BALLOT ARGUMENT STATEMENT FORM

Election Code Section 9600

All arguments concerning measures filed pursuant to California Elections Code Division 9, commencing with Section 9000, shall be accompanied by the following form statement, to be signed by each proponent and by each author, if different, of the argument:

The undersigned proponent(s) or author(s) of the	ne PRIMARY
	(primary/reputtal to)
argument AGAINST (in favor of/against) at the SPECIAL ELECTION	ballot proposition
(in favor of/against)	(name or number)
at the SPECIAL ELECTION	
	tle of election)
for the TOWN OF LOOMIS	(jurisdiction)
to be held on JUNE 18, 2019 (date of election	hereby state that such argument is
true and correct to the best of	knowledge and belief.
Signed Miquel Climich	Date 4/2/2019
Printed name MIBULL UCOULCH	Title FORMER MAYOR TOWN of LOOMIS
Signed Total Hulis	Date 4/2/2019
Printed name Hazel Himeline	Title Former Mayor TOWN of LOOMIS
11-00	Date 4219
Printed name Holly Devito	Title Founder, Sum of All Humbers
Signed Lamela Basserman	Date 4-2-2019
Printed name Panela Bosserman	Title owner Loomis Flooring U.SA
Signed Sonja Llysler	Date <u>4-3-2019</u>
Printed name SONTA L! CUPLER	Title <u>Coomis citi 25NS FOR RESPONSIBLE GROWT</u>
AUTHOR INFORMATION Signed Laple Date	4-3-2019 CO-LIEADER
Printed name SONJA L. CUPLER Title	CO -LEADER LOOMIS CITIZENS FOR RESPONSIBLE GROWTH
Mailing Address P.O. Box 363 Loo	

ARGUMENT AGAINST MEASURE C (Regarding Ordinance 275)

By **VOTING NO ON MEASURE C** you are **FIGHTING** to preserve our quality of life! **REJECTING** the Town Council's rezoning of Loomis in favor of the developer simply means Loomis deserves better.

PLEASE NOTE: Favoring Measure C & D promotes the developer's wants OVER the Town's desire for our RIGHT to a quality and peaceful lifestyle. NO BUILDING legally can take place until the General Plan and Zoning are consistent. If the Town VOTES NO the developer will NOT be able to legally request the similar zoning change for a year. The unknown is what the developer will propose next. Either way a new proposal SHOULD go back to the Planning Commission for approval. The developer is NOT ABOVE local protocol.

VOTING NO means you are preserving our **RIGHTS** to a **QUALITY OF LIFE!**Otherwise, we will no longer be able to escape the lifestyles of Roseville and Rocklin.

Rezoning means Loomis is now another Roseville & Rocklin bringing:

- 381 new housing units (sold/rented at current market rate), 1,200+ additional residents, 18%+ population increase within 61 acres of the Loomis Town Limits
- Loss of semi-rural atmosphere and significant tree canopy (removal of 1,000 trees)

The Environment Impact Report (EIR) was challenged by a citizens group via their attorney, and was sent to the Council and Planning Commission on 12/7/18. The group requested a "Recirculation of the EIR to reflect the Revised Project," and a "Resubmittal and Review of Revised Project to the Planning Commission for Recommendation to the Council." Their response was that everything had previously been addressed within the EIR and the challenge/requests were dropped.

VOTE NO ON Measure C if you believe that Loomis is heading in the wrong direction.

Got questions? Contact Loomis Citizens For Responsible Growth at: www.loomisdeservesbetter.com