Te
RMA Form 6

Further submission – Proposed Porirua District Plan
Clause 8 of First Schedule, Resource Management Act 1991

To: Porirua City Council
Email to: dpreview@poriruacity.govt.nz
Subject: Further submission - PDP
Post: Proposed District Plan, Environment and City Planning, Porirua City Council, PO Box 50-218, PORIRUA CITY
Delivery: Ground Floor, Council Administration Building, Cobham Court, Porirua City, marked "Attention: Proposed District Plan, Environment and City Planning"

Closing date for further submissions is 5pm Tuesday, 11 May 2021

Submissions, a summary of decisions requested and submitter contact details can be viewed at: www.poriruacity.govt.nz/proposeddistrictplan

Further Submitter Contact Details			
Full Name	*Last Name*	*First Name*	
	[insert additional rows if needed]		
Or Company/Organisation Name *if applicable*	Te Rūnunga o Toa Rangatira		
Contact Person *if different*	Anahera Nin *Resource Management Advisor*		
Email Address for Service	anahera.nin@ngatitoa.iwi.nz		
Address	Level 2, 1 Cobham Court		
	City Porirua	*Postcode* 5022	
Mail Address for Service *if different*			
Phone	*Mobile* 020 4083 7739	*Home*	*Work*

Attendance and wish to be heard at the hearing:
you must fill in both rows below

☑ I do not wish ☐ I wish

To be heard in support of my further submission
(Please tick relevant box)

☑ I will ☐ I will not

consider presenting a joint case with other submitters, who make a similar further submission, at a hearing.

(Please tick relevant box)

Relevance - you must select one box that applies to you:

☐ I am a person representing a relevant aspect of the public interest

☐ I am a person who has an interest in the proposal that is greater than the interest the general public has

☑ I am the local authority for the relevant area

Explain/specify the grounds for saying that you come within this category (you must fill this in):

Te Rūnanga o Toa Rangatira as the mandated iwi authority for Ngāti Toa Rangatira (Ngāti Toa) has responsibility for protecting and enhancing the mana of Ngāti Toa across the various political, economic, social and environmental spheres. Ngāti Toa is recognized as mana whenua for Porirua and therefore (*should)* work with Porirua City Council as partners over the Porirua area in Aotearoa (New Zealand).

Statement Porirua City Council from Te Rūnunga o Toa Rangatira:

In the Tangata Whenua Chapter of the District Plan, it states: "Ngāti Toa Rangatira is a partner in District Plan development and implementation." This partnership in the development and implementation of the District Plan honours Te Tiriti o Waitangi principles that mandate partnership and authority for Ngāti Toa Rangatira over our rohe which includes the whole of Porirua.

Te Rūnunga o Toa Rangatira commends the approach taken by Porirua City Council to include Ngāti Toa in the planning process but this needs to continue into the future and at all stages of the work we undertake as partners. This may include reviewing submissions and reviewing further submissions in a collaborative space with respect to future plans.

Any position that Te Rūnunga o Toa Rangatira takes on the following submissions extends to any and all similar submissions that are not noted in the following further submissions document but are included in the summary of decisions requested.

If there are any submissions that pertain or relate to Ngāti Toa Rangatira activities or rohe, Te Rūnunga o Toa Rangatira hopes to be notified for further consultation.

Note to person making further submission:
A copy of your further submission must be served on the original submitter within 5 working days after it is served on the local authority.

Please note that your submission (or part of your submission) may be struck out if the authority is satisfied that at least 1 of the following applies to the submission (or part of the submission):

- *it is frivolous or vexatious:*
- *it discloses no reasonable or relevant case:*
- *it would be an abuse of the hearing process to allow the submission (or the part) to be taken further:*
- *it contains offensive language:*
- *it is supported only by material that purports to be independent expert evidence, but has been prepared by a person who is not independent or who does not have sufficient specialised knowledge or skill to give expert advice on the matter.*

Privacy note:
When a person or group makes a submission or further submission on the Proposed District Plan this is public information. Please note that by making a submission your personal details, including your name and addresses will be made publicly available under the Resource Management Act 1991. This is because, under the Act, any further submission supporting or opposing your submission must be forwarded to you as

well as to PCC. There are limited circumstances when your submission or your contact details can be kept confidential. If you consider you have reasons why your submission or your contact details should be kept confidential please contact the Environment & City Planning Team at dpreview@poriruacity.govt.nz.

Signature of person making further submission
(*or* person authorised to sign on behalf of
person making further submission)

.....

Date

(A signature is not required if you make your submission by electronic means.)

