application under this rule where compliance is not achieved with MUZ-S5 is precluded from being publicly or limited notified in accordance with sections 95A and 95B of the RMA.					
81.753	Kāinga Ora	MUZ-R15	Retain as notified.	Error! Reference source not found.	Reject	See body of report.	No	Yes
9.14	Bunnings Limited	MUZ-R16	Retain the restricted discretionary activity status for trade suppliers under rule MUZ-R16. Delete the qualifying thresholds under MUZ-R16-1 and MUZ-R16-2.	Error! Reference source not found.	Reject	See body of report.	No	Yes
81.754	Kāinga Ora	MUZ-R16	Retain as notified.	Error! Reference source not found.	Reject	See body of report.	No	Yes
81.755	Kāinga Ora	MUZ-R17	Retain as notified.	Error! Reference source not found.	Reject	See body of report.	No	Yes
190.3	Paremata Residents Association	MUZ-R17	Amend the rule to limit a Light Industrial Activity to 1,500m ² gross floor area.	Error! Reference source not found.	Reject	See body of report.	No	Yes
119.70	FENZ	MUZ-R18	Retain as proposed.	Error! Reference source not found.	Reject	See body of report.	No	Yes
81.756	Kāinga Ora	MUZ-R18	Retain as notified.	Error! Reference source not found.	Reject	See body of report.	No	Yes
81.758	Kāinga Ora	MUZ-R19	Retain as notified.	n/a	Accept	Agree with submitter	No	No
81.759	Kāinga Ora	MUZ-R20	Retain as notified.	n/a	Accept	Agree with submitter	No	No
81.760	Kāinga Ora	MUZ-R21	Retain as notified.	n/a	Accept	Agree with submitter	No	No
81.761	Kāinga Ora	MUZ-R22	Retain as notified.	n/a	Accept	Agree with submitter	No	No
81.762	Kāinga Ora	MUZ-S1	Amend: 1. All buildings and structures must not exceed a maximum height above ground level of 16m <u>12m</u> , except that: a. An additional 1m can be added to the maximum height of any building with a roof slope of 15° or greater; and	Error! Reference source not found.	Accept in part	See body of report.	No	Yes

Sub. Ref.	Submitter / Further Submitter	Provision	Decision Requested	Section of this Report where Addressed	Officer's Recommendation	Officers' Reasons/Comments	Recommended Amendments to PDP?	Deemed to be a submission against Variation 1 under Clause 16B?
			<p>b. Any fence or standalone wall along a side or rear boundary which adjoins a site zoned General Residential Zone, Medium Density Residential Zone, Open Space Zone or Sport and Active Recreation Zone must not exceed 2m in height.</p> <p>This standard does not apply to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Solar water heating components provided these do not exceed the height by more than 1m; Chimney structures not exceeding 1.1m in width on any elevation and provided these do not exceed the height by more than 1m; Antennas, aerials, and flues provided these do not exceed the height by more than 1m; or Satellite dishes (less than 1m in diameter) and architectural features (e.g. finials, spires) provided these do not exceed the height by more than 1m. Lift overruns provided these do not exceed the height by more than 1m. <p>Matters of discretion are restricted to:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> The location, design and appearance of the building or structure; Any adverse effects on the streetscape <u>taking into account the context, topography of the site and its surrounds and planned urban built form</u>; Visual dominance, shading and loss of privacy for adjoining Residential or Open Space and Recreation zoned sites; Compatibility with the anticipated scale, proportion and context of buildings, structures and activities in the surrounding area; <u>and</u> Whether an increase in building height results from a response to natural hazard mitigation; <u>and</u> Consistency with the Mixed Use Zone Design Guide. 					
81.949	Kāinga Ora	MUZ-S1	Increase height limit in the Mixed Use Zone to 16m.	Error! Reference source not found.	Accept in part	See body of report.	No	Yes
122.27 ²⁷⁷	Foodstuffs	MUZ-S1	<p>Amend matters of discretion for the standard as follows:</p> <p>Matters of discretion are restricted to:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> The location, design and appearance of the building or structure; Any adverse effects on the streetscape; Visual dominance, shading and loss of privacy for adjoining Residential or Open Space and Recreation zoned sites; Compatibility with the anticipated scale, proportion and context of buildings, structures and activities in the surrounding area; Whether an increase in building height results from a response to natural hazard mitigation; and 	n/a	Accept	Agree with Submitter	No	Yes

²⁷⁷ Supported by Kāinga Ora [FS65.392]

Sub. Ref.	Submitter / Further Submitter	Provision	Decision Requested	Section of this Report where Addressed	Officer's Recommendation	Officers' Reasons/Comments	Recommended Amendments to PDP?	Deemed to be a submission against Variation 1 under Clause 16B?
			6. Consistency with the Mixed Use Zone Design Guide.					
81.763	Kāinga Ora	MUZ-S2	Retain as notified.	Error! Reference source not found.	Reject	See body of report.	No	Yes
81.764	Kāinga Ora	MUZ-S3	Retain as notified.	Error! Reference source not found.	Reject	See body of report.	No	Yes
81.765	Kāinga Ora	MUZ-S4	Amend: 1. For sites with primary frontage street-facing façade controls identified on the planning maps: a. At least 20% of the ground floor building frontage must be display windows or transparent glazing; and b. The principal public entrance to the building must be located on the front boundary. 2. For sites with secondary frontage street-facing façade controls identified on the planning maps at least 10% of the ground floor building frontage must be display windows or transparent glazing. Except that: • The active street frontage requirements do not apply to residential activities and residential units on the ground floor. Matters of discretion are restricted to: 1. The amenity and quality of the streetscape; and 2. The ability to reuse and adapt the building for a variety of activities; and 3. Consistency with the Mixed Use Zone Design Guide.	n/a	Accept in part	Agree with submitter	No	Yes
122.28 ²⁷⁸	Foodstuffs	MUZ-S4	Amend matters of discretion for the standard as follows: Matters of discretion are restricted to: 1. The amenity and quality of the streetscape; 2. The ability to reuse and adapt the building for a variety of activities; and 3. Consistency with the Mixed Use Zone Design Guide.	n/a	Accept in part	Agree with submitter	No	Yes
81.766	Kāinga Ora	MUZ-S5	Amend: 1. Each residential unit located on the ground floor must be provided with an outdoor living space that: a. Has a minimum area of 20m ² ; b. Has a minimum dimension of 3m; c. Is directly accessible from a habitable room <u>or kitchen</u> in the residential unit to which it relates; and d. Is free of buildings, parking spaces and manoeuvring areas. 2. Each residential unit located entirely above ground floor must be provided with an outdoor living space in the form of a balcony, deck or roof terrace that:	Error! Reference source not found.	Accept in part	See body of report.	No	Yes

²⁷⁸ Supported by Kāinga Ora [FS65.393]

Sub. Ref.	Submitter / Further Submitter	Provision	Decision Requested	Section of this Report where Addressed	Officer's Recommendation	Officers' Reasons/Comments	Recommended Amendments to PDP?	Deemed to be a submission against Variation 1 under Clause 16B?
			<p>a. Has a minimum area of 6m² 10m²;</p> <p>b. Has a minimum dimension of 1.8m 2m; and</p> <p>c. Is directly accessible from a habitable room <u>or kitchen</u> in the residential unit to which it relates.</p> <p>3. For multi-unit housing, the outdoor living space can be provided as private space and shared space provided that:</p> <p>a. Each residential unit is provided with a private outdoor living space that has a minimum area of 6m² 10m² with a minimum dimension of 1.8m 2m, that is directly accessible from a habitable room <u>or kitchen</u> in the residential unit to which it relates;</p> <p>b. The shared outdoor living space has a minimum area of 20m² with a minimum dimension of 3m; and</p> <p>c. Any ground floor outdoor living space is free of buildings, parking spaces and manoeuvring areas.</p> <p>Matters of discretion are restricted to:</p> <p>1. Whether adequate useable space is provided to accommodate outdoor activities;</p> <p>2. Whether there are topographical or other site constraints that make compliance with the standard impractical; <u>and</u></p> <p>3. The proximity of the residential unit to accessible public open space; <u>and</u></p> <p>4. Consistency with the Mixed Use Zone Design Guide.</p>					
81.767	Kāinga Ora	MUZ-S6	<p>Amend:</p> <p>1. Any on-site service area, including rubbish collection areas, and area for the outdoor storage of goods or materials must, without preventing the provision of an entry point to the site, be fully screened by a fence or landscaping where they are visible from any:</p> <p>a. Public road;</p> <p>b. Other public space; and</p> <p>c. Directly adjoining site zoned General Residential Zone, Medium Density Residential Zone, Open Space Zone or Sport and Active Recreation Zone.</p> <p>2. Any on-site parking area must:</p> <p>a. Be fully screened by a fence or landscaping from any directly adjoining site zoned General Residential, Medium Density Residential, Open Space or Sport and Active Recreation.</p> <p>b. If located along a street edge, provide a landscaping strip along the frontage, that extends at least 1.5m from the boundary with the road and comprise a mix of trees, shrubs and ground cover plants without preventing the provision of an entry point to the site.</p> <p>Except that:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The landscaping requirement for on-site parking areas along a street edge does not apply to individual parking spaces for residential development, if provided. <p>Matters of discretion are restricted to:</p>	n/a	Accept	Agree with submitter	No	Yes

Sub. Ref.	Submitter / Further Submitter	Provision	Decision Requested	Section of this Report where Addressed	Officer's Recommendation	Officers' Reasons/Comments	Recommended Amendments to PDP?	Deemed to be a submission against Variation 1 under Clause 16B?
			<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Any adverse effects on the streetscape; 2. The visual amenity of adjoining Residential and Open Space and Recreation zoned sites including shading; 3. The service, storage and parking needs of the activity; and 4. The size and location of service, storage and parking areas; and 5. Consistency with the Mixed Use Zone Design Guide. 					
9.15	Bunnings Limited	MUZ-S6	<p>Amend standard to read as follows:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Any on-site service area, including rubbish collection areas, and area for the outdoor storage of goods or materials must, without preventing the provision of an entry point to the site, be fully adequately screened by a <u>1.8m</u> fence or <u>2m</u> landscaping where they are visible from any: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> a. Public road; b. Other public space; and c. Directly adjoining site zoned General Residential Zone, Medium Density Residential Zone, Open Space Zone or Sport and Active Recreation Zone. 2. Any on-site parking area must: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> a. Be fully adequately screened by a <u>1.8m</u> fence or <u>2m</u> landscaping from any directly adjoining site zoned General Residential, Medium Density Residential, Open Space or Sport and Active Recreation. b. If located along a street edge, provide a landscaping strip along the frontage, that extends at least 1.5m from the boundary with the road and comprise a mix of trees, shrubs and ground cover plants without preventing the provision of an entry point to the site. <p>Except that: The landscaping requirement for on-site parking areas along a street edge does not apply to individual parking spaces for residential development, if provided.</p> 	Error! Reference source not found.	Accept in part	See body of report.	No	Yes
122.26	Foodstuffs	MUZ-S6	<p>Amend rule MUZ-S6 Screening and landscaping of service areas, outdoor storage areas and parking areas to be read as follows:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Any on-site service area, including rubbish collection areas, and area for the outdoor storage of goods or materials must, without preventing the provision of an entry point to the site, be fully adequately screened by a <u>1.8m</u> fence or <u>2m</u> landscaping where they are visible from any: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> a. Public road; b. Other public space; and c. Directly adjoining site zoned General Residential Zone, Medium Density Residential Zone, Open Space Zone or Sport and Active Recreation Zone. 2. Any on-site parking area must: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> a. Be fully adequately screened by a <u>1.8m</u> fence or <u>2m</u> landscaping from any directly adjoining site zoned General Residential, Medium Density Residential, Open Space or Sport and Active Recreation. b. If located along a street edge, provide a landscaping strip along the frontage, that extends at least 1.5m from the boundary with the road 	Error! Reference source not found.	Accept in part	See body of report.	No	Yes

Sub. Ref.	Submitter / Further Submitter	Provision	Decision Requested	Section of this Report where Addressed	Officer's Recommendation	Officers' Reasons/Comments	Recommended Amendments to PDP?	Deemed to be a submission against Variation 1 under Clause 16B?
			and comprise a mix of trees, shrubs and ground cover plants without preventing the provision of an entry point to the site. Except that: The landscaping requirement for on-site parking areas along a street edge does not apply to individual parking spaces for residential development, if provided.					
122.29 ²⁷⁹	Foodstuffs	MUZ-S6	Amend matters of discretion for the standard as follows: Matters of discretion are restricted to: 1. Any adverse effects on the streetscape; 2. The visual amenity of adjoining Residential and Open Space and Recreation zoned sites including shading; 3. The service, storage and parking needs of the activity; 4. The size and location of service, storage and parking areas; and 5. Consistency with the Mixed Use Zone Design Guide.	n/a	Accept	Agree with submitter	No	Yes
CCZ – Central City Zone / MCZ – Metropolitan Centre Zone								
81.768 ²⁸⁰	Kāinga Ora	Multiple provisions Notification preclusions	Kāinga Ora seeks consequential changes consistent with its overall submission on the Plan. Key areas of concern are (but not limited to): 1. Deletion of reference to Design Guides and requirement that development be “consistent” with these to achieve compliance; 2. Review and re-drafting of notification exclusion clauses; 3. Change language to align with NPS-UD - “planned built urban form” in anticipation of changing character and associated amenity values; 4. Increased spatial extent and consequential changes; 5. Review and amendment to height standard and consequential changes; 6. Amend provisions with direct ‘avoid’ statements. This needs to be qualified in light of the King Salmon meaning of ‘avoid; and 7. Consequential changes to the numbering of provisions following changes sought throughout chapter.	Error! Reference source not found.	Accept in part	See body of report.	No	Yes
81.769	Kāinga Ora	General	Amend: The Porirua City Centre is the primary commercial centre at the heart of the City. It is characterised by a medium to <u>It has a planned urban built form that reflects a high density built environment and with high-quality public spaces.</u> The City Centre Zone provides for a diverse range of commercial, retail, community and recreational activities and offers a variety of employment and living opportunities. The City Centre Zone encourages <u>enables</u> high-density residential developments such as apartments above ground floor that will contribute to providing wider housing choices for the City. There is also the opportunity for redevelopment within the City centre where there are areas of land that are not being used as intensively <u>as is enabled by the planned urban built form of the zone they could be.</u>	Error! Reference source not found.	Accept in part	See body of report.	No	Yes

