

Design Review Board
September 6, 2022
9:00 a.m.
via Video-Conferencing and
Published by Local TV, Inc.

Those present were:

Robert D. Caruso, Chairman
Amy Dalene, Vice Chairman
Kristin Corwin, Member
C. Sherrill Dayton, Member
Ann Duffey, Member
Timothy Hill, Village Attorney
Billy Hajek, Village Planner
Tom Preiato, Village Building Inspector
Ian Heanue, Agent on behalf of Bank of America
Jake Modestow, Engineer on behalf of Bank of America
Jody Gambino, LTV Moderator
Pamela J. Bennett, Village Clerk

Mr. Caruso: Good morning everyone. I call to order the Design Review Board for September 6, 2022.

1. Minutes

Mr. Caruso: The first item on our agenda are the minutes of May 17, 2022. Are there any questions or corrections?

Mr. Dayton: They are well done.

Mr. Caruso: Thank you. Pam, thank you for the minutes.

Ms. Bennett: You are welcome.

Mr. Caruso: If not then I would entertain a motion to approve. Do I have that motion?

4303

Mr. Dayton: I make a motion.

Mr. Caruso: Thank you, Sherrill. Do I have a second?

Ms. Dalene: I will second.

Mr. Caruso: Thank you. All in favor?

Mr. Dayton: Aye.

Ms. Duffey: Aye.

Mr. Caruso: The next item on the agenda are the minutes of June 7, 2022. Are there any questions or corrections?

Mr. Dayton: Well done.

Mr. Caruso: Thank you, Sherrill. If not then I would entertain a motion to approve. Do I have that motion?

Mr. Dayton: I will make that a motion.

Mr. Caruso: Sherrill, thank you again. Do I have a second?

Ms. Duffey: I will second.

Mr. Caruso: Thank you, and all in favor?

Mr. Dayton: Aye.

Ms. Corwin: Aye.

Ms. Dalene: Aye.

4304

2. Bank of America – 14 Newtown Lane – SCTM #301-3-2-8.1

Mr. Caruso: The next item on our agenda is the lighting of Bank of America at 14 Newtown Lane. Is the applicant present?

Mr. Heanue: Yes, good morning, we are present.

Mr. Caruso: Good morning, Ian. Can you describe what you would like to do there, bring us up to date.

Mr. Heanue: I have our design professional on the call also just to run through the plans. Can we share our screen?

Mr. Caruso: Absolutely, please share the screen, yes.

Mr. Heanue: Can you see my screen?

Mr. Caruso: Yes we do.

Mr. Heanue: Perfect, and, Jake, are you available?

Mr. Modestow: Yes, can you guys hear me all right?

Mr. Caruso: Yes.

Mr. Heanue: I will just run through the pages and describe what we are proposing, and if there are any questions as we go, just let me know. The Bank is proposing to bring the lighting within New York State ATM compliance and to do so we are proposing the replacement of 18 fixtures and we are adding an additional 7 seven fixtures which include 5 new poles. The fixtures are all labeled and reflect the fixture count. We can run through these, it is a pretty busy plan but we can for each individual fixture as is required.

Mr. Caruso: Let us go through each fixture and if you can give us a view of it and bring it to the screen so we can see what they look like.

Mr. Heanue: All right. So we have six fixtures labeled UU1, they are existing fixtures on site replaced in kind in the same location and so the fixtures UU1 on the plan here, you have one here on the corner of the building, these are wall pack fixtures with one here, two, three, four, five, six and if you want to see what the fixture looks like, we have a cut sheet for it.

Mr. Caruso: Yes, please, thank you.

Mr. Heanue: Fixture UU1, a wall pack fixture goes straight onto the wall.

Mr. Caruso: And Ian, what kind of bulb is going into that?

Mr. Heanue: Let me pull up the specs here. The question what kind of voltage I am not sure off the top of my head.

Mr. Modestow: If you are talking about the type, it is going to be an LED light.

Mr. Caruso: It is an LED but what is the Kelvin on that?