Your further submission:

Please complete section below and insert additional rows per submission point or submitter if required by using the enter button
Delete examples provided and enter your own further submission points

Submitter Name/ Submission Number *[See submission contact list]*	**Submitter Address/Email** *[if provided]*	**Support or Oppose** *[Only choose support or oppose]*	**The particular parts of the submission I support or oppose are:** *[clearly indicate which parts of the original submission you support or oppose, together with any relevant provisions of the proposal]*	**The reasons for my support or opposition are:** *[give reasons]*	**Allow or disallow** *[Only choose allow or disallow]*	**I seek that the whole or part (describe part) of the submission be allowed or disallowed:** *[give precise details]*
Kāinga Ora/81		Oppose	Submitter has requested to change large parts of the district plan.	TROTR opposes the Kāinga Ora submission on the basis that it: a. Does not make sense b. Is a blanket submission that has been slightly altered to fit the PCC proposed district plan c. Does not take into account any of the hazard maps or overlays in the PCC proposed district plan Further analysis needs to be carried out by Kāinga Ora *in consultation with local government and iwi* for their submission to have any value.	Disallow	TROTR seeks that the whole part of the submission be disallowed, at least until further environmental and cultural analysis is carried out.
Kāinga Ora/81.1		Oppose	Submitter has requested that certain areas of Porirua be rezoned to High Density Residential. [refer to original submission for specific areas]	TROTR opposes the proposed rezoning of certain areas of Porirua to High Density Residential. It is evident that this rezoning only considers proximity to public transport and city centers and has not considered environmental, social or cultural suitability. For example, almost all of Kāinga Ora's proposed High Density Residential areas are in close proximity to the sea and are located in areas that are generally severely affected by flooding. This shows that Kāinga Ora have not taken into consideration flood maps or climate change and the future increase in sea level rise and flooding. Finally, one of the specific proposed rezoning areas is Takapūwāhia Pā. Not only is this where one of only two of Ngāti Toa's marae located but it is also where many of Ngāti Toa uri are located. They do not want high-rise apartments on Ngāti Toa land. This proposed rezoning is also particularly close to the sea and will be subject to increased flooding and sea level rise making it unsuitable for the proposed rezoning.	Disallow	That part of the submission seeking the re-zoning of all High Density Residential areas by Kāinga Ora is disallowed, at least until further environmental and cultural analysis is carried out.
Kāinga Ora/81.7		Oppose	Submitter has requested extending City Centre Zone to replace the Large Format Zone to the north of the City Centre Zone in the PDP	TROTR opposes the proposed extension of the City Centre Zone on the grounds that future development around the shoreline is unsuitable when considering the cultural value of Te Awarua o Porirua and climate change.	Disallow	That part of the submission which requests extending the City Centre Zone is disallowed.
Kāinga Ora/81.13 – 81.16		Oppose	Submitter has requested rezoning several areas from Open Space Zone/Sport and Active Recreation Zone to Medium Density Residential Zone [refer to original submission for specific areas]	TROTR opposes the proposed rezoning of several areas from Open Space/Sport and Active Recreation Zones to Medium Density Residential Zones. TROTR advocates for the right for the surrounding properties of these zones to retain open spaces and sport and active recreation zones for the benefit of their health and safety.	Disallow	That part of the submission which requests rezoning several areas from Open Space/Sport and Active Recreation Zones to Medium Density Residential Zones is disallowed at least until further environmental and cultural evaluations are carried out.

Your further submission:

Please complete section below and insert additional rows per submission point or submitter if required by using the enter button
Delete examples provided and enter your own further submission points