²⁷⁹ Supported by Kāinga Ora [FS65.394]

²⁸⁰ Opposed by Russell Morrison [FS22.21]

Sub. Ref.	Submitter / Further Submitter	Provision	Decision Requested	Section of this Report where Addressed	Officer's Recommendation	Officers' Reasons/Comments	Recommended Amendments to PDP?	Deemed to be a submission against Variation 1 under Clause 16B?
			Activities and buildings along identified active street frontages interact with the streets and public spaces and contribute to a vibrant and attractive City centre. New buildings and development are well designed and reflect the high-quality urban environment. The land to the west of Titahi Bay Road, bound by Heriot Drive, Lyttleton Avenue Titahi Bay Road and Hagley Street and widely referred to as Bunnings Bank, is included within the City Centre Zone. Specific provisions for this area support a variety of development options, including residential development, while still enabling development that is consistent with the underlying City Centre Zone.					
135.10	Dept. of Corrections	Objectives	Retain.	Error! Reference source not found.	Accept in part	See body of report.	No	Yes
144.46	Harvey Norman	CCZ-O1	Retain as notified.	Error! Reference source not found.	Accept in part	See body of report.	No	Yes
81.770	Kāinga Ora	CCZ-O1	Retain as notified.	Error! Reference source not found.	Accept in part	See body of report.	No	Yes
81.771	Kāinga Ora	CCZ-O2	Amend: <u>CCZ-O2 Planned urban built environment of the City Centre Zone</u> The scale, form and design of use and development <u>planned urban built form</u> in the City Centre is characterised by: 1. A built form that is compact and reflects the high-density environment of the City Centre; 2. A built environment that is versatile, well designed and of high quality and contributes to attractive and safe public spaces; and 3. An urban environment that is an attractive place to live, work and visit.	Error! Reference source not found.	Accept in part	See body of report.	No	Yes
144.47	Harvey Norman	CCZ-O2	Retain as notified.	Error! Reference source not found.	Accept in part	See body of report.	No	Yes
135.11	Dept. of Corrections	Policies	Retain.	Error! Reference source not found.	Reject	See body of report.	No	Yes
144.48	Harvey Norman	CCZ-P1	Retain as notified.	Error! Reference source not found.	Reject	See body of report.	No	Yes
81.772	Kāinga Ora	CCZ-P1	Amend:	Error! Reference	Accept in part	See body of report.	No	Yes

Sub. Ref.	Submitter / Further Submitter	Provision	Decision Requested	Section of this Report where Addressed	Officer's Recommendation	Officers' Reasons/Comments	Recommended Amendments to PDP?	Deemed to be a submission against Variation 1 under Clause 16B?
			Enable activities that are compatible with the planned purpose, character and amenity values and urban built form of the City Centre Zone.	source not found.				
81.773	Kāinga Ora	CCZ-P2	Amend: Provide for more intensive <u>high density</u> residential activity where: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. It is located above ground floor, except for: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> a. The Bunnings Bank site as identified in <u>CCZ-Figure 1</u>, where residential activity on the ground floor is enabled; 2. It does not interrupt or preclude <u>compromise</u> an ongoing active street frontage that provides a positive interface with the public space; <u>and</u> 3. Any residential unit is designed to <u>incorporate adequate provision of onsite amenity for the occupants and minimise reverse sensitivity effects on commercial activities.</u> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> a. Ensure that indoor noise and ventilation levels are appropriate for occupants; and b. Provide for the amenity values of occupants in respect of outlook, privacy, daylight and site design; 4. It is consistent with the City Centre Zone Design Guide contained in APP4 City Centre Zone Design Guide; and 5. Reverse sensitivity effects on commercial activities are minimised. 	Error! Reference source not found.	Accept in part	See body of report.	No	Yes
144.49	Harvey Norman	CCZ-P2	Retain as notified.	Error! Reference source not found.	Reject	See body of report.	No	Yes
81.774	Kāinga Ora	CCZ-P3	Amend: Only allow Provide for other activities where: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Any significant adverse effects, including reverse sensitivity effects on the continued operation of established activities, can be avoided, remedied or mitigated; 2. The intensity and scale of the activity is consistent with the anticipated character and amenity values <u>planned urban built form</u> of the City Centre Zone and does not compromise activities that are enabled within the zone; 3. <u>The activity supports the role and function of the City Centre;</u> 4. For any retirement village: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> a. On-site amenity for residents is provided, which reflects the nature of and diverse needs of residents of the village; and b. Any potential reverse sensitivity effects on the continued operation of non-residential activities are minimised; and c. <u>Activation is achieved along identified street frontages. Any change to an active street frontage identified on the planning maps is consistent with the City Centre Zone Design Guide contained in APP4 City Centre Zone Design Guide.</u> 	Error! Reference source not found.	Accept in part	See body of report.	No	Yes
144.50	Harvey Norman	CCZ-P3	Retain as notified.	Error! Reference	Reject	See body of report.	No	Yes

Sub. Ref.	Submitter / Further Submitter	Provision	Decision Requested	Section of this Report where Addressed	Officer's Recommendation	Officers' Reasons/Comments	Recommended Amendments to PDP?	Deemed to be a submission against Variation 1 under Clause 16B?
				source not found.				
144.51	Harvey Norman	CCZ-P4	Retain as notified.	Error! Reference source not found.	Reject	See body of report.	No	Yes
81.775	Kāinga Ora	CCZ-P4	Amend: Avoid activities that are incompatible with the <u>planned urban built form, role, and function</u> anticipated purpose, character and amenity values of the City Centre Zone where effects cannot be mitigated or managed.	Error! Reference source not found.	Accept in part	See body of report.	No	Yes
144.52	Harvey Norman	CCZ-P5	Retain as notified.	Error! Reference source not found.	Reject	See body of report.	No	Yes
81.776	Kāinga Ora	CCZ-P5	Amend: Provide for and encourage high quality and high-density built development that: 1. Acknowledges and reflects the <u>planned purpose and urban built form purpose, scale and context</u> of the City Centre Zone; 2. Aligns with the anticipated compact, high density character envisaged for the City Centre Zone; 3. Is well designed and contributes actively to creating safe and vibrant public spaces; 4. Provides active street frontages in locations identified on the planning maps; 5. Provides visual interest by using a variety of building forms, materials and colours; <u>and</u> 6. Is consistent with the City Centre Zone Design Guide contained in APP4 City Centre Zone Design Guide; and 7. Where applicable, enhances the connection to the Porirua Stream and addresses potential impacts on the openness and historical and cultural values of the stream.	Error! Reference source not found.	Accept in part	See body of report.	No	Yes
81.777	Kāinga Ora	CCZ-P6	Amend: Where located along an active street frontage identified on the planning maps, require development to provide <u>an attractive a positive</u> interface with the public space and <u>the streetscape by ensuring</u> contribute to creating well defined public spaces through: 1. Buildings that are built up to the front boundary of the site; 2. Continuous active street frontages <u>is provided</u> ; 3. Verandas or other forms of pedestrian shelter <u>is provided</u> ; 4. Transparent glazing <u>is incorporated</u> on the ground floor that allows visibility into and out of commercial frontages and reflects whether it is a primary or secondary frontage; 5. Obvious and highlighted public entrances <u>are provided</u> ; <u>and</u> 6. Visually unobtrusive parking, storage and servicing areas, <u>are located</u> preferably within or to the rear of the building; and	Error! Reference source not found.	Accept in part	See body of report.	No	Yes

Sub. Ref.	Submitter / Further Submitter	Provision	Decision Requested	Section of this Report where Addressed	Officer's Recommendation	Officers' Reasons/Comments	Recommended Amendments to PDP?	Deemed to be a submission against Variation 1 under Clause 16B?
			7. Consistency with the City Centre Zone Design Guide contained in APP4 City Centre Zone Design Guide.					
144.53	Harvey Norman	CCZ-P6	Retain as notified.	Error! Reference source not found.	Reject	See body of report.	No	Yes
144.54	Harvey Norman	CCZ-P7	Retain as notified.	Error! Reference source not found.	Reject	See body of report.	No	Yes
135.4	Dept. of Corrections	Rules	Amend the rules to include community corrections activities as a Permitted Activity.	n/a	Accept	Agree with submitter	No	Yes
122.40	Foodstuffs	New Provision	Insert new rule in the CCZ specifically providing for supermarkets as a permitted activity.	Error! Reference source not found.	Accept in part	See body of report	No	Yes
81.779	Kāinga Ora	CCZ-R1 Notification preclusion	<p>Amend:</p> <p>1. Activity status: Permitted Where:</p> <p>a. The external building form (floor area and height) of the existing building remains unchanged.</p> <p>2. Activity status: Restricted discretionary Where:</p> <p>a. Compliance is not achieved with CCZ-R1-1.a; and</p> <p>b. Compliance is achieved with</p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;">i. CCZ-S1; ii. CCZ-S2; iii. CCZ-S3; iv. CCZ-S4; v. CCZ-S5; and vi. CCZ-S6</p> <p>Matters of discretion are restricted to:</p> <p>1. The matters in CCZ-P5, CCZ-P6, and CCZ-P7.</p> <p>Notification: An application under this rule is precluded from being publicly <u>and limited notified</u> in accordance with sections 95A and 95B of the RMA.</p> <p>3. Activity status: Discretionary Where:</p> <p>a. Compliance is not achieved with CCZ-S1, CCZ-S2, CCZ-S3, CCZ-S4, CCZ-S5, and CCZ-S6.</p>	Error! Reference source not found.	Accept in part	See body of report	No	Yes
144.55	Harvey Norman	CCZ-R1	Amend rule by removing clauses CCZ-R1-2 and CCZ-R1-3.	Error! Reference source not found.	Accept in part	See body of report	No	Yes

Sub. Ref.	Submitter / Further Submitter	Provision	Decision Requested	Section of this Report where Addressed	Officer's Recommendation	Officers' Reasons/Comments	Recommended Amendments to PDP?	Deemed to be a submission against Variation 1 under Clause 16B?
144.56	Harvey Norman	CCZ-R2	Amend rule by removing clauses CCZ-R2-2 and CCZ-R2-3.	Error! Reference source not found.	Reject	See body of report	No	Yes
120.6	Woolworths	CCZ-R2	Amend rule matters of discretion under Rule CCZ-R2 as follows: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Remove reference to policy CCZ-P7; and Remove the need under CCZ-P5 and CCZ-P6 for consistency with the Design Guide and replace with the following wording: <i>Regard should be had to the City Centre Zone Design Guide contained in APP4-City Centre Zone Design Guide.</i> 	Error! Reference source not found.	Reject	See body of report	No	Yes
81.780	Kāinga Ora	CCZ-R2 Notification preclusion	Amend: 1. Activity status: Permitted Where: a. The gross floor area of the additions is less than 5% of the gross floor area of the existing building. 2. Activity status: Restricted discretionary Where: a. Compliance is not achieved with CCZ-R2-1.a; and b. Compliance is achieved with <ul style="list-style-type: none"> i. CCZ-S1; ii. CCZ-S2; iii. CCZ-S3; iv. CCZ-S4; v. CCZ-S5; and vi. CCZ-S6 Matters of discretion are restricted to: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The matters in CCZ-P5, CCZ-P6, and CCZ-P7. Notification: An application under this rule is precluded from being publicly <u>and limited notified</u> in accordance with sections 95A and 95B of the RMA. 3. Activity status: Discretionary Where: a. Compliance is not achieved with CCZ-S1, CCZ-S2, CCZ-S3, CCZ-S4, CCZ-S5, and CCZ-S6.	Error! Reference source not found.	Reject	See body of report	No	Yes
81.781 ²⁸¹	Kāinga Ora	CCZ-R3 Notification preclusion	Amend: 1. Activity status: Permitted Where: a. The building or structure is ancillary to an activity already established on the site; b. The building or structure is not located along a primary frontage identified on the planning maps; c. The gross floor area of the building or structure is less than 25m ² ; d. The height of the building or structure is less than 3m; and	Error! Reference source not found.	Reject	See body of report	No	Yes

²⁸¹ Opposed by Te Rūnunga o Toa Rangatira [FS70.23]

