ABCD CENTRAL OHIO

Overview of ODOT's Design Build Program How We Got to Where We Are...and One of the Results



Chase Wells, P.E. Ohio Department of Transportation Eric Kahlig, P.E. Ohio Department of Transportation



THE OBLIGATORY SLIDE....

Design-Bid-Build

Multiple contracts | Owner retains all design risk





Design-Build

Single contract | Contractor assumes some design risk





PAST AND FUTURE







BEFORE OUR TIME...



ODOT'S DB DELIVERY OPTIONS

Low-Bid DB (one step)

- Majority of ODOT's DB projects
- Contract awarded to lowest prequalified bidder

Value-Based DB

- \circ 5 value-based projects completed to date
- Contract awarded to bidder with the best combination of bid price and technical qualifications assessment

$\circ~$ Two-Step Low Bid

- MLK Interchange
- o Sum-271
- o CCG6B

It is because of unintended Consequences through "Creative" Interpretations...with real life examples.

- Widening of existing bridge, and with that, an existing pier
- \circ Project Scope:
 - "Cap and column piers shall have a minimum of <u>three</u> columns when completed"

CREATIVE INTERPRETATION #1- LESSON LEARNED

- \circ Question asked (as allowed per ITO):
 - How does this comply with the scope requirement of 3 columns per pier?
- Answer:

"It complies as there are 5 columns when complete. This is a bridge widening and it includes the existing portion with the existing pier element all in that pier, and then we're extending it making 5 columns all together. It complies with the scope."

Not the intent, but...also wasn't the winner

- Scope of Services (CUY-90) CCG1: 1st Voinovich Bridge
 "The girder depth for the main span portion of the I-90 viaduct shall vary parabolically ..."
- $\circ~$ Definition of a parabola:

"A form of arch defined by a moving point that remains equidistant from a fixed point inside the arch and a moving point along a line. This shape when inverted into an arch structure results in a form that allows equal vertical loading along its length."

MAIN SPAN PIER SIDE ELEVATION (PIERS 3-11)

- A full parabola was ODOT's *intention*, even though the Scope of Services did not explicitly state this
- $\circ~$ Nevertheless, ODOT accepted the design
- 2nd DBT filed an injunction:

"The Winning DBT did not follow the Scope - the bridge beam shape is not a parabola. #1 was nonresponsive. Give us the job."

o Judge's Ruling:

"#2 is correct, it is not a parabola

but...

the winner has a reasonable interpretation. When it does vary, it is varying in a parabolic shape. The parabolic variation wasn't required across the entire length"

TRANSPORTATION

18 |

STA 31+90.00, 2.45' RT MH-3, RIM ELEV 659.40 15" (W) 653.54 15" (NE) 655.15 5.00% 2391-15" TYPE B @ 1.92% TYPE B @ 3,96 max allowable ODOT L&D: 401.1: "It is also grade, on sharp

recommended that intersections be located where the grade on the mainline roadway is 6 percent or less, with 3 percent being the desirable maximum." max allowable grade, on sharp curve, through signalized intersection. Queued traffics sitting on steep grades.

O DEPARTMENT OF

ANSPORTATION

o ODOT Comment:

"OC mainline is placed at 5% grade through intersection at Quadrant Roadway. While grade is allowable per scope, it is not appropriate at an intersection, especially at the first intersection off the freeway where truck % is the greatest. Also, not appropriate if placed in conjunction with sharp horizontal curvature."

- "Interpret all references to guidelines, recommendations and considerations within applicable design manuals as minimum requirements except when specifically excluded within the Scope of Services"
- "recommended that intersections be located where the grade on the mainline roadway is 6 percent or less, with 3 percent being the desirable maximum"

Contractor Response:

"The proposed grade is acceptable per the Scope Requirements of Article 1.5 F, "Basic Configuration" which allows a maximum vertical alignment grade of 5%."

Result: \$5M Change Order

That enough....

ODOT'S DB DELIVERY OPTIONS

Low-Bid DB (one step)

- Majority of ODOT's DB projects
- Contract awarded to lowest prequalified bidder

Value-Based DB

- $_{\odot}$ 5 value-based projects completed to date
- Contract awarded to bidder with the best combination of bid price and technical qualifications assessment

$\circ~$ Two-Step Low Bid

- MLK Interchange
- o Sum-271
- CCG6B

Two-Step Technically Responsive Low-Bid

 Contract awarded to a bidder with a technically responsive proposal and lowest bid...and we have the perfect job for it!!!!

