

## TOWN OF FAIRFAX STAFF REPORT August 4, 2021

**TO:** Mayor and Town Council

**FROM:** Michele Gardner, Town Clerk

SUBJECT: Receive Marin Climate Energy Partnership (MCEP) Greenhouse Gas

Inventory

## **RECOMMENDATION**

Receive report.

## **DISCUSSION**

The Marin Climate Energy Partnership (MCEP) prepared the attached Greenhouse Gas Inventory for the year 2019.

## **FISCAL IMPACT**

N/a

## **ATTACHMENT**

Greenhouse Gas Inventory 2019


# **TOWN OF FAIRFAX**

GREENHOUSE GAS INVENTORY FOR COMMUNITY EMISSIONS FOR THE YEAR 2019

July 2021

Prepared by the Marin Climate & Energy Partnership





# TABLE OF CONTENTS

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	1
Introduction	2
Purpose of Inventory	2
GENERAL METHODOLOGY	2
COMMUNITY INVENTORY	4
COMMUNITY INVENTORY SUMMARY	4
PER CAPITA EMISSIONS	6
Major Sources of Emissions	7
ELECTRICITY USE	7
Natural Gas Use	8
Transportation	8
WASTE DISPOSAL	9
WATER USE	10
APPENDIX	Δ-1

## **EXECUTIVE SUMMARY**

THE TAKEAWAY:

COMMUNITY EMISSIONS DOWN 25% SINCE 2005

Fairfax publishes annual community greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions estimates through the Marin Climate & Energy Partnership (MCEP). Annual inventories help the Town to monitor its progress more closely in meeting its local GHG reduction goals to reduce emissions to zero by 2030 and to meet the statewide goal to reduce emissions 40% below 1990 levels by 2030. In addition to the community inventories, MCEP periodically prepares inventories for government operations emissions.

This report reviews emissions generated from the community from 2005 through 2019, the most recent year data is available. The inventory shows that the Fairfax community reduced emissions 25% since 2005, which is equivalent to 12% below estimated 1990 levels. Emissions dropped from about 40,084 metric tons carbon dioxide equivalents (MTCO<sub>2</sub>e) in 2005 to 29,909 MTCO<sub>2</sub>e in 2019. The community emissions trend and targets are shown below. Fairfax needs to reduce emissions another 9,466 MTCO<sub>2</sub>e to meet the State target for 2030 and another 23,496 MTCO<sub>2</sub>e to meet the State target for 2050, which is 80% below 1990 levels.




FIGURE 1: COMMUNITY EMISSIONS TREND

Recognizing the need for a collaborative approach to greenhouse gas reductions, city and county leaders launched the Marin Climate and Energy Partnership (MCEP) in 2007. The Town of Fairfax is a member of MCEP and works with representatives from the County of Marin and the other Marin cities and towns to address and streamline the implementation of a variety of greenhouse gas reduction measures. Funding for this inventory was provided by the Marin County Energy Watch Partnership, which administers public goods charges collected by PG&E. Community inventories are available on the MCEP website at <a href="marinclimate.org">marinclimate.org</a> and are used to update the <a href="Marin Sustainability Tracker">Marin Sustainability Tracker</a>.

## INTRODUCTION

### **PURPOSE OF INVENTORY**

The objective of this greenhouse gas emissions inventory is to identify the sources and quantify the amounts of greenhouse gas emissions generated by the activities of the Fairfax community in 2019. This inventory provides a comparison to 2005 and estimated 1990 emissions and identifies the sectors where significant reductions in greenhouse gas emissions have occurred. In some instances, previous year emissions were updated with new data and/or recalculated to ensure the same methodology was employed for all inventory years.

#### GENERAL METHODOLOGY

This inventory uses national standards for the accounting and reporting of greenhouse gas emissions. The <u>U.S.</u> Community Protocol for Accounting and Reporting of Greenhouse Gas Emissions, version 1.2 (July 2019) was used for the quantification and reporting of community emissions. Quantification methodologies, emission factors, and activity and source data are detailed in the appendix.