Sub. Ref.	Submitter / Further Submitter	Provision	Decision Requested	Section of this Report where Addressed	Officer's Recommendation	Officers' Reasons/Comments	Recommended Amendments to PDP?	Deemed to be a submission against Variation 1 under Clause 16B?
			<p>e. The building or structure is screened and not visible from any public road or other public space.</p> <p>2. Activity status: Restricted discretionary Where:</p> <p>a. Compliance is not achieved with CCZ-R3-1; and</p> <p>b. Compliance is achieved with:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> i. CCZ-S1; ii. CCZ-S2; iii. CCZ-S3; iv. CCZ-S4; v. CCZ-S5; and vi. CCZ-S6. <p>Matters of discretion are restricted to:</p> <p>1. The matters in CCZ-P5, CCZ-P6 and CCZ-P7.</p> <p>Notification: An application under this rule is precluded from being publicly and limited notified in accordance with sections 95A and 95B of the RMA.</p> <p>3. Activity status: Discretionary Where:</p> <p>a. Compliance is not achieved with CCZ-S1, CCZ-S2, CCZ-S3, CCZ-S4, CCZ-S5 or CCZ-S6.</p>					
144.57	Harvey Norman	CCZ-R3	Amend rule by removing clauses CCZ-R3-2 and CCZ-R3-3.	Error! Reference source not found.	Reject	See body of report	No	Yes
144.58	Harvey Norman	CCZ-R4	Retain as notified.	Error! Reference source not found.	Reject	See body of report	No	Yes
81.782	Kāinga Ora	CCZ-R4	Retain as notified.	Error! Reference source not found.	Reject	See body of report	No	Yes
144.59	Harvey Norman	CCZ-R5	Retain as notified.	n/a	Accept	Agree with submitter	No	No
122.30	Foodstuffs	CCZ-R5	Retain rule CCZ-R5 Retail activity as notified.	n/a	Accept	Agree with submitter	No	No
81.783	Kāinga Ora	CCZ-R5	Retain as notified.	n/a	Accept	Agree with submitter	No	No
9.16	Bunnings Limited	CCZ-R5	Retain rule as notified.	n/a	Accept	Agree with submitter	No	No
81.784	Kāinga Ora	CCZ-R6	Retain as notified.	n/a	Accept	Agree with submitter	No	No
144.60	Harvey Norman	CCZ-R6	Retain as notified.	n/a	Accept	Agree with submitter	No	No

Sub. Ref.	Submitter / Further Submitter	Provision	Decision Requested	Section of this Report where Addressed	Officer's Recommendation	Officers' Reasons/Comments	Recommended Amendments to PDP?	Deemed to be a submission against Variation 1 under Clause 16B?
144.61	Harvey Norman	CCZ-R7	Retain as notified.	n/a	Accept	Agree with submitter	No	No
81.785	Kāinga Ora	CCZ-R7	Retain as notified.	n/a	Accept	Agree with submitter	No	No
81.786	Kāinga Ora	CCZ-R8	Retain as notified.	n/a	Accept	Agree with submitter	No	No
144.62	Harvey Norman	CCZ-R8	Retain as notified.	n/a	Accept	Agree with submitter	No	No
144.63	Harvey Norman	CCZ-R9	Retain as notified.	n/a	Accept	Agree with submitter	No	No
81.787	Kāinga Ora	CCZ-R9	Retain as notified.	n/a	Accept	Agree with submitter	No	No
81.788	Kāinga Ora	CCZ-R9	Retain as notified.	n/a	Accept	Agree with submitter	No	No
81.789	Kāinga Ora	CCZ-R10	Retain as notified.	n/a	Accept	Agree with submitter	No	No
81.790	Kāinga Ora	CCZ-R11	Retain as notified	n/a	Accept	Agree with submitter	No	No
134.30	Ministry of Education	CCZ-R11	Retain as proposed.	n/a	Accept	Agree with submitter	No	No
81.791	Kāinga Ora	CCZ-R12	Retain as notified.	n/a	Accept	Agree with submitter	No	No
81.792	Kāinga Ora	CCZ-R13	Retain as notified.	Error! Reference source not found.	Reject	See body of report	No	Yes
81.793	Kāinga Ora	CCZ-R14	Change title of Rule CCZ-R14 to: <u>CCZ-R14 Residential activity, residential unit, and supported residential care activity</u> Otherwise, retain as notified.	Error! Reference source not found.	Reject	See body of report	No	Yes
144.64	Harvey Norman	CCZ-R14	Retain as notified.	Error! Reference source not found.	Reject	See body of report	No	Yes
81.794 ²⁸²	Kāinga Ora	CCZ-R15 Notification preclusion	Amend: 1. Activity status: Restricted discretionary Where: a. Compliance is achieved with: i. CCZ-S1; ii. CCZ-S2; iii. CCZ-S3; iv. CCZ-S4; v. CCZ-S5; and vi. CCZ-S6.	Error! Reference source not found.	Reject	See body of report	No	Yes

²⁸² Opposed by Te Rūnunga o Toa Rangatira [FS70.24]

Sub. Ref.	Submitter / Further Submitter	Provision	Decision Requested	Section of this Report where Addressed	Officer's Recommendation	Officers' Reasons/Comments	Recommended Amendments to PDP?	Deemed to be a submission against Variation 1 under Clause 16B?
			<p>Matters of discretion are restricted to:</p> <p>1. The matters in CCZ-P5, CCZ-P6 and CCZ-P7.</p> <p>Notification: An application under this rule is precluded from being publicly and limited notified in accordance with sections 95A and 95B of the RMA.</p> <p>2. Activity status where compliance not achieved: Discretionary Where:</p> <p>a. Compliance is not achieved with CCZ-S1, CCZ-S2, CCZ-S3, CCZ-S4, CCZ-S5 or CCZ-S6.</p>					
9.17	Bunnings Limited	CCZ-R15	Retain rule as notified.	Error! Reference source not found.	Reject	See body of report	No	Yes
144.65	Harvey Norman	CCZ-R15	<p>Amend rule to remove clauses CCZ-R15-1 and CCZ-R15-2 and replace with the following: Activity Status: Restricted Discretionary, where compliance is achieved with CCZ-S1 to CCZ-S6. <u>Where compliance is not achieved with the above standards, a restricted discretionary activity resource consent is required in respect of that non-compliance. The matters of assessment include:</u></p> <p>f. any objective or policy which is relevant to the standard; g. the purpose of the standard and whether that purpose will still be achieved if consent is granted; h. any special or unusual characteristic of the site which is relevant to the standard; i. the effects of the infringement of the standard; and j. where more than one standard will be infringed, the effects of all infringements considered together.</p>	Error! Reference source not found.	Reject	See body of report	No	Yes
122.31	Foodstuffs	CCZ-R15	Retain the rule as notified.	Error! Reference source not found.	Reject	See body of report	No	Yes
120.7	Woolworths	CCZ-R16	<p>Amend the rule as follows:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Supermarkets be made a permitted activity in the City Centre Zone; If Council do not agree to supermarkets being a Permitted Activity in the City Centre Zone, and thereby require resource consent as a Restricted Discretionary Activity, amend the matters of discretion to remove the requirement for consistency with the City Centre Zone Design Guide, and instead replace with the following wording: <i>Regard should be had to the City Centre Zone Design Guide contained in APP4-City Centre Zone Design Guide.</i> <p>Provide a non-notification clause for both limited and public notification.</p>	Error! Reference source not found.	Accept in part Accept	See body of report See supplementary statement of evidence of Michael Rachlin	No Yes	Yes

Sub. Ref.	Submitter / Further Submitter	Provision	Decision Requested	Section of this Report where Addressed	Officer's Recommendation	Officers' Reasons/Comments	Recommended Amendments to PDP?	Deemed to be a submission against Variation 1 under Clause 16B?
144.66	Harvey Norman	CCZ-R16	Amend the rule as follows: 1. Activity status: Restricted discretionary Permitted Matters of discretion are restricted to the matters in CCZ-P3.	Error! Reference source not found. See supplementary statement of evidence of Michael Rachlin	Reject Accept	See body of report See supplementary statement of evidence	No Yes	Yes
81.795	Kāinga Ora	CCZ-R16	Retain as notified.	n/a See supplementary statement of evidence of Michael Rachlin	Accept Reject	Agree with submitter See supplementary statement of evidence of Michael Rachlin	No Yes	No
81.796	Kāinga Ora	CCZ-R17	Retain as notified.	n/a	Accept	Agree with submitter	No	No
119.72	FENZ	CCZ-R17	Retain as proposed.	n/a	Accept	Agree with submitter	No	No
81.797	Kāinga Ora	CCZ-R18	Retain as notified.	Error! Reference source not found.	Reject	See body of report	No	Yes
81.798	Kāinga Ora	CCZ-R19	Amend 1. Activity status: Permitted <u>Where:</u> a. <u>Compliance is achieved with:</u> CCZ-S5 2. Activity status: Restricted discretionary <u>Where:</u> a. <u>Compliance is not achieved with:</u> a. <u>CCZ-R19.1-a.</u> Matters of discretion are restricted to: 1. <u>The matters in CCZ-P7.</u> Notification: <u>An application under this rule where compliance is not achieved is precluded from being publicly and limited notified in accordance with sections 95A and 95B of the RMA.</u> 1. Activity status: Restricted discretionary <u>Where:</u> b. <u>Compliance is achieved with:</u> a. <u>CCZ-S5 is complied with.</u> Matters of discretion are restricted to: 2. <u>The matters in CCZ-P7.</u>	Error! Reference source not found.	Accept in part	See body of report	No	Yes

Sub. Ref.	Submitter / Further Submitter	Provision	Decision Requested	Section of this Report where Addressed	Officer's Recommendation	Officers' Reasons/Comments	Recommended Amendments to PDP?	Deemed to be a submission against Variation 1 under Clause 16B?
			<p>Notification: An application under this rule where compliance is not achieved with CCZ-S5 is precluded from being publicly notified in accordance with section 95A of the RMA.</p> <p>2. Activity status: Discretionary</p> <p>-</p> <p>Where:</p> <p>a. — Compliance is not achieved with CCZ-S5.</p>					
9.19	Bunnings Limited	CCZ-R19:	Delete rule.	Error! Reference source not found.	Reject	See body of report	No	Yes
120.5	Woolworths	CCZ-R19	Amend the rule so that the activity status for ground level parking not visible from the road or a public space is a Permitted Activity. If Council do not agree to ground level parking not visible from the road or a public space being provided for as a Permitted Activity, amend the matters of discretion to remove the requirement for consistency with the City Centre Zone Design Guide and be replaced with the following wording: <i>Regard should be had to the City Centre Zone Design Guide contained in APP4-City Centre Zone Design Guide.</i>	Error! Reference source not found.	Reject	See body of report	No	Yes
122.32	Foodstuffs	CCZ-R19	Delete the rule.	Error! Reference source not found.	Reject	See body of report	No	Yes
144.67	Harvey Norman	CCZ-R19	Retain as notified.	Error! Reference source not found.	Reject	See body of report	No	Yes
144.68	Harvey Norman	CCZ-R20	Amend the rule to permit trade suppliers within the City Centre Zone.	Error! Reference source not found.	Reject	See body of report	No	No
9.18	Bunnings Limited	CCZ-R20	Retain rule as notified.	n/a	Accept	Agree with submitter	No	No
81.799	Kāinga Ora	CCZ-R20	Retain as notified.	n/a	Accept	Agree with submitter	No	No
81.800	Kāinga Ora	CCZ-R21	Retain as notified.	n/a	Accept	Agree with submitter	No	No
81.801	Kāinga Ora	CCZ-R22	Retain as notified.	n/a	Accept	Agree with submitter	No	No
81.802	Kāinga Ora	CCZ-R23	Retain as notified.	n/a	Accept	Agree with submitter	No	No
144.69	Harvey Norman	CCZ-R22	Retain as notified.	n/a	Accept	Agree with submitter	No	No

Sub. Ref.	Submitter / Further Submitter	Provision	Decision Requested	Section of this Report where Addressed	Officer's Recommendation	Officers' Reasons/Comments	Recommended Amendments to PDP?	Deemed to be a submission against Variation 1 under Clause 16B?
81.803	Kāinga Ora	CCZ-R24	Retain as notified.	n/a	Accept	Agree with submitter	No	No
81.804	Kāinga Ora	CCZ-R25	Retain as notified.	n/a	Accept	Agree with submitter	No	No
81.805 ²⁸³	Kāinga Ora	CCZ-S1	Amend: 1. All buildings and structures must not exceed a maximum height above ground level of 30m. There are no matters of discretion for this standard. <u>1. There is no maximum height limit in the City Centre.</u>	Error! Reference source not found.	Reject	See body of report	No	Yes
144.70	Harvey Norman	CCZ-S1	Retain as notified.	Error! Reference source not found.	Reject	See body of report	No	Yes
56.3	TJL Associates - Tom Colman	1. All buildings and structures must not exceed a maximum height above ground level of 30m. [...]	Retain provision as notified.	Error! Reference source not found.	Reject	See body of report	No	Yes
81.806	Kāinga Ora	CCZ-S2	Amend: 1. Along <u>For sites with primary frontages and building lines identified on the planning maps all buildings must be built up to and oriented towards the identified building line and provide a veranda that:</u> a. Extends along the entire length of the building frontage; b. Provides continuous shelter with any adjoining veranda; and c. Has a minimum setback of 500mm from any kerb face. 2. For sites with primary street-facing façade frontage controls identified on the planning maps: a. At least 55% of the ground floor building frontage must be display windows or transparent glazing; and b. The principal public entrance to the building must be located on the front boundary. 3. For sites with secondary street-facing façade frontage controls identified on the planning maps at least 35% of the ground floor building frontage must be display windows or transparent glazing.	Error! Reference source not found.	Accept in part	See body of report	No	Yes
144.71	Harvey Norman	CCZ-S2	Retain as notified.	Error! Reference source not found.	Reject	See body of report	No	Yes
122.34	Foodstuffs	CCZ-S2	Amend standard CCZ-S2 Active Street Frontages to be read as follows: 1. Along building lines identified on the planning maps all <u>new</u> buildings must be built up to and oriented towards the identified building line and provide a veranda that:	Error! Reference source not found.	Accept in part	See body of report	Yes	Yes

