OUTSOURCING: STRATEGIC PARTNERSHIPS

Within the clinical landscape, CROs and sponsors may finally be strategically aligned.

fter years of paying lip service to the need for truly strategic partnerships between sponsors and CROs as a way to increase efficiencies, improve timelines to market, and reduce costs, the time may be right for both parties.

According to Nice Insights' most recent survey 2016 CRO Buying Trends, 77% of respondents reported that it is likely that a preferred provider of contracted outsourcing services would become a strategic partner, while 75% agreed that a CRO that started off as a tactical service provider would become a preferred provider.

Furthermore, 56% indicated that they would be very interested and 36% would be interested in a strategic partnership with a CRO. Additionally, 91% of respondents based in the United States were either very interested or interested in becoming a strategic partner with a CRO in the next 12 to 18 months.

These results signal that the nirvana of a true sponsor-CRO partnership may soon be achievable. Such a partnership can benefit both sponsors and CROs in their pursuit to bring new drugs to markets safely and with improved efficiencies. Analysts forecast that the global clinical trial service market will likely reach more than \$64 billion by 2020, up from \$38.4 billion in 2015, representing a CAGR of 9% between 2015 and 2020. By 2020, the average clinical trial outsourcing penetration will likely reach around 72%. In other words, by then close to three-fourths of clinical trials will likely be performed by professional CROs.

Nice Insights reports that the top five reasons sponsors partner with CROs is to improve quality, improve time to market, reduce costs, process improvement, and have access to specialized technologies.

While the benefits of working with a CRO are many, CROs have a role to play as well, as it is noted that the top five sources of dissatisfaction when working with a CRO are product/service quality, inflexibility, customer service, timeliness of resolving issues, and products/services availability.

Outsourcing is on the rise as well; 71% of respondents expect their annual outsourcing expenditure to increase in the next five years.

How sponsors find the right CRO partner is being done in a number of ways. Nice Insight states that industry research was the most popular method cited for selecting an outsource partner, above word of mouth and consultants. This indicates that customers

are more likely to conduct their own inquiry before choosing a CRO, and the average number of methods used to select an outsourcing partner is 3.2, which indicates that to ensure quality, sponsors are using more methods to identify new partners.

ANNUAL OUTSOURCING EXPENDITURE

10% Less than \$10 million
 38% \$51 million to \$100 million
 18% More than \$100 million

MOST POPULAR METHODS USED TO SELECT AN OUTSOURCING PARTNER

59% Industry Research53% Referrals/Colleagues50% Consultants43% Web Searches

PHASE OF DEVELOPMENT DURING WHICH OUTSOURCING PARTNERS ARE ENGAGED

53% Preclinical58% Phase I51% Phase III

39% Phase IV/Postlaunch

THERAPEUTIC AREAS OF FOCUS BY COMPANY TYPE

53% Metabolic Disorders (overall)
52% Cardiovascular Diseases
50% Infectious Diseases
46% Endocrine Diseases
44% Oncology Diseases
33% CNS Disorders

% OF OUTSOURCING PARTNERS BASED ON MOLECULE TYPE

24% Small Molecule — New Chemical Entities
 22% Small Molecule — Generics
 21% Large Molecule — New Biological Entities
 18% Large Molecule — Biosimilars
 15% Over-the-Counter Medicines

% OF RESPONDENTS WHO OUTSOURCED TO EACH SERVICE COMPANY

80% Preclinical Trial Services
76% Clinical Trial Services
38% Miscellaneous and Other
Specialized Services

% OF RESPONDENTS WHO OUTSOURCED PRECLINICAL TRIAL SERVICES

53% Bioanalytical Testing49% Analytical Testing

48% Chemistry and Stability Testing

45% Biostatistics45% General Toxicology

41% Method Development and Validation

40% Product Characterization38% Medical Imaging

36% Pharmacovigilance

32% Drug Metabolism and Pharmacokinetics

29% In vivo Pharmacology28% Particle Characterization

26% Vivarium

% OF RESPONDENTS WHO OUTSOURCED CLINICAL TRIAL SERVICES

54% Clinical Trial Design 51% Clinical Trial Phase I/IIa 51% Clinical Trial Phase II/III **50%** Clinical Trial Data Management 48% Clinical Trial Recruiting 42% Clinical Trial Project Management 38% Clinical Trial Phase IV 37% Clinical Trial Monitoring 36% Clinical Trial Material Temperature Controlled/Cold Chain logisitics 34% Pharmacokinetic/Pharmacodynamics 28% Bioequivalence 24% Clinical Trial Supplies/Storage/ Logistics/Distribution 23% Bioavailability

Source: Nice Insight

In terms of industry drivers, Nice Insights found that CRO quality was the No. 1 priority for sponsors — ranked the most important factor by 66% of respondents — followed by innovation, productivity, regulatory, and affordability.

When it comes to the services needed, among those sponsor companies that engage CROs for Phase IV/postlaunch studies to extend product life, 76% do so for reformulation, 74% for controlled release studies, 72% to expand therapeutic indication, and 69% for authorized generics.

On the flip side, preclinical trial services ranked as the top outsourced category among CROs. Additionally, bioanalytical testing ranked as the top service within this group at more than 50%, followed closely by analytical testing at 49%, and chemistry and stability testing at 48%.

Second to preclinical trial services was clinical trial services, with trial design, Phase I, Phase II, data management, trial recruitment, and project management as the most highly ranked services.

EXECUTIVE VIEWPOINTS



JOHN HUDAK
President and Founder
Criterium Inc.

KNOCKING DOWN BARRIERS

Sponsors can have

unrealistic expectations of CRO partners.

Most partnerships work top-down at both sponsors and CROs, and this is evidenced in timelines and budgets. But top-down management may not always translate into trickle-down commitment. There are some discouraging figures out there about the high percentage of studies that don't meet their timelines. When timelines and budgets are estimated to satisfy board members or

project committees, it's imperative to include all key factors that affect early planning stages, and be grounded in fiscal reality.

SETTING REALISTIC EXPECTATIONS

Planning needs transparency and communication, in concert. Sponsors can sometimes have impractical expectations regarding timelines and budgets. And CROs can end up having the same unrealistic expectations of study sites. Everyone wants to meet expectations and win the job, but this sometimes results in unworkable time estimates and budgets. There needs to be more open discussion among stakeholders, sponsors, CROs, and sites regarding the environments in which studies are conducted and realistic strategies for successful completion.

Outsourcing in Clinical Trials

Southeast 2016

The leading clinical outsourcing roadshow for the biotech, pharma and medical device industries

MARCH 15-16 2016, CARY, NC

Outsourcing in Clinical Trials returns in March for the 5th year running!

Undertaking clinical trials is highly complex process, and with the rise of new challenges comes the rise of new techniques to try and tackle them.

Key topics for 2016:

- Risk-based monitoring (RBM)
- Electronic Data Capture (EDC)
- CRO selection and management
- Patient and site recruitment

Outsourcing Clinical Trials Southeast 2016 welcomes everyone in the field – we will hear from high level speakers with a range of real experience, including those from small biotech perspectives.

JOIN US IN MARCH TO DISCOVER HOW YOU CAN INCREASE EFFICIENCY TO ENSURE LONG TERM SUCCESS.

For more information visit: http://www.arena-international.com/oct-southeast/ Or contact us at: events@arena-international.com

Use the booking code **MK-IHAD**

FREE PLACE at the 2-day conference for VP/Directors/C-Level executives