1 **Charter Township of Kalamazoo** 2 **Minutes of a Planning Commission Meeting** 3 Held on August 6, 2020 4 5 A regular meeting of the Kalamazoo Charter Township Planning Commission was conducted on August 6, 6 2020, commencing at 7:00 p.m., via Zoom remote teleconference pursuant to Michigan Governor's Order 7 2020-154 in light of the coronavirus outbreaks. 8 9 Present were: 10 William Chapman 11 Denise Hartsough 12 Christopher Mihelich 13 Fred Nagler, Chairman 14 Warren Cook 15 16 **Absent was:** 17 None. 18 Also present were Township Planner Patrick Hudson, Township Manager Dexter Mitchell, Township 19 20 Attorney Roxanne Seeber; and approximately 14 additional interested persons were present 21 electronically. 22 23 **Call to Order** 24 25 The Chairman called the meeting to order at 7:00 p.m. Due to Executive Orders issued by the Governor 26 relating to the COVID-19 pandemic, this regular Planning Commission meeting was held electronically via 27 Zoom and properly noticed so that any interested party could attend and participate. 28 29 **Roll Call and Recognition of Visitors** 30 31 Nagler welcomed those in attendance. 32 Approval of the Agenda for the August 6, 2020 Planning Commission Meeting 33 34 35 The first item on the agenda was approval of the agenda for the August 6, 2020 regular Planning 36 Commission meeting. The Commissioners received the revised meeting agenda in their packets. 37 38 Upon motion of Cook, supported by Chapman, and unanimous vote, the agenda was approved as 39 amended. 40 41 Approval of Meeting Minutes of the May 7, 2020 Planning Commission Meeting Minutes and the July 42 2, 2020 Planning Commission Meeting Minutes 43 44 The next item on the agenda was approval of the May 7, 2020 regular Planning Commission meeting 45 minutes. Copies of the draft meeting minutes were provided to the Commissioners in their agenda 46 packets. 47

Several Commissioners recommended revisions to the proposed May 7, 2020 regular Planning Commission meeting minutes. Seeber hand wrote the changes onto the draft minutes.

Upon <u>motion</u> of Cook, <u>supported</u> by Mihelich, and <u>unanimous vote</u>, the minutes of the May 7, 2020 regular Planning Commission meeting were approved as revised. Seeber signed the minutes on behalf of Mihelich and indicated that she would email the approved minutes to Hudson.

The next item on the agenda was approval of the July 2, 2020 Planning Commission regular meeting. Copies of the draft meeting minutes were provided to the Commissioners in their agenda packets. Several Commissioners recommended revisions to the draft July 2, 2020 regular Planning Commission meeting minutes. Seeber handwrote the changes onto the draft minutes.

Upon <u>motion</u> of Cook, <u>supported</u> by Hartsough, and <u>unanimous vote</u>, the minutes of the July 2, 2020 regular Planning Commission meeting were approved as revised. Seeber signed the minutes on behalf of Mihelich and indicated that she would email the approved minutes to Hudson.

Scheduled Reviews—gravel mines and concrete crushing

Aggregate Resources—4274 Ravine Road.

Hudson noted that the site is well-maintained. The bond is set to expire on November 30, 2020. The liability insurance is set to expire on September 1, 2020. The Soil Erosion Permit expires on April 19, 2021. He commented that the site for concrete crushing was well-placed and that no complaints had been received. Inspection fee was paid.

Phil Cole (1500 River Street, Kalamazoo) from Aggregate Resources spoke. He spoke on the business operations of the last year.

Upon <u>motion</u> of Chapman, <u>supported</u> by Cook, and <u>unanimous</u> vote, the Aggregate Resources Concrete Crushing Permit for 4274 Ravine Road was extended for another year

Stoneco—3800 Ravine Road.

Hudson noted that the site was well-maintained. The bond has an indefinite term commencing on June 11, 2020. The liability insurance certificate for the property expired on September 1, 2019. The Soil Erosion permit expired on July 27, 2020. The inspection fee was paid. No complaints had been received.

Tony Halloran, from Stoneco, spoke. He spoke on the business operations of the last year.

