SLOVENIAN FOREST CERTIFICATION SCHEME

PEFC SLO 04:2012

Requirements for PEFC scheme users



Criteria and Indicators for Sustainable Forest Management at the Group and Individual Level

Date: 20.5.2014

National PEFC Governing Body Institute for Forest Certification Celovška cesta 135 1000 Ljubljana Tel: + 386 1 51 36 702 E- mail: <u>info@pefc.si</u> , internet: <u>www.pefc.si</u> © Zavod za certifikacijo gozdov (Institute for Forest Certification)

The document is produced on the basis of requirements for the sustainable forest management, an international standards of the PEFC Council: Sustainable forest management requirements (PEFC ST 1003:2010), copyrighted as stipulated by the PEFC Council.

No part of the document may be changed or amended, reproduced or copied in any form or by any means for commercial purposes without the permission of the Institute for Forest Certification.

The document is freely available on the web site <u>www.pefc.si</u> or at the headquarters of the Institute for Forest Certification.

Document title: Criteria and Indicators for Sustainable Forest Management at the Group and Individual Level

Document title code: PEFC SLO 04:2012

Endorsed by the Council of the Institute for Forest Certification: 14.9.2012, edited 20.5.2014 Date of entry into force:

1. INTRODUCTORY NOTES TO CRITERIA AND INDICATORS FOR SUSTAINABLE FOREST MANAGEMENT AT THE GROUP AND INDIVIDUAL LEVEL

Criteria and Indicators for Sustainable Forest Management define the system of forest management based on key control points. Criteria and indicators for individual and group levels represent a constituent part of Slovenian Forest Certification Scheme according to the PEFC method.

The purpose of this document is:

- a definition of minimum requirements of sustainable forest management for the needs of certification, while taking into account all ecological, social and productive functions of the forest,
- a definition of key points and areas of sustainable forest management audit for the group and the individual level on the basis of which certification bodies will be able to audit sustainable forest management.

Criteria and indicators have been prepared taking into account the following international and national documents:

- Pan-European Criteria and Indicators for Sustainable Forest Management (Lisbon Resolution L2/1, Third Ministerial Conference on the Protection of Forests in Europe, Lisbon 1998),
- Pan-European Operational Level Guidelines for Sustainable Forest Management (Lisbon Resolution L2/2, Third Ministerial Conference on the Protection of Forests in Europe, Lisbon 1998),
- Improved Pan-European Indicators for Sustainable Forest Management (MCPFE Expert Level Meeting, Vienna, 2002),
- National Forest Development Programme (Official Gazette of the Republic of Slovenia, No. 14/96),
- The Forest Act (Official Gazette of the RS no. 30/1993, 13/1998 Decision of the Const. Court: U-I-53/95, 24/1999 Decision of the Const. Court: U-I-51/95, 56/1999 (31/2000 - corr.), 67/2002, 110/2002),
- Rules on the Protection of Forests (Official Gazette of the Republic of Slovenia, no. 92/00),
- Regulation on the forest management and silviculture plans (Official Gazette of the Republic of Slovenia no. 5/98),
- Expert Bases for the Inclusion of Slovenia in the Pan-European Forest Certification Scheme (GIS, Ljubljana, 2002),
- Safety and health in forestry work, ILO 1998, (official translation into the Slovenian language, 2003),
- Other international commitments adopted by Slovenia and other documents affecting forest management in Slovenia,
- Criteria and Indicators for Assessing Sustainable Forest Management in Austria (PEFC Austria, 1999),
- Criteria and Indicators for Sustainable Forest Management (CFCS 1002/2001, PEFC Czech Republic, 2001).

Criteria and Indicators have been classified according to I. – VI. of the principal criteria for sustainable forest management adopted at the Third ministerial conference on the Protection of Forests in Europe, Lisbon, Portugal, 1998. The main criteria, known as "Helsinki criteria", are:

- I. Maintenance and appropriate enhancement of forest resources and their contribution to global carbon cycles
- II. Maintenance of forest ecosystem health and vitality
- III. Maintenance and encouragement of productive functions of forests (wood and non-wood)
- IV. Maintenance, conservation and appropriate enhancement of biological diversity in forest ecosystems

- V. Maintenance and appropriate enhancement of protective functions in forest management (notably soil and water)
- VI. Maintenance of other socio-economic functions and conditions

These criteria and Indicators apply to all types of forests in Slovenia, apart from plantations.

2. STRUCTURE OF CRITERIA AND MEASURES FOR SUSTAINABLE FOREST MANAGEMENT

Criteria for sustainable forest management are either group or individual, formed according to the following structure:

- a. Criterion: criteria I VI of the Pan-European Criteria for Sustainable Forest Management (the Helsinki criteria). Sub-criterion: individual element or aspect of the criterion; in most cases a guideline adopted from the Pan-European Criteria for Sustainable Forest Management or the National Forest Development Programme.
- b. **Descriptive indicator**: A descriptive parameter used to clearly and objectively describe the contents of the sub-criterion with the aim of evaluating sustainable forest management in relation to a concrete sub-criterion. Four principal aspects of the indicator are described, for better transparency: legal or regulatory framework, institutional framework, economic policy and financial instruments, and informational means
- c. **Quantitative indicator**: A numeric parameter for objective and unambiguous evaluation of sustainable forest management which presents the actual state or indicates the development of an actual aspect.
- d. Unit: unit of measurement applied to quantitative indicator.
- e. Source of data: a list of institutions, programmes or projects used as sources of data.
- f. **Comments:** explanation and notes.

| Abbreviation | Full name | |
|--------------|---|--|
| FA | Forest Act | |
| NFDP | National Forest Development Programme | |
| SFS | Slovenian Forest Service | |
| SFI | Slovenian Forestry Institute | |
| SORS | Statistical Office of the Republic of Slovenia | |
| EARS | Environmental Agency of the Republic of Slovenia | |
| МКО | Ministry of Agriculture and Enviroment | |
| BF | Biotechnical Faculty | |
| SFWIS | Secondary Forestry and Wood Industry School Postojna | |
| LIRS | Labour Inspectorate of the Republic of Slovenia | |
| IPH | Institute of Public Health | |
| CAFS | Chamber of Agriculture and Forestry of Slovenia | |
| IRSAFF | Inspectorate of the Republic of Slovenia for Agriculture, Forestry and Food | |
| FLFF | Farm Land and Forest Fund of the Republic of Slovenia | |
| APLRS | Agency of the Republic of Slovenia for Public Legal Records and Services | |
| NPIRS | Nature Protection Institute of the Republic of Slovenia | |
| IUCN | World Conservation Union | |