Mr. Heanue: 30,000.

Mr. Dalene: And is that side mounted to the building or is it mounted underneath the fascia or how does that get mounted to the building?

Mr. Heanue: This particular one is a wall pack, it is directly mounted to the wall of the building, it is not under a canopy.

Mr. Caruso: So Ian, it sort of protrudes out and so it is at a right angle to the building, am I correct?

Mr. Heanue: Correct, yes. It is downward facing and it is a full cutoff light.

Mr. Dayton: Does any of that lighting shine off the property?

Mr. Heanue: I will pull up the overall plan which shows the light readings and certain distances. Each of these numbers here represents the foot candle reading at that particular spot on the plan and this dashed line is our property line, and as

you can see around the property line, you have readings both below, you have 3.4 down to zero. So to answer your question, outside the property line we do have light in excess of the allowed illumination but as we said in our response, it is to meet New York State ATM requirements.

Mr. Dayton: There is lighting in the parking lot that lights up whole back end of the bank building; I do not think, would you need lights in the rear of the bank?

Mr. Heanue: Jake might answer this better but there is a radius around the ATM that we have to be in compliance with for New York State security reasons...

Mr. Dayton: I understand that.

Mr. Modestow: When it comes to the lighting around property, it has to be controlled by the Bank in order to a credit toward their actual location so if the adjoining property decides to turn off their lights during the hours that they are not operating, especially an ATM which is 24 hours, essentially it creates a dark spot if we were to account for those. So all the lighting to actually comply with the State requirements has to be Bank controlled.

Mr. Dayton: So they will not be lit all the time.

Mr. Modestow: Yes, dusk till dawn.

Mr. Dayton: Dusk till dawn.

Mr. Caruso: And they are in the 30,000 rated, 30,000 Kelvin?

Mr. Modestow: Correct, they will be more of that yellowy hue for each one of the lights.

Mr. Caruso: Oh good. So it will not be the bright blue, am I correct?

Mr. Modestow: That is correct, yes.

Mr. Caruso: Ian, do you want to bring us through the next set of lighting and fixtures.

Mr. Heanue: Sure, no problem. The next fixture is a UU2 fixture and there are 8 of those fixtures being replaced in existing locations. I will pull up the fixture cut sheet so that everyone can reference. UU2, so you can see here this is a flush mounted fixture and it is rated 30,000 Kelvin also and I will pull up their locations.

Mr. Caruso: And Ian, do they have lights, it looks like there is a light on the flat side and the light 45 degrees to the left and 45 degrees to the right or 30 and 30, is that what it is, is that 3 lights or one?

Mr. Heanue: It is just one fixture, yes.

Mr. Caruso: How many lights are in the fixture?

Mr. Heanue: One.

Mr. Caruso: And does that also get mounted at a 90 degree angle?

Mr. Heanue: Correct, yes. This is an under canopy fixture.

Mr. Caruso: Okay, can you proceed on their locations.

Mr. Heanue: No problem. So UU2 is one, two, three, four, five and six; these are mounted underneath the overhang for the ATM so they will basically replace a roof tile. And we have two more also throughout the entrance under the canopy at the entrance.

Mr. Caruso: Can you just point to those too.

Mr. Heanue: Yes, UU2 and UU2.

Mr. Caruso: Thank you. Board Members, any questions about this fixture?

Mr. Dayton: No, not off hand.

Ms. Dalene: And these are all existing locations. None of these are being added for the UU2 fixture?

Mr. Heanue: Correct. These are direct replacements.

Mr. Gambino: Tom Preiato just entered.

Mr. Caruso: Good morning, Tom. What is the next?

Mr. Heanue: The next fixture is a UU3; we have four fixtures to be replaced in kind. I will pull up the fixture real quick. UU3 is a can fixture, it has to fit into an existing bracket and their locations, these are under canopy fixtures also, downward facing and full cutoffs.

Mr. Duffey: Are these brighter than what is there now or the same?

Mr. Heanue: Well they are a different fixture, these are LED fixtures.