Upon <u>motion</u> of Mihelich, <u>supported</u> by Cook, and <u>unanimous</u> vote, the Stoneco permit for 3800 Ravine Road was extended for one year, conditioned upon receipt of new soil erosion permit and liability insurance certificate by zoning administrator on or before August 15, 2020.

Lounsbury Excavating—1800 Ravine Road.

Hudson noted that this property is a portion of the former Consumers Concrete site. Half of it was sold to Kalamazoo Public Schools and is used for the bus garage. The bond expires in 2021. The liability insurance expires in December 2021. The soil erosion permit had expired on May 30, 2020. Hudson's

concern was that when the Kalamazoo Public Schools purchased half of the lot, a lot of the required vegetation was removed. The Commissionersagreed that they would later discuss the situation more in new business.

Mike Hiestand, President of Lounsbury Excavating, spoke. He mentioned that their work at the site has been mostly clean up. He also mentioned that Lounsbury has done most of the site work for the Kalamazoo Public Schools over the last year and that they will be putting in the proper vegetation to be in compliance with the site plan. Hiestand said that the company is excited to be in the township because of all of the work they are doing in Kalamazoo County.

Hartsough asked about the Earth Change permit and if it is in process. Hiestand said yes it is in process; there was an error since it was their first time filling it out and a revision had to be submitted. Hiestand expects to see it next week. Hudson asked that it be emailed to him.

Chapman asked if any buildings will be going up on their property. Hiestand said there will not be any going up on their property that is behind Kalamazoo Public Schools. Hiestand mentioned that Jeff Paulson, architect for Lounsbury, was also present for questions.

Upon <u>motion</u> of Cook, <u>supported</u> by Hartsough and <u>unanimous vote</u>, the Lounsbury Excavating Permit for 1800 Ravine Road was extended for one year.

Peters Construction—N-P Construction—720 Mosel Ave.

Hudson read his report, indicating that the bond, liability insurance, and soil erosion permit had all expired. The inspection fee was due. He had received a complaint about wood burning. He noted that the site had not been inspected since 2014. There are spoil piles approximately 45 feet in height located thereon. Additionally, they are recycling iron from concrete crushing.

Mark Howard, President of Peters Construction and N-P Construction, spoke. He apologized for the oversite of not paying the inspection fee and that he will take care of that soon. He also mentioned that no mining has taken place there. They crush concrete at the site for their personal jobs and they contract out that crushing, they do not have their own equipment for that on the site.

Upon <u>motion</u> of Mihelich, <u>supported</u> by Chapman and <u>unanimous vote</u>, the permit for 720 Mosel Avenue, contingent on the inspection fee being received by the Township Planner, was extended for one year.

Public Hearings

6a. 937 Foster---expansion of site—recreational and medical marijuana.

The first public hearing on the agenda was the request of Cross Country Enterprises, LLC (Operator) and Robert Sienko, (owner) for special exception use and site plan approval for a proposed parking lot on the property addressed as 939 Foster (Parcel NO 05-24-285-00) to service the adult use marijuana retailer and medical marijuana provisioning center special use located at 937 Foster (Parcel NO. 06-24-285-180). Standards for additional off-street parking are contained in Section 4.01.4 of the Township Zoning Ordinance. The property is located in the I-1 "Light Industrial" District Zoning Classification. Standards for site plan review and approval are contained in Section 26.02 of the Township's Zoning Ordinance.

Hudson read through his report. He stated that there is an existing medical/recreational marijuana provisioning center/retailer on a 29,403 square foot parcel which was approved in 2018. The proposed improvement to the site was the addition of a parking lot to the east; and the reconfiguration of the driveway. Hudson stated that the surrounding properties on the east and south are zoned I-2 General Industrial. The properties to the west of the proposed parking lot are zoned C-2 "Commercial Corridor". However, the property immediately to the east is occupied by a lawfully nonconforming occupied residence. Properties to the north across Lake Street are zoned C-2 and are either vacant flood plain and/or occupied by commercial uses.

Hudson stated that the applicants wished to incorporate the retail adult use marijuana retailer into the existing 2,676 square foot commercial building which was already located on the property. He gave the dimensions of the property and the lot coverage percentages, noting that all setbacks and lot coverage parameters were met. Eleven parking spaces were required, based on 3 employees and the usable floor area. The reconfigured site has 29 spaces, and 24 more available in the overflow lot.

