



RMA Form 6

Further submission – Proposed Porirua District Plan

Clause 8 of First Schedule, Resource Management Act 1991

To: Porirua City Council

Email to: dpreview@porirua.govt.nz

Subject: Further submission - PDP

Post: Proposed District Plan, Environment and City Planning, Porirua City Council, PO Box 50-218, PORIRUA CITY

Delivery: Ground Floor, Council Administration Building, Cobham Court, Porirua City, marked "Attention: Proposed District Plan, Environment and City Planning"

Closing date for further submissions is 5pm Tuesday, 11 May 2021

Submissions, a summary of decisions requested and submitter contact details can be viewed at: www.porirua.govt.nz/proposeddistrictplan

Further Submitter Contact Details		
Full Name	Last Name	First Name
	Pedder	Ross
	<i>[insert additional rows if needed]</i>	

Or Company/Organisation Name <i>if applicable</i>			
Contact Person <i>if different</i>			
Email Address for Service	rpedder9@gmail.com		
Address	45 Aberfeldy St, Cannons Creek		
	Porirua	5024	
Mail Address for Service <i>if different</i>			
Phone	<i>Mobile</i> 021 647 125	<i>Home</i>	<i>Work</i>
<p>Attendance and wish to be heard at the hearing: <i>you must fill in both rows below</i></p>			
<p><input type="checkbox"/> I do not wish</p> <p>To be heard in support of my further submission <i>(Please tick relevant box)</i></p>			

I will

consider presenting a joint case with other submitters, who make a similar further submission, at a hearing.

(Please tick relevant box)

Relevance - you must select one box that applies to you:

I am a person who has an interest in the proposal that is greater than the interest the general public has

Explain/specify the grounds for saying that you come within this category (you must fill this in):

I am a Licensed Radio Amateur with callsign ZL2UAC. I am also the Group Leader for Amateur Radio Emergency Communications for Porirua and Wellington. The ability to communicate through out New Zealand in the event of a major emergency requires me to have effective antennas. This is equally true when the need arises to provide communication services between local Pacifica people and their families in the Islands in the event of situations such as Tsunamis and major earthquakes.

Note to person making further submission:

A copy of your further submission must be served on the original submitter within 5 working days after it is served on the local authority.

Please note that your submission (or part of your submission) may be struck out if the authority is satisfied that at least 1 of the following applies to the submission (or part of the submission):

- it is frivolous or vexatious:*

- *it discloses no reasonable or relevant case:*
- *it would be an abuse of the hearing process to allow the submission (or the part) to be taken further:*
- *it contains offensive language:*
- *it is supported only by material that purports to be independent expert evidence, but has been prepared by a person who is not independent or who does not have sufficient specialised knowledge or skill to give expert advice on the matter.*

Privacy note:

When a person or group makes a submission or further submission on the Proposed District Plan this is public information. Please note that by making a submission your personal details, including your name and addresses will be made publicly available under the Resource Management Act 1991. This is because, under the Act, any further submission supporting or opposing your submission must be forwarded to you as well as to PCC. There are limited circumstances when your submission or your contact details can be kept confidential. If you consider you have reasons why your submission or your contact details should be kept confidential please contact the Environment & City Planning Team at dpreview@porirua.govt.nz.

Signature of person making further submission (or person authorised to sign on behalf of person making further submission)



Date 29 April 2021

(A signature is not required if you make your submission by electronic means.)

Your further submission:

Please complete section below and insert additional rows per submission point or submitter if required by using the enter button

Delete examples provided and enter your own further submission points

Submitter Name/ Submission Number <i>[See submission contact list]</i>	Submitter Address/Email <i>[if provided]</i>	Support or Oppose <i>[Only choose support or oppose]</i>	The particular parts of the submission I support or oppose are: <i>[clearly indicate which parts of the original submission you support or oppose, together with any relevant provisions of the proposal]</i>	The reasons for my support or opposition are: <i>[give reasons]</i>	Allow or disallow <i>[Only choose allow or disallow]</i>	I seek that the whole or part (describe part) of the submission be allowed or disallowed: <i>[give precise details]</i>
TBARC and NZART (Inc) 224.1	peter.lake@xtra.co.nz	Support	The submitter has requested that the rules AR S6-4 and AR S6-5 for urban areas match Rules AR S6-2 and AR-S6-3 as specified for non-urban areas.	The rules AR-S6-4 and AR-S6-5 result in completely nullifying the ability in urban areas to operate on the most used and most applicable bands for national and international communications.	Allow	I request that the whole part of the submission be allowed.
TBARC and NZART (Inc) 224.2	peter.lake@xtra.co.nz	Support	The submitter has requested that the needs for communications disaster relief not be unreasonable impeded	Any unreasonable limitation of the use of amateur radio frequencies within the City completely frustrated the intention of International Law and National Law.	Allow	I request that the whole part of the submission be allowed.
TBARC and NZART (Inc) 224.3	peter.lake@xtra.co.nz	Support	The submitter requests that tangible objective "Amenity Values" of the amateur radio service not be sublimated below subjective amenity values	The Amenity Values of amateur radio are objective and tangible. They are also easily verifiable. The only significant Amenity Value AGAINST amateur radio configurations is the visual effect, and that is a highly subjective – it depends on who is making the assessment.	Allow	I request that the whole part of the submission be allowed.
TBARC and NZART (Inc) 224.4	peter.lake@xtra.co.nz	Support	The values and benefits brought to Porirua City through having a diverse self education response in the area of technologies is something upon which modern society depends.	There is evidence that when persons with radio amateur licenses relocate, they avoid places that are hostile to ARCs. In due course, cities or districts that discourage technologists from living in their environs, they deplete themselves of a very essential workforce. Technologists would have to travel from out of the area to attend to faults or installations, which can add costs and delays.	Allow	I request that the whole part of the submission be allowed.
TBARC and NZART (Inc) 224.5	peter.lake@xtra.co.nz	Support	The self-regulating aspect of amateur radio enthusiasts.	Self regulation reduces the costs of administration of all organisations that become involved – including local Authorities and Government Departments. Radio Spectrum Management (a department within the Ministry of Business, Innovation and Employment) has devolved large portions of its administrative work to NZART, and in some cases, to individual Radio Amateurs as "Approved Radio Certifiers" (mostly unpaid)	Allow	I request that the whole part of the submission be allowed.

