

**BEFORE THE INDEPENDENT HEARING PANEL APPOINTED TO HEAR AND MAKE DECISIONS
ON SUBMISSIONS AND FURTHER SUBMISSIONS ON THE PROPOSED PORIRUA DISTRICT
PLAN**

IN THE MATTER of the Resource Management Act 1991
(the Act)

AND

IN THE MATTER of Hearing of Submissions and Further
Submissions on Variation 1 to the
Proposed Porirua District Plan, and Plan
Change 19 to the Operative District Plan
under Schedule 1 of the Act

**STATEMENT OF EVIDENCE OF STUART FARRANT
ON BEHALF OF WELLINGTON REGIONAL COUNCIL**

24 February 2023

Contents

Qualifications and experience	3
Code of conduct	4
Scope of Evidence	4
Impacts of Urban Development.....	4
Risks of continuing “Business as Usual”	5
Freshwater and Coastal Drivers	7
Terrestrial Drivers	8
Description and Definition of Nature Based Solutions	9
Examples of Nature Based Solutions	11
Effective Implementation of Nature Based Solutions	14
Conclusions	16

Qualifications and experience

- 1 My full name is Stuart James Edgar Farrant. I am a Principal Ecological Engineer and Water Sensitive Design practice lead at Morphem Environmental Ltd; and hold a Bachelor of Engineering (Natural Resources) from University of Canterbury
- 2 I have over 16 years' experience working in multiple aspects of freshwater management and ecological engineering. I have worked for Morphem Environmental for 9 years establishing the southern sector office (Wellington) in 2014. Prior to that, I worked for 5 years as an Ecological Engineer in Melbourne, Australia.
- 3 I have experience working in a range of aspects relating to three waters management including design, technical review and auditing of constructed wetlands, vegetated stormwater treatment/conveyance systems, stream restoration and land application. Specifically, I have extensive experience with the design and delivery of integrated stormwater management devices to mitigate adverse water quality effects from urban development in addition to natural wetland restoration projects.
- 4 I have contributed to and authored technical design guidelines for Councils/Utilities in New Zealand and Australia (including the Wellington Water 'Water Sensitive Design technical guidelines'). I was awarded a 2018 Winston Churchill Fellowship to travel internationally for the purposes of researching leading practice with urban water management in Europe, Scandinavia and USA.
- 5 I was appointed co-chair of the Te Awarua o Porirua Whaitua committee and the Te Whanganui a Tara Whaitua technical expert group and am familiar with the local context in terms of development typologies, biophysical conditions and ongoing national policy directions.
- 6 In 2020 I was appointed to the Wellington City Mayoral taskforce charged with investigating the current state of play with the provision of three waters services across the city and informing recommendations for changes to improve long term outcomes for the community and environment.
- 7 I am a member of Engineering New Zealand and Co-Chair of The Sustainability Society which is a technical interest group of Engineering New Zealand.

Code of conduct

- 8 While this is not an Environment Court hearing I have met the standards in that Court for giving expert evidence.
- 9 I have read the Code of conduct for expert witnesses issued as part of the Environment Court Practice Note 2023 (Part 9). I agree to comply with the Code of Conduct. I am satisfied that the matters addressed in this statement of evidence are within my expertise. I am not aware of any material facts that have either been omitted or might alter or detract from the opinions expressed in this statement of evidence.

Scope of Evidence

- 10 The purpose of my evidence is to provide context and background on the importance and drivers for adopting policy which will ensure future development integrates Nature Based Solutions (NbS's) to support and deliver a wide range of social and environmental benefits. My evidence also discusses:
- i. the impacts of urban development and the risks for people and the environment of taking a "business as usual approach" to future urban growth and housing intensification.
 - ii. the definition of NbS and how this translates into practical measures that should be incorporated into planning tools to ensure that future development results in better outcomes for communities and the natural environment.
 - iii. examples of existing NbS's.

