

RMA FORM 5



Submission on publicly notified Proposed Porirua District Plan

Clause 6 of the First Schedule, Resource Management Act 1991

To: Porirua City Council

1. Submitter details:

Full Name	<i>Last</i> POMARE		<i>First</i> EMA
Company/Organisation <i>if applicable</i>	On behalf of myself and Hana Pomare, Kere Pomare, Toarangatira Apira Woodbine Pomare, Miria Woodbine Tamahori, Te Rakaherea Pomare, James Wirihana Rangihaeata, Shane Rangihaeata, Miria Tutira Roka Persoon, Te Amomate Vereker Rangihaeata, representing 83.32% of beneficial land owners in Hongoeka Blocks 1B/1B1, 2A1, 2B1B, and 6B.		
Contact Person <i>if different</i>			
Email Address for Service	emapomare@hotmail.com		
Address	5 AMES ST.		
	<i>City</i> PAEKAKARIKI	<i>Postcode</i> 5034	
Address for Service <i>if different</i>	<i>Postal Address</i>		<i>Courier Address</i>
Phone	<i>Mobile</i> 021 781 808	<i>Home</i> 021 781 808	<i>Work</i> 021 781 808

2. This is a **submission** on the **Proposed District Plan** for Porirua.

3. I could I could not
gain an advantage in trade competition through this submission.
(Please tick relevant box)

If **you could** gain an advantage in trade competition through this submission please complete point four below:

4. I am I am not
directly affected by an effect of the subject matter of the submission that:
(a) adversely affects the environment; and
(b) does not relate to trade competition or the effects of trade competition.

(Please tick relevant box if applicable)

Note:

If you are a person who could gain an advantage in trade competition through the submission, your right to make a submission may be limited by clause 6(4) of Part 1 of Schedule 1 of the Resource Management Act 1991.

5. I wish I do not wish
 To be heard in support of my submission
(Please tick relevant box)

6. I will I will not
 Consider presenting a joint case with other submitters, who make a similar submission, at a hearing.
(Please tick relevant box)

Please complete section below (insert additional boxes per provision you are submitting on):

<p>The specific provisions of the proposal that my submission relates to:</p> <p>MPZ-02 MPZ-05 MPZ-27 MPZ-28 MPZ-29 MPZ-30 MPZ-P3</p>
<p>Do you: Support? Oppose? Amend?</p> <p>MPZ-02 Amend MPZ-05 Amend MPZ-27 Support MPZ-28 Oppose/Amend MPZ-29 Support MPZ-30 Oppose/Amend MPZ-P3 Oppose</p> <p>Please refer to attached document for detail.</p>
<p>What decision are you seeking from Council? What action would you like: Retain? Amend? Add? Delete?</p> <p>That with regard to lands in the Maori Purpose Zone at Hongoeka, the council gives primacy in its' district plan to the owners' ability to utilise it for housing, (where natural hazard zones permit). For many of us, the land is all we have and as the cost of housing and land becomes increasingly out of reach it is important that all avenues for settlement remain open and are not rendered similarly unobtainable by giving preference to `Coastal High Natural Character Areas' e.g. newly regenerated bush, limiting the number of dwellings per block or other such impediments. With this outlook in mind I seek to make submissions that both support, oppose and offer amendments to the Proposed District Plan.</p> <p>Please refer to attached document for detail.</p>

Reasons:

This proverb best illustrates the reasons for my submission:

“He aha to mea nui o teā o? He tangata, he tangata, he tangata.”

What is the most important thing in the world? It is people, it is people, it is people.”

Ensuring the ability for tangata whenua/landowners to enact their rights to occupy their land at Hongoeka is the overarching principle of this submission.

Please refer to attached document for detail.

It is also important to note that the land in which my whanau and I hold interests in at Hongoeka is Maori Freehold Land which falls under the jurisdiction of the The Maori Land Court in the following manner:

Jurisdiction of the Maori Land Court over Maori land is statutory. The Māori Land Court is a creature of statute drawing its jurisdiction initially from Te Ture Whenua Māori Act 1993 (The Act). It is the intention of Parliament that powers, duties, and discretions conferred by this Act shall be exercised, as far as possible, in a manner that facilitates and promotes the retention, use, development, and control of Maori land as taonga tuku iho by Maori owners, their whanau, their hapu, and their descendants, and that protects wahi tapu.

