

Welcome & Ground Rules

Poll #1

- Co-Presenters
 - St. Cloud: Jodi Kuznia, Director of Research Development
 Megan Robillard, Associate Director of Research Development
 - Mankato: Kristel Seth, Director of Research and Sponsored Programs
 - Winona: Brett Ayers, Director of Grants & Sponsored Projects Katie Subra, Grants Specialist
 - Bemidji: Jenna Trisko, Grants Specialist
- Add your institution to your display name in Zoom
- Interactive Format
 - Ask questions as they arise. We will use breakout rooms for final Q/A.
 - · Authentic examples, Useful infographic, and polls

Learning Objectives

- Understand the proposal review process
- How to prepare for a resubmission
- Know what you are accepting: notice of award
- Components of successful grant administration

- Understand the proposal review process
- How to prepare for a resubmission
- Know what you are accepting: notice of award
- Components of successful grant administration



Proposal Review Process: Who, When, How

- Varies by type of source, and then also by agency
 - Check the Request for Proposals, or website, for guidance (timelines)
- · Foundations, private funding
 - Pre-proposals are common
 - · Committee reviews, board of directors
- State agencies
 - · Staff reviewed
 - Can have a legislative committee process, i.e. Environment and Natural Resources Trust Fund
- Federal
 - Differs by agency, i.e. NIH has two levels of peer review, NEH has a panel of 4-5 reviewers

- Understand the proposal review process
- How to prepare for a resubmission
- Know what you are accepting: notice of award
- Components of successful grant administration



Resubmission: After the Review

- Read the summary statement
 - Then re-read it (and don't take it personally!)
 - Consider how to share with project team
- Contact the Program Officer and be prepared to discuss
 - What the reviewers said about your application
 - Scores, evaluation rankings
 - The likelihood of funding
 - The prospects of a revised application
- Listen to the advice from the Program Officer about options

Resubmission: Not Funded, Try Again

- You are in good company
 - High quality submissions, but limited resources
- Know your options
 - Next (realistic) deadline
 - Limited resubmissions, i.e. NIH
- Get advice, regroup
 - Assess best path for funding, different program or agency even
- Keep in contact with the funding agency, Program Official

Resubmission: Common Proposal Problems

- · Lack of or weak impact
 - Lacks knowledge about best practices
 - · Absence of data in need statement
 - Significance not obvious or weak
- Too ambitious, lacking focus, too many unrelated aims
- Unclear hypothesis or rationale, approach flawed, feasibility unsupported
- PI lacks a track record or appropriate expertise
 - · Position yourself to be a successful grant writer

Reminder: Position yourself to be a Successful Grant Writer

Define your scholarly portfolio (cutting-edge/innovative ideas)

- Actively contribute to your discipline
 Publications (first author or co-
- 1b. Present at local, regional and national conferences
- 2. Get involved with professional societies
- 3. Consider opportunities to integrate scholarly activity, publishing and teaching

Demonstrate you can get work done (creditability)

- 1. Define short-term and long-term scholarly agendas, and outline the roadmap used from project to project
- 2. Implement working relationships with populations/communities associated with your work
- 3. Identify opportunities to serve as a Co-PI or Senior Personnel for other grant-funded projects to build your CV/creditability

Become an effective grant-writer

- 1. Clearly define the problem you will solve and clearly define steps that will be implemented to solve it
- 2. Assemble the team and build the implementation plan articulating expertise within the team
- 3. Research and understand the funding agency you plan to pursue volunteer to be a grant-reviewer prior to your own grant submission

Resubmission: Common Proposal Problems

- Doesn't adequately leverage institutional resources
- No sustainability plan
- Evaluation plan is weak or absent
- Doesn't address external needs or challenges that may affect the chances of success
- Budget expenditures are not justified
- · Poor writing, too many errors
 - Information is missing or incomplete

Resubmission: How to be Outstanding

- Strong significance to an important problem
 - Clear rationale, focused approach, definitive results
 - Relevant and supportive preliminary data
- High degree of innovation
- Strong track record by a well qualified applicant with relevant publications
- Detailed budget, compelling justification linked to project goals
- Careful attention to details
 - Don't make the reviewers work extra to evaluate
 - Prescribed formats, common language
 - · Spelling, punctuation, grammar, fonts

Resubmission: Revise and Resubmit

- Address all criticisms thoroughly
- Respond constructively
- · Acknowledge and accept the help of reviewer comments
 - Can you address the reviewers' concerns?
 - Can you clarify things that were misunderstood?
 - Are there conceptual problems that you can fix?
- Don't be argumentative, abrasive, or sarcastic
 - Know that the panel changes from submission to submission
- · Resubmission is an opportunity to improve the entire application
 - And you should start this early!