Mr. Caruso: And Ian, they are all the same amount, they are all same rated, they are at 30,000 Kelvin, is that it?

Mr. Heanue: I will just confirm that with the cut sheet now.

Mr. Modestow: That is correct. All consistent.

Mr. Heanue: All consistent.

Mr. Caruso: Okay, thanks Jake.

Ms. Duffey: I realize it is LED but is the light different than what we see today there?

Mr. Heanue: The illumination levels?

Ms. Duffey: Yes.

Mr. Heanue: Yes, they are slightly higher so it achieves compliance.

Ms. Duffey: So it is a higher illumination. And color change?

4309

Mr. Heanue: Jake, can you respond to that.

Mr. Modestow: Yes, it is still going to have like that yellowy hue, the metal halide kind of have that same look to it so it should be the same hue but from a light intensity level it will be slightly higher as the current site is in nonconformance with the State statute.

Ms. Duffey: And the degree, it is 10 percent more, 15, 20, do you know the degree?

Mr. Modestow: I do not have that number exactly. It is tough to look at it because it is a global situation so if one illumination is below the State standard, we have to use different means and methods to get it into compliance so I do not have an overall number, we have to really dive into that, it is hard to find.

Ms. Duffey: Okay.

Mr. Caruso: And Ian, what is next on your list?

Mr. Heanue: Moving onto the next fixture; the next fixture is a UU4 fixture, we have one of these, it is a new fixture in the canopy here...

Mr. Dayton: Where would that go? Under the soffit?

Mr. Heanue: Yes, correct, let me just show you the location now.

Mr. Dayton: Would that be for the ATM machine?

Ms. Dalene: It looks like it is at the entry.

Mr. Heanue: Right. I am going to pull up that fixture now. Yes, so it is the same fixture as the UU2, it is just labeled differently because UU2's were replacements and UU4 references a new fixture. So that is an additional fixture. And that is fixture under UU5, these are pole mounted fixtures so 5 new fixtures and 3 new

4310

poles. The poles are 12 foot at finished grade and they are located here, one, two, and three.

Mr. Caruso: Okay, and what do those poles look like?

Mr. Dayton: They are on a pole?

Mr. Heanue: They are on a pole, correct.

Mr. Dayton: How high is the pole?

Mr. Heanue: The pole is 12 foot at finished grade.

Mr. Dayton: And the light stays on the property?

Mr. Heanue: Yes, they are within the property line, correct.

Mr. Caruso: And Ian, there are three of those?

Mr. Heanue: Yes, there are three of them, yes, correct.

Mr. Caruso: And what is the LED rating on those?

Mr. Heanue: These fixtures are UU5's, I believe they are 30,000 as Jake said.

Mr. Caruso: And if you could just show us on the property on your...

Mr. Dayton: Where they are located.

Mr. Caruso: Yes, where they are located.

Mr. Heanue: All three of them are located here, one, two and three.

Mr. Caruso: And where is that in respect to the sidewalk?

Mr. Dayton: It looks like it is set back from the sidewalk a little bit.

Mr. Modestow: It is approximately a foot off the sidewalk.

Mr. Caruso: A foot off the sidewalk toward the Bank, am I correct?

Mr. Modestow: Yes, that is correct, yes.

Mr. Caruso: And they will be mounted on soil; cement with soil around it?

Mr. Dayton: It looks like it is on a cement base.

Mr. Modestow: It will have a concrete base that it is mounted to get stability; we want to make sure it stays up over time, it will have a small exposed foundation.

Mr. Caruso: But surrounding the cement foundation, will there be soil or will it abut the sidewalk?

Mr. Modestow: It will abut the sidewalk if I am understanding from a spatial standpoint it will abut essentially the sidewalk.

Mr. Caruso: Okay.

Mr. Dayton: Are they necessary?

Mr. Modestow: Yes.

Mr. Dayton: It seems like there is an awful lot of lighting in front of the building.

