# Errata to the Summary of Decisions Requested Reports - Proposed Porirua District Plan

# Corrections have been made to the summary of Kāinga Ora's Submission (Submission 81)

On 13 April 2021 Porirua City Council publicly notified the Summary of Decision Requested Reports on the Proposed District Plan and called for further submissions. Since notifying these reports, Porirua City Council have identified that four submission points in the Kainga Ora submission summary were either missing or incorrectly shown in the reports. These errors are set out below. On 16 April the below corrections were made to the Summary of Decisions Requested by Submitter Report, as well as the Summary of Decisions Requested by Chapter/Topic Report. Additionally, Porirua City Council will publicly notify these corrections to the Summary of Decisions Requested Reports at the end of the further submissions period (after 11 May 2021). For the avoidance of doubt, further submissions can be made on the below corrected submission points from 16 April 2021.

# Submission Point 81.219

## Submission point 81.219 deleted:

Sub No. / Point No.	Chapter / Sub-part	Specific provision / matter	Position	Reasons	Decisions requested
81.219	NE - Natural Environment	Introduction	Support in part	<ul> <li>Kāinga Ora generally supports this introductory text but requests reference to the steps plans uses take when using the District Plan is removed as it is not relevant here. Kāinga Ora supports the specific Resilience. Efficiency and Energy Strategic Objectives, but requests are susceptible to natural hazards and in many cases, such as in relation to flooding, it is possible to mitigate potential effects through the design of the proposal. To "avoid" the risk altogether could stifle development even where potential effects could be mitigated and/or managed.</li> </ul>	

## **Replaced with below:**

Sub No. / Point No.	Chapter / Sub-part	Specific provision / matter	Position	Reasons	Decisions requested
81.219	REE - Resilience, Efficiency and Energy	Introduction	Support in part	Kāinga Ora generally supports this introductory text but requests reference to the steps plans uses take when using the District Plan is removed as it is not relevant here. Kāinga Ora supports the specific Resilience, Efficiency and Energy Strategic Objectives, but requests the word "avoided" in the introductory text is replaced with mitigated.	Porirua is susceptible flooding, fault ruptu and coastal inundati climate change over natural hazards than City's resilience to na

## ٥d

ble to a wide range of natural hazards including ture, liquefaction, tsunami, landslides, coastal erosion ation. Some of these hazards will be exacerbated by er time. Certain areas of Porirua are more at risk from an others, and overall there is a need to improve the natural hazards. Where possible, tThe risks from

Large areas of Porirua are susceptible to natural hazards and in many cases, such as in relation to flooding, it is possible to mitigate potential effects through the design of the proposal. To "avoid" the risk altogether could stifle development even where potential effects could be mitigated and/or managed.	natural hazards to pe <u>managed and mitigat</u> The form of the City
	private motor vehicle natural and physical in more efficiently in ho conservation. We also energy, reduce our re encourage the establ The strategic objective implement the Counce Plan. They reflect the implementation of the The objectives, policient implement the strate them. The strategic objective changes to the Plan and Details of the steps Plan provided in the General

### ed

people and communities should be <u>appropriately</u> gated. avoided.

ty has been shaped by the need to accommodate icles which has led to the inefficient use of energy and al resources. We aim to use energy and resources how we live, work and move, and promote energy also need to maintain a safe and secure supply of r reliance on non-renewable sources of energy and ablishment of renewable sources of energy.

ctives set the direction for the District Plan and help to uncil's community outcomes set out in its Long Term the intended outcomes to be achieved through the f the District Plan.

licies and rules in Parts 2 and 3 of the District Plan ategic objectives and reconcile any tensions between

ctives will be particularly relevant for any future n and any significant resource consent applications.

Plan users should take when using the District Plan are peral Approach chapter.

# Submission Point 81.318

# Submission point 81.318 deleted:.

	Chapter / Sub-part	Specific provision /	Position	Reasons	Decisions requested
Point No.		matter			
81.318	INF - Infrastructure	INF-S1	Support		

# Replaced with below:

Sub No. , Point No	Chapter / Sub-part	Specific provision / matter	Position	Reasons	Decisions requested
81.318	INF - Infrastructure	INF-S1	Support	Kāinga Ora generally supports these standards.	Retain as notified.

d

# Submission Point 81.547

# Submission point 81.547 deleted.

Sub No. / Point No.	Chapter / Sub-part	Specific provision / matter	Position	Reasons	Decisions requested
81.547	GRZ - General Residential Zone	GRZ-R10	Support in part		

# **Replaced with below:**

Sub No. / Point No.	Chapter / Sub-part	Specific provision / matter	Position	Reasons	Decisions requested
81.547	GRZ - General Residential Zone	GRZ-R10	Support in part	<ul> <li>Kāinga Ora supports enabling papakāinga through a permitted activity rule and then providing a clear consent pathway where compliance with standards cannot be achieved.</li> <li>Kāinga Ora does not support limiting papakāinga only to land held under Te Ture Whenua Māori Act 1993 – noting the definition of papakāinga anticipates this form of housing on land that is also outside of this classification.</li> </ul>	Amend: <b>1. Activity status: Per</b> Where: <del>a. The site is held u</del> b. The gross floor 100m <sup>2</sup> per site; and c. The gross floor 200m <sup>2</sup> per site. (

### Permitted

d under Te Ture Whenua Māori Act 1993 or area of all commercial activities does not exceed

or area of all community facilities does not exceed

.....)

# Submission Point 81.739

## Submission point 81.736 deleted:

Sub No. / Point No.	Chapter / Sub-part	Specific provision / matter	Position	Reasons	Decisions requested
81.736	MUZ - Mixed Use Zone	MUZ-P5	Support in part	DELETED	Kāinga Ora generally supports to align language with the NPS when referring to the intended are also sought to simplify the Consistent with its overall sub- of design guides within the PD Kāinga Ora also opposes any re the design guide as this risks th

## Replaced with below:

Sub No. / Point No.	Chapter / Sub-part	Specific provision / matter	Position	Reasons	Decisions requested
81.736	MUZ - Mixed Use Zone	MUZ-P5	Support in part	<ul> <li>Kāinga Ora generally supports the intent of this policy, with amendments requested to align language with the NPS-UD, which refers to the "planned urban built form" when referring to the intended future state of the urban environment. Amendments are also sought to simplify the policy.</li> <li>Consistent with its overall submission on the PDP, Kāinga Ora opposes the placement of design guides within the PDP as part of the statutory framework.</li> <li>Kāinga Ora also opposes any requirement for development to be "consistent" with the design guide as this risks this tool being used as a quasi-set of rules.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Provide for built development</li> <li>1. Is of a scale that is contained function of the M</li> <li>2. Reflects the anticipate Mixed Use Zone;</li> <li>3. Is well designed and contained and control of the second sective street maps.; and</li> <li>5. Is consistent with the Mixed Use Zone Designation</li> </ul>

rts the intent of this policy, with amendments requested IPS-UD, which refers to the "planned urban built form" ded future state of the urban environment. Amendments he policy.

ubmission on the PDP, Kāinga Ora opposes the placement PDP as part of the statutory framework.

y requirement for development to be "consistent" with s this tool being used as a quasi-set of rules.

nent that:

compatible with the <u>planned urban built form</u>, role Mixed Use Zone; ated medium-density scale and built character of the

I contributes to an attractive mixed-use

et frontages in locations identified on the planning

e Mixed Use Zone Design Guide contained in APP5-Sign Guide.