3. ABBREVIATIONS USED:

4. CRITERIA AND INDICATORS FOR SUSTAINABLE FOREST MANAGEMENT AUDIT AT THE GROUP AND INDIVIDUAL LEVELS

| No. | Criterion | Sub-criteria | No. of descript ive indicato | No. of quantitative indicators |
|-------|--|---|---------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|
| | | - | rs | _ |
| | | Forest area | 1 | 3 |
| 1 | Maintenance and appropriate | Growing stock and increment | 1 | 1 |
| | enhancement of forest funds | Development stages by main forest | 1 | 1 |
| | | types Monitoring of forest health condition, impacts on forests and protective measures in forests | 1 | 1 |
| 2 | Maintenance of forest ecosystem health and vitality | Unauthorised felling and forest pasture | 1 | 1 |
| | , | Use of chemicals in forests | 1 | 1 |
| | | Pollution of forests with fuels, | | |
| | | lubricants and other waste | 1 | 1 |
| | | Forestry planning system | 1 | 1 |
| | | Wood production function – felling | 1 | 1 |
| | Maintenance and encouragement | Wood production function – | 1 | 1 |
| 3 | of wood and non-wood productive | biological investment in forests | | I |
| | functions of forests | Wood production function – accessibility by forest roads | 1 | 1 |
| | | Non-wood products and services | 1 | 2 |
| | | Biodiversity - general | 1 | 0 |
| | Maintenance, conservation and | Ecologically significant biotopes and habitats and specific areas of their conservation | 1 | 4 |
| 4 | appropriate enhancement of biological diversity in forest | Conservation and use of forest genetic resources | 1 | 2 |
| | ecosystems | Threatened plant and animal species | 1 | 0 |
| | | Conservation of biodiversity in commercial forests | 1 | 3 |
| | | Maintenance and enhancement of soil protection function of forest | 1 | 1 |
| 5 | Maintenance and appropriate enhancement of protective | Maintenance and enhancement of water protection function of forest | 1 | 1 |
| 3 | functions | Protective forests declared by Government regulation | 1 | 1 |
| | | Maintenance and enhancement of protective function of forest | 1 | 1 |
| | | Economic significance of forests | 1 | 2 |
| | | Production and use of wood for energy purposes | 1 | 1 |
| | | Special purpose forests | 1 | 1 |
| | Maintonance of other sector | Recreational role of forests | 1 | 1 |
| 6 | Maintenance of other socio- economic functions and | Education and work | 1 | 1 |
| U | conditions | Occupational health and safety | 1 | 2 |
| | | Raising public awareness on the significance of forests and forestry | 1 | 1 |
| | | and wood-processing industry Cultural, historical and spiritual values of the forests | 1 | 1 |
| 7 | Compliance with legislation | Legislation | 1 | 0 |
| | | Implementation of legislation | 1 | 1 |
| Total | 7 | 29 | 31 | 39 |

Note: Data are collected for areas of holdings included in the group or individual certification.

CRITERION 1. Maintenance and appropriate enhancement of forest funds

| 1.1 | Size and structure of forest |
|---------------|--|
| Sub-criterion | Planning and management of forests must be focused on maintaining the appropriate forest density and conservation and improvement of ecological, social and productive functions of forests as well as prevention of forest area division. Forest areas and functions must be adequately mapped. Clearing of forests for agricultural purposes is only possible in areas with no top-priority ecological or social functions of forests. Overgrowing areas must be left to natural development of the forest in all ecologically unstable or vulnerable types of soil. |

Descriptive indicator

Indicator 1.1.a General characteristics

1. Legal or regulatory framework:

Existence and type of legal or regulatory framework governing the conservation of forest areas, restriction of overgrowing of land, preservation and shaping of individual forest trees outside the forest and the prevention of forest area division.

2. Institutional frameworks:

Existence and the capacity of institutional framework regarding the conservation of forest areas, restriction of overgrowing of land, preservation and shaping of individual forest trees outside the forest and the prevention of forest area division. Existence of tools and institutions at the level of forestry, spatial planning and nature.

3. Economic policy and financial instruments:

Existence and type of economic policy and financial instruments for promoting measures aimed at restriction of overgrowing of land, preservation and shaping of individual forest trees outside the forest.

4. Informational means:

Existence and capacity of informational means to establish and monitor the condition and measures related to overgrowing of land, preservation and shaping of individual forest trees outside the forest and the prevention of forest area division.

5. Forestry planning

Existence of forestry planning which is of cyclical nature and contains inventory and planning, implementation, monitoring and evaluation and includes an adequate assessment of social, environmental and economic impacts of close-to-nature forest management. This represents a basis for the cycle of constant improvement aimed at decreasing or preventing negative impacts. In the forest management, techniques and mechanisation minimising direct and indirect damage for the forest and water resources are envisaged by means of plans.

Forest-management and sivicultural plans must be regularly produced and periodically revised. They must be produced on the basis of legislative requirements and compliant with the forest map and spatial planning

Production of holding plans is encouraged; these should contain at least a description of: current condition of the forest at a holding, long-term goals, potential annual cut including its justification and, when necessary, also restrictions regarding the picking of non-forest wood products.

Note: Restrictions regarding the picking of non-wood products are laid down in a case when commercial picking has an impact to the long-term sustainability of non-wood forest products.

6. Clearing of the forest with the purpose of land conversion into agricultural or other non-forest land including the conversion of the forest into a plantation of forest trees is not implemented except in justified cases when such conversion is in accordance with the national and regional policy and legislation including carrying out a consultation with materially and directly interested persons and organisations;

b) it signifies a small share of forests types,

c) has no negative impacts on threatened species (including vulnerable and rare species) forest ecosystems, culturally and sociall functions and important habitats of threatened species or other protected areas,

d) contributes to the long-term preservation of economic and social benefits.

The conversion of abandoned agricultural land into forest land is carried out if economic, ecological, social or cultural functions of the landscape are thus improved.

Quantitative indicators

| not on planned enhancement of forest density of individual landscapes, on preservation, shaping and design of individual trees and groups of forest trees outside the forest as well as prevention of forest area division. This is implemented by means of the use and coordination of existing tools and institutions at the level of spatial planning and nature protection. Forest areas and functions must be adequately mapped. Clearing of forests for agricultural purposes is only possible in areas with no top-priority | 1.1.b | Forest area |
|--|---------------|--|
| | Sub-criterion | Forest areas and functions must be adequately mapped. Clearing of forests for agricultural purposes is only possible in areas with no top-priority ecological or social functions of forests. Overgrowing areas must be left to natural development of the forest in all ecologically |

| Indicator 1.1.b For | rest area | | |
|--|-----------|--|----------------|
| Contents Unit Source of | | | Source of data |
| Total forest area of included forests ha SFS | | | SFS |
| Average forest area | | ha | SFS |
| Share of forestation of included holdings % SFS | | | SFS |
| Change in share of forestation % SFS | | | SFS |
| Comments: Forest means land overgrown with forest trees in the form of stands or other forest plants which provides any of the functions of a forest. Definition of forest also includes overgrown plots of land defined as forest in the spatial element of the forest management plan (FA). Main types of forests are defined based on the type of tree composition of a forest according to the Regulation on the Forest Management and Silviculture Plans (Official Gazette of the Republic of Slovenia No. 5/98). | | vergrown plots of land forest according to the | |
| Holding plans | | % | SFS |

| Indicator 1.1.c Structure of forest holding | | |
|---|------|----------------|
| Contents | Unit | Source of data |
| Structure of forests in included holdings, depending on the holding's size category | % | SFS |

| Indicator 1.1.d Deforested areas in forest holdings in the last five years | | | |
|--|------|----------------|--|
| Contents | Unit | Source of data | |
| Total deforested area | ha | SFS | |
| Share of deforested areas compared to the entire forest holding | % | SFS | |

| Indicator 1.1.e Areas of land being overgrown | | |
|---|------|----------------|
| Contents | Unit | Source of data |
| Total area of plots of land being overgrown | ha | SFS |
| Total share of plots of land being overgrown | % | SFS |
| Change in the share of plots of land being overgrown in | % | SFS |

| the last five years | | | |
|---------------------|--|---|--|
| Comments: | Land being overgrown is non-forest area whic overgrown by forest trees, but does not yet fulf | 0 | |

| 1.2. | Growing stock and increment |
|---------------|---|
| Sub-criterion | Suitable silviculture and other measures must be introduced to improve the exploitation of site potential, both in terms of quantity and quality, and by accumulation of growing stock in forests must be increased |

Indicator 1.2.a General characteristics

1. Legal or regulatory framework:

Existence and type of legal or regulatory framework providing sustainable forest management aimed at increasing growing stock and improving the utilisation of site production capacity.

