



Pharma **VOICE**

THERAPEUTIC
DIGEST

CARDIOLOGY

ADVANCES AND CHALLENGES

MAY 2021

IN COLLABORATION WITH



Table of Contents

Introduction: Advances and Challenges	3
ADVANCES IN CARDIOLOGY	3
Nexletol (bempedoic acid)	3
SGLT2 Inhibitors	4
Aortic Valve Disease	4
Left Main Coronary Artery Disease	5
Early Rhythm Control in AF	6
Statin Nocebo Effects	6
INNOVATION CHALLENGES FOR CARDIOVASCULAR DRUGS	7
CONCLUSION	7
Notes	8
Resources	10

CARDIOLOGY

Advances and Challenges

Biomedical innovation in cardiovascular care is important given the significant burden of cardiovascular diseases (CVDs) in America. Over 18 million people have CVD; CVDs cause roughly a quarter of deaths nationally^{1,2} and unmet need remains in CVD care (especially risk reduction³). Furthermore, there are significant sociodemographic inequities, with older adults, those with lower education, racial or ethnic minority groups, and rural residents disproportionately affected. For example, individuals living in rural settings have higher age-adjusted CVD death rates relative to urban counterparts^{4,5}.

The pipeline of new cardiovascular drugs in the United States is relatively limited compared with many other specialties, partially due to the complexity and cost of evidence generation⁶. There has been substantial growth in the pipeline for cardiovascular medical devices, however, with products for minimally invasive surgery, heart health tracking, interventional products for restoring heart rhythm and reviewing blockages, and wearables.

ADVANCES IN CARDIOLOGY

► **Nexletol (bempedoic acid)**

In February 2021, the FDA has approved⁷ Esperion's Nexletol (bempedoic acid), oral, once-daily non-statin LDL cholesterol (LDL-C)-lowering medicine for the treatment of adults with heterozygous familial hypercholesterolemia (HeFH) or established atherosclerotic cardiovascular disease (ASCVD) who require additional lowering of LDL-C. The drug is the first oral, non-statin, LDL-lowering medication approved since 2002.

Shortly after its approval, the FDA also cleared a fixed-dose combination containing bempedoic acid and ezetimibe (Nexlizet). The novel agent is an inhibitor of ATP citrate lyase, a key enzyme involved in the cholesterol biosynthesis pathway. "This approval delivers upon a commitment we've made to millions of patients for a new treatment alternative if they struggle with bad cholesterol and have ASCVD or HeFH," says Tom Mayleben, president and chief executive officer of Esperion in a press release statement⁸. "Even with maximally tolerated statins, which may mean no statin at all, some of these patients can't achieve their LDL-C goals. [This] approval provides them with a new medicine to go along with a healthy diet."

► SGLT2 Inhibitors

In patients with heart failure with reduced ejection fraction, the placebo-controlled EMPEROR-Reduced trial of Boeringer Ingelheim's empagliflozin⁹ largely confirmed the benefits seen with dapagliflozin in DAPA-HF, also known as chronic heart failure.

EMPEROR found a statistically significant 25% reduction in the combined endpoint of cardiovascular (CV) death and hospitalization for heart failure. A meta-analysis¹⁰ of the two trials confirmed a benefit for the drugs in all-cause death, CV death, heart failure, hospital admissions, and a composite renal outcome. And both sodium-glucose transport protein (SGLT) 2 inhibitors produced similar benefits in patients with and without diabetes.

The novel inhibitor of both SGLT1 and 2, Sanofi's sotagliflozin, was also shown to prevent CV outcomes in two trials: SOLOIST¹¹ enrolled patients with diabetes and worsening heart failure, and SCORED¹² comprised patients with diabetes and chronic kidney disease (CKD).

There was more good news for CKD when the DAPA-CKD¹³ trial showed that dapagliflozin reduced a composite renal outcome by 39% compared with placebo. These findings were similar to those seen in the CREDENCE¹⁴ and CANVAS¹⁵ studies.

► Aortic Valve Disease

Until January 2021, standard practice for patients with severe aortic stenosis (AS) was to wait for symptoms to develop before intervening. This year, however, a South Korean group published a randomized controlled trial¹⁶ comparing early aortic valve surgery vs conservative therapy in asymptomatic patients with severe AS and found a massive 91% reduction in death with early surgery.