²⁸³ Opposed by [Name withheld for privacy reasons] [FS17.23]

Sub. Ref.	Submitter / Further Submitter	Provision	Decision Requested	Section of this Report where Addressed	Officer's Recommendation	Officers' Reasons/Comments	Recommended Amendments to PDP?	Deemed to be a submission against Variation 1 under Clause 16B?
			<p>a. Extends along the entire length of the building frontage; b. Provides continuous shelter with any adjoining veranda; and c. Has a minimum setback of 500mm from any kerb face.</p> <p>2. For sites with primary street-facing façade controls identified in the planning maps <u>new buildings shall provide the following:</u> a. At least 55% of the ground floor building frontage must be display windows or transparent glazing; and b. The principal public entrance to the building must be located on the front boundary.</p> <p>3. For sites with secondary street-facing façade controls identified in the planning maps <u>for new buildings</u> at least 35% of the ground floor building frontage must be display windows or transparent glazing.</p>					
120.8	Woolworths	CCZ-S2	Amend the standard to enable landscaping to be provided along the building line where it is not feasible to construct a building up to the identified building line or provide a continuous verandah and/or glazing.	Error! Reference source not found.	Reject	See body of report	No	Yes
81.807	Kāinga Ora	CCZ-S3	Retain as notified.	Error! Reference source not found.	Reject	See body of report	No	Yes
81.808	Kāinga Ora	CCZ-S4	<p>Amend:</p> <p>1. All <u>For sites on an identified Active Street Frontage on the planning maps all</u> residential units must be located above ground floor. This standard does not apply to residential development on the Bunnings Bank site as identified in CCZ-Figure 1.</p> <p>Matters of discretion are restricted to:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> The amenity and quality of the streetscape; <u>and</u> The amenity for the occupiers of the residential units; and Consistency with the City Centre Zone Design Guide. 	Error! Reference source not found.	Accept in part	See body of report	No	Yes
81.809	Kāinga Ora	CCZ-S5	<p>Amend:</p> <p>1. Any on-site ground level car parking must be located within or at the rear of the building that it serves. This standard does not apply to residential development on the Bunnings Bank site as identified in CCZ-Figure 1.</p> <p>Matters of discretion are restricted to:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> The amenity and quality of the streetscape; <u>and</u> The parking needs of the activity; and Consistency with the City Centre Zone Design Guide. 	n/a	Accept	Agree with submitter	No	Yes
9.20	Bunnings Limited	CCZ-S5	Delete standard.	Error! Reference source not found.	Reject	See body of report	No	Yes
122.35 ²⁸⁴	Foodstuffs	CCZ-S5	<p>Amend matters of discretion for the standard as follows: Matters of discretion are restricted to:</p>	n/a	Accept	Agree with submitter	No	Yes

Sub. Ref.	Submitter / Further Submitter	Provision	Decision Requested	Section of this Report where Addressed	Officer's Recommendation	Officers' Reasons/Comments	Recommended Amendments to PDP?	Deemed to be a submission against Variation 1 under Clause 16B?
			1. The amenity and quality of the streetscape; 2. The parking needs of the activity; and 3. Consistency with the City Centre Zone Design Guide					
122.33	Foodstuffs	CCZ-S5	Delete the standard.	Error! Reference source not found.	Reject	See body of report	No	Yes
144.72	Harvey Norman	CCZ-S5	Retain as notified.	Error! Reference source not found.	Reject	See body of report	No	Yes
81.810	Kāinga Ora	CCZ-S6	Amend: 1. Any on-site service area, including rubbish collection areas, and area for the outdoor storage of goods or materials must: a. Be located to the rear of the building; and b. Without preventing the provision of a gate or entry point to the site, be fully screened by a fence or landscaping where it is visible from the road or any other public space. Matters of discretion are restricted to: 1. The amenity and quality of the streetscape; and 2. The parking needs of the activity; and 3. Consistency with the City Centre Zone Design Guide.	n/a	Accept	Agree with submitter	No	Yes
122.36 ²⁸⁵	Foodstuffs	CCZ-S6	Amend matters of discretion for the standard as follows: Matters of discretion are restricted to: 1. The amenity and quality of the streetscape or public space; 2. Their service and storage needs of the activity; and 3. Consistency with the City Centre Zone Design Guide.	n/a	Accept	Agree with submitter	No	Yes
GIZ – General Industrial Zone								
81.811	Kāinga Ora	General	Retain as notified.	Error! Reference source not found.	Accept in part	See body of report	No	Yes
135.16	Dept. of Corrections	Objectives	Retain.	Error! Reference source not found.	Accept in part	See body of report	No	Yes
144.73	Harvey Norman	GIZ-O1	Retain as notified.	Error! Reference source not found.	Accept in part	See body of report	No	Yes
144.74	Harvey Norman	GIZ-O2	Retain as notified.	Error! Reference source not found.	Accept in part	See body of report	No	Yes

²⁸⁵ Supported by Kāinga Ora [FS65.399]

Sub. Ref.	Submitter / Further Submitter	Provision	Decision Requested	Section of this Report where Addressed	Officer's Recommendation	Officers' Reasons/Comments	Recommended Amendments to PDP?	Deemed to be a submission against Variation 1 under Clause 16B?
135.17	Dept. of Corrections	Policies	Retain.	Error! Reference source not found.	Accept in part	See body of report	No	Yes
144.75	Harvey Norman	GIZ-P1	Retain as notified.	Error! Reference source not found.	Accept in part	See body of report	No	Yes
135.7 ²⁸⁶	Dept. of Corrections	Rules	Amend the rules to include community corrections activities as a Permitted Activity.	Error! Reference source not found.	Reject	See body of report	No	Yes
134.31 ²⁸⁷	Ministry of Education	New Provision	Add new rule as follows: <u>GIZ-RXX Educational Facility</u> <u>1. Activity Status: Discretionary</u>	Error! Reference source not found.	Reject	See body of report	No	Yes
144.76	Harvey Norman	GIZ-R4	Retain as notified.	Error! Reference source not found.	Reject	See body of report	No	Yes
9.21	Bunnings Limited	GIZ-R13	Retain as notified.	Error! Reference source not found.	Reject	See body of report	No	Yes
119.73	FENZ	GIZ-R14	Retain as proposed.	Error! Reference source not found.	Reject	See body of report	No	Yes
9.22	Bunnings Limited	GIZ-S5	Amend standard as follows: 1. Any on-site service area, including rubbish collection areas, and area for the outdoor storage of goods or materials must, without preventing the provision of an entry point to the site, be fully <u>adequately</u> screened by a <u>1.8m</u> fence or <u>2m</u> landscaping where they are visible from any: a. Public road; b. Site in the Residential Zone; or c. Site in the Open Space and Recreation Zone.	Error! Reference source not found.	Accept in part	See body of report	No	Yes

Recommended responses to submissions and further submissions on Variation 1 to the PDP - Commercial and Mixed Use Zones and General Industrial Zone

²⁸⁶ Kāinga Ora [FS65.402]

²⁸⁷ Kāinga Ora [FS65.403]

Sub. Ref.	Submitter / Further Submitter	Provision	Decision Requested	Section of this Report where Addressed	Officer's Recommendation	Officers' Reasons/Comments	Recommended Amendments to PDP?
Centres hierarchy and distribution of business activities							
OS17.1	Leigh Subritzky	Commercial Zones - General	<p>[Not specified, refer to original submission].</p> <p>While no specific decision sought, the submitter raised the following matter(s):</p> <p><i>Feedback on the following topics:</i></p> <p>5. Revision of all commercial zones to enable taller buildings and increased housing in commercial areas.</p> <p>6. Replacement of the City Centre zone with the Metropolitan centre zone.</p> <p>7. Revised urban design guides for all residential and commercial zones.</p> <p>8. New maps showing the location of all new and revised zones, intensification precincts, and qualifying matters.</p> <p>The submitter is in favour of the topics raised in lieu of a bulldozer demolishing the city centre and starting again. Believes that in order to bring people back to the tumbleweed city centre, housing should be encouraged here. More housing in the city centre should be investigated instead of exciting neighbourhoods and Plimmerton Farms. Commercial areas such as Elsdon should be investigated but other commercial areas in the CBD should not be considered.</p> <p>The submitter concludes that they are in favour of housing in the city centre and some commercial areas. PCC has a responsibility to protect the land, people, flora and fauna over money.</p>	3.3	Accept in part	See body of report	No
OS76.28 ²⁸⁸	Kāinga Ora	General	Centres – generally better reflect design flexibility, planned urban built form, development density and height/daylight expectations.	3.3	Reject	See body of report	No
Retirement Villages Association							
OS118.109 ²⁸⁹	RVA	NCZ - New Provision	<p>Seeks the following policy:</p> <p>Provision of housing for an ageing population</p> <p>1. Provide for a diverse range of housing and care options that are suitable for the particular needs and characteristics of older persons in [add] zone, such as retirement villages.</p> <p>2. Recognise the functional and operational needs of retirement villages, including that they:</p> <p>a. May require greater density than the planned urban built character to enable efficient provision of services.</p> <p>b. Have unique layout and internal amenity needs to cater for the requirements of residents as they age.</p>	3.4	Reject	See body of report	No
OS118.110 ²⁹⁰	RVA	NCZ - New Provision	Seeks the following policy:	3.4	Reject	See body of report	No

²⁸⁸ Opposed by GWRC [FS74.127]

²⁸⁹ Supported by Ryman Healthcare Limited [FS67.111]

²⁹⁰ Supported by Ryman Healthcare Limited [FS67.112]

Sub. Ref.	Submitter / Further Submitter	Provision	Decision Requested	Section of this Report where Addressed	Officer's Recommendation	Officers' Reasons/Comments	Recommended Amendments to PDP?
			<p>Changing communities To provide for the diverse and changing residential needs of communities, recognise that the existing character and amenity of the [add] zone will change over time to enable a variety of housing types with a mix of densities.</p>				
OS118.111 ²⁹¹	RVA	NCZ - New Provision	<p>Seeks the following policy: Larger sites Recognise the intensification opportunities provided by larger sites within the [add] zone by providing for more efficient use of those sites.</p>	3.4	Reject	See body of report	No
OS118.112 ²⁹²	RVA	NCZ - General	Delete or amend other NCZ objectives and policies for consistency [with additional policies sought in separate submission points].	3.4	Reject	See body of report	No
OS118.113 ²⁹³	RVA	NCZ-R1	<p>Seeks that NCZ-R1 is amended as follows to include a set of focused matters of discretion that are applicable to retirement villages:</p> <p>NCZ-R1 New buildings and structures, and alterations, repairs and additions to existing buildings and structures</p> <p>1. Activity status: Permitted</p> <p>---</p> <p>2. Activity status: Restricted discretionary Where: a. Compliance is not achieved with NCZ-R1.a. Matters of discretion are restricted to:</p> <p>1. The matters of discretion of any infringed standards.</p> <p>3. Activity status: Restricted discretionary Where: a. Compliance is not achieved with NCZ-R1.a. b. The application is for a retirement village. Matters of discretion are restricted to:</p> <p><u>1. The matters of discretion of any infringed built form standards;</u> <u>2. The effects of the retirement village on the safety of adjacent streets or public open spaces;</u> <u>3. The effects arising from the quality of the interface between the retirement village and adjacent streets or public open spaces;</u> <u>4. The extent to which articulation, modulation and materiality addresses adverse visual dominance effects associated with building length;</u></p>	3.4	Reject	See body of report	No

²⁹¹ Supported by Ryman Healthcare Limited [FS67.113]

²⁹² Supported by Ryman Healthcare Limited [FS67.114]

²⁹³ Supported by Ryman Healthcare Limited [FS67.115]

Sub. Ref.	Submitter / Further Submitter	Provision	Decision Requested	Section of this Report where Addressed	Officer's Recommendation	Officers' Reasons/Comments	Recommended Amendments to PDP?
			<p>5. When assessing the matters in 1 - 5, consider:</p> <p>a. <u>The need to provide for efficient use of larger sites; and</u></p> <p>b. <u>The functional and operational needs of the retirement village.</u></p> <p>6. <u>The positive effects of the construction, development and use of the retirement village.</u></p> <p><u>For clarity, no other rules or matters of discretion relating to the effects of density apply to buildings for a retirement village.</u></p> <p>Notification:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • An application under this rule where compliance is not achieved with NCZ-S2, NCZ-S3 or NCZ-S7 is precluded from being publicly notified in accordance with section 95A of the RMA. • An application under this rule where compliance is not achieved with NCZ-S4 is precluded from being publicly or limited notified in accordance with sections 95A and 95B of the RMA. • An application under this rule that is associated with a retirement village is precluded from being publicly notified. • An application under this rule that is associated with a retirement village where compliance is achieved with NCZ-S1, NCZ-S2 and NCZ-S3 is precluded from being limited notified. 				
OS118.114 ²⁹⁴	RVA	NCZ-R20	<p>Seeks to amend the activity status of retirement villages as an activity to be provided for as a permitted activity (with the construction of a retirement villages provided for as a restricted discretionary activity under NCZ-R1).</p> <p>NCZ-R20 Retirement village</p> <p>1. Activity status: Discretionary Permitted</p>	3.4	Reject	See body of report	No
OS118.115 ²⁹⁵	RVA	LCZ-P4	<p>Delete LCZ-P4(3) and replace with the following policies:</p> <p>Provision of housing for an ageing population</p> <p>1. Provide for a diverse range of housing and care options that are suitable for the particular needs and characteristics of older persons in [add] zone, such as retirement villages.</p> <p>2. Recognise the functional and operational needs of retirement villages, including that they:</p> <p>a. May require greater density than the planned urban built character to enable efficient provision of services.</p>	3.4 Right of Reply of Michael Rachlin	Reject Accept in part	See body of report See Right of Reply of Michael Rachlin	No Yes

