



Additional Research

<p>https://academic.oup.com/jid/article/doi/10.1093/infdis/jiaa189/5820886</p>	<p>We found that the evidence base for current guidelines is sparse, and the available data do not support the 1- to 2-meter ($\approx 3\text{--}6$ feet) rule of spatial separation. Of 10 studies on horizontal droplet distance, 8 showed droplets travel more than 2 meters (≈ 6 feet), in some cases up to 8 meters (≈ 26 feet). Several studies of severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) support aerosol transmission, and 1 study documented virus at a distance of 4 meters (≈ 13 feet) from the patient. Moreover, evidence suggests that infections cannot neatly be separated into the dichotomy of droplet versus airborne transmission routes. Available studies also show that SARS-CoV-2 can be detected in the air, and remain viable 3 hours after aerosolization.</p>
<p>https://www.folkhalsomyndigheten.se/contentassets/c1b78bffbde4a7899eb0d8ffdb57b09/covid-19-school-aged-children.pdf?fbclid=IwAROKhgdTP1WvVSqgJ2Q-BbDyLUst3ylzsvpFy7dduT400x6N8e1bttdngaM</p>	<p>CONCLUSION: Closure or not of schools had no measurable direct impact on the number of laboratory confirmed cases in school-aged children in Finland or Sweden. The negative effects of closing schools must be weighed against the positive indirect effects it might have on the mitigation of the covid-19 pandemic.</p>
<p>https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC7219423</p>	<p>Conclusion: In summary, all the 455 contacts were excluded from SARS-CoV-2 infection and we conclude that the infectivity of some asymptomatic SARS-CoV-2 carriers might be weak.</p>
<p>https://wwwnc.cdc.gov/eid/article/26/7/20-0885_article</p>	<p>This study led to 3 conclusions. First, SARS-CoV-2 was widely distributed in the air and on object surfaces in both the ICU and GW, implying a potentially high infection risk for medical staff and other close contacts. Second, the environmental contamination was greater in the ICU than in the GW; thus, stricter protective measures should be taken by medical staff working in the ICU. Third, the SARS-CoV-2 aerosol distribution characteristics in the ICU indicate that the transmission distance of SARS-CoV-2 might be 4 m.</p>
<p>https://www.canada.ca/en/public-health/services/diseases/2019-novel-coronavirus.html</p>	<p>These types of masks may not be effective in blocking virus particles that may be transmitted by coughing, sneezing or</p>



<p>navirus-infection/prevention-risks/about-non-medical-masks-face-coverings.html</p>	<p>certain medical procedures. They do not provide complete protection from virus particles because of a potential loose fit and the materials used.</p> <p>Masks with exhalation valves are not recommended, because they don't protect others from COVID-19 and don't limit the spread of the virus.</p>
<p>https://www.ontario.ca/page/face-coverings-and-face-masks</p>	<p>Face coverings will not protect you from getting COVID-19.</p>
<p>https://bmcpulmed.biomedcentral.com/articles/10.1186/1471-2466-12-11</p>	<p>Conclusions: We have developed a standard human cough aerosol model. We have quantitatively characterized the pattern, size, and number of droplets present in the most important mode of person-to-person transmission of IRD: the cough bioaerosol. Small size droplets (< 1 µm) predominated the total number of droplets expelled when coughing. The cough aerosol is the single source of direct, indirect and/or airborne transmission of respiratory infections like the Influenza A H1N1 virus.</p>
<p>http://www.bccdc.ca/health-info/diseases-conditions/covid-19/prevention-risks/masks?fbclid=IwAR3u-8Kd53Ch9OgqQFwL5Be4IZPg-5rqB0zy2CcZBTEYp1njwtn4XI423hE</p>	<p>Facemasks can be worn to help protect those around you and should be worn by people who are sick.</p> <p>If you are healthy, wearing a non-medical or cloth mask or face covering is a matter of personal choice and it might help to protect others.</p>