That trial only added to the enthusiasm of treating patients with AS. At the European Association for Cardio-Thoracic Surgery (EACTS) annual meeting in October 2020, Dr. Joseph Bavaria, director of the Thoracic Aortic Surgery Program at PennMedicine, reported that the number of transcatheter aortic valve replacement (TAVR) procedures performed in the United States has not surpassed the number of surgical aortic valve replacement (SAVR) procedures in all its forms¹⁷.

Yet 2020 brought sobering data on TAVR relative to SAVR. The PARTNER 2 study authors reported 5-year data in “intermediate risk” patients with AS¹⁸. The results are complicated, but the early advantage of TAVR clearly decreased over longer follow-up.

This catch-up effect was also seen in the 2-year results of PARTNER 3, a trial that compared TAVR vs SAVR in “lower risk” patients. Unlike all other TAVR trials, PARTNER 3 included rehospitalization in its primary endpoint. This led to markedly positive results for TAVR at 1 year; but in the 2-year results¹⁹, the rates of stroke and death did not differ significantly in the two groups. Valve thrombosis was significantly higher in the TAVR arm (2.6% vs 0.7% for SAVR; P = .02).

History has shown that many cardiac procedures begin in a surgeon’s hands (revascularization, ablation, pacing, etc.) but transition to the cardiologist with the aid of technology. The thing about TAVR is that despite substantial iterations in the procedure, real-world data only add to the concern raised from trial results. Bavaria noted at EACTS that over the past 5 years, the 30-day rates of stroke and need for pacemaker have not budged. “We have to do better,” he said.

► **Left Main Coronary Artery Disease**

In January, NOBLE investigators published 5-year results²⁰ of their trial comparing percutaneous coronary intervention (PCI) with drug-eluting stents versus coronary artery bypass grafting (CABG) for patients with left main coronary artery disease. PCI was inferior to CABG for the primary endpoint of death, nonprocedural myocardial infarction (MI), and repeat revascularization.

The clarity of NOBLE stands in contrast to the opacity of EXCEL²¹, the larger trial comparing PCI to CABG in patients with left main disease. The 5-year results of EXCEL may have been published in late 2019, but the discussion surrounding this trial and how to manage patients with left main disease continued in earnest this year.

The clinical issue of treating patients with left main disease is easier. In June, Dr. James Brophy PhD of McGill University published a Bayesian reanalysis of the EXCEL trial. Bayesian analyses are useful because they offer the probability of a hypothesis (benefit or harm) given the data.

Using EXCEL data alone, Brophy calculated a 95% probability that the 5-year primary outcome difference was increased with PCI vs CABG and a 99% probability that there was excess mortality with PCI. When he incorporated previous trials of PCI vs CABG, the estimated probability of excess mortality with PCI decreased to 85%²².

Isolated left main disease is uncommon, but when it occurs, patients ought to be counseled that outcomes with PCI are inferior over the long run.

► **Early Rhythm Control in AF**

The EAST-AFNET 4 trial²³ was a pragmatic but unblinded trial comparing early rhythm control with either drugs or ablation vs usual care in patients with atrial fibrillation (AF). Early rhythm control led to a statistically significant 21% reduction in the composite primary outcome of CV death, stroke, heart failure admission, or acute coronary syndrome.

The STOP AF²⁴ and EARLY-AF²⁵ trials both compared ablation with a cryoballoon vs antiarrhythmic drugs in patients who had not previously received rhythm control. Ablation beat drugs in the surrogate endpoint of arrhythmia episodes in both trials.

► **Statin Nocebo Effects**

Researchers at Imperial College London have once again shown that advances in knowledge do not always require mega-trials. In 2017, their 200-patient ORBITA trial showed that PCI resolved ischemic myocardium but was no better at improving exercise time than a placebo or sham procedure. The obvious conclusion: the improvement in quality of life that patients feel after a PCI may stem in large part to the positive expectations of being cared for or being “fixed.”

The opposite of the placebo effect is the nocebo effect—when negative expectations lead to negative effects. If people think a statin will make them feel poorly, they may be more apt to feel poorly.

The SAMSON trial enrolled 60 patients who had previously stopped statins. Using an N-of-1 design, the researchers elegantly showed that, yes, patients do have significant side effects from statins, but it is not due to the statin chemical but from the act of taking a statin pill.

The importance of this work is twofold: If we use the results of SAMSON to teach patients, many will restart a beneficial drug—as did 30 of the 60 patients in the trial. The second benefit is that it confirms the importance of our words and actions at the bedside. Our ability to help or harm transcends biochemistry and physiology.

