

URGENT: (Please Circulate to all LD29 PCs) - Response to Lisa's Misrepresentations to her CENSURE

---

From: Frank Jugo (frankjugo@aol.com)

Date: Wednesday, September 10, 2025 at 05:46 AM MST

# Rebuttal to Lisa Everett's Response to Censure

*(Prepared for LD29 Precinct Committeemen)*

On September 2, 2025, the Maricopa County Republican Committee Executive Guidance Committee (MCRC EGC) voted **23–6** to censure LD29 Chair Lisa Everett after hearing her rebuttal. This was not “groupthink” — it was a decisive vote of her Republican peers, including multiple EGC members who carefully weighed the evidence.

Lisa has since emailed all LD29 PCs attempting to dismiss the censure. The following clarifies the facts and directly addresses her misrepresentations.

---

## 1. Partnership with Ultra-Left Indivisible Leader Brent Peak

- **Lisa's Claim:** She was merely “working across the aisle” and defending Kelly Yu, whom she describes as a sympathetic figure.
  - **Fact:** Lisa knowingly partnered with the leader of *West Valley Indivisible*, a radical left-wing activist group that organized **anti-Republican protests** (including No Kings & anti-Tesla). This partnership was not bipartisan legislating — it was public political advocacy **against Republican immigration policy**.
  - **Censure Basis:** Her actions conflicted with Republican Party principles and the Trump Administration's policies overwhelmingly supported by GOP voters.
- 

## 2. Public Use of LD29 Title in Media

- **Lisa's Claim:** Other politicians use their titles; she only stopped after Shelby Busch advised her.
- **Fact:** The issue was not the title itself but **using it publicly to contradict the Republican platform**. Titles carry weight, and her KTAR/media appearances created the perception that the Republican Party itself endorsed opposition to Trump's immigration policies.
- **Censure Basis:** Misuse of her official title brought scrutiny and criticism upon the Party.

---

### 3. Defiance of Immigration Policy

- **Lisa's Claim:** Kelly Yu was not a criminal and deserved leniency; deportation enforcement is racist.
  - **Fact:** Regardless of sympathy, Kelly Yu was **denied asylum in 2005 by a federal judge** and remained in violation of U.S. law. Lisa's advocacy directly opposed the lawful policy Republicans overwhelmingly supported.
  - **Censure Basis:** Her public stance placed her in opposition to both the Party platform and Trump's immigration policy.
- 

### 4. Injunctions & Criminal Complaints Against PCs

- **Lisa's Claim:** She acted only for self-protection against harassment and even "assault."
  - **Fact:**
    - Lisa filed **3 injunctions against harassment** and **2 police complaints** against Republican PCs. All were either denied, dismissed, or resulted in **no action by law enforcement** (Everett v. Jugo CC2025182987 — denied; Everett v. Skvara CC2025182991 — denied; Everett v. Shephard CC2025098110 — dismissed; Surprise PD Incident #250807255 and #250807250 — no action taken by Officer Wheeler).
    - Courts and law enforcement **rejected her claims as baseless**.
  - **Censure Basis:** Filing false or retaliatory actions against fellow PCs is abusive, divisive, and designed to silence opposition.
- 

### 5. Use of LD Funds for Private Legal Matters

- **Lisa's Claim:** She personally paid legal fees; her friend George donated \$14.87 to "cover" her copies.
  - **Fact:** On **August 28, 2025**, Moore Graphics issued **Invoice #91006** for \$14.89, paid under Lisa Everett's name, charging LD29 for copies of her personal legal documents ("Frank Lugo Letter" and "Michelle Letter").
    - The invoice proves Lisa used LD funds to pay for her personal legal fees which is not allowed. She misused her authority to spend our LD funds. She doesn't understand that whether it is \$14.89 or \$1,489, it was still wrong for her to spend LD29 money for her own legal expenses.
    - Her "George donation" came **after the fact** and does not erase her misuse of official LD resources.
  - **Censure Basis:** Unauthorized use of LD funds for personal legal actions.
- 

### 6. Directing Treasurer to "Make Assumptions"

- **Lisa's Claim:** Beacon reports can always be amended; no harm in estimating expenses.
  - **Fact:** State campaign finance reports are **sworn filings under penalty of perjury**. Directing a Treasurer to "make assumptions" is not a clerical error — it is instructing him to **submit false reports** and amend later.
  - **Censure Basis:** This is a violation of both Republican principles of transparency and state legal reporting requirements.
-