Submitter Name/ Submission Number *[See submission contact list]*	**Submitter Address/Email** *[if provided]*	**Support or Oppose** *[Only choose support or oppose]*	**The particular parts of the submission I support or oppose are:** *[clearly indicate which parts of the original submission you support or oppose, together with any relevant provisions of the proposal]*	**The reasons for my support or opposition are:** *[give reasons]*	**Allow or disallow** *[Only choose allow or disallow]*	**I seek that the whole or part (describe part) of the submission be allowed or disallowed:** *[give precise details]*
Kāinga Ora/81.254		Oppose	Submitter has requested deletion of INF-P8(2) and INF-P8(7).	TROTR opposes the proposed deletion of INF-P8(2) and INF-P8(7). These are necessary inclusions to ensure infrastructure maintains values and qualities of zones are retained.	Disallow	That part of the submission which requests deletion of INF-P8(2) and INF-P8(7) is disallowed.
Kāinga Ora/81.331		Oppose	Submitter has requested changes be made to the general intent of IND-S14	TROTR opposes the proposed change in height or fill depth for Earthworks from 1.5m to 2.5m.	Disallow	That part of the submission which requests a proposed change in height or fill depth for Earthworks is disallowed.
Kāinga Ora/81.357		Oppose	Submitter has requested: 1. Deletion of reference to external technical guidance documents to achieve compliance with rules/standards; 2. Deletion of provisions that should be managed by way of other methods, such as Council Bylaws; 3. Review and re-drafting of notification exclusion clauses; and 4. Consequential changes to the numbering of provisions following changes sought throughout chapter.	TROTR opposes the proposed Kāinga Ora changes to the Three Waters chapter because there are many loopholes that can be exploited when other rules/standards are not included in the district plan.	Disallow	That part of the submission that request deletion of certain provisions/rules/standards.
Kāinga Ora/81.363		Oppose	Submitter has requested external regional standard be used as a guide rather than a standard to be complied with and want to replace “and meet” with “as guided by”	TROTR opposes the proposed Kāinga Ora amendment because by loosening the performance criteria of the Wellington Water Regional Standard for Water Services, it essentially does not have to comply with the standards set out, allowing for potential adverse effects that may come as a result of using the rules as a guide and not as a standard.	Disallow	That part of the submission that wishes to change “and meet” to “as guided by” in THWT-P3.
Kāinga Ora/81.367 – 81.370		Oppose	Submitter has requested re-drafting THWT-R4, R5, S1 and S2 to exclude compliance with external technical standards.	TROTR opposes the proposed Kāinga Ora re-draft and exclusion of meeting external technical standards because it is a blatant disregard for the rules in place.	Disallow	That part of the submission that wishes to re-draft THWT-R4, R5, S1 and S2 to exclude compliance with external standards.
Kāinga Ora/81.402		Oppose	Submitter has requested the removal of the mapped flooding Natural Hazard Overlays from the PDP.	TROTR opposes the proposed Kāinga Ora removal of the mapped flooding Natural Hazard Overlays in the PDP. These flooding overlays are crucial to understanding the areas at risk of flooding and therefore the suitability of certain areas for development. Kāinga Ora’s reasoning for removing the flood maps from the PDP are at best, weak and lack evidence. It would be poor practice to not include these flood maps.	Disallow	That part of the submission that request the removal of the mapped flooding Natural Hazard Overlays from the PDP.
Kāinga Ora/81.424		Oppose	Kāinga Ora requests that explanations about other non-RMA processes are removed.	TROTR opposes Kāinga Ora’s proposed removal of other non-RMA processes because too often are those processes otherwise ignored especially by developers if not included in the PDP.	Disallow	That part of the submission that requests explanations about other non-RMA processes are removed be disallowed.

Your further submission:

Please complete section below and insert additional rows per submission point or submitter if required by using the enter button
Delete examples provided and enter your own further submission points

Submitter Name/ Submission Number *[See submission contact list]*	**Submitter Address/Email** *[if provided]*	**Support or Oppose** *[Only choose support or oppose]*	**The particular parts of the submission I support or oppose are:** *[clearly indicate which parts of the original submission you support or oppose, together with any relevant provisions of the proposal]*	**The reasons for my support or opposition are:** *[give reasons]*	**Allow or disallow** *[Only choose allow or disallow]*	**I seek that the whole or part (describe part) of the submission be allowed or disallowed:** *[give precise details]*
Kāinga Ora/81.428		Oppose	Kāinga Ora requests that explanations about other non-RMA processes are removed.	TROTR opposes Kāinga Ora's proposed removal of other non-RMA processes because too often are those processes otherwise ignored especially by developers if not included in the PDP.	Disallow	That part of the submission that requests explanations about other non-RMA processes are removed be disallowed.
Kāinga Ora/81.452		Oppose	KāingaORa seeks clear non-notification clauses for RDIS activity status subdivision.	TROTR opposes Kāinga Ora's proposed non-notification clauses on the basis that all work, specifically work undertaken by Kāinga Ora and others who wish to utilize this non-notification clause, should be publicly available information, especially to the mandated iwi authority of Porirua.	Disallow	That part of the submission that requests non-notification clauses.
Kāinga Ora/81.454		Oppose	Kāinga Ora seeks non-notification clauses for subdivision in residential zones and the Māori Purpose Zone (Hongoeka).	TROTR opposes Kāinga Ora's proposed non-notification clauses for subdivision both in residential zones and the Māori Purpose Zone. Any work that KO wishes to carry out should be notified publicly **especially** any work being done in Hongoeka.	Disallow	That part of the submission that seeks non-notification clauses for subdivision in residential zones and the Māori Purpose Zone (Hongoeka).
Kāinga Ora/81.459		Oppose	Kāinga Ora seeks an amendment for RDIS subdivision to be considered on a non-notified basis.	TROTR opposes Kāinga Ora's proposed non-notification clauses for RDIS subdivision because it is best practice to inform the public of any work being done especially the mandated iwi authority.	Disallow	That part of the submission that seeks non-notification clauses for RDIS subdivision.
Kāinga Ora/ 81.488		Oppose	Kāinga Ora seeks the introduction of a non-notification clause precluding both public and limited notification in the Earthworks chapter.	TROTR opposes Kāinga Ora's proposed non-notification clauses because it is best practice to inform the public of any work being done especially the mandated iwi authority.	Disallow	That part of the submission that seeks the introduction of a non-notification clause precluding both public and limited notification in the Earthworks chapter.
Kāinga Ora/81.496		Oppose	Kāinga Ora seeks the removal of all advice notes within this standard.	TROTR opposes Kāinga Ora's proposed removal of all advice notes within this standard, specifically the apparent non-relevant note relating to unidentified archeological sites or waahi tapu because these advice notes must be taken into consideration, especially in regards to earthworks standards. Removing these advice notes would be to remove them from consideration.	Disallow	That part of the submission that seeks to remove all advice notes within the EW-S5.
Kāinga Ora/81.523		Oppose	Kāinga Ora seeks to amend certain parts in the GRZ Chapter to recognize the evolving character of the zone.	TROTR opposes Kāinga Ora's amendments to the GRZ chapter as it does not take into account the existing communities that have made their homes in the GRZ, but rather seeks to displace these communities and gentrify the GRZ with a "planned urban built form of the zone".	Disallow	That part of the Kāinga Ora submission that seeks to amend certain parts in the GRZ Chapter.
Kāinga Ora/81.547		Support	Kāinga Ora does not support limiting papakāinga only to land held under Te Ture Whenua Māori Act 1993.	TROTR supports the notion that papakāinga should not be limited only to land held under Te Ture Whenua Māori Act 1993 because papakāinga extends to a collective form of Māori living, not necessarily the land which Māori live on. We want to build papakāinga throughout Porirua, including on land that might not be held under Te Ture Whenua Māori Act 1993 because it's about the collective community living together.	Allow	I seek that part of the submission that does not support limiting papakāinga only to land held under Te Ture Whenua Māori Act 1993 be allowed.
Kāinga Ora/81.614		Support	Kāinga Ora does not support limiting papakāinga only to land held under Te Ture Whenua Māori Act 1993.	TROTR supports the notion that papakāinga should not be limited only to land held under Te Ture Whenua Māori Act 1993 because papakāinga extends to a collective form of Māori living, not necessarily the land which Māori live on. We want to build papakāinga throughout Porirua, including on land that might not be held under Te Ture Whenua	Allow	I seek that part of the submission that does not support limiting papakāinga only to land held under Te Ture Whenua Māori Act 1993 be allowed.