INNOVATION CHALLENGES FOR CARDIOVASCULAR DRUGS

Patient participation in cardiovascular trials is low²⁶. One study found trial participation by eligible acute myocardial infarction patients in a national registry declined from 5.2% in 2008 to 3.4% in 2011²⁷, and another of the same population has even lower estimates, most recently 0.8% participation through 2014²⁸. Enrollment is especially limited for high-risk groups such as elderly and rural patients^{29,30} because these groups face multiple logistical barriers to participating in trials.

Low patient participation can also lead to unmet enrollment targets, which can contribute to the failure of a trial, either from a lack of participants or the inability to demonstrate efficacy due to a small sample size³¹. For example, in the AleCardio trial (a large, international, phase III cardiovascular clinical trial), only 18.2% of sites met enrollment targets, and 10% closed before the end of recruitment, mostly because they failed to enroll a single patient³². The trial was ultimately terminated when a futility analysis showed it was subsequently unlikely to prove clinical efficacy³³.

One explanation for low rates of patient participation may be limited clinician engagement. Another is lack of hospital and health system participation in clinical trials (only about 5% of acute care hospitals consistently participate in clinical trials³⁴), with many hospitals not properly trained in conducting clinical research³⁵.

Low patient participation may also reflect limited patient engagement in development of the trials themselves. For example, patients and families are often not involved in developing the trial operational plan to help ensure trial procedures are convenient for patients. Patients are often interested in how therapies may affect quality of life³⁶, but this information is often not represented in trial end points.

CONCLUSION

CVD continues to be the leading cause of death and disability and remains highly costly, complicated, and burdensome. Despite this, drug innovation is lagging and US enrollment in drug and device trials is limited. New strategies are needed to streamline and reduce the costs of clinical trials, all the while placing greater emphasis on the patient voice and experience. New technologies offer a promising path forward and can help improve upon current patient participation, generate high-quality evidence in real-world settings, and ensure evidence meets the needs of all stakeholders.

NOTES

- ¹ Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. “Heart disease facts and statistics.” CDC. 2018.
- ² Fryar CD, et al. “Prevalence of uncontrolled risk factors for cardiovascular disease: United States.” NCHS Data Brief. 2012.
- ³ “Unmet needs in cardiovascular risk reduction.” American Journal of Managed Care. 2017.
- ⁴ Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. “Heart disease facts & statistics.” CDC. 2019.
- ⁵ Avinainder S, et al. “Urban-rural differences in coronary heart disease mortality in the United States in 2010-2015.” *Circulation: Cardiovascular Quality and Outcomes*. 2020.
- ⁶ McClellan M, et al. “Call to action: urgent challenges in cardiovascular disease: a presidential advisory from the American Heart Association.” *Circulation*. 2019.
- ⁷ “Drugs @ FDA: FDA-Approved Drugs.” FDA. 2021.
- ⁸ Dearment A. “FDA approves Esperion’s non-statin cholesterol-lowering drug.” *Med City News*. February 2020.
- ⁹ Packer M, et al. “Cardiovascular and renal outcomes with empagliflozin in heart failure.” *New England Journal of Medicine*. October 2020.
- ¹⁰ Zannad F, et al. “SGLT2 inhibitors in patients with heart failure with reduced ejection fraction: a meta-analysis of the EMPEROR-reduced and DAPA-HF trials.” *The Lancet*. August 2020.
- ¹¹ Bhatt D, et al. “Sotagliflozin in patients with diabetes and recent worsening heart failure.” *New England Journal of Medicine*. January 2021.
- ¹² Bhatt D, et al. “Sotagliflozin in patients with diabetes and chronic kidney disease.” *New England Journal of Medicine*. January 2021.
- ¹³ Hiddo JLH, et al. “Dapagliflozin in patients with chronic kidney disease.” *New England Journal of Medicine*. October 2020.
- ¹⁴ Perkovic V, et al. “Canagliflozin and renal outcomes in type 2 diabetes and nephropathy.” *New England Journal of Medicine*. June 2019.
- ¹⁵ Neal B, et al. “Canagliflozin and cardiovascular and renal events in type 2 diabetes.” *New England Journal of Medicine*. August 2017.
- ¹⁶ Kang DH, et al. “Early surgery or conservative care for asymptomatic aortic stenosis.” *New England Journal of Medicine*. January 2020.
- ¹⁷ Peter K, et al. “Percutaneous valve therapies.” *MedScape*. April 2021.
- ¹⁸ Makkar R, et al. “Five-year outcomes of transcatheter or surgical aortic-valve replacement.” *New England Journal of Medicine*. February 2020.
- ¹⁹ Jancin B. “Low-risk TAVR loses ground at 2 years in PARTNER 3.” *MedScape*. April 2020.
- ²⁰ Holm N, et al. “Percutaneous coronary angioplasty vs coronary artery bypass grafting in the treatment of unprotected left main stenosis: updated 5-year outcomes from the randomized, non-inferiority NOBLE trial.” *The Lancet*. December 2019.
- ²¹ Stone G, et al. “Five-year outcomes after PCI or CABG for left main coronary disease.” *New England Journal of Medicine*. November 2019.
- ²² Brophy J. “Bayesian interpretation of the EXCEL trial and other randomized clinical trials of left main coronary artery revascularization.” *JAMA*. June 2020.
- ²³ Kirchhof P, et al. “Early rhythm-control therapy in patients with atrial fibrillation.” *New England Journal of Medicine*. October 2020.
- ²⁴ Wazni O, et al. “Cryoballoon ablation as initial therapy for atrial fibrillation.” *New England Journal of Medicine*. January 2021.

