



# FAIRFAX TOWN COUNCIL MEETING STAFF REPORT

**MEETING DATE:** February 7, 2024  
**PREPARED FOR:** Mayor and Town Council  
**PREPARED BY:** Linda Neal, Principal Planner  
**SUBJECT:** Directed referral of Planning Commission Resolution No. 2024-01 temporarily suspending Planning Commission Resolution 2022-01 for Application # 21-17 due to non-compliance with conditions of approval, plans and discretionary permits, including a Hill Area Residential Development Permit, Design Review Permit, Excavation Permit, Tree Removal Permit and Minimum and Combined Side-yard Setback and Retaining Wall Height Variances for a Single-family Residence, Detached Garage/Accessory Dwelling Unit at 79 Wood Lane

---

## **RECOMMENDATION**

Adopt Resolution No. 24-\_\_\_\_, A Resolution of the Fairfax Town Council Revoking and Rescinding Planning Commission Resolution No. 2022-01 for Application No. 21-17, Including Approvals for a Hill Area Residential Development Permit, Design Review Permit, Excavation Permit, and Tree Removal Permit and a Minimum and Combined Side-yard Setback and Retaining Wall Height Variances, and Accompanying Building Permits for a Residence Located at 79 Wood Lane

## **APPROVED PROJECT DESCRIPTION**

The January 2022 Planning Commission approval of Application No. 21-17 granted a Hill Area Residential Development Permit, Design Review Permit, Excavation Permit, Tree Removal Permit, Minimum and Combined Side-Yard Setback and a Retaining Wall Height Variances for a three bedroom, three and ½ bathroom, single-family residence with a detached combination 400 square-foot, two car garage and 500 square-foot accessory dwelling unit (ADU). The approved project included an elevator in the main residence accessing the 469 square-foot basement and second floor of the proposed 2,210 square foot residence. The basement was to be accessible from the exterior of the structure and was to be used as a mechanical room for the residence's heating/cooling system. The residence also was to have an interior stairway beginning on the first floor and accessing the second floor.

Prior to approving the permits listed above, the Commission reviewed the plan set dated 10/7/21 (Attachment B) and approved the permits based on the plan set and the requirement that the project be built to conform to the approved plans (Attachment A1- Resolution 2022-01, Condition #15 on page 6).

## **BACKGROUND**

On January 20, 2022, the Planning Commission approved a Hill Area Residential Development Permit, Design Review Permit, Excavation Permit, Tree Removal Permit, Minimum and Combined Side-yard Setback and Retaining Wall Height Variances for a 23-foot tall, three bedroom, three and one-half bathroom, 2,210 square-foot, single-family residence and a detached 400 square-foot, two-car garage with a 500 square-foot, accessory dwelling unit (ADU). The Planning Commission carefully addressed concerns of adjacent neighbors on the height, massing, and orientation of the structure to limit the visual and physical impacts on adjacent properties prior to making the required legal findings approving the design review permit. Due to topographical constraints on the site (a relatively flat front yard of approximately 92 feet from the street, followed by a 42% slope for another 320 feet), the Planning Commission carefully reviewed and approved a

project that could be safely constructed with a limited risk of landslide. This included requiring a limit on the excavation for a basement and careful placement of the structures on the site.

The building permit for the project was issued on August 4, 2022. All building permit submittals are required to be consistent with the Commission's approvals prior to building permit issuance and projects are required to be constructed according to the submitted and approved documents and conditions of approval. Shortly after the permit was issued, the Building Official discovered that the basement, which, in accordance with approved plans, was to have only 469 square-feet of basement area with 6-foot ceilings while the rest of the under-floor area was to be crawl space with overhead clearance of eighteen inches, was excavated so that the entire underfloor area was excavated to the same depth in violation of the building permit and Conditions of Approval (Attachment B - original plan set page A4.1). Due to this violation, the Building Department posted an Order to Stop Work (a red tag) and stopped the project construction. The property owner/applicant's attorney filed an ex parte application in Marin County Superior Court on August 29, 2022, seeking a lifting of the Order to Stop Work. Through negotiations, the property owner/applicant agreed to work with the Building Official to reach agreement regarding the basement. At that time, the property owner/applicant was told he needed to apply for Planning Commission approval of completed or planned revisions to the approved plans. This did not include approvals that, pursuant to State law and Town Code could be issued ministerially by the Building Official for ADUs. A verbal agreement was reached between the property owner/applicant and Building Official as outlined in the Building Official's email to the property owner/applicant (Attachment "E"). The property owner/applicant's response is included.

The applicant subsequently modified the subfloor so that the east and west sides of the subfloor areas have slightly higher finished elevations than the center portion of the basement but not high enough to comply with the Planning Commission approved project plans for the basement (Attachment B - see the building sections on page A4 of the approved plan set). No information was provided to the Department of Planning and Building Services on whether the change in the excavated basement area changed the excavation and fill amount approved by the Planning Commission on January 20, 2022, which was for the excavation of 130 cubic yards of material and the fill of 125 cubic yards of material. Work resumed when areas of the underfloor identified in the approved plans as crawlspaces were partially filled, though not to the extent to be in compliance with the plans approved by the Planning Commission (Attachment B - page A4).

The following year, on June 5, 2023, the Building Official went to the site to perform a framing inspection and discovered the project structure was not being constructed in accordance with the Planning Commission approved plans, conditions of approval and approved building permit plans. Due to this violation of the Conditions of Approval, approved plans and building permit plans, as well as the property owner/applicant's failure to submit an application to the Planning Commission for approval of his completed and planned revisions to the project plans, the Building Official once again issued an Order to Stop Work (red tag) on the project.

On August 10, 2023, the Building Official went to the project site due to concerns expressed by the adjacent neighbor regarding excavation at the site. The Building Official found that once again the property owner/applicant had commenced work inconsistent with his approved plans and Conditions of Approval and still had not submitted an application to Planning Commission for approval of the modifications to the approved plans and permits. As a result, the Building Official suspended the building permit for the project and again stopped work on the job site. Thereafter, the property owner/applicant presented to the Building Official incomplete drawings depicting completed and proposed construction on the site. These incomplete drawings do not show existing or proposed site topography, building elevations, finished floor elevations or

ceiling height dimensions, complete room dimensions, revised excavation, and fill information, revised retaining wall heights, revised grading and drainage plans, required addendums to the geotechnical report and is missing a drainage study or calculations that reflect the proposed changes. In addition, the property owner/applicant still has not submitted an application to the Planning Commission seeking approval of the constructed and, according to the incomplete drawings, planned revisions to the Planning Commission approved plans, despite knowing since at least August of 2022 that he is required to do so.

Instead, on August 29, 2023, the property owner/applicant's attorney filed another ex parte writ in Marin County Superior Court seeking a lifting of the suspension and order to stop work. A hearing on the writ resulted in the Court setting another hearing date of October 6, 2023, to allow the Town and the property owner/applicant time to try to resolve the issues informally.

On September 7, 2023, the property owner/applicant requested an appeal of the permit suspension, which the Town Council heard on September 13, 2023. The Town Council denied the appeal and upheld the Building Official's suspension of the building permit "until such time as Mr. Friedman submits an application and receives approval of his modifications from the Planning Commission."

The Court, on October 6, 2023, agreed in part and disagreed in part with Friedman's writ claims, directing the Town to "set aside the Order to Stop Work to the extent it applies to construction that was specifically identified in Friedman's original application, construction documents, approved plans, and the permit issued based on those documents," further stating that "Friedman was entitled to a hearing prior to any suspension of the permit (and order to stop work based on that suspension)...." Friedman's petition was denied to the extent that it required the Town to adopt a different appeal process, and the Court never ruled on the issue of the Order to Stop Work on construction not yet approved by the Town, or the issuance of the electrical approval (green tag). In other words, the Court determined that the property owner/applicant was permitted to continue work on previously approved portions of the construction, but not on portions not previously approved and he was entitled to a hearing prior to a suspension of the permit or an order to stop work based on such a suspension.

On December 21, 2023, a permit suspension/revocation hearing was noticed before the Planning Commission for January 11, 2024. The Commission considered all of the evidence that the property was being developed in violation of the approved plans, Conditions of Approval, and building permits and continued the hearing to on or before May 16, 2024, directing that the property owner/applicant submit a complete application, including applicable fees, to the Planning Commission, showing both the revisions that have already been constructed, as well as proposed revisions and all of the missing information as outlined above, no later than March 5, 2024. This timeline was established to give the property owner/applicant enough time to submit an application for the revisions and provide enough time for the information to be reviewed by Town staff and the Town Engineer and brought back to the Commission on or before May 16, 2024.

## **CHANGES TO THE PROJECT NOT REFLECTED IN THE APPROVED PLANS**

The below listed changes, both already constructed and proposed in the property owner/applicant's incomplete drawings, are those Planning and Building staff were able to identify based on a site visit and the incomplete drawings.

1. The house has been shifted approximately three feet to the southwest, increasing the northeast setback of the structure from approximately 5-feet to approximately 8-feet and decreasing the southwest setback from approximately 15-feet to approximately 12-feet (further away from 75

Wood Lane and closer to 85 Wood Lane). The structure location change was viewed onsite and is depicted in the incomplete drawings received by the Building Official in August 2023 (Attachment C).

2. The 210 square-foot front porch that ran the entire width of the first-floor front of the structure has been removed and been replaced with an approximately 91 square-foot, front entry addition (Attachment D – photograph of the front of the structure, September 2023).
3. The peaked, "Slate Gray" roof over approximately one-third of the first story and the front porch has been replaced with an approximately 440 square-foot, second story, roof deck (Attachment D – photograph of the front of the structure under construction).
4. The 400 square-foot, two car garage/ADU structure has been eliminated. The incomplete drawings show a two-car garage located 10-feet further south than the approved garage site, maintaining a 30-foot setback from the house. However, measurements of the garage foundation in the field by staff revealed the structure foundation is only 14-feet in width and can accommodate only one parking space with some extra width possibly for storage so the foundation that has been constructed on the site does not match the location or size of the garage on the submitted revised plan set.
5. The elimination of the ADU attached to the garage has decreased the retaining wall maximum height from approximately 10-feet to approximately 4-feet.
6. The plans show a 180 square-foot deck at the ground level at the rear of the structure. In the attached June 7, 2023, e-mail to the Building Official the owner indicates that this deck will be removed from the final plans he will present to the Tax Assessor (Attachment E, page 2, item # 4, and last paragraph in red).
7. A portion of the top floor is proposed to be a JADU (junior accessory dwelling unit), resulting in a change to the approved plans to convert the approved rear upper floor deck to living space and the addition of an exterior access stairway to the rear of the house.
8. Additional living space is shown in the basement including an ADU and an office and half-bathroom for the main residence. To comply with the Building Code a window well has been constructed on the east side of the residential structure that projects out from the structure wall maintaining a 5-foot side setback from the east side property line.
9. A second driveway has been added to the northeast corner of the site. Second driveways are not permitted. The Town Code only allows a second driveway by right into a property developed with multiple housing units if the two driveways into the site and the distance between any proposed driveway and the driveways on adjacent properties are separated by a distance not less than 40-feet. The second proposed driveway is only 24-feet from the existing driveway and requires an exception to the Town Code Driveway Standards which can only be granted by the Planning Commission through a variance and only if the required findings for a variance can be made (Town Code § 12.12.050 and §12.12.090).
10. The windows on the east side of the first floor have increased in number from four to five.
11. The windows on the east side of upper level have increased in number from two to five.
12. The window at the rear southeast corner of the first floor has been replaced with a sliding glass door to a rear ground level deck.
13. The ground floor of the west side of the structure was approved for four windows but the incomplete drawings provided to the Building Official propose only three windows.
14. The approved plans for the upper floor of the west side of the building show two windows but the incomplete drawings provided to the Building Official now propose four windows.
15. Two clerestory windows have been added to the basement floor on the west side of the structure.
16. The window over the kitchen sink has been replaced with a bay window in the incomplete drawings provided to the Building Official.



17. The approved Landscape plan, approved project plans set page A1, has two trees shown to be maintained in the area but the incomplete drawings provided to the Building Official show a second driveway/parking space (Attachment C - unnumbered first page of the revisions plan set).

**DISCUSSION**

The project construction as outlined above, is in violation of the conditions set forth in Resolution 2022-01 approving the project at 79 Wood Lane as the structure and garage locations and exterior designs have been changed from what was approved by the Planning Commission on January 20, 2022. The applicant has failed to submit the required application, fees, plans and reports to the Planning Commission for consideration of a modification to his prior approvals.

Planning Commission Resolution 2022-01 approving the original project design and including the project original conditions of approval, is attached to this report as Attachment A1. The Resolution requires the Planning Commission to review and approve proposed changes to the approved project plans. Amending a previously acted on Resolution requires the Commission to hold a public hearing and allow input from neighboring property owners on the revised design before taking action on a new resolution approving the proposed modifications to the previously approved project.

On January 11, 2024 the Planning Commission held a noticed public hearing and adopted attached Resolution No. 2024-02 (Attachment A2), A Resolution of The Fairfax Planning Commission Temporarily Suspending Planning Commission Resolution No. 2022-01 Including Approvals for a Hill Area Residential Development Permit, Design Review Permit, Excavation Permit, and Tree Removal Permit and a Minimum and Combined Side-yard Setback and Retaining Wall Height Variances for a Residence Located at 79 Wood Lane (Application No. 21-17) Until a Complete Application, Including Required Fees, is Submitted and Acted on by the Planning Commission at a Public Hearing to be Held on or Before May 16, 2024. The Resolution further included an interim deadline of March 5, 2024, for the property owner/applicant to submit a completed application to the Planning Commission, including all required plans, studies and fees, for the revisions already built as well as the intended future revisions to the approved plans.

On January 16, 2024, the property owner/applicant requested to appeal the Planning Commission’s decision to temporarily suspend his permits pending the Commission’s continued hearing and final decision on or before May 16, 2024. In accordance with Town Code § 17.036.110, on January 22, 2024, the Mayor filed a directed referral with the Town Manager and Town Clerk’s Office requesting a hearing before the Town Council to review the matter and the Planning Commission’s action. A hearing was scheduled and noticed for February 7, 2024.

This hearing is being held and conducted as provided in Chapter 17.036, section 17.036.090, which permits the Town Council to assume jurisdiction on matters where action has been taken and is normally final at a lesser level of authority. In accordance with Town Code section 17.036.130, any action brought before the Town Council by the directed referral process is before the Council and the Council may conduct a de novo hearing on the pending application. All alternatives available to the primary authority (Planning Commission) are also available to the Council.

**ATTACHMENTS**

- A. Resolution No. 24-\_\_\_, A Resolution of The Fairfax Town Council Revoking and Rescinding Planning Commission Resolution 2022-01 for Application No. 21-17, Including Approvals for a Hill Area Residential Development Permit, Design Review Permit, Excavation Permit, and Tree Removal Permit and a Minimum and Combined Side-yard Setback and Retaining Wall Height Variance, and Accompanying Building Permits for a Residence Located at 79 Wood Lane will be available as a

supplement.

- a. Planning Commission original Resolution 2022-01
- b. Planning Commission Resolution No. 2024-02 for temporary suspension of Resolution 2022-01 and continued hearing on or before May 16, 2024
- B. Approved plans by Laura Kehrlein, Frederic C. Divine Associates, dated 10/7/21
- C. Incomplete revised plans received by the Building Official after the June 5, 2023, stop work order
- D. Photos of the building and building site
- E. E-mail from Building Official to property owner memorializing a verbal agreement regarding the revised project plans, prior to agreeing to lift the initial stop work order in 2022 and property owner/applicant's response
- F. Directed Referral Request
- G. Applicant's presentation to Planning Commission
- H. Neighbor comments
- I. Building Permit for 79 Wood Lane

## RESOLUTION NO. 2022-01

### **A Resolution of The Fairfax Planning Commission Conditionally Approving Application No. 21-17 for a Hill Area Residential Development Permit, Design Review Permit, Excavation Permit, and Tree Removal Permit and a Minimum and Combined Side-yard Setback and Retaining Wall Height Variance for a Residence at 79 Wood Lane**

**WHEREAS**, the Town of Fairfax received an application from Coby Friedman and the Jacob Friedman Trust to build a two- story, 2,639 square-foot, two-story structure (house and accessory dwelling unit) with a partially below-ground basement and a 450 square-foot, one car detached garage on July 6, 2021; and

**WHEREAS**, after holding a duly noticed public hearing on August 19, 2021, on the project plans and design which included a main structure that reached 28 feet in height, the Commission continued the hearing and gave the applicant direction to decrease the height of the structure and to make other design changes to the project plans; and

**WHEREAS**, after holding a second hearing on a revised project for a 2,210 square foot residence that was reduced to approximately 23 feet in height with a detached 900 square foot two car garage/ADU on January 20, 2022, the Planning Commission determined that the modified project complies with the Hill Area Residential Development Overlay Ordinance, the Design Review Ordinance and the Excavation Ordinance and that findings can be made to grant the requested Minimum and Combined Side Yard Setback and Retaining Wall Height Variances- and the Tree Removal Permit; and

**WHEREAS**, the Commission has made the following findings:

The project is consistent with the 2010-2030 Fairfax General Plan as follows:

Policy LU-1.2.3: New and renewed development shall be designed and located to minimize the visual mass. The Town will require exterior materials and colors that blend the exterior appearance of structures with the surrounding natural landscape, allowing for architectural diversity.

Policy LU-4.1.4: New and renewed development shall be designed to minimize run-off in a manner that does not cause undue hardship on neighboring properties.

Policy LU-7.1.5: New and renewed residential development shall preserve and enhance the existing character of the Town's neighborhoods in diversity, architectural character, size, and mass.

Policy LU-7.2.2: to the extent feasible natural features including the existing grade, mature trees and vegetation shall be preserved for new and renewed development.

**Hill Area Residential Development (Town Code§ 17.072.110)**

- 1. The proposed development is consistent with the General Plan (see above) and consistent with the purpose and intent of the Zoning Ordinance, Title 17, of the Fairfax Town Code.
- 2. The site planning preserves identified natural features as much as possible while also complying with other agency and department regulations.
- 3. Based on the soils report findings, the site can be developed without geologic, hydrologic or seismic hazards;
- 4. Vehicular access and parking are adequate.
- 5. The proposed development harmonizes with the surrounding residential development, meets the design review criteria and does not result in the deterioration of significant view corridors.

**Design Review (Town Code§ 17.020.040)**

The craftsman architecture, with the second story stepped back from the street facade and the large porch at the front, subject to the minor window changes to the east and west sides of the structure included as a condition below (modifying the windows on the east and west sides of the structure with clerestory windows/obscured glass windows) complies with the Design Review Criteria set forth in Town Code§ 17.020.040.

**Excavation Permit (Town Code§ 12.20.080(8)(1 through 7)**

The excavation permit will result in the excavation of 130 cubic yards of material, the filling of 125 cubic yards of material, and the off-haul of five cubic yards of material . These amounts are the minimum necessary to allow development of the site while also protecting the site and the neighboring properties from increased drainage and soil stability impacts. The excavation permit can be approved based on the following findings:

The health, welfare and safety of the public will not be adversely affected by the project;

- 1. Adjacent properties are adequately protected by project investigation and design from geologic hazards as a result of the work.
- 2. Adjacent properties are adequately protected by project design from drainage and erosion problems as a result of the work.
- 3. The amount of excavation or fill proposed is not more than is required to allow the property owner substantial use of his or her property.

4. The visual and scenic enjoyment of the area by others will not be adversely affected by the project more than is necessary.
5. Natural landscaping will not removed by the project more than is necessary.
6. Town Code§ 17.072.090(C)(4) prohibits initial grading during the raining season from October 1<sup>st</sup> through April 1<sup>st</sup>. Therefore, the time of year during which construction will take place is such that work will not result in excessive siltation from storm runoff nor prolonged exposure of unstable excavated slopes.