2.Institutional frameworks:

Existence and capacity of institutional framework for directing forest management aimed at increasing growing stock and improving the utilisation of site production capacity.

3. Economic policy and financial instruments:

Existence and type of economic policy and financial instruments to provide for suitable incentives for the implementation of measures aimed at improving the utilisation of site potential, especially in terms of quality.

4.Informational means:

Existence and capacity of informational means to direct and monitor forest holding management in the sense of improving the quantity and quality of growing stock of stands

| Indicator 1.2.b Growing stock | | |
|---|---|----------------|
| Contents | Unit | Source of data |
| Average growing stock by main forest type | m³/ha | SFS |
| Thickness structure of growing stock by main | % | SFS |
| forest type and wide-spread thickness classes | | |
| Change in growing stock by main forest type | % | SFS |
| Comments: | Contents are presented separately for coniferous, deciduous | |
| | trees and together by main forest type. | |

| 1.3. | Development stages by main forest types |
|---------------|---|
| Sub-criterion | Suitable silviculture measures must be introduced in accordance with ecological characteristics of tree species and site conditions to promote the variety of horizontal and vertical structure as well as diversity of stands in terms of age, aimed at adequate balance between development stages and thickness structure of stands. |

Indicator 1.3.a General characteristics

1. Legal or regulatory framework:

Existence and type of legal or regulatory framework to provide for sustainable forest management to provide for sustainable forest management aimed at uneven size and uneven age stand structures suitable for the site.

2. Institutional frameworks:

Existence and capacity of institutional framework to direct development of stands towards uneven size and uneven age stand structures suitable for the site.

3. Economic policy and financial instruments:

Existence and type of economic policy and financial instruments for ensuring suitable incentives supporting the forestry policy, which is aimed at providing uneven size and uneven age stand structures suitable for the site.

4. Informational means:

Existence and capacity of informational means to implement and improve suitable monitoring of uneven size and uneven age stand structures.

| Indicator 1.3.b Development stages by main forest type | | | | |
|---|---|-----|--|--|
| Contents Unit Source of data | | | | |
| Area of stands by development stages, ha SFS separately for main forest types | | | | |
| Share of development stages, separately for main forest types | % | SFS | | |

CRITERION 2. Maintenance of forest ecosystem health and vitality

| 2.1. | Monitoring of forest health condition, impacts on forests and protective measures in forests | | | | |
|---------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| Sub-criterion | Forest <u>planning</u> and management must be geared at maintenance and improvement of health and vitality of forests, also by constant systematic monitoring of harmful occurrences which must be monitored by forest holder together with the public forest service. | | | | |

Descriptive indicator

Indicator 2.1.a General characteristics

11. Legal or regulatory framework:

Existence and type of legal or regulatory framework that ensures the monitoring of harmful occurrences in forest holdings. Harmful occurrences such as diseases, insects, excessive browsing, fire, damage due to climatic extremities, pollution and damage as a result of management must be monitored.

2. Institutional frameworks:

Existence and capacity of institutional framework providing for an efficient system for monitoring and preventing harmful occurrences in the forest.

3. Economic policy and financial instruments:

Existence and type of economic policy and financial instruments providing for an efficient system for monitoring and preventing harmful occurrences in the forest.

4. Informational means:

Existence and capacity of informational means for supporting and informing on a system for monitoring and preventing harmful occurrences in the forest.

| Indicator 2.1.b Quantity and structure of protective-sanitary cut | | | | |
|---|----------------|----------------|--|--|
| Contents | Unit | Source of data | | |
| Total annual quantity of protective-sanitary cut | m ³ | SFS | | |
| Share of protective-sanitary cut in terms of total cut | % | SFS | | |
| Structure of protective-sanitary cut by cause | % | SFS | | |

| 2.2. | Unauthorised felling and forest pasture |
|---------------|--|
| Sub-criterion | Clear-cutting of trees as a forest management method is prohibited. Any action diminishing the growth potential of the stand or fertility of the site, stability or sustainability of the forest or endangering its function, existence or purpose is prohibited in forests. Pasture in forests which is not provided in the silviculture plan is prohibited. In areas where pasture is allowed the maximum allowed animal load per hectare is taken into account. |

Indicator 2.2.a General characteristics

1. Legal or regulatory framework:

Existence and type of legal or regulatory framework to prevent, monitor, supervise and sanction ecologically unacceptable actions in forests

2. Institutional frameworks:

Existence and capacity of institutional framework to prevent, monitor, supervise and sanction ecologically unacceptable actions in forests

3. Economic policy and financial instruments:

Existence and type of economic policy and financial instruments for preventing ecologically unacceptable actions in forests and for promoting ecologically acceptable treatment of forests 4. Informational means:

Existence and capacity of informational means to prevent, monitor and supervise ecologically unacceptable actions in forests

5. Forest management planning

Forest management planning guidelines to improve the health and vitality of forest ecosystem and to limit harmful impacts and degradation of the forest land.

| Indicator 2.2.b Unauthorised felling | | | |
|---|---|---------------|------------------|
| Contents | | Unit | Source of data |
| Total annual quantity of unauthorised felling | | m³ | SFS, IRSAFF |
| Total share of unauthorised felling in terms of total felling | | | SFS, IRSAFF |
| Total annual clear-cut area | | ha | IRSAFF, SFS |
| Average annual clear-cut area | | ha | IRSAFF, SFS |
| Comments: | Unauthorised felling is considered felling not an stipulated by the Forest Act. | ticipated and | d not allowed as |

| Indicator 2.2.c Forest pasture | | | |
|--|------|----------------|--|
| Contents | Unit | Source of data | |
| Area of forests grazed by domestic animals | ha | SFS | |
| Share of areas with organised forest pasture in terms of total area of | % | SFS | |
| forests with forest pasture | | | |

| 2.3. | Use of chemicals in forest | |
|---------------|---|--|
| Sub-criterion | The use of pesticides and other chemical substances in the forest is prohibited, unless exceptionally for controlling the gradation of harmful insects and for protection against game. The use of chemical substances must be limited to a minimum, taking into account the alternative silviculture and other biological measures. If fertilizers are used, this must be done under supervision, in an ecologically acceptable manner. | |
| | Prohibited pesticides are: The World Health Organisation Type 1A and 1B pesticides and other very poisonous pesticides, chlorinated hydrocarbons pesticides whose derivatives remain biologically active and are accumulated in the food chain, any pesticides banned by international agreement | |
| | Note: "any pesticides banned by international agreement," are defined in the Stockholm Convention on Persistent Organic Pollutants, 2001 and its later versions. Producer's instructions are observed for the use of pesticides. Proper equipment and training must be provided if pesticides are used. | |

Indicator 2.3.a General characteristics

1. Legal or regulatory framework:

Existence and type of legal or regulatory framework to prevent and restrict the use of chemical substances, regulating appropriate usage of exceptionally permissible chemical substances in the forest.

2. Institutional frameworks:

Existence and capacity of institutional framework to direct and supervise the use of chemical substances in forestry.

3. Economic policy and financial instruments:

Existence and type of economic policy and financial instruments for ecologically most acceptable use of exceptionally permissible chemical substances in forestry.