Your further submission:

Please complete section below and insert additional rows per submission point or submitter if required by using the enter button
Delete examples provided and enter your own further submission points

Submitter Name/ Submission Number *[See submission contact list]*	**Submitter Address/Email** *[if provided]*	**Support or Oppose** *[Only choose support or oppose]*	**The particular parts of the submission I support or oppose are:** *[clearly indicate which parts of the original submission you support or oppose, together with any relevant provisions of the proposal]*	**The reasons for my support or opposition are:** *[give reasons]*	**Allow or disallow** *[Only choose allow or disallow]*	**I seek that the whole or part (describe part) of the submission be allowed or disallowed:** *[give precise details]*
				Māori Act 1993 because it's about the collective community living together.		
Kāinga Ora/81.781		Oppose	Kāinga Ora seeks the introduction of a non-notification statement for limited notification for CCZ-R3.	TROTR opposes Kāinga Ora's proposed non-notification clauses because it is best practice to inform the public of any work being done. It is especially best practice to inform the mandated iwi authority.	Disallow	That part of the submission that seeks the introduction of a non-notification statement for limited notification for CCZ-R3 is disallowed.
Kāinga Ora/81.794		Oppose	Kāinga Ora seeks the introduction of a non-notification statement for limited notification for CCZ-R15.	TROTR opposes Kāinga Ora's proposed non-notification clauses because it is best practice to inform the public of any work being done. It is especially best practice to inform the mandated iwi authority.	Disallow	That part of the submission that seeks the introduction of a non-notification statement for limited notification for CCZ-R15 is disallowed.
Kāinga Ora/81.911		Oppose	Kāinga Ora seeks increased spatial extent of MRZ and increased heights in urban zones.	TROTR opposes Kāinga Ora's request to increase the spatial extent of MRZ and increased heights in urban zones on the grounds that the request reflects insufficient environmental suitability assessment and no prior consultation with iwi.	Disallow	That part of the submission that seeks increased spatial extent of MRZ and increased heights in urban zones is disallowed.
Kāinga Ora/81.912		Oppose	Kāinga Ora seeks rezoning of High Density Residential Zone in areas specified on KO Planning Maps.	TROTR opposes Kāinga Ora's request to rezone High Density Residential Zone in areas specified on KO Planning Maps on the grounds that the request reflects insufficient environmental suitability assessment and no prior consultation with iwi.	Disallow	That part of the submission that seeks rezoning of High Density Residential Zone in areas specified on KO Planning Maps is disallowed.
Aggregate and Quarry Association/104.2		Support	Submitter seeks the inclusion of a reverse sensitivity objective at the strategic level.	TROTR supports the inclusion of a reverse sensitivity objective because it provides a basic design guide that supports the health and wellbeing of te taiao, our environment.	Allow	That part of the submission that seeks the inclusion of a reverse sensitivity objective at the strategic level is allowed.
Ainsworth Julie/36.1		Support	Submitter supports the protection of existing, and planting of native vegetation and eradication of non-native vegetation, especially the pinus radiata and pampas grass.	TROTR supports the protection of native vegetation and eradication of non-native vegetation because it supports the health and wellbeing of te taiao, our environment.	Allow	That part of the submission that seeks to protect native vegetation and eradicate non-native vegetation is allowed.
Areora Tatiana/87.5		Support	Submitter requests amendment of SNA134 to include all of Onepoto stream.	TROTR supports the amendment to SNA134 that the whole of Onepoto Stream is included to reflect the cultural value it has to Ngāti Toa Rangatira.	Allow	That part of the submission that requests SNA134 be amended to include all of Onepoto stream to be allowed.
Blake Jennifer/17.3		Support	Submitter requests to amend and/or create new policies with specific protections for SNA areas on identified properties	TROTR supports the inclusion of specific protections for SNA areas on identified properties because it supports the health and wellbeing of te taiao, our environment.	Allow	That part of the submission that requests the inclusion of specific protections for SNA areas on identified properties is allowed.
Bowman Alana/146.1		Support	Submitter requests exclusion of jet skis from Pāuatahanui Inlet.	TROTR supports the exclusion of jet skis from Pauatahanui Inlet to support the health and wellbeing of our wai (water) and the ecosystems that exist in and around Pāuatahanui Inlet.	Allow	That part of the submission that requests exclusion of jet skis from Pāuatahanui Inlet is allowed.

