Public comment for August 7 Council meeting

Bob Mittelstaedt <ramittelstaedt1010@gmail.com>

Sat 8/3/2024 9:28 AM

To:Fairfax Town Council <fairfaxtowncouncil@townoffairfax.org>

This is a long overdue plea to members of the public to comport themselves with civility and to exercise a modicum of discretion in picking their battles when addressing the Town Council at its meetings.

To put it mildly, It is tiresome and counter-productive for a small gang of three or four men to berate the Council on multiple items at nearly every meeting. They are so indiscriminate in their diatribes and so rude and overbearing in their style that they cannot possibly have any expectation that anyone will take their comments seriously. Often, their comments have little if anything to do with the topic at hand. If there were any weight to any of their rantings it would be lost on the audience which wants nothing more than for their 2 or 3 minutes to be up.

A psychologist would have a field day observing how these men feed off each other, smiling and seeking approval from each other as they each seek to be more obnoxious than the previous one -- all for no apparent purpose than to entertain themselves. It would be disparaging of children to say that their conduct is childlike. Their conduct certainly isn't designed to be persuasive. If there were any validity to anything they say, it would be hidden under their toxic method of presentation.

They make these meetings unpleasant not just for the Council members but for all other members of the public who have legitimate points to make on selected items. As a result, I observe that there is generally less public participation at Fairfax town council meetings than at the other council meetings I have been attending around the county.

The First Amendment is an integral building block of our society. But it should not be used as a bludgeon to inhibit others from participating in public discourse. Public comment time is not a sporting event, and should not be used as a substitute for legitimate entertainment.

Berating and yelling at council members apparently make this gang feel good in some perverse way. But it is never persuasive, and it's not fair to the rest of the public who have serious matters to discuss with the council.

I commend the Mayor, ViceMayor and council members on their tolerance for this objectionable and inexcusable conduct. But would it be possible, at a minimum, to turn down the microphone for anyone who is yelling? Absent that, I guess the next best thing is for me to walk out during their tirades



Fire Hazard 195 Pine Drive - dangerous site

cppa001@aol.com <cppa001@aol.com>

Sun 8/4/2024 8:54 AM

To:Fairfax Town Council <fairfaxtowncouncil@townoffairfax.org>

Hello,

My neighbor's and I are gravely concerned about the fire hazard and contamination caused by the collapsed house at 195 Pine Drive.

We contacted the Ross Valley Fire Department which kindly came out and cut down the brush around the house.

That will help somewhat to mitigate the fire hazard, but the problem of the dry board piled up like kindling remains an active fire hazard.

I re-contacted the fire department last week but have had no response.

How can we proceed with due haste?

Fire season is early, and much worse, this year. I believe the site needs to be condemned immediately and the debris removed right away.

We are asked every year to remove plants that are close to our homes, yet this glaring, obvious and large fire hazard is allowed to remain.

Should we as good Samaritans begin to remove the dry wood ourselves? We are getting a bit desperate.

Please help!

Best regards,

Connie Valentine 157 Pine Drive 415-991-5260 530-379-5722 cell

Sent from AOL on Android

Fwd: Bond proposal

Barbara Coler

bcoler@townoffairfax.org>

Wed 8/7/2024 1:26 PM

To:Christine Foster <cfoster@townoffairfax.org>

Sent from my iPhone

Begin forwarded message:

From: Diana Perdue <dperdue199@gmail.com>

Date: August 7, 2024 at 12:56:54 PM PDT

To: Barbara Coler

<br/

Subject: Bond proposal

Dear Council-members,

I do not support any bond.

You and staff should be able to
maintain the road surface with the money that is already in the budget.

Keep your eyes on what is necessary for the Town and get rid of all the unnecessary, wasteful spending and a bloated staff.

Diana Perdue Fairfax

Sent from my iPhone

SORT TERM RENTAL REGULATIONS

Richard Pedemonte <rlpedemonte@yahoo.com>

Tue 8/6/2024 4:35 PM

To:Fairfax Town Council <fairfaxtowncouncil@townoffairfax.org> Cc:Rachel Pedemonte <rpedemonte@yahoo.com>

Dear Council Members

Recently town staff denied our short term rental application for a spare bedroom at my daughter's home at 34 Baywood Court explaining that short term rentals are only allowed in single family zones per town code RD 5.5-7 and not in zones with duplexes and multifamily homes (there are no duplexes or multi family homes on Baywood Court).