Impacts of Urban Development

- 11 Climate projections are that future climate across the Wellington Region will include increased frequency and intensity of large rainfall events interspersed with prolonged dry periods. Temperatures are projected to increase across the Wellington Region (particularly in summer/autumn) with increased maximum temperatures and increased "Hot" days (Tmax >25). Rising sea levels will affect the coastline and the ability of freshwater (natural streams and stormwater networks) to 'drain' to the sea during peak rainfall and increasing the risk of coastal erosion during storm events.
- 12 These predicted changes will impact on natural systems and urban areas where the location and form of urban development can amplify adverse effects. Poorly planned and

designed urban development will result in outcomes which impact on human health, mana whenua values, resilience of infrastructure and ecological health. Recent events across New Zealand have clearly highlighted many of the risks that urban and rural areas face with regards to extreme rainfall events and the impact on human health, economy and the environment.

- 13 Unless managed appropriately, modification for urban development (housing and commercial) causes an increased discharge of contaminants (in particular heavy metals, hydrocarbons, sediments and nutrients), increased runoff volumes during small and moderate rainfall, increased runoff flowrates during large rainfall, increased air temperatures (urban heat island), increased water temperatures discharging to waterways, reduced indigenous biodiversity and a disconnect with historical ecosystems.
- 14 Changes in already modified land such as conversion of rural land to urban (greenfield development) and intensification of existing urban areas (brownfield development or infill) causes a change, and often worsening, of existing impacts through increased impervious surfaces, further reduction in vegetation cover/biodiversity, increased vehicle usage and modification to waterways including piping, bank lining and installation of outfall structures.
- 15 Whilst development activity across the region is intended to avoid flood risks through defining habitable floor levels and requiring detention of peak flows (hydraulic neutrality), these are typically stipulated based on a 1% Annual Exceedance Interval (AEP) and generally do not consider wider but linked cumulative and compounding impacts on urban resilience from the current and future climate. Any continuation of existing development practices whereby development yields are maximised through widespread modification of landform and high intensity development without due consideration to wider impacts will worsen current ecological, human health and cultural outcomes and result in considerable direct and indirect costs to rectify and or remedy in the future.

Risks of continuing “Business as Usual”

- 16 Current development practices are often driven by a desire to optimise housing yields within a given footprint. Housing typologies have in recent years evolved from almost entirely free-standing dwellings constructed on concrete slab foundations to increasing multi-unit developments, driven by a number of factors. Due to the region’s topography and desire to provide drive on level access and slab on grade, developments typically

require extensive earthworks to modify and retain land. Current development practice therefore results in extensive areas of impervious landcover (roofs, roads and hardstand), highly compacted and modified soils, minimal vegetation and disconnect from historical or remaining watercourses.

- 17 Existing development codes and guidance is focussed on a reliance on closed piped stormwater systems and only minimal shifts towards adopting a more water sensitive approach. Design standards are understandably responsive to the need to not make peak flood risks worse than existing but do not consider the importance of protecting freshwater ecosystems. Requirements for 'Hydraulic Neutrality' are limited only to peak rainfall events, driven by flood mitigation, and do not achieve more natural flow regimes which are required to support aspirations to protect and enhance Te Awarua o Porirua and align with the principles of Te Mana o Te wai.
- 18 Provision of greenspace and urban ecology is generally governed by planning requirements and supporting guidance with limited requirements for effective canopy cover, indigenous biodiversity or the integration of water with the public realm.
- 19 A continuation of existing development practice, particularly when linked to housing intensification, will accelerate the decline in environmental and social outcomes across the region. In particular, a continuation of Business as Usual will result in the following;
 - 19.1 Ongoing loss of indigenous biodiversity in fresh and coastal waters
 - 19.2 Ongoing loss of terrestrial biodiversity through reducing habitat and fragmented connections or ecological corridors
 - 19.3 Reduced quality of water in waterways and harbour adversely impacting on recreation and mahinga kai values
 - 19.4 Reduced resilience to future climate change including both large shocks (floods/droughts) and changing seasonal patterns
 - 19.5 Reduced resilience to natural disasters such as earthquakes and landslips which will impact water supply and drainage
 - 19.6 Increasing urban temperatures with increased adverse health impacts
 - 19.7 Continuing disconnect between communities and the natural environment

