

PLEASE DISTRIBUTE TO SCORING COMMITTEE MEMBERS

DATE: 2-23-2021

TO: CITY OF JOHNSON CITY ENGINEER SELECTION COMMITTEE FROM GANDOLF BURRUS, GRANT DEVELOPMENT SERVICES

Re: Engineer Selection for Community Development Block Grant application

Engineering services are being solicited to assist the City of Johnson City with development of its grant application and provide project implementation of a construction contract, if awarded, from the 2021-2022 *Texas Community Development Fund* of the Texas Community Development Block Grant Program of the Texas Department of Agriculture (TDA).

Actions previously taken:

- The City has published an engineering procurement ad in local paper.
- The City has delivered Request for Proposal packages to at least 5 area engineering firms (either certified mail or e-mail).
- Engineering firms have sent responses to City by March 1 Proposal deadline.
- City has named a Selection Committee with at least three members.

Steps required to select the most qualified firm:

- In Step One, the Selection Committee reviews submitted materials to ensure proposals meet minimum requirements, and checks references.
- In Step Two, Selection Committee meets to review and score the submitted proposals utilizing the provided Evaluation Form. Each committee member will complete one form for each Engineering Firm Proposal.
- In Step Three, the Selection Committee Chair fills out and signs the Summary Page documenting the highest rated engineering firm.
- In Step Four, the Selection Committee Chair reports to the **City Council on March 2nd** the number of firms submitting proposals, discusses the evaluation process and identifies the firm evaluated as most qualified.
- In Step Five, the City Council takes action to name the most qualified firm.
- The City Council MAY award the contract agreement on March 2nd, if desired. Note
 Some engineers decline to submit contracts until the application has been funded. A signed
 contract is not required in order to submit the grant application.

Please inform me of the date/time of the evaluation and I will be available by phone. 512/560-4477.

STEP One: Each Committee Member to complete one rating sheet for each engineering proposal received.

City of Johnson City Engineer Rating Sheet

Name	of Respondent		
Date of	f Evaluation		
Johnso	on City's Evaluation Committee Member's Name		
golotes		. 41 6	
Expe	rience Rate the respondent for experience	ence in the fo	ollowing areas:
	<u>Factor</u>	Max.Pts.	Score
1.	Has previously designed municipal wastewater-	20	
	type of projects		
2.	Has worked on federally funded construction	10	
	projects		
3.	Has worked on projects that were located in this general region	15	
4.	Extent of experience in project construction management		Affects of the Section of Contract of Contraction o
		15	
	Subtatal Evneriance		
	Subtotal, Experience	60	
COMME	NTS:		
OOMM			

Work	Performance*		
	Factor	Max.Pts.	Score
1.	Past projects completed on schedule	10	
2.	Manages projects within budgetary constraints	5	
3.	Work product is of high quality	10	and the second s
	Subtotal, Performance	25	naminana ayan jarapi (1904-1906) (1904-1904) (1904-1904) (1904-1904) (1904-1904) (1904-1904) (1904-1904)
NOTE: I	nformation necessary to assess the respondent on these criteri	a should be gathere	d by contacting past/current
~1171163.			
CO8484C	NITC.		
COMME	NTS:		

Name o	f Respondent	account the interest.						
Date of	Rating							
Evaluator's Name								
Capa	city to Perform							
	<u>Factor</u>	Max.Pts.	Score					
1.	Staff Level / Experience of Staff	5						
2.	Adequacy of Resources	5						
3.	Professional liability insurance is in force	5						
	Subtotal, Capacity to Perform	15	Indicates that the state of the					
	, ,	, •						
COMME	NTS:							
TOTAL S	CORE							
	Factor	Max.Pts.	<u>Score</u>					
П	Experience	60						
	Work Performance	25						
	Capacity to Perform	15						
		4.0.0						
	Total Score	100						
Signatu	re of evaluator							

STEP Two:

Committee Chair to complete one Summary Sheet naming highest scorer

City of Johnson City, Texas Texas Dept of Agriculture 2021-2022 CDBG Grant

Summary Sheet for Procurement of Professional Engineering Services

Proposing Firm #1		
Evaluator #1 Score		All have compromed for death of the property of the control of the
Evaluator #2 Score		
Evaluator #3 Score		
	Total Score	
Proposing Firm #2		
Evaluator #1 Score		
Evaluator #2 Score		
Evaluator #3 Score		
	Total Score	
Proposing Firm #3		
Evaluator #1 Score		
Evaluator #2 Score		nerocennostatobale luma convect reducendo responsar proprieda de la convecto della convecto de la convecto della convecto dell
Evaluator #3 Score		Michael Santon (Santon Santon
	Total Score	

STEP TWO:

Committee Chair to complete one Summary Sheet naming highest scorer

Proposing Firm #4		tuerrendgadu arronn dur europasse entre dur annueu arronn entre annueu arronn entre entre entre entre entre en	
Evaluator #1 Score			
Evaluator #2 Score			
Evaluator #3 Score		Author/Glands/ordered and Arthridge Country during the Country design and the Country desig	
	Total Score		
Proposing Firm #5			
Evaluator #1 Score			
Evaluator #2 Score			
Evaluator #3 Score			
	Total Score		
The firm with the highest total	score is	gancus de cas had sounds out a nacionales sales à applicant deve à responsable de partie et son à time santieur	
Date	was training and residence compound a laboratories and residence and res		
Committee Chair Signature			