## 7. Refusal to Resign & Attacks on Fellow PCs

- **Lisa's Claim:** The censure is driven by "sore losers" (Steve Skvara, Mary Jane Ziola, Frank Jugo, Michelle Skvara).
  - **Fact:** The censure was passed by the **MCRC EGC (23–6)** — a county-level governing body, not by individual LD29 PCs. Her attempt to deflect responsibility onto a handful of people ignores the overwhelming bipartisan vote by Republican leadership county-wide.
  - **Censure Basis:** Her refusal to accept accountability and continued attacks deepen division in LD29.
- 

## Conclusion

Lisa Everett's September 9th email does not refute the censure — it confirms why it was necessary. Her explanations are excuses, deflections, and personal attacks. The evidence remains clear:

- She partnered with radical leftists against Republican policy.
- She misused her title to oppose the Party platform.
- She defied lawful immigration rulings.
- She weaponized courts and police against fellow Republicans.
- She used LD funds for her own private legal matters.
- She directed false financial reporting.

The MCRC EGC's censure stands as a matter of record. It was not based on hearsay or "drama," but on **documented evidence and repeated misconduct**. I've attached an actual copy of the Censure Lisa was issued by the MCRC EGC and overwhelmingly voted 23 to 6 to issue it.

Frank Jugo  
LD29 Treasurer

—— Forwarded Message ——

**From:** Lisa Everett <azld29chair@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, September 9, 2025 at 07:48:15 PM MST  
**Subject:** Response Regarding Lisa's Censure

Hello PCs,

I am sorry to trouble with this response to the censure. I have been made aware that there are some folks sharing misinformation about this so I wanted to clear it up. I am blind copying everyone as I do not want your email to be clogged up with rebuttals and complaints. Thank you for understanding.

Hello All,

I was going to simply ignore this censure and move on, but a dear friend of mine reminded me: *"Lisa, this is your good name. You should defend yourself."* And they are right. I do not expect this censure to be overturned, and I stand firmly by what I have done and will continue to do. But I do hope a few of you will step away from the groupthink and open your eyes to the truth — this is America, and it is perfectly fine if we do not always agree. It is also perfectly fine to lose an election — but it is how you act afterward speaks volumes. The actions of the Skvaras and Mary Jane Ziola have spoken very loudly.

When the other six board members and I first began having trouble with Frank Jugo, I asked for help at the January EGC meeting. Ray Michaels offered to mediate, and every MCRC board

member I spoke to agreed he would be a good fit. When Ray came, he spent two hours with the board and gave me poor advice that only fueled Frank's behavior. It was obvious that Ray was just as frustrated dealing with Frank as the six board members. Ray told me to give all officer reports to keep Frank from being able to lie about the other board members during the meeting. To be honest, I sometimes wonder if MCRC had planned this censure from the beginning — because they seem to only welcome those who fall in line without question. I do not. I think for myself. And perhaps that is why MCRC sees me as a threat. After all, I even received a call telling me who I was expected to vote for when Julie McIlwain stepped down. That is how MCRC operates — but I refuse to play by those rules. Yes, the MCRC's chosen candidate won, even though there was clearly another candidate that was more qualified.

Wednesday morning, I ordered a button from Amazon that reads — censured by MCRC on 9/2/25. I will be wearing it with pride.

Below are my responses to the specific complaints in the Censure:

WHEREAS LD29 Chairwoman, Lisa Everett partnering with Brent Peak, the leader of the ultra-left wing West Valley Indivisible organization who organized the No Kings protests and the anti-Tesla protests in Phoenix, in order to keep an illegal alien in Arizona and the United States who was denied asylum in 2005 and remained in Arizona and the United States in defiance of a judge's order placing her into the criminal enforcement category;

This is so wrong on so many levels — where to begin. Working across the aisle should *always* be the goal in a functioning republic. Right now, there is a bill in the U.S. House that would finally put an end to members of Congress being able to skirt Insider Trading laws. That's the kind of legislation Americans want to see — and it's happening because both Democrats and Republicans are willing to come together to fix a glaring injustice. That is how laws get passed, and that is how trust in government is restored.