#### **Minimum and Combined Side-Yard Setback Variance (Town Code§ 17.028.070)**

1. The narrow 50-foot width of the site, the small amount of level site area at the front of the property and the steep 42% slope of the rear of the site, are the site features that, if the combined 20 foot side yard setback and the prohibition of parking in the side setbacks were strictly enforced, would deprive the applicant of privileges enjoyed by other property owners in the vicinity and under identical zone classification (RS 6).
2. There are other properties in the vicinity with residences and parking and structures located within the required minimum and combined side-yard setback area and the proposed garage and house individually meet the both the minimum and combined required side-yard setbacks. Therefore, the granting of this variance will not be a grant of special privilege.
3. The strict application of the combined side-yard setback would result in unreasonable hardship for the applicant.
4. The granting of the variance will not be detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to other property in the vicinity in which the property is situated.

#### **Tree Removal**

The trees proposed for removal (one apple tree and one olive tree) are in compliance with all the considerations listed in Town Code 8.36.060(8)(1 through 7) of the Tree Ordinance, Town Code Chapter 8.36. The heritage Live Oak tree at the northwest corner of the site is to be retained.

**WHEREAS**, the Commission has approved the project subject to the applicant's compliance with the following conditions:

The project is approved based on the following plans and reports:

1. The architectural plans by Laura Kehrlein, Frederic C. Divine Associates, dated 10/7/21, the record of survey dated 9/2018, the site plan dated 11/10/21 and the

erosion control plan dated 11/10/21 by ILS Associates, Inc. Civil Engineering and Land surveyors, the geotechnical report by Herzog Geotechnical Consulting Engineers dated 2/26/18 and the drainage analysis by ILS Associates Inc. Civil Engineering and Land Surveying dated 11/15/21, except as amended as follows:

- a. The windows on the east and west sides of the structure shall be modified so that they are non-operable and feature obscured glass.
2. Prior to issuance of any of the building permits for the project the applicant or his assigns shall:
    - a) Submit an amended construction plan to the Public Works Department for their approval. The amended plan shall include but is not limited to the following:
      - I. Construction delivery routes approved by the Department of Public Works.
      - II. Construction schedule (deliveries, worker hours, etc.)
      - III. Notification to area residents
      - IV. Emergency access routes
      - V. Construction worker staging area
  3. The applicant shall prepare, and file with the Public Works Director, a video of the roadway conditions on the public construction delivery routes (routes to be pre-approved by Public Works Director).
  4. Submit a cash deposit, bond, or letter of credit to the Town in an amount that will cover the cost of grading, weatherization, and repair of possible damage to public roadways. The applicant shall submit contractor's estimates for any grading, site weatherization and improvement plan for approval by the Town Engineer. Upon approval of the contract costs, the applicant shall submit a cash deposit, bond or letter of credit equaling 100% of the estimated construction costs.
  5. The foundation and retaining elements shall be designed by a structural engineer certified as such in the state of California. Plans and calculations of the foundation and retaining elements shall be stamped and signed by the structural engineer and submitted to the satisfaction of the Town Structural Engineer.
  6. The grading, foundation, retaining, and drainage elements shall also be stamped and signed by the project geotechnical engineer as conforming to the recommendations made by the project Geotechnical Engineer.
  7. Prior to submittal of the building permit plans, the applicant shall secure written approval from the Ross Valley Fire Authority, Marin Municipal Water District and the Ross Valley Sanitary District noting the development conformance with their recommendations.
  8. Submit 3 copies of the recorded record of survey with the building permit plans.

9. All retaining walls that are visible from the street and are constructed of concrete shall be heavily textured or colorized in a manner approved by the planning staff prior to issuance of the building permit. This condition is intended to mitigate the visual impact of the proposed walls.
10. Prior to the removal of any trees not approved by the Planning Commission through this action, the applicant shall secure a tree cutting permit, if required, from the Fairfax Tree Committee prior to removal of any on-site trees subject to a permit under Town Code Chapter 8.36. To further minimize impacts on trees and significant vegetation, the applicant shall submit plans for any utility installation (including sewer, water and drainage) which incorporates the services of an ISA certified arborist to prune and treat trees having roots 2 inches or more in diameter that are disturbed during the construction, excavation or trenching operations. Tree root protection measures may include meandering the line, check dams, rip rap, hand trenching, soil evaluation and diversion dams.
11. During the construction process the following shall be required:
  - a) The geotechnical engineer and the project arborist shall be on-site during the grading process and both shall submit written certification to the Town Staff that the grading and tree protection measures have been completed as recommended prior to installation of foundation and/or retaining forms and drainage improvements, piers and supply lines.
  - b) Prior to the concrete form inspection by the building official, the geotechnical and structural engineers shall field check the forms of the foundations and retaining elements and provide written certification to the Town staff that the work to this point has been completed in conformance with their recommendations and the approved building plans.
  - c) The Building Official shall field check the concrete forms prior to the pour.
  - d) All construction-related vehicles including equipment delivery, cement trucks and construction materials shall always be situated off the travel lane of the adjacent public right(s)-of-way. This condition may be waived by the Building Official on a case-by-case basis with prior notification from the project sponsor.
  - e) Any proposed temporary closures of a public right-of-way shall require prior approval by the Fairfax Police Department and any necessary traffic control, signage or public notification shall be the responsibility of the applicant or his/her assigns. Any violation of this provision will result in a stop work order being placed on the property and issuance of a citation.
12. Prior to issuance of an occupancy permit the following shall be completed:
  - a) The geotechnical engineer shall field check the completed project and submit

written certification to the Town Staff that the foundation, retaining, grading and drainage elements have been installed in conformance with the approved building plans and the recommendations of the soils report. Additionally, the project engineer shall review the construction schedule and plans at each phase of the project construction to determine the best order for each phase to occur including the hillside retention/drainage phases.

- b) The Planning Department and Town Engineer shall field check the completed project to verify that all and planning commission conditions and required engineering improvements have been complied including installation of landscaping and irrigation prior to issuance of the certificate of occupancy. The Planning Department and the Town Engineer shall also review the construction schedule and plans at each phase of the project construction to determine the best order for each phase to occur including the hillside retention/drainage phases.
13. Excavation shall not occur between October 1st and April 1<sup>st</sup> of any year. The Town Engineer has the authority to waive this condition depending upon the weather.
14. The roadways shall be kept free of dust, gravel, and other construction materials by sweeping them, daily, if necessary.
15. Any changes, modifications, additions, or alterations made to the approved set of plans will require a modification of Application# 21-17. Modifications that do not significantly change the project, the project design or the approved discretionary permits **may** be approved by the Planning Director. Any construction based on job plans that have been altered without the benefit of an approved modification of Application 21-17 will result in the job being immediately stopped and red tagged.
16. Any damages to the public portions of Pacheco Avenue, Solinas Road, Porteous Avenue or Wood Lane or other public roadway used to access the site resulting from construction activities shall be the responsibility of the property owner.
17. The applicant and its heirs, successors, and assigns shall, at its sole cost and expense, defend with counsel selected by the Town, indemnify, protect, release, and hold harmless the Town of Fairfax and any agency or instrumentality thereof, including its agents, officers, commissions, and employees (the "Indemnitees") from any and all claims, actions, or proceedings arising out of or in any way relating to the processing and/or approval of the project as described herein, the purpose of which is to attack, set aside, void, or annul the approval of the project, and/or any environmental determination that accompanies it, by the Planning Commission, Town Council or Planning Director or any other department or agency of the Town. This indemnification shall include, but not be limited to, suits, damages, judgments, costs, expenses, liens, levies, attorney



fees or expert witness fees that may be asserted or incurred by any person or entity, including the applicant, third parties and the Indemnitees, arising out of or in connection with the approval of this project, whether or not there is concurrent, passive, or active negligence on the part of the Indemnitees. Nothing herein shall prohibit the Town from participating in the defense of any claim, action, or proceeding. The parties shall use best efforts, acting in good faith, to select mutually agreeable defense counsel. If the parties cannot reach agreement, the Town may select its own legal counsel and the applicant agrees to pay directly, or timely reimburse on a monthly basis, the Town for all such court costs, attorney fees, and time referenced herein, provided, however, that the applicant's duty in this regard shall be subject to the Town's promptly notifying the applicant of any said claim, action, or proceeding.

18. The applicant shall comply with all applicable local, county, state and federal laws and regulations. Local ordinances which must be complied with include, but are not limited to: the Noise Ordinance, Chapter 8.20, Polystyrene Foam, Degradable and Recyclable Food Packaging, Chapter 8.16, Garbage and Rubbish Disposal, Chapter 8.08, Urban Runoff Pollution Prevention, Chapter 8.32 and the Americans with Disabilities Act and Best Management Practices for Stormwater Pollution Prevention.
19. Conditions placed upon the project by outside agencies, Town department or by the Town Engineer may be eliminated or amended with that agency's, department's or the Town Engineer's written notification to the Planning Department prior to issuance of the building permit.
20. The building permit plans shall be reviewed and approved by the Town Engineer, at the expense of the applicant, prior to issuance of the building permit. The project shall be inspected by the Town Engineer prior to issuance of the occupancy permit for the residential structure for compliance with the engineering plans.

### **Ross Valley Fire Department**

21. All vegetation and construction materials are to be maintained away from the residence during construction,
22. The project requires installation of a fire sprinkler system that complies with the National Fire Protection Association regulation 13-D and local standards. The system will require a permit from the Fire Department and the submittal of plans and specifications for a system submitted by an individual or firm licensed to design and/or design-build sprinkler systems.
23. The property is located within the Wildland Urban Interface Area for Fairfax and the new construction must comply with Chapter 7A of the California Building Code or equivalent.

24. All smoke detectors in the residence shall be provided with AC power and be interconnected for simultaneous alarm. Detectors shall be located in each sleeping room, outside of each sleeping room in a central location in the corridor and over the center of all stairways with a minimum of 1 detector on each story of the occupied portion of the residence.
25. Carbon monoxide alarms shall be provided in existing dwellings when a permit is required for alterations, repairs, or addition and the cost of the permit exceeds \$1,000.00. Carbon monoxide alarms shall be located outside of each sleeping area in the immediate vicinity of the bedrooms and on every level of the dwelling, including basements.
26. Address numbers at least 4 inches tall must be in place adjacent to the front door. If not clearly visible from the street, additional numbers must be placed in location that is visible from the street. The numbers must be internally illuminated or illuminated by and adjacent light controlled by a photocell that can be switched off only by a breaker so it will remain illuminated all night.
27. Alternative materials or methods may be proposed for any of the above conditions in accordance with Section 104.9 of the Fire Code.
28. All approved alternatives requests, and their supporting documentation, shall be included in the plan sets submitted for final approval by the Fire Department.

**Marin Municipal Water District (MMWD)**

29. A copy of the building permit must be provided to the district along with the required applications and fees.
30. The foundation must be completed within 120 days of the date of application.
31. All indoor and outdoor requirements or District Code Title 13, Water Conservation must be complied with.
32. Any landscaping plans must be reviewed and approved by the District.
33. Backflow prevention requirements must be met.
34. Ordinance 420., requiring installation of grey water recycling system when practicable, must be incorporated into the project building permit plans or an exemption letter from the District must be provided to the Town.
35. All the District's rules and regulations in effect at the time service is requested must be complied with.

**Ross Valley Sanitary District (RVSD)**

**36.A** sewer connection permit and a side sewer connection permit are required for all work outside the new building footprint.

37. Fees will include sewer capacity charges as well as permit fees.

38. Test the sewer lateral(s) from the outer face of the building to the connection at the existing sewer main, in accordance with RVSD Ordinance 100 and Standards.

39. Include a sewer cleanout and backwater protection device within 2-feet of the building foundation, the Ross Valley Sanitary Standard Notes shall be shown and are found in Subsection L of Section 3 of the Design and Construction Standards and demonstrate that all materials used in the construction of the sewer improvements are from the approved materials list.

**40.A** hold will be placed on the property when the building permit is issued and will not be released for occupancy until the District permit and sewer requirements have been fulfilled.

**41.A** Certificate of Compliance for the lateral must be obtained from the RVSD prior to the project final inspection by the Fairfax Building Department.

#### **Fairfax Public Works Department**

42. All large trucks with more than 2 axles accessing the site for construction will be limited daily to the hours between 9 AM to 3 PM.

43. All driveway improvements shall be completed and be signed off by the Building Official and Public Works Manager before construction begins on the house.

44. Complete road closures will be limited to concrete pours and steel placement and will be coordinated with the Fairfax Police Department and Ross Valley Fire Department.

45. A detailed construction management plan must be submitted with the building permit application that includes construction delivery routes, construction schedule (deliveries, worker hours, etc.), notification to area residents, emergency access and egress routes and proposed employee parking locations during construction and be approved by the Department of Public Works.

46. The applicant shall prepare, and file with the Public Works Director, a video of the roadway conditions on the construction delivery routes.

47. A bond will be submitted prior to issuance of the building permit in an amount that will cover the cost of grading, weatherization and repair of possible roadway

damage in an amount equaling 100% of the estimated construction costs and pay for the Town Engineer's/Plan Checker's time to review and confirm the contractor's estimate.

48. A four foot wide sidewalk shall be installed along the entire property frontage as part of the project and shall be inspected and approved by the Building Official/Public Works Director prior to the project final inspection.

#### **49. Town Engineer**

50. The Town Engineer shall review the final, stamped and signed project Civil and Structural plans and the project Civil Engineer shall provide a letter certifying that the site grading and drainage improvements have been installed per the site "drainage" plan designed by ILS Associates, Inc. dated 11/10/21 prior to the project final inspection.

51. All the exterior fixtures must be dark sky compliant (fully shielded and emit no light above the horizontal plane with no sag or drop lenses, side light panels or uplight panels) as well as compliance with color temperature to minimize blue rich lighting. The lighting plan shall be submitted with the building permit application and be approved by the Planning Department prior to issuance of the project building permit. The lighting shall not emit direct offsite illumination and shall be the minimum necessary for safety.

#### **Miscellaneous**

52. The surveyor shall mark the location of all the property lines in the field prior to the start of construction.

53. A drainage system maintenance agreement including a system location plan and required maintenance schedule shall be approved by the Town Engineer and then be recorded at the Marin County Recorder's Office setting forth the required maintenance schedule to ensure the drainage system continues to function as designed. A copy shall be provided to the Town prior to issuance of the building permit.

54. An arborist report that includes tree protection during construction measures shall be submitted with the building permit application for approval by the Planning Director and the measures are conditions of approval for this project and must be in place, inspected and approved by the arborist with verification in writing to the Town, prior to the start of construction.

55. If the existing eastern and western side property line fences are damaged or need to be removed during construction, the owner shall replace the fences at his own cost prior to the project final inspection. The side fences or combination fence/wall structures shall be no more than six feet above the lowest finished

grade on either side of each fence unless a fence height variance is obtained from the Planning Commission for a taller fence or fence/wall combination first. The design of the fences shall be agreed upon by both the neighbors at 75 and 85 Wood Lane and the owner of 79 Wood Lane to maximum the privacy for the neighbors yards while limiting the shade cast by the fences if so desired by the neighbor. If agreement cannot be reached between the applicant and the neighbors on the design of the fences, the applicant shall submit the proposed plan(s) with a minimum \$427 design review (color change) fee and the final fence design will be reviewed and acted upon by the Planning Commission.

56. The building permit plans shall include details to incorporate the required infrastructure for the solar power and battery back-up systems the applicant indicated will be part of the project at the January 20, 2022 Planning Commission meeting in addition to the water heater and furnace locations.

**NOW, THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED**, the Planning Commission of the Town of Fairfax hereby finds and determines as follows:


The approval of the Hill Area Residential Development, Design Review Permit, Excavation and Tree Removal permits and the finding have been made to grant the requested minimum and combined side setback variances to maintain a combined side yard setback of ten feet and to allow the guest parking space to be located within the required western side yard setback. Therefore, the project is in conformance with the 2010 - 2030 Fairfax General Plan, the Fairfax Town Code and the Fairfax Zoning Ordinance, Town Code Title 17; and

Construction of the project can occur without causing significant impacts on neighboring residences and the environment.

The foregoing resolution was adopted at a regular meeting of the Planning Commission held in said Town, on the 20th day of January, 2022 by the following vote:

AYES: Green, Jansen, Kelly, Newton, Swift, Chair Frctgoso

NOES: None

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Chair Norma Fragoso

Attest:

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Linda Neal, Principal Planner

## RESOLUTION NO. 2024-02

**A Resolution of The Fairfax Planning Commission Temporarily Suspending Planning Commission Resolution No. 2022-01, Including Approvals for a Hill Area Residential Development Permit, Design Review Permit, Excavation Permit, and Tree Removal Permit and a Minimum and Combined Side-yard Setback and Retaining Wall Height Variance for a Residence Located at 79 Wood Lane (Application No. 21-17) Until a Complete Application for Revisions to Permits and Variance Applications, Including Required Fees, is Submitted and Acted on by the Planning Commission at a Public Hearing to be Held on or Before May 16, 2024**

**WHEREAS**, on January 20, 2022, the Planning Commission approved Resolution No. 2022-01 for a Hill Area Residential Development Permit, Design Review Permit, Excavation Permit, Tree Removal Permit, Minimum and Combined Side-yard Setback and Retaining Wall Height Variance for a 23-foot tall, three bedroom, three and one-half bathroom, 2,210 square-foot, single-family residence and a detached 400 square-foot, two-car garage with a 500 square-foot, accessory dwelling unit (ADU) for the property located at 79 Wood Lane ("Project"); and

**WHEREAS**, Resolution No. 2022-01 contained conditions of approval which included the following:

1. The architectural plans by Laura Kehrlein, Frederic C. Divine Associates, dated 10/7/21, the record of survey dated 9/2018, the site plan dated 11/10/21 and the erosion control plan dated 11/10/21 by ILS Associates, Inc. Civil Engineering and Land surveyors, the geotechnical report by Herzog Geotechnical Consulting Engineers dated 2/26/18 and the drainage analysis by ILS Associates Inc. Civil Engineering and Land Surveying dated 11/15/21, except as amended as follows:
  - a. The windows on the east and west sides of the structure shall be modified so that they are non-operable and feature obscured glass.

**WHEREAS**, in accordance with the plans. 469 square feet of basement was to be constructed beneath the structure with 8-foot ceilings, with the remainder of the area to be a crawl space of 30-inches; and

**WHEREAS**, on August 29, 2022 the Building Official issued a stop work order as the Project was not being constructed in accordance with the architectural plans of Laura Kehrlein, Frederic C. Divine Associates, dated 10/7/21, the record of survey dated 9/2018, the site plan dated 11/10/21 and the erosion control plan dated 11/10/21 by ILS Associates, Inc. Civil Engineering and Land surveyors, the geotechnical report by Herzog Geotechnical Consulting Engineers dated 2/26/18 and the drainage analysis by ILS Associates Inc. Civil Engineering and Land Surveying dated 11/15/21 ("Plans"); and

**WHEREAS**, applicant modified the subfloor and work was permitted to continue by the

Building Official in accordance with the Planning Commission Resolution 2022-01; and

**WHEREAS**, on June 5, 2023, the Building Official was conducting a framing inspection and discovered the Project was not being constructed in accordance with the Planning Commission Resolution 2022-01; and

**WHEREAS**, the Building Official reached an agreement to allow applicant to continue working on the Accessory Dwelling Unit ("ADU") and Junior Accessory Dwelling Unit ("JADU") and the applicant agreed to submit an application to amend the approved Plans after which time the Planning Commission would hold a public hearing on the amendment of the entitlements; and

**WHEREAS**, to date the applicant has not submitted an application to amend the Project; and

**WHEREAS**, the Department of Planning and Building Services pursuant to Town Code Section 17.024.080, initiated revocation of Resolution 2022-01 (application 21-17) and land use entitlements related to the construction of the single-family residence and detached garage/accessory dwelling unit as a result of the Building Official determining the project was not being built as depicted in the approved building permit plans during an on-site inspection; and

**WHEREAS**, on January 11, 2024, the Planning Commission conducted a duly noticed public hearing to determine if the project at 79 Wood Lane is being constructed in compliance with the approved Project Plans, approved building permit plans, and the Planning Commission Resolution No. 2022-01; and

**WHEREAS**, after holding a public hearing on January 11, 2024, the Planning Commission determined that the construction occurring at 79 Wood Lane is not in compliance with the approved Project Plans and building permit plans and Planning Commission Resolution No. 2022-01; and

**WHEREAS**, the Planning Commission cannot determine whether or not the changes, which include, but may not be limited to, the size and locations of the residential structure and the garage, new exterior decks, stairs, and windows, a subgrade window well, inclusion of a second driveway and the removal of additional trees, that have been made to the Project comply with the applicable Town Code regulations without the submittal of a complete planning application including architectural plan revisions, addendums to the geotechnical and drainage engineering reports and plans, and a revised Tree Removal Permit; and

**WHEREAS**, in lieu of the revocation of the Resolution No. 2022-01 for failure to comply with the conditions of approval for the Project, the property owner or his legal representative, has expressed willingness to provide the Town with the required information and fees to allow the required review and processing of the plan modifications to occur including the required duly noticed public hearing on the

modifications to the Hill Area Residential Development Permit, the Design Review Permit, the Excavation Permit, Required Variances and the Tree Removal Permit;

**NOW, THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED**, the Planning Commission of the Town of Fairfax hereby temporarily suspends Resolution No. 2022-01 (application 21-17) for the Project located at 79 Wood Lane and orders that no work may proceed on the Project until such time as the Planning Commission reconvenes the current revocation hearing and, at the same time, holds a duly noticed public hearing on application containing the revised plans. Said hearing shall be held on the date of the continued revocation hearing, May 16, 2024. The Planning Commission hereby requires the following:

1. Applicant must submit a complete application, including plans for the changes that have been made, or intended to be made to the Project including the payment of fees, revised architectural plans, addendums to the geotechnical and drainage reports and a revised drainage plan by no later than March 5, 2024; and
2. The Department of Planning and Building Services and the Fairfax Town Engineers shall provide comments to applicant regarding the completeness of the application within 30 days of receipt of the application.