Hudson stated that the request was for an additional parking lot. There are a total of 52 parking spots already available to serve the site, including the overflow lot across Foster Avenue. He stated that the fire standards site plan ordinance requires a 26' wide lane for emergency access, which could be provided by removing the eastern-most parking space in front of the building. He furthermore noted lines on the site plan that may indicate that proposed fencing would interfere with emergency access.

Hudson indicated that the parking lot must be landscaped because it has more than 18 parking spaces. Considering the landscaping adjacent to road requirements, Hudson indicated that one more shade tree or evergreen and 25 more shrubs were required along McCormick. Additional landscaping is required along the McCormick adjacent residential use, he said.

Hudson continued along through his report. He commented that all special use standards had been met; with the possible exception of amending the insurance for the new use.

Hudson next considered the site plan standards. He noted that all site plan standards had been met and were previously-approved. He referred the group to Section 4.01.A. of the Zoning Ordinance which indicates that the applicant must establish sufficient justification for the requested additional parking.

Hudson recommended that if the request were granted, that at least one parking space in front of the building be required to be removed in order to provide the 26' wide emergency access; that the Planning Commission be satisfied that excess parking was justified; addition of trees/hedges along the adjacent residential use; and the amendment of liability insurance, if required.

Properties to the west are vacant or back up to BL-131. Property immediately to the north is vacant and properties to the east are either used for a trucking yard or are undeveloped. Hudson noted that all setbacks are existing and that some are lawfully non-conforming as to setbacks. The maximum lot coverage permitted is 75%, while the buildings take up 28.9% of the parcel and are not expected to expand in size as a result of this application.

Nagler asked Hudson if the public hearing is for a special use. Hudson said yes, it is an expansion of the special use to the next lot. Then there will be a site plan review.

Jason Blaauw, from Chevalier Holdings, spoke. He spoke on how this expansion design will help with curbside pick up and the flow through the parking lot.

Cook asked about the expansion of the parking lot and how the retention ponds will be affected by snow removal. Blaauw answered that they will not be. The current snow removal plan is to push the snow in the southwest corner of the lot, towards the detention area, near Foster Street. Cook then clarified that this snow removal would benefit McCormick Street too and Blaauw said it will.

Hartsough then clarified that they are currently talking about the special use extension, by the addition of a parking lot off-site in another location.

Nagler asked about timing regarding the construction of the parking lots. Blaauw answered that they would build this lot first, and then do the other previously approved lot. Seeber indicated that if they never got to the first approved lot, then at some point the approval for it would expire, depending on the time limit in the zoning ordinance for commencement of construction of the special use.

The chairman opened a public hearing on the request at 7:41PM. No one spoke for or against it. The chairman closed the public hearing 7:42PM.

Hartsough made a <u>motion</u> to approve extending the special use to the additional purchased lot. Cook seconded the motion.

Mihelich asked since Chevalier Holdings was interested in signing the maintenance agreement for McCormick Street if the Planning Commission could make that a condition.

Hartsough withdrew her motion.

Mihelich made a <u>motion</u> to approve extending the special use to the additional purchased lot with the condition that Chevalier Holdings sign a maintenance agreement for McCormick Street. Nagler <u>seconded</u> the motion. Unanimous vote.

The Planning Commission next considered the request for site plan approval.

Chapman and Nagler asked if the same road cross intersection will be put in. Blaauw said yes they are and it will extend to the end of their property.

Cook asked if it was also going to be curbed. Nagler said that the plan does show that. Mihelich asked if the Fire Marshal wanted a section of the curb removed. Hudson said yes and that it was the little curbs in front of the building. Blaauw mentioned that they submitted plans today that did expand that area.

Hartsough asked if the revised site plan had more landscaping. Blaauw said that it did not, but they will revise it to include what the Board wants. Cook asked if they were to make that a condition if Hudson would handle that administratively.

Cook wanted to clarify if the revised plan included one less parking spot. Hudson and Blaauw both answered yes.

Chapman asked if the parking lot is ADA compliant. Blaauw said yes it is; there are two ADA parking spaces closest to the building.