Kelly Yu who has been actively seeking U.S. citizenship for 21 years has been doing all she can to become a legal citizen. She fled her homeland to avoid a forced abortion — something that should strike at the heart of anyone who claims to be pro-life. As a Catholic, I cannot comprehend how so many Catholics at the MCRC, and across America, can so blatantly ignore the Catechism in this matter. Crossing the border 21 years ago was a civil offense, punishable by a fine — not a felony. Kelly has spent most of her adult life navigating our courts, fighting to become a citizen the right way. Would you leave your child behind in another country, or risk being torn apart from your family after two decades of doing everything you could to live by the rules? Her story forces us to reckon with our principles: are we really pro-life and pro-family, or are we only pro-life when it's convenient?

I do not expect everyone to agree with me regarding Kelly Yu, but I do expect my opinion to be respected. I cannot believe anyone at the MCRC or the EGC feels they have the right to silence me — this is America, and freedom of thought and speech are at the very core of who we are. By the way, let's not forget that Trump, Tulsi Gabbard, RFK Jr., Elon Musk, Ronald Reagan, and many others once identified as Democrats before becoming Republicans or Independents. How do you think that happened? At some point, Republicans took the time to focus on common ground and build from what they agreed on. That is the only way we grow as a movement and as a nation.

WHEREAS LD29 Chairwoman, Lisa Everett, very publicly used her title as Republican LD chair on air with KTAR and in other media venues bringing scrutiny and criticism on the party in opposition to the party platform.



I had a long conversation with Shelby Busch regarding this issue, and I do understand your point. Out of respect, I have not used my title in interviews since then. That said, I can't help but notice the double standard that seems to be at play. Lately, I've caught myself saying, "I cannot believe I am agreeing with Senator John Fetterman." Yet when Fetterman stands with Republicans on supporting Israel, he doesn't omit his title. When he shows support for President Trump, he doesn't omit his title.

This is not unique to Fetterman — I could give countless other examples where public officials use their title to underscore their stance, especially when working across the aisle. It makes me wonder why, in my case, using my title is treated differently. Titles matter because they represent the responsibility and trust given by the people who elected us, and that should not be dismissed simply because our position happens to align with those some may not expect.

When Kristen Sinema voted with Republicans do you think she said I am doing this as a private citizen not as a senator? No, she publicly disagreed with her party and took her lumps. I am doing the same. The difference is she did not get censured.

**WHEREAS LD29 Chairwoman Lisa Everett's actions defy the Trump administration's immigration policy that ~ Americans voted for in overwhelming numbers;**

President Trump said he would deport the worst of the worst. Kelly Yu is not the worst of the worst. There is a world of difference between the so-called "Maryland Man" who makes headlines for violent crimes and a hardworking Peoria mom who has spent decades trying to do things the right way. I also do not stand with ICE setting up in Home Depot parking lots and rounding people up. That is not what America is about, and it certainly isn't what I believe Republicans should stand for.

What troubles me most is how many Republicans, in their zeal to "deport them all," are willing to embrace a mindset that echoes "show me your papers." You cannot tell by looking at someone whether they are here legally, and yet that is exactly the dangerous road we are heading down. This is simply unacceptable. I stand firmly against racism, and I fear that the current climate is emboldening racists to show themselves more openly, believing they are safe to do so. That is a terrible direction for our country. I WILL NOT BE SILENT.

On September 2, 2025, the MCRC Board and EGC voted to sign off on a letter of support for GOP State Chairwoman Gina Swoboda after she made some controversial remarks to the press. One of her statements was along the lines of believing PBS should continue to be funded, since the mainstream media often speaks with one voice. This directly goes against President Trump's stance that all taxpayer funding for NPR and PBS should be eliminated. Yet, in that very same meeting, MCRC and the EGC chose to censure me for supposedly "going against Trump" when I asked for leniency for Kelly Yu. The double standard could not be more clear. (I strongly support Gia Swoboda.)

**WHEREAS LD29 Chairwoman, Lisa Everett, filed multiple injunctions and two criminal complaints against-precinct committeemen, in one case, with intent to deprive that People of their ability to attend a public meeting,**

I have asked for help from MCRC many times regarding these specific individuals with no response and now one literally assaulted me, and still I received no help. Let me be clear — being an LD Chair does not mean I have to sit back and allow myself to be harassed or physically assaulted. I have every right, just as anyone else does, to take steps to protect myself.