The applicant is allowed to weatherize the property within fifteen (15 days) of the date of this resolution as follows:

1. Use straw wattles along contours.
2. Install erosion control blankets (or equivalent).
3. Cover all stockpiles and landscape material.
4. Cover all exposed soils with straw mulch.


Weatherization does not include alteration to the structures.

The foregoing resolution was adopted at a special meeting of the Planning Commission held in said Town, on the 11th day of January 2024, by the following vote:

AYES: Bela, Feffer, Newton, Chair Jansen  
NOES: Kelly, Swift  
ABSTAIN: None  
ABSENT: None

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Chair Robert Jansen

Attest:

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Jeffrey Beiswenger, Director of Planning and Building Services





**LANDSCAPE PLAN KEY NOTES**

- (N) 2" SO. CONC. PAVERS, SPACING AS NOTED ON PLAN.
- (N) CONC. CURB CUT AND RAUP PER CML DRAWINGS.
- (H) ○(COLLOIDED GAA)/TE DRIVEWAY PER CML DRAWINGS.
- (N) P.F. BOLE GROUND COVER, SHOWN HATCHED

**PLANT SCHEDULE**

SYMBOL	BOTANICAL / COMMON NAME	QTY.	SIZE	IFE R[STAH	PLA, YTYPE	REMARKS
○	ROLES/PLUGS					
○	CELESTINE W/SH BOOBY/ MAJESTIC BEAUTY FRUIT/SS OC.ME	J EXIST	(EXIST)	YES	TR[	HEIGHT 12-25', WIDTH 12-25'
C	COLEONW PULCHILLW PINK DREAM OF HEAVEN	19	1 CAL	YES	SHRUB	HEIGHT J, WIDTH J
H	HEMIFOCALIS HYBRIDS DAVILLY HYBRIDS	22	1 CAL	YES	PERENI.ML	HEIGHT J, WIDTH J
A	AARBUS W.RINAW/ MARINA STRAWBERRY TREE	S	5 GAL	YES	TRE	HEIGHT 12-25', WIDTH 12-25'
T	TRACIELOSPERMUM JASUNOID[S/ STAR JASUNINE	S CAL		YES	INE	HEIGHT 12-25', WIDTH J-6'

NOTE: SHRUBS SHALL BE SPACED SO THAT NO CONTINUITY EXISTS BETWEEN THE GROUND FUELS AND TREE CROWNS, SUCH THAT A GROUND FIRE WILL NOT EXTEND INTO THE TREE CANOPY.

NOTE: TREES SHALL BE PLANTED SUCH THAT WHEN MATURE, THEIR CROWNS WILL BE SEPARATED BY AT LEAST 10 FEET. ADD AN ADDITIONAL FEET FOR EVERY TEN PERCENT (10%) INCREASE IN SLOPE. EXISTING TREES MAY BE THINNED AND/OR REMOVED DEPENDENT ON THEIR CONFIGURATION AND DISTANCE FROM THE STRUCTURE(S).

NOTE: SEPARATE INDIVIDUAL SHRUB CROWNS BY AT LEAST TWO TIMES THE HEIGHT, OR CLUMP SHRUBS INTO ISLANDS OF NO GREATER THAN 18 FEET DIAMETER. SEPARATE THE ISLANDS BY A DISTANCE OF NO LESS THAN TWO TIMES THE CANOPY HEIGHT.

Vegetation/ Fuels Management  
 APN 002-062-03  
 79 Wood Lane  
 Fairfax, CA

**EXISTING CONDITIONS 0-5' ZONE**

The front portion of the lot is fairly flat with a slope less than 10%. A 9" diameter creek tree is located at the southwest corner of the property and will need to be removed to accommodate new driveway. There are newly planted olive trees located near the existing fence along the front property line.

**EXISTING CONDITIONS 5-30' ZONE**

The existing conditions within this portion of the site consist of hardscape sidewalks, patios, and natural grasses. This portion of the lot is fairly flat with a slope less than 10%.

**EXISTING CONDITIONS 30-100' ZONE**

The existing conditions within this portion of the site consist of hardscape sidewalks, patios, and natural grasses. An existing collage will be removed and replaced with a new composite decking. This portion of the lot is fairly flat with a slope less than 10%.

**PROPOSED MANAGEMENT Zone 0-5' from Structure 1**

- A. No landscaping shall be installed within five feet of new residence.
- B. Any existing trees to remain will be limbed up to 10' and dead wood removed.
- C. Use only inorganic, non-combustible mulch such as stone or gravel. Composted mulch and large bark chips (greater than 1/2" diameter may be acceptable).
- D. Clean all fallen leaves and needles regularly. Repeat more often during fire season.
- E. Do not store firewood, lumber, or combustible materials within this zone. Especially under docks or building overhangs. Stored combustibles should be moved inside, or at least 30'-0" away from structures.
- F. Use only inorganic, non-combustible mulch such as stone or gravel. Composted mulch and large bark chips (greater than 1/2" diameter may be acceptable).
- G. No combustible outdoor furniture should be placed in this zone. Replace with metal or non-combustible types.
- H. No jute or fiber door mats should be placed in this zone. Replace with heavy rubber or metal grates.
- I. No combustible materials including grills, and recycling containers, lumber, trash, and patio accessories should be placed in this zone.

**PROPOSED MANAGEMENT Zone 1ft-30' from Structure 2**

- A. Remove all dead plants, grass, and weeds (vegetation).
- B. Remove dead or dry leaves and needles from your yard, roof and rain gutters. Repeal more often during fire season.
- C. Trim trees regularly to keep branches a minimum of 10' from other trees.
- D. Remove branches that hang over roofs and keep dead branches 10' away from chimneys.
- E. Remove vegetation and items that could catch fire from around and under docks.
- F. Remove fire-prone plants and replace with only fire-resistant varieties. Irrigate regularly.
- G. Remove limbs to a height of 10' above the ground (or 1/3 the height of up tree) to provide clearance and to eliminate a fire ladder.
- H. Use only inorganic, non-combustible mulch such as stone or gravel. Composted mulch and large bark chips (greater than 1/2" diameter may be acceptable).


**PROPOSED MANAGEMENT Zone 2130'-100' from Structure 11**

- A. Cut or mow annual grass down to a maximum height of 4 inches.
- B. Create horizontal spacing between shrubs, trees and vertical spacing between grass, shrubs, and trees.
- C. Remove fallen leaves, needles, twigs, bark, cones, and small branches. However, UICs may be permitted to a depth of 3 inches if erosion control is an issue.

**PROPOSED MANAGEMENT ACCESS ZONE (Zone 3) (10' FROM ROADWAYS AND DRIVEWAYS)**

- A. Trim and maintain vegetation within 10 feet of roadways as for defensible space. Trim trees so they do not hang lower than 15 feet above the roadway.
- B. Plantings shall be fire resistant and shall not extend within 14'-0" vertical.
- C. All landscaping shall meet the requirements for Zone 2 as stated above.

(END OF REPORT)



WHERE SHOWN HATCHED TRIM AND MAINTAIN VEGETATION WITHIN 10' OF ROADWAY AS FOR DEFENSIBLE SPACE AND TRIM TREES SO THEY DO NOT HANG LOWER THAN 15' ABOVE THE ROADWAY.

**1 CONCEPTUAL LANDSCAPING AND VEGETATION MANAGEMENT PLAN**

NORTH

SCALE 1/8" = 1'-0"



**ARCHITECT**  
 FREDRIC C. DIVINE ASSOCIATES  
 1924 FOURTH ST., SAN RAFAEL, CA 94901  
 Phone: (415) 457-0220 Fax: (415) 454-1111

**NEW RESIDENCE AND CONCEPTUAL LANDSCAPING AND VEGETATION MANAGEMENT PLAN**

NO. 1  
 DATE: 10-07-2021  
 SCALE: AS NOTED  
 DRAWN: LSK/ M  
 JOB #: 191M9.00  
 PROJECT: 111

REVISIONS:  
 1. PLANNING SUBMITTAL  
 2. PLANNING COMMENTS  
 3. PRELIMINARY DATA CORRECTION  
 4. PLANNING COMMISSION COMMENTS

Date: 10-07-2021  
 Scale: AS NOTED  
 Drawn: LSK/ M  
 Job #: 191M9.00  
 Project: 111  
**ONE**

A1.1














**NOTES**

1. ALL DISTANCES ARE IN FEET AND DECIMALS THEREOF.
2. ALL REFERENCES ARE FROM MARIN COUNTY RECORDS EXCEPT THE WC VOORHIES SURVEY REFERENCED HEREON WHICH IS AVAILABLE THROUGH THE OGLESBY COLLECTION, MARIN COUNTY FREE LIBRARY.
3. RECORD BEARINGS AND DISTANCES ARE EQUAL TO MEASURED UNLESS OTHER/HSE NOTED.
4. THIS SURVEY WAS COMMISSIONED BY OUR CLIENT AND OWNER AT THE TIME NOTED IN THE BLOCK. THE PROPERTY HAS SINCE BEEN ACQUIRED BY BURGESS HOLDINGS LLC PER ON 2018-015572.

**BASIS OF BEARINGS**

S65°57'6"W BETWEEN A FOUND CUT A\* IN CONCRETE SIDEWALK AND A FOUND 1" IRON BAR A MEASURED DISTANCE APART OF 650.61 FEET. BEARING IS AS SHOWN ON THAT CERTAIN RECORD OF SURVEY FILED FOR RECORD IN BOOK 2016 OF SURVEYS AT PAGE 111(j) AND IS SAME AS CALCULATED FROM MAP NO. 3/06ER P K FILED FOR RECORD IN BOOK 4 OF RECORD MAPS AT PAGE 96(j).



**LEGEND**


- SET 3/4" IRON PIPE & YELLOW CAP EMBOSSED "RCE 18221" UNLESS NOTED OTHERWISE
- FOUND SURVEY MONUMENT AS NOTED
- ⊕ FOUND CUT CROSS IN CONCRETE WALK
- BOUNDARY LINE-SUBJECT PROPERTY
- BOUNDARY LINE-ADJOINERS
- - - OLD LOT LINE
- - - SURVEY LINE
- (239.20'({})) RECORD DATA DIFFERS FROM MEASURED PER REFERENCE AS SHOWN
- N0/10cN+E 116.66'(!) DATA PER REFERENCE AS SHOWN
- (C) CALCULATED DATA
- (M) MEASURED DATA
- n; yellow CAP
- FND FOUND
- IP IRON PIPE
- M MAG NAIL
- BW BRASS WASHER
- CC CALCULATED CORNER
- ON DOCUMENT NUMBER
- APN ASSESSOR'S PARCEL NUMBER
- MON. MONUMENT
- N NAIL
- CONC. CONCRETE
- UO UNKNOWN ORIGIN
- SFNF SEARCHED FOR, NOT FOUND
- P.O.C. POINT OF COMMENCEMENT

**REFERENCES**

- (j) MAP NO. 3 DEER PARK / 4 RM 96
- WC VOORHIES LLS 2135 SURVEY NO. 3 M7 ENTITLED "SURVEY OF LOT 138-MAP NO. 3-DEER PARK" JAN. 1951
- Q) RECORD OF SURVEY/2016 RS III
- @ RECORD OF SURVEY/ 9 OS 73
- @ RECORD OF SURVEY/ 30 OS 14
- © RECORD OF SURVEY/ 6 OS 37
- (!) RECORD OF SURVEY/ 30 OS 33
- @ EVANS/HIGGINS DEED / ON 2012-006114
- ® MALHOTRA DEED / ON 2001-027796
- @ FORD DEED / ON 2009-056951

**RECORD OF SURVEY**

of the Lands of Stephanie Evans & Patrick Higgins DN. 2012-006114  
Town of Fairfax  
County of Marin California  
**AsSOCIATES, INC.®**  
1) ENGINEERING AND LAND SURVEYING




**NOTES**

1. SEE PROTECTION PLAN FOR PROPOSED VEGETATION PLANTINGS.

2. SEE GEOTECHNICAL REPORT FOR PROPOSED GEOTECHNICAL CONDITIONS.

3. PROPOSED UTILITIES ARE SHOWN SCHEMATICALLY.



**LEGEND**

8	WOOD CONTROL FOR SLOPE
-B-	EXISTING JOINT POLE
AC	ASPHALT CONCRETE
W	EXISTING WATER UTILITY
W	UTILITY INLET
(Tree symbol)	EXISTING TREE
(Dashed line)	EXISTING CONTOUR
(Dashed line)	PROPERTY LINE
(Dashed line)	EXISTING EDGE OF PAVEMENT
(Dashed line)	WIRE FENCE
-X-X-	WIRE FENCE
(Dashed line)	PROPOSED DRAINAGE CATCHMENT SYSTEM
(Dashed line)	TEMPORARY BENCH MARK
(Dashed line)	PROPOSED GRADE CONTROL
+ /00.00	EXIST. SPOT ELEVATION
re	FINISHED GRADE
HT	HEIGHT OF WALL
HW	TOP OF WALL
rcw	FINISHED GRADE AT WALL
FF<	FINISHED FLOOR ELEVATION
TS	PROPOSED RETAINING WALL
(Dashed line)	PROPOSED WIRE SUBSTRAIN
(Dashed line)	PROPOSED JOINT TRENCH


**NOTES**

1. ELEVATION DATA IS ASSUMED.

2. HORIZONTAL DATA IS BASED UPON NEI SURVEY AND RECORD DATA.

3. CONTOUR INTERVAL IS 2'.

4. THERE ARE NO EASMENTS OR RECORD ON SUBJECT PARCEL.



**AREA OF DISTURBANCE**  
6,070 s.f.


**EARTHWORK**

DESCRIPTION	CUT	FILL
HOUSE MAT TYPE FOUNDATION	0 C.Y.	50 C.Y.
BASEMENT	65 C.Y.	0 C.Y.
GARAGE	0 C.Y.	20 C.Y.
TOTALS	130 C.Y.	125 C.Y.

ANY OFFHAUL MATERIAL SHALL BE DISPOSED OF AT A LEGAL DISPOSAL SITE.

**AREA QUANTITIES**

PROPOSED RESIDENCE: 622 S.F.  
 PROPOSED GARAGE: 567 S.F.  
 PROPOSED ADU: 1,100 S.F.  
 (SEE 17th TING AND EARTHWORK PLAN FOR DETAILS)



**DESIGN REVIEW**

**ILS ASSOCIATES, INC.**  
 CIVIL ENGINEERING AND LAND SURVEYING  
 79 GALLI DRIVE, SUITE A NOVATO, CA 94949-5717 (415)883-9200 FAX (415)883-2783

DATE: 11-10-2021  
 JOB NO: 9473  
 SHEET NO: 1 OF 3

**FRIEDMAN**  
 19 WOOD LANE  
 FAIRFAX CALIFORNIA

**SITE PLAN**

P:\Projects\9473\9473.dwg  
 DATE: 11/10/2021 8:34:05 AM  
 USER: ARTHUR JESSE SMITH  
 PLOT: 9473.DWG  
 PLOT DATE: 11/10/2021 8:34:05 AM  
 PLOT USER: ARTHUR JESSE SMITH











LIGHTING SCHEDULE					
Symbol	Label	MANUFACTURER/MODEL	LAMP TYPE	Lum. Lumens	Comments
4	A	JOHN TIMBERLAND "WESTLEY" 8-1/2" HIGH x 7-1/4" WIDE	3,000K, LED 13W	1150	WALL MOUNTED EXTERIOR DOORS/ GARAGE
	B	ELCO 6" RECESSED	3,000K, LED 10W	830	SOFFIT LIGHT

ALL EXTERIOR LIGHT FIXTURES SHALL BE DARK SKY COMPLIANT

### FIXTURE A



Westley 8 1/2" High Black LED Outdoor Wall Light

#### PRODUCT DETAILS

This charming Westley exterior wall light is perfect for your home. It is made of high-quality materials and is designed to last for years.

#### Additional Info:

This traditional style light evokes a warm, cozy atmosphere. It is perfect for use in a variety of settings, from a rustic cabin to a modern home. The light is made of high-quality materials and is designed to last for years.



Shod Ali's Drubla:Od


• 8 1/2" High x 7 1/4" wide. Ektach (1/2") from the wall. Weight: 12 lbs.

• 3 watt 3000K LED module with light output of 1150 lumens. 1000K. 80 CRI. LED is not dimmable.

• Wall-mounted exterior LED outdoor wall light inspired by industrial design.

• A sleek, modern outdoor light that directs light to the ground, not the sky.


• Recessed into wall. 110V. 1000K. 80 CRI. Steel construction.