²⁹⁴ Supported by Ryman Healthcare Limited [FS67.116]

²⁹⁵ Supported by Ryman Healthcare Limited [FS67.117]

Sub. Ref.	Submitter / Further Submitter	Provision	Decision Requested	Section of this Report where Addressed	Officer's Recommendation	Officers' Reasons/Comments	Recommended Amendments to PDP?
			<p>b. Have unique layout and internal amenity needs to cater for the requirements of residents as they age.</p> <p>Changing communities To provide for the diverse and changing residential needs of communities, recognise that the existing character and amenity of the [add] zone will change over time to enable a variety of housing types with a mix of densities.</p> <p>Larger sites Recognise the intensification opportunities provided by larger sites within the [add] zone by providing for more efficient use of those sites. Delete or amend other LCZ objectives and policies for consistency.</p>				
OS118.116 ²⁹⁶	RVA	LCZ-R1	<p>Seeks that LCZ-R1 is amended as follows to include a set of focused matters of discretion that are applicable to retirement villages:</p> <p>LCZ-R1 New buildings and structures, and alterations, repairs and additions to existing buildings and structures</p> <p>1. Activity status: Permitted Where: a. The gross floor area of the new building or structure, or addition to an existing building or structure is no more than 450m²; and b. Compliance is achieved with: ... 2. Activity status: Restricted discretionary Where: a. Compliance is not achieved with LCZ-R1.a. Matters of discretion are restricted to: 1. The matters in LCZ-P7. Notification: An application under this rule is precluded from being publicly and limited notified in accordance with sections 95A and 95B of the RMA. 3. Activity status: Restricted discretionary Where: a. Compliance is not achieved with LCZ-R1-1.b. Matters of discretion are restricted to: 1. The matters of discretion of any infringed standard. Notification:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> An application under this rule where compliance is not achieved with LCZ-S2, LCZ-S3 or LCZ-S7 is precluded from being publicly notified in accordance with section 95A of the RMA. An application under this rule where compliance is not achieved with LCZ-S4 is precluded from being publicly 	3.4	Reject	See body of report	No

²⁹⁶ Supported by Ryman Healthcare Limited [FS67.118]

Sub. Ref.	Submitter / Further Submitter	Provision	Decision Requested	Section of this Report where Addressed	Officer's Recommendation	Officers' Reasons/Comments	Recommended Amendments to PDP?
			<p>or limited notified in accordance with sections 95A and 95B of the RMA.</p> <p>3. Activity status: <u>Restricted discretionary</u> <u>Where:</u> a. <u>Compliance is not achieved with LCZ-R1-1a or</u> b. <u>The application is for a retirement village.</u> <u>Matters of discretion are restricted to:</u> 1. <u>The matters of discretion of any infringed built form standards;</u> 2. <u>The effects of the retirement village on the safety of adjacent streets or public open spaces;</u> 3. <u>The effects arising from the quality of the interface between the retirement village and adjacent streets or public open spaces;</u> 4. <u>The extent to which articulation, modulation and materiality addresses adverse visual dominance effects associated with building length;</u> 5. <u>When assessing the matters in 1 - 5, consider:</u> a. <u>The need to provide for efficient use of larger sites; and</u> b. <u>The functional and operational needs of the retirement village.</u> 6. <u>The positive effects of the construction, development and use of the retirement village.</u></p> <p><u>or clarity, no other rules or matters of discretion relating to the effects of density apply to buildings for a retirement village.</u></p> <p>Notification:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <u>An application under this rule that is associated with a retirement village is precluded from being publicly notified.</u> <p><u>An application under this rule that is associated with a retirement village where compliance is achieved with LCZ-S1, LCZ-S2 and LCZ-S3 is precluded from being limited notified.</u></p>				
OS118.117 ²⁹⁷	RVA	LCZ-R19	<p>Seeks to amend the activity status of retirement villages as an activity to be provided for as a permitted activity (with the construction of a retirement villages provided for as a restricted discretionary activity under LCZ-R1).</p> <p>LCZ-R19 Retirement village 1. Activity status: Restricted discretionary Permitted <u>Matters of discretion are restricted to:</u> 1. <u>The matters in LCZ-P4.</u> Notification: <u>An application under this rule is precluded from being publicly notified in accordance with section 95A of the RMA.</u></p>	3.4	Reject	See body of report	No

²⁹⁷ Supported by Ryman Healthcare Limited [FS67.119]

Sub. Ref.	Submitter / Further Submitter	Provision	Decision Requested	Section of this Report where Addressed	Officer's Recommendation	Officers' Reasons/Comments	Recommended Amendments to PDP?
OS118.118 ²⁹⁸	RVA	MUZ-P4	<p>Delete MUZ-P4 as notified and replace with the following policies:</p> <p>Provision of housing for an ageing population</p> <p>1. Provide for a diverse range of housing and care options that are suitable for the particular needs and characteristics of older persons in [add] zone, such as retirement villages.</p> <p>2. Recognise the functional and operational needs of retirement villages, including that they:</p> <p>a. May require greater density than the planned urban built character to enable efficient provision of services.</p> <p>b. Have unique layout and internal amenity needs to cater for the requirements of residents as they age.</p> <p>Changing communities</p> <p>To provide for the diverse and changing residential needs of communities, recognise that the existing character and amenity of the [add] zone will change over time to enable a variety of housing types with a mix of densities.</p> <p>Larger sites</p> <p>Recognise the intensification opportunities provided by larger sites within the [add] zone by providing for more efficient use of those sites.</p> <p>Delete or amend other MUZ objectives and policies for consistency.</p>	3.4 Right of Reply of Michael Rachlin	Reject Accept in part	See body of report Right of Reply of Michael Rachlin	No Yes
OS118.119 ²⁹⁹	RVA	MUZ-R1	<p>Seeks that MUZ-R1 is amended as follows to include a set of focused matters of discretion that are applicable to retirement villages:</p> <p>MUZ-R1 New buildings and structures, and alterations, repairs and additions to existing buildings and structures</p> <p>1. Activity status: Permitted</p> <p>Where:</p> <p>a. The gross floor area of the new building or structure, or addition to an existing building or structure is no more than 450m²; and</p> <p>b. Compliance is achieved with:</p> <p>...</p> <p>2. Activity status: Restricted discretionary</p> <p>Where:</p> <p>a. Compliance is not achieved with MUZ-R1.a.</p> <p>Matters of discretion are restricted to:</p> <p>1. The matters in MUZ-P7.</p> <p>Notification:</p> <p>An application under this rule is precluded from being publicly and limited notified in accordance with sections 95A and 95B of the RMA.</p>	3.4	Reject	See body of report	No

²⁹⁸ Supported by Ryman Healthcare Limited [FS67.120]

²⁹⁹ Supported by Ryman Healthcare Limited [FS67.121]

Sub. Ref.	Submitter / Further Submitter	Provision	Decision Requested	Section of this Report where Addressed	Officer's Recommendation	Officers' Reasons/Comments	Recommended Amendments to PDP?
			<p>3. Activity status: Restricted discretionary Where: a. Compliance is not achieved with MUZ-R1-1.b. Matters of discretion are restricted to: 1. The matters of discretion of any infringed standard. Notification: An application under this rule where compliance is not achieved with MUZ-S2, MUZ-S3 or MUZ-S6 is precluded from being publicly notified in accordance with section 95A of the RMA. An application under this rule where compliance is not achieved with MUZ-S4 or MUZ-S5 is precluded from being publicly or limited notified in accordance with sections 95A and 95B of the RMA.</p> <p>4. Activity status: Retirement village Where: a. Compliance is not achieved with MUZ-R1-1a or b. b. The application is for a retirement village. Matters of discretion are restricted to: 1. The matters of discretion of any infringed built form standards; 2. The effects of the retirement village on the safety of adjacent streets or public open spaces; 3. The effects arising from the quality of the interface between the retirement village and adjacent streets or public open spaces; 4. The extent to which articulation, modulation and materiality addresses adverse visual dominance effects associated with building length; 5. When assessing the matters in 1 - 5, consider: a. The need to provide for efficient use of larger sites; and b. The functional and operational needs of the retirement village. 6. The positive effects of the construction, development and use of the retirement village. For clarity, no other rules or matters of discretion relating to the effects of density apply to buildings for a retirement village. Notification:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <u>An application under this rule that is associated with a retirement village is precluded from being publicly notified.</u> <p>An application under this rule that is associated with a retirement village where compliance is achieved with MUZ-S1, MUZ-S2 and MUZ-S3 is precluded from being limited notified.</p>				

Sub. Ref.	Submitter / Further Submitter	Provision	Decision Requested	Section of this Report where Addressed	Officer's Recommendation	Officers' Reasons/Comments	Recommended Amendments to PDP?
OS118.120 ³⁰⁰	RVA	MUZ-R21	<p>Seeks to amend the activity status of retirement villages as an activity to be provided for as a permitted activity (with the construction of a retirement villages provided for as a restricted discretionary activity under MUZ-R1).</p> <p>MUZ-R21 Retirement village</p> <p>1. Activity status: Restricted discretionary Permitted</p> <p>Matters of discretion are restricted to:</p> <p>1. The matters in MUZ-P4.</p> <p>Notification:</p> <p>An application under this rule is precluded from being publicly notified in accordance with section 95A of the RMA.</p>	3.4	Reject	See body of report	No
OS118.121 ³⁰¹	RVA	MCZ-P4	<p>Delete MCZ-P4 and replace with the following policies:</p> <p>Provision of housing for an ageing population</p> <p>1. Provide for a diverse range of housing and care options that are suitable for the particular needs and characteristics of older persons in [add] zone, such as retirement villages.</p> <p>2. Recognise the functional and operational needs of retirement villages, including that they:</p> <p>a. May require greater density than the planned urban built character to enable efficient provision of services.</p> <p>b. Have unique layout and internal amenity needs to cater for the requirements of residents as they age.</p> <p>Changing communities</p> <p>To provide for the diverse and changing residential needs of communities, recognise that the existing character and amenity of the [add] zone will change over time to enable a variety of housing types with a mix of densities.</p> <p>Larger sites</p> <p>Recognise the intensification opportunities provided by larger sites within the [add] zone by providing for more efficient use of those sites.</p> <p>Delete or amend other MCZ objectives and policies for consistency.</p>	3.4 Right of Reply of Michael Rachlin	Reject Accept in part	See body of report Right of Reply of Michael Rachlin	No Yes
OS118.122 ³⁰²	RVA	MCZ-R1	<p>Seeks that MCZ-R1 is amended as follows to include a set of focused matters of discretion that are applicable to retirement villages:</p> <p>MCZ-R1 New buildings and structures, and alterations, repairs and additions to existing buildings and structures</p> <p>1. Activity status: Permitted</p> <p>Where:</p> <p>a. The gross floor area of the new building or structure, or addition to an existing building or structure is no more than 450m²; and</p> <p>b. Compliance is achieved with:</p>	3.4	Reject	See body of report	No

³⁰⁰ Supported by Ryman Healthcare Limited [FS67.122]

³⁰¹ Supported by Ryman Healthcare Limited [FS67.123]

³⁰² Supported by Ryman Healthcare Limited [FS67.124]

Sub. Ref.	Submitter / Further Submitter	Provision	Decision Requested	Section of this Report where Addressed	Officer's Recommendation	Officers' Reasons/Comments	Recommended Amendments to PDP?
			<p>...</p> <p>2. Activity status: Restricted discretionary Where: b. Compliance is not achieved with MCZ-R1.a. Matters of discretion are restricted to: 1. The matters in MCZ-P7. Notification: An application under this rule is precluded from being publicly and limited notified in accordance with sections 95A and 95B of the RMA.</p> <p>3. Activity status: Restricted discretionary Where: a. Compliance is not achieved with MCZ-R1-1.b. Matters of discretion are restricted to: 1. The matters of discretion of any infringed standard. Notification: An application under this rule where compliance is not achieved with MCZ-S2, MCZ-S3, MCZ-S4, MCZ-S5, or MCZ-S6 is precluded from being publicly notified in accordance with section 95A of the RMA.</p> <p>4. Activity status: Restricted discretionary Where: a. Compliance is not achieved with MCZ-R1-1a or b. b. The application is for a retirement village. Matters of discretion are restricted to: 1. The matters of discretion of any infringed built form standards; 2. The effects of the retirement village on the safety of adjacent streets or public open spaces; 3. The effects arising from the quality of the interface between the retirement village and adjacent streets or public open spaces; 4. The extent to which articulation, modulation and materiality addresses adverse visual dominance effects associated with building length; 5. When assessing the matters in 1 - 5, consider: a. The need to provide for efficient use of larger sites; and b. The functional and operational needs of the retirement village. 6. The positive effects of the construction, development and use of the retirement village. For clarity, no other rules or matters of discretion relating to the effects of density apply to buildings for a retirement village. Notification: An application under this rule that is associated with a retirement village is precluded from being publicly notified. An application under this rule that is associated with a</p>				