Community emissions are categorized according to seven sectors:

- Built Environment Electricity
- Built Environment Natural Gas
- Transportation
- Off-Road Vehicles and Equipment
- Waste
- Water
- Wastewater

#### **CALCULATING EMISSIONS**

Emissions are quantified by multiplying the measurable activity data – e.g., kilowatt hours of electricity, therms of natural gas, and gallons of diesel or gasoline – by emissions factors specific to the energy source. Most emissions factors are the same from year to year. Emission factors for electricity, however, change from year to year due to the specific sources that are used to produce electricity. For example, electricity that is produced from coal generates more greenhouse gases than electricity that is generated from natural gas and therefore has a higher emissions factor. Electricity that is produced solely from renewable energy sources such as solar and wind has an emissions factor of zero.

This inventory calculates individual greenhouse gases - e.g., carbon dioxide, methane and nitrous oxide - and converts each greenhouse gas emission to a standard metric, known as "carbon dioxide equivalents" or  $CO_2e$ , to provide an apple-to-apples comparison among the various emissions. Table 1 shows the greenhouse gases identified in this inventory and their global warming potential (GWP), a measure of the amount of warming each gas causes when compared to a similar amount of carbon dioxide. Methane, for example, is 28 times as potent as carbon dioxide; therefore, one metric ton of methane is equivalent to 28 metric tons of carbon dioxide. Greenhouse gas emissions are reported in this inventory as metric tons of carbon dioxide equivalents, or MTCO<sub>2</sub>e.

TABLE 1: GREENHOUSE GASES

Gas	Chemical Formula	Emission Source	Global Warming Potential
Carbon Dioxide	CO <sub>2</sub>	Combustion of natural gas, gasoline, diesel, and other fuels	1
Methane	CH <sub>4</sub>	Combustion, anaerobic decomposition of organic waste in landfills and wastewater	28
Nitrous Oxide	N <sub>2</sub> O	Combustion, wastewater treatment	265

Source: IPCC Fifth Assessment Report (2014)

#### TYPES OF EMISSIONS

Emissions from each of the greenhouse gases can come in a number of forms:

- Stationary or mobile combustion resulting from the on-site combustion of fuels (natural gas, diesel, gasoline, etc.) to generate heat or electricity, or to power vehicles and equipment.
- Purchased electricity resulting from the generation of power from utilities outside the jurisdictional boundary.
- Fugitive emissions resulting from the unintentional release of greenhouse gases into the atmosphere, such as leaked refrigerants and methane from waste decomposition.
- Process emissions from physical or chemical processing of a material, such as wastewater treatment.

#### **UNDERSTANDING TOTALS**

The totals listed in the tables and discussed in the report are a summation of emissions using available estimation methods. Each inventoried sector may have additional emissions sources associated with them that were unaccounted for due to a lack of data or robust quantification methods. For example, greenhouse gas emissions associated with air travel and the production of goods outside the community's boundary are not included in the inventory. Additionally, the community inventory does not include refrigerants released into the atmosphere from the use of air conditioning in cars and buildings.

## **COMMUNITY INVENTORY**

## **COMMUNITY INVENTORY SUMMARY**

In 2005, the activities taking place by the Fairfax community resulted in approximately 40,084 metric tons of  $CO_2e$ . In 2019, those activities resulted in approximately 29,909 metric tons of  $CO_2e$ , a reduction of 25% from 2005 levels, which is equivalent to 2% below 1990 levels.

The community inventory tracks emissions in seven sectors:

- The **Built Environment Electricity** sector represents emissions generated from the use of electricity in Fairfax homes and commercial, industrial, and governmental buildings and facilities<sup>2</sup>.
- The **Built Environment Natural Gas** sector represents emissions generated from the use of natural gas in Fairfax homes and commercial, industrial, and governmental buildings and facilities. Propane used as a primary heating source is also included, although it represents less than 1% of emissions in this sector.
- The Transportation sector includes tailpipe emissions from passenger vehicle trips originating and ending
  in Fairfax, as well as tailpipe emissions generated by medium and heavy-duty vehicles travelling on Marin
  County roads based on the Town's share of certain truck-generating industries. Emissions from buses
  serving Fairfax while travelling on roads within the jurisdiction are also included. Electricity used to power
  electric vehicles is embedded in electricity consumption reported in the Built Environment Electricity
  sector.
- The **Waste** sector represents fugitive methane emissions that are generated over time as organic material decomposes in the landfill. Although most methane is captured or flared off at the landfill, approximately 25% escapes into the atmosphere.
- The **Off-Road** sector represents emissions from the combustion of gasoline and diesel fuel from the operation of off-road vehicles and equipment used for construction and landscape maintenance.
- The **Water** sector represents emissions from energy used to pump, treat, and convey potable water from the water source to Fairfax water users.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Baseline and historical emissions are recalculated in the annual inventory to integrate new data and improved calculation methodologies and to ensure consistent comparison across each year. For this reason, emission levels may differ from levels reported in previous inventories.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Previous inventories categorized emissions from electricity, natural gas, and propane in the built environment according to the Residential and Non-Residential sectors. Beginning with this inventory, we are categorizing emissions in the built environment as Electricity and Natural Gas in order to align and better track with the Climate Action Plan's goals to electrify the built environment.