My daughter, a nurse at Marin Health, has been offering short term accommodations for 8 years and recently applied for a permit per the town's request. Her guests include long term visitors including traveling nurses at Marin Health, locals who need temporary housing during construction or when relocating, folks consulting at local businesses, people attending weddings at Deer Park, as well as tourists from all over the world. Most eat and shop in Fairfax.

The unit consists of a single bedroom and bathroom and has no cooking facilities so is not suitable for full time use. In addition it is occupied by visiting family members for two months per year. For those two reasons alone she cannot offer it to a full time tenant, yet ironically now she cannot offer it to anyone at all. Loosing the extra income will be a hardship for her and her two daughters. It will also be a disservice to all the great guests who enjoy a safe and quiet space and to the businesses they patronize.

Furthermore the unit has zero impact on the neighborhood. It has off street parking and is situated at the back of the property completely out of sight. Most neighbors are not even aware that it exists. We recognize that the short term rental issue is a work in progress and we are hoping that the zoning can be revisited with an eye to a more equitable solution such as an appeal process.

Sincerely, Richard Pedemonte 30 Baywood Court Rachel Pedemonte 34 Baywood Court.

Sent from my iPhone please excuse typos

Based on an apparent lack of provision of important public disclosures on a timely basis, I am asking you to pull Agenda Item #4 from the 8/07/2024 Fairfax Town Council Meeting,

todd greenberg <hedgewell@yahoo.com>

Wed 8/7/2024 2:59 PM

To:Fairfax Town Council <fairfaxtowncouncil@townoffairfax.org>

2 attachments (830 KB)

Supplement-to-Agenda-Item-4-Road-Bond-Measure.pdf; Item-4.-Bond-Measure.pdf;

This email message is intended only for the named recipient and may contain confidential or privileged information. Taking notice of this message by third parties is not permitted. Any review, dissemination, copying, printing or other use of this e-mail by persons or entities other than the addressee is prohibited. If you have received it in error, please advise the sender by return e-mail and delete this message and any attachments from any computer, cloud storage, or other method of receipt or storage. Any unauthorized use or dissemination of this information is strictly prohibited.

Re: Based on an apparent lack of provision of important public disclosures on a timely basis, I am asking you to pull Agenda Item #4 from the 8/07/2024 Fairfax Town Council Meeting,

Dear Fairfax Town Council Members,

Re: Based on an apparent lack of provision of important public disclosures on a timely basis, I am asking you to pull Agenda Item #4 from the 8/07/2024 Fairfax Town Council Meeting,

4. Waive Second Reading and Approval of Ordinance No. 890 Ordering the Submission of a Measure Incurring Bonded Indebtedness to the Voters at the November 2024 General Municipal Election and Adoption of the Resolution Ordering an Election and Placing a Bond Measure on the November 2024 Ballot – Town Manager Heather Abrams and Town Attorney Janet Coleson Supplement

Please review the below email(s) which was(were) sent to Deputy Town Clerk Christine Foster, Elected Town Clerk Michele Gardner, and Finance Director Michael Vivrette, and the PRA that was made for relevant information.

How can a Town Council Member vote for the Town of Fairfax to spend significant amounts of public monies without full public disclosure of those costs?

Does voting to authorize undisclosed amounts of spending on the proposed (Town of Fairfax Manager estimated) \$40 Million Bond Measure without public full and proper timely disclosure of all involved costs violate any rules, ethics, or laws?

Does voting on this Agenda Item #4 without full proper public disclosure violate any Fiduciary Duties a Fairfax Town Council member may have?

Given that this important information has not been provided with sufficient time for both Town Council Members and the Public to reasonably be aware of and make comments on, I urge you to not vote on this matter at tonight's Fairfax Town Council Meeting.

Instead i suggest that Fairfax Town Council continues the Agenda Item to the next Regular Fairfax Town Council Meeting which would normally be held on the 1st Wednesday of each month, which would be Wednesday, September 4, 2024, but for some unknown reason is not currently listed on the Town of Fairfax website.