Sub. Ref.	Submitter / Further Submitter	Provision	Decision Requested	Section of this Report where Addressed	Officer's Recommendation	Officers' Reasons/Comments	Recommended Amendments to PDP?
			<u>retirement village where compliance is achieved with MCZ-S1 is precluded from being limited notified.</u>				
OS118.123 ³⁰³	RVA	MCZ-R21	Seeks to amend the activity status of retirement villages as an activity to be provided for as a permitted activity (with the construction of a retirement villages provided for as a restricted discretionary activity under MCZ-R1). MCZ-R21 Retirement village 1. Activity status: Restricted discretionary Permitted Matters of discretion are restricted to: 1. The matters in MCZ-P4.	3.4	Reject	See body of report	No
NCZ - Neighbourhood Centre Zone							
OS76.41 ³⁰⁴	Kāinga Ora	General	Revisions to notification preclusion statements.	3.6.1	Reject	See body of report	No
OS76.43 ³⁰⁵	Kāinga Ora	General	Changes to further enable residential development.	3.6.1	Reject	See body of report	No
OS76.220	Kāinga Ora	General	Retain [chapter introduction] as notified	n/a	Accept	Agree with submitter	No
OS76.221	Kāinga Ora	NCZ-O1	Retain as notified	n/a	Accept	Agree with submitter	No
OS76.222	Kāinga Ora	NCZ-O2	Retain as notified	n/a	Accept	Agree with submitter	No
OS76.223	Kāinga Ora	NCZ-O3	Retain as notified.	n/a	Accept	Agree with submitter	No
OS76.224	Kāinga Ora	NCZ-P1	Retain as notified	n/a	Accept	Agree with submitter	No
OS76.225	Kāinga Ora	NCZ-P2	Retain as notified.	n/a	Accept	Agree with submitter	No
OS78.6	Oil Companies	NCZ-P2	Support	n/a	Accept	Agree with submitter	No
OS76.231	Kāinga Ora	NCZ-R1	Retain as notified	n/a	Accept	Agree with submitter	No
OS76.232	Kāinga Ora	NCZ-R10	Amend: 1. Activity status: Permitted Where: The maximum occupancy does not exceed six <u>ten</u> residents.	3.6.5 See Right of Reply for Michael Rachlin	Reject Accept	See body of the report See Right of Reply for Michael Rachlin	No Yes
OS76.233	Kāinga Ora	NCZ-R10 Notification preclusion	Amend: Notification: An application under this rule where compliance is not achieved is precluded from being publicly or limited notified in accordance with sections <u>95A</u> and <u>95B</u> of the RMA.	3.6.5	Reject	See body of the report	No
OS76.234	Kāinga Ora	NCZ-R13	Retain as notified	n/a	Accept	Agree with submitter	No
OS76.235	Kāinga Ora	NCZ-R14	Retain as notified	n/a	Accept	Agree with submitter	No
OS58.51 ³⁰⁶	FENZ	NCZ-R15	Retain as notified	n/a	Accept	Agree with submitter	No
OS81.27	Waka Kotahi	NCZ-S1	Retain as notified	n/a	Accept	Agree with submitter	No
OS76.236	Kāinga Ora	NCZ-S1	Retain as notified	n/a	Accept	Agree with submitter	No
OS76.237	Kāinga Ora	NCZ-S2	Amend: 1. All buildings and structures must not project beyond a:	3.6.6	Accept	See body of the report	Yes

³⁰³ Supported by Ryman Healthcare Limited [FS67.125]

³⁰⁴ Opposed by Roger Gadd [FS75.41]

³⁰⁵ Opposed by Roger Gadd [FS75.39]

³⁰⁶ Support - Leigh Subritzky [FS17.186]

Sub. Ref.	Submitter / Further Submitter	Provision	Decision Requested	Section of this Report where Addressed	Officer's Recommendation	Officers' Reasons/Comments	Recommended Amendments to PDP?
			<p>a. 60° recession plane measured from a point 4m vertically above ground level along any side or rear boundary where that boundary adjoins a site zoned Medium Density Residential Zone, Open Space Zone or Sport and Active Recreation Zone; or</p> <p><u>b. 60° recession plane measured from a point 6m vertically above ground level along any side or rear boundary where that boundary adjoins a site located in the Residential Intensification Precinct in the Medium Density Residential Zone; or</u></p> <p>c. 60° recession plane measured from a point 8m vertically above ground level along any side or rear boundary where that boundary adjoins a site zoned High Density Residential Zone.</p>				
OS28.3 ³⁰⁷	Paremata Business Park	NCZ-S3	<p>1. Buildings and structures must not be located within a 3m setback from a side or rear boundary where that boundary adjoins a Medium Density Residential Zone, High Density Residential Zone, Open Space Zone or Sport and Active Recreation Zone.</p> <p>2. Buildings and structures must not be located within a 1.5m setback from a boundary with a rail corridor.</p> <p>LCZ-S3-1 does not apply to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • One accessory building or structure less than 2m in height and less than 7m long per site; or • Fences or standalone walls. <p>Or adopt any other such relief, including additions, deletions or consequential amendments necessary as a result of the matters raised in this submission, as necessary to give effect to this submission.</p>	3.6.6	Reject	See body of the report	No
OS76.238	Kāinga Ora	NCZ-S3	Delete standard	3.6.6	Reject	See body of report	No
OS76.239	Kāinga Ora	NCZ-S4	Retain as notified	n/a Statement of supplementary planning evidence of Michael David Rachlin	Accept	Agree with submitter, subject to amendments made in response to other submissions – See Statement of supplementary planning evidence of Michael David Rachlin	No
OS76.240	Kāinga Ora	NCZ-S5	Retain as notified	n/a	Accept	Agree with submitter	No
OS76.241	Kāinga Ora	NCZ-S6	Retain as notified	n/a	Accept	Agree with submitter	No
OS28.4 ³⁰⁸	Paremata Business Park	NCZ-S7	LCZ S7 – Screening and landscaping of service areas, outdoor storage areas and parking areas	3.6.6	Reject	See body of report	No

³⁰⁷ Oppose - Leigh Subritzky [FS17.609]

³⁰⁸ Opposed - Leigh Subritzky [FS17.610]

Sub. Ref.	Submitter / Further Submitter	Provision	Decision Requested	Section of this Report where Addressed	Officer's Recommendation	Officers' Reasons/Comments	Recommended Amendments to PDP?
			<p>[...]</p> <p>2. Any on-site parking area must:</p> <p>c. Be fully screened by a 1.8m high fence or landscaping from any directly adjoining site zoned High Density Residential Zone, Medium Density Residential Zone, Open Space Zone or Sport and Active Recreation Zone.</p> <p>Or adopt any other such relief, including additions, deletions or consequential amendments necessary as a result of the matters raised in this submission, as necessary to give effect to this submission</p>				
OS76.242	Kāinga Ora	NCZ-S7	Retain as notified	n/a	Accept	Agree with submitter	No
LCZ – Local Centre Zone							
OS76.36 ³⁰⁹	Kāinga Ora	General	Increase height across the zone to 22m (6 storeys).	3.7.1	Reject	See body of report	No
OS76.38 ³¹⁰	Kāinga Ora	General	Revisions to notification preclusion statements.	3.7.1	Reject	See body of report	No
OS76.40 ³¹¹	Kāinga Ora	General	Changes to further enable residential development.	3.7.1	Reject	See body of report	No
OS76.246	Kāinga Ora	General	<p>Delete paragraph 3 [introduction]</p> <p>Some areas have been identified as being suited to a more intensive built form through increased building heights than the standard zone height. These areas are located within a walkable catchment of the Metropolitan Centre Zone or a train station. They are identified on the planning maps as Height Increase A and Height Increase B.</p>	3.7.1	Reject	See body of report	No
OS114.18	TROTR	General	The introduction of LCZ mentions and refers to the Historic Heritage and sites, and not to the SASMs. To ensure that where additional controls are necessary not to 'mitigate' but strengthen the drafting intention. Related to this, for instance, LCZ-O3 'Managing the scale of use and development at Zone interface', Clause 2 can be stronger than it is worded now and SASMs should be added to the list (which already includes Open Space and Recreation Zones).	3.7.1	Reject	See body of report	No
OS114.23	TROTR	General	It is encouraging to see the provision LCZ-R23 'Drive-Through' is a discretionary activity and land use does not encourage more car usage and car travel. This should probably come through in the introduction where the purpose of the Rule is to reduce carbon emissions and influencing the behaviour around more driving around.	3.7.1	Reject	See body of report	No
OS76.248	Kāinga Ora	LCZ-O1	Retain as notified	3.7.2	Accept	Agree with submitter	No
OS76.249	Kāinga Ora	LCZ-O2	<p>Amend:</p> <p>The Local Centre Zone is a safe and attractive urban built environment, that is characterised by:</p>	3.7.2	Reject	See body of the report	No

³⁰⁹ Opposed by Roger Gadd [FS75.46]

³¹⁰ Opposed by Roger Gadd [FS75.48]

³¹¹ Opposed by Roger Gadd [FS75.42]

Sub. Ref.	Submitter / Further Submitter	Provision	Decision Requested	Section of this Report where Addressed	Officer's Recommendation	Officers' Reasons/Comments	Recommended Amendments to PDP?
			<ol style="list-style-type: none"> Medium-rise buildings that contribute positively to the surrounding streetscape and residential environment; <u>and</u> A greater intensity of built urban form in locations accessible to the Metropolitan Centre Zone or a train station, identified by height increase controls on the planning maps; <p>Sites and buildings used for residential purposes that provide good quality on-site residential amenity for the health and well-being of people residing in the Zone.</p>				
OS76.250	Kāinga Ora	LCZ-O3	Retain as notified.	n/a	Accept	Agree with submitter	No
OS114.19	TROTR	LCZ-O3	<p>The introduction of LCZ mentions and refers to the Historic Heritage and sites, and not to the SASMs. To ensure that where additional controls are necessary not to 'mitigate' but strengthen the drafting intention. Related to this, for instance, LCZ-O3 'Managing the scale of use and development at Zone interface', Clause 2 can be stronger than it is worded now and SASMs should be added to the list (which already includes Open Space and Recreation Zones).</p> <p>Instead of using the phrase 'minimise' for these areas of interface, the plan provisions need to make sure these less-than-ideal interactions between SASMs and LCZ are not created in the first place.</p>	3.7.2	Reject	See body of the report	No
OS114.21	TROTR	Policies	<p>LCZ-P11 Qualifying Matters - effects on historic heritage and urban environment, should include the SASMs as an additional third clause.</p> <p>[Refer to original submission for full decision requested]</p>	3.7.3	Reject	See body of the report	No
OS76.251	Kāinga Ora	LCZ-P1	<p>Amend:</p> <p>Enable activities that <u>support the needs of local communities</u> <u>and</u> are compatible with the planned purpose and urban built environment of the Local Centre Zone.</p>	3.7.3	Reject	See body of the report	No
OS76.252	Kāinga Ora	LCZ-P2	Retain as notified	n/a	Accept	Agree with submitter	No
OS78.5	Oil companies - Z Energy Limited & BP Oil NZ Limited & Mobil Oil NZ Limited	LCZ-P2	Support	n/a	Accept	Agree with submitter	No
OS76.254 ³¹²	Kāinga Ora	LCZ-P4	<p>Amend:</p> <p>Provide for other activities including larger-scale activities where:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Any significant adverse effects, including reverse sensitivity effects, can be avoided, remedied or mitigated; The activity is consistent with the planned urban built environment and does not compromise activities that are enabled within the Local Centre Zone; 	3.7.3 Right of Reply for Michael Rachlin	Accept, subject to amendments made in response to other submissions – See Right of Reply for Michael Rachlin	See body of the report and Right of Reply for Michael Rachlin	Yes

³¹² Opposed by KiwiRail [FS72.28]

