From: Chrissie Areora < chrissieareora@gmail.com > Sent: Tuesday, 17 November 2020 11:40 AM
To: dpreview < dpreview@poriruacity.govt.nz Subject: [EXTERNAL] Form 5 - submission on publicly notified proposal for a plan.
To Doning City Council
To Porirua City Council,
Name of submitter: Akaruke Areora
This is a submission on the following on the following proposed plan:
PORIRUA CITY COUNCIL CITY-WIDE DISTRICT PLAN REVIEW
The specific provisions of the proposal that my submission relates to are:
outlined below in my submission
My submission is:
1. I submit that all of Whitireia Park must be protected from inappropriate subdivision, use and development.
211 Submit that an of Whithela Lank must be protested from mappropriate subdivision, use and development
All areas of Whitireia Park are part of the coastal environment because they have elements and features that contribute to the natural character, landscape, visual qualities or amenity values and therefore any provisions for
development are subject to section 6(a) of the Resource Management Act 1991 (the RMA).
2. I fully support all land in Whitireia Park continuing to be zoned Open Space.

Whitireia Park is a prominent headland on the southern side of the entrance to Te Awarua-o-Porirua Harbour. The Park includes all land owned by the Crown, some areas owned by Ngāti Toa, the golf course and the Radio New Zealand (RNZ) land which leases most of the land to DOC and areas within the boundary of the park owned by Porirua City Council. The Park is open to the public to wander at will. It is used by a wide range of people from Porirua and the wider Wellington Region for a variety of activities. It has highly significant cultural, recreation, biodiversity, landscape, educational and open space values.

3. I submit the bulk and location standards need to be amended so they are consistent with objective Open space Zone – 02 (OSZ-02).

The zoning of Open Space does not limit the number of buildings – any number is possible so long as each is less that 50m2 and the combined coverage is no more than 5 percent. Under the permitted standard relating to site coverage and floor area, up to 520 buildings could be built on the Radio New Zealand land. This would be contrary to the objective OSZ-02 'a low level of development and built form with few structures to support passive and active community activities'.

4. I submit that all of Whitireia Park, except small footprints of modified landforms in the Golf Club and RNZ mast and building area should be included in the ONFL policy overlay.

My reasons for seeking inclusion are as follows:

- · The area is open space widely used by the local community for recreation
- · The area is widely recognised and valued by the community and is highly visible from the road, tracks and many other areas of the park
- · The area is highly representative of natural landforms and demonstrates the typical gentle rolling slopes and watercourses of this district.
- · The area has numerous springs and seeps which are the headwaters of Te Onepoto Stream which flows down the valley to Porirua harbour.
- The seeps and wetlands associated with this area have naturally regenerated since grazing ceased in 2010. It is rare to find seepages and their associated wetlands vegetated with NZ native species in the Wellington region.
- · This area is an important educational resource for the community, including schools, to study the natural function and importance of protecting the headwaters of streams.
- · The area is culturally and spiritually significant to many people in the Titahi Bay and wider Porirua community.
- 5. I submit all of Onepoto stream should be included in SNA 134 and connects to SNA 138

 Onepoto stream is site of significance to Ngāti Toa Rangāt
--

- · Onepoto stream begin as spring-fed seeps in the headwaters of the stream and flows all the way to Onepoto estuary. Although a small area of the stream is piped in the golf course, the stream is still hydrologically linked all the way from the headwaters to the sea.
- · This stream has good native fish values

6. I support SNA 223 Whanake-Thornley Street

However, there is no description in schedule 7 for it. A description should be added to Schedule 7.

7. I support SNA 136

Additional areas marked in yellow on the map should be added because they have been planted with indigenous species and have naturalised.

8. An additional area should be added to SNA 134 Te Onepoto estuary (see map in yellow)

The vegetation surrounding

Onepoto Estuary comprises Juncus kraussii subsp. australiensis, Apodasmia similis, Plagianthus divaricatus, Phormium tenax and Carex geminata. This is an excellent example of the indigenous vegetation surrounding estuaries.

I wish to be heard in support of my submission.

Go build on pauatahanui or plimerton. Let's be real this won't be affodable housing for anyone, so stop trying to disguise it as that. Gentrification at its finest! It's also Ngati Toa land and sacred to them, have you even considered talking to them about it. I can answer that for you....no. I know this to be fact as I've had contact with a couple of them who have said the council haven't notified them and that if they had they would go against it. Typical!

	PCC - Submission Number
* If others make a similar submission, I will consider presenting a joint case	with them at a hearing.
Date: 16.11.2020	
Address for service of submitter:	
18 Whanga Cres, Titahi Bay, porirua	
Telephone: 0241561924	
Fax/email: chrissieareora@gmail.com	
Contact person: Chrissie Areora, HCA - Community mental health.	
Disclaimer	

The content of this email is confidential, may be legally privileged and is intended only for the person named above. If this email is not addressed to you, you must not use, disclose or distribute any of the content. If you have received this email by mistake, please notify the sender by return email and delete the email. Thank you.

Correspondence between Council and submitter which forms part of this submission

Louise White

From:

From: Sent:	Chrissie Areora <chrissieareora@gmail.com> Tuesday, 17 November 2020 1:04 PM</chrissieareora@gmail.com>
To: Subject: Attachments:	dpreview Re: [EXTERNAL] Form 5 - submission on publicly notified proposal for a plan. image001.png
Follow Up Flag: Flag Status:	Follow up Flagged
Categories:	Submission on PDP
Hello, 1. I could not gain an advantage in t	trade competition through this submission.
2. I am directly affected by an effect	t of the subject matter of the submission that:
(a. adversely affects the environment (b. does not relate to trade competit	nt; and tion or the effects of trade competition.
On Tue, 17 Nov 2020, 12:34 dprev	view, < dpreview@poriruacity.govt.nz > wrote:
Dear Chrissie,	
Thank you for emailed submission	on on the Porirua Proposed District Plan.
If you could kindly address the or submission into our system.	utstanding matter below by return email then I can formally lodge and enter your
Thank you	
Louise	
Additional details to supply pleas	s <u>e</u>

	1. I could □	I could not □			
8	gain an advantage in trade competition through this submission.				
	(Please tick relevant box)				
	If you could gain ar below:	advantage in trade competition through this submission please complete point four			
	2. I am □	I am not □			
	directly affected by an effect of the subject matter of the submission that:				
	(a) adversely affects the environment; and				
	(b) does not relate	to trade competition or the effects of trade competition.			
	(Please tick	relevant box if applicable)			
	<u>Note:</u>				
		who could gain an advantage in trade competition through the submission, your right to may be limited by clause 6(4) of Part 1 of Schedule 1 of the Resource Management Act			
Ngā mihi	,				
Louise	White				
Intermed	iate Policy Planner				
Kaihanga	a Kaupapahere Māh	nuri			
The least of stage concert in Aplique of corest the architecture.	and the long land time times (content) or defined landy distributes particularly				
Tel: 04 9 poriruacit	10 5490 t <u>y.govt.nz</u>				