2  
A2.3

### PROPOSED EXTERIOR LIGHTING UPPER LEVEL

SCALE: 1/4" = 1'-0"




SCALE: 1/4" = 1'-0"

1  
A2.3

### PROPOSED EXTERIOR LIGHTING LOWER LEVEL

SCALE: 1/4" = 1'-0"



ARCHITECT  
FREDRIC C. DIVINE ASSOCIATES  
1924 FOURTH ST. SAN RAFAEL, CA 94901  
Phone: (415) 457-0220 Fax: (415) 454-9581

RESIDENCE AND AD  
EXTERIOR LIGHTING

REVISIONS

Revision	Date
12-09-2020	12-09-2020
03-03-2021	03-03-2021
07-01-2021	07-01-2021
07-19-2021	07-19-2021
10-07-2021	10-07-2021
11-19-2021	11-19-2021
01-17-2022	01-17-2022

Date: 10-07-2021  
Scale: As Noted  
Drawn: LSK/MP  
1104800  
DIVINE

A2.3

**PROPOSED EXTERIOR FINISH COLORS**

**METAL ROOF:**  
ASC STRATA RIB "SLATE GREY"

**FASCIA & GUTTER:**  
TO MATCH BENJAMIN MOORE OC-16 "CEDAR KEY"


**CEMENT BOARD LAP SIDING:**  
JAMES HARDIE SIDING PAINTED BENJAMIN MOORE HC-137 "WATERBURY GREEN"

**STUCCO WAINSCOT:**  
PAINTED BENJAMIN MOORE OC-16 "CEDAR KEY"


**WINDOOLS:**  
MARVIN ESSENTIAL FIBERGLASS "EBONY" EXTERIOR COLOR,  
"STONE WHITE" INTERIOR COLOR

**CEMENT BOARD WINDOW TRIM:**  
PAINTED BENJAMIN MOORE OC-16 "CEDAR KEY"


**RAILINGS:**  
BLACK METAL



**3 RESIDENCE - NORTH ELEVATION**  
SCALE: 1/4" = 1'-0"



**@GARAGE/ ADU - EAST ELEVATION**  
SCALE: 1/4" = 1'-0"



**@ RESIDENCE - EAST ELEVATION**  
SCALE: 1/4" = 1'-0"



**ARCHITECTS**  
FREDRIC C. DIVINE ASSOCIATES  
1924 FOURTH ST., SAN RAFAEL, CA 94901  
Phone: (415) 457-0220 Fax: (415) 454-9581

**NEW SCIENCE AND ARCHITECTURE**  
C7144  
N. 08

**EXTERIOR ELEVATIONS**

03-03-2021	
07-01-2021	
07-19-2021	
10-07-2021	


DATE: 10-07-2021  
BY: kj Noked  
DRAWN: ISK/MP  
SCALE: 1/4" = 1'-0"  
PROJECT: CMN

D:\Freedman\79 Wood Lany Fairfax\CAD\A3 - Elevations.dwg 10/26/2021 9:42:23 AM








BUILDING SECTION A-A



BUILDING SECTION 9-0



BUILDING SECTION 8-B



@SARGENT & Lundy ARCHITECTS

1	6/16/2021	PROJECT COMPLETION
2	07/01/2021	PERMITS
3	07/01/2021	PLANNING COMMENTS
4	01/01/2021	PLANNING COMMENTS
5	12/09/2020	6/16/2021 SUBMITTAL

BUILDING SECTIONS

NEW RESIDENCE AND ADU  
79 WOOD LANE  
FAIRFAX, CA 94930  
APN: 002-062-03  
FOR: COBY FRIEDMAN


ARCHITECTS  
FREDRIC C. DIVINE ASSOCIATES  
1924 FOURTH ST., SAN RAFAEL, CA 94901  
Phone: (415) 457-0220 Fax: (415) 454-9581



WOOD LANE  
15




79 WOOD LANE  
BENEDICT - FRIEDMAN

WOOD LANE  
15



GROUND FLOOR PLAN







STRUCTURAL NOTES

GENERAL

1. THESE NOTES APPLY TO ALL DRAWINGS AND GOVERN UNLESS OTHERWISE NOTED OR SPECIFIED. ALL WORK SHALL BE IN CONFORMANCE WITH ALL APPLICABLE CODES AND ALL APPLICABLE LOCAL CODES AND ORDINANCES.

APPLICABLE CODES INCLUDE: THE 2019 EDITION OF CALIFORNIA BUILDING CODE (CBC) CALIFORNIA RESIDENTIAL CODE (CRC) CALIFORNIA PLUMBING CODE (CPC) CALIFORNIA ELECTRICAL CODE CALIFORNIA MECHANICAL CODE (CMC) CALIFORNIA GREEN BUILDING STANDARDS CODE CALIFORNIA ENERGY CODE CALIFORNIA FIRE CODE (CFC)

2. VERIFY ALL EXISTING CONDITIONS AND PROPOSED DIMENSIONS AT THE SUBJECT SITE. COMPARE STRUCTURAL DRAWINGS WITH ARCHITECTURAL, MECHANICAL, AND ELECTRICAL DRAWINGS BEFORE COMMENCING WORK. NOTIFY ARCHITECT OF ANY DISCREPANCIES PRIOR TO COMMENCING ANY WORK. DO NOT PROCEED WITH CONSTRUCTION IF DISCREPANCIES ARE DETECTED UNTIL THEY ARE RESOLVED. DO NOT SCALE DRAWINGS.

3. UNLESS OTHERWISE SHOWN OR NOTED ALL TYPICAL DETAILS SHALL BE USED WHERE APPLICABLE. ALL DETAILS SHALL BE CONSIDERED TYPICAL AT SIMILAR CONDITIONS.

4. THE CONTRACTOR AND SPECIAL INSPECTOR ARE ENCOURAGED TO CONTACT THE ENGINEER REGARDING ANY QUESTIONS OF INTERPRETATION OF THESE SPECIFICATIONS AND DRAWINGS.

5. SAFETY MEASURES: AT ALL TIMES, THE CONTRACTOR SHALL WORK IN COMPLIANCE WITH CAL/OSHA-TITLE 8 SAFETY REGULATIONS AND SHALL BE SOLELY AND COMPLETELY RESPONSIBLE FOR THE CONDITIONS OF THE JOB SITE INCLUDING SAFETY OF PEOPLE AND PROPERTY, AND FOR ALL NECESSARY INDEPENDENT ENGINEERING REVIEWS OF THESE CONDITIONS.

6. SHORING AND BRACING OF THE SOIL, AND THE EXISTING AND NEW STRUCTURES SHALL BE INSTALLED WHERE NECESSARY TO ADEQUATELY SUPPORT THE IMPOSED VERTICAL AND LATERAL LOADS, AND SHALL BE MAINTAINED UNTIL THE NEW STRUCTURE CAN SUPPORT THE ANTICIPATED LOADS. THE ENGINEER'S JOB SITE VISITS ARE NOT INTENDED TO INCLUDE REVIEW OF THE ADEQUACY OF THE TEMPORARY SHORING AND/OR CONTRACTOR'S SAFETY MEASURES.

7. ANY OPENING, HOLES, CUTS OR DISCONTINUITIES NOT SHOWN ON THE STRUCTURAL DRAWINGS AND EXTENDING INTO OR THROUGH STRUCTURAL ELEMENTS REQUIRE THE PRIOR APPROVAL OF THE ENGINEER.

8. SURFACE GRADES ADJACENT TO THE FOUNDATION SHALL SLOPE AWAY FROM BUILDING AT A MIN OF 1/4" PER FOOT FOR IMPERVIOUS SURFACES OR 2% FOR IMPERVIOUS SURFACES FOR MIN 10 FEET.

SPECIAL INSPECTIONS AND CONSTRUCTION OBSERVATIONS

1. TESTS AND SPECIAL INSPECTIONS SHALL BE PROVIDED PER REQUIREMENTS OF THE 2019 CALIFORNIA BUILDING CODE CHAPTER 17.

2. THE FOLLOWING ITEMS SHALL BE INSPECTED AND/OR TESTED BY DAC ASSOCIATES INC. OR A TESTING LAB IN ACCORDANCE WITH CHAPTER 17 OF THE 2019 CALIFORNIA BUILDING CODE. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL NOTIFY THE INSPECTOR AT LEAST 72 HOURS PRIOR TO TIME OF INSPECTION.

a. FOR CONCRETE WITH STRENGTH EQUAL OR MORE THAN 3,000PSI, PLACEMENT, SAMPLING & TESTING FOR STRENGTH (EXCEPT FOR CONTINUOUS FOOTING & SLAB-ON-GRADE)

3. THE FOLLOWING ITEMS SHALL BE INSPECTED BY THE ENGINEER OF RECORD (DAC ASSOCIATES, INC.). THE CONTRACTOR SHALL NOTIFY THE ENGINEER AT LEAST 72 HOURS PRIOR TO TIME OF INSPECTION.

a. FOUNDATION, PAVEMENT, AND SLAB-ON-GRADE SUBGRADES b. PLACEMENT OF REINFORCING STEEL AND CAST-IN-PLACE ANCHORAGES c. HOLD-DOWNS AND ANCHOR BOLTS d. STEEL WELDING e. SHEARWALLS, DIAPHRAGMS, ROUGH FRAMING AND FRAMING HARDWARE f. SOIL ENGINEER TO OBSERVE AND APPROVE IN WRITING PLACEMENT OF GEOTECHNICAL DRAINAGE g. SOIL ENGINEER TO OBSERVE AND APPROVE IN WRITING BACKFILL OPERATIONS

4. FOUNDATION EXCAVATIONS AND SLAB-ON-GRADE SUBGRADES SHALL BE OBSERVED AND APPROVED IN WRITING BY THE SOIL ENGINEER (HERZOG GEOTECHNICAL CONSULTING ENGINEERS) PRIOR TO PLACEMENT OF FORMS OR REINFORCING STEEL. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL NOTIFY THE SOIL ENGINEER AT LEAST 72 HOURS BEFORE EXCAVATION/DRILLING IS SCHEDULED TO BEGIN.

5. THE CONTRACTOR IS RESPONSIBLE FOR COORDINATING ALL INSPECTIONS AND ENSURING THAT ALL REQUIRED TESTING & INSPECTION IS PERFORMED TO THE SATISFACTION OF THE INSPECTOR.

DESIGN BASIS AND CRITERIA

1. DESIGN CONFORMS TO THE 2019 CBC AND ALL APPLICABLE LOCAL ORDINANCES.

Table with 3 columns: Designation, DL (PSF), LL (PSF). Rows include ROOF, RES. FLOORS, DECK/BALCONY, GARAGE/PARKING.

3. DESIGN LATERAL LOAD e. WIND: 110 MPH BASIC WIND SPEED. EXPOSURE C f. SEISMIC RISK CATEGORY II, SEISMIC DESIGN CATEGORY D, Ss = 1.6g, S1 = 0.63g, Sps = 1.07g, Ssp = 0.63g R=6.5, I=1.0, Cs = Sps/(R/I), BASE SHEAR, V = Cs\*W

4. ALL STRUCTURES SHOWN ON THESE DRAWINGS ARE BASED UPON ARCHITECTURAL PLANS FOR "NEW RESIDENCE & ADU, 79 WOOD LANE, FAIRFAX, CA" PREPARED BY FREDRIC C. DIVINE ASSOCIATES, DATED 04-06-2022.

CONCRETE

1. CONCRETE CEMENT SHALL CONFORM TO THE LATEST ASTM C-150 & C-595, AND SHALL BE TYPE II. TYPE I CEMENT MAY BE USED IN AREAS NOT IN CONTACT WITH EARTH. MINIMUM 6 SAKCS/CU.YD. OF CEMENT. FLY ASH SHALL NOT COMPOSE MORE THAN 25% OF THE CEMENTITIOUS MATERIAL. AGGREGATE SHALL BE FREE OF ALKALI REACTIVITY.

2. WATER/CEMENT RATIO SHALL NOT EXCEED 0.45. ACID SOLUBLE CHLORIDE-FREE ADMIXTURES AND PLASTICIZERS FOR WORKABILITY MAY BE USED IF APPROVED BY THE TESTING LABORATORY AND ENGINEER. BECAUSE EXCESS WATER REDUCES CONCRETE STRENGTH, ADDING WATER AT THE SITE IS DISCOURAGED AND SHALL NOT EXCEED ONE GALLON PER CUBIC YARD.

3. REINFORCE ALL STRUCTURAL CONCRETE. CONCRETE CONSTRUCTION TOLERANCES SHALL COMPLY WITH ACI 117. INSTALL ALL INSERTS, BOLTS, ANCHORS, AND REINFORCING BARS AND SECURELY PRIOR TO PLACING CONCRETE.

4. CONCRETE SHALL BE AS FOLLOWS (UNLESS OTHERWISE NOTED):

Table with 4 columns: LOCATION, 28 DAYS STRENGTH, SLUMP, AGGREGATE (ASTM C33). Rows include SLAB ON GRADE, FOOTINGS / GRADE BEAMS / CONCRETE WALLS, DRILLED PIERS.

NOTE: STRUCTURAL DESIGN OF CONTINUOUS FOOTING AND SLAB-ON-GRADE CONCRETE BASED ON 2,500 PSI COMPRESSIVE STRENGTH. THE SPECIFIED STRENGTH ABOVE ARE USED FOR BETTER QUALITY PER CRITERIA ONLY. CONCRETE SPECIAL INSPECTION FOR CONTINUOUS FOOTING AND SLAB-ON-GRADE IS NOT REQUIRED.

5. CONCRETE SHALL BE PLACED IN A CONTINUOUS OPERATION BETWEEN PREDETERMINED AND PREAPPROVED CONSTRUCTION JOINTS.

6. CONCRETE SHALL BE CONTINUOUSLY CURED FOR 7 DAYS AFTER PLACEMENT IN ANY APPROVED MANNER. FOOTINGS ARE EXCEPTED FROM THIS REQUIREMENT.

7. CONTRACTOR SHALL SUBMIT TO THE ENGINEER FOR REVIEW AND APPROVAL, DRAWINGS LOCATING AND DETAILING ALL PROPOSED CONSTRUCTION/CONTROL JOINTS IN CONCRETE PRIOR TO COMMENCING WORK. CONSTRUCTION JOINT SHALL BE ROUGHENED, EXPOSING CLEAN AGGREGATE TO 1/2" DEPTH SOLIDLY EMBEDDED IN MORTAR MATRIX, AND SHALL INCLUDE SHEAR KEYS AND DOWELS AS REQUIRED BY THE ENGINEER.

8. THE LOCATION AND PROTECTION OF EXISTING UTILITIES IS THE RESPONSIBILITY OF THE CONTRACTOR. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL NOTIFY THE ENGINEER IF UTILITY PIPES RUN THROUGH, OR WITHIN 24" BELOW, ANY NEW CONCRETE CONSTRUCTION. THE ENGINEER WILL PROVIDE THE CONTRACTOR WITH DESIGN DETAILS UNDER SUCH CIRCUMSTANCES.

9. PATCHING OF CONCRETE: ALL INSERTS HOLES, AND OTHER IMPERFECTIONS ON THE SURFACE OF THE CONCRETE SHALL BE FILLED WITH GROUT, BRUSHED, AND SACKED TO A UNIFORM FINISH. ALL HOLES THROUGH TO THE OUTSIDE OF THE BUILDING MUST BE MADE WATER-TIGHT.

10. CHAMFER ALL CORNERS 3/4", EXCEPT TOP EDGES OF SLABS AND BEAMS, UNLESS OTHERWISE NOTED.

11. ALL CONCRETE SHALL BE PLACED ON COMPETENT SUBGRADE, AS DETERMINED BY THE ENGINEER AT THE TIME OF CONSTRUCTION.

12. CONCRETE FLOOR SLAB-ON-GRADE SHALL HAVE A MINIMUM THICKNESS OF 4" UNLESS OTHERWISE NOTED.

13. ALL SLAB-ON-GRADE SHALL HAVE CONTROL JOINTS (WEAKENED PLANE JOINT) PER TYPICAL DETAILS TO CREATE APPROXIMATELY 20-FOOT SQUARES, UNLESS OTHERWISE NOTED ON PLANS.

REINFORCING STEEL

1. ALL REINFORCING STEEL BARS SHALL CONFORM TO THE STANDARD SPECIFICATIONS FOR DEFORMED BILLET-STEEL CONCRETE REINFORCEMENT ASTM A615 GRADE 60 KSI EXCEPT FOR GRADE 40 KSI FOR #3 STIRRUP/TIE, UNLESS OTHERWISE NOTED.

2. LAP SLICE ALL BARS A MINIMUM OF 36 BAR DIA OR 18" MIN, (UNLESS OTHERWISE NOTED) LAP HORIZ REBAR AT CORNERS AND INTERSECTIONS IN FOOTINGS AND WALLS WITH CORNER BARS OR OTHER METHODS SPECIFICALLY APPROVED BY THE STRUCTURAL ENGINEER.

3. WIRE MESH SHALL CONFIRM WITH ASTM A185-64.

4. UNLESS OTHERWISE NOTED, MAINTAIN COVERAGE TO FACE OF REINFORCING BARS AS FOLLOWS:

Table with 2 columns: LOCATION, MINIMUM CLEAR COVER. Rows include CAST AGAINST EARTH, EXPOSED TO EARTH OR WEATHER, EXTERIOR SURFACES FOR BEAMS & COLUMN.

FOUNDATIONS AND RETAINING WALLS

1. THE FOUNDATION AND RETAINING WALLS DESIGN IS BASED ON RECOMMENDATIONS OF THE GEOTECHNICAL INVESTIGATION REPORT TITLED "GEOTECHNICAL REPORT UPDATE," PREPARED BY HERZOG GEOTECHNICAL CONSULTING ENGINEERS, DATED 11-15-2021. A COPY OF THE REPORT SHALL BE OBTAINED FROM THE SOIL ENGINEER'S OFFICE. THE REPORT IS PART OF THE CONSTRUCTION DOCUMENTS, AND ITS RECOMMENDATIONS ARE TO BE FOLLOWED DURING CONSTRUCTION.

2. DESIGN CRITERIA a. ASSUMED DEPTH TO COMPETENT SUBGRADE = 44.5 FEET b. ALLOWABLE BEARING PRESSURE (DL+LL) = 1000 PSF FOR MAT SLAB c. COEFFICIENT OF FRICTION = 0.3 d. ALLOWABLE PASSIVE PRESSURE FOR MAT SLAB = 150 PCF (EQUIVALENT FLUID PRESSURE) e. ALLOWABLE PASSIVE PRESSURE FOR RETAINING WALLS = 60 PCF FOR LEVEL BACKFILL WITH BACK-DRAINAGE (ADD 2 FT BACKFILL FOR VEHICULAR SURCHARGE) (1/2H SEISMIC)

3. ALL FOUNDATION AND RETAINING WALL WORK SHALL COMPLY WITH 2019 CBC CHAPTER 18.

4. WATERPROOF MEMBRANE SHALL BE 10MIL MIN THICK; 2" MIN OVERLAP & SECURED W/ TAPE AT ALL EDGES PER MANUFACTURE'S RECOMMENDATION.

5. CONTRACTOR SHALL USE APPROVED DEVICES AND/OR SERVICES TO SCAN FOR UNDERGROUND UTILITIES PRIOR TO START OF EXCAVATION OR GRADING.

6. CONTRACTOR SHALL AVOID EXCAVATION BELOW BOTTOM OF FOOTING AND REMOVING ANY SOIL WHICH MAY SERVE FOR LATERAL RESISTANCE FOR ADJACENT FOOTINGS. UNLESS OTHERWISE NOTED.

7. EXTERIOR FOOTINGS TO BE A MINIMUM OF 18" BELOW FINISHED GRADE (UNLESS OTHERWISE NOTED) BEARING ON NATIVE UNDISTURBED COMPETENT SOIL OR ENGINEERED COMPACTED FILLS WITH 95% RELATIVE COMPACTION (ASTM D1557), APPROVED BY SOIL ENGINEER IN WRITING.

8. DO NOT ALLOW WATER TO STAND IN EXCAVATED HOLES. IF BOTTOMS OF HOLE BECOME SOFTENED DUE TO RAIN OR OTHER WATER BEFORE CONCRETE IS CAST, EXCAVATE SOFTENED MATERIAL AND REPLACE WITH PROPERLY COMPACTED BACKFILL OR CONCRETE AT NO COST TO THE OWNER.

EQUIPMENT, PIPE, AND DUCT SUPPORT

1. THE CONTRACTOR IS RESPONSIBLE FOR THE VERTICAL AND LATERAL SUPPORT OF ALL HVAC AND OTHER EQUIPMENT. SHOP DRAWINGS SHALL BE SUBMITTED FOR THE SUPPORT OF ALL HVAC EQUIPMENT OVER 400 POUNDS, STAMPED AND SIGNED BY A CALIFORNIA-LICENSED CIVIL OR STRUCTURAL ENGINEER. EQUIPMENT AND ANCHORAGE SHALL BE DESIGNED TO RESIST LATERAL SEISMIC FORCES PER 2019 CBC SECTION 1632.2. LATERAL SEISMIC DESIGN FORCES ON ALL LIFE SAFETY EQUIPMENT SHALL BE INCREASED BY A FACTOR OF 1.50.

2. CONDUITS, PIPES AND DUCTS SHALL BE BRACED TO RESIST SEISMIC HAZARD B PER THE CURRENT EDITION OF "SMACNA SEISMIC RESTRAINT MANUAL: GUIDELINES FOR MECHANICAL SYSTEMS", EXCEPT THAT THE COMPONENTS OF LIFE SAFETY SYSTEMS SHALL BE BRACED TO RESIST SEISMIC HAZARD LEVEL A.