Your further submission:

Please complete section below and insert additional rows per submission point or submitter if required by using the enter button
Delete examples provided and enter your own further submission points

Submitter Name/ Submission Number *[See submission contact list]*	**Submitter Address/Email** *[if provided]*	**Support or Oppose** *[Only choose support or oppose]*	**The particular parts of the submission I support or oppose are:** *[clearly indicate which parts of the original submission you support or oppose, together with any relevant provisions of the proposal]*	**The reasons for my support or opposition are:** *[give reasons]*	**Allow or disallow** *[Only choose allow or disallow]*	**I seek that the whole or part (describe part) of the submission be allowed or disallowed:** *[give precise details]*
Coad Victoria and Nick/162.7		Support	Submitter requests any building over 450 square metres should trigger a resource consent for design reasons.	TROTR supports the inclusion of the standard that any building over 450 square metres should trigger a resource consent for design reasons on the grounds that this is best practice and allows for proper environmental assessment to be carried out.	Allow	That part of the submission that requests any building over 450 square metres should trigger a resource consent for design reasons is allowed.
Dale Linda/247.2		Oppose	Submitter requests a new policy that seeks to remove any council liability relating to new activities within coastal hazard zones.	TROTR opposes this request for a new policy that seeks to remove any council liability relating to new activities within coastal hazard zones because it does not support community health and safety.	Disallow	That part of the submission that requests a new policy that seeks to remove any council liability relating to new activities within coastal hazard zones is disallowed.
Davies Lyle and Tracey/10.3		Support	Submitter requests quarry and mining activities should not be permitted in areas with SNAs.	TROTR supports the request that quarry and mining activities should not be permitted in areas with SNAs because halting these activities supports the health and wellbeing of te taiao, our environment.	Allow	That part of the submission that requests quarry and mining activities should not be permitted in areas with SNAs is allowed.
Director-General of Conservation/126.13		Support	Submitter has requested policies should not encourage subdivision and development with SNAs.	TROTR supports the amendment of policies in ECO-P4 that they should not encourage subdivision and development with SNAs because SNAs should be protected and the decrease of intensive activity on the land supports the health and wellbeing of te taiao, our environment.	Allow	That part of the submission that requests policies should not encourage subdivision and development with SNAs is allowed.
Director-General of Conservation/126.14		Support	Submitter has requested that the approach of inclusion of wetlands as SNAs in ECO-P5 be retained.	TROTR supports the approach of inclusion of wetlands as SNAs in ECO-P5 because this reduces the risk of intensive activity on the land which supports the health and wellbeing of te taiao, our environment.	Allow	That part of the submission that the approach of inclusion of wetlands as SNAs in ECO-P5 be retained is allowed.
Richard Falkner/147		Support	Submitter has requested: • The protection and reinstatement of wetlands on East Porirua's Waitangirua Hill, at 90 Arahura Crescent. • The inclusion of Waitangirua Hill as a place of significance to Māori • The inclusion of Waitangirua Hill as an Outstanding Natural Features and Landscape. • Supports the protection of the Belmont Hills as Special Amenity Landscapes. In relation to seeking the inclusion of Waitangirua Hill as an Outstanding Natural Features and Landscape and supporting the Belmont Hills as a Special Amenity Landscape, seeks: • To have these areas reforested by and made accessible to local residents.	TROTR supports submitter's request on the grounds of cultural value to Ngāti Toa in particular. The stories and significance of Waitangirua Hill and Belmont Hill pertain to Ngāti Toa whakapapa and need to be considered as significant to Māori.	Allow	The whole submission is allowed.