Cook asked about the liability insurance. Hudson said the insurance just needs to be amended to include the new lot.

The board had questions regarding if they can make any conditions on the original motion and stipulate how the lots are built. Seeber said no they cannot make any conditions like that, but also mentioned that the original special use approval will expire naturally if they do not use it.

Upon <u>motion</u> of Cook, <u>supported</u> by Mihelich and <u>unanimous vote</u>, the site plan was approved with the conditions that additional landscaping be included in the site plan, a copy of the maintenance agreement for McCormick Street be submitted to the Township, an updated site plan be submitted to the Township Planner showing the removal of the one parking space as requested by the Fire Marshal, and that updated liability insurance including the additional lot be provided to the Township.

6b. Third Coast Development, LLC—lumber yard at 3801-3850 Lake Street.

The next public hearing was the request of Sami Investments LLC / Third Coast Development LLC (owner) and Max Bendedict (applicant) for special exception use and site plan regarding a proposed lumber yard with expanded outdoor storage at 3801 and 3825 Lake Street (Paracel Nos. 06-24-280-050; 06-24-280-041). The property is approximately 16.3 acres in area and is located in the C-2 District Zoning Classification. Standards for consideration of a lumber yard special use are contained in Section 18.02 B.12 of the Township Zoning Ordinance. Standards for site plan review and approval are contained in Section 26.02 of the Township Zoning Ordinance.

This is a vacant property formerly occupied by an auto dealership. Site is 713,513 sq.ft. (16.4 acres) and is a corner lot at the southeast corner of Lake Street and Sprinkle Road. The property has 560' frontage on Lake and 770'+/- frontage on Sprinkle. Besides converting the existing buildings for use as lumber sales and offices the proposal is to construct 480 feet of storage sheds along the lot lines fronting on Sprinkle and the river.

The property to the east across Sprinkle is in Comstock Township, is zoned B-2 Community Business and is occupied by a restaurant; the property to the west is zoned C-2 and is largely vacant with the front occupied by a retail business. The property south across Lake Street is zoned I-1 Light Industrial and is occupied by a used car dealership; the properties across the Kalamazoo River to the north are zoned I-2 and are vacant properties in the Flood Plain.

Hudson said that part of his concern is the storage sheds that would be along Sprinkle Road and the setbacks. The limited access must be discussed and if this is a "front yard". Hudson also mentioned that landscaping was not shown on the site plan, however there was a note on the plan.

Cook asked about the black line on the site plan and if it was a driveway. Hudson said that line is the path a semi-truck would have to drive on in the 26 foot fire lane.

1 Chapman asked if there was an entrance on Sprinkle Road. Hudson said no, only on Lake Street. Chapman 2 also asked if the buildings are on wetland. Hudson said no they were not, some of the property is on the 3 flood plain, but the buildings are not.

Hudson mentioned that the two gates on the site plan are not wide enough to be emergency exits and how they will need to be widened. Also, the Fire Marshal will need to know the types and amounts of products that will be stored in the sheds.

Mihelich Inquired to Hudson if Comstock Township had any ordinances regarding lumber yards or covered buildings, since they have Menards. Hudson was not sure if they did or not.

Hudson recommended approval of the special use, but that there will need to be more discussion regarding the site plan.

Max Benedict, from Third Coast Development, spoke. Benedict mentioned that Comstock Township permits outdoor storage without any enclosure, such can be seen at ABC Supply. Their storage will be three sided with an open front to be in compliance with the zoning ordinance. All of their building construction will be done on the old parking lot area and the existing building, so no water drainage will be affected. Benedict also mentioned that they will be removing the old fuel tanks that are under ground and replacing the roof.

Cook asked Benedict how the business plans have reflected the 100 year flood plain. Benedict spoke on the elevated buildings and how they are prepared for the flood plain.

Hudson said that Fire Marshal was opposed to the unloading area being in the fire lane. Benedict said that they discussed they will sprinkle the building and the existing 18-foot path around the building will be allowed.

Nagler asked if a new water service will have to be run for the sprinkler system. Benedict said yes it will be

Hartsough asked about the 5-foot-deep shed. Benedict said that it is designed for pallet storage.