It is also important for everyone to understand what an injunction actually does. An injunction **does not** prevent someone from attending a public meeting — those remain open to



the public. What it does prevent is harassment: cornering someone in public, shoving a phone in their face, calling them names, and escalating into physical assault. That is the line that cannot be crossed, and that is why I had to take action.

When I reported the assault by Michelle Skvara at the July Dysart School Board meeting to the police, I later discovered that the officer assigned to the case is actually Michelle's neighbor. I only recently found this out, and I will be bringing it directly to the Chief's attention. Because it was witnessed by several board members, Dysart now begins each meeting with a formal decorum statement — a step clearly needed because of disruptions. In addition, Michelle has operated with at least a dozen fake Facebook profiles to sneak onto the pages of people like me, who have blocked her. She shows no respect for decorum at any meeting, including LD29 meetings, where she takes photos of how people vote and then confronts them afterwards and quizzes them afterward regarding their vote. She has gone as far as telling people who they are "allowed" to sit by, cornering people in parking lots to declare LD29 is a "sh\*t show" and that they shouldn't become PCs. On top of all this, she has repeatedly blown up my phone with endless drama. This behavior is toxic and destructive to every group she involves herself in.

I filed an injunction against two individuals who regularly attend the BOS meetings, Lezley and Luimar, and I did so at the urging of the Maricopa County Sheriff's Office and the Security team. These individuals deliberately move to sit near me in order to harass me. They have shoved a phone in my face, shouted at me during meetings, and created an ongoing hostile environment. To avoid them, I often wait 15 to 20 minutes after meetings adjourn, hoping they will leave. Instead, they wait outside for me, encircling me and preventing me from leaving safely. On multiple occasions, I have had to be escorted by a uniformed officer to my car just to ensure these women would leave me alone.

One of them, Lezley, managed to get a dismissal hearing scheduled while I was out of town, and the injunction was dismissed. The judge refused to grant a continuance, despite my circumstances, so I have filed a complaint about his handling of the case. I will also be refiling the injunction. No one should be subjected to harassment and intimidation simply for participating in public meetings. I refuse to allow their behavior to silence me.

Frank Jugo continues to be a source of constant disruption and hostility. The entire board asked for unsuccessful mediation because he is impossible to work with, resulting in one board member resigning from the board. Yet Frank refuses to leave me alone. His emails are excessively long and demanding and are filled with outrageous accusations against board members, with particular focus on Tracy Pearson and myself. Many of you have seen firsthand the chaos he stirs up through his unrelenting correspondence. As evidence, I will be attaching Tracy's rebuttal to some of Frank's out-of-line treasurer reports, which highlights just how far he has taken his behavior.

After months of ongoing drama, I finally reached the point where I informed Frank that he was blocked from my email, phone, and social media. I was very clear: he was not to attempt to circumvent these blocks or I would be forced to follow the recommendations of law enforcement. I explained that he would still receive call notices, attachments, and that I would see him at official meetings — but outside of that, he was to leave me alone.

Instead of respecting those boundaries, Frank escalated further. He sent me two pieces of certified mail and another document through regular mail. I once again told him to stop contacting me. It is clear, however, that he is incapable of following even the most basic requests to respect personal space and professional boundaries. His refusal to let go of this obsession has become not only disruptive, but also deeply concerning.



WHEREAS LD29 Chairwoman, Lisa Everett, used LD fund for her private-court case matters against PCs and if stated an intent to pay legal fees from LD funds

I paid the service fees out of my own pocket, and yet I am being harassed simply because of my service to LD29. The charge being made against me is so absurd it would almost be laughable if it weren't so disruptive. To put it in perspective, my friend George made a donation to LD29 in the amount of **\$14.87** — the exact cost of the copies I made.

After making the donation, George called me and asked if the Treasurer would see it. When I confirmed, he laughed and told me he plans to make this donation every month as a way to demonstrate just how ridiculous the Treasurer is being. His lighthearted response underscores a very serious point — this so-called "issue" is nothing more than a distraction meant to tear down rather than build up.

WHEREAS LD29 Chairwoman Lisa Everett has directed the elected LD29 Treasurer to "make assumptions" \* regarding expenses and receipts.