• The Wastewater sector represents stationary, process and fugitive greenhouse gases that are created during the treatment of wastewater generated by the community, as well as emissions created from electricity used to convey and treat wastewater.

Table 2 shows how emissions in each sector have changed since 2005. The greatest reductions have occurred in the Built Environment - Electricity sector (-4,674 MTCO<sub>2</sub>e), followed by the Transportation sector (-3,427 MTCO<sub>2</sub>e).

TABLE 2: EMISSIONS SUMMARY BY SECTOR (MTCO<sub>2</sub>E), 2005 THROUGH 2019

			•	• •						
Year	Built Environment - Electricity	Built Environment – Natural Gas	Transportation	Waste	Off-Road	Water	Wastewater	Total	% Change from 2005	% Change from 1990
1990 (est.)1								34,071		
2005	6,513	11,089	18,904	2,450	653	305	170	40,084		
2006	6,007	11,461	19,298	2,433	675	267	164	40,305	1%	
2007	7,578	11,185	18,961	2,190	804	359	206	41,284	3%	
2008	7,593	11,153	18,661	1,825	672	331	211	40,447	1%	
2009	7,068	10,970	18,424	1,574	595	334	187	39,151	-2%	
2010	5,299	11,246	17,780	1,548	562	192	153	36,780	-8%	
2011	4,673	11,753	18,054	1,506	556	136	142	36,821	-8%	
2012	4,991	11,268	18,568	1,557	548	146	150	37,229	-7%	
2013	4,484	11,278	18,393	1,573	539	169	147	36,583	-9%	
2014	3,901	9,430	17,378	1,590	530	152	127	33,108	-17%	
2015	3,724	9,582	16,843	1,658	522	120	125	32,574	-19%	
2016	3,022	9,787	16,381	1,949	510	89	114	31,852	-21%	
2017	1,463	10,464	16,093	2,037	496	26	85	30,666	-23%	
2018	1,585	10,249	15,760	1,807	481	9	76	29,968	-25%	
2019	1,839	10,331	15,478	1,723	465	10	64	29,909	-25%	-12%
Change from 2005	-4,674	-759	-3,427	-727	-188	-295	-105	-10,175		
% Change from 2005	-72%	-7%	-18%	-30%	-29%	-97%	-62%	-25%		

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Per California Air Resources Board guidance, 1990 levels are estimated at 15% below 2005 levels.

Figure 2 shows the relative contribution of emissions from these sectors in 2019. The likely reasons for the largest emissions decreases are described in the remainder of this report.

Built Environment -Built Electricity Environment -6% Natural Gas 34% Off-Road. 2% Transportation Water & 52% Wastewater <1% Waste 6%


FIGURE 2: EMISSIONS BY SECTOR, 2019

## PER CAPITA EMISSIONS

Per capita emissions can be a useful metric for measuring progress in reducing greenhouse gases and for comparing one community's emissions with neighboring cities and against regional and national averages. That said, due to differences in emission inventory methods, it can be difficult to produce directly comparable per capita emissions numbers. Per capita emission rates may be compared among Marin jurisdictions, although some jurisdictions may have higher rates due to the presence of commercial and industrial uses.

Dividing the total community-wide GHG emissions by residents yields a result of 5.5 metric tons CO<sub>2</sub>e per capita in 2005. Per capita emissions decreased 30% between 2005 and 2019, falling to 3.9 metric tons per person. Figure 3 shows the trend in per capita emissions over time. It is important to understand that this number is not the same as the carbon footprint of the average individual living in Fairfax, which would include lifecycle emissions, emissions resulting from air travel, the manufacturing and distribution of products and food, etc.

FIGURE 3: EMISSIONS PER CAPITA




### MAJOR SOURCES OF EMISSIONS

The following sections provide a year-by-year analysis of the changes in GHG emissions from the Town's largest sources: electricity, natural gas, transportation, waste, and water use. Whenever possible, each section discusses the change in emissions from previous years and the likely influence of state and local programs or policies and external factors on reducing emissions.