ROUGH CARPENTRY

1. UNLESS OTHERWISE SHOWN ON THE DRAWINGS, NAILING SHALL CONFORM TO THE 2019 CBC, TABLE 2304.9.1 UNLESS OTHERWISE NOTED ON THESE DRAWINGS, ALL NAILS SHALL BE COMMON NAILS (AS OPPOSED TO BOX, SINKER OR COOLER NAILS).

2. SILLS ON CONCRETE SHALL BE PRESSURE TREATED DOUGLAS FIR. SILLS SHALL BE FASTENED TO THE CONCRETE WITH A MINIMUM OF TWO FASTENERS PER PIECE, SPACED NOT MORE THAN 4 FEET APART AND A FASTENER LOCATED NOT MORE THAN 12 INCHES OR SEVEN BOLT DIAMETERS AND NOT LESS THAN 5 INCHES FROM EACH END OF PIECE. USE HOT-DIPPED GALVANIZED FASTENERS WITH PRESSURE TREATED WOOD.

3. FASTEN ALL SILL PLATES AT NON-STRUCTURAL WALLS TO NON-PRESTRESSED CONCRETE SLABS WITH 0.177" DIAMETER POWER DRIVEN FASTENERS AT 16" ON CENTER, WITH 1 1/2" MINIMUM CONCRETE EMBEDMENT, UNLESS OTHERWISE NOTED ON THE DRAWINGS. FASTEN ALL SILL PLATES AT NON-STRUCTURAL WALLS TO PRESTRESSED CONCRETE SLABS WITH 0.145" DIAMETER POWER EMBEDMENT DRIVEN FASTENERS AT 16" ON CENTERS, WITH 3/4" MINIMUM AND 1" MAXIMUM CONCRETE EMBEDMENT, UNLESS OTHERWISE NOTED ON THE DRAWINGS.

4. ALL ANCHOR BOLTS (AB) SHALL BE ASTM A307. ALL ANCHOR BOLTS SHALL HAVE PLATE WASHERS, MINIMUM 3"x3" SQUARE BY 0.229" THICK. ANCHOR BOLTS MUST BE SECURELY WROD IN PLACE AND ALIGNED IN A TRUE STRAIGHT LINE PRIOR TO THE CONCRETE PLACEMENT. ANCHOR BOLTS AND OTHER EMBEDDED STRUCTURAL CONNECTIONS MAY NOT BE "WET SET".

5. LAG SCREWS: PRE-DRILL LEAD HOLES WITH 1/2 TO 3/8 OF SHANK DIAMETER FOR THREADED PORTION OF LAG SCREW, AND FULL DIAMETER FOR THE UNTHREADED SHANK PORTION. LAG SCREWS SHALL BE TORQUED, AND NEVER HAMMERED, INTO POSITION. LUBRICATE THREADS WITH SOAP OR OTHER WOOD-COMPATIBLE LUBRICANT.

6. ALL MACHINE BOLTS (M.B.) SHALL BE ASTM A307 GRADE A, INSTALLED THROUGH HOLES 1/4" LARGER THAN DIAMETER OF BOLT. RE-TIGHTEN ALL BOLTS PRIOR TO CLOSING IN WALLS.

7. USE HOT-DIPPED GALVANIZED NAILS, BOLTS, AND HARDWARE WHERE EXPOSED TO WEATHER AND FOR WHEN IN CONTACT WITH PRESSURE TREATED WOOD.

8. PLACE JOISTS WITH CROWN UP. ADD ONE ADDITIONAL JOIST UNDER ALL PARALLEL PARTITIONS.

9. BLOCK ALL JOISTS AT SUPPORTS AND UNDER ALL PARTITIONS WITH MINIMUM 2X SOLID BLOCKING. BLOCK AND BRIDGE ROOF JOISTS AT 10 FOOT AND FLOOR JOISTS AT 8 FOOT ON CENTER WHERE CEILING ASSEMBLY IS NOT ATTACHED DIRECTLY TO BOTTOM OF JOISTS.

10. ALL TIMBER FASTENERS NOT SPECIFICALLY DETAILED ON THE DRAWINGS SHALL BE SIMPSON COMPANY'S STANDARD FASTENERS OR APPROVED EQUAL.

11. ALL WOOD AND WOOD PRODUCTS IN CONTACT WITH CONCRETE OR MASONRY OR EXPOSED TO WEATHER SHALL BE PRESSURE-TREATED. SPECIES AND GRADE FOR PRESSURE TREATED PRODUCTS SHALL MATCH THAT SPECIFIED FOR UNTREATED SIMILAR LUMBER OR WOOD PRODUCTS (I.E. PRESSURE-TREATED HEM-FIR MAY NOT BE SUBSTITUTED FOR PRESSURE-TREATED DOUGLAS-FIR), UNLESS OTHERWISE NOTED ON THE DRAWINGS.

12. RE-TIGHTEN ALL BOLTS BEFORE CLOSING IN FRAMING.

13. AT THE TIME OF INSTALLATION, ALL FRAMING LUMBER SHALL HAVE A MAXIMUM MOISTURE CONTENT OF 19%.

14. ALL TJI, MICRO-LAM (LVL), PARALAM (PSL) ARE MADE BY WEYERHAUSER. THE MANUFACTURER'S GUIDELINES AND RECOMMENDATIONS SHALL BE FOLLOWED IN HANDLING AND INSTALLATION OF ALL PRODUCTS.

15. TIMBER RIVETS: SHALL BE INSTALLED WITH LONG EDGE PARALLEL TO GRAIN. TIMBER RIVETS AT THE PERIMETER OF THE GROUP SHALL BE DRIVEN FIRST. SUCCESSIVE TIMBER RIVETS SHALL BE DRIVEN IN A SPIRAL PATTERN FROM THE OUTSIDE TO THE CENTER OF THE GROUP.

16. SIMPSON STRONG WALL SHEAR WALL MUST BE INSTALLED IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE MANUFACTURER INSTRUCTIONS. MANUFACTURER GUIDELINES AND RECOMMENDATIONS SHALL BE FOLLOWED AT ALL TIMES DURING HANDLING AND INSTALLATION OF ALL PRODUCTS.

FRAMING LUMBER

1. ALL FRAMING LUMBER SHALL BE DOUGLAS FIR GRADED PER WCLIB GRADING RULES NO. 16 LUMBER MAY BE SURFACE GREEN EXCEPT AS NOTED BELOW.

2. ALL POSTS, BEAMS, HEADERS SHALL BE #1 OR BETTER.

3. ALL ROOF JOISTS SHALL BE #1 OR BETTER.

4. ALL FLOOR JOISTS SHALL BE #1 OR BETTER, SURFACE DRY.

5. ALL STUDS SHALL BE STUD GRADE OR BETTER.

6. ALL PLATES AND MISCELLANEOUS LUMBER SHALL BE STANDARD GRADE OR BETTER.

7. ALL WOOD AND WOOD PRODUCTS IN CONTACT WITH CONCRETE OR MASONRY OR EXPOSED TO WEATHER SHALL BE PRESSURE-TREATED. SPECIES AND GRADE FOR PRESSURE TREATED PRODUCTS SHALL MATCH THAT SPECIFIED FOR UNTREATED SIMILAR LUMBER OR WOOD PRODUCTS (I.E. PRESSURE-TREATED HEM-FIR MAY NOT BE SUBSTITUTED FOR PRESSURE-TREATED DOUGLAS-FIR), UNLESS OTHERWISE NOTED ON THE DRAWINGS.

PLYWOOD

1. EACH PLYWOOD SHEET OR WOOD STRUCTURAL PANEL SHALL BE IDENTIFIED WITH THE APPROPRIATE GRADE AND TRADEMARK OF THE AMERICAN PLYWOOD ASSOCIATION AND SHALL MEET THE REQUIREMENTS OF THE LATEST EDITION OF THE U.S. PRODUCT STANDARD PS 1 OR PS 2. WOOD STRUCTURAL PANELS (SUCH AS ORIENTED STRAND BOARD) OF EQUAL THICKNESS AND RATING, AND MEETING THE REQUIREMENTS OF APA PS 2, MAY BE SUBSTITUTED FOR PLYWOOD.

2. PLYWOOD SHEETS AT FLOORS AND ROOFS SHALL BE LAID WITH FACE GRAIN PERPENDICULAR TO JOISTS AND RAFTERS. BLOCK EDGES WHERE NOTED ON THE DRAWINGS. ALL CUT PANELS SHALL BE EQUAL OR GREATER THAN 24"x48". APPLY A CONTINUOUS BEAD OF GLUE TO ALL FLOOR JOISTS BEFORE SETTING FLOOR PLYWOOD.

3. PLYWOOD SHEETS ON WALLS SHALL BE LAID WITH LONG DIMENSION VERTICAL. ALL CUT PANELS IN SHEAR WALLS SHALL BE EQUAL OR GREATER THAN 16" IN BOTH DIRECTIONS. BLOCK AND NAIL ALL EDGES. GLUE ADHESIVE SHALL NOT BE APPLIED BETWEEN STUDS AND WALL PLYWOOD.

4. ROOF PLYWOOD SHALL BE MINIMUM 3/4", 2% EXPOSURE 1, PROVIDE PLYCLIPS BETWEEN RAFTERS WHERE EDGES ARE NOT BLOCKED. U.O.N.

5. FLOOR PLYWOOD SHALL BE MINIMUM 3/4", 4% EXPOSURE 1. U.O.N.

6. WALL PLYWOOD SHALL BE MINIMUM 1/2", 2% EXPOSURE 1. U.O.N.

STRUCTURAL STEEL AND MISCELLANEOUS IRON

1. ALL WORK SHALL BE PERFORMED IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE LATEST EDITION OF AISC "SPECIFICATION FOR STRUCTURAL STEEL BUILDINGS" AND AISC "CODE OF STANDARD PRACTICE FOR STEEL BUILDINGS AND BRIDGES" (AS REVISED BY THE PROJECT SPECIFICATIONS).

2. STEEL SHAPES AND MISCELLANEOUS STEEL SHALL CONFORM TO THE FOLLOWING: A. WIDE FLANGES (W) - ASTM 992, GR 50 B. HOLLOW STRUCTURAL SECTIONS (HSS) \*SQUARE OR RECTANGULAR - ASTM A500 GR B (Fy = 46 ksi) \*ROUND - ASTM A500 GR B (Fy = 42 ksi) C. PLATES AND BARS - A36 \*EXCEPT FOR MOMENT FRAME CONNECTIONS (I.E. CONTINUITY, DOUBLER, SPLICE, ETC) WHICH SHALL BE ASTM A572 GR 50 D. PIPE - ASTM A53 GR B E. MISCELLANEOUS SHAPES (I.E. CHANNELS, ANGLES, ETC) - ASTM A36

3. ALL BOLTS FOR STEEL TO STEEL CONNECTIONS SHALL CONFORM TO ASTM A325N-SC, UNLESS OTHERWISE NOTED. BOLTS SHALL BE FULLY PRE-TENSIONED TO SATISFY SLIP-CRITICAL REQUIREMENTS WITH A CLASS-A FAYNG SURFACE. FULL PRE-TENSIONING SHALL BE ATTAINED BY "TURN-OF-THE-NUT" OR OTHER METHOD APPROVED BY THE STRUCTURAL ENGINEER.

4. ANCHOR RODS: TYPICAL: ASTM F1554 GR 36 W/ ASTM A563 HEAVY HEX NUTS WELDABLE: ASTM F1554 GR 55 S1 W/ ASTM A563 HEAVY HEX NUTS HIGH STRENGTH: ASTM F1554 GR 105 W/ ASTM A563 GR DH HEAVY HEX NUTS

PROJECT DESCRIPTION

NEW RESIDENCE, NEW GARAGE, AND NEW ADU.

PROJECT DIRECTORY

OWNER: COBY FRIEDMAN 79 WOOD LANE FAIRFAX, CA 94930 COBY@CFCONTRACTING.COM 415-310-5442 ARCHITECT: FREDRIC DIVINE ARCHITECTS 1924 4TH STREET SAN RAFAEL, CA 94901 LAURA@FDIVINEARCHITECTS.COM 415-457-0220 STRUCTURAL/CIVIL ENGINEER: DAC ASSOCIATES, INC. 7 MOUNT LASSEN DRIVE SUITE A-129 SAN RAFAEL, CA 94903 DARIUS@DACASSOCIATES.NET 415-499-1919

SHEET INDEX

Table with 2 columns: Sheet Number, Description. Rows include S-1.0 STRUCTURAL GENERAL NOTES & COVER, S-1.1 STRUCTURAL TYPICAL DETAILS, S-1.2 STRUCTURAL TYPICAL DETAILS CONTINUED, S-1.3 STRUCTURAL TYPICAL DETAILS CONTINUED, S-2.0 FOUNDATION PLAN, S-2.1 MAIN FLOOR FRAMING PLAN, S-2.2 UPPER FLOOR FRAMING PLAN, S-2.3 ROOF FRAMING PLAN, S-3.0 STRUCTURAL DETAILS, S-3.1 STRUCTURAL DETAILS, S-3.2 WEYERHAUSER TYPICAL DETAILS, S-3.3 WEYERHAUSER TYPICAL DETAILS, S-3.4 STRUCTURAL DETAILS, S-3.5 STRUCTURAL DETAILS





Table with 2 columns: REVISIONS, BY. Rows include revision 1 (2022-06-21, DL), revision 2 (2022-09-21, DL), revision 3 (2023-04-20, DL).

Darius Abolhassani Consultant & Associates, Inc. Consulting Engineering & Construction Support 7 Mt. Lassen Drive, Suite A-129, San Rafael, CA 94903 Phone: (415)499-1919 Email: darius@dacassociates.net



NEW RESIDENCE & ADU 79 WOOD LANE FAIRFAX, CA 94930 PROJECT APN 002-062-03

STRUCTURAL GENERAL NOTES

DATE: 2022-04-08


SCALE: AS SHOWN

DRAWN BY: DL


JOB NUMBER: 1477-0822 S

SHEET 1 OF 14 SHEET S-1.0







1 STANDARD REINFORCEMENT HOOKS & BENDS NTS




2 (TYP) REIN. HORIZONTAL BEND NTS




f <sub>c</sub> CONCRETE STRENGTH	REBAR SIZE											
	GRADE 40					GRADE 60						
3000	#3	#4	#5	#6	#7	#8	#9	#10	#11	90° HOOK EMBED (INCHES)		
	8	10	11	14	17	20	22	25	28	31	LAP SPLICE LENGTH (INCHES)	
3000	12	15	22	28	33	48	55	62	70	78		

REINFORCEMENT LAP SPLICE NOTE:  
 1. STAGGERED LAPS BETWEEN ADJACENT BAR SPLICE.  
 2. MULTIPLY ALL LENGTH BY 1.5 IF EITHER OF THE FOLLOWING ARE TRUE:  
 A. CLEAR SPACING 's' OF BARS IS LESS THAN 2d  
 B. CLEAR COVER IS LESS THAN 1 BAR DIAMETER (d)  
 3. NONCONTACT SPLICE WITH MIN 3d SPACING SHALL BE USED FOR SHOTCRETE.


4 (TYP) REINFORCEMENT LAP SPLICE & HOOK EMBED NTS




5 (TYP) CONC BEAM CONST JOINTS NTS




6 (TYP) FOOTING KEY NTS




7 (TYP) PIPES ADJACENT TO FOUNDATIONS NTS




8 (TYP) SLAB-ON-GRADE NTS




9 (TYP) S-O-G JOINTS NTS



10 (TYP) CONCRETE STAIR ON GRADE NTS



11a (TYP) SITE GRADING NTS




11b (TYP) SITE GRADING NTS

NOTES:  
 1. SUBDRAIN PIPE 4\"/>

NOTES:  
 1. S.A.D FOR CONTROL JOINT OR @ 20'x20' MAX  
 2. CONTROL & CONSTRUCTION JOINTS WHERE CONC IS NOT POURED CONTINUOUSLY.

FOR INTERIOR S-O-G, 15 MIL. STEGO WRAP VAPOR BARRIER OR EQUIV. LAP JOINTS MIN. 6\"/>



NOTES:  
 1. BURKE KEYED JOINT WITH REMOVABLE CAP MAY BE SUBSTITUTED FOR FORMED KEY  
 2. POUR ADJACENT SLABS AT LEAST 8 HRS. APART

NOTES:  
 1. S.A.D. FOR RISE AND RUN DIMENSIONS

REVISIONS	BY
1	DL
2	DL
3	DL

Darius Abolhassani Consultant & Associates, Inc.  
 Consulting Engineering & Construction Support  
 7 Mt. Lassen Drive, Suite A-129, San Rafael, CA 94903  
 Phone: (415)499-1919 Email: darius@dacassociates.net



NEW RESIDENCE & ADU  
 79 WOOD LANE  
 FAIRFAX, CA 94930  
 PROJECT APN 002-062-03

STRUCTURAL TYPICAL DETAILS

DATE: 2022-04-08

SCALE: AS SHOWN


DRAWN BY: DL

JOB NUMBER: 1477-0822 S


SHEET 2  
**S-1.1**  
 OF 14 SHEET

SHEAR WALL SCHEDULE							
MARK	SHEATHING	EDGE NAIL (EN) FIELD NAIL (FN)	FRAMING CLIPS	SOLE PL NAILS	ANCHOR BOLTS	FL/ROOF EDGE NAIL	Code Value (A S D)
1	1/2" STRUCTURAL 1	10d @ 4" O.C. 10d @ 12" O.C.	A35 @ 12" O.C.	20d @ 4" O.C. OR SDS 1/4" x 5 @ 8"	5/8" @ 32" O.C. W/8" EMBED.	SEE DIAPHRAGM SCHED	510 PLF
2	1/2" STRUCTURAL 1	10d @ 3" O.C. 10d @ 12" O.C.	A35 @ 8" O.C.	20d @ 3" O.C. OR SDS 1/4" x 5 @ 6"	5/8" @ 32" O.C. W/8" EMBED.	SEE DIAPHRAGM SCHED	665 PLF
3	1/2" STRUCTURAL 1	10d @ 2" O.C. 10d @ 12" O.C.	A35 @ 6" O.C.	SDS 1/4" x 5 @ 6"	5/8" @ 16" O.C. W/8" EMBED.	SEE DIAPHRAGM SCHED	870 PLF
4	2-SIDED 1/2" STRUCTURAL 1	10d @ 2" O.C. 10d @ 12" O.C.	A35 @ 4" O.C.	SDS 1/4" x 5 @ 3" O.C.	5/8" @ 8" O.C. W/8" EMBED.	SEE DIAPHRAGM SCHED	1740 PLF
5	SIMPSON STRONG WALL	SEE MANUFACTURER'S SPECIFICATIONS					XXXX LB








NOTES:  
 • SEE STRUCTURAL PLANS FOR SHEAR WALL TYPE, HOLDOWN, AND LOCATION  
 • ALL INFORMATION IN THE ABOVE SCHEDULE RELATES TO THE ITEMS SHOWN IN THE ACCOMPANYING WALL SECTIONS. ALL PARTS REQUIRED FOR EACH SHEAR WALL TYPE OCCUR IN THE WALLS BETWEEN THE LEVEL REPRESENTED BY THE FRAMING PLAN AND THE LEVEL ABOVE.  
 EXAMPLE: (A SHEARWALL SHOWN ON THE SECOND FLOOR FRAMING PLAN WITH A MARK  $\diamond$  NEXT TO IT, SHALL HAVE ALL THE PARTS REQUIRED FOR A TYPE  $\diamond$  WALL INSTALLED IN THE WALL BETWEEN THE SECOND AND THIRD FLOORS OR SECOND FLOOR AND ROOF)  
 • WHERE THE SHEAR WALL SPECIFIED ON ONE SIDE OF THE WALL ONLY, PLACE SHEATHING ON SIDE OF WALL WHERE SYMBOL  $\diamond$  IS SHOWN ON PLAN  
 • WHERE THE SHEAR WALL SPECIFIED ON BOTH SIDES OF THE WALL, JOINTS AND SILL PL NAILING SHALL BE STAGGERED IN ALL CASES. PANELS JOINTS SHALL BE OFFSET TO FALL ON DIFFERENT FRAMING MEMBERS.  
 • AT SHEAR WALLS WITH CODE VALUES EXCEEDING 350 PLF, PROVIDE 3x SILL PL. AND 3x STUD (OR DBL 2x STUDS JOINED TOGETHER WITH SDS SCREWS @ 6" O.C. MAX.) AT FRAMING MEMBERS RECEIVING EDGE NAILING FROM ABUTTING PANELS. EDGE NAILING ON ABUTTING PANELS SHALL BE STAGGERED.  
 • AT SHEAR WALLS WITH 2" O.C. EDGE NAILING, PROVIDE 3x SILL PL. AND 3x STUD AT FRAMING MEMBERS RECEIVING EDGE NAILING FROM ABUTTING PANELS. EDGE NAILING ON ABUTTING PANELS SHALL BE STAGGERED.