Hudson asked how tall the sheds are. Benedict answered that they would prefer them to be 12 feet tall, but have proposed 8 feet tall. Hudson said that he was thinking that they could consider the sheds as a fence if they were 8 feet.

Benedict asked if that means that the sheds could be 9 feet tall and then slope down to 8 feet tall to help with water runoff and then they can have 8 feet of useable space. Hudson said no, the max height would need to be 8 feet.

The chairman then opened a public hearing on the request 8:17PM. No one spoke for or against the application. The chairman closed the public hearing 8:18PM.

Chapman mentioned that the lot has been vacant for three years, so their business will be an improvement. Cook agreed. Hartsough agreed that it would be nice and fits in with the area.

1 2

Chapman asked if the fencing would cover the whole perimeter or just that certain area. Benedict 3 4

5 6 7

8 9

10 11

12

13 14

15 16

17 18

19

20 21

22 23

24 25

26 27 28

29 30 31

32 33

34 35 36

38 39

37

41 42

40

43 44

45

46 47 answered that there are currently some fencing and natural barriers, but they were counting on since that security was good enough for protecting snowmobiles, that it would be enough to house pallets of wood.

Nagler mentioned that he agreed with Hudson's assessment of the side yard and the setbacks; he is comfortable with the proposed 35 foot setback of the storage sheds.

Mihelich mentioned that they are also way under the lot coverage requirements too.

Benedict mentioned that they already removed the signage from along Sprinkle Road.

Mihelich asked if the township was going to need revised site plans. Cook said that that would make sense. Nagler asked if the board is going to ask them to remove the parking spaces lines. Mihelich thought they should. Cook suggested that they designate that area for snow removal piles, then they wouldn't have to remove those lines. Mihelich asked if Hudson would be willing to administratively approve that. Hudson said yes.

Chapman asked if they are going to remove the tanks. Nagler and Benedict both said yes.

Mihelich moved to approve Third Coast Development's special use with the condition that the site plan is amended to identify the removed spaces, where the chain link fence is going, where the covered storage structure will be located, and additionally the removal of the oil tanks.

Cook asked if conformance to the fire code should be a condition. Chapman added that the fencing should not exceed 8 feet tall. Mihelich agreed.

Mihelich amended his motion to approve Third Coast Development's special use with the condition that the site plan is amended, with Fire Marshal approval and Township Planner's administrative approval, to identify the removed spaces, where the chain link fence is going, where the covered storage structure will be located, the removal of the oil tanks, compliance with the fire code, and that the fencing will not exceed 8 feet. The motion was supported by Cook and unanimously approved. .

The Planning Commission next considered the request for site plan approval.

Hartsough asked Hudson about his concern with the landscaping. Hudson said that the required landscaping will be added with the revised site plan. Benedict said that they will be working with their architect about this.

Upon motion of Hartsough, supported by Mihelich and unanimous vote, the site plan was approved with the conditions that additional landscaping be included in the site plan and has the approval of the Township Planner.

New Business

7a. 1100 Foster – Luiten – site plan amendment

The next new business was the request of applicant Arie Luiten, Luiten Greenhouse Tech, at 1100 Foster Avenue (Parcel No. 06-24-430-032). The property is owned by Orange Tree Properties, LLC. The property has an existing use in an existing 6,100 square-foot building and a 2,520 square-foot storage building on a 95,832 square-foot property (2.2 acres). The property is located within the 500-year floodplain. The proposal is construct a 4,500 square-foot storage building.

The property to the north is zoned I-1 but is occupied by a nonconforming dwelling. The property to the west is zoned C-2 Commercial Corridor and is occupied by a parking lot for a commercial use. The properties to the south are zoned R-2 and are occupied by dwellings. The properties across Foster Ave. to the east are zoned I-1 and are occupied by a light industrial use and two nonconforming dwellings.

The property is in the 500 year floodplain and an area of 2' to groundwater. The new building will increase storm water discharge by approximately 1,500 cubic feet. Hudson notes that there will also need to be an increase in driveway/parking areas with an unknown increase in storm water runoff. No details were supplied as to the storm water capacity of the existing basin.

Hudson said that he could not recommend approval for this.

Planning Commission notes that there are too many things missing. Neither the applicant nor a representative was on the Zoom meeting to discuss the request.

