



Forest & Bird

TE REO O TE TAIAO | *Giving Nature a Voice*

Further Submission – Proposed Porirua District Plan
Clause 8 of the First Schedule, Resource Management Act 1991

11 May 2021

To: Porirua City Council
dpreview@porirua.govt.nz

From: Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society (Forest & Bird)
Amelia Geary – Regional Manager, Lower North Island
a.geary@forestandbird.org.nz

This is a further submission in support of, or in opposition to, submissions on the proposed Porirua District Plan.

Forest & Bird wishes to be heard in support of this submission.

Forest & Bird will consider presenting a joint case with other submitters, who make a similar further submission, at a hearing.

Forest & Bird represents relevant aspects of the public interest. Forest & Bird has been around since 1923 and is New Zealand's largest independent conservation organisation with over 80,000 members and supporters.

FURTHER SUBMISSION

Submitter Name/ Submission Number	Submitter Address/ Email	Support or Oppose	The particular parts of the submission I support or oppose are:	The reasons for my support or opposition are:	Allow or disallow	I seek that the whole or part (describe part) of the submission be allowed or disallowed:
Transpower/60.31	pauline.whitney@boffa miskell.co.nz	Oppose	Oppose the new objective INF-Ox The protection of the National Grid and consequential amendments.	This objective is inconsistent with s6 of the RMA. The objective and consequential amendments sought go beyond that necessary to give effect to the NPS ET. Forest & Bird is concerned that provision for protection and maintenance of indigenous biodiversity as part of subdivision, use and development would be compromised by the amendments sought.	Disallow.	Seek this new objective and consequential amendments be disallowed.
Transpower/60.75	pauline.whitney@boffa miskell.co.nz	Oppose	Oppose request that ECO-P11 does not apply to the National Grid.	It is inappropriate for the National Grid to be exempt from earthworks provisions in SNAs.	Disallow.	Seek the request be disallowed.
Transpower/60.76	pauline.whitney@boffa miskell.co.nz	Oppose	Oppose request that ECO-P12 does not apply to the National Grid.	ECO-P12 implements the NZCPS and protects the values of SNAs, it is inappropriate for the National Grid to be exempt from this policy.	Disallow.	Seek the request be disallowed.
Kāinga Ora/81.1, 81.7, 81.13, 81.14, 81.15, 81.16, 81.17, 81.18, 81.19	developmentplanning@ hnc.co.nz Copies to: KWilliams@property group.co.nz	Oppose in part	Oppose the rezoning or extension of Medium Density Residential Zone where it inappropriately rezones land identified as SNA, ONFL, SAL or where it could lead to the loss of indigenous	The NPS-UD does not override Council's responsibilities under s6 of the RMA. The rezoning has not been adequately assessed to consider its impacts on the natural environment and its	Disallow, in part.	Only accept if the MDRZ purpose includes provision for the maintenance and protection of indigenous

			biodiversity or ecological connectivity across Porirua.	compatibility with the RPS and Council's functions to maintain indigenous biodiversity under the RMA.		biodiversity and the zone is not applied over mapped SNAs, ONFLs and SALs.
Kāinga Ora/81.214	developmentplanning@hnc.co.nz Copies to: KWilliams@propertygroup.co.nz	Oppose	Oppose proposed amendment to restrict the objective to only identified natural character, landscapes and features and ecosystems.	The proposed amendment will not provide for the protection of biodiversity values outside of scheduled areas, this is incompatible with the RMA.	Disallow.	Seek the proposed amendments be disallowed.
Waka Kotahi NZ Transport Agency/82.61	Claudia.Jones@nzta.govt.nz Consentsandapprovals@nzta.govt.nz	Oppose	Oppose the proposed amendments to INF-R5 that would enable works within a wetland within an area identified in SCHED7 for the purposes of the transport network.	This is inconsistent with the RPS, the proposed Natural Resources Plan and the NPS and NES-FW 2020.	Disallow.	Seek these amendments be disallowed.
Director-General of Conservation/126	tchristie@doc.govt.nz	Support	Whole submission.	For the reasons set out in the submission and because the submission encourages the protection of indigenous biodiversity in the Porirua District.	Allow.	Seek that the whole of the submission be allowed.
Greater Wellington Regional Council/137	Fleur.Matthews@gw.govt.nz	Support	Whole submission.	This submission seeks a decision that will assist with integrated management across the relevant legislation and regional planning documents. The submission encourages the protection of indigenous biodiversity in the Porirua District.	Allow.	Seek that the whole of the submission be allowed, noting the comment below.
Greater Wellington Regional Council/137.1	Fleur.Matthews@gw.govt.nz	Support in part	Support the need to give effect to the NPS-FM 2020 and suggest PCC will also need to review the District Plan to ensure the NPS-IB will be given effect to, when made operative in July 2021.	Giving effect to the NPSUD and the NPS FM. PCC will need to be in the context of and with provision for the NPS-IB which is anticipated mid-year.	Allow, in part.	Seek that the submission point be allowed.

Greater Wellington Regional Council/137.52	Fleur.Matthews@gw.govt.nz	Oppose	Oppose the construction of tracks as permitted or controlled activities in SNAs.	Tracks and walkways in SNAs can cause serious adverse effects, a controlled activity would not enable decline of consent even where adverse effects are inconsistent with the provisions of the plan or higher order documents.	Disallow.	Seek that proposed amendment to rules be disallowed.
Jennifer Giller/152.2	jennifer.ruth.giller@gmail.com	Support	A fund to support landowners to maintain their SNAs.	This would be a great way for landowners to feel supported by Council in their SNA protection.	Allow.	Seek this proposal be allowed.
Queen Elizabeth II National Trust/216	mlucas@qeii.org.nz	Support	Whole submission	This submission seeks a decision that ensures the DP gives effect to the relevant legislation and national planning instruments.	Allow.	Seek that the whole of the submission be allowed.
Fulton Hogan/262.22	Dale.Eastham@fultonhogan.com	Oppose	Oppose the proposed amendments to NFL-P9.	The proposed amendments are inconsistent with the RMA. All mining and quarrying activities in SALs, regardless of whether it's expansion or development of existing activities, should be required to avoid adverse effects.	Disallow.	Seed that these amendments be disallowed.