Your further submission:

Please complete section below and insert additional rows per submission point or submitter if required by using the enter button
Delete examples provided and enter your own further submission points

Submitter Name/ Submission Number *[See submission contact list]*	**Submitter Address/Email** *[if provided]*	**Support or Oppose** *[Only choose support or oppose]*	**The particular parts of the submission I support or oppose are:** *[clearly indicate which parts of the original submission you support or oppose, together with any relevant provisions of the proposal]*	**The reasons for my support or opposition are:** *[give reasons]*	**Allow or disallow** *[Only choose allow or disallow]*	**I seek that the whole or part (describe part) of the submission be allowed or disallowed:** *[give precise details]*
Gear Ian and Helen/ 193.1		Support	Submitter has requested quarrying and mining to be included as prohibited activities in the Taupo catchment.	TROTR supports the request that quarrying and mining to be included as prohibited activities in the Taupo catchment because not only does this amendment support the health and wellbeing of te taiao (our environment) in that area but it also protects an area that is historically and culturally significant to Ngāti Toa.	Allow	That part of the submission that requests quarrying and mining to be included as prohibited activities in the Taupo catchment is allowed.
Gear Ian and Helen/193.2		Support in part	Submitter has requested the inclusion of a process that encourages landowners to support and nurture SNAs rather than persisting with a punitive regulator tool.	TROTR generally supports the inclusion of a process that encourages landowners to support and nurture SNAs rather than persisting with a punitive regulator tool. TROTR wants to amend the request to include kaitiaki from Ngāti Toa in that process to help landowners nurture SNAs on their property. This supports Ngāti Toa's role as kaitiaki and mana whenua of the Porirua area and allows us to form better relationships with community members.	Allow with amendment	That part of the submission that requests the inclusion of a process that encourages landowners to support and nurture SNAs is allowed with the inclusion of Ngāti Toa kaitiaki to help landowners manage and nurture SNAs to fulfill role as kaitiaki.
Greater Wellington Regional Council/137.2		Support	Submitter has requested the addition or amendment of objectives, policies and rules so that the PDP gives effect to the NPS-FM.	TROTR supports the addition or amendment of objectives, policies and rules so that the PDP gives effect to the NPS-FM because the NPS-FM supports the wellbeing and health of our wai (waters) and can support the restoration of several catchments, rivers, streams that are significant to Ngāti Toa.	Allow	That part of the submission that requests the addition or amendment of objectives, policies and rules so that the PDP gives effect to the NPS-FM is allowed.
Greater Wellington Regional Council/137.3		Support	Submitter requests the adoption of relevant recommendations from Te Awarua-o-Porirua whaitua implementation programme and the Ngāti Toa Rangatira Statement into the district planning provisions.	TROTR supports the adoption of relevant recommendations from Te Awarua-o-Porirua whaitua implementation programme and the Ngāti Toa Rangatira Statement into the district planning provisions because these recommendations were created by Ngāti Toa to uphold the wellbeing and health of te taiao (our environment).	Allow	That part of the submission that requests the adoption of relevant recommendations from Te Awarua-o-Porirua whaitua implementation programme and the Ngāti Toa Rangatira Statement into the district planning provisions.
Greater Wellington Regional Council/137.6		Support	Submitter has requested a review of terminology re: Tangata Whenua with Ngāti Toa.	TROTR supports this request to review use of terminology as it pertains to Ngāti Toa because this directly relates to Ngāti Toa and our role as mana whenua in Porirua.	Allow	That part of the submission that requests a review of terminology with Ngāti Toa.
Greater Wellington Regional Council/137.42-43		Support	Submitter has requested amended explanation of Historic Heritage Chapter to provide a cross-reference to Sites and Areas of Significance to Māori Chapter.	TROTR supports an amended explanation of Historic Heritage Chapter because Sites and Areas of Significance to Māori *are also* places of historic heritage and need to be recognized or at least referenced as such.	Allow	That part of the submission that requests an amended explanation of Historic Heritage Chapter to provide a cross-reference to Sites and Areas of Significance to Māori Chapter is allowed.
Greater Wellington Regional Council/137.66		Support	Submitter has requested the amendment of FUZ-P2 and APP-11 to take into account the National Environmental Standards for Freshwater, contaminant limits, conditions on discharge consents held by Wellington Water, and water sensitive urban design.	TROTR supports the amendment of FUZ-P2 to take into account the National Environmental Standards for Freshwater, contaminant limits, conditions on discharge consents held by Wellington Water, and water sensitive urban design as it upholds a certain standard that directly supports the health and wellbeing of te taiao (our environment).	Allow	That part of the submission that has requested the amendment of FUZ-P2 and APP-11 to take into account the National Environmental Standards for Freshwater, contaminant limits, conditions on discharge consents held by Wellington Water, and water sensitive urban design is allowed.
Greater Wellington Regional Council /137.80		Support	Submitter supports the all hazards, risk-based approach to natural hazards that is incorporated throughout the PDP.	TROTR supports the submitter's position on the all hazards, risk-based approach to natural hazards that is incorporated throughout the PDP. This approach places the health and safety of our people above all else and is best practice.	Allow	That part of the submission that supports the all hazards, risk-based approach to natural hazards that is incorporated throughout the PDP is allowed and retained.