Hartsough made a motion to deny the site plan amendment request. Mihelich seconded the motion.

Hudson noted that the problem is that the building is already up. Nagler asked what authority they have to make them take it down. Mihelich noted that they were put up there, they can get taken down and moved somewhere else.

Hudson asked if the board could add a condition of a timeline for removal. Nagler asked Seeber if they could. Seeber said that the building is in violation now. The Township's Ordinance Enforcement Officer can go write a citation now.

Mihelich asked if this building has occupancy. Seeber said no and that it would not get it.

Hartsough made a <u>motion to deny</u> the site plan amendment request. Mihelich <u>second</u> the motion. The motion passed by u<u>nanimous vote.</u>

7b. 1800 Ravine – Lounsbury site plan amendment – gravel

The next new business was the request of applicant Lounsbury Excavating for 1800 Ravine Road (Parcel NO. 06-08-430-018). This parcel was a former Consumers Concrete gravel mine. Half of property was sold to Kalamazoo Public Schools for a bus facility. School district removed the tree/landscaping buffer along Ravine Road. Hudson mentioned that he would recommend approval, but the board just needed to discuss the required roadside vegetation.

Jeff Paulson, architect for Lounsbury, spoke on the site plan. He mentioned that it is a pretty simple site plan that is cleaning up the property. He commented on the removed vegetation when the bus garage was built, but the plan shows a 6 foot tall berm and plantings that will be put in to buffer operating noise. That area is still being cleaned up and mined, but the berm will be going in when that is done.

Cook commented on the plan saying that there are still some plantings there and thanked Lounsbury for doing this.

Hartsough commented that she likes the idea of the plantings on the property line. Mike Hiestand,
President of Lounsbury Excavating, spoke and said that there will be plantings for curb appeal.

Upon motion of Cook, supported by Chapman, and unanimous vote, the site plan was approved.

7c. 118 S. Kendall – Latvian Church site plan

The next new business item was the Kalamazoo Latvian Church, 118 S Kendall Avenue (Parcel No. 06-17-305-160) site plan review. In 2019, the Latvian Church burned down. The applicant wishes to replace the church with a larger facility in a different location on the same property.

The applicant will remove the remains of the church and a 1,000 sq.ft. garage, then construct a 3,180 square-foot sanctuary connected to an existing 6,000 square-foot assembly hall (Sanctuary to have 106 seats). They would retain 54 parking spaces and add 17 new parking spaces and the 1,400 square foot rectory.

The property is zoned RM-3 as are all of the properties to the south and across Kendall Avenue to the east. These properties are occupied by apartment buildings. The properties adjacent to the north on Cherry Hill Street are zoned R-1 Single Family Residential and are occupied by single-family homes. The properties to the north fronting on Kendall Avenue are zoned RM-3 and are occupied by apartment buildings. The properties to the west, fronting on Solon Street are zoned R-2, Single and Two-family Residential. These lots are vacant and wooded.

Hudson suggested approval of the site plan, but discussion needs to be had about the storm water retention and a landscaping waiver.

Hudson also mentioned that the driveway and aisle widths were not correct per Fire Ordinance, but he believed that was fixed. Wristen Paschich, architect for the Latvian Church from Intersect Studio, and his coworker, Joy, spoke and said they were prepared to demonstrate that the plan meets the 26 foot requirement.

Cook asked about the assembly hall. Hudson commented on it and how they would like to build a hallway connecting the church and the assembly hall.

Paschich spoke and said that they are excited for this project.

Cook asked if the plan shows the type of shrubs that will be put in there. Joy mentioned that it does not specifically call out the landscaping. The site is currently heavily wooded, and they will replace what is taken out by construction.

Cook asked about the storm water calculations. Joy said they will send those over and that will show the need for water retention and detention if needed. Nagler commented that if a basin was needed then they would have to run piping to it and Joy agreed.