Mr. Modestow: That in particular are needed. I think a lot of the ones that I am referencing were canopy lights that are more directed down and are mounted at a lower height which really target the base around the actual ATM. These poles are needed really to ensure that we are meeting compliance with the radius that extends outwards toward to the front and to the right-of-way. That is essentially what they are for; if they were not needed, the Bank would probably remove them but these are needed to meet those state requirements and solely for that.

Mr. Dayton: When would they be lit?

4312

Mr. Modestow: The same time, dusk till dawn.

Mr. Caruso: Will any of the radius of the lighting be projected on the Village sidewalks?

Mr. Modestow: Yes, that is because we have a conflict with the compliance radius and the property lines so essentially the sidewalk will actually fall within that compliance so we will be illuminated as part of this.

Mr. Dayton: Is that allowable?

Mr. Modestow: I am sorry, repeat that one more time?

Mr. Dayton: Would that be allowable to shine on the Village sidewalk?

Mr. Modestow: Yes, I think it is technically on our property so we are actually illuminating that. I do not know of any Code requirements within the Code that says we cannot.

Mr. Dayton: You have to run that by Tom.

Mr. Hajek: No, I think our Code is pretty clear that you are supposed to have light trespass off the property line.

Mr. Modestow: Trespassing, we understand that, I think the question was the sidewalk. There is nothing prohibiting us from illuminating the sidewalk, over the property line we do understand that.

Mr. Hajek: These three poles in particular to me, the project as a whole I understand the retrofit component of it and improving the lighting situation here to make it safer, but the three poles to me, I do not know of anything like that on Newtown Lane where there are 12 foot poles on the sidewalk in front of the building. Even doorways we are pretty careful about having minimal fixtures to provide safe ingress into a building but they are full cutoff and they are minimal in nature. This is going to be pretty dramatically different from anything around it. I would just caution the Board about these fixtures in particular. The rest of it I do not, it seems to me to be retrofit in nature.

Mr. Caruso: Tom, what is your input on this? Tom Preiato?

Mr. Preiato: Good morning Mr. Chairman, Members of the Board. I agree wholeheartedly with Billy's take on it. I understand the nature of the Bank requires lighting and they are trying to up that lighting but it is a battle with our Code; it is clear that there should be no light trespass.

Mr. Dayton: Well they do have streetlights in front of the building. I mean it is lit up pretty well. I kind of think the three in the front of the Bank, to me it is kind of an overkill.

Mr. Dalene: It looks like 4 fixtures that are being proposed, not 3.

Mr. Heanue: Yes, this one is a double head with two fixtures to get to the area of the left.

Mr. Dalene: What is the reasoning for the height of the pole? Why are you guys selecting that pole and that height? Can it be something that can be a lower fixture, could it be something that is...

Mr. Dayton: Is it a ground light?

Mr. Modestow: To the height of the pole and the spill of the illumination from that light, so we have actually lowered the ones across the front to be approximately 12 feet in height for all those UU5's. So actually the higher you go there is more spill but the actual direct illumination around it is lower so where we have moved on is basically found that middle ground. We are illuminating the areas across the front of the property that we need to and try to lower the actual poles so there is less spill into the right-of-way or any adjoining property.

Mr. Caruso: So Jake, why cannot these poles, what is the distance between the front of the Bank and the location of these poles. Can not the poles be moved closer to the Bank and away from the sidewalk?

Mr. Modestow: We would have to evaluate that. I am not quite sure. Again, the intent was to move these as close as possible so we need as few as possible so

4314

potentially moving them back would require either a higher intensive fixture or a higher fixture actually mounting height, so I think this was the design that we came to; it was a happy medium.

Mr. Dayton: What is the purpose of those lights in the front?

Mr. Modestow: To illuminate the 4 levels you kind of see the radius sitting outwards beyond the property line. The full intent of those lights is actually to illuminate that into compliance with State requirements. So that is the sole purpose of those.

Mr. Dayton: It looks like it spills way out on the sidewalk.

Mr. Modestow: That is correct and part of the reason we are in front of you is there is a direct conflict with what the State requires and then a municipal Zoning Code so it is a, we cannot meet both at the same time because of the two conflicts with each other.