#### **ELECTRICITY USE**

Electricity use in homes and businesses in Fairfax decreased 13% between 2005 and 2019. Greenhouse gas emissions from electricity consumption decreased 71% since 2005, as shown in Figure 4. This is primarily due to the lower carbon intensity of electricity. PG&E has been steadily increasing the amount of renewable energy in its electricity mix. In 2019, PG&E electricity came from a mix of renewable (29%), large hydroelectric (27%), and nuclear (44%) energy sources and was virtually GHG-free.<sup>3</sup> The carbon intensity of MCE Light Green electricity was more carbon intensive in 2019 than the previous two years but was still below the 10-year average. In 2019, about 13.8% of MCE electricity purchased by Fairfax customers was 100% renewable Deep Green electricity, including electricty purchased by the Town government.

FIGURE 4: ELECTRICITY EMISSIONS




<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> PG&E, 2019 Power Mix, <a href="https://www.pge.com/pge\_global/common/pdfs/your-account/your-bill/understand-your-bill/bill-inserts/2020/1220-PowerContent-ADA.pdf">https://www.pge.com/pge\_global/common/pdfs/your-account/your-bill/understand-your-bill/bill-inserts/2020/1220-PowerContent-ADA.pdf</a>

#### NATURAL GAS USE

Natural gas is used in residential, commercial, and industrial buildings to provide space and water heating and power appliances. Use of natural gas is highly variable depending on the weather conditions in a given year. This variability has led natural gas use consumption in Fairfax to fluctuate from year to year, from a high of 2.2 million therms in 2011 to a low of 1.7 million therms in 2014. Emissions from natural gas consumption increased 1% between 2018 and 2019 and was 6% below the 2005 level.

Reduction in energy use may also be attributed to energy efficiency programs and rebates, local green building ordinances, and State building codes. California's goal is to require all new residential and commercial buildings to be zero net energy by 2030.

FIGURE 5: NATURAL GAS EMISSIONS



#### **TRANSPORTATION**

Transportation activities accounted for approximately 52% of Fairfax's emissions in 2019. Vehicle miles traveled have decreased approximately 1% since 2005. Transportation emissions have decreased 18%; the additional decline is due to more fuel-efficient and alternatively fueled cars (Figure 6). As shown in Figure 7, most transportation emissions come from passenger vehicles, accounting for 81% of transportation emissions in 2019. Marin County continues to be a leader in zero emission vehicles (ZEVs) – second only to Santa Clara County – with 8,600 ZEVs in Marin at the end of 2019, or about 4% of registered automobiles. ZEVs include battery electric cars, plug-in hybrid electric cars, hydrogen fuel cell cars, and zero-emission motorcycles. Fairfax had nearly 300 ZEVs by the end of 2019.

While it is difficult to pinpoint exactly how each land use and transportation policy affects emissions, the Town has undertaken many efforts to reduce transportation emissions. The Town encourages workforce housing and has made it easier for residents to use carbon-free modes of transportation, such as bicycling and walking, through improvements to the transportation network.

FIGURE 6: VEHICLE MILES TRAVELED AND TRANSPORTATION EMISSIONS





FIGURE 7: TRANSPORATION EMISSIONS BY VEHICLE TYPE



## WASTE DISPOSAL

Waste generated by the community hit a low in 2011 but has since increased as shown in the chart below (based on countywide disposal data). Landfilled waste decreased 6% between 2018 and 2019 and was 5% below the 2005 level. Emissions from waste disposal decreased 30% due to the lower organic content of material used for alternative daily cover.




FIGURE 8: DISPOSED WASTE AND EMISSIONS

#### WATER USE

Per capita water use declined 25% since 2005, as shown in Figure 9. Emissions, which are based on an estimate of energy used to pump, treat, and convey water from the water source to the Town limits, dropped 97% between 2005 and 2019 (see Figure 10). The additional reduction is due to the lower carbon intensity of electricity. The Marin Municipal Water District (MMWD) began purchasing MCE Deep Green electricity in mid-2017. The Sonoma County Water Agency (SCWA), which supplied approximately 24% of MMWD's water in 2019, uses renewable and carbon-free sources for its electricity needs; a small amount of emissions comes from stationary and mobile combustion of fuels used in SCWA's operations.