4. Informational means:

Existence and capacity of informational means to supervise the usage of exceptionally permissible chemical substances in forestry.

| Indicator 2.3.b Quantity of used chemical substances in the forest | | | |
|---|------------------------|-------------------|--|
| Contents | Unit | Source of data | |
| Average annual quantity of used chemical substances for the protection of forests by main group (insecticides, herbicides, fungicides). | l, kg / 1000 ha) | SFS | |
| Average annual quantity of used fertilizers in the forest | kg / 1000 ha | SFS | |
| Annual number of instances on non-permitted use of chemical substances in forests | Number of instances | IRSAFF | |

| 2.4 | Pollution of forest land with fuels, lubricants and other waste |
|---------------|--|
| Sub-criterion | In performing works in forests with machines and equipments residues of fuels and lubricants must not be left. Any disposals of waste in forests that would threaten growth and fertility of earth, stability or sustainability of forest or that threatens its function, subsistence and purpose is prohibited. Any such instance must be recorded and reported to an appropriate institution or service. |

Indicator 2.5.a General characteristics

1. Legal or regulative framework:

Existence and type of legal or regulative framework prohibiting leaving residues of fuels and lubricants in forests and prohibiting the disposing of waste in forests that would threaten the growth and fertility of site, stability or sustainability of forest or endanger its function, existence, and determining measures for such instances.

2. Institutional framework:

Existence and possibility of institutional framework for supervision over the use and spillage of fuels and lubricants as well as over illegal disposal of waste in forests.

3. Economy policy and financial instruments:

Existence and types of economy policy and financial instruments for ecologically most acceptable use of fuels and lubricants in forests as well as for waste management in forests.

4. Information means:

Existence and capability of information means for control over use and spillage of fuels and lubricants in forests as well as for waste disposal in forests.

Quantitative indicator

Indicator 2.5.b Number of annual instances of forest pollution with fuels, lubricants and other waste

| haoto | | |
|---|-----------|-------------|
| Content | Unit | Source of |
| | | data |
| Annual number of instances on spillage of fuels and lubricants in | Number of | SFS, IRSAFF |
| forest | instances | |
| Annual number of recorded new wild dumping waste grounds in | Number of | SFS, IRSAFF |
| the forests according to types (communal waste, special waste) | instances | |

CRITERION 3. Maintenance and encouragement of productive function of forest (wood and non-wood) and services

| 3.1. | Forestry planning system |
|---------------|---|
| Sub-criterion | Forest management must be based on valid forest management plans, which have to be aligned with other plans and guidelines, especially those related to spatial planning and nature protection. Plans must encourage various forest uses and functions. Plans must be periodically revised. For the purpose of planning, forest resources and the impact of forest management must be periodically monitored. Forest management planning should promote wood and non-wood forest products and services. It is recommended to produce holding plans for forest holdings, which must be based on silviculture plans. |

Descriptive indicator

Indicator 3.1.a General characteristics

1. Legal or regulatory framework:

Existence and type of legal or regulatory framework defining the forest planning system (forest development programme, forest management, silviculture and hunting breeding plans) and its inclusion and consideration in spatial planning and management of protected areas.

2. Institutional frameworks:

Existence and capacity of institutional framework to provide and develop regular periodical forest management planning and integral connection of spatial planning, management of protected areas and forest management planning.

3. Economic policy and financial instruments:

Existence and type of economic policy and financial instruments providing regular periodical implementation of forest management planning and promoting integral connection of spatial planning, management of protected areas and forest management planning.

4.Informational means:

Existence and capacity of informational means for regular periodical implementation of forest management planning and monitoring of the condition of forest resources, management and impacts of forest management.

| Indicator 3.1.b Coverage of forests by forest management plans | | | |
|--|------|----------------|--|
| Contents | Unit | Source of data | |
| Coverage of forests by silviculture plans | | SFS | |
| Share of forests with drawn up holding plans | % | SFS | |

| 3.2. | Wood production function – feeling |
|---------------|--|
| Sub-criterion | Planning and forest management must permanently provide for optimal timber yield from the forest without diminishing the biodiversity of the forest, its life potential or weakening generally beneficial functions. On account of too great a difference between the actual and possible felling, it is preferred to intensify forest management, especially in private forests, and fragmented forest holdings. Wood scrap is used in an optimum manner whereby excessive removal of nutrient substances from stands must be limited on weaker sites. The goal of the holding planning should be an adequate economic performance which, when planned, should take into account the available market studies, new markets sale potentials and economic activities related to products and services provided by forests. |

Indicator 3.2.a General characteristics

1. Legal or regulatory framework:

Existence and type of legal or regulatory framework binding on and encouraging forest owners to manage their forest sustainably and close to nature, which enables optimal timber yield along with the simultaneous implementation of other functions of the forest in accordance with the forest management plans.

2. Institutional frameworks:

Existence and capacity of institutional framework to support more intensive sustainable and close to nature forest management as well as to promote an organised market and sale of forest based timber product ranges and services.

3. Economic policy and financial instruments:

Existence and type of economic policy and financial instruments as well as fiscal policy to encourage forest owners to more intensively manage forests, especially with the aim of better exploitation of forest site potential.

4. Informational means:

Existence and capacity of informational means to monitor and support more intensive sustainable forest management and the development of an organised market of forest based timber ranges of products and forestry services

Quantitative indicators

| Indicator 3.2.b Quantity and intensity of annual felling | | | |
|--|----------------|----------------|--|
| Contents | Unit | Source of data | |
| Total annual felling by felling type | m ³ | SFS | |
| Share of annual felling in terms of maximum possible felling | % | SFS | |
| Share of annual felling in terms of growing stock | % | SFS | |

| 3.3 | Wood production function – biological investment in forests | | |
|---------------|---|--|--|
| Sub-criterion | Simultaneously by promoting timber production more intensive silviculture works | | |
| | and investments for the implementation of protective measures have to be provided | | |
| | for to improve the quality and ecologic stability of forest stands. | | |

Descriptive indicator

Indicator 3.3.a General characteristics

1. Legal or regulatory framework:

Existence and type of legal or regulatory framework binding on and encouraging forest owners to implement silviculture and other measures in forests in accordance with the forest management

plans.

2. Institutional frameworks:

Existence and capacity of institutional framework to provide and encourage forest owners to implement silviculture and other measures in forests.

3. Economic policy and financial instruments:

Existence and type of economic policy and financial instruments providing and encouraging forest owners to make silviculture and other investments in forests.

4. Informational means:

Existence and capacity of informational means to encourage and direct forest owners to make silviculture and other investments in forests and to monitor them.

Quantitative indicator

| Indicator 3.3.b Quantity and share of implemented silviculture - protective works | | | |
|--|----------------|-----|--|
| Contents | Source of data | | |
| Total area of annually implemented silviculture-protective works by measure | ha | SFS | |
| Total quantity of daily work involved in silviculture-protective works by measure per year | daily work | SFS | |

| 3.4. | Wood production function – accessibility of forests by forest roads |
|---------------|---|
| Sub-criterion | In order to ensure efficient forest management, the network of forest traffic routes must be suitably planned, set up and maintained, providing adequate permanent production and exploitation of forest resources as well as the functioning of the forest for generally beneficial functions, with negative impacts of the network on the environment minimised. Care and gathering is carried out in a manner not causing any permanent damage to ecosystems A special attention is dedicated to the planning of forest roads in the area of ecosystems with rare, vulnerable species and endangered genetic sources. The construction of forest roads and hauling roads and bridges is built in a manner to minimise the danger of erosion on non-protected soil and leaching near water courses. An adequate drainage of forest routes is arranged for. Upon having a professional and financial support by the state, a forest holder should strive to suitably increase accessibility of forests of his holding (to reach the optimum level of accessibility). |

Descriptive indicator

| Indicator 3.4.a General characteristics | | |
|--|--|--|
| 1. Legal or regulatory framework: | | |
| Existence and type of legal or regulatory framework regulating and providing for planning, | | |
| construction and maintenance of the network of forest traffic routes. | | |
| 2. Institutional frameworks: | | |
| Existence and capacity of institutional framework to plan construct and maintain the network of forest | | |
| traffic routes. | | |
| 3. Economic policy and financial instruments: | | |
| Existence and type of economic policy and financial instruments supporting the planning, construction | | |
| and maintenance of the network of forest traffic routes. | | |
| 4. Informational means: | | |
| Existence and capacity of informational means for planning, construction and maintenance of the | | |
| network of forest traffic routes. | | |
| | | |