Mr. Dayton: Could they be ground lights and do the same purpose?

Mr. Modestow: Are you talking about lights pointed upwards that would be Dark Sky compliant? We try to avoid...

Mr. Dayton: The light would shine down.

Mr. Modestow: So all these lights are lit down. If you actually, if Ian pulls you back to the UU5, they are very similar to kind of, we call it the configuration with the cutoff at a 90 degree angle heading down. You can see the OSQ's is what they are called. They are actually all directed to the right, they are all directed down, very similar to the wall packs that were previously proposed, these are just different, they actually allow us to have great control of the lights and get a particular, right around the base so even distribution applied, that is why these fixtures were chosen in particular and preventing illumination beyond the property line more than what is needed. So the idea is to really pinpoint the areas that we need to illuminate and that is it.

Mr. Caruso: So basically what we are saying is that it will not, I think it will not only illuminate the sidewalk but I think you will have spill onto the vehicles and actually onto the street itself.

Mr. Dayton: I would say so.

Mr. Caruso: I think that is overkill, do we really need that in the Village of East Hampton. That means that every bank is going to have, could or possibly have lighting that is going to go over the sidewalk and onto cars. I mean is that what we really want here.

Mr. Hajek: Robert, there are other, we have multiple banks in the Village, I do not know of any that have provided or required...[inaudible]...lighting. I mean one of those is a relatively new build from new, it is a new build, and the lighting levels, they have a walkup ATM, and the lighting levels are nowhere near what is proposed here. Now they may not be in compliance with the State Code but it seems, what are the required light levels that the State requires?

Mr. Heanue: Jake, did we submit the New York State legislation?

Mr. Modestow: Yes, I am trying to pull it up so I can read it off exactly.

Mr. Hajek: I am looking at it but they are hard to follow in terms of...

Mr. Modestow: They are hard to design to too if it makes you feel better, these are not as straight forward as it sounds so essentially what happens is a municipal code level lighting is measured at grade so at a point where it is vertical in foot candles, it is simple illumination of light basically that one candle gives out one foot away. It is tough to actually look at..[inaudible]...directly at it so that is how we measure it from an engineering standpoint. So the State requires it in several different facets, actually it is an and/or situation a few different lighting requirements. In particular it is all about radiuses generating away from the ATM itself essentially around the ATM, there is a requirement to ensure that we have at least a five foot candle, I am sorry, within five feet 10 foot candles and as you actually go outwards, it is at grade, at one point for one foot candles and then add five feet at one foot candles as well 60 feet from the actual ATM. And then there are other compliance radiuses that require us to look back at the light generation

for each one of these at five feet above grade so there is a lot of different components that we have to comply with for each one of these ATM's, each one of the actual ATM's. So we have conflicting not only lighting levels that we have to comply with but actually different elevations too.

Ms. Duffey: So the kind of lighting you are proposing on the 12 foot poles are really industrial look, sort of industrial parking lot look which is very different to, I mean is there....

Mr. Modestow: So that is about all we have. The fixture that we actually pick for each location directly affects the light illumination and light spread so these fixtures actually are some of the best fixtures that we have found to actually control the light when one not having creating what we call hotspots or basically high illumination directly below and then allowing us to control the spread outwards actually around the fixture itself. I would say more decorative fixture would probably actually from an illumination standpoint be lower and would require more than what is shown here to comply with the requirements, the State requirements that we are complying with now so it is a give and take when we look at these and usually most of the time those are not shielded as well as the lights being proposed now.

Mr. Caruso: Well I do not have a problem with any of the retrofitting or any of the other fixtures there but I do have a problem with going against what we basically stand for here in the Village and going against our Code by installing three 12 foot high poles that will then have 4 lights that are going to be projected onto cars and onto the street. I mean I do not see the necessity. We have no other bank in the Village of East Hampton who does that and here you want to go ahead and present something like this. I just cannot see, Board Members correct me if I am wrong, I cannot see approving those fixtures.