Freshwater and Coastal Drivers

- 20 Aotearoa's freshwater ecosystems, and indigenous species which inhabit them, have evolved to the natural flow, water quality and temperature of streams, rivers, lakes and wetlands and have limited resilience to changes resulting from changes in landuse. Across the region, urban streams are heavily impacted from uncontrolled stormwater with significant loss of biodiversity including loss of indigenous fish species which are important mahinga kai sources and hold cultural importance with many considered to be taonga by mana whenua.
- 21 Flooding occurs in the landscape where water accumulates during heavy rainfall and engages floodplains adjacent to streams/rivers, coastal plains at the bottom of catchments and provides energy to streams which naturally change alignment and form as they move from the headwaters to the coastline. This flooding occurs in natural undeveloped catchments as well as modified areas with risks to property and life occurring where development occurs within these floodable areas without the ability for flood waters to either safely pass or accumulate without negatively impacting infrastructure or 'productive' land.
- 22 Typically, modern urban development, and the supporting stormwater networks, are designed to collect and convey 20% - 10% AEP stormwater runoff within the primary network (pipes etc) and the 1% AEP event in terms of flood levels and overland flow paths. The increasing frequency of events larger than the 1% AEP as a result of climate change will increasingly threaten these developments and the reality that many older areas have been built to significantly lower standards will increase the frequency of damaging flood events. Recent flooding across large areas of the North Island have dramatically highlighted the risks associated with development within active floodplains and OLFP's including those which have 'flood protection' for events up to the 1% AEP.
- 23 Where streams have been piped (very prevalent across urban areas of Wellington region) the capacity to convey peak flows is significantly reduced and flood flows will exceed the capacity of pipes and flow overland in the approximate alignment of the pre-piped stream. These overland flows are often constricted by built infrastructure such as houses, fences, roads and modified landform. The inability for the 'natural' flow paths from headwaters to coast to accommodate large flood events causes risk to life and property. These impacts from historical overland flow paths has been recently seen across

metropolitan Auckland resulting in loss of life, extensive public and private property damage and significant economic costs.

- 24 Freshwater ecosystems, and the values they support, are impacted from chemical and physical contamination during small to moderate events also. Impervious surfaces in urban areas increase stormwater runoff which would otherwise be assimilated by the natural environment through interception, evapotranspiration and infiltration. These impacts are typically experienced in frequent small rainfall (rather than infrequent flooding) and will be worsened in future climate scenarios for prolonged dry periods interspersed with higher intensity downpours (particularly in summer). Fluctuating water levels (flashiness) and degraded water quality adversely impact on freshwater biodiversity and reduce the ability for streams to withstand larger flood events with increased scour and slumping of streambanks experienced.
- 25 Existing centralised potable water supply is based on extraction of water from natural environments (river and groundwater), physical/chemical treatment to drinking standards and reticulated supply to homes, businesses and commercial users across the region. This water is often used for non drinking purposes (such as toilet flushing, irrigation and process water) which do not require the same level of treatment as drinking water. These non potable water uses are easily augmented with captured rainwater which will reduce the volume of water diverted from rivers such as Te Awa Kairangi.