Quantitative indicator

| Indicator 3.4.b Accessibility of forests by forest roads | | |
|--|------|----------------|
| Contents | Unit | Source of data |
| Accessibility of forests by forest roads | m/ha | SFS |
| Length of roads providing the accessibility of the forest | m | SFS |
| Changes in the length of roads providing the accessibility | % | SFS |
| the forest | | |
| Share of closed commercial forests | % | SFS |

| Indicator 3.4.c Annual maintenance and construction of forest roads | | |
|---|------|----------------|
| Content | Unit | Source of data |
| Length of newly-constructed forest roads per year | km | SFS |
| Length of maintained forest roads per year | | SFS |
| Annual cost for maintaining forest roads according to type of works | EUR | SFS |

| 3.5. | Non-wood products and services |
|---------------|---|
| Sub-criterion | Forest management must provide sustainable production and exploitation of non- wood forest products and services, which does not exceed the capacity of forests and does not deteriorate their quality and general condition. The sustainable exploitation of non-wood forest products must be promoted, including in the form of ancillary activities within the framework of the forest holding. Forest management should preserve and improve forest funds and promote new products and services in the long term. |

Descriptive indicator

Indicator 3.5.a General characteristics

1. Legal or regulatory framework:

Existence and type of legal or regulatory framework regulating sustainable production and use of nonwood forest products and the provision of services related to non-wood forest benefits.

2. Institutional frameworks:

Existence and capacity of institutional framework to provide professional guidance, monitoring and supervision over the production and use of non-wood forest products and the provision of services 3. Economic policy and financial instruments:

Existence and type of economic policy and financial instruments enabling the promotion and direction of sustainable exploitation and use of non-wood forest resources and services.

4. Informational means:

Existence and capacity of informational means for planned management and monitoring of forest management in order to exploit non-wood forest assets.

| Indicator 3.5.b Hunting-management significance of forests on forest holdings | | |
|--|------|----------------|
| Contents | Unit | Source of data |
| Share of forests in included forest holdings with emphasised hunting-management function | % | SFS |

| Indicator 3.5.c Quantity of other non-wood products produced from the forest and the share of forests important for obtaining other wood assets on forest holdings | | | |
|--|--|--|--|
| Contents Unit Source of data | | | |
| Annual quantity of major non-wood forest based products kg, number Owner, SFS, CAFS | | | |

| by type/group on the include | d forest holding | | |
|------------------------------|---|---|-----|
| | cluded forest holdings with taining non-wood forest based | % | SFS |
| Comments: | Data are presented if available | | |

CRITERION 4. Maintenance, conservation and appropriate enhancement of biodiversity in forest ecosystems

| 4.1. | Biodiversity - general |
|---------------|---|
| Sub-criterion | Planning and forest management must be geared at simultaneous maintenance, conservation and enhancement of biodiversity on the ecosystem, species, genetic, and where appropriate, landscape level. |

Descriptive indicator

| Indicator 4.1.a General conditions |
|---|
| 1. Legal or regulatory framework: |
| Existence and type of legal or regulatory framework that guarantees maintenance of biodiversity of |
| forests. |
| 2. Institutional frameworks: |
| Existence and capacity of institutional framework to establish and monitor biodiversity of forests. |
| 3. Economic policy and financial instruments: |
| Existence and type of economic policy and financial instruments for ensuring compensations and |
| funds for implementing measures aimed at maintaining biodiversity of forests. |
| 4. Informational means: |
| Existence and capacity of informational means to establish and monitor the situation of biodiversity of |
| forests. |

| 4.2. | Ecologically significant biotopes and habitats and specific areas of their conservation |
|---------------|--|
| Sub-criterion | When planning and managing forests, the ecologically significant, typical, rare and sensitive forest biotopes, habitat types and species must be preserved, especially in the framework of the network of special areas of conservation (the so-called NATURA 2000 areas and ecologically significant areas -ESA). A special attention is dedicated to: wetlands and riparian areas, areas containing endemic species and habitats of threatened species, as defined in recognised reference lists, endangered or protected genetic and in site resources and globally, regionally or nationally significant landscape areas with great biodiversity. Note: Preservation does not exclude the forest management activity which does not diminish biodiversity value of these biotopes. With a suitable management, as a general rule, biodiversity is usually increased. |

Indicator 4.2.a General conditions

1. Legal or regulatory framework:

Existence and type of legal or regulatory framework providing legal means for preserving and protecting ecologically significant biotopes and habitats, especially NATURA 2000 areas and ecologically important areas.

2. Institutional frameworks:

Existence and capacity institutional framework to preserve and protect ecologically significant biotopes and habitats in forest holdings, especially NATURA 2000 areas and ecologically important areas.

3. Economic policy and financial instruments:

Existence and type of economic policy and financial instruments supporting measures for preserving ecologically significant biotopes and habitats, especially in the scope of NATURA 2000 areas and ecologically important areas.

4. Informational means:

Existence and capacity of informational means to establish and monitor the condition and measures for preserving ecologically significant biotopes and habitats, especially in the scope of NATURA 2000 areas and ecologically significant areas.

Quantitative indicators

| Indicator 4.2.b Area and changes in forest area with exceptionally emphasized function of natural heritage protection | | |
|--|------|----------------|
| Contents | Unit | Source of data |
| Forest area with exceptionally emphasized function of natural heritage protection | ha | SFS |
| Change in forest area with exceptionally emphasized function of natural heritage protection (with regard to previous period) | % | SFS |

Indicator 4.2.cArea and changes in forest area with exceptionally emphasized biotopefunctionUnitContentsUnitForest area with exceptionally emphasized biotope functionhaSFS

| Indicator 4.2.d Area and share of forests in ecologically important areas (NATURA 2000 and special areas of conservation) | | | |
|---|------|----------------|--|
| Contents | Unit | Source of data | |
| Total forest area in ecologically important areas and separately | ha | SFS | |
| in Natura 2000 areas | | | |
| Share of forests in ecologically important areas and separately | % | SFS | |
| in Natura 2000 areas | | | |

Indicator 4.2.eScope of budget funds allocated to measures for ensuring favourable
conditions for ecologically significant habitat types and species (in accordance with the
Nature Conservation Act and the Forest Act)ContentsUnitSource of dataFunds annually allocated to forest conservation measures in
the scope of NATURA network, for included forest holdingsEUR/haSFS, ARSO

| 4.3. | Threatened plant and animal species |
|---------------|---|
| Sub-criterion | Forest management must provide for protection and conservation of rare and threatened animal and plant species. Protected and threatened animal and plant species must not be used for commercial purposes. When necessary, measures shall be adopted for their protection or spreading of threatened species |

Indicator 4.3.a General conditions

1. Legal or regulatory framework:

Existence and type of legal or regulatory framework providing legal means for protecting threatened species.

2. Institutional frameworks:

Existence and capacity of institutional framework to develop and maintain institutional instruments for protecting threatened species.

3. Economic policy and financial instruments:

Existence and type of economic policy and financial instruments supporting the protection and conservation of threatened species.