FIGURE 9: PER CAPITA WATER USE

Source: Marin Municipal Water District

450 350 400 Mater Use (million gallons) 350 250 150 100 300 Emissions (MTCO<sub>2</sub>e) 250 200 150 100 50 50 0 2005 2006 2007 2008 2009 2010 2011 2012 2013 2014 2015 2016 2017 2018 2019 ■ Water Use -Water Emissions

FIGURE 10: COMMUNITY WATER USE AND EMISSIONS

MMWD provides rebates and programs to reduce water use. Rebates are available to replace fixtures with high-efficiency clothes washers and to purchase cisterns and rain barrels. MMWD provides free home and landscape water-use evaluations as well as free high-efficiency showerheads and faucet aerators.

## **APPENDIX: COMMUNITY INVENTORY**

## **Community GHG Emissions Summary Table**

Jurisdiction: Town of Fairfax Inventory Year: 2019

Population: 7,721 (CA Department of Finance) Date Prepared: June 17, 2021

Number of Households: 3,386 (CA Department of Finance) Reporting Framework: Communitywide Activities

		Source	Included,	Included,	Excluded		
	Emissions Type	or	Required	Optional	(IE, NA,		Emissions
ID		Activity	Activities	Activities	NO or NE)	Notes	(MTCO₂e)
1.0	Built Environment						
1.1	Use of fuel in residential and commercial stationary combustion equipment	Both	•				10,331
1.2	Industrial stationary sources	Source			NE		
1.3	Power generation in the community	Source			NO		
1.4	Use of electricity in the community	Activity	•			Includes transmission and distribution losses	1,839
1.5	District heating/cooling facilities in the community	Source			NE		
1.6	Use of district heating/cooling facilities in the community	Activity			NE		
1.7	Industrial process emissions in the community	Source			NO		
1.8	Refrigerant leakage in the community	Source			NE		
2.0	Transportation and Other Mobile Sources						
2.1	On-road passenger vehicles operating within the community boundary	Source			IE	Obtained data for preferred activity- based method instead	
2.2	On-road passenger vehicles associated with community land uses	Activity	•				12,595
2.3	On-road freight and service vehicles operating within the community boundary	Source			IE	Obtained data for preferred activity- based method instead	
2.4	On-road freight and service vehicles associated with community land uses	Activity	•				2,714
2.5	On-road transit vehicles associated with community land uses	Activity		•			169
2.6	Transit rail vehicles operating with the community boundary	Source			NO		
2.7	Use of transit rail travel by the community	Activity			NE		
2.8	Inter-city passenger rail vehicles operating within the	Source			NO		

	community boundary						
2.9	Freight rail vehicles operating within the community	Source			NO		
	boundary	Jource					
2.10	Marine vessels operating within the community boundary	Source			NO		
2.11	Use of ferries by the community	Activity			NE		
2.12	Off-road surface vehicles and other mobile equipment operating within the community boundary	Source		•			465
2.13	Use of air travel by the community	Activity			NE		
3.0	Solid Waste						
3.1	Operation of solid waste disposal facilities in the community	Source			NO		
3.2	Generation and disposal of solid waste by the community	Activity	•				1,723
4.0	Water and Wastewater						
4.1	Operation of water delivery facilities in the community	Source			IE	Energy use is included in 1.1 and 1.4.	
4.2	Use of energy associated with use of potable water by the community	Activity	•				10
4.3	Use of energy associated with generation of wastewater by the community	Activity	•				0
4.4	Process emissions from operation of wastewater treatment facilities located in the community	Source			NO		
4.5	Process emissions associated with generation of wastewater by the community	Activity	•				64
4.6	Use of septic systems in the community	Source			NE		
5.0	Agriculture						
5.1	Domesticated animal production	Source			NE		
5.2	Manure decomposition and treatment	Source			NE		
6.0	Upstream Impacts of Communitywide Activities						
6.1	Upstream impacts of fuels used in stationary applications by the community	Activity			NE		
6.2	Upstream and transmission and distribution (T&D) impacts of purchased electricity used by the community	Activity			IE	Transmission and distribution losses included in 1.4.	
6.3	Upstream impacts of fuels used by water and wastewater facilities for water used and wastewater generated within the community boundary	Activity			IE	Included in 4.2 and 4.3.	
6.4	Upstream impacts of select materials (concrete, food, paper, carpets, etc.) used by the whole community.	Activity			NE		

### Legend

IE – Included Elsewhere: Emissions for this activity are estimated and presented in another category of the inventory. The category where these emissions are included should be noted in the explanation.

