**Fig. S2 Neighbor-joining trees of concatenated SNPs**

The evolutionary history was inferred using the Neighbor-Joining method (Saitou & Nei 1987). The optimal tree with the sum of branch length = 1.67639996 is shown. The percentage of replicate trees in which the associated taxa clustered together in the bootstrap test (1000 replicates) are shown next to the branches (Felsenstein 1985). The tree is drawn to scale, with branch lengths in the same units as those of the evolutionary distances used to infer the phylogenetic tree. The evolutionary distances were computed using the Maximum Composite Likelihood method (Tamura et al. 2004) and are in the units of the number of base substitutions per site. The analysis involved 19 nucleotide sequences. Codon positions included were 1st+2nd+3rd+Noncoding. All positions with less than 5% site coverage were eliminated. That is, fewer than 95% alignment gaps, missing data, and ambiguous bases were allowed at any position. There were a total of 1252 positions in the final dataset. Evolutionary analyses were conducted in MEGA6 (Tamura et al. 2014).

**Fig. S2.A Evolutionary relationships of taxa: RNA-seq SNPs filtered for maximum SNP retention.**

The evolutionary history was inferred using the Neighbor-Joining method (Saitou & Nei 1987). The optimal tree with the sum of branch length = 1.67639996 is shown. The percentage of replicate trees in which the associated taxa clustered together in the bootstrap test (1000 replicates) are shown next to the branches (Felsenstein 1985). The tree is drawn to scale, with branch lengths in the same units as those of the evolutionary distances used to infer the phylogenetic tree. The evolutionary distances were computed using the Maximum Composite Likelihood method (Tamura et al. 2004) and are in the units of the number of base substitutions per site. The analysis involved 19 nucleotide sequences. Codon positions included were 1st+2nd+3rd+Noncoding. All positions with less than 5% site coverage were eliminated. That is, fewer than 95% alignment gaps, missing data, and ambiguous bases were allowed at any position. There were a total of 1252 positions in the final dataset. Evolutionary analyses were conducted in MEGA6 (Tamura et al. 2014).
Fig. S2.B Evolutionary relationships of taxa: RNA-seq SNPs filtered for maximum isolate retention.

The evolutionary history was inferred using the Neighbor-Joining method (Saitou & Nei 1987). The optimal tree with the sum of branch length = 3.06710421 is shown. The percentage of replicate trees in which the associated taxa clustered together in the bootstrap test (1000 replicates) are shown next to the branches (Felsenstein 1985). The tree is drawn to scale, with branch lengths in the same units as those of the evolutionary distances used to infer the phylogenetic tree. The evolutionary distances were computed using the Maximum Composite Likelihood method (Tamura et al. 2004) and are in the units of the number of base substitutions per site. The analysis involved 30 nucleotide sequences. Codon positions included were 1st+2nd+3rd+Noncoding. All positions with less than 5% site coverage were eliminated. That is, fewer than 95% alignment gaps, missing data, and ambiguous bases were allowed at any position. There were a total of 128 positions in the final dataset. Evolutionary analyses were conducted in MEGA6 (Tamura et al. 2014).
Fig. S2.C Evolutionary relationships of taxa: GBS SNPs filtered for maximum SNP retention.

The evolutionary history was inferred using the Neighbor-Joining method (Saitou & Nei 1987). The optimal tree with the sum of branch length = 0.58372565 is shown. The percentage of replicate trees in which the associated taxa clustered together in the bootstrap test (1000 replicates) are shown next to the branches (Felsenstein 1985). The tree is drawn to scale, with branch lengths in the same units as those of the evolutionary distances used to infer the phylogenetic tree. The evolutionary distances were computed using the Maximum Composite Likelihood method (Tamura et al. 2004) and are in the units of the number of base substitutions per site. The analysis involved 31 nucleotide sequences. Codon positions included were 1st+2nd+3rd+Noncoding. All positions with less than 5% site coverage were eliminated. That is, fewer than 95% alignment gaps, missing data, and ambiguous bases were allowed at any position. There were a total of 11153 positions in the final dataset. Evolutionary analyses were conducted in MEGA6 (Tamura et al. 2014).
Fig. S2.D Evolutionary relationships of taxa: GBS SNPs filtered for maximum isolate retention.

The evolutionary history was inferred using the Neighbor-Joining method (Saitou & Nei 1987). The optimal tree with the sum of branch length = 0.23338215 is shown. The percentage of replicate trees in which the associated taxa clustered together in the bootstrap test (1000 replicates) are shown next to the branches (Felsenstein 1985). The tree is drawn to scale, with branch lengths in the same units as those of the evolutionary distances used to infer the phylogenetic tree. The evolutionary distances were computed using the Maximum Composite Likelihood method (Tamura et al. 2004) and are in the units of the number of base substitutions per site. The analysis involved 38 nucleotide sequences. Codon positions included were 1st+2nd+3rd+Noncoding. All positions with less than 5% site coverage were eliminated. That is, fewer than 95% alignment gaps, missing data, and ambiguous bases were allowed at any position. There were a total of 4593 positions in the final dataset. Evolutionary analyses were conducted in MEGA6 (Tamura et al. 2014).
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