

BALLOT ARGUMENT STATEMENT FORM

Election Code Section 9600

All arguments concerning measures filed pursuant to California Elections Code Division 9, commencing with Section 9000, shall be accompanied by the following form statement, to be signed by each proponent and by each author, if different, of the argument:

The undersigned proponent(s) or author(s) of the Rebuttal To
(primary/rebuttal to)

argument In Favor ballot proposition C
(in favor of/against) (name or number)

at the Special Election
(title of election)

for the Town of Loomis
(jurisdiction)

to be held on June 18th 2019 hereby state that such argument is
(date of election)

true and correct to the best of their knowledge and belief.
(his/her/their)

Signed Dawn Forcier Date 4/11/19

Printed name Dawn Forcier Title Loomis Resident

Signed Eva Marshall Date 4/11/19

Printed name Eva Marshall Title 20+ yrs Loomis Resident

Signed Janet A. Thew Date 4-11-19

Printed name Janet A. Thew Title Former Planning Commissioner (10 yrs)

Signed Mike Goulas Date 4/11/19

Printed name Michael Goulas Title Loomis Resident / Business Owner

Signed Jesse B. Luskford Jr Date 4-11-19

Printed name Jesse B. Luskford Jr Title 18 year Resident

AUTHOR INFORMATION

Signed Sara Goulas Date 4/11/2019

Printed name Sara Goulas Title Co-Leader of Loomis Citizens For Responsible Growth

Mailing Address 5883 Sparas St, Loomis, CA 95650

REBUTTAL TO ARGUMENT IN FAVOR OF MEASURE C

(Regarding Ordinance 275)

VOTE NO ON MEASURES C & D

Our grassroots group exhaustively studied the Village documents and General Plan. We found flaws in the arguments of the pro-"Vote Yes" campaign:

- Our Council is irresponsibly **placing GREAT decision-making value on Doc Barnes**, a road we haven't seen a true quote on or cost comparison for. The developers aren't obligated to pay the full \$14 million budgeted.
- Doc Barnes is a required road that development residents need - to access their alleyways. It's **front-loaded** in an already **congested area**, by a major highway on-ramp. **Expect it to be crowded with nearly 5,000 projected vehicle trips per day.**
- Measure C/Ordinance #275 extend the developers a "Planned Development" zone; essentially giving them the keys to our Town. With it, they can **BEND RULES from our GP.**
- Fortunately, the adopted Resolution #19-02 has changed zoning at the project site. **APARTMENTS ARE NO LONGER THE SAME THREAT.** Most of the Commercial Zoning (alternates to apartments) has changed to residential.
- The development **DOES NOT** qualify as affordable housing, the Town of Loomis is still required to rezone another area for high density, affordable housing. The Town Council has created a never-ending problem with no foreseeable solution.
- The project is **NOT a family-friendly plan, nor AFFORDABLE** for most young families. There are tiny yards and minimal safe areas for kids to play in. There are no sidewalks, either. Loomis needs a better development there!

MEASURES C & D go *hand-in-hand*, VOTE NO!

Loomis Citizens For Responsible Growth www.loomisdeservesbetter.com