The Legislature, in passing the Act, acknowledges land as a taonga tuku iho (of special significance) to Māori. The Act directs the Maori Land Court “as far as possible” to exercise its jurisdiction under the Act towards the retention and development of Māori land, in the hands of its owners, their whānau, and their hapū. One of the primary objectives of the Act is to promote and assist in the effective use, management, and development, by or on behalf of the owners, of Māori land and General land owned by Māori.

Please return this form no later than **5pm on Friday 20 November 2020** to:

- Proposed District Plan, Environment and City Planning, Porirua City Council, PO Box 50-218, PORIRUA CITY or
- email dpreview@pcc.govt.nz

Submission on the Proposed Porirua District Plan as it pertains to the Maori Purpose Zone at Hongoeka.

Submitted by Ema Pomare, on behalf of herself, Hana Pomare, Kere Pomare, Toarangatira Apira Woodbine Pomare, Miria Woodbine Tamahori, Te Rakaherea Pomare, James Wirihana Rangihaeata, Shane Rangihaeata, Miria Tutira Roka Persoon, Te Amomate Vereker Rangihaeata, representing 83.32% of beneficial land owners in Hongoeka Blocks 1B/1B1, 2A1, 2B1B, and 6B.

Following a meeting with PCC planners Stewart McKenzie and Torrey Macdonnell on Tuesday 20th October 2020 to discuss the Proposed Porirua District Plan, my whanau and I would like to make the following submission.

In our discussion that morning, the challenges of consultation between Council and Maori was acknowledged, as reaching interested parties through Runanga and Marae channels alone does not always capture the entirety of views held by Maori land-owners. Council **must prioritise** consultation with **registered legal owners**. We suggest notification using the Maori Land Online database as a more thorough means of outreach.

The following table details the provisions we would like to make special comment on:

Provision	Comment	Action
MPZ-01	We support Council’s Objective here, (with the addition of two words), to enable landowners to access and develop their lands at Hongoeka as it will further the aim of “...allowing legal owners to establish and maintain an ongoing relationship with their land”. We would also like to restate how important it is that Council are rigorous in notifying <i>all</i> landowners <i>whenever</i> Council approval is sought to build/occupy.	Support/Amend with words in bold
MPZ-02	Article 5 is problematic, i.e. “There is a village character which is less serviced by urban infrastructure such as footpaths and streetlights.” We are concerned that this particular characterization of the MPZ may lead to systematic under-resourcing of amenities/services to this community, and may too heavily preclude what is deemed a permitted or inappropriate activity. We therefore oppose the inclusion of this article. It is particularly important that the MPZ Amenity Values are widely agreed upon by the community and are not able to be misconstrued/ misinterpreted - as all activities, permitted or not, are categorized as such under their auspices.	Oppose inclusion of article 5 of MPZ-02.

<p>MPZ-04 MPZ-05</p>	<p>The broader scope for the building of dwellings on Hongoeka blocks is imperative, and the allowance to clear up to 3000m² of bush per block makes sense. It is important to us however that as generations to come wish to build that they are always given priority over any regenerated bush.</p>	<p>Support</p>
<p>MPZ-27</p>	<p>We agree that Hongoeka is unsuited to Drive-Through businesses.</p>	<p>Support</p>
<p>MPZ-28</p>	<p>Instead of a blanket non-compliance on Industrial Activity we feel that the community would be better served by a Discretionary approach here. Hongoeka is uniquely suited to aquaculture ventures, e.g. seaweed farming, that could be beneficial both to the community and environment.</p>	<p>Oppose/Amend</p>
<p>MPZ-29</p>	<p>We agree that Hongoeka is unsuited to Large Format Retail activities e.g. shopping malls, but activities such as bulk retail need clarification, as does retirement village, how are these classified?</p>	<p>Clarify</p>
<p>MPZ-30</p>	<p>With regards to Intensive Indoor Primary Production we feel that a discretionary approach would serve the community better. Intensive indoor horticultural production, or snail farming for example should be permissible. There are many Intensive Indoor Primary production activities that do not cause negative environmental impacts.</p>	<p>Oppose/Amend</p>
<p>MPZ-P3</p>	<p>The wording of this provision is confusing, counter-intuitive and is therefore open to misinterpretation.</p> <p>“Only allow activities that are potentially incompatible with the purpose, character and amenity values of the Māori Purpose Zone (Hongoeka), where it can be demonstrated that they are appropriate, having regard to:”</p>	<p>Oppose</p>

Thank you for the opportunity to make this submission to Council, and for your consideration of the views held herein.

Yours sincerely,

Ema Pomare.