RMA FORM 5

poriruacity

Submission on publicly notified Proposed Porirua District Plan

Clause 6 of the First Schedule, Resource Management Act 1991

| To: | Porirua | City | Council |
|-----|---------|------|---------|
| | | | |

Submitter details:

1.

| Full Name | Last Scott | | First Peter | |
|------------------------------------|---------------------------------|------------|-----------------|--|
| Company/Organisation | Nil | | | |
| if applicable | | | | |
| Contact Person | | | | |
| if different | | | | |
| Email Address for Service | pscottinvestigations@xtra.co.nz | | | |
| Address | 28 Halladale Road , Papkowhai | | | |
| | City | | Postcode | |
| | Porirua | | 5024 | |
| Address for Service Postal Address | | | Courier Address | |
| if different | | | | |
| | | | | |
| Phone | Mobile | Ноте | Work | |
| | 021 870 948 | 04 2370795 | | |

| ۷. | This is a submission on the Proposed District Plan for Portrua. |
|----|---|
| 3. | I could □ I could not ☑ gain an advantage in trade competition through this submission. (Please tick relevant box) |
| | If you could gain an advantage in trade competition through this submission please complete point four below: |
| 4. | I am □ I am not □ directly affected by an effect of the subject matter of the submission that: (a) adversely affects the environment; and (b) does not relate to trade competition or the effects of trade competition. |

(Please tick relevant box if applicable)

| ΛI | n | + | 0 | , |
|-----|---|---|---|---|
| 1 V | v | L | _ | |

If you are a person who could gain an advantage in trade competition through the submission, your right to make a submission may be limited by clause 6(4) of Part 1 of Schedule 1 of the Resource Management Act 1991.

I wish ☑ I do not wish □
 To be heard in support of my submission
 (Please tick relevant box)

6. I will ☑ I will not □ Consider presenting a joint case with other submitters, who make a similar submission, at a hearing.

(Please tick relevant box)

Please complete section below (insert additional boxes per provision you are submitting on):

The specific provision of the proposal that my submission relates to:

GRZ-S3 this provision increases the maximum building area site coverage to 40%. The existing plan has a maximum building area site coverage of 35%.

Do you: Support? Oppose? Amend?

Oppose

What decision are you seeking from Council?

What action would you like: Retain? Amend? Add? Delete?

I am seeking the Council to retain the existing plan maximum building area coverage of 35%.

Alternatively, the council should amend the definition of "building" to be more explicit. That is a structure for people to live in or a storage shed. For instance, I am advised a neighbour at 24 Halladale Road wants to build a large pyramid structure in the rear of his property to possibly hold religious ceremonies in. He wanted to do this some time ago but was restricted under the coverage rules. We understand from closer neighbours to these owners he has laid electrical cable out to the area in anticipation of being able to build this inappropriate structure. At present he holds large religious gatherings in his garage (which has been converted) on a regular basis that everyone has been accepting of however a pyramid would cause confrontational attitudes to develop with neighbours.

Reasons:

The existing maximum building area site coverage of 35% requires a resource consent including the approval of neighbours for any coverage exceeding that limit. I would not agree to a proposal to increase the site coverage limit to more than 35% for what I considered an inappropriate structure that was not in keeping with the residential character of the neighbourhood. Residential neighbourhoods are not designed or intended for residents to build structures for large regular meetings.

The propose increase in the site coverage to 40% and the broad definition of "building" would allow the "inappropriate structure" – non-residential to be constructed without seeking approval from neighbours.

Retaining the existing 35% and a tighter definition of "building" would avoid unintended consequences that

| on Friday 20 Nayambay 2020 to |
|--|
| on Friday 20 Nayambay 2020 to |
| on Friday 20 Nayambar 2020 to |
| on Friday 20 Navarahar 2020 to |
| on Friday 20 November 2020 to: |
| nt and City Planning, Porirua City Council, PO Box 50-218, |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| Date: |
| s not required if you make |
| |

your submission by electronic means