4. Informational means:

Existence and capacity of informational means for occasional verification of the status of endangered plant and animal species and improving the knowledge of endangered species, inventories and research on threatened species.

| 4.4. | Preservation and use of forest reproductive material |
|---------------|--|
| Sub-criterion | For the needs of artificial regeneration of forests and reforestation whereby a preference is given to domestic species and local provenances, a constant supervisory care with quality reproductive material of different types and provenances must be provided. Planting and sowing of foreign tree species and tree species (allochthonous) not adoptable to the site (non-appropriate provenances) is prohibited, unless it is prescribed in the forests-management or sivicultural plan. The areas of existing seed stands must be increased and new ones determined. Genetically modified trees are not introduced into the forest. |

Descriptive indicator

| . Legal or regulative framework: Existence and type of legal or regulative framework which regulates preservation and use of forests |
|--|
| |
| enetic resources as well as planting and sowing of tree species for the needs of artificial |
| egeneration of forests and reforestation. |
| . Institutional framework: |
| existence and capacity of an institutional framework for the development and sustainability of institutional instruments for preservation and use of forest reproductive materials. |
| . Economy policy and financial instruments: |
| xistence and type of economy policy and financial instruments which support the preservation of |
| prest genetic resources and acquiring and use of domestic forest reproduction materials. |

4. Information means:

Existence and type of information means for supervision over the circumstances, acquiring, trade and use of forest reproductive material.

Quantitative indicators

| Indicator 4.4.b Number, area and changes in the proportions of forest stands managed for the conservation and utilisation of forest genetic resources | | |
|--|------|----------------|
| Contents | Unit | Source of data |
| Number of stands managed for the conservation and utilisation of forest genetic resources by tree species and seed object types (seed stands, gene reserve forests). | | SFI, SFS |

| Indicator 4.4.c Planting and sowing for the use of artificial regeneration and reforestation | | | |
|--|--------|----------------|--|
| Content | Unit | Source of data | |
| Annual surface of planting | ha | SFS | |
| Annual surface of sowing | ha | SFS | |
| Annual number of planted saplings | number | SFS | |
| Percentage of foreign tree species plants as compared to total annual percentage of planted saplings | % | SFS | |

| 4.5. | Conservation of biodiversity in commercial forests |
|---------------|--|
| Sub-criterion | Forest management must promote tree structure suitable for the site as well as species diversity and mixed stands. Where applicable, the diversity of horizontal and vertical structures, such as uneven age structure and mixed stands, holow trees and dead wood are stimulated by means of forest managing plans. Traditional management systems which have created special ecosystems (such as coppicing) are promoted at suitable sites when economically adequate. In the process of regeneration we must strive for maximum share of natural regeneration. Care and gathering is carried out in a manner not causing any permanent damage to ecosystems. Cases of intensive grazing of game that is disturbing narural regeneration are communicated to state forestry service. |

Descriptive indicator

Indicator 4.5.a General conditions 1. Legal or regulatory framework: Existence and type of legal or regulatory framework that binds forest owners, in the scope of sustainable forest management, to preserve biodiversity and lays down measures for its preservation at the level of the forest holding. 2. Institutional frameworks: Existence and capacity of institutional framework to plan and direct forest management plans to preserve biodiversity of forests at the level of forest holding. 3. Economic policy and financial instruments: Existence and type of economic policy and financial instruments for promoting forest management measures aimed at preserving biodiversity of forests at the level of forest holding. 4. Informational means: Existence and capacity of informational means to monitor forest management measures aimed at preserving biodiversity of forests at the level of forest holding.

Quantitative indicators

| Indicator 4.5.b Natural regeneration compared to total forest regeneration | | | |
|--|------|----------------|--|
| Contents | Unit | Source of data | |
| Share of natural regeneration compared to total forest regeneration in included forest holding | % | SFS | |
| Comments: | • | · | |
| The share of natural forest regeneration can be presented by the share of natural young forest compared to total area of young forest. | | | |

| Indicator 4.5.c Degree of habitat conservation | | |
|---|------|----------------|
| Contents | Unit | Source of data |
| Share of conserved and only slightly altered forests (compared to | % | SFS |
| total forest area of included forest holdings) | | |
| Change in the share of conserved and only slightly altered | % | SFS |
| forests (compared to previous forest management plans) | | |

| Indicator 4.5.d Tree diversity of stands | | |
|--|------|----------------|
| Contents | Unit | Source of data |
| Share of foreign tree species (by growing stock) in included holding | % | SFS |
| Change in the share of foreign tree species in included forest holding | % | SFS |

CRITERION 5. Maintenance and appropriate enhancement of protective functions

| 5.1. | Maintenance and enhancement of protective functions |
|---------------|---|
| Sub-criterion | Forests having protective function must be mapped in forest management plans. Forest management must aim to maintain and enhance protective function, notably in terms of soil erosion and different effects of water, such as floods and landslides. |

Descriptive indicator

Indicator 5.1.a General conditions

 Legal or regulatory framework: Existence and type of legal or regulatory framework that constitutes a set of legal instruments for regulating and limiting forest management at the level of forest holding in the areas with sensitive soil.
 Institutional frameworks: Existence and capacity of institutional framework to regulate and limit forest management at the level of forest holding in areas with sensitive soil.
 Economic policy and financial instruments: Existence and type of economic policy and financial instruments promoting implementation of planned measures of forest management in areas with sensitive soil.
 Informational means: Existence and capacity of informational means to perform inventories and research of soil erosion.

Quantitative indicators

| Indicator 5.1.b Area and share of forests managed primarily for the conservation and enhancement of protective function including the changes of the share | | |
|--|------|----------------|
| Contents | Unit | Source of data |
| Forest area with exceptionally emphasized protective function | ha | SFS |
| Share of forests with exceptionally emphasized protective function with regard to the total forest area | % | SFS |

| 5.2. | Maintenance and enhancement of water protection function of forest |
|---------------|---|
| Sub-criterion | In forest planning and management, special attention must be paid to forests with water protection function to prevent harmful effects on the quantity and quality of water resources. In forest management, special attention must be paid to preventing erosion on sensitive soil and preventing technique and mechanisation inadequate for the stand. Inappropriate use of chemicals and other substances as well as other activities having a harmful impact on the quality of water must be prevented. |

Descriptive indicator

Indicator 5.2.a General conditions

1. Legal or regulatory framework:

Existence and type of legal or regulatory framework that constitutes legal instruments for regulating and limiting forest management with the aim of preserving waters and protecting water sources.

2. Institutional frameworks:

Existence and capacity of institutional framework to develop and maintain institutional instruments for regulating and restricting forest management with the aim of preserving waters and protecting water resources.

3. Economic policy and financial instruments:

Existence and type of economic policy and financial instruments that promote forest management with the aim of preserving waters and protecting water resources.

4. Informational means:

Existence and capacity of informational means to perform inventories and research of the quality of waters and characteristics of watercourses in connection with forest management

| Indicator 5.2.b Area and share of forests primarily managed to maintain and enhance water protection function, including changes in the share | | |
|---|------|----------------|
| Contents | Unit | Source of data |
| Area of forests with exceptionally emphasized water protection function | ha | SFS |
| Share of forests with exceptionally emphasized water protection function in terms of total forest area | % | SFS |

| 5.3. | Protective forests declared by Government regulation |
|---------------|--|
| Sub-criterion | Forest management in forests declared as protective by Government regulation must be adjusted to the maintenance and enhancement of their protective role. |

| Indicator 5.3.a General conditions |
|---|
| 1. Legal or regulatory framework: |
| Existence and type of legal or regulatory framework regulating legal protection or declaration of |
| protective forests. |
| 2. Institutional frameworks: |
| Existence and capacity of institutional framework to develop and maintain institutional instruments for |
| regulating and declaring protective forests. |
| 3. Economic policy and financial instruments: |
| Existence and type of economic policy and financial instruments ensuring orderly status of protective |
| forests. |
| 4. Informational means: |

Existence and capacity of informational means to prepare expert bases for declaring and monitoring the status of forests declared to be protective under a regulation.