Mr. Dayton: I agree. The front of the Bank is lit up all night long anyway. I think those lights in the front are overkill; they are not needed.

Ms. Duffey: When was this passed by New York State, how new is this Code? Is this very new legislation from New York State?

Mr. Heanue: I am just going to confirm that for you now.

Mr. Caruso: Timothy Hill, what is your input on this request with these poles? What is your opinion?

Mr. Hill: Yes, I think to the extent that the applicant could identify that something is mandated by the State Code in some way that conflicts with the Village Code, that would assist the Board in being able to make a determination. I do not know how much of this is actually required under the State Code in terms of being a preference for a solution versus an absolute mandate.

Mr. Caruso: So can we go ahead and approve the, all of the retrofit and all the other fixtures and not approve the poles, the 3 poles with the 4 lights that will then project all over the sidewalks and the streets and the cars?

Mr. Dayton: I agree to that.

Mr. Heanue: From a Bank's point of view, replacing all the fixtures and not including the new fixtures we would not achieve compliance with New York State which is the goal or the end goal really.

Mr. Caruso: But there is no other banking in the Village of East Hampton that has that so I really do not understand the necessity for the Bank of America to go ahead and put this where it is going to interfere with our own Code and also project all over the cars and everything. We are not on Broadway in Manhattan, are we?

Mr. Dayton: No. It looks to me like you have it lit up like 7-Eleven.

Mr. Caruso: Yes. It is going to be bright as it is but do we want it to dominate the entire Newtown Lane, that is what it is going to do?

Mr. Dalene: Are there adjustments that you can make in your lighting plan where these poles can be illuminated or changed to something that would kind of go on Newtown Lane that, is there a different lighting plan that you can go with besides just this one, something else that you can propose?

Mr. Caruso: I do not understand why those poles themselves cannot be moved closer to the Bank thereby not shining on the sidewalk, not shining on cars, and

not shining on the asphalt pavement on Newtown Lane. I do not under the philosophy of having that all spill over everything. So why can they not be moved closer to the Bank?

Mr. Heanue: From a design standpoint, we are tied to the 60 foot radius from the ATM, that is where the locations come into play.

Mr. Dayton: Sixty feet, that is a lot.

Mr. Heanue: It is, 60 feet in an unobstructed direction.

Mr. Dayton: Well the ATM's are all lit up inside. Really I do not think they are necessary.

Mr. Caruso: Timothy, can we go ahead and approve the other fixtures and not approve the 3 poles?

Mr. Hill: Yes, you can do that but it sounded like the applicant might have some reservation. I think the recommendation to possibly reconsider the proposal to achieve their global objective may make some sense too but yes you could do it that way.

Mr. Caruso: So why do we not take a vote on this. The Bank, if you want to come back with some kind of poles or something like this close to the Bank whatever you can do that. Pam, can we go ahead and just approve the fixtures that we are talking about without the poles?

Ms. Bennett: As long as it is okay with Village Attorney Hill.

Mr. Caruso: Timothy Hill, is it okay with you?

Mr. Hill: Yes, I would ask the applicant to indicate that they are amending their application to that scope so that it can be acted upon in that form right now.

Mr. Caruso: Okay, so can you amend the application and send it to us, can we amend it now Timothy or do we need a written statement?

Mr. Hill: No, I think you can get a representation now.

Mr. Caruso: Okay, so applicant do you want to do that now?

Mr. Heanue: So the option is to remove the 3 fixtures from the front and approve the remaining?

Mr. Caruso: No, the poles do not exist.

Mr. Heanue: Right.

Mr. Caruso: We are approving everything with the exception of the three poles that are 12 feet high that will then spill out onto the sidewalk and the cars and the asphalt of Newtown Lane. So we will approve everything but those 3 poles with the 4 lights.

Mr. Heanue: Okay just before we continue that conversation, I just wanted to highlight the 2 additional poles that we are proposing since we have not gotten that far. There are 2 poles labeled UU6 and they are located here by the ATM's concrete island and they are going to directly illuminate the areas to and from the approach for the ATM. I just wanted to discuss them before you...