Sub. Ref.	Submitter / Further Submitter	Provision	Decision Requested	Section of this Report where Addressed	Officer's Recommendation	Officers' Reasons/Comments	Recommended Amendments to PDP?
			<p>3. For any retirement village:</p> <p>a. On-site amenity for residents is provided, which reflects the nature of and diverse needs of residents of the village; and</p> <p>b. Any potential reverse sensitivity effects on the continued operation of non-residential activities are minimised;</p> <p>They are of a size and scale that does not undermine the role and function of the Metropolitan Centre Zone.</p>				
OS76.255	Kāinga Ora	LCZ-P5	Retain as notified	3.7.3	Accept	Agree with submitter	No
OS76.256	Kāinga Ora	LCZ-P6	Retain as notified	3.7.3	Accept	Agree with submitter	No
OS76.258	Kāinga Ora	LCZ-P8	Retain as notified	3.7.3	Accept	Agree with submitter	No
OS76.259	Kāinga Ora	LCZ-P9	Retain as notified	3.7.3	Accept	Agree with submitter	No
OS76.260	Kāinga Ora	LCZ-P10	Retain as notified	3.7.3	Accept	Agree with submitter	No
OS114.20	TROTR	LCZ-P10	In relation to this Objective, Policy LCZ-P10 should also include mention of SASMs and adverse effects. [See also submission on LCZ-03 and refer to original submission for full decision requested]	3.7.3	Reject	See body of the report	No
OS76.261	Kāinga Ora	LCZ-R1	Retain as notified	n/a	Accept	Agree with submitter	No
OS76.262	Kāinga Ora	LCZ-R11	Retain as notified	n/a	Accept	Agree with submitter	No
OS50.5	Dept. of Corrections	LCZ-R12	Retain Rule LCZ-R12.	n/a	Accept	Agree with submitter	No
OS76.263	Kāinga Ora	LCZ-R15	Retain as notified	n/a	Accept	Agree with submitter	No
OS76.264	Kāinga Ora	LCZ-R16	Amend: 1. Activity status: Permitted Where: a. No more than three residential units occupy the site; and b. a. Compliance is achieved with i. LCZ-S5; and ii. LCZ-S6.	3.7.4	Reject	See body of the report	No
OS76.265	Kāinga Ora	LCZ-R16	Delete: 2. Activity status: Restricted discretionary Where: 1. Compliance is not achieved with LCZ-R16-1.a. Matters of discretion are restricted to: 1. The matters in LCZ-P7. Notification: • An application under this rule is precluded from being publicly or limited notified in accordance with sections 95A and 95B of the RMA. [consequential re-numbering]	3.7.4	Reject	See body of the report	No
OS58.59	FENZ	LCZ-R18	Retain as notified	n/a	Accept	Agree with submitter	No
OS76.266	Kāinga Ora	LCZ-S1	Amend: 1. All buildings and structures must not exceed a maximum height above ground level of: 1. 22m-18m; or 2. 22m on sites subject to the Height Increase A identified on the planning maps; or	3.7.5	Reject	See body of the report	No

Sub. Ref.	Submitter / Further Submitter	Provision	Decision Requested	Section of this Report where Addressed	Officer's Recommendation	Officers' Reasons/Comments	Recommended Amendments to PDP?
			Consequential deletion of matters of discretion that refer to policies relevant to the matters being deleted.				
OS81.28	Waka Kotahi	LCZ-S1	Retain as notified.	n/a	Accept	Agree with submitter	No
OS76.269	Kāinga Ora	LCZ-S3	Retain as notified	n/a	Accept	Agree with submitter	No
OS82.8 ³¹³	QEII National Trust	LCZ-S3	Amend: 1. Buildings and structures must not be located within a 3m setback from a side or rear boundary where that boundary adjoins a General Residential Zone, Medium Density Residential Zone, Open Space Zone, or Sport and Active Recreation Zone, <u>or a Significant Natural Area.</u> This standard does not apply to: - One accessory building or structure less than 2m in height and less than 7m long per site. - Fences and standalone walls.	3.7.5	Reject	See body of the report	No
OS76.270	Kāinga Ora	LCZ-S4	Retain as notified	n/a	Accept	Agree with submitter	No
OS76.271	Kāinga Ora	LCZ-S5	Retain as notified.	n/a	Accept	Agree with submitter	No
OS76.272	Kāinga Ora	LCZ-S6	Retain as notified	n/a	Accept	Agree with submitter	No
OS76.273	Kāinga Ora	LCZ-S7	Retain as notified.	n/a	Accept	Agree with submitter	No
LFRZ – Large Format Retail Zone							
OS76.337	Kāinga Ora	General	Retain as notified [chapter introduction]	n/a	Accept	Agree with submitter	No
OS76.349	Kāinga Ora	General	Amendments sought: Relocate objectives, policies, and rules associated with the Whitireia Tertiary Education Precinct from LFRZ to the MCZ, with all consequential changes.	Error! Reference source not found.	Reject	See body of report	No
OS84.1	Oyster Management Limited	General	Supports the amendments to the provisions in the Large Format Retail zone in Variation 1 where those provisions: (a) will give effect to the objectives and policies of the NPS-UD; (b) will contribute to well-functioning urban environments; (c) are consistent with the sustainable management of physical resources and the purpose and principles of the Resource Management Act 1991 (RMA); (d) will meet the requirements to satisfy the criteria of section 32 of the RMA; (e) will meet the reasonably foreseeable needs of future generations; and (f) are consistent with sound resource management practice.	n/a	Accept	Agree with submitter	No
OS114.9	TROTR	General	Amend the fourth paragraph of the introduction to the Chapter from 'enhance the relationship' to 'provide for relationship' so that the first sentence of the same paragraph can be matched with a stronger statement as '... also need to address ...'	Error! Reference source not found.	Reject	See body of report	No
OS114.10	TROTR	Objectives	[Refer to original submission for full decision requested]	Error! Reference	Reject	See body of report	No

³¹³ Support - Leigh Subritzky [FS17.1084], Harbour Trust & Guardians of Pāuatahanui Inlet [FS32.99], GWRC [FS74.163], [Rebecca Davis FS127.390]

Sub. Ref.	Submitter / Further Submitter	Provision	Decision Requested	Section of this Report where Addressed	Officer's Recommendation	Officers' Reasons/Comments	Recommended Amendments to PDP?
			While no specific decision sought, the submitter raised the following matter(s): <i>As a common thread throughout the new proposed chapters in the Plan, LFRZ objectives do not come across as objectives: '...accommodates large format retail development that services Porirua City's and the wider region's need for large-scale retail...' In the purpose of improving the drafting clarity of these, for instance, LFRZ-O2 could ensure that LFRZ provides for best practice land use and behaviour looking after the environment. A clause can be added to say: retail zone reduces its environmental footprint and encourages its users to be more sustainable by...</i>	source not found.			
OS84.3	Oyster Management Limited	LFRZ-O2	Retain Objective LFRZ-O2 as notified.	n/a	Accept	Agree with submitter	No
OS114.11	TROTR	LFRZ-O2	[Refer to original submission for full decision requested] While no specific decision sought, the submitter raised the following matter(s): <i>As a common thread throughout the new proposed chapters in the Plan, LFRZ objectives do not come across as objectives: '...accommodates large format retail development that services Porirua City's and the wider region's need for large-scale retail...' In the purpose of improving the drafting clarity of these, for instance, LFRZ-O2 could ensure that LFRZ provides for best practice land use and behaviour looking after the environment. A clause can be added to say: retail zone reduces its environmental footprint and encourages its users to be more sustainable by...</i>	Error! Reference source not found.	Reject	See body of report	No
OS58.63	FENZ	LFRZ-O2	Retain as drafted	n/a	Accept	Agree with submitter	No
OS114.12 ³¹⁴	TROTR	LFRZ-O3	LFRZ-O3 clauses, regarding the use and development within the Large Format Retail Zone, can be strengthened to include effects other than amenity and visual, such as stormwater discharges and run off and any other adverse effect that might impact on the Harbour and the Stream.	Error! Reference source not found.	Reject	See body of report	No
OS76.338	Kāinga Ora	LFRZ-P2	Retain as notified	n/a	Accept	Agree with submitter	No
OS114.13	TROTR	LFRZ-P4	LFRZ-P4 seems to be vague, in the sense that if the activities are in line with protecting and making environment better, this should be spelled out.	Error! Reference source not found.	Reject	See body of report	No
OS76.341	Kāinga Ora	LFRZ-P8	Retain as notified	n/a	Accept	Agree with submitter	No
OS114.14	TROTR	LFRZ-P8	LFRZ-P8 clause 3 can be strengthened by removing <i>where applicable</i> , and use the word <i>provide for</i> , instead of <i>enhancing</i> .	Error! Reference source not found.	Reject	See body of report	No
OS114.15	TROTR	LFRZ-P9	LFRZ-P9 does not include the interface with the SASMs and instead of 'minimise', the wording could be stronger. It is	Error! Reference	Reject	See body of report	No

³¹⁴ Supported by GWRC [FS74.169]

Sub. Ref.	Submitter / Further Submitter	Provision	Decision Requested	Section of this Report where Addressed	Officer's Recommendation	Officers' Reasons/Comments	Recommended Amendments to PDP?
			unclear, how this zone, given that it is car-intensive, will aim to reduce its users' carbon footprint as District Plan should not take that for granted.	source not found.			
OS76.342	Kāinga Ora	LFRZ-R7	Amend: 1. Activity status: Permitted Where: a. No more than three residential units occupy the site; and b. a. Compliance is achieved with i. LFRZ-S8; and ii. LFRZ-S9.	Error! Reference source not found.	Reject	See body of report	No
OS76.343	Kāinga Ora	LFRZ-R7	<i>Amendments sought</i> 2. Activity status: Restricted discretionary Where: 1. Compliance is not achieved with LFRZ-R7 1.a. Matters of discretion are restricted to: 1. The matters in LFRZ-P7. Notification: An application under this rule is precluded from being publicly or limited notified in accordance with sections 95A and 95B of the RMA.	Error! Reference source not found.	Reject	See body of report	No
OS76.344	Kāinga Ora	LFRZ-R7	[consequential renumbering] 3. 2. Activity status: Restricted discretionary Where: 1. Compliance is not achieved with LFRZ-S8 and LFRZ-S9. Matters of discretion are restricted to 1. The matters of discretion of any infringed standard. Notification: An application under this rule where compliance is not achieved with LFRZ-S8 or LFRZ-S9 is precluded from being publicly or limited notified in accordance with sections 95A and 95B of the RMA.	Error! Reference source not found.	Reject	See body of report	No
OS76.345	Kāinga Ora	LFRZ-R8	Retain as notified	n/a	Accept	Agree with submitter	No
OS58.68	FENZ	LFRZ-R22	Retain as drafted	n/a	Accept	Agree with submitter	No
OS76.346	Kāinga Ora	LFRZ-S1	Retain as notified	n/a	Accept	Agree with submitter	No
OS84.4	Oyster Management Limited	LFRZ-S1	Retain Standard LFRZ-S1 as notified.	n/a	Accept	Agree with submitter	No
OS84.5	Oyster Management Limited	LFRZ-S2	Retain standard LFRZ-S2 as notified.	n/a	Accept	Agree with submitter	No
OS114.17	TROTR	LFRZ-R5	LFRZ-S5 could be used to address other matters that the large retail can be encouraged to innovate. For instance, matters of discretion 2 can be furthered to include that it is not just about the connection with Te Awarua o Porirua.	Error! Reference source not found.	Reject	See body of report	No
OS76.347	Kāinga Ora	LFRZ-S8	Retain as notified	n/a	Accept	Agree with submitter	No
OS76.348	Kāinga Ora	LFRZ-S9	Retain as notified	n/a	Accept	Agree with submitter	No
MUZ – Mixed Use Zone							
OS76.282	Kāinga Ora	MUZ-O1	Retain as notified.	n/a	Accept	Agree with submitter	No

Sub. Ref.	Submitter / Further Submitter	Provision	Decision Requested	Section of this Report where Addressed	Officer's Recommendation	Officers' Reasons/Comments	Recommended Amendments to PDP?
OS76.283	Kāinga Ora	MUZ-O2	Amend: The Mixed Use Zone is a vibrant, attractive safe urban built environment, that is characterised by: 1. Medium-rise buildings that contribute positively to and integrate well with the surrounding area; 2. A greater intensity of built urban form in locations accessible to the Metropolitan Centre Zone or a train station, identified by height increase controls on the planning maps; 3. <u>A range of buildings and sites that reflect a mix of activities;</u> 4. Sites and buildings used for residential purposes that provide good quality on-site residential amenity for the health and well-being of people residing in the Zone.	Error! Reference source not found.	Reject	See body of report	Yes
OS76.284	Kāinga Ora	MUZ-O3	Retain as notified	n/a	Accept	Agree with submitter	No
OS114.35	TROTR	MUZ-O3	<i>Managing the scale of use and development</i> at zone interface could mention the adverse effects on the SASMs under Clause 2, and not just the amenity values.	Error! Reference source not found.	Reject	See body of report	No
OS76.285	Kāinga Ora	MUZ-P1	Retain as notified	n/a	Accept	Agree with submitter	No
OS76.286	Kāinga Ora	MUZ-P2	Retain as notified	n/a	Accept	Agree with submitter	No
OS78.8	Oil Companies	MUZ-P2	Support	n/a	Accept	Agree with submitter	No
OS76.288	Kāinga Ora	MUZ-P4	Retain as notified	n/a Right of Reply for Michael Rachlin	Accept, subject to amendments made in response to other submissions – See Right of Reply of Michael Rachlin	Agree with submitter and see Right of Reply of Michael Rachlin	No
OS76.289	Kāinga Ora	MUZ-P5	Retain as notified	n/a	Accept	Agree with submitter	No
OS76.290	Kāinga Ora	MUZ-P6	Retain as notified	n/a	Accept	Agree with submitter	No
OS76.292	Kāinga Ora	MUZ-P8	Retain as notified	n/a	Accept	Agree with submitter	No
OS76.293	Kāinga Ora	MUZ-P9	Retain as notified	n/a	Accept	Agree with submitter	No
OS76.294	Kāinga Ora	MUZ-P10	Retain as notified	n/a	Accept	Agree with submitter	No
OS76.295	Kāinga Ora	MUZ-R1	Amend: 3. Activity status: Restricted discretionary Where: 1. Compliance is not achieved with MUZ-R1-1.b. Matters of discretion are restricted to: 1. The matters of discretion of the infringed standard. Notification: • An application under this rule where compliance is not achieved with <u>MUZ-S2</u> , <u>MUZ-S3</u> or <u>MUZ-S6</u> is precluded from being publicly notified in accordance with section 95A of the RMA.	n/a	Accept	Agree with submitter	Yes