Quantitative indicators

| Indicator 5.3.b Area and share of protective forests declared by Government regulation | | |
|---|------|----------------|
| Contents | Unit | Source of data |
| Area of protective forests declared by Government regulation | ha | SFS |
| Share of protective forests declared by Government regulation in terms of total forest area | % | SFS |

| 5.4. | Maintenance and enhancement of protective function of forest |
|---------------|---|
| Sub-criterion | When managing forests close to infrastructure facilities, special attention must be paid to maintaining and enhancing protective function of forests, particularly for the protection of infrastructure and other facilities. |

Descriptive indicator

Indicator 5.4.a General conditions

1. Legal or regulatory framework:

Existence and type of legal or regulatory framework providing legal instruments for regulating and restricting management in forests important for protection of infrastructure facilities.

2. Institutional frameworks:

Existence and capacity of institutional framework to develop and maintain institutional instruments for regulating and restricting management in forests important for protection of infrastructure facilities.

3. Economic policy and financial instruments:

Existence and type of economic policy and financial instruments ensuring functioning of forest for protection of infrastructure facilities.

4. Informational means: Existence and capacity of informational means to perform research concerning forest functioning and forest management for protection of infrastructure facilities.

Quantitative indicators

| Indicator 5.4.b Area and share of forests with emphasized protective function | | |
|--|------|----------------|
| Contents | Unit | Source of data |
| Area of forests with emphasized protective function ha | | SFS |
| Share of forests with emphasized protective function%SFSwith regard to the total forest area | | SFS |

CRITERION 6. Maintenance of other socio-economic functions and conditions

| 6.1. | Economic significance of forests and forestry |
|---------------|---|
| Sub-criterion | When directing forest management, in compliance with the concept of multi-purpose management, special attention must be paid to the role of forestry in the rural development, in particular in the field of employment in connection with other socio- economic functions of forests. Forest management should promote long-term vitality and welfare of farms and settlements in forest landscapes. Rights to ownership lease and easement must be clearly determined and taken into account in the management. |

Indicator 6.2.a General conditions

1. Legal or regulatory framework:

Existence and type of legal or regulatory framework enabling more optimal implementation of economic function of forests and forestry.

2. Institutional frameworks:

Existence and capacity of institutional framework directing and promoting more optimal implementation of economic function of forests and forestry.

3. Economic policy and financial instruments:

Existence and type of economic policy and financial instruments promoting more intense forest management, particularly in the rural area.

4. Informational means:

Existence and capacity of informational means to monitor and develop mechanisms enabling more optimal implementation of economic function of forests and forestry.

| Indicator 6.1.b Number of employees and other contractors providing forestry services and primary wood processing on forest holding | | |
|---|--------|-------------------|
| Contents Unit Source of data | | Source of data |
| Number of employees providing forestry services and primary wood processing in forest holding | number | Owner |

Annual number of other contractors providing forestry services and primary number Owner wood processing in forest holding

| Indicator 6.1.c Felling in forest holding for own needs | | |
|---|------|-------------------|
| Contents | Unit | Source of data |
| Annual quantity of felling for own needs, by type of use | m3 | Owner |
| Share of felling for own needs compared to total annual felling | % | Owner |

| 6.2. | Production of wood biomass for energy purposes |
|---------------|---|
| Sub-criterion | Production of wood biomass for energy purposes must be based on the principles of sustainable forest management and be implemented in compliance with the principles of forest care. Use of lower-value wood for energy purposes must be promoted. |

Indicator 6.2.a General conditions

1. Legal or regulatory framework:

Existence and type of legal or regulatory framework enabling sustainable production of wood biomass for energy purposes.

2. Institutional frameworks:

Existence and capacity of institutional framework directing sustainable production of wood biomass for energy purposes.

3. Economic policy and financial instruments:

Existence and type of economic policy and financial instruments promoting sustainable production and use of wood biomass for energy purposes.

4. Informational means:

Existence and capacity of informational means enabling efficient planning, directing and monitoring of sustainable production and use of wood biomass for energy purposes.

| Indicator 6.2.b Biomass production | | |
|--|------|----------------|
| Contents | Unit | Source of data |
| Quantity of wood mass sold for energy purposes | m3 | owners |

| 6.3. | Special purpose forests |
|---------------|--|
| Sub-criterion | Management of special purpose forests declared by Government or local community regulation must be adjusted to the prominence of function that led to their declaration. |

Descriptive indicator

Indicator 6.3.a General conditions
1. Legal or regulatory framework:
Existence and type of legal or regulatory framework regulating legal protection or declaration of
special purpose forests.

2. Institutional frameworks:

Existence and capacity of institutional framework to develop and maintain institutional instruments for regulating and declaring special purpose forests.

3. Economic policy and financial instruments:

Existence and type of economic policy and financial instruments ensuring orderly status of special purpose forests.

4. Informational means:

Existence and capacity of informational means to prepare expert bases for declaring and monitoring the status of forests declared to be of special purpose under a regulation.

Quantitative indicator

| Indicator 6.3.b Area and share of special purpose forests | | |
|---|------|----------------|
| Contents | Unit | Source of data |
| Area of special purpose forests | | SFS |
| Share of special purpose forests with regard to the total | % | SFS |
| forest area | | |

| Sub-criterion 6.4. | Social significance of forests |
|--------------------|---|
| Sub-criterion | Free access and movement of forest visitors for the purpose of recreation and other similar activities must be enabled, whereby ownership and other rights, impact of recreation and other similar activities on the forest ecosystem as well as compatibility of such activities with other forest functions must be taken into account. Management and visits in forest with particularly emphasised social functions are adapted to such functions so as not to have a negative impact to forest resources and soil. |

Descriptive indicator

Indicator 6.4.a General conditions

1. Legal or regulatory framework:

Existence and type of legal or regulatory framework by way of which free access and movement of forest visitors are enabled and common and traditional rights of local population in terms of recreation, forest fruit picking in forests are acknowledged.

2. Institutional frameworks:

Existence and capacity of institutional framework to govern recreation and other similar activities in forests.

3. Economic policy and financial instruments:

Existence and type of economic policy and financial instruments promoting measures for maintaining and enhancing recreational and other social functions of forests.

4. Informational means:

Existence and capacity of informational means for research and evaluations concerning social significance of forests, notably in terms of recreation.

| Indicator 6.4.b Area and share of forests with exceptionally emphasized social functions in terms of type of social functions | | |
|---|------|----------------|
| Contents | Unit | Source of data |
| Area of forests with exceptionally emphasized social | ha | SFS |
| functions in terms of type of social functions | | |
| Share of forests with exceptionally emphasized social | % | SFS |
| functions in terms of type of social functions | | |

| Indicator 6.4.c Number and length of developed forest educational trails | | |
|--|--|-----|
| Contents Unit Source of data | | |
| Number of developed forest educational trails | | SFS |
| Length of developed forest educational trails | | SFS |

| 6.5. | Education and work |
|---------------|--|
| Sub-criterion | Forest managers, forest owners and forest workers must constantly improve their knowledge regarding sustainable forest management. Work in forest must be in compliance with fundamental international conventions by the International Labour Organisation. The existing traditional know-how present in local communities should be used in work in the forest by forest owners, non-governmental organisations and local people. |

Indicator 6.5.a General conditions

1. Legal or regulatory framework:

Existence and type of legal or regulatory framework governing the area of professional education and forestry research. Inclusion of international conventions by the ILO into the regulatory framework. 2. Institutional frameworks:

Existence and capacity of institutional framework to develop and maintain institutional instruments for performing and developing research work and professional education in forestry.

3. Economic policy and financial instruments:

Existence and type of economic policy and financial instruments by way of which funds for research, educational and advisory purposes are ensured.

