

Oakwood, Ohio
December 6, 2023

The Planning Commission of the city of Oakwood met in a regular session at 4:30 p.m. in the council chambers of the city of Oakwood, 30 Park Avenue, Oakwood, Ohio 45419.

The Chair, Mr. Andy Aidt, presided and the Clerk, Ms. Lori Stacel, recorded.

Upon call of the roll, the following members responded to their names:

- MR. ANDREW AIDTPRESENT
- MRS. HARRISON GOWDYPRESENT
- MRS. E. HEALY JACKSONPRESENT
- MR. GREG LAUTERBACH.....ABSENT
- MR. STEVE BYINGTONABSENT

Officers of the city present were the following:

- Mr. Robert F. Jacques, City Attorney
- Mr. Ethan M. Kroger, Planning & Zoning Manager
- Ms. Lori Stacel, Clerk of Council

The following visitors were present:

- Anne Hilton, 900 Harman Avenue

Mr. Aidt called the meeting to order at 4:30 p.m. He shared that Mr. Byington and Mr. Lauterbach were unable to attend the meeting and asked to be excused. It was moved by Mr. Aidt and seconded by Mrs. Gowdy that both absences be excused; the motion was approved by viva voce vote.

Mr. Aidt asked if there were any questions or concerns with the minutes from the July 5, 2023 meeting. There being none, it was moved by Mrs. Gowdy and seconded by Mr. Aidt that the minutes be approved as submitted and the reading thereof be dispensed with at this session. Upon a viva voce vote on the question of the motion, the same passed unanimously and it was so ordered.

Mr. Aidt explained that two applications are being presented requesting lot consolidations. He then asked Planning & Zoning Manager Ethan Kroger to provide the staff report.

Mr. Kroger shared that a public hearing is not required for these agenda items; therefore, no legal notice was required in advance. The Planning Commission’s approval is requested as an administrative formality as a requirement under the Subdivision Code. He explained that a lot consolidation increases the amount of usable buildable space and greenspace, so future building/zoning permit approval may also not require a public hearing if the project meets all greenspace and setback requirements.

Application #23-4, Mr. Kroger explained that this application is to review a subdivision (lot consolidation) of 349 E. Schantz Avenue. The property owner desires to renovate a deck that currently extends across property lines. The lot consolidation eliminates the internal property lines and increases the amount of usable space for future projects.

The Planning Commission did not have any questions or concerns.

Therefore, it was moved by Mrs. Gowdy and seconded by Mrs. Jackson that Application #23-4, a lot consolidation of 349 E. Schantz Avenue be approved.

Upon call of the roll on the question of the motion, the following vote was recorded:

MR. ANDREW AIDT YEA
MRS. HARRISON GOWDY YEA
MRS. E. HEALY JACKSON YEA

There being three (3) YEA votes, thereon, said motion carried.

Application #23-5, Mr. Kroger explained that this application is to review a subdivision (lot consolidation) of 810 E. Schantz Avenue. The property owner is simply interested in consolidating lots to receive one tax bill instead of three.

The Planning Commission did not have any questions or concerns.

Therefore, it was moved by Mrs. Gowdy and seconded by Mrs. Jackson that Application #23-5, a lot consolidation of 810 E. Schantz Avenue be approved.

Upon call of the roll on the question of the motion, the following vote was recorded:

MR. ANDREW AIDT YEA
MRS. HARRISON GOWDY YEA
MRS. E. HEALY JACKSON YEA

There being three (3) YEA votes, thereon, said motion carried.

There being no further business, the Planning Commission adjourned. The public meeting concluded at 4:39 p.m.


CHAIR

ATTEST:


CLERK