IN THE MATTER of the Resource

Management Act 1991

AND

IN THE MATTER

of Hearing of Submissions and Further Submissions on the Proposed Porirua District Plan and Plan

Change 19 to the Operative

Porirua District Plan

Minute 59 – Stream 7 Conferencing

- Having reviewed the sole rebuttal brief filed (from Mr White), the Hearing Panel has identified that it would be assisted by witness caucusing in two areas.
- 2. First, Mr White and Mr Gledhill have obviously been discussing the issues related to EMF effects associated with the Radio New Zealand facilities at Whitireia Park. We do not know from Mr White's rebuttal, however, whether and how Mr Gledhill may have shifted his views.
- 3. The position is complicated a little because Mr White has not made a declaration in terms of the Environment Court Code for Experts. However, given the subject matter of his evidence and his qualifications, we presume that he is prepared to abide by that code.
- 4. Proceeding on that basis, we therefore direct Mr White and Mr Gledhill to caucus. It may be that given the discussions they have already had this will not require them to convene a further meeting. We are open to that, as long as their combined views are set out in a Joint Witness Statement. Desirably that would be filed by 10 March but we are open to receiving it a little later, provided it is in hand before the first of them gives evidence.
- 5. Second, there is obviously a significant difference of view across a range of issues as between Mr McIndoe, giving urban design evidence for Council, and Mr Rae, giving expert evidence for Kāinga Ora. We direct that they caucus and produce a Joint Witness Statement. Again, it would be desirable

Minute 59 Page 1

if this were in hand by close of business on 10 March, but at latest, it should be filed before Mr McIndoe gives evidence (currently scheduled for the afternoon of 13 March).

Dated 6 March 2023

Trevor Robinson

For the Proposed Porirua District Plan Hearings Panel

Minute 59 Page 2