Alternately, if need be, noting that it (and the related costs of the "Special Meeting") would not otherwise be needed if public disclosures had been made on a timely basis, call a "Special Meeting", on another date, to discuss the "Waiving of the 2nd reading" re the proposed Bond Measure, only after complete costs disclosures have been made with adequate notice to the public and opportunity to publicly comment.

I am sincerely concerned about:

1) how this ill conceived, proposed \$18 Million face value, estimated \$40 Million after 32 or 33 years of interest Bond Offering, and related Agenda items have been and are being handled

- 2) how the Town Council is going forward with putting the Bond Offering on the November Ballot, despite the Town's \$32,690 Survey indicating that the largest ever in Fairfax Bond Measure is unlikely to pass,
- 3) how this is being done in an non-transparent manner without timely provision of what should be publicly presented and publicly available documents detailing the complete Bond Offering Costs, ie: Bond Consultant, Bond Disclosure, Election Costs, Note that the requests have been made numerous times, both informally, and formally, and via PRA..

I hope for all Fairfaxian's, that you will provide this needed information on a timely basis, prior to voting on this as Town Council Members, and, in the future, provide much greater transparency and follow the highest standards of best governance practices.

Most Sincerely,

Todd Greenberg

---- Forwarded Message -----

From: todd greenberg <hedgewell@yahoo.com> **To:** Christine Foster <cfoster@townoffairfax.org>

Cc: "mgardner@townoffairfax.org" <mgardner@townoffairfax.org>; Michael Vivrette <mvivrette@townoffairfax.org>

Sent: Wednesday, August 7, 2024 at 10:35:08 AM PDT

Subject: Please provide the requested Bond Offering Costs right away, today, well before the Agenda Item #4 vote at tonight's Fairfax Town

Council Meeting

8/07/2024

Please provide the requested Bond Offering Costs right away, today, well before the Agenda Item #4 vote at tonight's Fairfax Town Council Meeting

Good Morning Deputy Clerk Christine Foster, Elected Town Clerk Michele Gardner, and Finance Director Vivrette,

I am puzzled by the below responses to my 7/24/2024 PRA, which frequently state, "No documents responsive to your request have been located" or similar.

Please help me and others and Fairfax voters and Fairfax taxpayers to understand this seeming lack of disclosure of Town of Fairfax financially related documents prior to the Town Council vote this evening on Agenda Item #4.

Is this because you didn't have sufficient time to research ALL communications related to the Bond Consulting Company named in the Staff Reports and any other Bond Consulting Companies that were considered?

How can there be "no documents" related to the selection of and costs related to a Town of Fairfax staff report listed, Bond Consulting company?

Is the "No documents responsive to your request have been located" response occurring because a very narrow interpretation of my request is being used, rather than understanding what information is being asked for? Was i somehow not sufficiently clear as to what information is being asked for?

It simply doesn't make sense that the Town of Fairfax has chosen a Bond Consulting company and a Bond Disclosure Attorney and the Town Council is going to vote tonight, at the 8/07/2024 Fairfax Town Council Meeting re Agenda Item #4 to place an estimated \$40 Million Bond Measure, Fairfax's largest ever Bond Measure, on the November ballot, without disclosure of at least 3 of the costs involved:

- 1, Bond Consulting Company charges and fees of any type, direct or indirect (and contract and related communications, internal or external)
- 2. Both known and/or estimated Legal Charges related to the Bond Offering (and related communications, internal or external)
- 3. any other known and/or estimated costs to the Bond Measure on the ballot (and related communications, internal or external)

Please help me and others to understand why this information that clearly does exist in some form, is not being provided.

Regarding your response to question number 8 below;

8. Please provide all billing amounts and invoices paid to date, received but not yet paid, and or due or obligated to be paid related to the Town's proposed Bond offering. Documents responsive to this request have been located and will be provided on a rolling basis.

Please send those responsive documents to me now, today, ~ 8 hours prior to tonight's 6:30pm meeting on which the putting this \$40 Million Bond offering on the November ballot is to be voted on (see Agenda Item #4)?