PROJECT BACKGROUND - I-480 VALLEY VIEW BRIDGE

PROJECT BACKGROUND

PROJECT BACKGROUND

- 4,155 ft long
- 15 spans
- 300 ft span lengths
- 200 ft high

- Steel Girders, Floor Beams & Intermediate Stringers
- Built in 1975
- Opened in 1978
- Carries 8 lanes

PROJECT BACKGROUND - SCOPE DEVELOPMENT

PROJECT BACKGROUND - SCOPE DEVELOPMENT

PROJECT BACKGROUND

PROJECT BACKGROUND

IR 480 VALLEY VIEW PROCUREMENT

		Milestone	Date				
4 Weeks		Advertise RFQ	Friday, January 27, 2017				
	\neg	Deadline to submit questions	Friday, February 10, 2017				
		Deadline for Department response to questions	Friday, February 17, 2017				
		SOQ submission	Q submission Friday, February 24, 2017				
		Notification to Offerors of short-listing	Thursday, March 30, 2017				
15 Weeks		Short-listed Teams must respond to advancing	Tuesday, April 4, 2017				
		Pre-RFP Meeting	TBD				
		Begin Advertise (RFP Issued)	egin Advertise (RFP Issued) Thursday, May 4, 2017 Proprietary Technical Information Meeting Tuesday, May 23, 2017	3	19 Days		
		1 st Proprietary Technical Information Meeting					
		nd Proprietary Technical Information Meeting Thursday, June 15, 2017					
		3 rd Proprietary Technical Information Meeting	^d Proprietary Technical Information Meeting Thursday, July 6, 2017				
	\prec	Deadline for submittal of ATCs	Thursday, July 13, 2017	_			
		Last day for the Department to respond to ATC	Thursday, July 20, 2017				
		Last day to submit questions/comments on RFP	Thursday, July 20, 2017				
		Last recommended day for RFP Addendum	Thursday, July 27, 2017				
	J	Technical and Price Proposals Due	Thursday, August 17, 2017				
		Bids Opened	Opened Thursday, August 31, 2017				
		Anticipated Award Date	Monday, September 11, 2017				

Part B - Project Understanding and Approach (30 points max)		Section Rankings (out of 10)				Proposal Point Breakdown								
Section No.	Section No. Section Score Weight (out of 30)													
	Managing risks specific to the Project during			_	_	_		_						
	procurement and after Award													
	Approach to ensure timely initiation of design and	-								2.0				
1	physical project construction	5	9.0	6.0	6.5	7.0	7.0	6.5	4.5	3.0	3.3	3.5	3.5	3.5
	Approach to monitor the quality of the Work to ensure													
	high quality													
2-	Risk: Construction access for equipment and material	-		6.0	7.0	7.5		7.0	2.0	1.0	2.1	2.2	2.0	2.1
28	deliveries	3	0.5	6.0	7.0	7.5	0.5	7.0	2.0	1.0	2.1	2.5	2.0	2.1
2b	Risk: High level deck replacement of existing bridges	5	7.0	6.0	7.0	8.5	7.0	7.5	3.5	3.0	3.5	4.3	3.5	3.8
25	Risk: High level superstructure construction of new	F	7.0	4.5	7.0	7.5	6.6	7.0	2.5	2.2	2 6		2.2	2 5
20	bridges	3	7.0	4.5	7.0	7.5	0.5	7.0	5.5	2.5	5.5	5.0	5.5	5.5
24	Risk: Bridge construction with no adverse effect to the	F	7.0	7.0		7.0	6.0	7 5	2.5	2 5	4.0	2 5	2.0	
20	existing structural steel		7.0	7.0	0.0	7.0	0.0	1.5	5.5	5.5	4.0	5.5	5.0	5.0
2e	Risk: Ability of the IQF to ensure quality of the work.	3	7.0	8.0	7.5	7.0	7.0	7.0	2.1	2.4	2.3	2.1	2.1	2.1
	Risk: Applicability of the identified one additional													
2f	significant task & Approach to address the additional	4	6.5	6.0	8.5	7.5	6.5	7.0	2.6	2.4	3.4	3.0	2.6	2.8
	identified task													
		30				Part B T	otal (ou	t of 30)	22.25	18.35	22.00	22.35	19.90	21.25
						No	malized	l to 30:	29	24	29	30	26	28
Part C - Desi	gn Build Project Team (40 points max)		Section Rankings (out of 10)				Propo	sal Poir	nt Break	down	_			
Section No.	Section	Score Weight (out of 40)												
1	Organizational Chart	2	8.0	9.0	9.0	9.0	8.5	8.5	1.6	1.8	1.8	1.8	1.7	1.7
2	Experience of Firms	1	9.5	8.5	10.0	9.5	9.0	10.0	1.0	0.9	1.0	1.0	0.9	1.0
3a	DB Project Manager	6	8.0	5.5	6.5	9.0	9.0	7.5	4.8	3.3	3.9	5.4	5.4	4.5
Зb	DBT Design Project Manager	4	9.5	8.0	9.0	8.5	9.5	8.0	3.8	3.2	3.6	3.4	3.8	3.2
Bc	DBT Construction Manager	6	5.5	5.0	7.5	9.0	8.0	9.5	3.3	3.0	4.5	5.4	4.8	5.7
3d	DBT Construction QC Manager	3	8.0	7.0	6.5	7.0	9.5	8.0	2.4	2.1	2.0	2.1	2.9	2.4
Зe	DBT Design QC Manager	2	7.5	7.5	7.5	8.5	7.5	8.0	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.7	1.5	1.6
Bf	DBT Structural Lead (Design)	5	9.5	9.0	9.0	8.0	9.0	9.0	4.8	4.5	4.5	4.0	4.5	4.5
Зg	DBT Structural Lead/Superintendent (Construction)	4	7.5	7.0	7.0	9.0	9.0	9.0	3.0	2.8	2.8	3.6	3.6	3.6
Bh	Independent Design Quality Manager (IDQM)	3	7.5	8.5	8.5	8.5	7.5	9.0	2.3	2.6	2.6	2.6	2.3	2.7
3i	Independent Highway Lead (Design)	1	8.5	7.5	7.5	7.5	7.5	7.5	0.9	0.8	0.8	0.8	0.8	0.8
3j	Independent Structural Lead (Design)	3	8.0	6.0	5.0	8.0	8.0	9.0	2.4	1.8	1.5	2.4	2.4	2.7
		40				Part C T	otal (ou	t of 40)	31.60	28.15	30.35	34.05	34.45	34.35
						No	malized	i to 40:	36	32	35	39	40	39
Part D - Desi	gn Build Capabilities and Experience (30 points max)			0.11	2 1	. (110			2	10		1	
Section No.	Section	Score Weight (out of 30)												
1	Resources	5	7.5	8.5	7.5	7.0	7.0	8.5	3.8	4.3	3.8	3.5	3.5	4.3
2	Project Management Methodologies	10	8.5	7.5	8.5	8.5	9.0	7.5	8.5	7.5	8.5	8.5	9.0	7.5
3	Past Projects	15	8.5	7.0	6.5	9.5	9.0	9.0	12.8	10.5	9.8	14.3	13.5	13.5
	30		Part		Part D T	art D Total (out of 30)		25.00	22.25	22.00	26.25	26.00	25.25	
						No	malized	l to 30:	28	25	25	30	29	28
				Raw Or	verall S	OQ Scor	es(out o	of 100):	78.85	68.75	74.35	82.65	80.35	80.85
			Norma	lized O	verall S	OQ Scor	es(out o	of 100):	93	81	89	99	95	95