4. Informational means:

Existence and capacity of informational means enabling improvement in professional education and research both in technical sense and in terms of human resources.

Quantitative indicators

| Indicator 6.5.b Annual number and type of courses on sustainable forest management for forest workers, forest owners and forest managers | | |
|--|--------|------------------------|
| Contents | Unit | Source of data |
| Annual number of participants by type of course | number | SFS, SFWIS, CAFS, CCIS |

| 6.6. | Occupational health and safety |
|---------------|--|
| Sub-criterion | Forest workers and forest owners must work in safe working conditions; for this purpose, training for safe work in forest must be ensured. Providers of services in forests must observe requirements of occupational health and safety. |

Descriptive indicator

Indicator 6.6.a General conditions 1. Legal or regulatory framework: Existence and type of legal or regulatory framework governing the field of health protection and safety at work for forestry chores. 2. Institutional frameworks: Existence and capacity of institutional framework to develop and maintain institutional instruments for health protection and safety at work in forestry. 3. Economic policy and financial instruments:

Existence and type of economic policy and financial instruments by way of which health protection and safety at work in forestry are ensured and regular monitoring and research of health protection and safety at work in forestry are enabled.

4. Informational means:

Existence and capacity of informational means enabling regular monitoring, research and development of health protection and safety at work for forestry chores.

Quantitative indicators

| Indicator 6.6.b Number and share of forest owne | rs with safe | ty declaration and risk | | | |
|--|--------------|-------------------------|--|--|--|
| assessment | | | | | |
| Contents Unit Source of data | | | | | |
| Number of forest owners with safety declaration and risk | number | CAFS, MKO | | | |
| assessment | | | | | |
| Share of forest owners with safety declaration and risk | % | CAFS, MKO | | | |
| assessment in terms of total forest owners | | | | | |

| Indicator 6.6.c Annual number of occupational accidents during work in forest | | | |
|---|--------|----------------|--|
| Contents | Unit | Source of data | |
| Annual number of occupational accidents during work in forest | number | LIRS, SFI | |

| Indicator 6.6.d Annual number and type of courses on safe work in forest for owners and managers of forests | | | | |
|---|--------|------------------|--|--|
| Contents | Unit | Source of data | | |
| Annual number of participants by type of course | number | SFS, SFWIS, CAFS | | |

| Indicator 6.6.e Annual costs for protective instruments and equipment for personal safety at work | | | | | |
|---|-----|-------|--|--|--|
| Contents Unit Source of data | | | | | |
| Annual costs for protective instruments and equipment for | EUR | Owner | | | |
| personal safety at work | | | | | |

| 6.7. | Raising public awareness on the significance of forests and forestry and wood-processing industry |
|---------------|---|
| Sub-criterion | Information, achievements and findings as well as problems concerning forests and forestry must be presented to the public, awareness on the significance of forests and timber from the aspect of ecology and national economy must be raised. |

Descriptive indicator

Indicator 6.7.a General conditions

1. Legal or regulatory framework:

Existence and type of legal or regulatory framework enabling the public access to information related to forests and forestry.

2. Institutional frameworks:

Existence and capacity of institutional framework to develop and maintain institutional instruments for informing the public about forests and forestry.

3. Economic policy and financial instruments:

Existence and type of economic policy and financial instruments by way of which it is ensured that a proportion of income from forests or budgetary funds is allocated to informing the public and raising its awareness on forests and forestry.

4. Informational means:

Existence and capacity of informational means providing support to informing the public and raising its awareness on environmental issues and other issues related to forests and forestry.

Quantitative indicators

| Indicator 6.7.b Annual number of educational events related to forests | | | |
|--|--------|----------------------------------|--|
| Contents | Unit | Source of data | |
| Annual number of educational events by type of activity | number | SFS, ASFS, BF, CAFS, MKO, etc | |
| Number of participants by type of activity | number | SFS, ASFS, BF, CAFS, MKO, etc | |
| Note: | | | |

Refers to organised visits of educational trails, natural science days, lectures and presentations.

| 6.8. | Cultural, historical and spiritual values of forests |
|---------------|---|
| Sub-criterion | In areas of recognized cultural, historical or other spiritual significance, forests must be managed to protect and maintain them as suitable to their significance. |

Descriptive indicator

Indicator 6.8.aGeneral conditions1. Legal or regulatory framework:Existence and type of legal or regulatory framework governing the field of managing cultural and
historical heritage and other spiritual values of forests.

2.Institutional frameworks:

Existence and capacity of institutional framework to develop and maintain programmes for preserving cultural heritage and other spiritual values of forests.

3. Economic policy and financial instruments:

Existence and type of economic policy and financial instruments promoting and ensuring maintenance and preservation of cultural heritage and other spiritual values of forests.

4. Informational means:

Existence and capacity of informational means for carrying out studies and evaluating forests in terms of cultural heritage and other spiritual values of forests.

| Indicator 6.8.b Number and area of culturally, historically or spiritually valuable forest zone | | |
|---|--------|----------------|
| Contents | Unit | Source of data |
| Number of important cultural, historical or spiritual sites in | number | owner |
| forest | | |
| Area of forests with emphasized function of protecting cultural heritage and other spiritual values of forests in terms of the prominence of function | ha | SFS |

| 7.1. | Legislation |
|---------------|--|
| Sub-criterion | Forest management must be carried out in compliance with applicable legislation which includes aspects of implementing adequate forestry practices, nature protection including the protection of protected animal and plant species, observance of ownership rights, health and safety at work and payment of fees and taxes. |

CRITERION 7. Compliance with legislation

Descriptive indicator

 Indicator 7.1.a
 General conditions

 1. Legal or regulatory framework:
 Existence and type of legal or regulatory framework governing the field of forest management and protection of forests.

 2.Institutional frameworks:

Existence and capacity of institutional framework for implementing legislation regarding forest management and forest protection.

3. Economic policy and financial instruments:

Existence and type of economic policy and financial instruments promoting close-to-nature forest management and providing for the preservation of forests.

4. Informational means:

Existence and capacity of informational means for carrying out forestry practices, nature protection of animal and plant species, health and safety at work and ownership rights as well as the payment of fees and taxes.

| 7.2. | Implementation of legislation |
|---------------|---|
| Sub-criterion | Suitable protection against prohibited activities, such as illegal felling, illegal forest use, illegal burning of forests and other activities, must be ensured. |

Descriptive indicator

| Indicator 7.2.a | General conditions |
|---------------------|--|
| 1. Legal or regula | atory framework: |
| Implementation of | of legal or regulatory framework governing the field of forest management and forest |
| protection. | |
| 2 Institutional fra | meworks: |

Implementation of institutional framework for the implementation of legislation regarding forest management and forest protection.

3. Economic policy and financial instruments:

Implementation of economic policy and financial instruments promoting close-to-nature forest management and providing for the preservation of forests.

4. Informational means:

Existence of informational means regarding control over the implementation of legislation.

| Indicator 7.2.b Extent of prohibited developments and activities on forest land | | | |
|---|-------------------------|----------------|--|
| Content of violation | Unit | Source of data | |
| Area of prohibited clearings of forests | ha | SFS | |
| Share of prohibited clearings of forests with regard to total clearing | % | SFS | |
| Prohibition of driving in natural environment | Number of incidences | IRSAF | |
| Protection of indigenous fungi | Number of incidences | IRSAF | |
| Act on Forests | Number of incidences | IRSAF | |
| Agricultural Land Act | Number of incidences | IRSAF | |
| Plant Health Act | Number of incidences | IRSAF | |
| Forest Reproductive Material Act | Number of incidences | IRSAF | |
| Other violations | Number of incidences | IRSAF | |