4. Waive Second Reading and Approval of Ordinance No. 890 Ordering the Submission of a Measure Incurring Bonded Indebtedness to the Voters at the November 2024 General Municipal Election and Adoption of the Resolution Ordering an Election and Placing a Bond Measure on the November 2024 Ballot – Town Manager Heather Abrams and Town Attorney Janet Coleson Supplement

Please also post the prior requested Bond Offering related costs on the Town's website ASAP so that Town Council members and the public can be made aware of the costs involved in the proposed bond offering before a vote is taken.

Please provide this essential Bond Offering related costs information as an additional supplement to the existing materials, for Agenda Item #4, right away or ASAP today, since the clock is ticking before the vote tonight.

For reasons unknown, late disclosures do seem to be frequent in the Town of Fairfax, ie: the supplement to the 7/17/2024 meeting which belatedly disclosed the Bond Offering's estimated \$40 Million costs. Why was this important information left out of the original staff report?

I do see that a "supplement" has been added to this Agenda Item #4 for tonight, but it doesn't contain the requested, essential to know prior to a public vote, information about the costs of the Bond Offering. I believe that it is wrong to impose these or other unspecified costs on the Town of Fairfax residents.

The response below to question

#13. Please list all other Bond Consulting companies that were contacted, dates contacted, and describe the selection process for Urban Futures. "No documents responsive to your request have been located."

Seems to indicate that there was no selection process for a Bond Consulting company and that no other Bond Consulting or similar type companies were contacted or considered. I find this hard to believe.

Isn't there a requirement that certain government contracts receive multiple bids? or does that somehow not apply in this circumstance? if it doesn't apply, please explain fully.

I am sincerely concerned about the lack of transparency in Fairfax,

Please do the right thing and provide for transparency with Town of Fairfax public documents.

Please provide the requested information right away.

Thank you for a prompt response today.

Best Regards,

Todd Greenberg

On Monday, August 5, 2024 at 03:57:16 PM PDT, Christine Foster <cfoster@townoffairfax.org> wrote:

Todd,

In response to your PRA Request, please find the following responses:

1. What are the contract terms with Town of Fairfax for the Bond Consultant, Urban Futures? Urban Futures. Inc.

No documents responsive to your request have been located.

- 2. Please provide any proposed contracts, and any consummated contracts and Any and All correspondence, emails, text messages, faxes, and communications of any type between any Town of Fairfax Staff or Officials or Elected Officials, or consultants, and any employee, owner, partner, agent, or affiliate of Urban Futures.

 No responsive records. Records that are exempt pursuant to applicable law, including but not limited to the attorney-client privilege and attorney work product doctrine. (Government Code, section 7927.705.)
- 3. Please provide any proposed contracts, and any consummated contracts and Any and All correspondence, emails, text messages, faxes, and communications of any type between any Town of Fairfax Staff or Officials or Elected Officials, or consultants, and any employee, owner, partner, agent, or affiliate of any other Bond Consulting Firms that have been contacted by Town of Fairfax.

No documents responsive to your request have been located.

- 4. How much will Urban Futures be paid, both directly and indirectly, in any manner, by any entity whatsoever for their services related to these proposed Town Of Fairfax bonds? No documents responsive to your request have been located.
- 5. What are the contract terms with Town of Fairfax for BB&K as Bond and Disclosure Counsel? https://bbklaw.com/ See attached document for BBK contract.
- 6. How much will BB&K be paid for their services? Please provide any communications related to this and any estimates of the anticipated costs. No documents responsive to your request have been located.
- 7. Please provide any correspondence with BB&K or other Attorney's pertaining to proposed Town of Fairfax Bond Offerings, redacted where necessary. No responsive records. Records that are exempt pursuant to applicable law, including but not limited to the attorney-client privilege and attorney work product doctrine. (Government Code, section 7927.705.)
- 8. Please provide all billing amounts and invoices paid to date, received but not yet paid, and or due or obligated to be paid related to the Town's proposed Bond offering. Documents responsive to this request have been located and will be provided on a rolling basis.
- 9. Please provide any and all documents, tables, spreadsheets, presentations, or other information of any type which describe the details of the proposed Bond terms, alternate Bond terms that have been presented, and alternate financing scenarios that have been considered. Responsive documents can be located in the <u>June 5 agenda packet</u>, the <u>July 17 agenda packet</u>, and the <u>August 7 agenda packet</u>.
- 10. Please provide any and all documents, tables, spreadsheets, presentations, or other information of any type which describe the details of alternate Bond terms that have been presented, and alternate financing scenarios that have been considered.