HOLDOWN/TIEDOWN SCHEDULE					
HOLDOWN	ANCHOR DIA "d <sub>a</sub> "	UPLIFT (LB)	MIN EMBEDMENT IN CONCRETE		MIN WOOD MEMBER
			NEW	EXISTING	
HDU2	3/8"	3,075	SB $\frac{3}{8}$ "x24/SSTB24 OR ATR EMBED 14"	8"	MSTC52 (FLR<16") 2-2x OR 4x #1
HDU4	3/8"	4,565	SB $\frac{3}{8}$ "x24/SSTB28 OR ATR EMBED 18"	10"	MSTC52 (FLR<16") 2-2x OR 4x #1
HDU5	3/8"	5,645	SB $\frac{3}{8}$ "x24 OR ATR EMBED 20"	12"	MSTC66 (FLR<18") 2-2x OR 4x #1
HDU8	3/8"	7,870	SB $\frac{3}{8}$ "x24 OR ATR EMBED 20"	14"	N/A 3-2x OR 6x #1
HDU11-A	1"	9,335	SB1x30 OR ATR EMBED 24"	16"	N/A 4x6 SS
HDU11-B	1"	11,175	SB1x30 OR ATR EMBED 24"	16"	N/A 4x8 SS




WALL FRAMING NOTE:  
 1. WALLS SHALL BE FRAMED WITH STUDS NOT LESS THAN STUDDING ABOVE WITH MINIMUM HEIGHT OF 14". CRIPPLE WALL LESS THAN 14" HEIGHT SHALL BE FRAMED OF SOLID BLOCKING.  
 2. DOUBLE UP JOIST IF WALL ABOVE IS PARALLEL TO THE JOIST U.O.N.  
 3. SOLID BLOCK BETWEEN JOISTS IF WALL ABOVE IS PERPENDICULAR TO THE JOISTS U.O.N.  
 4. FULLY SOLID BLK BETWEEN JOIST @ 6"-0" O.C. MAX. TYP. U.O.N.



HEADER SCHEDULE	
MAX SPAN	HEADER DEPTH
3'-6"	6"
6'-0"	8"
8'-0"	10"
OVER 8'-0"	SEE PLAN



DIAPHRAGMS NAILING SCHEDULE				
	SHEATHING	EDGE NAIL	FIELD NAIL	CODE VALUE
ROOF	1/2" PLYWD STRUCTURAL 1	10d @ 6" O.C. STAGGERED	10d @ 12" O.C.	640 PLF
FLOOR	3/4" PLYWD STRUCTURAL 1	10d @ 6" O.C. STAGGERED	10d @ 12" O.C.	640 PLF




REVISIONS		BY
1	2022-06-21	DL
2	2022-09-21	DL
3	2023-04-20	DL

**Darius Abolhassani Consultant & Associates, Inc.**  
 Consulting Engineering & Construction Support  
 7 Mt. Lassen Drive, Suite A-129, San Rafael, CA 94903  
 Phone: (415)499-1919 Email: darius@dacassociates.net




**NEW RESIDENCE & ADU**  
 79 WOOD LANE  
 FAIRFAX, CA 94930  
 PROJECT APN 002-062-003


STRUCTURAL TYPICAL DETAILS CONTINUED  
 DATE: 2022-04-08  
 SCALE: AS SHOWN  
 DRAWN BY: DL  
 JOB NUMBER: 1477-0822 S  
 SHEET 3  
**S-1.2**  
 OF 14 SHEET




1 (TYP) ROOF TO WALL CONNECTION  
S-1.3




2 (TYP) RAFTER AND RIDGE  
S-1.3




3 (TYP) LATERAL FORCE COLLECTOR - DRAG STRUT  
S-1.3




4 (TYP) FLOOR/ROOF OPENING FRAMING  
S-1.3




5 (TYP) ALLOWABLE HOLES AND NOTCHES IN JOISTS AND STUDS  
S-1.3




6 (TYP) NON BEARING PARTITION WALL  
S-1.3




11 (TYP) INTERIOR STAIR FRAMING  
S-1.3




8 (TYP) DECK JOIST AND RAILING POST PLAN  
S-1.3



9 (TYP) OPENING IN EXISTING FRAMED ROOF  
S-1.3



12 (TYP) BUILT-UP BEAM/JOIST  
S-1.3



13 (TYP) SHEAR WALL ABOVE BEAM  
S-1.3

REVISIONS	BY
1	DL
2	DL
3	DL

**Darius Abolhassani Consultant & Associates, Inc.**  
Consulting Engineering & Construction Support  
7 Mt. Lassen Drive, Suite A-129, San Rafael, CA 94903  
Phone: (415)499-1919 Email: darius@dacassociates.net





**NEW RESIDENCE & ADU**  
79 WOOD LANE  
FAIRFAX, CA 94930  
PROJECT APN 002-062-003

STRUCTURAL TYPICAL  
DETAILS CONTINUED

DATE: 2022-04-08  
SCALE: AS SHOWN  
DRAWN BY: DL  
JOB NUMBER: 1477-0822 S

SHEET 4  
**S-1.3**  
OF 14 SHEET





**ABBREVIATIONS**

&	AND	HR	HARDROCK
L	ANGLE	HT	HEIGHT
●	AT	ID	INSIDE DIAMETER
ACI	AMERICAN CONCRETE INSTITUTE	INT	INTERIOR
AISC	AMERICAN INSTITUTE OF STEEL CONSTRUCTION	JT	JOINT
ASTM	AMERICAN SOCIETY OF TESTING AND MATERIALS	JST	JOIST
AWS	AMERICAN WELDING SOCIETY	LG	LONG
AB	ANCHOR BOLT	LS	LOW SHRINKAGE
ABV	ABOVE	MATL	MATERIAL
ADD'L	ADDITIONAL	MAX	MAXIMUM
AGGR	AGGREGATE	MB	MACHINE BOLT
ALT	ALTERNATE	MECH	MECHANICAL
APPROX	APPROXIMATE	MFR	MANUFACTURER
ARCH	ARCHITECT, ARCHITECTURAL	MIN	MINIMUM
ATR	ALL-THREAD ROD	MISC	MISCELLANEOUS
B.E.	BOTH ENDS	(N)	NEW
B.S.	BOTH SIDES	N/A	NOT APPLICABLE
B.W.	BOTH WAYS	NSG	NON-SHRINK GROUT
BETW	BETWEEN	NTS	NOT TO SCALE
BLD'G	BUILDING	NO.#	NUMBER
BLW	BELOW	O/	OVER
BM	BEAM	O.C.	ON CENTERS
BLK	BLOCKING	OD	OUTSIDE DIAMETER
BOT	BOTTOM	OPNG	OPENING
		OPP	OPPOSITE
C.C.	CENTER TO CENTER	PL	PLATE
CBC	CALIFORNIA BUILDING CODE	PLYWD	PLYWOOD
CL	CENTERLINE	PT	PRESSURE TREATED
CLR	CLEAR		
C-I-P	CAST-IN-PLACE	REF	REFERENCE/REFER
CO	DRAINAGE CLEAN OUT	REINF	REINFORCEMENT
COL	COLUMN	REQ	REQUIREMENTS
CONC	CONCRETE	REQ'D	REQUIRED
CONN	CONNECTION	RM	ROOM
CONST	CONSTRUCTION	RW	RETAINING WALL
CONT	CONTINUOUS	RO	ROUGH OPENING
DBL	DOUBLE	S.A.D.	SEE ARCHITECTURAL DRAWINGS
DBLR	DOUBLER	S-O-G	SLAB-ON-GRADE
DET	DETAIL	SCHED	SCHEDULE
DF	DOUGLAS FIR	SHT	SHEET
DN	DOWN	SIM	SIMILAR
DIA, ∅	DIAMETER	STAGG	STAGGER, STAGGERED
DIAG	DIAGONAL	STD	STANDARD
DIM	DIMENSION	STIFF	STIFFENER
DL	DEVELOPMENT	STRR	STIRRUP
DWG	DRAWING	STL	STEEL
(E)	EXISTING	EA	EACH
EA	EACH	EF	EACH FACE
EL	END LENGTH	EL	END LENGTH
EMBED	EMBEDMENT	T&B	TOP AND BOTTOM
EN	EDGE NAILING	THK	THICK, THICKNESS
ENGR	ENGINEER, ENGINEERED	THRD	THREAD, THREADED
EQ	EQUAL/EQUIVALENT	TYP	TYPICAL
EW	EACH WAY	U.O.N.	UNLESS OTHERWISE NOTED
EXT	EXTERIOR	VB	VAPOR BARRIER
FDN	FOUNDATION	VERT	VERTICAL
FLR	FLOOR	V.I.F.	VERIFY IN FIELD
FN	FIELD NAILING		
FTG	FOOTING	W/	WITH
GA	GAGE, GAUGE	W/O	WITHOUT
GALV	GALVANIZED	WA	WEDGE ANCHOR
GB	GRADE BEAM	WD	WOOD
HD	HOLDOWN	WF	WIDE FLANGE
HGR	HANGER	WLD	WELDED
HORI	HORIZONTAL		

**PLAN LEGEND**

	FOUNDATION WALL/WALL ABOVE		CONCRETE SLAB
	JOIST		BEAM
	POST BELOW		POST ABOVE
	POST ABOVE & BELOW		SHEAR WALL ABOVE
	HDU 8 HOLDDOWN ABOVE (U.O.N.)		SEE SCHEDULE
	HEADER, SEE TYP. DETAIL U.O.N.		SEE SCHEDULE
	HOLDOWN FROM FLOOR ABOVE (U.O.N.)		

- PLAN NOTES**
- AT SHEAR WALLS WITH CODE VALUES EXCEEDING 350 PLF, PROVIDE 3x SILL PL. AND 3x STUD (OR DBL 2x STUDS JOINED TOGETHER WITH SDS SCREWS 6" O.C. MAX.) AT FRAMING MEMBERS RECEIVING EDGE NAILING FROM ABUTTING PANELS. EDGE NAILING ON ABUTTING PANELS SHALL BE STAGGERED. SEE SHEARWALL SCHEDULE.
  - AT SHEAR WALLS WITH 2" O.C. EDGE NAILING, PROVIDE 3x SILL PL. AND 3x STUD AT FRAMING MEMBERS RECEIVING EDGE NAILING FROM ABUTTING PANELS. EDGE NAILING ON ABUTTING PANELS SHALL BE STAGGERED. SEE SHEARWALL SCHEDULE.
  - THE CONTRACTOR SHALL PROVIDE TEMPORARY SHORING AS NEEDED.
  - FOR EACH HDU14 ON GRIDLINE 3: EPOXY DOWEL 1" THREADED ROD MIN. 19" IN (E) CONCRETE SLAB. ALTERNATIVELY, REPLACE EACH HDU14 WITH TWO (2) HDU8s: EPOXY DOWEL 7/8" THREADED RODS MIN. 12" IN (E) CONCRETE SLAB.
  - FOR EACH HDU8 ON GRIDLINE 4: EPOXY DOWEL 7/8" THREADED ROD MIN. 12" IN (E) CONCRETE SLAB.
  - FOR EACH HDU11-B ON GRIDLINE 7: EPOXY DOWEL 1" THREADED ROD MIN. 16" IN (E) CONCRETE SLAB. ALTERNATIVELY, REPLACE EACH HDU11-B WITH TWO (2) HDU8s: EPOXY DOWEL 7/8" THREADED RODS MIN. 12" IN (E) CONCRETE SLAB.
  - FOR EACH HDU11-B ON GRIDLINE D: EPOXY DOWEL 1" THREADED ROD MIN. 16" IN (E) CONCRETE SLAB. ALTERNATIVELY, REPLACE EACH HDU11-B WITH TWO (2) HDU8s: EPOXY DOWEL 7/8" THREADED RODS MIN. 12" IN (E) CONCRETE SLAB.

**REVISIONS**

1	2022-06-21	DL
2	2022-09-21	DL
3	2023-04-20	DL

**Darius Abolhassani Consultant & Associates, Inc.**  
 Consulting Engineering & Construction Support


7 Mt. Lassen Drive, Suite A-129, San Rafael, CA 94903  
 Phone: (415)499-1919 Email: darius@dacassociates.net

**NEW RESIDENCE & ADU**  
 79 WOOD LANE  
 FAIRFAX, CA 94930  
 PROJECT APN 002-062-003

**FOUNDATION PLAN**

DATE: 2022-04-08  
 SCALE: AS SHOWN  
 DRAWN BY: DL  
 JOB NUMBER: 1477-0822 S

SHEET 5  
**S-2.0**  
 OF 14 SHEET



PLAN LEGEND	
	FOUNDATION WALL/ WALL BELOW
	WALL ABOVE
	CONCRETE SLAB
	JOIST
	BEAM
	POST BELOW
	POST ABOVE
	POST ABOVE & BELOW
	SHEARWALL ABOVE SEE SCHEDULE
	HDU 8 HOLDOWN ABOVE (U.O.N.) SEE SCHEDULE
	HEADER, SEE TYP. DETAIL U.O.N.
	HOLDOWN FROM FLOOR ABOVE (U.O.N.) SEE SCHEDULE

**PLAN NOTES**

- AT SHEAR WALLS WITH CODE VALUES EXCEEDING 350 PLF, PROVIDE 3x SILL PL. AND 3x STUD (OR DBL 2x STUDS JOINED TOGETHER WITH SDS SCREWS @6" O.C. MAX.) AT FRAMING MEMBERS RECEIVING EDGE NAILING FROM ABUTTING PANELS. EDGE NAILING ON ABUTTING PANELS SHALL BE STAGGERED. SEE SHEARWALL SCHEDULE.
- AT SHEAR WALLS WITH 2" O.C. EDGE NAILING, PROVIDE 3x SILL PL. AND 3x STUD AT FRAMING MEMBERS RECEIVING EDGE NAILING FROM ABUTTING PANELS. EDGE NAILING ON ABUTTING PANELS SHALL BE STAGGERED. SEE SHEARWALL SCHEDULE.
- THE CONTRACTOR SHALL PROVIDE TEMPORARY SHORING AS NEEDED.

REVISIONS	BY
1	DL
2	DL
3	DL


**Darius Abolhassani Consultant & Associates, Inc.**  
 Consulting Engineering & Construction Support

7 Mt. Lassen Drive, Suite A-129, San Rafael, CA 94903  
 Phone: (415)499-1919 Email: darius@dacassociates.net



**NEW RESIDENCE & ADU**  
 79 WOOD LANE  
 FAIRFAX, CA 94930  
 PROJECT APN 002-062-03

MAIN FLOOR FRAMING PLAN	
DATE:	2022-04-08
SCALE:	AS SHOWN
DRAWN BY:	DL
JOB NUMBER:	1477-0822 S
SHEET	6
<b>S-2.1</b>	
OF	14 SHEET



1 S-2.2 UPPER FLOOR FRAMING PLAN: RESIDENCE SCALE: 1/4" = 1'-0"

2 S-2.2 UPPER FLOOR FRAMING PLAN: ADU/GARAGE SCALE: 1/4" = 1'-0"

PLAN LEGEND	
	FOUNDATION WALL/ WALL BELOW
	WALL ABOVE
	CONCRETE SLAB
	JOIST
	BEAM
	POST BELOW
	POST ABOVE
	POST ABOVE & BELOW
	SHEARWALL ABOVE SEE SCHEDULE
	HDU 5 HOLDOWN ABOVE (U.O.N.) SEE SCHEDULE
	HEADER, SEE TYP. DETAIL U.O.N.

- PLAN NOTES**
- AT SHEAR WALLS WITH CODE VALUES EXCEEDING 350 PLF, PROVIDE 3x SILL PL. AND 3x STUD (OR DBL 2x STUDS JOINED TOGETHER WITH SDS SCREWS @6" O.C. MAX.) AT FRAMING MEMBERS RECEIVING EDGE NAILING FROM ABUTTING PANELS. EDGE NAILING ON ABUTTING PANELS SHALL BE STAGGERED. SEE SHEARWALL SCHEDULE.
  - AT SHEAR WALLS WITH 2" O.C. EDGE NAILING, PROVIDE 3x SILL PL. AND 3x STUD AT FRAMING MEMBERS RECEIVING EDGE NAILING FROM ABUTTING PANELS. EDGE NAILING ON ABUTTING PANELS SHALL BE STAGGERED. SEE SHEARWALL SCHEDULE.
  - THE CONTRACTOR SHALL PROVIDE TEMPORARY SHORING AS NEEDED.

REVISIONS	BY
1	DL
2	DL
3	DL

**Darius Abolhassani Consultant & Associates, Inc.**  
 Consulting Engineering & Construction Support

**DAC**


7 Mt. Lassen Drive, Suite A-129, San Rafael, CA 94903  
 Phone: (415)499-1919 Email: darius@dacassociates.net

**NEW RESIDENCE & ADU**  
 79 WOOD LANE  
 FAIRFAX, CA 94930  
 PROJECT APN 002-062-03

DATE:	2022-04-08
SCALE:	AS SHOWN
DRAWN BY:	DL
JOB NUMBER:	1477-0822 S
SHEET	7
<b>S-2.2</b>	
OF	14 SHEET



1  
2



1  
S-2.3

ROOF FRAMING PLAN: RESIDENCE  
SCALE: 1/4" = 1'-0"

2  
S-2.3

ROOF FRAMING PLAN: ADU/GARAGE  
SCALE: 1/4" = 1'-0"

PLAN LEGEND	
	FOUNDATION WALL/ WALL BELOW
	WALL ABOVE
	CONCRETE SLAB
	JOIST
	BEAM
	POST BELOW
	POST ABOVE
	POST ABOVE & BELOW
	SHEARWALL ABOVE SEE SCHEDULE
	HDU 5 HOLDOWN ABOVE (U.O.N.) SEE SCHEDULE
	HEADER, SEE TYP. DETAIL U.O.N.
	1 S-1.2
	2 S-1.2
	10 S-1.2

PLAN NOTES

1. AT SHEAR WALLS WITH CODE VALUES EXCEEDING 350 PLF, PROVIDE 3x SILL PL. AND 3x STUD (OR DBL 2x STUDS JOINED TOGETHER WITH SDS SCREWS @ 6" O.C. MAX.) AT FRAMING MEMBERS RECEIVING EDGE NAILING FROM ABUTTING PANELS. EDGE NAILING ON ABUTTING PANELS SHALL BE STAGGERED. SEE SHEARWALL SCHEDULE.

2. AT SHEAR WALLS WITH 2" O.C. EDGE NAILING, PROVIDE 3x SILL PL. AND 3x STUD AT FRAMING MEMBERS RECEIVING EDGE NAILING FROM ABUTTING PANELS. EDGE NAILING ON ABUTTING PANELS SHALL BE STAGGERED. SEE SHEARWALL SCHEDULE.