PTI Discussions

Alternative Technical Concept Discussions

PTI Meeting #2

PTI Meeting #2

PTI Meeting #2

Tech Proposal – Team A

Tech Proposal – Team B

Tech Proposal – Walsh Construction Company

40 | March 2021 ABCD Presentation - ODOT Office of Alternative Project Delivery

OHIO DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

IR 480 VALLEY VIEW PROCUREMENT - LESSONS LEARNED

	Milestone	Date	
	Advertise RFQ	Friday, October 20, 2017	
	Recommended Deadline to submit questions	Friday, November 10, 2017	
4 Weeks	Recommended Deadline for Department response to questions	Friday, November 17, 2017	
	SOQ submission Wednesday, November 22, 2017		
	Notification to Offerors of short-listing	Tuesday, January 16, 2018	
	Short-listed Teams must respond to advancing	Monday, January 22, 2018	
	Begin Advertise (RFP Issued)	Monday, January 29, 2018	
	1 st ATC Discussion	Tuesday, February 27, 2018	19 Days
	2 nd ATC Discussion	Monday, March 19, 2018	29 Days
	Deadline for submittal of ATCs	Friday, April 13, 2018	
15 Weeks	Last day for the Department to respond to ATCs	Friday, April 27, 2018	
18 Weeks	Proprietary Technical Information Discussion	Thursday, May 10, 2018	
	Last recommended day for RFP Addendum	Friday, May 18, 2018	
	Cut-off for Prebid questions	Thursday, June 1, 2018	
	Technical and Price Proposals Due	Friday, June 8, 2018	
	Bids Opened (Ellis Sale Date)	Thursday, June 28, 2018	
	Anticipated Award Date	Monday, July 09, 2018	

IR 480 VALLEY VIEW PROCUREMENT - LESSONS LEARNED

<u>RFQ</u>

- 45 Pages vs. 55 Pages
- Project Team Evaluations
 - DB Project Manager, DBT Design Project Manager, DBT Construction Project Manager, DBT Structural Lead (Design), DBT Structural Lead (Construction), DBT MOT Lead
 - 6 vs. 10

General Feedback

- Early Procurement Industry Meetings
 - Scope Development, Proposal Requirements, Stipend Values, etc.

• Early RFP Meetings

- One on One
- General ATC and Tech Proposal requirements

QUESTIONS

QUESTIONS

QUESTIONS