 Documents responsive can be located in the June 5 agenda packet, the July 17 agenda packet, and the August 7 agenda packet.
- 11. Please provide any and all documents, tables, spreadsheets, presentations, or other information of any type which describe the details of alternate financing options that have been considered. Documents responsive can be located in the <u>June 5 agenda</u> <u>packet</u>, the <u>July 17 agenda packet</u>, and the <u>August 7 agenda packet</u>.
- 12. Please provide any and all documents, tables, spreadsheets, presentations, or other information of any type which describe the details of "pay as you go" financing of Road Repairs that the Bond Measure is ostensibly meant for, specifically at levels higher than the publicly discussed ~\$500,000 per year, including "pay as you go" at any and all incremental amounts up to \$3M per year.

 Documents responsive can be located in the January 10 agenda packet.
- 13. Please list all other Bond Consulting companies that were contacted, dates contacted, and describe the selection process for Urban Futures. No documents responsive to your request have been located.
- 14. Please provide all documents and communications related to the selection process of Urban Futures, including copies of emails and proposals, from Urban Futures and any other Bond Consulting companies. No documents responsive to your request have been located.
- 15. Please provide all documents, emails, or other communications describing the Town's selection criteria and process for the Bond Consultant, Urban Futures, and the Town's Bond and Disclosures Advisor, BB&K. No documents responsive to your request have been located.

Thank you, Christine

Christine Foster | Deputy Town Clerk 415-458-2343 | <u>Town of Fairfax</u> 142 Bolinas Road, Fairfax, CA 94930

From: todd greenberg <hedgewell@yahoo.com> Sent: Wednesday, July 31, 2024 12:40 PM

To: Christine Foster <cfoster@townoffairfax.org>; Michael Vivrette <mvivrette@townoffairfax.org>

Cc: Michele Gardner < mgardner@townoffairfax.org>

Subject: CA Codes to keep in mind Re: 7/24/2024 PRA related to Town of Fairfax proposed Bond Offering: What are the contract terms with Town of Fairfax Bond Consultant Urban Futures and

Dear Christine, Michael, and Michele,

I am hopeful that you will respond to this 7/24/2024 PRA within the 10 day statutory response deadline.

Please take notice of and incorporate all of the following 2023 CA Code section(s) requirements in your responses to the below PRA and any other financial PRA's made to the the Town of Fairfax.

the codes below include, but are not limited to:

7928.700. Notwithstanding any contract term to the contrary, a contract entered into by a state or local agency subject to this division, including the University of California, that requires a private entity to review, audit, or report on any aspect of that agency shall be public to the extent the contract is otherwise subject to disclosure under this division.

(Added by Stats. 2021, Ch. 614, Sec. 2. (AB 473) Effective January 1, 2022. Operative January 1, 2023, pursuant to Sec. 7931.000.)

and

7928.720. Notwithstanding Sections 7920.510, 7920.515, 7920.520, 7920.530, 7920.540, and 7920.545, and subdivision (a) of Section 7920.525, an itemized statement of the total expenditures and disbursements of any agency provided for in Article VI of the California Constitution shall be open for inspection.

(Added by Stats. 2021, Ch. 614, Sec. 2. (AB 473) Effective January 1, 2022. Operative January 1, 2023, pursuant to Sec. 7931.000.)

Thank you in advance for providing what should be readily available public records on a timely basis.

Best Regards,

Todd Greenberg

2023 California Code

Government Code - GOV

TITLE 1 - GENERAL

DIVISION 10 - ACCESS TO PUBLIC RECORDS

CHAPTER 15 - Public Entity Spending, Finances, and Oversight

ARTICLE 2 - Requirements Specific to Online Access

Section 7928.801.

Universal Citation: CA Govt Code § 7928.801 (2023)

Previous

7928.801. (a) Any executed contract for the purchase of goods or services by a state or local agency, including the price and terms of payment, is a public record subject to disclosure under this division.