The reason the amend function exists on the Beacon report is simple — it allows for corrections if you are waiting on information or if a mistake is made. That's it. To twist this routine and reasonable process into something worthy of condemnation is nothing more than drama for the sake of drama. It's manufactured outrage intended to create division where none is warranted.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that Lisa Everett immediately relinquish any and all LD29 financial records and restore all LD related communications channels to the LD29 Treasurer and all members of the LD29 Board

Frank has had all of the LD29 financial records. All Board members and Precinct Committeemen receive the same official communications. The **only official communication** is sent through email, which includes the call notice and any attachments. Everyone is admitted to the meetings — there is no secrecy, no hidden process, and no exclusion. Frank and everyone else gets the official communications.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the MCRC EGC-formally requests Lisa Everett resignation as LD29 Chair in order to allow the Precinct Committeemen of LD29 to elect a chair who will uphold the Republican Party Platform. And Republican Party principles.

This should really read: *"Lisa Everett should resign so that Steve Skvara, who was not elected last November, can try to get elected again to finish destroying the LD."* Let me be perfectly clear — **I will not be resigning**. I have received too many calls from good, hardworking PCs who are exhausted by the constant drama and division being stirred up by the same few voices who complained at the last MCRC meeting and who ran off the last board. It was Steve Skvara and Mary Jane Ziola who worked with Jeff Greenspan (sound familiar) to censure and eventually chased away the previous LD29 Chair, Linda Migliore resulting in the entire board quitting. These three want to destroy LD29 and the PCs know it and are sick of it.

These individuals who brought this censure are nothing more than sore losers. Shelby Busch saw this herself when she helped me and others open the records from the November 2024 election and made them available for anyone who wished to review them. Only Mary Jane showed up and she was mad that she and her slate obviously did not win the election and made a bit of a scene.

Steve Skvara has made it clear he wants to control the LD, just as he is trying to undermine the school board he serves on as well. He seems only happy when everyone else is miserable. This entire mess stems from the fact that they lost an election, and instead of moving forward,



he and the others would rather tear everything down. They thrive on negativity, but the PCs see through it — and they do not want that kind of destructive leadership.

\* NOT TRUE

I have been truly humbled by the outpouring of support I have received. Countless emails, calls, texts, and even social media posts have reminded me that there are many who appreciate the work I do and stand behind me. One person even made a donation to the LD in solidarity. I know I am not perfect, but I do stick to my convictions and always try my best. I care deeply about our PCs and make it a point to show up for them — whether that means visiting someone in the hospital, sending flowers, or writing a card when someone is sick. Even when I disagree with a PC, I listen and try to find common ground where we can work together.

Sadly, the same cannot be said for the small group of chronic complainers. They have openly told their group not to donate to the LD, not to volunteer, and not to take part in any of the work it takes to keep us strong. They avoid fundraisers, skip our happy hours, and only appear when there is an opportunity to stir up drama. Since May, we have held unofficial meetings where they have been completely absent. But Frank still strolls in during the last 15 minutes, interrupting speakers to ask about donations — despite the fact that I have already assured him I do not mind making the deposit and sending him the deposit slip. We all know what will happen come October when official business resumes: these complainers will suddenly show up, demanding bylaw changes, nitpicking minutes, and attacking volunteers. But contributing positively? That has never been their goal. Their only contribution is drama, and the rest of us are left to do the real work. These are the people MCRC and the EGC have chosen to stand by. Heartbreaking.

I have attached a few of the memos of support I have gotten. I concealed the names of those who emailed or texted. Social media is public so I did not hide the names. I would like to say everyone is entitled to their own opinion, even the board of MCRC and the EGC. It is sad they do not feel I am entitled to mine.



Tom Vigorito · 6d

Stand strong, Lisa. You answer to a Higher Power, The Lord Jesus Christ. He said: "let the little children come to me." It seems that the MCRC is filled with a bunch of RINOS who lack the discernment to look at individual cases. Did they forget that we are also a Party that is PRO LIFE? I guess the Party is their god. Looks like they are a bunch of scaredy cats to stand up for what is right.