NE – Not Estimated: Emissions occur but have not been estimate or reported (e.g., data unavailable, effort required not justifiable).

NA – Not Applicable: The activity occurs but does not cause emissions; explanation should be provided. NO – Not Occurring: The source or activity does not occur or exist within the community.	

## **Community Emissions Data Sources and Calculation Methodologies**

Sector/ID	<b>Emissions Source</b>	Source and/or Activity Data	Emission Factor and Methodology			
1.0 Built Enviro	1.0 Built Environment					
1.1 Stationary Combustion	Stationary Combustion (CO <sub>2</sub> , CH <sub>4</sub> & N <sub>2</sub> O)	Known fuel use (meter readings by PG&E) and estimated fuel use (American Community Survey 5-Year Estimates, and U.S. Energy Information Administration Household Site Fuel Consumption data).	Default CO <sub>2</sub> , CH <sub>4</sub> & N <sub>2</sub> O emission factors by fuel type (U.S. Community Protocol v. 1.1 Tables B.1 and B.3). U.S. Community Protocol v. 1.1, Appendix C, Method BE.1.1 and BE.1.2.			
1.4 Electricity Use	Electricity Use (CO <sub>2</sub> , CH <sub>4</sub> & N <sub>2</sub> O)	Known electricity use (meter readings by PG&E and MCE) and estimated direct access electricity consumption.	Verified utility-specific emission factors (PG&E and MCE) and eGrid subregion default emission factors. U.S. Community Protocol v. 1.1, Appendix C, Method BE.2.1.			
	Electric Power Transmission and Distribution Losses (CO <sub>2</sub> , CH <sub>4</sub> & N <sub>2</sub> O)	Estimated electricity grid loss for Western region from eGrid.	U.S. Community Protocol v. 1.1, Appendix C, Method BE.4.1.			
	ion and Other Mobile Sourc					
2.2 On-Road Passenger Vehicle	On-Road Mobile Combustion (CO <sub>2</sub> )	Estimated passenger vehicle miles traveled associated with origin and destination land uses (Metropolitan Transportation Commission, http://capvmt.us-west-2.elasticbeanstalk.com/data).	${\sf CO_2}$ for on-road passenger vehicles quantified in the EMFAC2017 model. Passenger vehicle emissions calculated according to U.S. Community Protocol v. 1.1, Appendix D, Method TR.1.A.			
Operation	On-Road Mobile Combustion (CH <sub>4</sub> & N <sub>2</sub> O)	Estimated vehicle miles traveled associated with origin and destination land uses (Metropolitan Transportation Commission, http://capvmt.us-west-2.elasticbeanstalk.com/data).	CH <sub>4</sub> and N <sub>2</sub> O for on-road passenger vehicles quantified in the EMFAC2017 model and adjusted for IPCC AR5 100-year values. Passenger vehicle emissions calculated according to U.S. Community Protocol v. 1.1, Appendix D, Method TR.1.A.			
2.4 On-Road Freight and Service Truck	On-Road Mobile Combustion (CO <sub>2</sub> )	Estimated commercial vehicle miles traveled within the boundary (Metropolitan Transportation Commission utilizing the 2017 Regional Transportation Plan).	CO <sub>2</sub> for on-road commercial vehicles quantified in the EMFAC2017 model. Emissions allocated utilizing LEHD data according to U.S. Community Protocol v. 1.1, Appendix D, Method TR.2.A.			
Freight Operation	On-Road Mobile Combustion (CH <sub>4</sub> & N <sub>2</sub> O)	Estimated commercial vehicle miles traveled within the boundary (Metropolitan Transportation Commission utilizing Plan Bay Area 2040 and the 2017 Regional Transportation Plan).	${\rm CH_4}$ and ${\rm N_2O}$ for on-road commercial vehicles quantified in the EMFAC2017 model and adjusted for IPCC AR5 100-year values. Emissions allocated utilizing LEHD data according to U.S. Community Protocol v. 1.1, Appendix D, Method TR.2.A.			
2.5 On-Road Transit Operation	On-Road Mobile Combustion (CO <sub>2</sub> )	Estimated vehicle miles traveled within the boundary (Marin Transit and Golden Gate Transit) and estimated diesel fuel efficiency for transit fleet (Golden Gate Transit). Fuel type provided by Marin Transit and Golden Gate Transit.	Renewable diesel emission factor provided by <u>NEXGEN</u> . U.S. Community Protocol v. 1.1, Appendix D, Method TR.4.A.			
	On-Road Mobile Combustion (CH <sub>4</sub> & N <sub>2</sub> O)	Estimated vehicle miles traveled within the boundary (Marin Transit and Golden Gate Transit) and estimated diesel fuel efficiency for transit fleet (Golden Gate Transit). Fuel type	Renewable diesel emission factor provided by <u>NEXGEN</u> . U.S. Community Protocol v. 1.1, Appendix D, Method TR.4.B.			