Your further submission:

Please complete section below and insert additional rows per submission point or submitter if required by using the enter button

Delete examples provided and enter your own further submission points

Submitter Name/ Submission Number <i>[See submission contact list]</i>	Submitter Address/Email <i>[if provided]</i>	Support or Oppose <i>[Only choose support or oppose]</i>	The particular parts of the submission I support or oppose are: <i>[clearly indicate which parts of the original submission you support or oppose, together with any relevant provisions of the proposal]</i>	The reasons for my support or opposition are: <i>[give reasons]</i>	Allow or disallow <i>[Only choose allow or disallow]</i>	I seek that the whole or part (describe part) of the submission be allowed or disallowed: <i>[give precise details]</i>
TBARC and NZART (Inc) 224.6	<i>peter.lake@xtra.co.nz</i>	Support	<i>The role of Amateur Radio Emergency Communications.</i>	<i>This is a very tangible benefit that is permanently available to communities and countries in the event of emergencies. There have been many (but fortunately not too frequent) emergencies in NZ in which AREC played a significant part. One of the largest was the Napier/Hastings earthquake in 1931, then the Edgecombe earthquake in 1987, and more recently the Christchurch Earthquake in 2011.</i>	Allow	<i>I request that the whole part of the submission be allowed.</i>
TBARC and NZART (Inc) 224.7	<i>Porirua Council: Section 32 Report</i>	Support	<i>Corrections to the Section 32 Report in relation to AREC</i>	<i>Council has correctly identified an unintended fault in the wording of S.32</i>	Allow	<i>Make the suggested amendments to S.32</i>
TBARC and NZART (Inc) 224.8	<i>peter.lake@xtra.co.nz</i>	Support	<i>The historical aspects of amateur radio.</i>	<i>Over the last 100 years MOST of the advances in Radio Technology have been made through un-paid experimentation. It is generally AFTER a technology has been identified that commercial interests get involved and market the facility. In fact, the first truly trans-world communication via radio occurred between Frank Bell in Waitaki and Cecil Goyder in London on 9 Dec 1924.</i>	Allow	<i>I request that the whole part of the submission be allowed.</i>
TBARC and NZART (Inc) 224.9	<i>peter.lake@xtra.co.nz</i>	Support	<i>Long term relationship between Porirua City Council and the Titahi Bay Amateur Radio Club</i>	<i>Long term relationships are an asset that should not be lightly dismissed</i>	Allow	<i>I request that the whole part of the submission be allowed</i>
TBARC and NZART (Inc) 224.10	<i>peter.lake@xtra.co.nz</i>	Support	<i>Yagi Antennas</i>	<i>Yagi antennas are one of the fundamental aerial configurations used for "distant" communications. Their dimensions are scientifically related to the bandwidth of operation. The term "Yagi" is not suitable as a regulatory definition.</i>	Allow	<i>I request that the whole part of the submission be allowed</i>
TBARC and NZART (Inc) 224.11	<i>peter.lake@xtra.co.nz</i>	Support	<i>The career of Dr Murray Milner</i>	<i>Dr Milner is well known in Government and Industry. If anything, his achievements have been understated. Many others have careers that commenced with an interest in amateur radio, and who have also gone on to provide great benefit to society.</i>	Allow	<i>I request that the whole part of the submission be allowed</i>

Your further submission:

Please complete section below and insert additional rows per submission point or submitter if required by using the enter button

Delete examples provided and enter your own further submission points

Submitter Name/ Submission Number <i>[See submission contact list]</i>	Submitter Address/Email <i>[if provided]</i>	Support or Oppose <i>[Only choose support or oppose]</i>	The particular parts of the submission I support or oppose are: <i>[clearly indicate which parts of the original submission you support or oppose, together with any relevant provisions of the proposal]</i>	The reasons for my support or opposition are: <i>[give reasons]</i>	Allow or disallow <i>[Only choose allow or disallow]</i>	I seek that the whole or part (describe part) of the submission be allowed or disallowed: <i>[give precise details]</i>
TBARC and NZART (Inc) 224.12	peter.lake@xtra.co.nz	Support	Consistent and reasonable provisions	It is estimated that some 90% of radio amateurs in NZ live in Cities/Districts where they have the provisions being requested in this submission. The remainder live in areas that have not recognised the benefits.	Allow	I request that the whole part of the submission be allowed.