Sub. Ref.	Submitter / Further Submitter	Provision	Decision Requested	Section of this Report where Addressed	Officer's Recommendation	Officers' Reasons/Comments	Recommended Amendments to PDP?
			An application under this rule where compliance is not achieved with MUZ-S4 or MUZ-S5 is precluded from being publicly or limited notified in accordance with sections 95A and 95B of the RMA.				
OS76.296	Kāinga Ora	MUZ-R14	Retain as notified	n/a	Accept	Agree with submitter	No
OS50.6	Dept. of Corrections	MUZ-R15	Retain Rule MUZ-R15.	n/a	Accept	Agree with submitter	No
OS76.297	Kāinga Ora	MUZ-R18	Retain as notified	n/a	Accept	Agree with submitter	No
OS76.298	Kāinga Ora	MUZ-R19	Amend: 1. Activity status: Permitted Where: a. No more than three residential units occupy the site; and b. a. Compliance is achieved with MUZ-S5	Error! Reference source not found.	Reject	See body of report	No
OS76.299	Kāinga Ora	MUZ-R19	Amend: 2. Activity status: Restricted discretionary Where: 1. Compliance is not achieved with MUZ-R19-1.a. Matters of discretion are restricted to: 1. The matters in <u>MUZ-P7</u>. Notification: An application under this rule is precluded from being publicly or limited notified in accordance with sections 95A and 95B of the RMA. [consequential changes to numbering]	Error! Reference source not found.	Reject	See body of report	No
OS58.76	FENZ	MUZ-R24	Retain as drafted	n/a	Accept	Agree with submitter	No
OS76.301	Kāinga Ora	MUZ-S2	Retain as notified	n/a	Accept	Agree with submitter	No
OS76.302	Kāinga Ora	MUZ-S3	Retain as notified	n/a	Accept	Agree with submitter	No
OS76.303	Kāinga Ora	MUZ-S4	Retain as notified	n/a	Accept	Agree with submitter	No
OS76.304	Kāinga Ora	MUZ-S5	Retain as notified	n/a	Accept	Agree with submitter	No
OS76.305	Kāinga Ora	MUZ-S6	Retain as notified	n/a	Accept	Agree with submitter	No
CCZ- City Centre Zone / MCZ - Metropolitan Centre Zone							
OS23.1	James Baigent	General	[Not specified, refer to original submission] While no specific decision sought, the submitter raised the following matter(s): <i>Strongly support housing intensification in the city centre. The city centre is a wasteland at night and having more people living among it and close to it will be transformative. The city centre has infrastructure and services already in place and the environmental impact of significant housing intensification in Porirua CBD would be limited.</i>	n/a	Accept	Agree with submitter	No
OS76.29 ³¹⁵	Kāinga Ora	General	Increase height limit to 53m.	Error! Reference source not found.	Accept	See body of report	Yes
FS37.12	Toka Tū Ake EQC		<i>Opposed</i>				

³¹⁵ Opposed by Roger Gadd [FS75.52]

Sub. Ref.	Submitter / Further Submitter	Provision	Decision Requested	Section of this Report where Addressed	Officer's Recommendation	Officers' Reasons/Comments	Recommended Amendments to PDP?
			<p><i>This submission should be disallowed when regarding areas that are at risk from natural hazards, particularly liquefaction in the event of an earthquake.</i></p> <p><i>Reasons:</i></p> <p><i>The Metropolitan Centre Zone and parts of the surrounding High Density Residential Zone in Variation 1 to the Porirua Proposed District Plan are currently zoned in an area which is at high risk from liquefaction in an earthquake. Porirua is at risk from earthquake shaking from numerous faults in the Wellington Region. Liquefaction is likely to occur in Porirua during a Wellington Fault earthquake, which has an 11% chance of rupture in the next 100 years. T&T research undertaken for Toka Tū Ake in 2022 finds that liquefaction damage increases with the height, size and irregularity of a building (see Appendix 2). Toka Tū Ake therefore opposes increasing building height limits or residential density in areas of high liquefaction risk, as liquefaction or lateral spreading damage to foundations or lower levels of a building renders the entire building unfit for purpose and uninhabitable. MBIE planning for liquefaction guidance recommends restricting subdivision and development of vulnerable buildings in areas at high risk from liquefaction. See Appendix 1 for Wellington Regional Council Liquefaction Potential map of Porirua overlaid with the proposed district plan zones.</i></p>				
OS76.31 ³¹⁶	Kāinga Ora	General	Alter activity status of some activities to reflect change in zoning. [For area rezoned from LFRZ to MCZ]. [Refer to original submission for full decision requested, including attachment]	Error! Reference source not found.	Reject	See body of report	No
OS76.32 ³¹⁷	Kāinga Ora	General	Revisions to notification preclusion statements.	Error! Reference source not found.	Reject	See body of report	No
OS76.307	Kāinga Ora	General	Retain the MCZ as notified.	n/a Right of Reply for Michael Rachlin	Accept, subject to amendments made in response to other submissions – See Right of Reply of Michael Rachlin	Agree with submitter and see Right of Reply of Michael Rachlin	No
OS76.309	Kāinga Ora	General	It is acknowledged that this includes the area identified as the Whitireia Tertiary Education Precinct, which is also sought to be rehoused into the MCZ, with consequential changes to provisions to reflect the shift in chapters.	Error! Reference source not found.	Reject	See body of report	No

³¹⁶ Opposed by Roger Gadd [FS75.50]

³¹⁷ Opposed by Roger Gadd [FS75.49]

Sub. Ref.	Submitter / Further Submitter	Provision	Decision Requested	Section of this Report where Addressed	Officer's Recommendation	Officers' Reasons/Comments	Recommended Amendments to PDP?
OS76.310	Kāinga Ora	General	Consequential amendments will be required as a result of submission and changes to the planning maps.	Error! Reference source not found.	Reject	See body of report	No
OS76.311	Kāinga Ora	General	Retain as notified [chapter introduction]	n/a	Accept	Agree with submitter	No
OS76.333	Kāinga Ora	General	Amendments sought: Relocate Whitireia Tertiary Education Precinct from LFRZ to the MCZ, with all consequential changes.	Error! Reference source not found.	Reject	See body of report	No
OS76.312	Kāinga Ora	MCZ-O1	Retain as notified	n/a	Accept	Agree with submitter	No
OS114.29	TROTR	MCZ-O1	MCZ-O1 and MCZ-O2 could reflect these goals that the NPS-UD is, in essence, trying to achieve when the intensification and densification proposals were released. However, it is quite difficult to separate and identify these goals in the objectives of the MCZ. Whilst the Zone Chapter does a good job to explain how the MCZ is significant in terms of commercial, community, recreational, and residential activities which is describing what Porirua Metropolitan City Centre looks like right now; this is not acknowledging or explaining the reasons as to realising intensification and densification.	Error! Reference source not found.	Reject	See body of report	No
OS76.313	Kāinga Ora	MCZ-O2	Retain as notified.	n/a	Accept	Agree with submitter	No
OS114.30	TROTR	MCZ-O2	MCZ-O1 and MCZ-O2 could reflect these goals that the NPS-UD is, in essence, trying to achieve when the intensification and densification proposals were released. However, it is quite difficult to separate and identify these goals in the objectives of the MCZ. Whilst the Zone Chapter does a good job to explain how the MCZ is significant in terms of commercial, community, recreational, and residential activities which is describing what Porirua Metropolitan City Centre looks like right now; this is not acknowledging or explaining the reasons as to realising intensification and densification.	Error! Reference source not found.	Reject	See body of report	No
OS76.314	Kāinga Ora	MCZ-P1	Retain as notified	n/a	Accept	Agree with submitter	No
OS76.315	Kāinga Ora	MCZ-P2	Retain as notified	n/a	Accept	Agree with submitter	No
OS76.317	Kāinga Ora	MCZ-P4	Amend: Provide for other activities including larger-scale activities where: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Any significant adverse effects can be avoided, remedied or mitigated; 2. The activity is consistent with the planned urban built environment and purpose of the zone; 3. For any retirement village: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> a. On-site amenity for residents is provided, which reflects the nature of and diverse needs of residents of the village;and 4. Any potential reverse sensitivity effects on the continued operation of non-residential activities are minimised. 	n/a Right of Reply for Michael Rachlin	Accept, subject to amendments made in response to other submissions – See Right of Reply of Michael Rachlin	Agree with submitter and see Right of Reply of Michael Rachlin	Yes

Sub. Ref.	Submitter / Further Submitter	Provision	Decision Requested	Section of this Report where Addressed	Officer's Recommendation	Officers' Reasons/Comments	Recommended Amendments to PDP?
OS78.7	Oil Companies	MCZ-P4	Support	n/a Right of Reply for Michael Rachlin	Accept, subject to amendments made in response to other submissions – See Right of Reply of Michael Rachlin	Agree with submitter and see Right of Reply of Michael Rachlin	No
OS76.318	Kāinga Ora	MCZ-P5	Retain as notified	n/a	Accept	Agree with submitter	No
OS76.319	Kāinga Ora	MCZ-P6	Retain as notified.	n/a	Accept	Agree with submitter	No
OS76.321	Kāinga Ora	MCZ-P8	Retain as notified	n/a	Accept	Agree with submitter	No
OS114.32	TROTR	MCZ-P9	[Not specified, refer to original submission] While no specific decision sought, the submitter raised the following matter(s): <i>It seems that Policy MCZ-P9 is at odds with the NPS-UD parking requirements. Ground level parking is still parking?</i>	Error! Reference source not found.	Reject	See body of report	No
OS76.323	Kāinga Ora	MCZ-R1	Amend: ... 3. Activity status: Restricted discretionary Where: 1. Compliance is not achieved with MCZ-R1-1.b. Matters of discretion are restricted to: 1. The matters of discretion of the infringed standard. Notification: An application under this rule where compliance is not achieved with MCZ-S2 , MCZ-S3 , MCZ-S4 , MCZ-S5 , or MCZ-S6 is precluded from being publicly and limited notified in accordance with sections 95A and 95B of the RMA.	Error! Reference source not found.	Accept in part	See body of report	Yes
OS76.324	Kāinga Ora	MCZ-R12	Amend: 2. Activity status: Restricted discretionary Where: 1. Compliance is not achieved with MCZ-S4 . Matters of discretion are restricted to 1. The matters of discretion of the infringed standard. Notification: An application under this rule is precluded from being publicly and limited notified in accordance with sections 95A and 95B of the RMA.	Error! Reference source not found.	Reject	See body of report	No
OS76.325	Kāinga Ora	MCZ-R13	Retain as notified	n/a	Accept	Agree with submitter	No
OS50.7	Dept. of Corrections	MCZ-R14	Retain Rule MCZ-R14.	n/a	Accept	Agree with submitter	No
OS76.326	Kāinga Ora	MCZ-R18	Amend: 1. Activity status: Restricted discretionary Permitted Matters of discretion are restricted to: The matters in MCZ-P4.	Error! Reference source not found. See Statement of supplementary planning evidence of	Reject Accept	See body of report See Statement of supplementary planning evidence of Michael David Rachlin	No Yes

Sub. Ref.	Submitter / Further Submitter	Provision	Decision Requested	Section of this Report where Addressed	Officer's Recommendation	Officers' Reasons/Comments	Recommended Amendments to PDP?
				Michael David Rachlin			
OS76.327	Kāinga Ora	MCZ-R19	Amend: 1. Activity status: Restricted discretionary Permitted Matters of discretion are restricted to: The matters in MCZ-P4. Notification: An application under this rule is precluded from being publicly notified in accordance with section 95A of the RMA.	Error! Reference source not found. See Statement of supplementary planning evidence of Michael David Rachlin	Reject Accept	See body of report See Statement of supplementary planning evidence of Michael David Rachlin	No Yes
OS58.84	FENZ	MCZ-R20	Retain as drafted	n/a	Accept	Agree with submitter	No
OS76.328	Kāinga Ora	MCZ-R25	Amend: 1. Activity status: Discretionary Permitted	Error! Reference source not found.	Reject	See body of report	No
OS76.329	Kāinga Ora	MCZ-S1	Amend: 1. All buildings and structures must not exceed a maximum height above ground level of 53m50m .	Error! Reference source not found.	Accept	See body of report	Yes
OS81.29	Waka Kotahi	MCZ-S1	Retain as notified.	n/a	Accept	Agree with submitter	No
OS76.330	Kāinga Ora	MCZ-S2	Retain as notified	n/a	Accept	Agree with submitter	No
OS76.331	Kāinga Ora	MCZ-S3	Retain as notified	n/a	Accept	Agree with submitter	No
OS76.332	Kāinga Ora	MCZ-S4	Retain as notified	n/a	Accept	Agree with submitter	No
GIZ – General Industrial Zone							
OS84.2	Oyster Management Limited	General	Supports the amendments to the provisions in the General Industrial zone in Variation 1 where those provisions: (a) will give effect to the objectives and policies of the NPS-UD; (b) will contribute to well-functioning urban environments; (c) are consistent with the sustainable management of physical resources and the purpose and principles of the Resource Management Act 1991 (RMA); (d) will meet the requirements to satisfy the criteria of section 32 of the RMA; (e) will meet the reasonably foreseeable needs of future generations; and (f) are consistent with sound resource management practice.	n/a	Accept	Agree with submitter	No
OS58.90	FENZ	GIZ-R14	Retain as drafted	n/a	Accept	Agree with submitter	No