- (b) Any provision in a written agreement that purports to exclude a contract specified in subdivision (a) from disclosure by agreeing to consider it a confidential or proprietary record of the vendor is void and unenforceable as a matter of law.
- (c) This section does not require disclosure of a record that is otherwise exempt from disclosure or prohibited from disclosure pursuant to federal or state law.

(Added by Stats. 2023, Ch. 77, Sec. 1. (SB 790) Effective January 1, 2024.)

2023 California Code :: Government Code - GOV :: TITLE 1 - GENERAL :: DIVISION 10 - ACCESS TO PUBLIC RECORDS :: PART 5 - SPECIFIC TYPES OF PUBLIC RECORDS :: CHAPTER 15 - Public Entity Spending, Finances, and Oversight :: ARTICLE 1 - Access in General

2023 California Code :: Government Code -GOV :: TITLE 1 - GENERAL :: DI...

Access in General from CHAPTER 15, TITLE 1 DIVISION 10 PART 5

of the California Government Code (2023)

2023 California Code Government Code - GOV TITLE 1 - GENERAL **DIVISION 10 - ACCESS TO PUBLIC RECORDS** PART 5 - SPECIFIC TYPES OF PUBLIC RECORDS CHAPTER 15 - Public Entity Spending, Finances, and

Oversight ARTICLE 1 - Access in General

Next

- Section 7928.700.
- Section 7928.705.
- Section 7928.710.
- Section 7928.715.
- Section 7928.720.

2023 California Code

Government Code - GOV

TITLE 1 - GENERAL

DIVISION 10 - ACCESS TO PUBLIC RECORDS

PART 5 - SPECIFIC TYPES OF PUBLIC RECORDS

CHAPTER 15 - Public Entity Spending, Finances, and Oversight

ARTICLE 1 - Access in General

Section 7928.700.

Universal Citation: CA Govt Code § 7928.700 (2023)

Next

7928.700. Notwithstanding any contract term to the contrary, a contract entered into by a state or local agency subject to this division, including the University of California, that requires a private entity to review, audit, or report on any aspect of that agency shall be public to the extent the contract is otherwise subject to disclosure under this division.

(Added by Stats. 2021, Ch. 614, Sec. 2. (AB 473) Effective January 1, 2022. Operative January 1, 2023, pursuant to Sec. 7931.000.)

2023 California Code

Government Code - GOV

TITLE 1 - GENERAL

DIVISION 10 - ACCESS TO PUBLIC RECORDS

PART 5 - SPECIFIC TYPES OF PUBLIC RECORDS

CHAPTER 15 - Public Entity Spending, Finances, and Oversight

ARTICLE 1 - Access in General

Section 7928.720.

Universal Citation: CA Govt Code § 7928.720 (2023)

Previous

7928.720. Notwithstanding Sections 7920.510, 7920.515, 7920.520, 7920.530, 7920.540, and 7920.545, and subdivision (a) of Section 7920.525, an itemized statement of the total expenditures and disbursements of any agency provided for in Article VI of the California Constitution shall be open for inspection.

(Added by Stats. 2021, Ch. 614, Sec. 2. (AB 473) Effective January 1, 2022. Operative January 1, 2023, pursuant to Sec. 7931.000.)

all of this and more can be found here:

<u>2023 California Code :: Government Code - GOV :: TITLE 1 - GENERAL :: DIVISION 10 - ACCESS TO PUBLIC RECORDS :: PART 5 - SPECIFIC TYPES OF PUBLIC RECORDS</u>

2023 California Code :: Government Code - GOV :: TITLE 1 - GENERAL :: DI...

SPECIFIC TYPES OF PUBLIC RECORDS from DIVISION 10, TITLE 1 of the California Government Code (2023).

On Wednesday, July 24, 2024 at 04:28:21 PM PDT, todd greenberg <hedgewell@yahoo.com> wrote:

7/24/2024

PRA related to Town of Fairfax proposed Bond Offering:

Dear Deputy Town Clerk Christine Foster and Finance Director Michael Vivrette,

Please answer the below questions as promptly as possible and consider the following to be a PRA request and provide the requested documents within 10 days as is required by CA law.