Reply



5



NOT TRUE \*

10 OF 13  
REPLIES  
NOT A

LD 13  
PC

(ONLY  
3  
WERE  
LD 29  
PC'S)

ONLY 3  
LD 29  
PC'S  
OUT  
OF  
174

NOT A LD 29 PC



Re: BNSF, MCRC UPDATE,  
CENSURE, ELECTORAL COLLEGE  
INFORMATIONAL EVENT Inbox



to me ▾

Sep 8



Censoring YOU would be like Censoring GOD! Crazy folks 🤔🤔👎🙏

Kind Regards,

B  
Community Advocate



Amy Heusted · 5d

Lisa Gray



IS A LD29 PC



Reply



AB Borders · 5d



IS NOT A LD29 PC

A bunch of clowns who forgot their job is to ADD to the party, not SUBTRACT. #cantfixstupid

Reply





(-)



Alma Maynard · 5d

Good for you! They are scared of you. They have a right to be. You are articulate and know what you're talking about. You are attractive and act in a professional manner. I'm behind you.

Reply 1



NOT A LD29 PC

(-)



Jay Leonard · 5d

Amen!

Reply 1



NOT A LD29 PC

(-)



Becks Coffman · 5d

If you don't fit the predetermined mold that the MCRC has created and heel at their commands, then you are the enemy. Their leadership is causing a lot of good Republicans to walk away. They should be ashamed of themselves.

Reply 1



NOT A LD29 PC

+



Dawn R Hampton · 5d

Another reason

Reply 1



IS A LD29 PC

+



Brad Lanfranco · 5d

Stand strong rockstar!

Reply 1



IS A LD29 PC

(-)



Regina Rutrum · 5d

Over the target! Keep your head high and your ammo stocked. ❤️

Reply 1



NOT A LD29 PC





Jim McPhe · 5d



NOT A LD 29 PC

[Lisa Everett](#) we may not always agree but you are a great person and perfect as our chair. Hang in there! We got your back

Reply  3 



Rick Cromack · 5d



NOT A LD 29 PC

FREAKING RIDICULOUS. I'm so sorry you have to deal with this nonsense. I will be keeping you in prayer, Lisa.

Reply  1 

Saturday 11:52 AM

Lisa.... I'm so upset about the mcrc  
..does it mean you HAVE to resign?  
I know you're away with your husband,  
so I don't want to interrupt  
that...please call me when you're  
available... hugs



Clint Bolick · 6d



NOT A LD 29 PC

My colleagues and I were censured by MCRC for applying the law.

Reply  5 



**Good morning Lisa...** I just read your FB post about being censured by the MCRC and thought that a congratulatory note was in order. Your name is now among the ever growing list of highly respected conservative leaders across the state who have been censured by the MCRC. I'm hoisting my morning cup of coffee to toast you.

The MCRC under the control of the Disruptors has used censures as a means of calling out anyone who has done something that doesn't meet with their approval. Usually their censure targets are people they want to get rid of and discourage from participating in conservative/Republican politics in Arizona. Yep. It's highly subjective, but that's the way they operate.

Unfortunately for them, a censure has less importance today because it has been overused by anyone or group that wants to publicly disapprove of an action. Simply stated a censure amounts to less than a slap on the wrist. And it's likely that censures won't be used to determine one's eternity on Judgement Day.


Maybe it's time to start the Censure Club consisting of leaders who have been censured by the MCRC or any affiliate branch of the Disruptors. They have no sense of humor so a little tongue-in-cheek humor focused on them might be an effective way to diminish their destructive tactics. Just a thought.

Again, congratulations.

**If you have read this far please allow me one final point. I am concerned people think emails like this are ok.**



Pet **Inbox**



**Kyle Harrison** 12:54 PM

to me ▾

NOT A LD29 PC

You don't get to just HAVE a pet chink, dumbass. It broke the fucking law and must face the consequences.

Sorry this was so long but there are a lot of uninformed voices in the room screaming at the top of their lungs. Maybe if you have a problem or concern about me, you should pick up the phone. I am willing to talk reasonably. But attacking me does not ever get the result you are seeking. God Bless all who work hard to accomplish Republican and American values and goals.



LISA EVERETT

Legislative District 29 Chair  
469-877-8644

<https://x.com/patriotnheels>

<https://www.facebook.com/lisa.everett.52>

<https://www.facebook.com/groups/104865101583>

<https://www.youtube.com/@patriotnheels>

<https://x.com/GOPAZLD29>



MCRC EGC Censure of LD29 Chair Lisa Everett - 09 02 25.pdf  
480.1 kB