Terrestrial Drivers

- 26 Urban development often results in the clearance of indigenous vegetation (including regenerating scrub) and continued loss of urban vegetation through intensification. This results in large areas of urban development with low vegetation coverage and high proportions of impervious cover (roads, roofs and hardstand) and highly modified ground (such as grassed lawns and heavily compacted engineered fill). These impacts are worsened on sloping sites where the prevalence of 'slab on grade' building results in extensive earthworks (cut and fill) and limited protection for existing vegetation or provision of planted trees. Lack of greenspace and mature vegetation in urban areas results in;
- 27 Increased ambient temperatures through urban heat island effects whereby unshaded surfaces heat up and contribute to an increased air temperature in urban areas as

compared to undeveloped areas. Heat stress on humans (particularly the elderly and young) is increasingly recognised as a contributing factor in poor health and fatalities.

- 27.1 Loss of biodiversity, and in particular urban ecology, which would otherwise connect communities with the natural environment and support indigenous species to move across and through urban areas to connect remnant areas of reserve land.
- 27.2 Loss of shading resulting in increased energy demands to cool buildings (commercial and residential) and vehicles.
- 27.3 Reduction in interception of rainfall during small rain events resulting in increased stormwater volumes and flowrates.
- 27.4 Loss of amenity and urban greenery contributing to decline in human mental health.

Description and Definition of Nature Based Solutions

- 28 Nature Based Solutions (NbS's) are those which intentionally use natural ecological systems or mimic natural processes to support changes in land use whilst ensuring the resilience of ecosystems, communities and cultural values. In 2022, the 5th session of the United Nations Environment Assembly (UNEA-5) formally adopted a definition of NbS as; "actions to protect, conserve, restore, sustainably use and manage natural or modified terrestrial, freshwater, coastal and marine ecosystems, which address social, economic and environmental challenges effectively and adaptively, while simultaneously providing human well-being, ecosystem services and resilience and biodiversity benefits."
- 29 NbS's are therefore defined by the ability to respond to more than one driver in a human influenced landscape and utilise natural systems in a manner which provides resilience across a range of spatial and temporal scales for both chronic and acute stressors.
- 30 Examples of NbS's include:
 - 30.1 Retreat and/or restricted development on coastal margins and floodplains (including allowance for sea level rise) with restoration/reinstatement of coastal and riverine ecosystems such as dune systems, saltmarshes and coastal forest to buffer storm surges, accommodate lowland flooding, reduce risk to infrastructure, sequester carbon and support indigenous biodiversity.

- 30.2 Integration of water sensitive design elements, including raingardens, green roofs, tree pits and vegetated swales with urban development to treat stormwater, retain initial rainfall depths, connect communities with nature, increase urban ecology and provide passive cooling/insulation.
- 30.3 Construction of urban wetlands to treat stormwater, provide flood detention, connect communities with nature and increase urban ecology.
- 30.4 Increased planting of urban trees (in particular indigenous street trees) to mitigate urban heat impacts, reduce runoff in small rainfall events, support urban ecology and improve urban amenity/greening.
- 30.5 Capture of rainwater (at lot or community scale) for non-potable uses to retain small rainfall depths (replicate natural flow patterns), avoid contaminant discharge, reduce demand on mains supply, connect communities with water and provide resilience to shock events (such as earthquakes).
- 30.6 Protection and/or reinstatement of natural urban stream channels to safely pass extreme flood flows whilst supporting urban ecology and biodiversity.
- 30.7 Identifying and protecting modified overland flows paths to replicate natural ephemeral hydrology and pass peak flows with managed risk to life and property.
- 30.8 Management of earthworks volumes and extent through developing with the landform and utilising building typologies which are better suited to the terrain such as timber piles as opposed to slab on grade.
- 30.9 Municipal collection and composting of organic and biodegradable waste to enable land application to retain organic nutrients, reduce greenhouse gas emissions and improve local soils.