- Understand the proposal review process
- How to prepare for a resubmission
- Know what you are accepting: notice of award
- Components of successful grant administration

Award Administration: Notice of Award

- Legally binding document
- Identifies: grant number, recipient, PI, funding level, project period
- Sets forth terms and conditions
 - Understand overarching policies and regulations
 - Special consideration for the terms of award
- Signature/acceptance is done at the University level, i.e. authorizing signatory
 - This can be indicated by drawing down funds, i.e. NIH, NSF

- Understand the proposal review process
- How to prepare for a resubmission
- Know what you are accepting: notice of award
- Components of successful grant administration

Award Administration: Keys to Success

- Understand the grant agreement requirements, award terms & conditions (re-read the Funding Opportunity Announcement)
 - Confirm budget amounts, project dates
 - Identify restrictions, i.e. doesn't support tuition assistance
- Focus on the objectives of the project
- · Document procedures in writing
 - i.e. participant selection, scholarship process
- Review expenditures frequently: allowable, allocable, reasonable
- Keep records of conversations and approvals with the funding agency

Award Administration: Award Delays

- Late submission of annual/progress reports
 - Inadequate description of progress, inadequate plans for future year
- Out-of-date IRB or IACUC approvals
- Budgets/unobligated balances with insufficient justification
 - Carry forward may require approval, make sure to follow timelines and request requirements

Award Administration: Monitoring will Happen

- The funding agency will use a combination of monitoring activities
 - Drawdown screening, grants & agreement monitoring, transaction testing
- This will identify awardees for advanced monitoring
 - · i.e. NSF does a risk assessment annually
- Focus on administration practices in need of business assistance
 - Desk Reviews review accounting and financial management policies and procedures, obtain financial information submitted by awardees
 - Site Visits selected as higher risk, can be conducted on-site or virtually

Award Administration: Prior Approval

Follow agency guidance for requests

- Submit in writing, or via email
 - Include necessary grant information
 - Further actions may be needed, i.e. progress reports, updated personnel documents, remaining funds, updated facilities and resources
- Authorized on behalf of the institution by the AOR
- Timeline for submitting, i.e. 30 days in advance
- Only official approvals are official
 - I.e. signed by grants office, system approved requests
 - Conversations with the Program Officer is a first step, but not the final
- Follow institutional policies as well

Award Administration: Prior Approval Examples

- Cost-related changes that result in a change of scope
- Transfer of substantial work that results in change of scope, or is to a 3rd party foreign component
- Deviation of award terms and conditions
- Pre-award costs incurred more than 90 days prior
- Change in scope
- Change in personnel: PI or Key Personnel status change
- Change of grantee organization
- Extension of 12+ months, or second year no-cost extension

Award Administration: Close-Out Timeline - Confirm progress of - Remove personnel **Submit final reports: End Date of Award** 90-120 Days after End Date work - Terminate subcontracts - Final Research **Performance Progress** - Review personnel on - Perform final Report reconciliation - Review expense - Subaward Reports - Review final cost share allowability as required by grant - Final Invention - Request no-cost Statement - Resolve unallowable extension as needed costs or overspending as - Property Report needed - Final Federal Financial Report

Award Administration: Close-Out Considerations

- Have regular communication with RSP/RASP, grants accountant
- Create a final plan of spending
 - Review all outstanding commitments, encumbrances
 - · Confirm all final costs can be easily verified
 - Review all equipment purchased by grant to understand ownership

Award Administration: Close-Out Considerations cont.

- Review personnel and tuition paid by the grant
 - Make sure all appointments end at the grant end date
 - Ensure all time and effort reports are complete
- Terminate all subcontracts
 - Verify final invoice has been received, or will be within 30 days
- Perform final reconciliation of the award
 - Make sure questions related to the expenditures have been resolved
 - That cost share, as required, is documented

Award Administration: A Few Things to Remember

- Submit timely reports and requests
 - This can affect future funding!
- Be thorough in your explanation of balances
- Stay on top of compliance requirements
 - Public Access, Financial Conflict of Interest, IRB/IUCAC
- Make sure to follow the institution regulations & policies
- Don't hesitate to contact RSP/RASP, the funding agency, **Program Officer**

Wrap-up / Closing Thoughts & Questions / Survey

Contact us as soon as you get the funding notification; we can help!

- **BSU Grants Office** jenna.trisko@bemidjistate.edu
- MSU Research & Sponsored Programs 507-389-5275 or RASP@mnsu.edu
- SCSU Research & Sponsored Programs 320-308-4932 or ResearchNow@stcloudstate.edu
- WSU Grants & Sponsored Projects 507-457-5519 or grants@winona.edu

Pop-up 4.1 - Mar 26 @ 11:45 am

· Panel Discussion: Grant review

Pop-up 4.2 - Mar 26 @ 12:30 pm

Mock Panel: You be the reviewer!