- ²⁵ Andrade J, et al. “Cryoblation or drug therapy for initial treatment of atrial fibrillation.” *New England Journal of Medicine*. January 2021.
- ²⁶ Martin SS, et al. “Patient and trial specific barriers to participation in cardiovascular randomized clinical trials.” *Journal of the American College of Cardiology*. 2013.
- ²⁷ Udell JA, et al. “Clinical trial participation after myocardial infarction in a national cardiovascular data registry.” *JAMA*. 2014.
- ²⁸ Fanaroff AC, et al. “Hospital participation in clinical trials for patients with acute myocardial infarction: results from the National Cardiovascular Data Registry.” *American Heart Journal*. 2019.
- ²⁹ Cherubini A, et al. “The persistent exclusion of older patients from ongoing clinical trials regarding heart failure.” *Archives of Internal Medicine*. 2011.
- ³⁰ Kim SH, et al. “Barriers to clinical trial participation: a comparison of rural and urban communities in South Carolina.” *Journal of Community Health*. 2014.
- ³¹ Fogel DB. “Factors associated with clinical trials that fail and opportunities for improving the likelihood of success: a review.” *Contemporary Clinical Trials Communications*. 2018.
- ³² Van Den Bor RM, et al. “Predicting enrollment performance of investigational centers in phase III multi-center clinical trials.” *Contemporary Clinical Trials Communications*. 2017.
- ³³ Lincoff AM, et al. “Effect of aleglitazar on cardiovascular outcomes after acute coronary syndrome in patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus: the AleCardio randomized clinical trial.” *JAMA*. 2014.
- ³⁴ Califf RM, Harrington RA. “American industry and the US Cardiovascular Clinical Research Enterprise an appropriate analogy?” *Journal of American College of Cardiology*. 2011.
- ³⁵ Fanaroff AC, et al. “Hospital participation in clinical trials for patients with acute myocardial infarction: results from the National Cardiovascular Data Registry.” *American Heart Journal*. 2019.
- ³⁶ Heo S, et al. “Quality of life in patients with heart failure: ask the patients.” *Heart Lung*. 2009.

RESOURCES

Andrade J, et al. “Cryoblation or drug therapy for initial treatment of atrial fibrillation.” *New England Journal of Medicine*. January 2021.

Avinander S, et al. “Urban-rural differences in coronary heart disease mortality in the United States in 2010-2015.” *Circulation: Cardiovascular Quality and Outcomes*. 2020.

Bhatt D, et al. “Sotagliflozin in patients with diabetes and chronic kidney disease.” *New England Journal of Medicine*. January 2021.

Bhatt D, et al. “Sotagliflozin in patients with diabetes and recent worsening heart failure.” *New England Journal of Medicine*. January 2021.

Brophy J. “Bayesian interpretation of the EXCEL trial and other randomized clinical trials of left main coronary artery revascularization.” *JAMA*. June 2020.

Califf RM, Harrington RA. “American industry and the US Cardiovascular Clinical Research Enterprise an appropriate analogy?” *Journal of American College of Cardiology*. 2011.

Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. “Heart disease facts and statistics.” CDC. 2018.

Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. “Heart disease facts & statistics.” CDC. 2019.

Cherubini A, et al. “The persistent exclusion of older patients from ongoing clinical trials regarding heart failure.” *Archives of Internal Medicine*. 2011.

Dearment A. “FDA approves Esperion’s non-statin cholesterol-lowering drug.” *Med City News*. February 2020.

“Drugs @ FDA: FDA-Approved Drugs.” FDA. 2021.

Fanaroff AC, et al. “Hospital participation in clinical trials for patients with acute myocardial infarction: results from the National Cardiovascular Data Registry.” *American Heart Journal*. 2019.

Fryar CD, et al. “Prevalence of uncontrolled risk factors for cardiovascular disease: United States.” *NCHS Data Brief*. 2012.

Heo S, et al. “Quality of life in patients with heart failure: ask the patients.” *Heart Lung*. 2009.

Hiddo JLH, et al. “Dapagliflozin in patients with chronic kidney disease.” *New England Journal of Medicine*. October 2020.

Holm N, et al. “Percutaneous coronary angioplasty vs coronary artery bypass grafting in the treatment of unprotected left main stenosis: updated 5-year outcomes from the randomized, non-inferiority NOBLE trial.” *The Lancet*. December 2019.

Jancin B. “Low-risk TAVR loses ground at 2 years in PARTNER 3.” *MedScape*. April 2020.

Kang DH, et al. “Early surgery or conservative care for asymptomatic aortic stenosis.” *New England Journal of Medicine*. January 2020.

Kim SH, et al. “Barriers to clinical trial participation: a comparison of rural and urban communities in South Carolina.” *Journal of Community Health*. 2014.

Kirchhof P, et al. “Early rhythm-control therapy in patients with atrial fibrillation.” *New England Journal of Medicine*. October 2020.

Lincoff AM, et al. “Effect of alogliptazar on cardiovascular outcomes after acute coronary syndrome in patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus: the AleCardio randomized clinical trial.” JAMA. 2014.

Makkar R, et al. “Five-year outcomes of transcatheter or surgical aortic-valve replacement.” New England Journal of Medicine. February 2020.

Martin SS, et al. “Patient and trial specific barriers to participation in cardiovascular randomized clinical trials.” Journal of the American College of Cardiology. 2013.

McClellan M, et al. “Call to action: urgent challenges in cardiovascular disease: a presidential advisory from the American Heart Association.” Circulation. 2019.

Neal B, et al. “Canagliflozin and cardiovascular and renal events in type 2 diabetes.” New England Journal of Medicine. August 2017.

Packer M, et al. “Cardiovascular and renal outcomes with empagliflozin in heart failure.” New England Journal of Medicine. October 2020.

Perkovic V, et al. “Canagliflozin and renal outcomes in type 2 diabetes and nephropathy.” New England Journal of Medicine. June 2019.

Peter K, et al. “Percutaneous valve therapies.” MedScape. April 2021.

Stone G, et al. “Five-year outcomes after PCI or CABG for left main coronary disease.” New England Journal of Medicine. November 2019.

Udell JA, et al. “Clinical trial participation after myocardial infarction in a national cardiovascular data registry.” JAMA. 2014.

“Unmet needs in cardiovascular risk reduction.” American Journal of Managed Care. 2017.

Van Den Bor RM, et al. “Predicting enrollment performance of investigational centers in phase III multi-center clinical trials.” Contemporary Clinical Trials Communications. 2017.

Warner J, et al. “Improving cardiovascular drug and device development and evidence through patient-centered research and clinical trials.” Cardiovascular Quality and Outcomes. 2020.

Wazni O, et al. “Cryoballoon ablation as initial therapy for atrial fibrillation.” New England Journal of Medicine. January 2021.

Wood S. “FDA approves new lipid-lowering drug, bempedoic acid.” TCT MD. February 2020.

Zannad F, et al. “SGLT2 inhibitors in patients with heart failure with reduced ejection fraction: a meta-analysis of the EMPEROR-reduced and DAPA-HF trials.” The Lancet. August 2020.



Pharma **VOICE**
THERAPEUTIC
DIGESTS

2021 THERAPEUTIC TOPICS:

Diabetes
Digital Therapeutics
Endocrinology
Vaccines
Cardiology
Oncology
Dermatology
Inflammatory Diseases
Rare Disorders
Neurology
Infectious Disease
Pulmonology

Copyright 2021 by PharmaLinx LLC