31 Alternatives to NbS's can, in some instances, provide a similar level of service for some of the drivers but will not typically provide co-benefits and, in many instances, can result in related negative outcomes such as:

- 31.1 High embodied carbon in heavily engineered concrete structures
- 31.2 Increased lifecycle costs from mechanised or bespoke water treatment systems

- 31.3 Financial impacts on private/public land through engineered solutions causing worsening of conditions such as coastal erosion on adjacent land
 - 31.4 Financial and social impacts from large climatic events such as floods and drought
- 32 NbS's are therefore recognised as offering cost effective and resilient solutions to a wide range of often complex landuse related problems whilst simultaneously supporting other non financial benefits to communities and indigenous ecosystems.

Examples of Nature Based Solutions

Te Kukuwai o Toa - Urban constructed wetland

- 33 Following expensive and damaging flooding of Porirua CBD in 2015, investigations initially looked at how to improve flood resilience but were expanded to include water quality in response to the ongoing environmental degradation of Te Awarua o Porirua. Following a city-wide options assessment and prioritisation it was recognised that the Elsdon Park site could support multiple benefits through a nature-based approach to manage water across all rainfall events. The now completed wetland has transformed a formally underutilised sports field into a thriving and diverse constructed wetland with approximately 45,000 locally indigenous plants (over 30 species) including a mix of aquatic and terrestrial plants which would have once been present in natural wetlands around the harbour. The 1 ha wetland treats urban stormwater from the 40 ha commercial and residential catchment during small to moderate rainfall events and during larger less frequent storms provides detention of stormwater to provide protection up to the 1% AEP event. This is achieved within an urban open space that invites the community in with boardwalks, viewing areas and signage (yet to be completed) to provide education on the cultural, ecological and historical context of the site. Te Kukuwai o Toa demonstrates an NbS which responds to existing landuse and is adaptive to future climate change in a fully accessible public reserve.

Queen Elizabeth Park - Restored natural peat wetland

- 34 Peat wetlands are recognised for their ability to sequester atmospheric carbon within deep saturated organic layers at and below the surface. They also support a diverse and unique biodiversity including indigenous plants and animals. They are typically located at the lower end of catchments and are often associated with areas subject to flooding with

the ability to naturally detain flood waters which are slowly released to the ground and to smaller outlet streams. Draining of peat wetlands for uses including primary production and urban development lower the shallow groundwater table resulting in release of carbon in the form of methane. Drainage also results in a loss in biodiversity with replacement by exotic pasture and opportunistic weed species. Drained natural wetlands will typically remain subject to flooding and are increasingly susceptible as the intensity and frequency of large rainfall events increases. Queen Elizabeth Park (Kapiti) represents a large peat wetland which was previously partially drained initially for agriculture with roading and urban development on the margins, the majority of the remnant wetland areas is within Regional Park. GWRC are currently undertaking works to manipulate excavated drains to return a more natural wetland hydrology to support improved carbon sequestration, increased indigenous biodiversity and accommodate periodic flood flows. The restoration of the wetland demonstrates a NbS at a landscape scale which can support long term adaptation and mitigation at a regional scale.

Floodable landscapes- Copenhagen Denmark

35 Large urban flooding in 2011 across Copenhagen caused billions of dollars in damage and insurance claims. Described as a 'Cloudbust' the event was categorised as a 1 in 1000 year event (0.1% AEP) which exceeded previous design standards and overwhelmed any pre-existing flood management strategies which similarly to Aotearoa were designed to a 1% AEP LoS. These floods, and recognition that climate change was increasing the likelihood of events of similar magnitude, prompted a council led change in focus to shift towards accommodating flood flows within the urban environment as opposed to continued attempts to 'drain' peak flood flows. Through collaboration with water utilities, transport planners, parks planners, private developers/property owners and the insurance industry a city-wide strategy has been endorsed and financed to create future urban landscapes which can safely accommodate flood waters. Through initiatives such as the lowering of strategically selected roadways, creation of multi-use public spaces (such as sunken urban basketball courts) and integration of high amenity landscape design with flood detention capacity the City of Copenhagen is progressively implementing NbS's at a range of scales which mimic the natural flood attenuation within low lying lands which protecting people and property.