Mr. Caruso: And those 2 poles, they are not, they are simply, give us a description again.

Mr. Heanue: Sure, no problem. I will pull up the fixtures, they are UU6 fixtures similar to the ones we previously showed and here they are.

Ms. Corwin: How tall are those?

Mr. Heanue: These ones I believe are UU6 poles are 20 foot at finished grades.

Mr. Caruso: Twenty feet high.

Mr. Heanue: Correct.

Mr. Dayton: What is the height of those poles?

4320

Mr. Heanue: Twenty foot at finished grade.

Mr. Caruso: Twenty feet high. Billy Hajek, what is your opinion about these two additional poles?

Mr. Hajek: How tall is the canopy. That might be an issue of illuminating because that is a drive through canopy, right?

Mr. Heanue: Correct. I do not know if I have the height of the canopy off hand, I will have to confirm that.

Mr. Hajek: Yes, I mean obviously we are not illuminating the roof of the canopy so I would imagine that height is selected because of the building itself but it does seem excessive, 20 feet in height.

Mr. Modestow: The canopy is at 10 feet, the UU6 are mounted at 20 feet but actually replace two existing poles that are there. There are two that are mounted at approximate at 12 feet in height. Those, again, are proposed actually at a higher level to ensure that there is illumination outwards away from the poles to meet those compliance. This is one of those situations where you can actually see it where we had to go higher to get a further, call it extension, from those actual poles to comply with State requirements.

Mr. Caruso: So this sort of falls within the same category as the 3 poles in the front then.

Mr. Dayton: Seems like to me.

Mr. Caruso: So why do we not do this. Why do you not amend the application, we will approve all the fixtures with the exception of the 5 poles.

Mr. Dayton: I agree with that.

Mr. Caruso: Okay, Board Members?

Mr. Modestow: I do not know if we can do that.

Ms. Corwin: Those 20 foot poles are going to cross over onto the neighboring property I am assuming.

Mr. Caruso: Absolutely.

Mr. Dayton: They cannot help but...

Mr. Heanue: Well we showed the light levels along the property line here and we have a lot of landscaping here that shield most of that light.

Mr. Dayton: A 20 foot pole; that is pretty high, that will throw the light out quite a ways.

Mr. Caruso: Billy, what is your recommendation with reference to these additional poles.

Mr. Hajek: It sounds like the applicant, I mean they just said that is not going to work so I think either have to go back and maybe they can tweak their plan to come up with something that the Board finds a little bit more acceptable.

Mr. Caruso: Applicant, why do you not do this, do you want to move forward or do you want to go back and why do you not revisit the 5 poles.

Mr. Heanue: Yes, maybe we could get continued at, circle back with the Bank and see what approach we could take.

Mr. Caruso: So you will come back to us then. You want to do that with this entire application?

Mr. Heanue: I think at this point, yes.

Mr. Caruso: Why do we not do that. Why do we not leave it at that, you will come back to us, make an application with Pam and do that, okay.

Mr. Heanue: Okay.

Mr. Caruso: Thank you.

4322

Mr. Heanue: Thanks for your time.

Mr. Caruso: Thank you, applicants. Any other questions for today?

Mr. Dayton: I guess it is pretty well covered.

Mr. Caruso: Do I have a motion to adjourn?

Mr. Dayton: I will make a motion.

Mr. Caruso: Thank you, Sherrill. Do I have a second?

Ms. Corwin: I will second.

Mr. Caruso: Thank you. All in favor?

Mr. Dayton: Aye.

Ms. Duffey: Aye.

Ms. Corwin: Aye.

Ms. Dalene: Aye.

Mr. Caruso: Thank you. See you at the next meeting.

The meeting was adjourned at 9:42 a.m.

FILED
VILLAGE OF EAST HAMPTON, NY
DATE: 10/13/22
TIME: 10:50 AM
Vanessa J. Bennett

4323