- 1. What are the contract terms with Town of Fairfax for the Bond Consultant, Urban Futures? Urban Futures, Inc.
- 2. Please provide any proposed contracts, and any consummated contracts and Any and All correspondence, emails, text messages, faxes, and communications of any type between any Town of Fairfax Staff or Officials or Elected Officials, or consultants, and any employee, owner, partner, agent, or affiliate of Urban Futures.
- 3. Please provide any proposed contracts, and any consummated contracts and Any and All correspondence, emails, text messages, faxes, and communications of any type between any Town of Fairfax Staff or Officials or Elected Officials, or consultants, and any employee, owner, partner, agent, or affiliate of any other Bond Consulting Firms that have been contacted by Town of Fairfax.
- 4. How much will Urban Futures be paid, both directly and indirectly, in any manner, by any entity whatsoever for their services related to these proposed Town Of Fairfax bonds?

- 5. What are the contract terms with Town of Fairfax for BB&K as Bond and Disclosure Counsel? https://bbklaw.com/
- 6. How much will BB&K be paid for their services? Please provide any communications related to this and any estimates of the anticipated costs.
- 7. Please provide any correspondence with BB&K or other Attorney's pertaining to proposed Town of Fairfax Bond Offerings, redacted where necessary.
- 8. Please provide all billing amounts and invoices paid to date, received but not yet paid, and or due or obligated to be paid related to the Town's proposed Bond offering.
- 9. Please provide any and all documents, tables, spreadsheets, presentations, or other information of any type which describe the details of the proposed Bond terms, alternate Bond terms that have been presented, and alternate financing scenarios that have been considered.
- 10. Please provide any and all documents, tables, spreadsheets, presentations, or other information of any type which describe the details of alternate Bond terms that have been presented, and alternate financing scenarios that have been considered.
- 11. Please provide any and all documents, tables, spreadsheets, presentations, or other information of any type which describe the details of alternate financing options that have been considered.
- 12. Please provide any and all documents, tables, spreadsheets, presentations, or other information of any type which describe the details of "pay as you go" financing of Road Repairs that the Bond Measure is ostensibly meant for, specifically at levels higher than the publicly discussed ~\$500,000 per year, including "pay as you go" at any and all incremental amounts up to \$3M per year.
- 13. Please list all other Bond Consulting companies that were contacted, dates contacted, and describe the selection process for Urban Futures.
- 14. Please provide all documents and communications related to the selection process of Urban Futures, including copies of emails and proposals, from Urban Futures and any other Bond Consulting companies.
- 15. Please provide all documents, emails, or other communications describing the Town's selection criteria and process for the Bond Consultant, Urban Futures, and the Town's Bond and Disclosures Advisor, BB&K.

Thank you for providing All of the public information requested in a timely manner.

Best Regards,

Todd Greenberg

Reference below Agenda Item #4 Staff Report from the 7/17/2024 Fairfax Town Council Meeting

Section 4. Appointment of Consultants.

In connection with the proposed issuance of the Bonds and related election matters, the Town Council hereby authorizes and ratifies the appointment of Urban Futures, Inc., as municipal advisor to the Town,

and Best Best & Krieger LLP, as Bond Counsel and Disclosure Counsel to the Town.

The Town Manager is hereby authorized and directed to execute, on behalf of the Town, a professional services agreement with each such firm.

- 3. <u>Receive Proposed Five-Year Streets and Roads Improvement Plan Assuming Fairfax Voter Approved Bond Measure of Estimated \$18 million</u> Public Works Director Loren Umbertis Presentation
- 4. Adopt the Resolution of Necessity and Waive First Reading and Read by Title Only Ordinance No. 890 Ordering the Submission of a Measure Incurring Bonded Indebtedness to the Voters at the November 2024 General Municipal Election Town Manager Heather Abrams and Town Attorney Janet Coleson Supplement to Item 4.

This email message is intended only for the named recipient and may contain confidential or privileged information. Taking notice of this message by third parties is not permitted. Any review, dissemination, copying, printing or other use of this e-mail by persons or entities other than the addressee is prohibited. If you have received it in error, please advise the sender by return e-mail and delete this message and any attachments from any computer, cloud storage, or other method of receipt or storage. Any unauthorized use or dissemination of this information is strictly prohibited.