

RMA Form 6

Further submission – Proposed Porirua District Plan*Clause 8 of First Schedule, Resource Management Act 1991*

To: Porirua City Council
Email to: dpreview@porirua.govt.nz
Subject: Further submission - PDP
Post: Proposed District Plan, Environment and City Planning, Porirua City Council, PO Box 50-218, PORIRUA CITY
Delivery: Ground Floor, Council Administration Building, Cobham Court, Porirua City, marked "Attention: Proposed District Plan, Environment and City Planning"

Closing date for further submissions is 5pm Tuesday, 11 May 2021

Submissions, a summary of decisions requested and submitter contact details can be viewed at: www.porirua.govt.nz/proposeddistrictplan

Further Submitter Contact Details			
Full Name	<i>Last Name</i>		<i>First Name</i>
	Cody		John
Email Address for Service	jrcody@xtra.co.nz		
Address	8/1 School Road, Plimmerton		
	Porirua		5026
Phone	<i>Mobile</i>	<i>Home</i>	<i>Work</i>
	021 056 1623		
Attendance and wish to be heard at the hearing: <i>you must fill in both rows below</i>			
<input type="checkbox"/> I do not wish <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> I wish To be heard in support of my further submission <i>(Please tick relevant box)</i>			
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> I will <input type="checkbox"/> I will not consider presenting a joint case with other submitters, who make a similar further submission, at a hearing. <i>(Please tick relevant box)</i>			
Relevance - you must select one box that applies to you:			

I am a person representing a relevant aspect of the public interest

I am a person who has an interest in the proposal that is greater than the interest the general public has

I am the local authority for the relevant area

Explain/specify the grounds for saying that you come within this category (you must fill this in):

I am a resident and ratepayer but, as far as I know, I am only affected in general terms by the proposals to reconfigure the city and corridor.

Note to person making further submission:

A copy of your further submission must be served on the original submitter within 5 working days after it is served on the local authority.

Please note that your submission (or part of your submission) may be struck out if the authority is satisfied that at least 1 of the following applies to the submission (or part of the submission):

- *it is frivolous or vexatious:*
- *it discloses no reasonable or relevant case:*
- *it would be an abuse of the hearing process to allow the submission (or the part) to be taken further:*
- *it contains offensive language:*
- *it is supported only by material that purports to be independent expert evidence, but has been prepared by a person who is not independent or who does not have sufficient specialised knowledge or skill to give expert advice on the matter.*

Privacy note:

When a person or group makes a submission or further submission on the Proposed District Plan this is public information. Please note that by making a submission your personal details, including your name and addresses will be made publicly available under the Resource Management Act 1991. This is because, under the Act, any further submission supporting or opposing your submission must be forwarded to you as well as to PCC. There are limited circumstances when your submission or your contact details can be kept confidential. If you consider you have reasons why your submission or your contact details should be kept confidential please contact the Environment & City Planning Team at dpreview@porirua.govt.nz.

Signature of person making further submission



11 May 2021

Your further submission:

Please complete section below and insert additional rows per submission point or submitter if required by using the enter button
Delete examples provided and enter your own further submission points

Submitter Name/ Submission Number <i>[See submission contact list]</i>	Submitter Address/Email <i>[if provided]</i>	Support or Oppose <i>[Only choose support or oppose]</i>	The particular parts of the submission I support or oppose are: <i>[clearly indicate which parts of the original submission you support or oppose, together with any relevant provisions of the proposal]</i>	The reasons for my support or opposition are: <i>[give reasons]</i>	Allow or disallow <i>[Only choose allow or disallow]</i>	I seek that the whole or part (describe part) of the submission be allowed or disallowed: <i>[give precise details]</i>
Kainga Ora 81	developmentplanning@hnzc.co.nz	Support	The comprehensive attempt to reorient the PDP towards Urban Renewal with at least a passing reference to the Kainga Ora 'tenant base'. The submission seeks to give effect to the NPS-UD and Wellington Regional Growth Framework.	Porirua planning leans towards opportunistic urban 'development' based on developer-led MoUs with almost no regard for demographics and the mix of housing required to efficiently house projected populations.	Allow	The concept and direction of the submission.
Kainga Ora 81	developmentplanning@hnzc.co.nz	Oppose	The cursory treatment of: (a) assumptions about the population to be housed (NPS-UD 3.23(2)), (b) governance of enclave and neighbourhood redevelopment, and (c) the implications of changing the culture of residential areas.	The PDP confirms the existence of residential enclaves each with unique and complex governance structures, presumably relating indirectly to the Joint Committee responsible for implementing the regional spatial plan. Consequently the implications of intensification for redeveloping the city as coherent walkable neighbourhoods, ownership arrangements, and relations among occupants of multi-household structures should be addressed explicitly at this stage to establish the feasibility of the proposal. Kainga Ora will be aware of difficulties that can arise when managing publicly and privately owned high and medium-density premises.	n.a.	At this stage, a relevant demographic analysis supporting the submission that meets the standard in the NPS-UD 3.23(2) cf. the treatment of this topic in the s32 report 7.1 p.50. It seems to me that Kainga Ora is the only agency engaged in this process with the resources required to take a lead role in refining the discussion of this set of issues. Submitters 134 and 143 may be able to assist with some aspects.
Kainga Ora 81	developmentplanning@hnzc.co.nz	Oppose	Two aspects of the Kenepuru map viz. the constrained boundaries of the 'hospital zone' and the perpetuation of a commercial zone adjacent to Kenepuru Railway Station. The detail of the increased density in Plimmerton, the Plimmerton-Pukerua FUZ and PLM Farm Precincts B, C and D.	The increase and ageing of the population will increase the need for, and probably the nature of, public health services. Access to Transmission Gully could also change the role of the health care complex. Provision is required to relocate commercial activities that do not need to be located within walkable distances of public transport. Including the Plimmerton-Pukerua FUZ in this iteration of the PDP detracts from the primary objective of the submission and will be used to subvert the process of intensification. Consistent with this, Precincts B, C and D in the Plimmerton Farm enclave should be either revoked or suspended indefinitely.	Disallow	Revise zone boundaries and delete the Plimmerton-Pukerua FUZ from this iteration of the PDP. Suspend provisions for Precincts B, C and D in the Plimmerton Farm enclave.