Cook asked about the aisle widths. Hudson mentioned that had been handled, but that he was waiting for documentation on that. Nagler said they can make that a condition. Cook asked for a photometric map. Joy said that was sent as a supplemental attachment. Hartsough said that the photometric was not in the packet. Cook asked if the site was all connected to public utilities. Joy said that yes they were. Hartsough asked if Hudson would deal with the outstanding issues administratively. Cook approved of that. Hudson said yes he would. Upon motion of Chapman, supported by Cook, and unanimous vote, the site plan was approved with the conditions that the storm water calculations be provided along with the basin designs if necessary, that the aisle widths are shown on an amended site plan, and the assembly hall is not be to rented out separately from the church. **Old Business** 8a. Solar panel text amendment – 2nd draft There was no draft to discuss. 8b. Master Plan – 5-Year Mandatory Update- Work Session Hudson mentioned that he is a little farther ahead on the project. Hudson made contact with a person at the County GIS, so should have maps soon. A date for further discussion will be scheduled after the

Open Discussion – Members of the Audience

Commissioners have maps to review.

None.

Report of the Township Board Representative

Cook gave an update on the most recent Township Board happenings, including the Black Lives Matter discussion and the manager's review.

Manager Mitchell added that the Township Board passed a proclamation that Racism is a public health crisis. Also, the review will be happening after the election since Clerk Mark Miller is leading it.

Cook also mentioned the audit report and the recommendations from it.

Chapman inquired if he could ask the Township Board to consider supporting the Americans with Disabilities Act anniversary and the work that it has done in the community. Cook said he liked that idea and asked if there was language out there for something like that. Chapman believes there is, and he will get the information to Cook.

Report of the Township ZBA Representative

4	
1 2	Nagler gave an update based on the most recent ZBA meeting.
3	Magici gave an update based on the most recent 2DA meeting.
4	Comments from Planning Commission Members
5	ů
6	Chapman spoke on how his neighbors liked Manager Mitchell communicating with them.
7	
8	Cook also spoke on the August 4, 2020 State Primary Election and how he worked as an election inspector.
9	He mentioned that more people should consider being an election inspector and helping out.
10	
11	Mihelich mentioned he purchased a decibel reader.
12	
13	Report of the Planner/Zoning Administrator
14	
15	Hudson mentioned that he forwarded training information from the MTA to the commission. Also, that
16	there will be a meeting next month.
17	
18	Report of the Township Attorney
19	
20	Attorney Seeber mentioned that the ordinance amendment that went through was for the removal of
21	item number 13. The solar situation, according to Trustee Steve Leuty, "backfired" and will need to go
22	back to the drawing board.
23	Mihalish salad uhat tha haalfina uga gasadiga tha salag Cashagasid tha uga tha shagas hut sa gas
24	Mihelich asked what the backfire was regarding the solar. Seeber said they want the change, but are not
25	sure how to actually do it and it is a much larger project than expected.
26 27	Adjournment
28	Adjournment
29	There being no further business to come before the Planning Commission, upon motion of Mihelich,
30	seconded by Nagler, and unanimous approval, the June 4, 2020 regular Planning Commission meeting was
31	adjourned at 9:22PM.
32	adjourned at 3.221 Mi
33	
34	
35	Christopher Mihelich, Secretary
36	
37	
38	SYNOPSIS OF ACTIONS
39	
40	The Kalamazoo Township Planning Commission undertook the following actions at the August 6,

The Kalamazoo Township Planning Commission undertook the following actions at the August 6, 2020 regular Planning Commission meeting:

• Approved a one-year extension of the gravel mining/concrete crushing permit for Aggregate Resources at 4274 Ravine.

- Approved a one-year extension of the gravel mining/concrete crushing permit for Stoneco at 3800 Ravine.
- Approved a one-year extension of the gravel mining/concrete crushing permit for Lounsbury at 1800
 Ravine.

- Approved a one-year extension of the gravel mining/concrete crushing permit for Peters
 Construction and N-P Construction at 720 Mosel.
- Approved the special use expansion and site plan with conditions for 937 Foster- recreational &
 medical marijuana—additional parking
- Approved the special use expansion and site plan with conditions for Third Coast Development,
 LLC—lumber yard at 3801-3850 Lake Street.
- 7 Denied the site plan amendment request for Luiten at 1100 Foster.
- 8 Approved the Lounsbury site plan amendment at 1800 Ravine.
- 9 Approved the Latvian Church site plan at 118 S. Kendall with conditions.