REVISIONS	BY
1	DL
2	DL
3	DL

**Darius Abolhassani Consultant & Associates, Inc.**  
Consulting Engineering & Construction Support


7 Mt. Lassen Drive, Suite A-129, San Rafael, CA 94903  
Phone: (415)499-1919 Email: darius@dacassociates.net




**NEW RESIDENCE & ADU**  
79 WOOD LANE  
FAIRFAX, CA 94930  
PROJECT APN 002-062-03

ROOF FRAMING PLAN	
DATE:	2022-04-08
SCALE:	AS SHOWN
DRAWN BY:	DL
JOB NUMBER:	1477-0822 S
SHEET	8
<b>S-2.3</b>	
OF	14 SHEET







1 MAT SLAB DETAIL SCALE: 1" = 1'-0"




2 DRILLED PIER DETAIL SCALE: 1" = 1'-0"




3 MAT SLAB EDGE DETAIL SCALE: 1" = 1'-0"




4 GUARDRAIL DETAIL SCALE: 1" = 1'-0"




5 V-DITCH DETAIL SCALE: 1" = 1'-0"



6 DRILLED PIER-TO-FOOTING DETAIL SCALE: 1/2" = 1'-0"



7 R.W.-TO-MAT SLAB DETAIL SCALE: 1/2" = 1'-0"



8 WOOD SOLIDER PILE & LAGGING RW SCALE: 1" = 1'-0"

GUARDRAIL NOTES:  
 4" Ø SPHERE MUST NOT BE ABLE TO PASS BETWEEN ANY GUARD OPENING  
 PER CRC TABLE R301.5, GUARDRAIL MUST BE ABLE TO BEAR 200 LBS/SQ FT LIVE LOAD.  
 PER CRC TABLE R301.5, GUARDRAIL INFILL TO BEAR MIN. 50 LBS/SQ. FT.

\*NOTE: 2" MIN. CLEAR TYP. WHEN CONCRETE POURED AGAINST MIRADRIN OR EQUIV.  
 FILTER FABRIC  
 90% COMPACTED SELECT ENGINEERED BACKFILL (P<20, LL<40) OR UNDISTURBED NATIVE SOIL  
 TEMPORARY CONSTRUCTION SLOPE PER OSHA REGULATIONS  
 4" PERF. PIPE (PERFORATION DOWN) OR APPROVED EQ. SLOPE 2% MIN TO APPROVED OUTLET OR WEEP HOLES TO DRAIN. BOTTOM OF PERF. PIPE MIN. 6" BELOW FINISHED FLOOR.  
 CARLISLE COATINGS & WATERPROOFING (CCW) 201 TERMINATION SEALANT PER MFR'S REQ. (OR EQUIV.)  
 15 MIL. STEGO WRAP VAPOR BARRIER PER MFR'S REQ. (OR EQUIV.) LAP JOINTS MIN. 6" PER CRC R506.2.3  
 FILTER FABRIC  
 MIN. 12" COMPACTED ENGINEERED FILL PER SOIL ENGINEER'S APPROVAL  
 SUB-DRAIN 4" DIAMETER PERF. PIPE (PERFORATION DOWN). SLOPE 1% MIN. TO APPROVED OUTLET. INSTALL WHERE APPLICABLE.

MIN. 8" TALL STEM WALL (BEYOND)  
 DECOMPOSED GRANITE DRIVEWAY PER CIVIL DRAWINGS. SLOPE AWAY FROM GARAGE.  
 BITUTHENE OR EQUIVALENT WATERPROOF MEMBRANE PER MFR'S REQ.  
 SLAB PER PLAN & DETAIL  
 GRADE BEAM PER PLAN  
 MIN. TOP 12" OF SUBGRADE TO BE RECOMPACTED TO MIN. 90% RELATIVE COMPACTION PER SOILS REPORT.

- DEPTH OF PIER VARIES DEPENDING ON THE DEPTH OF BEDROCK. 8'-0" MINIMUM INTO COMPETENT BEDROCK. CONTRACTOR SHALL CONTACT THE SOIL ENGINEER TO OBSERVE EXCAVATION AND VERIFY ADEQUATE DEPTH IN WRITING BEFORE POURING CONCRETE.
- CONCRETE MINIMUM COMPRESSIVE STRENGTH FC=3000 PSI AT 28 DAYS.
- USE #4 AT 3" PITCH.
- USE (6) #8 VERTICAL REBAR BENT EXTEND 1/2 RETAINED HEIGHT (24" MIN.) WHERE APPLY.
- SPIRAL REINFORCING TO END WITH SEISMIC HOOK PER CBC 1810.3.2.1.1 AND ACI 318. SEE TYP. DETAIL 1/S-1.1.

REVISIONS	BY
1	DL
2	DL
3	DL

Darius Abolhassani Consultant & Associates, Inc.  
 Consulting Engineering & Construction Support  
 7 Mt. Lassen Drive, Suite A-129, San Rafael, CA 94903  
 Phone: (415)499-1919 Email: darius@dacassociates.net




**NEW RESIDENCE & ADU**  
 79 WOOD LANE  
 FAIRFAX, CA 94930  
 PROJECT APN 002-062-03


STRUCTURAL DETAILS

DATE: 2022-04-08  
 SCALE: AS SHOWN  
 DRAWN BY: DL  
 JOB NUMBER: 1477-0822 S  
 SHEET 9  
**S-3.0**  
 OF 14 SHEET







1 S-3.1 BEAM "C" DETAIL SCALE: 1" = 1'-0"




2 S-3.1 BEAM "B" DETAIL SCALE: 1" = 1'-0"




3 S-3.1 WALL DETAIL SCALE: 1" = 1'-0"



5 S-3.1 BEAM "I" DETAIL SCALE: 1/4" = 1'-0"



6 S-3.1 BEAM "J" DETAIL SCALE: 1" = 1'-0"




7 S-3.1 BEAM "F" DETAIL SCALE: 1/2" = 1'-0"

NOT USED

8 S-3.1 BEAM "I" DETAIL SCALE: 3" = 1'-0"


NOT USED

9 S-3.1 ENLARGED PLAN AT LOWER ROOF HIP BEAMS SCALE: 1" = 1'-0"



10 S-3.1 BAY WINDOW DETAILS SCALE: 1" = 1'-0"

TABLE 1 - L FOOTING RETAINING WALL SCHEDULE					
MAX H	MIN B <sub>w</sub>	MIN B <sub>f</sub>	MIN B <sub>h</sub>	MIN R <sub>v</sub>	MIN R <sub>h</sub>
10'-0"	10"	4'-6"	14"	#6 REBAR 010" O.C.	#5 REBAR 016" O.C.
8'-0"	10"	4'-6"	14"	#6 REBAR 016" O.C.	#5 REBAR 016" O.C.
6'-0"	10"	4'-6"	14"	#5 REBAR 016" O.C.	#5 REBAR 016" O.C.
4'-0"	10"	4'-6"	14"	#5 REBAR 016" O.C.	#5 REBAR 016" O.C.




11 S-3.1 RETAINING WALL DETAIL SCALE: 1" = 1'-0"

NOT USED

12 S-3.1 ELEVATION DETAIL AT RIDGE BEAM SCALE: 1-1/2" = 1'-0"

REVISIONS	BY
1	DL
2	DL
3	DL

Darius Abolhassani Consultant & Associates, Inc.  
Consulting Engineering & Construction Support  
7 Mt. Lassen Drive, Suite A-129, San Rafael, CA 94903  
Phone: (415)499-1919 Email: darius@dacassociates.net



**NEW RESIDENCE & ADU**  
79 WOOD LANE  
FAIRFAX, CA 94930  
PROJECT APN 002-062-03

STRUCTURAL DETAILS

DATE: 2022-04-08

SCALE: AS SHOWN

DRAWN BY: DL

JOB NUMBER: 1477-0822 S

SHEET 10  
**S-3.1**  
OF 14 SHEET


















## ROOF DETAILS

**General Notes**  
Unless otherwise noted, all details are valid to a maximum slope of 12:12. Joists >16" have a maximum slope of 3:12.

Web stiffeners are required with all 22" and 24" joists and when the sides of the hanger do not laterally support at least 3/4" of the TJI® joist top flange. Also see framing plan.





SEE MANUFACTURER'S DETAILS FOR INSTALLATION.




TJI® Depth, D	TJI® Flange Width	Block Type	Nail Size	Quantity
8 1/2" < D ≤ 16"	less than 3 1/2"	Filter	10d(0.128" x 3")	10
		Bacher	10d(0.128" x 3")	10
16" < D ≤ 20"	less than 3 1/2"	Filter	16d(0.135" x 3 1/2")	10 - each side
		Bacher	10d(0.128" x 3")	15
20" < D ≤ 24"	3 1/2"	Filter	16d(0.135" x 3 1/2")	15 - each side
		Bacher	10d(0.128" x 3")	25 - each side


H6S is allowed only with joist depths ≤ 16"  
For filler and bacher block sizes see Weyerhaeuser TJI® Joist Specifier's Guide, TJ-4080



## ROOF FRAMING DETAILS




Rafter Size	Rafter Pitch		
	4:12 to 8:12	10:12 to 11:12	12:12
2x6	2x6	2x10	2x10
2x8	2x10	2x12	2x12
2x10	14"	14"	14"
2x12	14"	16"	16"






Hanger Type	Clip	Nailing	
		Top Plate	Rafter/Ceiling Joist
Simpson Strong Tie®	A23	10d x 1 1/2"	10d x 1 1/2"
USP Structural Connectors®	A3	10d x 1 1/2"	10d x 1 1/2"

**Rafter Connection for Thrust:**  
\* For lap connection nail quantity requirements, see Rafter Span and Heel Connection Tables in Weyerhaeuser Roof System Design Guide, TJ-9005.  
- If fewer than 8 nails are required, use only one A23 or A3 clip, each side.  
- If 8-15 nails required, use two A23 or A3 clips, each side.




Rafter On-Center Spacing	Rafter Span	Roof Snow Load		
		30 LL + 15 DL/80 LL + 15 DL	80 LL + 15 DL	Number of 16d (0.131" x 3/4") nails required
16"	0'	5	5	5
	12'	5	6	8
	18'	6	9	12
	24'	8	12	*
24"	0'	5	5	7
	12'	6	8	11
	18'	9	13	*
	24'	12	*	*

\* Contact your Weyerhaeuser representative for additional connection information.



Hip/Valley Depth	Minimum Percentage of Original Hip/Valley Depth Remained	
Member Type	TimberStrand® LSL	Mikrolam® LVL
Hip Member	30%	65%
Valley Beam	65%	85%



Contact your Weyerhaeuser representative for sizing of a hip or valley with a point load.  
\* See Framing Connectors in Weyerhaeuser Roof System Design Guide, TJ-9005 for hanger capacities.  
\* See Rafter-to-Valley Connection table in Detail R26 above.

REVISIONS		BY
1	2022-06-21	DL
2	2022-09-21	DL
3	2023-04-20	DL

**Darius Abolhassani Consultant & Associates, Inc.**  
Consulting Engineering & Construction Support  
7 Mt. Lassen Drive, Suite A-129, San Rafael, CA 94903  
Phone: (415)499-1919 Email: darius@dccassociates.net



**NEW RESIDENCE & ADU**  
79 WOOD LANE  
FAIRFAX, CA 94930  
PROJECT APN 002-062-003


WEYERHAEUSER  
TYPICAL DETAILS

DATE: 2022-04-08  
SCALE: AS SHOWN  
DRAWN BY: DL  
JOB NUMBER: 1477-0822 S


SHEET 12  
**S-3.3**  
OF 14 SHEET









7  
S-3.5  
BEAM "E" ELEVATION DETAIL  
SCALE: 1/2" = 1'-0"




8  
S-3.5  
SHEARWALL ELEVATION DETAIL  
SCALE: 1/4" = 1'-0"



7  
S-3.5  
HOLDOWN-TO-WOOD BEAM DETAIL  
SCALE: 1" = 1'-0"




1  
S-3.5  
BEAM "T" CONNECTION DETAIL  
SCALE: 1" = 1'-0"




3  
S-3.5  
BLOCKED ROOF DIAPHRAGM DETAIL  
SCALE: 1-1/2" = 1'-0"

NOT USED

2  
S-3.5  
STEEL POST BASE PLATE DETAIL  
SCALE: 1" = 1'-0"



4  
S-3.5  
RETAINING WALL DETAIL AT LIGHTWELL  
SCALE: 1/2" = 1'-0"



5  
S-3.5  
LEDGER DETAIL  
SCALE: 1" = 1'-0"

REVISIONS	BY
1	DL
2	DL
3	DL

**Darius Abolhassani Consultant & Associates, Inc.**  
 Consulting Engineering & Construction Support  
 7 Mt. Lassen Drive, Suite A-129, San Rafael, CA 94903  
 Phone: (415)499-1919 Email: darius@dacassociates.net



**NEW RESIDENCE & ADU**  
 79 WOOD LANE  
 FAIRFAX, CA 94930  
 PROJECT APN 002-062-03

STRUCTURAL DETAILS

DATE: 2022-04-08

SCALE: AS SHOWN

DRAWN BY: DL

JOB NUMBER: 1477-0822 S

SHEET 14  
**S-3.5**  
 OF 14 SHEET





ATTACHMENT D









Hand

Milgard  
WINDOWS & DOORS

Milgard  
WINDOWS & DOORS

Bitu





Milgard  
WINDOW & DOOR











## Linda Neal

---

**From:** Mark Lockaby  
**Sent:** Friday, December 15, 2023 9:41 AM  
**To:** Linda Neal  
**Subject:** FW: 79 Wood Lane

Thanks,

Mark Lockaby  
Building Official  
Town of Fairfax  
142 Bolinas Road  
Fairfax, CA 94930  
415-458-2370

**From:** Coby Friedman <coby@cfcontracting.com>  
**Sent:** Wednesday, June 7, 2023 9:40 PM  
**To:** Mark Lockaby <mlockaby@townoffairfax.org>  
**Subject:** RE: 79 Wood Lane

Hi Mark,  
My answers and comments are in red below.

Thanks,

**Coby Friedman**  
**CF Contracting, Inc.**  
**Tel. 415-310-5442**  
**Fax. 415-296-6437**

**From:** Mark Lockaby <mlockaby@townoffairfax.org>  
**Sent:** Wednesday, June 7, 2023 9:21 AM  
**To:** Coby Friedman <coby@cfcontracting.com>  
**Subject:** FW: 79 Wood Lane

Coby,

During a requested framing inspection I noticed that there were several changes to your approved plans. You submitted new plans for the current design. The changes are either shown on the plans, or have been started as follows:

1. A portion of the basement is shown to be an accessory dwelling unit, with an addition to meet egress requirements for the bedroom. We believe this can proceed.

Thank you

2. A portion of the top floor has been prepared to be a junior accessory dwelling unit, including enclosing an upper deck, and the addition of an exterior stairway. We believe the JADU can proceed however the enclosure of the deck, and exterior stairway must go to the planning commission for approval. No work can proceed on the rear stairs or enclosed area until planning commission approvals are obtained. Additionally there will be a deed restriction required stating that the home is to be owner occupied (State Law).  
*The ~50 SF rear deck has already been enclosed, the rough plumbing, electrical and HVAC work has been done. The only remaining work to do there is drywall and paint. Since you said that you believe the JADU can proceed and the remaining work (i.e. drywall, paint and the access stairs in the back) is all being done for the JADU, I'll proceed with that work.*
3. A portion of the of the basement is shown as additional living space (office) for the main residence. You have agreed to remove this from the plans, or seek approval from the planning commission.  
*I agree to remove the "Office" and designate it as storage space.*
4. A rear deck is shown at the rear of the structure at ground level. You have agreed to remove the deck from the plans, or seek approval from the planning commission.  
*I agree*
5. The front low roof is shown as being eliminated, and a deck above a portion of the lower floor even with the top floor is shown. This must go to the planning commission for approval. No work can continue further with the deck until planning commission approval is obtained.  
*The roof deck has already been constructed and water proofed with epoxy coating. The only work remaining to do there is the railings which you've okayed me to install safety railing.*
6. The new plans show a garage without out the ADU that was shown on the previously approved plans. The limit for the parcel is the main house, 1 ADU, and 1 JADU. In the future the garage cannot be converted to an ADU, or have an ADU added to it (unless state laws change).  
*I agree*
7. You agreed to be cordial during any interactions with town staff, and the planning commission.  
*I'll try my best*

At this point only foundation inspections, and under slab plumbing inspections have been completed. At some point very soon framing, plumbing, mechanical, and electrical inspections will need to be scheduled and passed before any work can continue.

Please update your new plans to reflect the agreed upon deletions, and work with the planning department for the changes that need planning commission approvals.


I let you know that if any work is continued in the areas that need planning commission approvals a "Stop Work" order will be issued.

*I intend to submit plans showing the changes when the project is done and for the County Assessor's purposes only, so the property can be assessed for tax purposes. If you'd like to show the plans to the Planning Commission then be my guest. However, I don't agree that the Planning Commission should have anything to do with any work or changes relating to the ADU or JADU or with any other changes so long as the house complies with all the zoning standards.*

Please let me know if you have any questions regarding this matter.

Regards,

Mark Lockaby  
Building Official  
Town of Fairfax  
142 Bolinas Road  
Fairfax, CA 94930  
415-458-2370



# TOWN OF FAIRFAX

142 BOLINAS ROAD, FAIRFAX, CALIFORNIA 94930  
(415) 453-1584 / FAX (415) 453-1618

January 22, 2024

Town Manager Abrams:

Pursuant to Fairfax Town Code Sections 17.036.090 - 17.036.140, Directed Referral, I am requesting the following matter from the Planning Commission's January 11, 2024 Special meeting be placed on the agenda and considered by the Town Council at the next available Council meeting.

79 Wood Lane; Application #21-17, Compliance with Approved Plans

Thank you,

Barbara Coler

**79 Wood Lane  
Coby Friedman's Presentation.  
Planning Commission Meeting.  
January 11, 2004.**

1. I have been constructing this Home since the Summer of 2022.
2. I've lived in Fairfax for the past 30+ years, since 1993.
3. I built my current home in Fairfax in 2004 -
4. I'm building this house as our new home to live in, to accommodate our present needs and circumstances which include accessibility (ADA) issues among other things. This is not a spec construction.
5. All the modifications I've made to the approved design are all within the Code and have been reviewed and drafted by my Engineer for structural and Code compliance. Any future modifications I may make before completion will also be reviewed by my Engineer.
6. It took me more than a year to get the permit, primarily because Linda Neal did everything in her power to stall and delay the approval. The record on that is clear and speaks for itself. E.g.
  - i. Contrary to the requirement of the Planning Code that excavation and retaining walls should be kept to a minimum, Linda insisted that I lower my house down to the ground even though the house was below the height limit, which resulted in more excavation and higher retaining walls.
  - ii. During the approval process, Linda abused her discretion when she decided that my structural plans and soils report should be sent for a 3<sup>rd</sup> party review, even though the plans and reports were prepared by California licensed engineers. This slowed down the approval and cost me more money.
  - iii. Later after the 3<sup>rd</sup> parties review which came back with no (zero) comments, Linda attempted to stop the permit from being issued because of minor interior changes to the orientation of the plumbing fixture in one of the upstairs bathrooms. Linda insisted that this minor change should go before the Planning Commission, only so she can delay me even more. She finally agreed to let it go when she received an email from Laura Kehrlein, my Architect questioning her logic and motives.
  - iv. Linda also attempted to delay the issuance of the permit after Rick Ford, my neighbor to the left, went to see her to complain about my request that he removes a 12" encroachment onto my property. Linda finally relented when my previous attorney Linda Klein wrote to let her know that she has no legal basis to hold up the permit.
7. This meeting tonight, that was called by Linda to have you vote on either to suspend or revoke my permit is especially egregious, given that the building code allows me to submit modifications to the structure after they are complete. That's Building Code 107.4 states "any changes made during construction that are not in

compliance with the approved construction documents shall be resubmitted for approval as an amended set of construction documents." No timeline for submission is prescribed in the Code.