Your further submission:

Please complete section below and insert additional rows per submission point or submitter if required by using the enter button
Delete examples provided and enter your own further submission points

Submitter Name/ Submission Number *[See submission contact list]*	**Submitter Address/Email** *[if provided]*	**Support or Oppose** *[Only choose support or oppose]*	**The particular parts of the submission I support or oppose are:** *[clearly indicate which parts of the original submission you support or oppose, together with any relevant provisions of the proposal]*	**The reasons for my support or opposition are:** *[give reasons]*	**Allow or disallow** *[Only choose allow or disallow]*	**I seek that the whole or part (describe part) of the submission be allowed or disallowed:** *[give precise details]*
Hannah Bridget Gray No2 Trust/108.1		Support	Submitter requests the amendment SAL001 to include: 13. The hills around the Harbour were extensively cleared for pastoral farming in the mid-1850's, resulting in loss of flora and fauna and resulting in changes in the waters of the Harbour; 14. The gradual revegetation and environmental restoration around the inlet is highly valued.	TROTR supports the amendment to SAL001 because it recognizes the effect pastoral farming had on the hills surrounding Te Awarua o Porirua and the harbour itself and places value on the environmental restoration of the hills which in part could likely have a positive effect on the restoration of the harbour. These actions restore the health and wellbeing of te taiao, our environment.	Allow	That part of the submission that requests the amendment SAL001 is allowed to include: 13. The hills around the Harbour were extensively cleared for pastoral farming in the mid-1850's, resulting in loss of flora and fauna and resulting in changes in the waters of the Harbour; 14. The gradual revegetation and environmental restoration around the inlet is highly valued.
HNZPT/65.49		Support	Submitter supports and requests retainment of provision SASM-R4 that includes notification rule relating to Ngāti Toa Rangatira and HNZPT.	TROTR supports provision on the basis that it is best practice especially in relation to any site or area of significance to Māori.	Allow	That part of the submission that supports retainment of provision SASM-R4.
HNZPT/65.57		Support in part	Submitter requests addition of new appendix: App16- Archaeological Authority Process Under the Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga Act 2014 it is unlawful to destroy, damage or modify an archaeological site (regardless of whether the site is identified in the District Plan or not) without obtaining an archaeological authority from Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga (HNZPT) before you start work. An archaeological authority is required in addition to any resource consents required by Porirua City Council. An archaeological site is defined in this act as any place in New Zealand (including buildings, structures or shipwrecks) that was associated with pre-1900 human activity, where there is evidence relating to the history of New Zealand that can be investigated using archaeological methods. If you discover a previously unknown archaeological site (for example, when you are conducting earthworks) you must stop any work that could affect it and contact HNZPT for advice on how to	TROTR generally supports the addition of new appendix but requests amendment of new appendix to include notification rule relating to Ngāti Toa: If you discover a previously unknown archaeological site (for example, when you are conducting earthworks) you must stop any work that could affect it and contact HNZPT and Te Rūnunga o Toa Rangatira for advice on how to proceed. Most archeological sites discovered in Porirua are sites relevant to Ngāti Toa and must be treated with the appropriate tikanga and kawa, therefore consultation with Ngāti Toa is essential.	Allow with amendment	Submitter requests addition of new appending with amendment is allowed: If you discover a previously unknown archaeological site (for example, when you are conducting earthworks) you must stop any work that could affect it and contact HNZPT and Te Rūnunga o Toa Rangatira for advice on how to proceed.