		provided by Marin Transit and Golden Gate Transit.	
2.12 Off-Road Vehicles and Equipment	Off-Road Mobile Combustion (CO <sub>2</sub> )	Estimated fuel use from OFFROAD 2007 for Lawn and Garden and from OFFROAD2017 for Construction equipment. All categories are allocated by share of countywide households.	$CO_2$ emissions calculated according U.S. Community Protocol v. 1.1, Appendix D, Method TR.8. Emission factors provided in Table TR.1.6.
	Off-Road Mobile Combustion (CH <sub>4</sub> & N <sub>2</sub> O)	Estimated fuel use from OFFROAD 2007 for Lawn and Garden and from OFFROAD2017 for Construction equipment. All categories are allocated by share of countywide households.	$\text{CH}_4$ and $\text{N}_2\text{O}$ emissions calculated according to U.S. Community Protocol v. 1.1, Appendix D, Method TR.8. Emission factors provided in the Local Government Operations Protocol Table G.11 and G.14.
3.0 Solid Waste			
3.2 Solid Waste Generation and Disposal	Fugitive Emissions from Landfilled Waste (CH <sub>4</sub> )	Estimated landfilled tons based on reporting to CalRecycle by Marin County Solid and Hazardous Waste JPA and allocated to jurisdiction based on share of countywide population. Waste characterization based on the Statewide Waste Characterization Study (2008 and 2014) and Alternative Daily Cover by Jurisdiction of Origin and Material Type as reported to CalRecycle.	Emission factors calculated utilizing U.S. Community Protocol for Accounting and Report of Greenhouse Gas Emissions, Version 1.1, July 2013, Appendix E, Method SW.4.
4.0 Water and	Wastewater		
4.2 Water Supply & Conveyance, Treatment and	Electricity Use (CO <sub>2</sub> )	Water consumption (district-wide gpcd) and electricity consumption provided by Marin Municipal Water District (MMWD). Sonoma County Water Agency (SCWA) water delivery amount provided by <u>SCWA</u> .	Verified utility-specific emission factors (PG&E, MCE and SCWA). Emissions calculated according to U.S. Community Protocol v. 1.1, Appendix F, Method WW.14.
Distribution	Electricity Use (CH <sub>4</sub> & N <sub>2</sub> O)	Water consumption (district-wide gpcd) provided by Marin Municipal Water District (MMWD). Electricity consumption data provided by MMWD.	eGrid subregion default emission factors. Emissions calculated according to U.S. Community Protocol v. 1.1, Appendix F, Method WW.14.
4.5 Treatment of Wastewater	Stationary Emissions from Combustion of Digester Gas (CH <sub>4</sub> )	Known amount of digester gas produced per day and known percent of methane in digester gas provided by Central Marin Sanitation Agency.	Emissions calculated according to U.S. Community Protocol v. 1.1, Appendix F, Method WW.1.a.
	Stationary Emissions from Combustion of Digester Gas (N <sub>2</sub> O)	Known amount of digester gas produced per day and known percent of methane in digester gas provided by Central Marin Sanitation Agency.	Emissions calculated according to U.S. Community Protocol v. 1.1, Appendix F, Method WW.2.a.
	Process Emissions from	Estimated population served by wastewater treatment plant	Emissions calculated according to U.S. Community Protocol v. 1.1,

Wastewater Treatment	provided by Central Marin Sanitation Agency.	Appendix F, Method WW.8.
Plant without		
Nitrification or		
Denitrification		
Fugitive Emissions from	Estimated population served by wastewater treatment plant	Emissions calculated according to U.S. Community Protocol v. 1.1
Effluent Discharge	provided by Central Marin Sanitation Agency. Assumed	Appendix F, Method WW.12(alt).
(N <sub>2</sub> O)	significant industrial or commercial input.	