Porirua Park n Ride Raingardens - Water Sensitive Urban Design

36 Carparks are a source of contaminants and contribute to increased stormwater volumes and flowrates due to expansive impermeable surfaces. In 2017 GWRC undertook an expansion and redevelopment of the Porirua Railway park n ride to increase parking capacity in line with increasing patronage of public transport. As part of these works options were developed to mitigate the impact of the carparks on freshwater and the harbour and to increase resilience to future climate change. This resulted in the inclusion of two large agglomerated raingardens which capture and treat stormwater prior to discharge to the reticulated stormwater network. These are vegetated with locally sourced indigenous vegetation and provide treatment for approximately 85% of the annual rainfall which falls on the carparks. It is noted that whilst this provides a good example of a NbS in a large scale council led project the outcomes could have readily been further improved through the planting of canopy shade trees to reduce thermal impacts on surface and vehicles and the use of permeable pavement where appropriate.

Urban street trees– Melbourne Australia

37 As a city subject to intense summer heat waves, the urban centre of Melbourne recognise the ability of street trees to mitigate existing and future heat days and the intercept initial rainfall to reduce stormwater in small events which would naturally be assimilated without surface runoff. Further co-benefits such as carbon sequestration, urban biodiversity, amenity and air quality are recognised and considered in provision of street trees as part of public and private re-development. In 2012 a city wide urban forest strategy was developed which considered the full range of benefits from increased canopy cover and supported investment in a long term planting strategy. This council led NbS considered benefits at a range of scales and recognised the need to take definitive action now to support long term adaptation to increasingly frequent heat events. Given climate projections across Wellington and the timeframe for locally indigenous canopy species to form effective canopies the opportunity to require well considered urban trees in new development and redevelopment is very well timed.

Residential Rainwater Reuse – Kapiti

38 Capture of rainwater/stormwater at a lot or subcatchment scale supports a wide range of benefits including water quality, retention and resilience. It is an especially cost effective means of mitigating the impacts of urban development and providing adaptation to future climate conditions. In 2009 KCDC adopted the requirement for all new dwellings to include lot scale rainwater capture (10,000L) to be plumbed into internal non potable demands

such as toilet flushing and laundry. Whilst initially motivated by aspirations to reduce the demand on increasingly stressed municipal water supply the implementation has diverted substantial volumes of stormwater from the districts urban and natural waterways which support indigenous biodiversity, amenity and flood resilience. This use of developer funded rainwater capture and reuse in turn reduces the requirements for further stormwater treatment devices within the public realm (roads/reserves) therefore reducing the long term OPEX burden to Council. Rainwater reuse provides a readily scalable example of NbS which can be tailored to mimic the natural undeveloped hydrology through retention whilst supporting co-benefits to reduce impacts from municipal potable water takes, connecting communities with the water 'story' and providing resilience to potable water shortages and/or outages.

Urban residential intensification – Hobsonville Point Auckland

39 Development of the former RNZAF land at Hobsonville Point was planned as a high yield development with performance metrics to ensure that this did not compromise social and environmental outcomes. Initially commence prior to amalgamation, the development was subsequently supported by provisions in the Auckland Council Unitary Plan with clear requirements to manage stormwater, built form and public realm in line with national and international best practices. Largely completed the development has provided an exemplar for doing density well. Of note the development was largely unimpacted by recent intense rainfall (which exceeded 1% AEP) with flood water accommodated within landscape and limited property damage despite the intensity of rainfall in the immediate area. Extensive tree planting, restoration of coastal margins and integrated water sensitive design will continue to support ongoing improved environmental and social outcomes in coming years.

Effective Implementation of Nature Based Solutions

40 The specification or technical requirements to inform the selection and/or design of NbS's need to respond to the specific functional requirement related to the proposed or existing landuse activity. These functional requirements may be triggered by change in land-use or development at a range of scales which, in many instances, will not trigger regional consents or oversight.