Your further submission:

Please complete section below and insert additional rows per submission point or submitter if required by using the enter button
Delete examples provided and enter your own further submission points

Submitter Name/ Submission Number *[See submission contact list]*	**Submitter Address/Email** *[if provided]*	**Support or Oppose** *[Only choose support or oppose]*	**The particular parts of the submission I support or oppose are:** *[clearly indicate which parts of the original submission you support or oppose, together with any relevant provisions of the proposal]*	**The reasons for my support or opposition are:** *[give reasons]*	**Allow or disallow** *[Only choose allow or disallow]*	**I seek that the whole or part (describe part) of the submission be allowed or disallowed:** *[give precise details]*
			proceed. The Police will also need to be notified if human remains are revealed. If any artefacts are found, they must be handed over to the Ministry for Culture and Heritage.			
Horomona Te Whanau/249.4		Support in part	Submitter has requested amendment to TW-O2 to include: Ngāti Toa Rangatira is a partner in District Plan development and implementation, and is supported by Porirua City Council to provide meaningful input into planning processes.	TROTR supports the requested amendment to TW-O2 but provided the significance of being referred to as a partner in District Plan development and implementation, requests this statement also be included in the introduction to the PDP. TROTR also recommends the amendment includes: Ngāti Toa Rangatira is a partner in District Plan development and implementation, and is supported by Porirua City Council to provide meaningful input into planning processes at all stages.	Allow	That part of the submission that requests amendment to TW-O2 and includes TROTR recommendation that this statement is included in PDP introduction is allowed: Ngāti Toa Rangatira is a partner in District Plan development and implementation, and is supported by Porirua City Council to provide meaningful input into planning processes at all stages.
Horomona Te Whanau/249		Support	Submitter has requested a number of amendments, additions, and supporting statements for the PDP.	TROTR supports the submitter's amendments, additions, and supporting statements for the PDP on the basis that they support and uphold cultural values, intergenerational wellbeing and Ngāti Toa's role as mana whenua.	Allow	The submission with all amendments, additions and supporting statements is allowed.
Mclaughlan James Bubble Family Trust/237.6-237.7		Oppose	Submitter has requested the removal of the Natural Hazard (NH) risk overlays from land or amendment to NH provisions to provide for less restrictive planning framework.	TROTR opposes the submitter's requests on the basis that these requests conflict with the health, safety and wellbeing of people who might end up living in high-risk NH areas.	Disallow	That part of the submission that requests the removal of Natural Hazard (NH) risk overlays from land or amendment to NH provisions to provide for less restrictive planning framework is disallowed.
Phillips Heather and Love Donald/79.10		Support	Submitter has requested the addition of the NZ Wars Memorial at Battle Hill to historic register.	TROTR supports this addition and agrees that it holds great significance to not only Ngāti Toa but to Aotearoa history.	Allow	That part of the submission that requests the addition of the NZ Wars Memorial at Battle Hill to historic register is allowed.
Porirua City Council/11.71		Support	Submitter has requested amendment to APP13 to include the Tawhitikurī/Goat Point coastal statutory area	TROTR supports this amendment as it recognizes the cultural significance of this coastal area to Ngāti Toa.	Allow	That part of the submission that seeks amendment to APP13 to include the Tawhitikurī/Goat Point coastal statutory area is allowed.
Te Awarua-0-Porirua Harbour & Catchments Community Trust, and Guardians of Pāuatahanui Inlet/77.5		Support	Submitter has requested the following amendment to REE-O5: Porirua's natural and physical resources are used efficiently, meet the community's needs both now and in the future and, in doing so, protect Porirua's natural environmental values and have no adverse effects on the function or ecology of the harbour and its contributing catchments.	TROTR supports the amendment as it upholds and supports the health and wellbeing of Te Awarua o Porirua.	Allow	That part of the submission that seeks an amendment to REE-O5 is allowed.

Your further submission:

Please complete section below and insert additional rows per submission point or submitter if required by using the enter button
Delete examples provided and enter your own further submission points

Submitter Name/ Submission Number *[See submission contact list]*	**Submitter Address/Email** *[if provided]*	**Support or Oppose** *[Only choose support or oppose]*	**The particular parts of the submission I support or oppose are:** *[clearly indicate which parts of the original submission you support or oppose, together with any relevant provisions of the proposal]*	**The reasons for my support or opposition are:** *[give reasons]*	**Allow or disallow** *[Only choose allow or disallow]*	**I seek that the whole or part (describe part) of the submission be allowed or disallowed:** *[give precise details]*
Te Āhuru Mōwai/265.1		Support	Submitter wishes to: • Retain specific provision for Papakāinga which enables uri (descendants) or Ngāti Toa Rangatira to exercise their customary rights including the provision of housing and associated activities • Make note of the maintenance and strengthening of Council's support for Papakāinga development.	TROTR supports: • Retain the specific provision for Papakāinga which enables uri (descendants) or Ngāti Toa Rangatira to exercise their customary rights including the provision of housing and associated activities The provision directly relates to Ngāti Toa Rangatira and their ability to exercise their customary rights. TROTR also wishes to support the maintenance and strengthening of Council's support for Papakāinga development.	Allow	That part of the submission that seeks to retain the specific provision for Papakāinga which enables uri (descendants) or Ngāti Toa Rangatira to exercise their customary rights including the provision of housing and associated activities is allowed. TROTR also supports the addition to maintain and strengthen Council's support for Papakāinga development and recommends it be allowed.