8. For these reasons I have not submitted any changes to the planning commission until I'm done with all the changes. If I submit them piecemeal, I expect that Ms. Neal would take these modifications as more opportunities to delay me.
9. All of the changes I made are within the Code, and should be approved ministerially. I am entitled to this under new State laws related to ADUs and other modifications which are in compliance with all objective standards of the Planning Code which should require only ministerial review of home construction like mine.
10. I had an agreement with Mark Lockaby, which other than the timing of when to submit the revisions and for what purpose, I agreed to everything else Mark had proposed and In exchange, Mark agreed to allow me to continue construction. I've kept to the Agreement but the city is now trying to renege on that Agreement.
11. The Town has illegally withheld my green tag, even though all of the electrical work has been approved by Mark. Now the Town is moving forward with this proposed suspension or revocation because I am insisting on receiving my green tag. In fact, Mark told me that the Town wants to "hold the green tag hostage" to make sure I submit the modifications. Since this is not a valid legal basis to withhold the green tag, the Town, in an underhanded way decided to suspend or revoke the permit to stop my project **instead of doing the right thing and issue the green tag.**
12. All my neighbors would like to see the construction finished ASAP. Stopping me now, when the construction is within 1 month of completion makes no sense.
13. As I've mentioned before, I've been a Fairfax resident and tax payer since 1994, I don't think that a decision to suspend or revoke my permit and expose the Town to further losing litigations is a wise use of Town's resources. The Town should know how expensive legal fights can be.
14. **In fact, I would like to know as a tax payer, how much did the Town spend on the legal defense against my lawsuit challenging the last stop work order, which the Town lost. Can anybody please tell us, the Fairfax Tax Payers, how much did this senseless legal battle cost us?**
15. Do you think it is wise for the Town to throw more good money after bad to defend the indefensible? Next time will probably be even much more costly for the Town and no upside, nothing to gain. How is that prudent or responsible?
16. A few more words about me and where I come from: I'm a staunch proponent of our Civil Rights property rights and our right to free speech and self-expression as granted to us in the constitution. I'm not a fan of Government intrusion and chipping away at these rights. I love Fairfax and know a few people in this Town, I don't know anybody here, who does not agree with me on this. Unless I or any of us residents of this Town do something illegal or in violation of the Building or Planning Codes why should we allow a bureaucrat like Linda Neal who doesn't even live in this Town, deprive us of our rights?
17. Also, as a community, we all know and struggle with the housing crisis in Fairfax, I don't see how delaying a project that will provide 3 additional housing units {Main house, an ADU and a JADU) will help mitigate this crisis, on the contrary.



18. Anyway, having said all that, I am willing to move forward and submit the modification and fees when I'm ready and am willing to cut the Town a check right here and now for the future review.
19. Unless you, the Planning Commission order me to tear down the entire structure now, I don't see the point or purpose in you stopping me now. The only thing that makes sense to me is that you want to make sure that I pay for the review of the modifications, which I'm willing to do now so to stop this madness and avoid a costly legal battle.
20. I promise to submit the changes when the house is done at the latest, so Linda does not stop me again if and when I make more changes down the road.
21. Finally: Linda gave you only 2 options to vote on in her Staff Report: 1. to suspend or 2. To revoke my permit. She could have given you other more sensible choices e.g. **3. decline to take action or 4. Grant me an extension of time to submit the modifications.**
22. However, and despite all my arguments, if you decide to vote only on the two options that Linda gave you, instead of other options to extend the submittal, I request that you give me enough time to button down the house and weather proof it and the site before we stop the work, so to minimize any damage that may occur to the house and adjacent properties due to potentially adverse weather conditions.

Thank you very much.

## Linda Neal

---

**From:** Renu Malhotra <renu@thelemontree.org >  
**Sent:** Thursday, January 11, 2024 12:05 PM  
**To:** Linda Neal; Mark Lockaby  
**Subject:** 79 Wood Lane App# 21-17

To Linda Neal and Fairfax Planning Commission

I live right next door at #85. I have written and spoken at all the Public meetings about this project. I have lived here since 2001 and plan to be here many years yet.

I always had 2 main concerns with this project which I would like to reiterate for the record and also add 2 more points regarding privacy stemming from the revised design:

1. That a basement located right next to my home could adversely affect sub soil water flow and cause more frequent and higher levels of standing water in the crawl space under my home in the winter months than I already experienced. No geotech reports addressed this concern and combined with the revised basement being multiple times bigger, this sub soil water drainage remains a potential future problem for me.

2. Stability of the fragile hillside. My concerns have been somewhat mitigated by the revised single car garage in the rear vs the 2 car garage with ADU. The structure does not extend as far into the hillside so I see that as an overall improvement.

3. The revised front upper deck is a huge space looking down into my front yard and the other neighbor's front yard. I would definitely support requiring that to be a roof over a single story per the original approved design.

4. I was not happy with the revised windows locations lining up with my preexisting windows. I have had to cover the glass into my bedroom and bathroom and will plant vines as an additional screen

In addition I want to share my experience of the basement construction from a year ago. There was considerable vibration of my whole house as the excavation went on much closer to my house than anticipated, as visible in the attached photos. 3' from the property line vs 15'. Last winter we had heavy rains and my neighbor's open basement completely flooded both inside and around it, threatening to overflow into both neighbors.

I realize this hearing is about the issues that came after the basement but I wanted to share these images and experience for future design, town council and planning commission considerations. Many truckloads of soil were transported away.


Though I am not happy about the humungous basement I am pleased there will not be an ADU built right next to my preexisting ADU. Coby has built half the retaining wall for the back hillside and completed our adjoining retaining wall and our new fence will follow. We have cooperated as good neighbors on the design and construction of that.

I look forward to the construction being completed in the near future. I do work from home (as well as travel) and it is disruptive being next to such a project for so long. I also appreciate the efforts of Linda Neal and Mark Lockaby and their teams to uphold the approved designs of the Planning Commission and also allowing a process to modify permits for improved designs as appropriate.


Thank you  
Renu Malhotra  
85 Wood Ln  
Fairfax CA 94930





















## Linda Neal

---

**From:** Renu Malhotra <renu@thelemontree.org >  
**Sent:** Tuesday, January 10, 2023 2:05 PM  
**To:** Mark Lockaby; Linda Neal  
**Subject:** #79 Wood Lane

Hi Mark and Linda

Has the town engineer been by to #79 Wood Lane this week? The entire excavation site at 79 Wood Lane is close to total submersion. The backfill was incomplete. I am concerned about the path of water from #79 once it fills up.

I have sent the following message to Coby today:

"Hello Coby

... From the video I shared yesterday, your water level has gone up about 8" already. LOTS MORE COMING AND INCREASING HILLSIDE RUNOFF ADDING TO IT


Please review the current situation and what path the water will take if the entire excavated hole becomes submerged. There is a big pile of base rock blocking water from going to the road."

Photos attached are from today and Dec 27 for reference. One day there will be a sump pump but until then ...

Best  
Renu

85 Wood Lane  
510 5419808













**Linda Neal**

---

**From:** JEAN STEWART <shadows60@hotmail.com>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, January 9, 2024 6:49 PM  
**To:** Linda Neal  
**Subject:** Planning commission special meeting Jan 11

Hello,

I live at 72 Wood Lane, across the street from 79 Wood Lane. Since last winter, it has been very concerning to see the amount of water that is pumped out of the basement construction at #79. We are worried that the ground water level of the area is being impacted. Is the town aware of the continual pumping of water from the property into the street at 79 Wood Lane during the rainy season? Is it required that the landowner make adjustments or mitigate the situation so that this will not keep happening when construction is complete?

Thank you, Sylvia Stewart Stampe





**TOWN OF FAIRFAX** PERMIT # BLD220110

142 Bolinas Road, Fairfax, California 94930  
(415) 453-1584 / Fax (415) 453-1618

**CONSTRUCTION PERMIT APPLICATION**

#3  
#4

**#1 IDENTIFY YOUR BUILDING PROJECT**

JOB ADDRESS:  
79 WOOD LANE

This permit is to be issued in the name of the  Licensed Contractor or  the Property Owner as the permit holder of record who will be responsible and liable for the construction.

Property Owner Information:  
Name: Coby Friedman  
Tel No: 415-310-5442  
Mailing Address: 96 FORREST AVE.  
City FAIRFAX State CA Zip 94930

Description of work to be performed:  
CONSTRUCTION OF NEW  
2,200 SF 2 STORY RESIDENCE  
WITH 400 SF DETACHED GARAGE  
AND 500 SF ADU  
NEW REAR RETAINING WALL

Additional Square Footage: \_\_\_\_\_

Valuation: 600,000

**#2 IDENTIFY WHO WILL PERFORM THE WORK**  
(Complete either 2a or 2b)

**2a - CALIFORNIA LICENSED CONTRACTOR'S DECLARATION**

I hereby affirm under penalty of perjury that I am licensed under provisions of Chapter 9 (commencing with Section 7000) of Division 3 of the Business and Professions Code, and my license is in full force and effect.

Contractor Name CF CONSULTING, INC  
Tel No: (415) 310-5442  
Mailing Address: 96 FORREST AVE  
City FAIRFAX State CA Zip 94930  
License Class and No. A.B. 410-967492

Contractor's Signature: \_\_\_\_\_

**2b - OWNER-BUILDER'S DECLARATION**

I hereby affirm under penalty of perjury that I am exempt from the Contractors' State License Law for the reason(s) indicated below by the checkmark(s) I have placed next to the applicable item(s) (Section 7031.5, Business and Professions Code: Any city or county that requires a permit to construct, alter, improve, demolish, or repair any structure, prior to its issuance, also requires the applicant for the permit to file a signed statement that he or she is licensed pursuant to the provisions of the Contractors' State License Law (Chapter 9 (commencing with Section 7000) of Division 3 of the Business and Professions Code) or that he or she is exempt from licensure and the basis for the alleged exemption. Any violation of Section 7031.5 by any applicant for a permit subjects the applicant to a civil penalty of not more than five hundred dollars (\$500).)

I, as owner of the property, or my employees with wages as their sole compensation, will do  all of or  portions of the work, and the structure is not intended or offered for sale (Section 7044, Business and Professions Code: The Contractors' State License Law does not apply to an owner of property who, through employees' or personal effort, builds or improves the property, provided that the improvements are not intended or offered for sale. If, however, the building or improvement is sold within one year of completion, the Owner-Builder will have the burden of proving that it was not built or improved for the purpose of sale.)

I, as owner of the property, am exclusively contracting with licensed Contractors to construct the project (Section 7044, Business and Professions Code: The Contractors' State License Law does not apply to an owner of property who builds or improves thereon, and who contracts for the projects with a licensed Contractor pursuant to the Contractors' State License Law.)

I am exempt from licensure under the Contractors' State License Law for the following reason:

\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_

By my signature below I acknowledge that, except for my personal residence in which I must have resided for at least one year prior to completion of the improvements covered by this permit, I cannot legally sell a structure that I have built as an owner-builder if it has not been constructed in its entirety by licensed contractors. I understand that a copy of the applicable law, Section 7044 of the Business and Professions Code, is available upon request when this application is submitted or at the following Web site: <http://www.leginfo.ca.gov/calaw.html>.

Property Owner or Authorized Agent Signature: Am Klein Date 3/23/23  
Agent

**#3 IDENTIFY WORKERS' COMPENSATION COVERAGE AND LENDING AGENCY**

**WARNING: FAILURE TO SECURE WORKERS' COMPENSATION COVERAGE IS UNLAWFUL, AND SHALL SUBJECT AN EMPLOYER TO CRIMINAL PENALTIES AND CIVIL FINES UP TO ONE HUNDRED THOUSAND DOLLARS (\$100,000), IN ADDITION TO THE COST OF COMPENSATION, DAMAGES AS PROVIDED FOR IN SECTION 3706 OF THE LABOR CODE, INTEREST, AND ATTORNEY'S FEES.**

**WORKERS' COMPENSATION DECLARATION**

I hereby affirm under penalty of perjury one of the following declarations:

I have and will maintain a certificate of consent to self-insure for workers' compensation, issued by the Director of Industrial Relations as provided for by Section 3700 of the Labor Code, for the performance of the work for which this permit is issued permit is issued.

Policy No. \_\_\_\_\_

I have and will maintain workers' compensation insurance, as required by Section 3700 of the Labor Code, for the performance of the work for which this permit is issued. My workers' compensation insurance carrier and policy number are:

Carrier IC T W Policy No 534084 Exp. 4/29/13  
Tel No \_\_\_\_\_ 904


I certify that, in the performance of the work for which this permit is issued, I shall not employ any person in any manner so as to become subject to the workers' compensation laws of California, and agree that, if I should become subject to the workers' compensation provisions of Section 3700 of the Labor Code, I shall forthwith comply with those provisions.

**DECLARATION REGARDING CONSTRUCTION LENDING AGENCY**

I hereby affirm under penalty of perjury that there is a construction lending agency for the performance of the work for which this permit is issued (Section 3097, Civil Code).

Lender's Name and Address: \_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_

79 WOOD LANE



**#4 DECLARATION BY CONSTRUCTION PERMIT APPLICANT**

By my signature below, I certify to each of the following:

I am  a California licensed contractor or  the property owner\* or  authorized to act on the property owner's behalf\*\*.

I have read this construction permit application and the information I have provided is correct.

I agree to comply with all applicable city and county ordinances and state laws relating to building construction.

I authorize representatives of this city or county to enter the above-identified property for inspection purposes.

California Licensed Contractor, Property Owner (Requires separate verification form), or Authorized Agent (Requires separate authorization Form).

Signature [Signature] Date 8/4/22

**For Official Use Only ↓**

BUILDING.....		<u>6020.00</u>
ELECTRICAL.....		_____
MECHANICAL.....		_____
PLUMBING.....		_____
PENALTY FEE.....		_____
PERMIT RENEWAL FEE.....		_____
<u>10892.49</u> SUBTOTAL # 2000		
PLAN CHECK <u>2,500.00</u> # 2035		<u>8392.49</u>
PLANNING FEE # 2030		_____
STATE SEISMIC FEE # 2015		<u>65.00</u>
PLAN RETENTION FEE # 2020		_____
ENGINEERING # 2050		_____
BUSINESS LICENSE # 1300		<u>550.00</u>
GENERAL PLAN MAINTENANCE 5% of Building Permit fee over \$10,000 # 2002		<u>301.00</u>
TECHNOLOGY IMPROVEMENT 5% of Building Permit fee # 2003		<u>301.00</u>
INFRASTRUCTURE FEE 5% of Building Permit fee # 2004		<u>301.00</u>
ROAD IMPACT FEE 1% of total project valuation for projects in excess of \$5,000 # 2027		<u>5,000.00</u>
STATE GREEN FEE # 2028		<u>20.00</u>
<b>TOTAL FEES DUE</b>		<u>\$20,950.49</u>

This permit SHALL EXPIRE 180 days from the date of issuance. You may extend your permit by written request to the Building Official for an additional 180 days prior to your permit expiration; no permit shall be extended more than once. (Authority: CBC Sec. 105.5))

Planning: \_\_\_\_\_ Date: \_\_\_\_\_  
Building: [Signature] Date: 8-4-22





- [Close Window](#)
- [Print This Page](#)
- [Expand All](#) | [Collapse All](#)

## P-0006122

---

<b>Permit No.</b>	220110	<b>Number</b>	P-0006122
<b>Iss Permit No.</b>	220110	<b>Current Status</b>	Issued
<b>Type</b>	Building	<b>Issue Date</b>	4/14/2022
<b>Applicant</b>	Coby Friedman	<b>Expiration Date</b>	10/14/2022

### Job Location

<b>Street</b>	79 Wood Lane	<b>City</b>	Fairfax
<b>State/ Prov.</b>	CA	<b>Zip / Post Code</b>	94930
<b>Primary Owner</b>	Coby Friedman	<b>Parcel</b>	79 WOOD LN

### Permit Application Details

<b>Work Type</b>	New	<b>Use Type</b>	Single Family Dwelling
<b>Valuation</b>	\$500,000.00	<b>Use Group</b>	
<b>Construction Area</b>	0	<b>Construction Type</b>	VB

### Additional Information

**Job Description** New single family dwelling, and stand alone ADU

**Additional Details**

### Financials

<b>Total Fees</b>	\$11,580.07	<b>Total Payments</b>	
		<b>Total Balance</b>	\$11,580.07

### System Information

<b>Created By</b>	Mark Lockaby, 4/14/2022 10:20 AM	<b>Last Modified By</b>	Mark Lockaby, 2/2/2024 10:36 AM
-------------------	----------------------------------	-------------------------	---------------------------------

### Tasks

[View/Print Permit](#)      [Link Attachment](#)

### Contacts

**0005960**

---

Contact  
 Contact Type **Owner**  
 Created By **Mark Lockaby, 8/4/2022 12:21 PM**  
 Last Modified By **Mark Lockaby, 8/4/2022 12:21 PM**

**0005823**

Contact **Coby Friedman**

Contact Type **Applicant**

Created By **Mark Lockaby, 4/14/2022 10:20 AM**

Last Modified By **Mark Lockaby, 4/14/2022 10:20 AM**

### Fees

#### 0044037

---

Type **Business License**

Created By **Mark Lockaby, 8/4/2022 12:21 PM**

Amount **\$550.00**

Paid in Full

Fee Paid Date

Receipt Number

#### 0044038

---

Type **Road Impact**

Created By **Mark Lockaby, 8/4/2022 12:21 PM**

Amount **\$5,000.00**

Paid in Full

Fee Paid Date

Receipt Number

#### 0044039

---

Type **State Seismic**

Created By **Mark Lockaby, 8/4/2022 12:21 PM**

Amount **\$65.00**

Paid in Full

Fee Paid Date

Receipt Number

#### 0044040

---

Type **Permit**

Created By **Mark Lockaby, 8/4/2022 12:21 PM**

Amount **\$5,460.00**

Paid in Full

Fee Paid Date

Receipt Number

#### 0044041

---

Type **General Plan Maintenance**

Created By **Mark Lockaby, 8/4/2022 12:21 PM**

Amount **\$161.69**

Paid in Full

Fee Paid Date

Receipt Number

#### 0044042

---

Type **Technology Improvement**

Created By **Mark Lockaby, 8/4/2022 12:21 PM**

Amount **\$161.69**

Paid in Full



Fee Paid Date  
Receipt Number

**0044043**

---

Type **Infrastructure**  
Created By **Mark Lockaby, 8/4/2022 12:21 PM**  
Amount **\$161.69**  
Paid in Full  
Fee Paid Date  
Receipt Number

**0044044**

---

Type **State Green Fund**  
Created By **Mark Lockaby, 8/4/2022 12:21 PM**  
Amount **\$20.00**  
Paid in Full  
Fee Paid Date  
Receipt Number

**Work Items****0004614**

---

Type **New Home**  
Unit **EACH**  
Quantity **1.0**  
Value Per Unit **\$5,460.00**  
Total Item Value **\$5,460.00**

**Activity History****Inspection**

---

Type **Insulation - Floor**  
Due Date **8/2/2023**  
Status **Passed**  
Assigned To **Mark Lockaby**  
Comments

**Inspection**

---

Type **Shear Walls - Interior**  
Due Date **8/2/2023**  
Status **Passed**  
Assigned To **Mark Lockaby**  
Comments

**Inspection**

---

Type **Insulation - Walls**  
Due Date **8/2/2023**  
Status **Passed**  
Assigned To **Mark Lockaby**  
Comments

**Inspection**

---

Type **Rough Frame**  
Due Date **7/10/2023**

Status **Passed**

Assigned To **Mark Lockaby**

Comments

**Inspection**

---

Type **Rough Plumbing**

Due Date **7/10/2023**

Status **Passed**

Assigned To **Mark Lockaby**

Comments

**Inspection**

---

Type **Rough Mechanical**

Due Date **7/10/2023**

Status **Passed**

Assigned To **Mark Lockaby**

Comments

**Inspection**

---

Type **Rough Electrical**

Due Date **7/10/2023**

Status **Passed**

Assigned To **Mark Lockaby**

Comments

**Inspection**

---

Type **Slab**

Due Date **10/3/2022**

Status **Passed**

Assigned To **Mark Lockaby**

Comments

**Inspection**

---

Type **U/F Plumbing**

Due Date **10/3/2022**

Status **Passed**

Assigned To **Mark Lockaby**

Comments

**Notes & Attachments**

**doc07038020220804144057.pdf**

**79 Wood Lane Permit App.pdf**

---

Type **Attachment**

Type **Attachment**

Last Modified **Mark Lockaby**

Last Modified **Mark Lockaby**

Description

Description

[View file](#)

[View file](#)

**Permit History**

**4/14/2022 10:20 AM**

---

User **Mark Lockaby**

Action **Created.**