41 Technical requirements related to NbS's could include metrics such as:

- 41.1 Percentage of effective pervious land on lots whereby effective pervious includes the combination of undeveloped and vegetated land, areas of roof either in green roof or with rainwater reuse tanks and areas of paving/hardstand which connects to an appropriately designed stormwater treatment device.
 - 41.2 Targets for mature tree canopy coverage for road corridors and car parks
 - 41.3 Proportion of public greenspace dedicated to functional indigenous ecosystems (this could include vegetated buffers and/or vegetated treatment devices)
 - 41.4 Width of riparian margins to be planted in indigenous species (this could include proportion of vegetated treatment devices co-located in riparian corridors).
 - 41.5 Net Carbon emissions to be offset taking into consideration sequestration achieved through vegetated systems and project related re-vegetation.
 - 41.6 Annual Exceedance Probability (AEP) event to be managed to prevent downstream flooding impacts
 - 41.7 Rainfall depth or water quality volume to be captured and treated for stormwater contaminants to protect urban streams and waterbodies
 - 41.8 Rainfall depth to be intercepted and retained (through reuse or infiltration) to match natural hydrology in freshwater and tidal streams
 - 41.9 Quantum of sea level rise and coastal inundation to be factored into landuse planning
 - 41.10 Proportion of impermeable landcover or public open space within urban areas
- 42 These metrics need to be understood by land owners (including public ownership), developers and investors at the outset to inform efficient and effective outcomes and ensure that development planning can proceed without undue time or cost.
- 43 NbS's can result in activities or 'green infrastructure' which remains in either private or public ownership. Where NbS's remain in private ownership there is a need to have some form of mechanism to ensure that the solutions remain in the intended condition and provide the functional outcomes over a realistic timeframe. These performance outcomes must therefore be clearly understood by current and future landowners and be able to be audited or monitored to ensure that the intended function is sustained.

- 44 Where NbS's are vested into public ownership (or developed by territorial authorities) the councils must have an understanding and adequate resources to maintain NbS's to ensure that the solutions remain in the intended condition and provide the functional outcomes over a realistic timeframe.
- 45 It is therefore considered critical that territorial authorities are equipped to support the implementation of NbS's given the importance of these measures to support the growth and development of urban and rural land within their jurisdiction including where solutions are vested to councils and where they remain in private ownership but are maintained to provide a public good.
- 46 Further, the expected reform of the provision of Three Waters services will need to ensure that land-use activities are effectively managed alongside 'traditional' stormwater infrastructure such as pipes, culverts and pump stations to ensure that misalignment between territorial authority landuse planning and delivery of 'stormwater infrastructure' does not cause ongoing poor environmental, cultural and social outcomes which the region has continued to see over the past decades.
- 47 The inclusion of clear policy, rules and means of compliance relating to NbS's in the regions District Plans is therefore an important consideration in supporting long term sustainable development and growth.

Conclusions

- 48 Legislative and non-legislative drivers for improved urban and rural outcomes is best supported by Territorial Authorities providing clear rules and policies through District Planning and associated codes of practice that can be assessed through consenting. This will be increasingly important with urban infill development which does not necessarily trigger Regional Council consents.
- 49 District Plans need to have clear requirements around outcomes and performance to be achieved in future land-use activities/developments within their jurisdiction and specific metrics where NbS's are the optimal means of supporting a suite of environmental, cultural and social outcomes which are resilient to climate and affordable over a realistic timeframe.
- 50 It is critical that improved outcomes and proposed NbS's are independent of scale and apply to any change in land-use where impacts occur. This is especially important for

future urban intensification and infill development which does not typically trigger resource consent but will result in cumulative impacts that will worsen the current poor outcomes and reduce the ability of communities and ecosystems to withstand current and future climatic conditions. Future intensification/infill needs to be recognised by territorial authorities as an opportunity to seek improvements on the current status quo.